ESSENTIAL DIMENSION, SYMBOL LENGTH AND \( p \)-RANK
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ABSTRACT. We prove that the essential dimension of central simple algebras of degree \( p^m \) and exponent \( p^n \) over fields \( F \) containing a base-field \( k \) of characteristic \( p \) is at least \( \ell + 1 \) when \( k \) is perfect. We do this by observing that the \( p \)-rank of \( F \) bounds the symbol length in \( \text{Br}_{p^n}(F) \) and that there exist indecomposable \( p \)-algebras of degree \( p^m \) and exponent \( p^n \). We also prove that the symbol length of the Milne-Kato cohomology group \( H_{p^n+1}(F) \) is bounded from above by \( \binom{r}{\ell} \) where \( r \) is the \( p \)-rank of the field, and provide upper and lower bounds for the essential dimension of Brauer classes of a given symbol length.

1. Introduction

Given a field \( k \) and a covariant functor \( \mathcal{F} : \text{Fields}/k \rightarrow \text{Sets} \), the essential dimension of an object \( x \in \mathcal{F}(F) \), denoted \( \text{ed}_F(x) \), where \( F \) is a field containing \( k \), is the minimal transcendence degree of a field \( E \) with \( k \subseteq E \subseteq F \) for which there exists \( x_0 \in \mathcal{F}(E) \) such that \( x = x_0 \otimes_E F \). The essential dimension of the functor, denoted \( \text{ed}(\mathcal{F}) \), is the supremum on the essential dimension of all the objects \( x \in \mathcal{F}(F) \) for all fields \( F \supseteq k \). The essential \( p \)-dimension of an object \( x \in \mathcal{F} \), denoted \( \text{ed}_F(x; p) \), is defined to be the minimal \( \text{ed}_F(x \otimes L) \) where \( L \) ranges over all prime to \( p \) field extensions of \( F \). The essential \( p \)-dimension of \( \mathcal{F} \), denoted \( \text{ed}(\mathcal{F}; p) \), is defined to be the supremum on the essential \( p \)-dimension of all objects \( x \in \mathcal{F}(F) \) for all fields \( F \supseteq k \). Note that \( \text{ed}_F(x; p) \leq \text{ed}_F(x) \) and \( \text{ed}(\mathcal{F}; p) \leq \text{ed}(\mathcal{F}) \). See [Mer13] for a comprehensive discussion on these definitions and associated open problems.

Given a prime number \( p \), a field \( k \) of \( \text{char}(k) = p \) and integers \( m, n \) with \( n \geq m \), let \( \text{Alg}_{p^m, p^n} \) denote the functor mapping every field \( F \) containing \( k \) to the set of isomorphism classes of central simple algebras of degree \( p^m \) and exponent dividing \( p^n \) over \( F \). The computation of \( \text{ed}(\text{Alg}_{p^m, p^n}) \) is largely open (see [BM09, McK17, Mer13] for example). It is known from [Bae11] that for fields \( k \) of \( \text{char}(k) = 2 \) we have \( \text{ed}(\text{Alg}_{2, 2}) \leq 10 \), and when \( k \geq \mathbb{F}_4 \) we also have \( \text{ed}(\text{Alg}_{4, 2}) = 3 \). In the same paper it was also proven that for any \( k \), \( \text{ed}(\text{Alg}_{p^m, p^n}; p) \geq 3 \) when \( n > m \). In [McK17] it was shown that when \( k \) is algebraically closed, \( \ell + 1 \leq \text{ed}(\text{Alg}_{p^m, p^n}; p) \) for any \( \ell \), improving the previous lower bound of 3 when \( \ell \geq 2 \).

The goal of this paper (Theorem 5.2) is to prove that \( \ell + 1 \leq \text{ed}(\text{Alg}_{p^m, p^n}; p) \) when \( k \) is perfect for any \( \ell \) and \( m \), recovering and extending the result from [McK17]. The techniques in this paper are simpler than [McK17], relying on symbol length bounds and indecomposable division algebras which already exist in the literature, instead of analyzing sums of generic \( p \)-symbols. We also provide upper and lower bounds for the essential dimension of Brauer classes (and other Kato-Milne cohomology groups) of a given symbol length.
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2. Preliminaries

For a field $F$ of char($F$) = $p$ and positive integers $m$ and $n$, the Kato-Milne cohomology group $H^{p+1}_{\mu}(F)$ is the additive group $W_m(F) \otimes F^\otimes \cdot \cdot \cdot \otimes F^\otimes$ modulo the relations

- $(\omega^p - \omega) \otimes b_1 \otimes \cdot \cdot \cdot \otimes b_n = 0$,
- $(0 \cdot \cdot \cdot 0, a, 0, \cdot \cdot \cdot 0) \otimes a \otimes b_2 \otimes \cdot \cdot \cdot \otimes b_n = 0$, and
- $\omega \otimes b_1 \otimes \cdot \cdot \cdot \otimes b_n = 0$ where $b_i = b_j$ for some $i \neq j$,

where $W_m(F)$ is the ring of truncated Witt vectors of length $m$ over $F$, and for each $\omega = (\omega_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot , \omega_m)$, $\omega^p$ stands for $(\omega_1^p, \cdot \cdot \cdot , \omega_m^p)$. For a comprehensive reference on these groups see [AJOT18]. The generators $\omega \otimes b_1 \otimes \cdot \cdot \cdot \otimes b_n$ are called “($p^m$)-symbols”. For $n = 1$, these groups describe the $p^m$-torsion of the Brauer group, i.e., $H^2_{\mu}(F) \cong Br_{p^m}(F)$ with the isomorphism given by $\omega \otimes b \mapsto ([\omega, b]_F)$, where $[\omega, b]_F$ stands for the cyclic algebra generated by $\theta_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot , \theta_m$ and $y$ satisfying

$$\bar{\theta} - \bar{\theta} = \omega, \quad y^p = b, \quad \text{and} \quad y\bar{\theta}y^{-1} = \bar{\theta} + \bar{1}$$

where $\bar{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \cdot \cdot \cdot , \theta_m)$ is a truncated Witt vector, $\bar{\theta}^p = (\theta_1^p, \theta_2^p, \cdot \cdot \cdot , \theta_m^p)$, and $\bar{1} = (1, 0, \cdot \cdot \cdot , 0)$ (see [MM97] for reference). The symbol length of a class in $H^{p+1}_{\mu}(F)$ is the minimal $t$ for which the class can be written as the sum of $t$ symbols. In the special case of symbol $p$-algebras of exponent dividing $p^m$ (i.e., $H^2_{\mu}(F)$) the symbol length translates into the minimal $t$ for which the algebra is Brauer equivalent to a tensor product of $t$ cyclic algebras of degree $p^m$. We denote the symbol length of such a Brauer class $[A]$ by $sl_{p^m}([A])$.

For any $t < m$, there is a shift map from the group $H^{p+1}_{\mu}(F)$ to $H^{p+1}_{\mu}(F)$ given by $(a_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot , a_t) \otimes b_1 \otimes \cdot \cdot \cdot \otimes b_n \mapsto (0, \cdot \cdot \cdot , 0, a_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot , a_t) \otimes b_1 \otimes \cdot \cdot \cdot \otimes b_n$. Since the map taking each symbol $\pi \in H^{p+1}_{\mu}(F)$ to $\pi + \cdot \cdot \cdot + \pi$ takes each $(a_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot , a_t) \otimes b_1 \otimes \cdot \cdot \cdot \otimes b_n$ to $(0, \cdot \cdot \cdot , 0, a_1^p, \cdot \cdot \cdot , a_t^p) \otimes b_1 \otimes \cdot \cdot \cdot \otimes b_n$ (which is equal to $(0, \cdot \cdot \cdot , 0, a_1) \otimes b_1 \otimes \cdot \cdot \cdot \otimes b_n$ in this group), it gives rise to another map $Exp : H^{p+1}_{\mu}(F) \rightarrow H^{p+1}_{\mu}(F)$.

**Theorem 2.1** ([AJOT18] Theorem 2.31; see also [Izh00] Theorem 1) and [Izh96] Lemma 6.2]. The following sequence is exact

$$0 \rightarrow H^{p+1}_{\mu}(F) \xrightarrow{\text{Shift}} H^{p+1}_{\mu}(F) \xrightarrow{\text{Exp}} H^{p+1}_{\mu}(F) \rightarrow 0.$$ 

3. Symbol Length and $p$-rank

**Proposition 3.1.** Let $p$ be a prime integer, $k$ a field of char($k$) = $p$, and $m$ and $\ell$ positive integers with $\ell \geq 2$. Exclude the case of $p = \ell = 2$ and $m = 1$. Then there exists a $p$-algebra $A$ of degree $p^m$ and exponent $p^m$ over a field $F$ containing $k$ with $sl_{p^m}([A_{L}]) \geq \ell + 1$ for all prime to $p$ field extensions $L$ of $F$.

**Proof.** If the symbol length of $A$ is at most $\ell$ then $A$ decomposes (as an algebra) as a tensor product of $\ell$ cyclic algebras of degree $p^m$. Hence we need only find a field $F \supseteq k$ and a $p$-algebra $A$ of degree $p^m$ and exponent $p^m$ over $F$ which does not decompose as a tensor product of $\ell$ cyclic algebras of degree $p^m$ and retains this quality after any prime to $p$ extension. Such an algebra exists by [Kar95] (see the introduction of that paper for explanation for $m > 1$ and Sections 2 and 3 for $m = 1$; the papers [Kar98] and [McK08] also discuss such examples).

Every field $F$ of char($F$) = $p$ is a vector space over $F^p$, and if $[F : F^p]$ is finite then it is $p^r$ for some nonnegative integer $r$, called the “$p$-rank of $F$”, and denoted rank$_p(F)$. 


Proposition 3.2 (cf. [CM19 Remark 3.1]). Given a prime integer \( p \), a field \( F \) of \( \text{char}(F) = p \) and \( \text{rank}_p(F) = r < \infty \) and a \( p \)-algebra \( A \) of exponent \( p^m \) over \( F \), we have \( \text{sl}_{p^m}(A) \leq r \).

Proof. Let \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r \) be a \( p \)-basis for \( F \), i.e., \( F \) is spanned by \([\alpha_1^{d_1} \cdots \alpha_r^{d_r}] : 0 \leq d_1, \ldots, d_r \leq p - 1\) over \( F^p \). Since \( F \) is isomorphic to \( F^p \), by induction we get that \( F \) is spanned by \([\alpha_1^{d_1} \cdots \alpha_r^{d_r}] : 0 \leq d_1, \ldots, d_r \leq p^m - 1\) over \( F^{p^m} \). Since \( p^m \)-symbols are split by \( K = F[\sqrt[m]{\alpha_1}, \ldots, \sqrt[m]{\alpha_r}] \) (because \( K^{p^m} = F \)) and \( \text{Br}_{p^m}(F) \) is generated by \( p^m \)-symbols, every class in \( \text{Br}_{p^m}(F) \) is split by restriction to \( K \) as well. By [Alb68, Theorem 28] (for a more modern reference see [GS17 Thm. 9.1.1]), each class in \( \text{Br}_{p^m}(F) \) decomposes as a tensor product \( C_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes C_r \) of \( p^m \)-symbols where each \( C_i \) contains \( F[\sqrt[m]{\alpha_i}] \), and therefore the symbol length of every class in \( \text{Br}_{p^m}(F) \) is at most \( r \).

In the remainder of the section, we provide another proof for Proposition 3.2 and generalize it to higher cohomology groups.

Lemma 3.3. Given a \( p \)-basis \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r \) for a field \( F \) of \( \text{char}(F) = p \), \( a \in W_m(F) \) and \( b_1, \ldots, b_n \in F^\times \), there exist \( w_i \in W_m(F) \) so that the following equality holds true in \( \text{H}^{p+1}_{p^m}(F) \):

\[
 a \otimes b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_n = \sum_{\text{cyclic permutations}} w_i \otimes \alpha_i \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_i.
\]

Proof. We prove it by induction on \( m \). We know it holds for \( m = 1 \) (see [CM19 Remark 3.1]). Suppose it holds for all positive integers smaller than \( m \). Take now a class \( \pi \) in \( \text{H}^{p+1}_{p^m}(F) \). The class \( \text{Exp}(\pi) \) lives in \( \text{H}^{p+1}_p(F) \), and so the statement holds true for it, i.e.

\[
 \text{Exp}(\pi) = \sum_{\text{cyclic permutations}} c_i \otimes \alpha_i \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_i,
\]

for some \( c_i \in F \). Then by Theorem 2.1 the class \( \pi \) differs from

\[
 \sum_{\text{cyclic permutations}} (c_{i_1} 0 \cdots 0) \otimes \alpha_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_{i_1}
\]

by a class \( \pi_0 \) from the embedding of \( \text{H}^{p+1}_{p^{m-1}}(F) \) into \( \text{H}^{p+1}_{p^m}(F) \), and so the statement holds true also for \( \pi_0 \). Then \( \pi \) is a sum of two classes for which the statements holds true, and by adding the Witt vector coefficients we see that it holds true also for \( \pi \).

Corollary 3.4. Let \( F \) be a field of characteristic \( p \) and finite \( p \)-rank \( r \). Then the symbol length of a class in \( \text{H}^{p+1}_{p^m}(F) \) is at most \( \binom{r}{p} \), and in particular the symbol length in \( \text{Br}_{p^m}(F) \) is at most \( r \).

4. Other upper bounds on the Symbol Length

As we saw, the \( p \)-rank provides a useful bound on the symbol length of classes in \( \text{H}^{p+1}_{p^m}(F) \). However, in certain cases the \( p \)-rank can be infinite, and still one can obtain a reasonable finite upper bound on the symbol length.

Proposition 4.1. Given finite symbol lengths \( t \) of \( \text{H}^{p+1}_{p^m}(F) \) and \( s \) of \( \text{H}^{p+1}_{p^m}(F) \), the symbol length of \( \text{H}^{p+1}_{p^{m+1}}(F) \) is at most \( t + s \).

Proof. Consider a class \( \pi \) in \( \text{H}^{p+1}_{p^m}(F) \). The class \( \text{Exp}(\pi) \) lives in \( \text{H}^{p+1}_p(F) \), and therefore its symbol length is at most \( s \), i.e. \( \text{Exp}(\pi) = a_1 \otimes b_1 + \cdots + a_s \otimes b_s \) for some \( a_1, \ldots, b_s \in F \). Then by Theorem 2.1 \( \pi \) differs from \( (a_1, 0 \cdots 0) \otimes b_1 + \cdots + (a_s, 0 \cdots 0) \otimes b_s \) by a class
whose exponent divides $p^m$, so it belongs to the embedding of $H^{n+1}_{p^m}(F)$ into $H^{n+1}_{p^m}(F)$, and therefore its symbol length is at most $t$. Altogether, the symbol length of $π$ is at most $t + s$. 

**Corollary 4.2.** Given a finite symbol length $s$ of $H^{n+1}_p(F)$, the symbol length of $H^{n+1}_{p^m}(F)$ is at most $m \cdot s$.

**Proof.** By induction on $m$: if the symbol length of $H^{n+1}_{p^m}(F)$ is bounded from above by $m \cdot s$, then by the previous proposition the symbol length of $H^{n+1}_{p^{m+1}}(F)$ is bounded from above by $m \cdot s + s = (m + 1) \cdot s$. 

As proven in [CM19], $\prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\alpha(F)}{2} - 2^i + 1\right)$ is an upper bound for the symbol length of $H^{n+1}_2(F)$ when $u(F) < \infty$. Recall that $u(F)$ is the maximal dimension of a nonsingular anisotropic quadratic form over $F$, and it can be finite even when the 2-rank is infinite (see [MTW91]). As a result, we obtain an upper bound of $m \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\alpha(F)}{2} - 2^i + 1\right)$ for the symbol length of $H^{n+1}_2(F)$. Similarly, over the $C_{p,r}$-fields $F$ studied in [CM18], for which the symbol length of $H^{n}_2(F)$ was bounded from above by $p^{r-1} - 1$, the symbol length of $H^{n+1}_{p^m}(F)$ is therefore bounded from above by $m \cdot (p^{r-1} - 1)$.

5. The $Br_{p^n}$ Functor

Let $Br_{p^n}$ denote the functor mapping each field $F$ containing $k$ to the group $Br_{p^n}(F)$ of Brauer classes of central simple algebras of exponent dividing $p^m$ over $F$. For any central simple algebra $A$, let $[A]$ denote its Brauer class.

**Remark 5.1.** For a given central simple algebra $A$ of degree $p^n$ and exponent $p^m$ over a field $F$ containing $k$, we have $ed_{Br_{p^n}}([A]) \leq ed_{Alg_{p^n}}(A)$ and $ed_{Br_{p^n}}([A]: p) \leq ed_{Alg_{p^n}}(A; p)$. The reason is that for any algebra $A_0$ of degree $p^n$ and exponent $p^m$ over a field $F_0$ satisfying $k \subseteq F_0 \subseteq F$ and $A_0 \otimes F = A$, $[A]$ descends to $[A_0]$. It is not necessarily an equality, because $[A]$ may descend to a $p^m$-torsion Brauer class $[B]$ where $B$ is of degree than $p^n$.

Lemma 5.3 below forms the basis for our lower bound on the essential dimension.

**Lemma 5.2 ([Bou91] Chapter V, Section 16.6, Corollary 3).** Suppose $F$ is a finitely generated field extension of transcendence degree $t$ of a field $k$ of positive characteristic $p$ and rank$_p(F) = r$. Then rank$_p(F) = r + t$.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let $k$ be a field of char$(k) = p > 0$ and rank$_p(k) = r$, and $A$ a $p$-algebra over a field $F$ containing $k$ of exponent $p^m$, $m \geq 1$. Then $ed_{Br_{p^n}}([A]) + r \geq s_{1,p^n}([A])$.

**Proof.** Suppose the symbol length is $s$ and the essential dimension is $t$ where $r + t < s$. Then $[A]$ descends to $[A_0] \in Br_{p^n}(E)$ with $k \subseteq E \subseteq F$ and $E$ finitely generated of finite transcendence degree $t$ over $k$. By Lemma 5.2, the $p$-rank of $E$ is $r + t$. By Proposition 3.2, the symbol length of $A_0$ is at most $r + t$, and so is the symbol length of $A$, contradiction. □

**Theorem 5.4.** Let $k$ be a field of char$(k) = p > 0$ and rank$_p(k) = r$, and $m$ and $\ell$ be positive integers with $\ell \geq 2$. Then $ed(Alg_{p^m, p^n}; p) \geq \ell + 1 - r$. In particular, when $k$ is perfect, we have $ed(Alg_{p^m, p^n}; p) \geq \ell + 1$.

**Proof.** The case of $p = n = 2$ and $m = 1$ is covered by [Bae11] Corollary 2.2, so we exclude this case in the following discussion. Suppose to the contrary that the essential $p$-dimension of this functor is at most $\ell - r$. Let $A$ be a central simple algebra of degree $p^m$ and exponent $p^m$ over a field $F$ containing $k$. Then there exists a prime to $p$ extension $L/F$ such that the essential dimension of $[A \otimes L]$ is $\leq \ell - r$, and therefore by Lemma 5.3, the
symbol length of \([A \otimes L]\) is at most \(\ell\). However, by Proposition \ref{prop:ed of tensor product} there exists an algebra \(A\) in this category whose symbol length is at least \(\ell + 1\) under restriction to any prime to \(p\) field extension \(L\) of \(F\), contradiction. Therefore \(\ed_{\alg_{\mathcal{H}^p\otimes}}(A; p) \gg \ell + 1 - r\), which means \(\ed_{\alg_{\mathcal{H}^p_{A_0}(\mathcal{H}^n_{\mathcal{H}^p\otimes})}}(A; p) \gg \ell + 1 - r\), and as a result \(\ed(\alg_{\mathcal{H}^p_{\mathcal{H}^p\otimes}}; p) \gg \ell + 1 - r\). \(\square\)

Note that the special case of Theorem \ref{thm:ed of tensor product} for \(m = 1\) and \(k\) algebraically closed was proven in \cite{McK17}. The main two advantages of our approach is that (1) it is much simpler, and (2) it holds true for any field \(k\) of finite \(p\)-rank, not just algebraically closed fields. If the essential dimension of \(\alg_{\mathcal{H}^p_{\mathcal{H}^p\otimes}}\) is under discussion, the lower bound of \(\ell + 1 - r\) is obtained from Theorem \ref{thm:ed of tensor product} by taking \(\ell = \lfloor \frac{1}{m} \rfloor\) as a result of \(\ed(\alg_{\mathcal{H}^p_{\mathcal{H}^p\otimes}}) \geq \ed(\alg_{\mathcal{H}^p_{\mathcal{H}^p\otimes}}).

6. The \(\mathcal{H}_{p^r}^{n+1}\) Functor

Given a field \(k\) of \(\text{char}(k) = p\), consider the functor \(\mathcal{H}_{p^r}^{n+1}\) mapping each field \(F\) containing \(k\) to the group \(\mathcal{H}_{p^r}^{n+1}(F)\).

For \(1 \leq i \leq \ell\) let \(x_{i,1}, \ldots, x_{i,m}, y_{i,1}, \ldots, y_{i,n}\) be independent indeterminates over \(k\) and set \(F_{\ell,m,n} = k(x_{1,1}, \ldots, y_{1,n})\) the rational function field over \(k\) in \((m + n)\) indeterminates. Set \(A_{\ell,m,n} = \sum_{i=1}^\ell (x_{i,1}, \ldots, x_{i,m}) \otimes y_{i,1} \otimes \cdots \otimes y_{i,n}\). This class is “the generic sum of \(\ell\) symbols in \(\mathcal{H}_{p^r}^{n+1}(F_{\ell,m,n})\)”. Note that it depends on the choice of \(k\). The following theorem gives a lower bound for the essential dimension of this generic sum of symbols:

**Theorem 6.1.** Given a prime integer \(p\), an algebraically closed field \(k\) of \(\text{char}(k) = p\) and integers \(m, n, \ell \geq 1\), the generic sum \(A_{\ell,m,n} = \sum_{i=1}^\ell (x_{i,1}, \ldots, x_{i,m}) \otimes y_{i,1} \otimes \cdots \otimes y_{i,n}\) of \(\ell\) symbols in \(\mathcal{H}_{p^r}^{n+1}(F_{\ell,m,n})\) is of \(\ed(\alg_{\mathcal{H}_{p^r}^{n+1}}(A_{\ell,m,n}; p) \geq \ell + n\).

**Proof.** By \cite{McK17} Theorem 5.8 the generic sum \(A_{\ell,m,n}\) of \(\ell\) symbols in \(\mathcal{H}_{p^r}^{n+1}(F_{\ell,m,n})\) has \(\ed(\alg_{\mathcal{H}_{p^r}^{n+1}}(A_{\ell,m,n}; p) \geq \ell + n).\) Write \(B = A_{\ell,m,n} \otimes F_{\ell,m,n}\). By taking \(k'\) to be the algebraic closure of \(k(x_{i,j}) : 1 \leq i \leq \ell, 2 \leq j \leq m\), the class \(B' = B \otimes k'(x_{1,1}, \ldots, x_{\ell,1}, y_{1,1}, \ldots, y_{n,n})\) is the generic sum of \(\ell\) symbols over \(k'\). Note that \cite{McK17} Theorem 5.8] only requires \(k\) to be algebraically closed, so one can consider the same functors over \(k'\) as well (because it is also algebraically closed), and over \(k'\) we have \(\ed(\alg_{\mathcal{H}_{p^r}^{n+1}}(B'; p) \geq \ell + n\), and therefore over \(k\) we have \(\ed(\alg_{\mathcal{H}_{p^r}^{n+1}}(B; p) \geq \ell + n\). Now, the generic sum \(A_{\ell,m,n}\) of \(\ell\) symbols in \(\mathcal{H}_{p^r}^{n+1}(F_{\ell,m,n})\) is a pre-image of \(A_{\ell,m,n} \otimes F_{\ell,m,n}\) under \(\text{Exp}\). If for some prime to \(p\) field extension \(L\) of \(F_{\ell,m,n}\), \(A_{\ell,m,n} \otimes L\) descends to \(\pi\) in \(\mathcal{H}_{p^r}^{n+1}(E)\) for a field \(E\) of transcendence degree less than \(\ell + n\) over \(k\), then \(B \otimes L\) descends to \(\text{Exp}(\pi)\) which is in \(\mathcal{H}_{p^r}^{n+1}(E)\), contradiction. \(\square\)

**Remark 6.2.** The special case of Theorem 6.1 for \(n = 1\) coincides with Theorem \ref{thm:ed of tensor product} for \(k\) algebraically closed. Note that the general case of Theorem 6.1 with \(n \geq 1\) requires the use of \cite{McK17} rather than the existence of indecomposable algebras as in Theorem \ref{thm:ed of tensor product}.

In the rest of the section, we present an upper bound for the symbol length of sums of \(\ell\) symbols in \(\mathcal{H}_{p^r}^{n+1}(F)\) (which can also be the generic sum). For this we recall the definition of \(\ed(G)\), for \(G\) a finite group, to be the essential dimension of the functor \(F : \text{Fields}/k \to \text{Sets}\) sending each field \(F\) containing \(k\) to the set of Galois field extensions \(K/F\) with Galois group \(\text{Gal}(K/F) = G\). In the following example of Ledet, we have changed exponent \(p^n\) to exponent dividing \(p^n\), a trivial generalization of the original statement.

**Lemma 6.3** \cite{Led04} Example, pg. 162). Let \(m\) and \(\ell\) be positive integers, \(k\) a field of \(\text{char}(k) = p\) with \(|k| \geq p^m\) and \(G\) a \(p\)-group of exponent dividing \(p^n\) minimally generated by \(\ell\) elements. Then \(\ed(G) \leq m\).
Remark 6.4. There is a correspondence between finite subgroups of $W_m(F)/\wp(W_m(F))$ and Galois field extensions $K/F$ with a Galois $p$-group $G$ of exponent dividing $p^n$: Each class in $W_m(F)/\wp(W_m(F))$ of exponent $p^t$ ($t \leq m$) is represented by a class $\omega$ coming from the embedding of $W_m(F)/\wp(W_m(F))$ into $W_m(F)/\wp(W_m(F))$. Written as an element $\omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_t)$ in $W_t(F)/\wp(W_t(F))$, the corresponding field extension is $C/F$ where $C$ is generated over $F$ by $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_t$ subject to the relations

$$(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_t)^p - (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_t) = \omega$$

thinking about $(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_t)$ as a Witt vector in $W_t(C)$ and $(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_t)^p = (\theta_1^p, \ldots, \theta_t^p)$ (see the Preliminaries section, and also [Jac89, Chapter 8] and [MM91]). The extension $K/F$ corresponding to $G$ is thus the field compositum of the field extensions corresponding to the classes $G$ consists of. By Lemma 6.3 we thus conclude that given any finite subgroup $G' = (\bar{x}_1, \ldots, \bar{x}_t)$ of $W_m(F)/\wp(W_m(F))$, there exists an intermediate field $k \subseteq E \subseteq F$ of tr.deg$_p(E) \leq m$ and a subgroup of $W_m(E)/\wp(W_m(E))$ to which $G'$ descends, and in particular, there exist classes $\bar{x}_1, \ldots, \bar{x}_t$ in $W_m(E)/\wp(W_m(E))$ for which $\bar{x}_i \equiv F \equiv \bar{x}_i \pmod{\wp(W_m(E))}$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$.

Proposition 6.5. Let $k$ be a field of char($k$) = $p$ with $|k| \geq p^t$, $F$ a field containing $k$ and let $\pi$ be the sum of $t$ symbols in $H^{t+1}_{p^n}(F)$. Then $ed_{Br,p}(\pi) \leq m + t/n$.

Proof. Let $\pi = \sum_i (x_{i,1}, \ldots, x_{i,m}) \otimes y_{i,1} \otimes \ldots \otimes y_{i,1}$. By Remark 6.4 there exists an intermediate field $k \subseteq E \subseteq F$ and $z_{i,j} \in E$ so that $\bar{x}_i = (x_{i,1}, \ldots, x_{i,m}) \in W_m(F)$ satisfies $\bar{x}_i \equiv z_{i,j} \pmod{\wp(W_m(E))}$ and tr.deg$_p(E) \leq m$. Therefore, $\pi$ is defined over $E(y_{i,j}: 1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq n)$. This field has transcendence degree at most $m + t/n$. \hfill $\square$

Corollary 6.6. Let $k$ be a field of char($k$) = $p$ with $|k| \geq p^t$, $F$ a field containing $k$ and let $\pi$ be the sum of $t$ symbols in $Br_{p^n}(F)$. Then $ed_{Br,p}(\pi) \leq m + t$. 

Proof. This is the case of $n = 1$ in Proposition 6.5. \hfill $\square$

Combining what we have obtained so far, we can outline the bounds as follows:

Corollary 6.7. For any positive integer $m$ and a class $[A]$ in $Br_{p^n}(F)$ where $F \supseteq k$ and $k$ is an infinite perfect field of char($k$) = $p$, we have

$$sl_{p^n}([A]) \leq ed_{Br,p}([A]) \leq sl_{p^n}([A]) + m.$$ 

And in particular, we get the following elegant upper bounds for the essential dimension when $k$ is an infinite perfect field:

Corollary 6.8.

- If $A$ is a $p$-algebra of degree $p^n$ and exponent $p^m$ over $F$, then $ed_{Br,p}([A]) \leq p^n + m - 1$.
- If $p = 2$ and $A$ is of degree 8 and exponent 2 over $F$, then $ed_{Br}([A]) \leq 5$.

Proof. By [Plo13], the symbol length of $A$ of degree $p^n$ and exponent $p$ is at most $p^n - 1$. By [Row84], the symbol length of $A$ of degree 8 and exponent 2 is at most 4. \hfill $\square$

Note that 5 is much better than the upper bound of 10 for $ed_{Alg,2}(A)$ obtained in [Bae11], but one should note that $ed_{Alg,2}(A) \gg ed_{Br}([A])$ and it is not necessarily an equality.

Remark 6.9. We believe that when $k$ is algebraically closed, the lower bound in Corollary 6.8 can be improved to $sl_{p^n}([A]) + 1 \leq ed_{alg}([A])$. If this is indeed true, that would mean that over fields of transcendence degree $d$ over $k$, every algebra $A$ of exponent $p^m$ has index $\text{ind}(A) \leq p^{\text{sl}(A)} \leq p^{\text{ed}(A) - 1} \leq p^{d - 1}$, proving an important well-known conjecture on the period-index problem for this particular case. Unfortunately, we do not have a proof yet.
We conclude with some comments on the case of an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic prime to $p$. Given a field $E$ of transcendence degree $r$ over $k$, $E$ is a $C_r$ field, and so the symbol length of a central simple algebra $A$ in $\text{Br}_{p^m}(E)$ of degree $p^m$ is at most $m(p^{r-1}−1)$ by [Mat16, Theorem 8.2]. Therefore, if we start with a central simple algebra $A$ of degree $p^m$ and exponent $p^m$ over a field $F$ containing $k$ whose essential dimension is $r$, then $\text{sl}_{p^m}(A) \leq m(p^{r-1}−1)$ (a formula which was already implicitly obtained in [Mat16, Section 5]). By solving for $r$, we obtain the formula

$$\text{ed}_{\text{Alg}_{p^m,p^m}}(A) \geq \text{ed}_{\text{Br}_{p^m}}([A]) \geq 1 + \log_p \left( \frac{\text{sl}_{p^m}( [A] )}{m} + 1 \right).$$

Excluding the case of $p = m = \ell = 2$, the existence of indecomposable algebras $A$ of degree $p^m$ and exponent $p^m$ provides algebras $A$ of symbol length at least $\ell + 1$, which gives the bound

$$\text{ed}(\text{Alg}_{p^m,p^m}) \geq 1 + \log_p \left( \frac{\ell + 1}{m} + 1 \right).$$

This lower bound is by no means as good as the known bounds in the literature (see [BM12]), but it is possible that this technique could lead to a better bound if we found a way of constructing indecomposable algebras of sufficiently large prescribed symbol length, or if the upper bound for the symbol length for $C_r$-fields from [Mat16, Theorem 8.2] could be improved (for example to the conjectured bound of $r$).
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