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Abstract

We consider several critical wetting models. In the discrete case, these probability laws are known to converge, after an appropriate rescaling, to the law of a reflecting Brownian motion, or of the modulus of a Brownian bridge, according to the boundary conditions. In the continuous case, a corresponding convergence result is proven in this paper, which allows to approximate the law of a reflecting Brownian motion by the law of Brownian meander tilted by its local time near the origin. On the other hand, these laws can be seen as the reversible probability measure of some Markov processes, namely, the dynamics which are encoded by integration by parts formulae. After proving the tightness of the associated reversible dynamics in the discrete case, based on heuristic considerations on the integration by parts formulae, we provide a conjecture on the limiting process, which we believe to satisfy a Bessel SPDE as introduced in [EAZ18].

1 Introduction

1.1 Wetting model with δ-pinning

We recall the model considered in [DGZ05], which we shall refer to as the wetting model with δ-pinning. For all \( N \geq 1 \) and \( \beta \in \mathbb{R} \), we consider the measure \( \mathbb{P}^f_{\beta,N} \) on \( \mathbb{R}^N_+ \) defined by

\[
\mathbb{P}^f_{\beta,N}(d\phi) = \frac{1}{Z_{\beta,N}} \rho(\phi) \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left( d\phi_i 1_{[0,\infty)} + e^{\beta \delta_0}(d\phi_i) \right),
\]

where

\[
\rho(\phi) = \exp \left( - \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} V(\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i) \right).
\]
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with \( \phi(0) := 0 \), and where \( V : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{ \infty \} \) is such that \( \exp(-V) \) is continuous, \( V(0) < \infty \), and
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-V} < \infty.
\]

Here, for simplicity, we shall consider \( V(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R} \). Above, the superscript \( f \) stands for “free”, meaning that we do not constrain the value of \( x_N \).

We also recall the main theorem in [DGZ05]. Let \( H := L^2([0,1]) \). For all \( N \geq 1 \), we let \( \Phi_N : \mathbb{R}^N \to H \) denote the rescaling and interpolation map defined by
\[
\Phi_N(\phi)(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \phi_{\lfloor Ny \rfloor} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} (Ny - \lfloor Ny \rfloor) (\phi_{\lfloor Ny \rfloor + 1} - \phi_{\lfloor Ny \rfloor}), \quad y \in [0,1],
\]
where we use the convention that \( \phi_0 := 0 \) in the right-hand side above. We will denote by \( H_N \) the vector space \( \Phi_N(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset H \), which coincides with the space of continuous piecewise affine functions adapted to the partition \([\frac{i-1}{N}, \frac{i}{N})\), \( 1 \leq i \leq N \). We finally denote by \( P^f_{\beta,N} \) the image of the measure \( \mathbb{P}^f_{\beta,N} \) under \( \Phi_N \).

**Theorem 1.1 ([DGZ05]).** There exists \( \beta_c \in (0, \infty) \) such that:

- if \( \beta < \beta_c \) (subcritical case), then \( P^f_{\beta,N} \xrightarrow{N \to \infty} m \) in law, where \( m \) is the law of a Brownian meander on \([0,1]\)

- if \( \beta = \beta_c \) (critical case), then \( P^f_{\beta,N} \xrightarrow{N \to \infty} P^1_0 \) in law, where \( P^1_0 \) is the law of a reflecting Brownian motion started from \( 0 \) on \([0,1]\)

- if \( \beta > \beta_c \) (supercritical case), then \( P^f_{\beta,N} \) converges in law, as \( N \to \infty \), to the measure concentrated on the function identically equal to \( 0 \) on \([0,1]\).

One can ask whether it is possible to build a Markov process on \( \mathbb{R}^N_+ \) admitting the measure \( \mathbb{P}^f_{\beta,N} \) as a reversible measure. Due to the presence of Dirac masses in the definition of \( \mathbb{P}^f_{\beta,N} \), this problem is highly non-trivial. For instance, in the particular case \( N = 1 \) and \( \rho \equiv 1 \), a natural candidate is given by a sticky reflecting Brownian motion, which is a solution to
\[
\begin{cases}
    dX_t = \frac{1}{2} \ell_t^{\theta} + 1_{\{X_t > 0\}} dB_t \\
    1_{\{X_t = 0\}} dt = \frac{\alpha}{2} \ell_t^{\theta}.
\end{cases}
\]

This stochastic equation is known to possess a weak solution, but no strong solutions (see e.g. [EP14]). In [FGV16] and [GV18] some diffusions having \( \mathbb{P}^f_{\beta,N} \) as a reversible measure were constructed and studied using sophisticated Dirichlet form methods.
1.2 Wetting model with a shrinking strip

A variant of the above wetting model was introduced [DO19]. Namely, for all $N \geq 1$, and $a > 0$, one considers the measure $\mathbb{P}^f_{\varphi_a, N}$ on $\mathbb{R}_+^N$ defined by

$$\mathbb{P}^f_{\varphi_a, N}(d\phi) = \frac{1}{Z^f_{\varphi_a, N}} \rho(\phi) \prod_{i=1}^{N} e^{\varphi_a(\phi_i)} d\phi_i,$$

where $\rho$ is as before, and where, for all $a > 0$, $\varphi_a : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a smooth function supported in $[0, a]$. Thus, the measure $\mathbb{P}^f_{\beta, N}$ above, which had some atoms, has been replaced by a measure which is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}_+^N$. With this new version with a “strip”, one can however recover a scaling limit result as in the critical regime above.

Let us denote by $\mathbb{P}^f_{\varphi_a, N}$ the image of $\mathbb{P}^f_{\varphi_a, N}$ under the map $\Phi_N$. Then, $\mathbb{P}^f_{\varphi_a, N, N}$ converges in law, as $N \to \infty$, to the law of a reflecting Brownian motion on $[0, 1]$, whenever the pinning functions $(\varphi_a)_{a > 0}$ satisfy Condition (A) in [DO19] and $a_N = o(N^{-1/2})$ [DO19, Theorem 1.5]. Here and in the sequel, we fix such $(\varphi_a)_{a > 0}$ and a sequence $(a_N)_{N \geq 1}$. Our aim is to show a tightness result for the dynamics associated with $\mathbb{P}^f_{\varphi_a, N, N, N \geq 1}$.

The advantage of this new model with respect to the $\delta$-pinning measure is the fact that $\mathbb{P}^f_{\varphi_a, N}$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}_+^N$, so it is straightforward to construct an associated reversible Markov process. It suffices indeed to consider the corresponding gradient SDE

$$\begin{cases} 
X_i(t) = -\int_0^t \partial_i \mathcal{H}_N(X(s)) \, ds + \ell_i^t + \sqrt{2} W_i^t, & i = 1, \ldots, N \\
X_i(t) \geq 0, \quad d\ell_i^t \geq 0, \quad \int_0^\infty X_i(t) \, d\ell_i^t = 0, 
\end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $W^1, \ldots, W^N$ are independent Brownian motions, and with a random initial condition $X_0$ distributed as $\mathbb{P}^f_{\varphi_a, N}$. Above, we have denoted by $\mathcal{H}_N : \mathbb{R}_+^N \to \mathbb{R}$ the potential defined by:

$$e^{-\mathcal{H}_N(\phi)} = \rho(\phi) \prod_{i=1}^{N} e^{\varphi_a(\phi_i)}, \quad \phi \in \mathbb{R}_+^N.$$

1.3 Structure of this paper

It was conjectured in [DO19] that properly rescaled, the sequence of processes $(X_i(t))_{1 \leq i \leq N, t \geq 0}$ given by (1.2) is tight. In Section 2 of this paper we prove this claim. In Section 3 we write the associated integration by parts formula, which motivates a conjecture on the corresponding limit in law. In Section 4 we introduce a continuous version of the wetting model and prove that it converges towards the law of the modulus of a Brownian bridge. The advantage of considering such a model is that the associated convergence result is much easier to prove than
for its discrete counterparts. Moreover, the associated gradient dynamics possess an interesting interpretation in terms of an SPDE with reflection combined with a sticky mechanism, which we conjecture to converge to the same limit as the discrete dynamical model.

2 A tightness result

In Section 1.5 of [DO19], the first and third author considered the processes $(Y^N_t)_{t \geq 0}, N \geq 1$, where $Y^N_t = \Phi_N(X(N^2t))$, $(X(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is the reversible evolution in $\mathbb{R}^+_N$ for the pinning measure $\mathbb{P}^{f, \varphi_N}$ as given by (1.2), and $\Phi_N$ as in (1.1) above. Here and below we shall denote by $H$ the Hilbert space $L^2(0,1)$ endowed with the $L^2$ inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and we set $K := \{ h \in H, \ h \geq 0 \ \text{a.e.} \}$.

For all $\gamma > 0$, we introduce the space $H^{-\gamma}(0,1)$, completion of $H = L^2(0,1)$ w.r.t. the norm $\| \cdot \|_{-\gamma}$ defined by

$$\| f \|_{-\gamma}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2\gamma} |\langle f, e_n \rangle|^2, \quad f \in H,$$

where $e_n(\theta) := \sqrt{2} \sin(n\pi \theta)$, $\theta \in [0,1]$.

**Theorem 2.1.** For all $T > 0$, the family of processes $(Y^N_t)_{N \geq 1}$ is tight in $C([0,T], H^{-1}(0,1))$.

**Proof.** Let us denote by $(x^i_N)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ the image of the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^N$ under $\Phi_N$. It then follows that, for all $N \geq 1$, the process $(Y^N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ coincides in law with the reversible Markov process associated with the Dirichlet form $(\text{Dom}(E^N_{\varphi_N}), E^N_{\varphi_N})$ which is the closure of the form

$$E^N_{\varphi_N}(u,v) = N^2 \int_{K_N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle \nabla u(x), x^i_N \rangle \langle \nabla v(x), x^i_N \rangle \, d\mathbb{P}^f_N(x), \quad u, v \in C^1_b(H_N),$$

where $K_N := \{ u \in H_N, u \geq 0 \}$ and $\mathbb{P}^f_N$ is the image of the measure $\mathbb{P}^{f, \varphi_N}$ under $\Phi_N$. Then, for all $T > 0$ and $h \in H$, by the Lyons-Zheng decomposition, see e.g. Thm 5.7.1 in [FOT10], we have

$$\langle Y^N_t, h \rangle - \langle Y^N_0, h \rangle = \frac{1}{2} M^1_N - \frac{1}{2} (M^2_T - M^2_{T-t}) ,$$

where $M^i$ is an $H_N$-valued $(\mathcal{F}^i_t)_{t \geq 0}$ martingale, $\mathcal{F}^i_t = \sigma(Y^N_s, s \leq t)$ and $\mathcal{F}^i_t = \sigma(Y^N_{t-s}, s \leq t)$. More precisely, defining $\psi \in C^1_b(H_N)$ by $\psi := \langle h, \cdot \rangle$, by Theorem 5.7.1 in [FOT10], we have the above decomposition with

$$M^1_N := M^1_N, \quad M^2_N(\omega) := M^2_N(r_T \omega),$$
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where \( r_T \) is the time-reversing operator on the canonical space \( \Omega := C([0, T], K_N) \):

\[
(r_T \omega)_t = \omega_{T-t}, \quad \omega \in \Omega, \ t \in [0, T].
\]

Moreover, \( M^{[\psi]} \) denotes the martingale additive functional appearing in the Fukushima decomposition of the continuous additive functional (CAF) given by

\[
A_t^{[\psi]} := \psi(Y^N_t) - \psi(Y^N_0) = \langle Y^N_t, h \rangle - \langle Y^N_0, h \rangle, \quad t \geq 0,
\]

see Theorem 5.2.2. Hence, the quadratic variation of the martingale \( M^1 \) and \( M^2 \) is given by the sharp bracket \( \langle M^{[\psi]} \rangle_t \) of the martingale additive functional \( M^{[\psi]} \). The latter is a positive continuous additive functional with Revuz measure \( \mu_\psi \) satisfying

\[
\int_{K} f(x) \, d\mu_\psi(x) = 2 \mathcal{E}^f_{\varphi_{a,N},N}(\varphi f, \varphi) - \mathcal{E}^f_{\varphi_{a,N},N}(\varphi^2, f),
\]

for all \( f \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{E}^f_{\varphi_{a,N},N}) \), see Theorem 5.2.3 in [FOT10]. But, for all \( f \in C^1_b(H_N) \), by the Leibniz rule, and recalling that \( \nabla \psi = h \), we have

\[
2 \mathcal{E}^f_{\varphi_{a,N},N}(\psi f, \psi) - \mathcal{E}^f_{\varphi_{a,N},N}(\psi^2, f) = 2N^2 \int_K f(x) \sum_{k=1}^N \langle h, x_i^N \rangle^2 \mathcal{P}^f_{\varphi_{a,N},N}(dx).
\]

Therefore, for all \( f \in C^1_b(H_N) \), it holds

\[
\int_K f(x) \, d\mu_\psi(dx) = \int_K f(x) \left( 2N^2 \sum_{k=1}^N \langle h, x_i^N \rangle^2 \right) \mathcal{P}^f_{\varphi_{a,N},N}(dx).
\]

Therefore, we deduce that

\[
\mu_\psi(dx) = \left( 2N^2 \sum_{k=1}^N \langle h, x_i^N \rangle^2 \right) \mathcal{P}^f_{\varphi_{a,N},N}(dx).
\]

Hence, by the Revuz correspondence, we deduce the equality

\[
\langle M^{[\psi]} \rangle_t = \left( 2N^2 \sum_{k=1}^N \langle h, x_i^N \rangle^2 \right) t, \quad t \geq 0
\]

in the sense of additive functionals, which implies that, for all \( i = 1, 2 \)

\[
\langle M^i \rangle_t = 2N^2 \sum_{k=1}^N \langle h, x_i^N \rangle^2 t, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{2.1}
\]
But recall that, for all $k = 1, \ldots, N$, $x^N_k = \Phi_N(e_k)$, where $(e_1, \ldots, e_N)$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^N$. In words, $x^N_k$ is the function in $H_N$ which takes the value $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$ at the point $k/N$, and the value 0 at the points $j/N$, $j \neq k$. Note in particular that

$$0 \leq x^N_k \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} 1_{[k/N, (k+1)/N]},$$

so that we have the bound $\|x^N_k\|^2 \leq 2N^{-2}$. Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality followed by the latter bound in (2.1), we obtain

$$\langle M^t \rangle_t \leq 4\|h\|^2 t.$$

Hence, by the BDG inequality, for all $p \geq 1$, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ (depending only on $p$) such that, for all $t \geq s \geq 0$

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \left[ (Y^N_t - Y^N_s, h)^p \right] \right)^{1/p} \leq C_p(t - s)^{1/2} \|h\|$$

The above being true for any $h \in H$, we deduce that, for all $p \geq 2$

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \|Y^N_t - Y^N_s\|_{H^{-1}(0,1)}^p \right] \right)^{1/p} = \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (Y^N_t - Y^N_s, e_k)^2 k^{-2} \right)^{p/2} \right] \right)^{1/p} \leq \zeta(2)^{1 - 1/p} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (Y^N_t - Y^N_s, e_k)^{p} k^{-2} \right] \right)^{1/p}$$

$$\leq \zeta(2)^{1/2} C_p(t - s)^{1/2} \leq C'_p(t - s)^{1/2},$$

where we applied Jensen’s inequality to obtain the second line. We have thus obtained, for all $p \geq 2$, the following bound holding uniformly in $t, s \in [0, T]$

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \|Y^N_t - Y^N_s\|_{H^{-1}(0,1)}^p \right] \right)^{1/p} \leq C'_p |t - s|^{1/2}.$$ 

Moreover, for all $t \geq 0$, $Y^N_t \overset{d}{=} P^f_{\varphi_{N},N}$ and, by Theorem 1.5 in [DO19], $P^f_{\varphi_{N},N} \overset{N \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} P^1_0$ in law, where $P^1_0$ is the law of a reflecting Brownian bridge started from 0 on $[0, 1]$. Hence the sequence of processes $(Y^N_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, N \geq 1,$ is tight in $C([0, T], H^{-1}(0,1))$.

3 An integration by parts formula and conjecture for the scaling limit

For any differentiable function $f : \mathbb{R}_+^N \to \mathbb{R}$ and any $h \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we denote by $\partial_h f$ the derivative of $f$ in the direction $h$

$$\partial_h f(\phi) := \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{f(\phi + \epsilon h) - f(\phi)}{\epsilon}, \quad \phi \in \mathbb{R}_+^N.$$
We then have the following integration by parts formula (IbPF) for the measure $\mathbb{P}_{\varphi_{a,N}}^f$ on $\mathbb{R}_+^N$

**Proposition 3.1.** For all $f \in C^1_b(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \partial_h f(\phi) \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_{a,N}}^f(d\phi) = \sum_{i=1}^N h_i \left( \int_0^a e^{\varphi_a(b)} \left( \frac{d}{db} \sigma_i^N(f|b) \right) db - \sigma_i^N(f|a) \right) - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} f(\phi) \sum_{i=1}^N \phi_i (h_{i+1} + h_{i-1} - 2h_i) \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_{a,N}}^f(d\phi),
$$

where $\phi_0 = \phi_{N+1} = 0$ and for all $b \geq 0$ and $i = 1, \ldots, N$

$$
\sigma_i^N(f|b) := e^{-\varphi_a(b)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} f(x) \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_{a,N}}^f(x_i \in db) \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_{a,N}}^f \left( d\phi_i = b \right) = \frac{1}{Z_{\varphi_{a,N}}^i} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} f(\phi) \rho(\phi) \delta_b(d\phi_i) \prod_{n \neq i} e^{\varphi_a(\phi_n)} d\phi_n.
$$

Heuristically, the measures $\sigma_i^N(d\phi|b)$ are meant to be a discrete analog of the measures $\Sigma_r^1(dX|b)$, $r \in (0,1), b \geq 0$, introduced in [EAZ18], see Def. 3.4 therein. In particular we remark some resemblance of the above IbPF with formula (4.4) of [EAZ18]. Indeed, the first term in the right-hand side of (3.1) only involves values of $\sigma_i^N(d\phi|b)$ for $b$ very close to 0, and is somewhat reminiscent of the term

$$
\int_0^1 dr_r \frac{d^2}{db^2} \Sigma_r^1(dX|b) \bigg|_{b=0}
$$

in the right-hand side of (4.4) in [EAZ18].

**Proof.** Recalling the definition of $\mathbb{P}_{\varphi_{a,N}}^f$, and integrating by parts with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}_+^N$, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \partial_h f(\phi) \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_{a,N}}^f(d\phi) = -\frac{1}{Z_{\varphi_{a,N}}^i} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} f(\phi) \partial_h \rho(\phi) \prod_{n=1}^N e^{\varphi_a(\phi_n)} d\phi_n
$$

$$
- \sum_{i=1}^N h_i \frac{1}{Z_{\varphi_{a,N}}^i} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} f(\phi) \rho(\phi) e^{\varphi_a(0)} \delta_0(d\phi_i) \prod_{n \neq i}^N e^{\varphi_a(\phi_n)} d\phi_n
$$

$$
- \sum_{i=1}^N h_i \frac{1}{Z_{\varphi_{a,N}}^i} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} f(\phi) \rho(\phi) \varphi_a(\phi_i) \prod_{n=1}^N e^{\varphi_a(\phi_n)} dx_n.
$$

We recognize in the first term of the right-hand side above the quantity

$$
- \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} f(\phi) \sum_{i=1}^N (h_{i+1} + h_{i-1} - 2h_i) \phi_i \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_{a,N}}^f(d\phi)
$$
On the other hand, the second term can be rewritten
\[- \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_i e^{\varphi_i(0)} \sigma_i^N(f|0).\]

Finally, the third term can be rewritten
\[- \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_i \int_0^a \frac{d}{db} \left( e^{\varphi_i(b)} \right) \sigma_i^N(f|b) \, db,\]
or, after an integration by parts:
\[- \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_i \left\{ \sigma_i^N(f|a) - e^{\varphi_i(0)} \sigma_i^N(f|0) - \int_0^a e^{\varphi_i(b)} \frac{d}{db} \left( \sigma_i^N(f|b) \right) \, db \right\}.\]

Adding up all three quantities, and noting the cancellation of
\[- \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_i e^{\varphi_i(0)} \sigma_i^N(f|0),\]
we obtain the claim.

Thanks to the above discrete IbPF, we obtain an IbPF for the rescaled wetting dynamics on the space of paths $H$. For convenience of the presentation, and with abuse of notation, we assume here that $P^f_{\varphi,a,N}$ is the image of the measure $P^f_{\varphi,a,N}$ under $\tilde{\Phi}_N$, the cadlag piecewise constant interpolation modification of $\Phi_N$: $\tilde{\Phi}_N(\phi)(y) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \phi([ny])$. We denote by $\Pi_N : H \to \tilde{H}_N$ the orthogonal projection of $H$ onto the space $\tilde{H}_N = \tilde{\Phi}_N(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proposition 3.2. For all $\Psi \in C^1_b(H)$ and $h \in C^2_c(0,1)$, we have
\[
\int_H \partial_{\Pi_N h} \Psi(\zeta) \, P^f_{\varphi,a,N}(d\zeta) = \int_0^1 (\Pi_N h)_{\lfloor ny \rfloor} D_N^{h}(y) \, dy - \int_H \Theta_N^h(\zeta) \Psi(\zeta) \, P^f_{\varphi,a,N}(d\zeta),
\]

where
\[
D_N^h(y) = N^{3/2} \left( \int_0^a \frac{d}{db} \sigma_i^{N,\lfloor ny \rfloor} (\Psi \circ \Phi_N|b) \, db - \sigma_i^{N,\lfloor ny \rfloor} (\Psi \circ \Phi_N|a) \right)
\]
and for all $\zeta \in H$
\[
\Theta_N^h(\zeta) = N^2 \int_0^1 \zeta_{\lfloor ny \rfloor} \Delta_N^h(y) \, dy
\]
for
\[
\Delta_N^h(y) = (\Pi_N h)_{\lfloor ny \rfloor+1} 1_{\{y \leq 1-\frac{1}{N}\}} + (\Pi_N h)_{\lfloor ny \rfloor-1} - 2(\Pi_N h)_{\lfloor ny \rfloor}.
\]
Remark 3.3. Note that, if we choose $\varphi_a$ and $a = a_N$ as before so that $P_{\varphi_a, N}^f \to P_0^1$, then, reasoning as in [Zam17, Chap. 6.6], we deduce that

$$\int_H \partial \Pi_{h, \psi} \Psi(\zeta) P_{\varphi_a, N}^f (d\zeta) \to \int_H \partial h \Psi(\zeta) P_0^1 (d\zeta)$$

and

$$\int_H \Theta_{h, \psi} \Psi(\zeta) P_{\varphi_a, N}^f (d\zeta) \to \int_H \int_0^1 \zeta h'' \, dr \, \Psi(\zeta) P_0^1 (d\zeta).$$

Therefore, in view of formula (4.4) in [EAZ18], it would be tempting to conjecture that the first term in the right-hand side of (3.2) converges as $N \to \infty$ to

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 h_r \frac{d^2}{da^2} \Sigma_r(\Phi(X) \mid a) \bigg|_{a=0} \, dr$$

where, for all $a \geq 0$,

$$\Sigma_r(\Phi \mid a) := p_r^1(a) P_0^1[dX \mid X_r = a],$$

with $p_r^1(a) := \sqrt{\frac{r}{\pi}} \exp \left( -\frac{a^2}{2r} \right)$ denoting the density of $X_r$ when $X$ is a reflecting Brownian motion started from 0.

3.1 Conjecture for the scaling limit

Above we have shown the tightness of the family of processes $(Y_{tN})_{t \in [0,T]}$, $N \geq 1$. We make the following conjecture for the corresponding, expected, limit in law. Let us denote by $(u_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the reversible Markov process associated with the Dirichlet form $\mathcal{E}$ generated by the bilinear form

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) := \frac{1}{2} \int \langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle d\mu, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{F}C^\infty_b(K),$$

where $\mu$ denotes the law, on $K$, of a reflecting Brownian motion on $[0, 1]$. Such a process can be constructed using exactly the same techniques as in Section 5 of [EAZ18] for the case of the modulus of a Brownian bridge. We consider the process $(u_t)_{t \geq 0}$ started from equilibrium, i.e. $u_0 \overset{(d)}{=} \mu$. Moreover, arguing as in Theorem 5.7 of [EAZ18], one can show that $(u_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies an equation of the form:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{4} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \rho_\epsilon''(u) + \xi,$$

where $\xi$ is a space-time white noise on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times [0, 1]$, and $(\rho_\epsilon)_{\epsilon > 0}$ is an appropriate approximation of the Dirac measure $\delta_0$.

Conjecture 3.4. For all $T > 0$, as $N \to \infty$, $(Y_{tN})_{t \in [0,T]}$ converges in law in $C([0,T], H^{-1}(0,1))$ to the process $(u_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$. 
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A natural route to prove the above conjecture would be to show that, after rescaling, the \( \text{IbPF} \) of Prop. 3.1 above converges to the \( \text{IbPF} \) (4.4) of [EAZ18] for the law of the reflecting Brownian motion, and use the same techniques as in [Zam04] to deduce therefrom the convergence of the associated evolutions. Unfortunately, in spite of some similarities between the two \( \text{IbPF} \), it is not clear at all that the former converges to the latter. Another problem that arises is related with the distributional nature of the last term appearing in these \( \text{IbPF} \). Finally, an important feature exploited in [Zam04] is the uniform continuity of the Markov semi-groups. In our case, this feature is non-trivial, and would in particular imply the strong Feller property for the Markov semigroup associated with \( (u_t)_{t \geq 0} \), which is an open problem.

4 A wetting model in the continuum

In this section we introduce an analog of the wetting model in the continuum, which corresponds to the law of a Brownian meander tilted by a functional of its local times.

4.1 Motivation: wetting model and local times

Recall that, in the discrete setting described above, for the case of a wetting model with a strip, we have

\[
\mathbb{P}_{\varphi_a,N}^f(d\phi) = \frac{1}{Z_{\varphi_a,N}^+} \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \varphi_a(\phi_i) \right) \mathbb{P}_N^+(d\phi),
\]

where \( \mathbb{P}_N^+ \) is the law of a standard Gaussian walk on \( \mathbb{R}^N \) conditioned to remain nonnegative, and \( Z_{\varphi_a,N}^+ \) is a normalisation constant. For all \( a > 0 \), \( \varphi_a \) is a smooth function. For the sake of simplicity, consider however

\[
\varphi_a = \beta_a 1_{[0,a]},
\]

where the sequence \( (\beta_a)_{a>0} \) is such that

\[
ae^{\beta_a} \to e^{\beta_0}.
\]

Note that this condition ensures that the following convergence of measures holds in the weak sense on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \):

\[
e^{\varphi_a(x)} dx \quad \xrightarrow{a \to 0} \quad e^{\beta_0} \delta_0(dx) + dx.
\]

With the ansatz (4.1), we can rewrite the wetting measure with strip as

\[
\mathbb{P}_{\varphi_a,N}^f(d\phi) = \frac{1}{Z_{\varphi_a,N}^+} \exp \left( \beta_a \sum_{i=1}^N 1_{[0,a]}(\phi_i) \right) \mathbb{P}_N^+(d\phi).
\]

Thus, the wetting measure corresponds to the measure \( \mathbb{P}_N^+ \) tilted by the local time in the strip \([0,a]\) of the random walk. We could hope that such a description be stable under taking the
scaling limit: in the continuum, the law $P^1_0$ of a reflected Brownian motion started from 0 would correspond to the law $m$ of a Brownian meander tilted by some appropriate functional of its continuous local time process. As such, this claim is false, since the probability measures $P^1_0$ is not absolutely continuous with respect to $m$. However, we may ask whether

$$P^1_0(dX) = \lim_{\eta \to 0} \exp(\Phi_\eta(L(X))) m(dX), \quad (4.2)$$

where $L(X) = (L^0_t(X))_{b \geq 0, t \geq 0}$ is the local time process associated with $(X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$, when $X \overset{d}{=} m$, and $\Phi_\eta, \eta > 0$, are appropriate functionals on $C([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$. Note that we could not hope to choose $\Phi_\eta$ to depend solely on $L^0_0(X)$, the local time at 0 of $X$, since this identically vanishes when $X \overset{d}{=} m$: we need instead to make it depend on the process $L^\eta(X)$ for $\eta$ close to 0.

### 4.2 Static approximation result

To obtain a representation of the form (4.2), we shall proceed as follows. Recall that, for all $a \geq 0$, $P^3_a$ denotes the law of a 3-dimensional Bessel process started from $a$. Recall that $P^3_0$ and $m$ are mutually absolutely continuous on $C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, under $P^3_a$, the canonical process $(X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ satisfies the SDE

$$X_t = a + \int_0^t \frac{ds}{X_s} + B_t.$$

On the other hand, under $P^1_a$, the canonical process satisfies the equation

$$X_t = a + \frac{1}{2} L^0_t(X), + B_t.$$

Hence, the idea is to approximate the latter equation by an SDE of the form

$$X^\eta_t = a + \int_0^t f_\eta(X_s) \, ds + B_t,$$

where $f_\eta$ is a smooth function such that $\int_0^t f_\eta(X_s) \, ds$ approximates $\frac{1}{2} L^0_t(X)$, as $\eta \to 0$. Then, for any fixed $\eta > 0$, one could apply Girsanov’s theorem to obtain

$$P^{1,\eta}_a(dX) = \exp(\Phi_\eta(L(X))) P^3_a(dX),$$

where $P^{1,\eta}_a$ is the law of $X^\eta$, and $\Phi_\eta$ is some appropriate functional. This strategy is implemented in the next theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** The convergence $P^{1,\eta}_a \overset{\eta \to 0}{\longrightarrow} P^1_a$ holds in the sense of weak topology for probability measures on $C([0,1])$, where, for all $\eta > 0$

$$P^{1,\eta}_a(dX) = \frac{X_1 \wedge \eta}{X_1} \frac{a}{a \wedge \eta} \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} L^\eta_1 \right) P^3_a(dX).$$
Here, for a 3-Bessel process $X$, $(L^b_t)_{b \geq 0, t \geq 0}$ denotes the semimartingale local time process associated with $X$. In particular $P^{1,\eta}_0 \xrightarrow{\eta \to 0} P^1_0$, where

$$P^{1,\eta}_0(dX) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}(X_1 \wedge \eta) \exp \left( \frac{1}{2\eta} L^\eta_1 \right) m(dX),$$

and where $m$ is the law of a Brownian meander on $[0, 1]$.

**Proof.** The second claim follows from the first one, since, by Imhof’s relation (see Exercise 4.18 in [RY13, Chapter XII]), we have

$$P^3_0(dX) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}X_1 m(dX).$$

So it suffices to prove the first claim. Under $P^3_a$, the canonical process on $C([0, 1])$ satisfies the SDE

$$X_t = a + \int_0^t \frac{1}{X_s} ds + B_t.$$ 

For all $\eta > 0$, denote by $P^{1,\eta}_a$ the law, on $C([0, 1])$, of the unique strong solution to the SDE

$$X_t = a + \int_0^t \frac{1_{\{X_s \leq \eta\}}}{X_s} ds + B_t. \quad (4.3)$$

Note that the latter SDE admits a unique strong solution since the function $x \mapsto \frac{1_{\{x \leq \eta\}}}{x}$ is non-increasing on $\mathbb{R}_+$. We will first prove that

$$P^{1,\eta}_a = \frac{X_1 \wedge \eta}{X_1} a \wedge \eta \exp \left( \frac{1}{2\eta} L^\eta_1 \right) P^3_a(dX), \quad (4.4)$$

and then we will show that

$$P^1_a(dX) = \lim_{\eta \to 0} P^{1,\eta}_a. \quad (4.5)$$

This will yield the claim.

Equality (4.4) is proven using Girsanov’s Theorem. Indeed, consider the local martingale

$$M_t = -\int_0^t \frac{1_{\{X_s > \eta\}}}{X_s} dB_s, \quad t \geq 0.$$ 

The corresponding exponential local martingale is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(M)_t = \exp \left( M_t - \frac{1}{2} (M, M)_t \right), \quad t \geq 0.$$
Now, we have
\[ M_t - \frac{1}{2} (M, M)_t = -\int_0^t \frac{1_{\{X_s > \eta\}}}{X_s} dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{1_{\{X_s > \eta\}}}{X_s^2} \, ds. \]
We intend to re-express this quantity without stochastic integral. To do so, consider the function
\[ F(x) := \log \left( \frac{x \wedge \eta}{x} \right), \quad x > 0. \]
\( F \) is the difference of two convex functions on \( \mathbb{R}_+^* \). Therefore, by Itô-Tanaka’s formula, we have
\[ F(X_t) = F(a) + \int_0^t F'(X_s) \, dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F''(dx) L_t^x. \]
Since
\[ F'(x) = -\frac{1_{\{x > \eta\}}}{x}, \quad x > 0, \]
and
\[ F''(dx) = \frac{1_{\{x > \eta\}}}{x^2} \, dx - \frac{1}{\eta} \delta_\eta(dx), \]
under the law \( P^a_\eta \), the canonical process thus satisfies
\[ \log \left( \frac{X_t \wedge \eta}{X_t} \right) = \log \left( \frac{a \wedge \eta}{a} \right) - \int_0^t \frac{1_{\{X_s > \eta\}}}{X_s} \left( \frac{1}{X_s} \, ds + dB_s \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{1_{\{X_s > \eta\}}}{X_s^2} \, ds - \frac{1}{2 \eta} L_t^\eta, \]
whence we obtain
\[ -\int_0^t \frac{1_{\{X_s > \eta\}}}{X_s} dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{1_{\{X_s > \eta\}}}{X_s^2} \, ds = \log \left( \frac{X_t \wedge \eta}{X_t} \frac{a}{a \wedge \eta} \right) + \frac{1}{2 \eta} L_t^\eta. \]
Therefore
\[ \mathcal{E}(M)_t = \frac{X_t \wedge \eta}{X_t} \frac{a}{a \wedge \eta} \exp \left( \frac{1}{2 \eta} L_t^\eta \right). \]
In particular, for all \( t \in [0, 1] \), we have the bound
\[ \mathcal{E}(M)_t \leq \frac{a}{a \wedge \eta} \exp \left( \frac{1}{2 \eta} L_t^\eta \right), \]
which, since \( L_t^\eta \) has finite exponential moments, shows that \( (\mathcal{E}(M)_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \) is a bona fide martingale. Therefore, by Girsanov’s theorem, under the probability law \( \mathcal{E}(M)_1 P^a_\eta \) on \( C([0, 1]) \), the canonical process satisfies (4.3). By weak uniqueness of this SDE, we deduce that equality (4.4) holds.

There remains to establish the convergence (4.5). To do so, for all \( \eta > 0 \), we denote by \( (X_t^\eta)_{t \geq 0} \) the unique strong solution of the SDE (4.3). By comparison, a.s., for all \( \eta, \tilde{\eta} > 0 \), we have \( X_t^\eta \leq X_t^{\tilde{\eta}} \). Since moreover \( X_t^\eta \geq 0 \), we deduce the existence of a limiting process \( X_t \geq 0 \).
to which the sequence \( (X_\eta)_{\eta>0} \) converges a.s. pointwise on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) from above as \( \eta \to 0 \). There remains to identify \( X \). To do so, we set

\[
Z_t := X_t^2, \quad t \geq 0
\]

and, for all \( \eta > 0 \)

\[
Z_t^\eta := (X_t^\eta)^2, \quad t \geq 0.
\]

Then, by Itô’s lemma

\[
Z_t^\eta = a^2 + 2 \int_0^t \sqrt{Z_s^\eta} \, dB_s + 2 \int_0^t 1_{\{Z_s^\eta \leq \eta^2\}} + t. \tag{4.6}
\]

From this equation, we deduce that the sequence of probability measures \( (P^1_{\eta})_{\eta>0} \) is tight on \( C([0,1]) \). Indeed, by (4.6), for all \( 0 \leq s < t \leq 1 \)

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ |Z_t^\eta - Z_s^\eta|^4 \right] \leq C \left( \left( \int_s^t \mathbb{E}(Z_u^\eta) \, du \right)^2 + (t-s)^2 \right),
\]

where \( C > 0 \) is some universal constant. Since, by comparison, \( Z^\eta \leq Z^\infty \), where \( Z^\infty \) is a 3-dimensional squared Bessel process, we deduce that

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ |Z_t^\eta - Z_s^\eta|^4 \right] \leq C'(t-s)^2,
\]

for some (other) universal constant \( C' > 0 \), whence

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ |X_t^\eta - X_s^\eta|^8 \right] \leq C'(t-s)^2,
\]

and the claimed tightness follows. On the other hand, by the comparison theorem (3.7) in [RY13, Chapter IX], we deduce from (4.6) that, a.s., for all \( \eta > 0 \), \( Z^\eta \geq Z^0 \), where \( Z^0 \) is the unique strong solution of

\[
Z_t^0 = a^2 + 2 \int_0^t \sqrt{Z_s^0} \, dB_s + t.
\]

In particular, sending \( \eta \to 0 \), we deduce that, a.s., \( Z \geq Z^0 \). Note that \( Z^0 \) is a one-dimensional squared Bessel process. Therefore, almost-surely, \( Z_t \geq Z_t^0 > 0 \) for a.e. \( t \geq 0 \). Hence, a.s., for a.e. \( t \geq 0 \)

\[
1_{\{Z_t^\eta \leq \eta^2\}} \xrightarrow{\eta \to 0} 0.
\]

Hence, by dominated convergence, letting \( \eta \to 0 \) in (4.6), we deduce that \( Z \) satisfies the SDE

\[
Z_t = a^2 + 2 \int_0^t \sqrt{Z_s} \, dB_s + t
\]

By strong uniqueness of this SDE, we deduce that \( Z = Z^0 \). Hence, in particular, \( X \overset{(d)}{=} P^1_{a} \). This uniquely determines the limit, as \( \eta \to 0 \) of the sequence \( (P^1_{a,\eta})_{\eta>0} \). The convergence (4.5) follows. \( \square \)
4.3 The corresponding dynamics

Note that, for all \( \eta > 0 \), \( P_{0}^{1,\eta} \) is the weak limit, as \( \epsilon \to 0 \), of the approximating measures

\[
P_{0}^{1,\eta,\epsilon} = \frac{1}{Z_{\eta,\epsilon}} \frac{X_{1} \land \eta}{X_{1}} \frac{a}{a \land \eta} \exp \left( \frac{1}{2 \eta} \int_{0}^{1} \rho_{\epsilon}(X_{s} - \eta) \right) P_{0}^{3}(dX),
\]

where \( Z_{\eta,\epsilon} \) is a normalization constant, and \( \rho_{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \rho(\frac{x}{\epsilon}) \), with \( \rho \) a smooth, even function supported in \([-1, 1]\) such that \( \rho \geq 0 \), \( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho = 1 \), \( \rho' \leq 0 \) on \( \mathbb{R}_{+} \).

Henceforth, we fix \( \eta, \epsilon > 0 \). We recall the definition \( H := L^{2}([0, 1]) \), and we set

\[
K := \{ f \in L^{2}([0, 1]), f \geq 0 \}.
\]

Let \( \mathcal{F}C^\infty_{b}(H) \) denote the space of all functionals \( F : H \to \mathbb{R} \) of the form

\[
F(z) = \psi(\langle l_{1}, z \rangle, \ldots, \langle l_{m}, z \rangle), \quad z \in H,
\]

with \( m \in \mathbb{N}, \psi \in C^\infty_{b}(\mathbb{R}^{m}) \), and \( l_{1}, \ldots, l_{m} \in \text{Span}\{e_{k}, k \geq 1\} \). We also define:

\[
\mathcal{F}C^\infty_{b}(K) := \{ F \mid_{K} : F \in \mathcal{F}C^\infty_{b}(H) \}.
\]

Moreover, for \( f \in \mathcal{F}C^\infty_{b}(K) \) of the form \( f = F \mid_{K} \), with \( F \in \mathcal{F}C^\infty_{b}(H) \), we define \( \nabla f : K \to H \) by

\[
\nabla f(z) = \nabla F(z), \quad z \in K,
\]

where this definition does not depend on the choice of \( F \). With these definitions at hand, we consider the form \( \mathcal{E}^{1,\eta,\epsilon} \) defined on \( \mathcal{F}C^\infty_{b}(K) \) as follows:

\[
\mathcal{E}^{1,\eta,\epsilon}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{K} \nabla u(x). \nabla v(x) \ dP_{0}^{1,\eta,\epsilon}(x), \quad u, v \in \mathcal{F}C^\infty_{b}(K).
\]

By Theorems 5 and 6 in [Zam02], the form \( (\mathcal{F}C^\infty_{b}(K), \mathcal{E}^{1,\eta,\epsilon}) \) is closable, and it holds that its closure \( (\text{Dom}(\mathcal{E}^{1,\eta,\epsilon}), \mathcal{E}^{1,\eta,\epsilon}) \) is a quasi-regular Dirichlet form. Moreover, the \( K \)-valued Markov process \( (u_{t}^{\eta,\epsilon})_{t \geq 0} \) associated with \( \mathcal{E}^{1,\eta,\epsilon} \) satisfies the following Nualart-Pardoux type equation:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial u^{\eta,\epsilon}}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u^{\eta,\epsilon}}{\partial x^{2}} + \xi + \zeta + \frac{1}{4 \eta} \rho'_{\epsilon}(u^{\eta,\epsilon} - \eta), \\
u^{\eta,\epsilon} \geq 0, \quad d\zeta \geq 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+} \times [0, 1]} u^{\eta,\epsilon} \ d\zeta = 0.
\end{aligned}
\]

Note that, for all \( \epsilon \in (0, \eta) \), the term \( \rho'_{\epsilon}(u^{\eta,\epsilon} - \eta) \) is zero, except when \( |u^{\eta,\epsilon} - \eta| \leq \epsilon \). Moreover, it is positive when \( \eta - \epsilon \leq u^{\eta,\epsilon} \leq \eta \), and negative when \( \eta \leq u^{\eta,\epsilon} \leq \eta + \epsilon \). Thus, equation (4.8) could be interpreted as an SPDE with reflection at 0 and stickiness at \( \eta \).
For all $\eta, \epsilon > 0$, we consider the $K$-valued stationary Markov process $(u^{\eta,\epsilon}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ associated with the Dirichlet form $\mathcal{E}^{1,\eta,\epsilon}$, and started from equilibrium: $u^{\eta,\epsilon}_0 \overset{(d)}{=} P^{1,\eta,\epsilon}_0$. Recall that $H^{-1}(0,1)$ denotes the completion of $H = L^2(0,1)$ w.r.t. the norm
\[ \|f\|_{L^2}^2 := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} |\langle f, e_n \rangle|^2, \]
where $e_n(\theta) := \sqrt{2} \sin(n\pi \theta)$, $\theta \in [0,1]$.

**Conjecture 4.2.** For all $T > 0$, $(u^{\eta,\epsilon}_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ converges weakly to $(u_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ in $C([0,T], H^{-1}(0,1))$ as we send $\epsilon$, then $\eta$ to 0, where $u$ is the Markov process considered in Section 3.1 above.

Note that, since $P^{1,\eta,\epsilon}_0$ converges to $P^1_0$ as we send $\epsilon$, then $\eta$ to 0, one can obtain the tightness of the whole process using the Lyons-Zheng argument, as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 above. To obtain the conjecture 4.2, the main problem is therefore to identify the limit in probability of $u^{\eta,\epsilon}$ as $\epsilon, \eta \to 0$. For the same reasons as mentioned in Section 3.1 above this question is, for now, open.
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