CALABI-YAU PROPERTIES OF RIBBON GRAPH ORDERS
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Abstract. We pursue the order-theoretic approach to ribbon graphs initiated by Kauer and Roggenkamp. We show that any ribbon graph order is twisted 1-Calabi-Yau in general and 1-Calabi-Yau if the ribbon graph is bipartite. We derive analogous results for anti-commutative versions of Brauer graph algebras.

The Calabi-Yau property of a triangulated category was introduced by Kontsevich. It has been studied extensively in representation theory, particularly motivated by its connection to cluster theory (see Keller’s survey [Kel08]).

In many recent works, triangulated Calabi-Yau categories were associated to triangulated surfaces (see [Lad17] and references therein). In homological mirror symmetry, Haiden, Katzarkov and Kontsevich showed that the partially wrapped Fukaya category of a marked surface with boundary is equivalent to the derived category of a graded finite-dimensional gentle algebra [HKK17]. Vice versa, several authors constructed marked surfaces from finite-dimensional as well as infinite-dimensional gentle algebras ([LP18, OPS18] respectively [PPP18]).

Earlier, Kauer and Roggenkamp associated to any ribbon graph an order which is typically a non-commutative non-Artinian ring [KR01]. Prototypes of their construction were integral orders of finite groups with cyclic defect. Since any ribbon graph embeds in a closed, oriented surface, such orders have some geometric flavour.

In this paper, we study a quiver-theoretic version of the construction by Kauer and Roggenkamp which we call ribbon graph orders. Like the nodal curve singularity, any ribbon graph order is infinite-dimensional and has infinite global dimension. By work of Burban and Drozd [BD04], any ribbon graph order is derived-tame.

Similar to the fact that any surface with boundary is some cut of some closed surface, any finite-dimensional gentle algebra is some quotient of some ribbon graph order. Presumably, there is a similar connection between the derived representation theory of a ribbon graph order and the symplectic geometry of the closed surface of its ribbon graph as in the finite-dimensional setup [LP18 Remark 3.5.5].

This is our motivation to study the question whether a ribbon graph order is symmetric, or, equivalently, its derived category has a certain 1-Calabi-Yau property. An analogous problem was solved by Ladhani for finite-dimensional Jacobian algebras of triangulated closed surfaces [Lad12].

Since ribbon graph orders are equicharacteristic versions of some group orders, we expected them to be symmetric as well. It turns out that this is not true in general.
In Section 1 of this paper, we recall the notion of a complete gentle quiver $(Q,I)$. The arrow ideal completion $\Lambda$ of its path algebra $\mathbb{K}Q/I$ is a ribbon graph order. We recall that the derived category $D^-(\text{mod} \Lambda)$ admits a relative Serre functor $S_\Lambda$, which is the composition of the derived Nakayama functor $\nu_\Lambda$ and the shift $[1]$.

In Section 2 we prove the main result of this paper which is an explicit description of the functor $\nu_\Lambda$. It can be summarized as follows:

**Theorem A** (Corollary 2.7). The functor $\nu_\Lambda$ is induced by some involution $\varepsilon^*$ of the order $\Lambda$. So there are isomorphisms of functors $S_\Lambda \cong (\_)_\varepsilon^* \circ [1]$ and $S_\Lambda^2 \cong [2]$.

In this sense, the order $\Lambda$ is twisted 1-Calabi-Yau and fractionally 2-Calabi-Yau.

In Section 3 we study when the ribbon graph order $\Lambda$ is symmetric. These considerations apply also to certain finite-dimensional quotients of $\Lambda$. Such a quotient, which we will denote by $A$, can be obtained from a Brauer graph algebra by replacing all commutativity relations with anti-commutative ones.

Using the first result we derive its analogue for the twisted Brauer graph algebra $A$:

**Corollary B** (Theorem 3.11(a)). The functor $\nu_\Lambda$ is induced by some involution $\varepsilon$ of the algebra $A$. So there are isomorphisms $S_A \cong (\_)_\varepsilon$ and $S_A^2 \cong \text{Id}$.

In particular, the perfect derived category of $A$ is twisted 0-Calabi-Yau and fractionally $\frac{1}{2}$-Calabi-Yau.

Finally, we give several characterizations of symmetric ribbon graph orders:

**Theorem C** (Theorem 3.19). The following conditions are equivalent:

(a) The order $\Lambda$ is symmetric, that is, $\nu_\Lambda \cong \text{Id}$ or $S_\Lambda \cong [1]$,

(b) The algebra $A$ is symmetric, that is, $\nu_\Lambda \cong \text{Id}$ or $S_A \cong \text{Id}$,

(c) The graph of $\Lambda$ is bipartite or the base field $\mathbb{K}$ has characteristic two.

By this result, twisted Brauer graph algebras have very similar Calabi-Yau properties as preprojective algebras of Dynkin quivers [BBK02]. Moreover, we may associate several triangulated Calabi-Yau categories to any dimer model or to any dessins d’enfants (Remark 3.23).

To prove Theorem A we find a Frobenius form on the ribbon graph order. It can be viewed as a lifted version of the Frobenius form of some Brauer graph algebra. Corollary B follows from Theorem A and the observation that symmetricity ascends from orders to certain quotients (Proposition 3.2).

The main idea to prove Theorem C is to show that the “minimal” non-symmetric ribbon graph orders arise from non-bipartite circuit graphs. On this way we clarify the relationship between ribbon graph orders and Brauer graph algebras.

In summary, any ribbon graph order is “almost” 1-Calabi-Yau. We hope that these results have an analogue in the symplectic geometry of a closed, oriented surface. In a forthcoming paper [Gne], we will investigate further homological properties of ribbon graph orders and twisted Brauer graph algebras.
Notation

Throughout this paper we fix the following conventions:

- All modules will be finitely generated left modules.
- The cardinality of a finite set $M$ will be denoted by $|M|$.
- Let $k$ denote an arbitrary field, $R$ the ring of formal power series $k[t]$ in one variable and $K$ its quotient field $k((t))$.

1. Basic notions on ribbon graph orders

In this section we introduce the main notions of this paper. In more detail, we define ribbon graph orders via complete gentle quivers in the first subsection. To justify our terminology we associate a ribbon graph to any complete gentle quiver in Subsection 1.2 and observe that any ribbon graph order is indeed an order in the classical sense in Subsection 1.3. In the last subsection, we recall notions related to the relative Serre functor for one-dimensional orders.

1.1. From complete gentle quivers to ribbon graph orders

The following class of quivers was introduced by C.M. Ringel [Rin11]:

Definition 1.1. A finite quiver with relations $(Q, I)$ is called complete gentle if the following conditions are satisfied:

(a) There are exactly two arrows starting and exactly two arrows ending at each vertex.

(b) For any arrow $b \in Q_1$ there are unique arrows $a, c \in Q_1$ such that $cb \notin I$ and $ba \notin I$.

(c) The ideal $I$ is generated by paths of length two.

The diagram on the right shows the “local situation” at each vertex in a complete gentle quiver, where the zero relations are indicated by dotted lines.

The terminology above is motivated by the fact that any gentle quiver can be extended to a complete gentle quiver. More precisely, the following holds:

Remark 1.2. A quiver with relations has a finite-dimensional gentle path algebra if and only if it can be obtained by deleting one arrow from each oriented cycle of some complete gentle quiver.

The next series of examples comes from Lie theory:

Example 1.3. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ let $(Q, I)_n$ be the following complete gentle quiver:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\xymatrix{ & a \ar@/^/[dl] \ar@/^/[drrr] & \ar@/^/[dr] & \ar@/^/[dll] & \ar@/^/[dllll]& y \\
& a & a & \ldots & a & y \\
& b & b & \ldots & b & \\
\end{array}
\]

\[I = \langle a^2, ax, xb, b^2, by, ya \rangle\]

The quiver $(Q, I)_n$ appears in the study of cuspidal representations over the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ by work of Grantcharov and Serganova [GS10].
Throughout this paper, we will use the following notation:

**Notation 1.4.** Let \( a \in Q_1 \) be an arrow in a complete gentle quiver \((Q, I)\).

- We denote by \( \sigma(a) \) the unique arrow such that \( \sigma(a) \notin I \). This defines a permutation \( \sigma: Q_1 \to Q_1 \) which we will call the permutation of the quiver \((Q, I)\).
- We denote by \( c_a \) the unique repetition-free cyclic path starting with the arrow \( a \) and by \( n(a) \) the length of the cyclic path \( c_a \).

**Example 1.5.** We will use the following examples of complete gentle quivers:

\[
(Q', I') \quad (Q'', I'') \quad (Q''', I''')
\]

The quiver \((Q', I')\) appears in cluster theory. It has permutation \( \sigma = (ba)(dc)(fe) \) and six repetition-free cycles, for example, \( c_a = ba \) and \( c_b = ab \). Moreover, the quiver \( Q' \) has a potential \( W = eca + cae + ace + fdb + dbf + bfd \) such that \( I = \langle ca, ae, ec, db, bf, fd \rangle = \langle \partial_a W \mid a \in Q_1 \rangle \).

Since each arrow of a complete gentle quiver \((Q, I)\) lies on an oriented cycle, its path algebra \( \Lambda Q/I \) is infinite-dimensional. Ribbon graph orders are given essentially by such path algebras:

**Definition 1.6.** A ring \( \Lambda \) will be called a ribbon graph order if there is a field \( \mathbb{k} \) and a complete gentle quiver \((Q, I)\) such that the arrow ideal completion of the path algebra \( \mathbb{k}Q/I \) is Morita equivalent to the ring \( \Lambda \).

**Example 1.7.** The nodal curve singularity \( \Lambda = \mathbb{k}[x, y]/(xy) \) is isomorphic to the arrow ideal completion of the path algebra \( \mathbb{k}[x, y]/(xy) \) of a complete gentle quiver.

### 1.2. The ribbon graph of a complete gentle quiver

We will use the next definition to characterize symmetric ribbon graph orders:

**Construction 1.8.** For any complete gentle quiver \((Q, I)\) we define its graph \( G \):

(a) The set of nodes \( G_0 \) of the graph \( G \) is given by the set of \( \sigma \)-orbits in \( Q_1 \). For any arrow \( a \in Q_1 \) we denote by \( v_a = \{ \sigma^p(a) \mid 1 \leq p \leq n(a) \} \) its \( \sigma \)-orbit.

(b) For each vertex \( i \in Q_0 \) we set up an edge \( e_i \) between the vertices \( v_a \) and \( v_b \) where \( a \) and \( b \) denote the two distinct arrows from \( Q_1 \) starting at the vertex \( i \). Such an edge is a loop if \( v_a = v_b \), otherwise it is called ordinary.

In particular, the graph \( G \) may have multiple edges or loops.

**Example 1.9.** (a) The graph of the ribbon graph order \( \mathbb{k}\langle \langle a, b \rangle \rangle/(a^2, b^2) \) is a loop.

(b) The graph of the quiver \((Q, I)_n\) from Example 1.3 is a line with \( n \) edges:
To motivate our terminology, we include a further construction:

**Construction 1.10.** Let \((Q, I)\) be a complete gentle quiver, \(G\) its graph and \(v \in G_0\). The edges incident to the node \(v\) are given by the set \(E_v = \{e_{s(a)} \mid a \in Q_1: v_a = v\}\). The map \(\sigma_v: E_v \to E_v, e_{s(a)} \mapsto e_{t(a)}\) is a cyclic permutation on the set \(E_v\). The ribbon graph of the quiver \((Q, I)\) is given by the datum \((G, (\sigma_v)_{v \in G_0})\).

**Example 1.11.** The ribbon graph of the quiver \((Q', I')\) from Example [1.2] is given by three loops at one vertex \(v\) and the permutation \(\sigma_v = (e_{s(a)}e_{s(b)}e_{s(c)}e_{s(d)}e_{s(e)}e_{s(f)})\). The permutation \(\sigma_v\) can be read off from the permutation \(\sigma = (abcdef)\) of \((Q'', I'')\). This is also true in general.

There is a natural relationship between idempotent subalgebras of a ribbon graph order and subgraphs of its graph:

**Lemma 1.12.** Let \(\Lambda\) be a ribbon graph order, \((Q, I)\) a complete gentle quiver of \(\Lambda\) and \(G\) its graph. Let \(Q'_0\) be some proper subset of \(Q_0\), \(e = \sum_{i \in Q'_0} e_i\), and \(\Lambda' = e\Lambda e\). Then the idempotent subring \(\Lambda'\) is a ribbon graph order. Moreover, its graph \(G'\) can be obtained from the graph \(G\) by removing all edges \(e_i\) with index \(i \in Q_0 \setminus Q'_0\) and deleting all nodes of valency zero.

**Proof.** The ring \(\Lambda'\) is Morita equivalent to the arrow ideal completion of the path algebra of some quiver \((Q', I')\). This quiver can be described as follows:

Its vertices are given by \(Q'_0\) and its set of arrows by \(Q'_1 = \{a \in Q_1 \mid s(a) \in Q'_0\}\). For any arrow \(a \in Q'_1\) the number \(m = \min\{1 \leq p \leq n(a) \mid \sigma^p(a) \in Q'_1\}\) is well-defined. This yields a map \(\sigma': Q'_1 \to Q'_1, a \mapsto \sigma^m(a)\). The start and target maps \(s'\) and \(t': Q'_1 \to Q'_0\) are given by \(s' = s\) respectively \(a \mapsto t'(a) = s(\sigma'(a))\). The ideal of relations is \(I' = \langle ba \mid a, b \in Q'_1: s'(b) = t'(a), b \neq \sigma'(a)\rangle\).

It follows that the quiver \((Q', I')\) is complete gentle and the map \(\sigma'\) is actually its permutation in the sense of Notation [1.4]. In particular, \(\Lambda'\) is a ribbon graph order. With this quiver presentation of the ribbon graph order \(\Lambda'\) the description of its graph \(G'\) follows directly from Construction [1.8].

**Example 1.13.** The quivers \((Q', I')\) and \((Q'', I'')\) of Example [1.2] have the graphs

![Graphs](image)

In particular, the completed path algebra of the quiver \((Q', I')\) is isomorphic to an idempotent subring of the completed path algebra of the quiver \((Q'', I'')\).

### 1.3. Ribbon graph orders are Bäckström orders

In this subsection, we show that ribbon graph orders are one-dimensional orders.

**Definition 1.14.** As above, let \(R = \mathbb{K}[t]\). An \(R\)-algebra \(\Lambda\) will be called an \(R\)-order, or simply an order, if the \(R\)-module \(R\Lambda\) is a free module of finite rank.

**Remark 1.15.** By [GN02, Corollary 3.2] an \(R\)-algebra \(\Lambda\) is an \(R\)-order if and only if \(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda/\text{rad} \Lambda, \Lambda) = 0\). In particular, the \(R\)-order property is independent from the choice of an \(R\)-algebra morphism \(R \to \Lambda\).
We work over the complete local ring \( R \) instead of the polynomial ring \( \mathbb{k}[t] \) for the following technical reason:

**Remark 1.16.** Let \( \Lambda \) be an \( R \)-algebra such that the module \( R\Lambda \) is finitely generated. Then \( \Lambda \) is a semiperfect ring, that is, any simple \( \Lambda \)-module has a projective cover. In particular, any indecomposable projective \( \Lambda \)-module is isomorphic to \( \Lambda e \) for some primitive idempotent \( e \) of \( \Lambda \). Moreover, the \( R \)-algebra \( \Lambda \) is Morita equivalent to some basic \( R \)-algebra \( e\Lambda e \) for some idempotent \( e \in \Lambda \).

To show that any ribbon graph order \( \Lambda \) is an order in the sense above, we will embed the ring \( \Lambda \) in a hereditary order \( \Gamma \). Before doing that, we recall a few notions.

An order \( \Gamma \) is *hereditary* if any simple \( \Gamma \)-module has projective dimension one. Cyclic quivers yield examples of such orders:

**Example 1.17.** Let \( \tilde{Q} \) be the \( n \)-cycle quiver and \( \Gamma \) the arrow ideal completion of its path algebra \( \mathbb{k}[\tilde{Q}] \). Let \( m = (t) \) denote the maximal ideal of the local ring \( R \).

(a) If \( n = 2 \), then \( \Gamma \) is isomorphic to the following subring of \( \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(R) \):

\[
\tilde{Q} = \bullet \xrightarrow{a} \bullet \quad \Gamma \sim \begin{bmatrix} R & m \\ R & R \end{bmatrix}, \quad e_1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad a \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & t \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

(b) For each \( n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \), \( \Gamma \) is isomorphic to the following “triangular” matrix ring:

\[
\Gamma \sim T_n(R) := \{ A \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(R) \mid a_{ij} \in m \text{ for any two indices } 1 \leq i < j \leq n \},
\]

In particular, the center of \( \Gamma \) is isomorphic to \( R \). As for complete gentle quivers, for any arrow \( a \in \tilde{Q}_1 \) there is a unique minimal cycle \( c_a \) in \( \tilde{Q} \) starting at \( a \).

Let \( m \in \mathbb{N}^+ \) and \( \phi_m : R \to \Gamma, \; t \mapsto \sum_{a \in \tilde{Q}_1} e_a^m \), that is, \( \phi_m(t) \) is given by the diagonal matrix \( t^m \cdot \mathbf{1}_n \). Then the ring \( \Gamma \) is a hereditary \( R \)-order and \( \text{rk}_R \Gamma = \ell(\phi_m(t)) = mn^2 \).

Any complete gentle quiver gives rise to some cyclic quivers:

**Construction 1.18.** For any complete gentle quiver \((Q, I)\) its normalization \( \tilde{Q} \) is a quiver defined as follows:

(a) Its vertices are given by \( \tilde{Q}_0 = \{ i_a \mid a \in Q_1 \} \) and its arrows by \( \tilde{Q}_1 = Q_1 \).

(b) The start and the target map \( \tilde{s}, \tilde{t} : \tilde{Q}_1 \to \tilde{Q}_0 \) are given by \( \tilde{s}(a) = i_a \) respectively \( \tilde{t}(a) = i_{\sigma(a)} \) for each arrow \( a \in \tilde{Q}_1 \).

In particular, the quiver \( \tilde{Q} \) has the following description:

Let \( (n_1, \ldots, n_p) \) denote the cycle type of the permutation \( \sigma \) of \((Q, I)\) and \( \tilde{Q}_j \) the cyclic quiver with \( n_j \) arrows for each index \( 1 \leq j \leq p \). Then \( \tilde{Q} = \prod_{j=1}^p \tilde{Q}_j \).

**Example 1.19.** The normalization of the quiver \((Q'', I'')\) from Example 1.5 is given by the product of a loop, a two-, a three- and a four-cycle-quiver.

The following class of rings was studied by Ringel and Roggenkamp \cite{RR79}:

**Definition 1.20.** An \( R \)-algebra \( \Lambda \) is Bäckström if there is some hereditary \( R \)-order \( \Gamma \) and a monomorphism of \( R \)-algebras \( \iota : \Lambda \hookrightarrow \Gamma \) such that \( \iota(\text{rad} \Lambda) = \text{rad} \Gamma \).
Lemma 1.21. Let $\Lambda$ be a Bäckström $R$-algebra with some hereditary overorder $\Gamma$. Then $\Lambda$ is an $R$-order such that $\text{rk}_R \Lambda = \text{rk}_R \Gamma$ and $K \otimes_R \Lambda \cong K \otimes_R \Gamma$.

Proof. Note that the cokernel of the monomorphism $\iota: \Lambda \hookrightarrow \Gamma$ has finite length. This implies the three claims. □

We note that there is a generalization of Lemma 1.21 given by [BD18, Lemma 2.7].

The next lemma collects the basic properties of ribbon graph orders for later use:

Proposition 1.22. Let $(Q, I)$ be any complete gentle quiver, $\mathcal{Q}$ its normalization quiver. Let $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$ be the arrow ideal completions of the path algebras $kQ/I$ respectively $k\mathcal{Q}$. Let $m: G_0 \to \mathbb{N}^+$ be any map on the set $G_0$ of $\sigma$-orbits of $Q_1$. We set

$$\phi_m: R = k[t] \longrightarrow \Lambda, \quad t \longmapsto \sum_{a \in Q_1} c_a^{m(v_a)}.$$ 

Then the ring $\Lambda$ is an $R$-order. More precisely, the following statements hold:

(a) The ring $\Lambda$ is a Bäckström $R$-order with hereditary overorder $\Gamma$.

(b) It holds that $\text{rk}_R \Lambda = \ell(\phi_m(t)) = \sum_{a \in Q_1} m(v_a) n(a)$.

(c) The $K$-algebra $K \otimes_R \Lambda$ is Morita equivalent to the product $K^{\times p}$, where $p = |G_0|$.

(d) For any arrow $a \in Q_1$ the minimal projective resolution of $\Lambda a$ is periodic.

Proof. (a) It is straightforward to check that $\phi_m(t)$ is a central element of $\Lambda$. Thus $\phi_m$ defines an $R$-algebra structure on $\Lambda$.

Let $\{e_i \mid i \in Q_0\}$ and $\{\tilde{e}_{ia} \mid a \in Q_1\}$ denote complete sets of primitive orthogonal idempotents of the semiperfect ring $\Lambda$ respectively $\Gamma$. Let $\iota: \Lambda \longrightarrow \Gamma$ be the unique morphism of $k$-algebras such that $a \mapsto a$ for any $a \in Q_1$ and $e_i \mapsto \sum_{a \in Q_1; s(a) = i} \tilde{e}_{ia}$ for any $i \in Q_0$. Then $\iota$ is a monomorphism of $R$-algebras such that $\iota(\text{rad} \Lambda) = \text{rad} \Gamma$. Thus $\Lambda$ is a Bäckström $R$-order with hereditary overorder $\Gamma$ by Lemma 1.21.

Let $v_{a_1}, \ldots, v_{a_p}$ be a complete set of representatives of the set of $\sigma$-orbits $G_0$. Denote $m_j = m(v_{a_j})$ and $n_j = n(a_j)$ for any index $1 \leq j \leq p$. Construction 1.18 yields that $\Gamma \cong \prod_{j=1}^p T_{n_j}(R)$ in the notation of Example 1.17. The last two statements in Lemma 1.21 yields the remaining two claims:

(b) It holds that $\text{rk}_R \Lambda = \text{rk}_R \Gamma = \ell(\phi_m(t)) = \sum_{j=1}^p m_j n_j^2 = \sum_{a \in Q_1} m(v_a) n(a)$.

(c) There are $K$-algebra isomorphisms $K \otimes_R \Lambda \cong K \otimes_R \Gamma \cong \prod_{j=1}^p \text{Mat}_{n_j \times n_j}(K)$.

(d) For any arrow $a \in Q_1$ there is a unique arrow $\phi(a) \in Q_1$ such that $s(\phi(a)) = t(a)$ and $\phi(a) a \in I$ by Definition 1.1. Then the minimal projective resolution of $\Lambda a$ has period $k = \min\{p \in \mathbb{N}^+ \mid \phi^p(a) = a\}$. □

The last proposition shows that any ribbon graph order is Morita equivalent to an $R$-order, and thus also an $R$-order.

Proposition 1.22[c] shows that for any ribbon graph order $\Lambda$ the $K$-algebra $K \otimes_R \Lambda$ is split semisimple. In summary, any ribbon graph order is a classical order over the complete discrete valuation ring $R$. 
Remark 1.23. Kauer and Roggenkamp studied generalized versions of ribbon graph orders over a regular local ring $S$ of arbitrary Krull dimension [KR01]. Our specialization to the ring $R$ is motivated by the relationship of ribbon graph orders to gentle quivers.

1.4. Relative Serre duality for orders

Throughout this subsection, let $\Lambda$ denote any $R$-order.

In Section 2 we will study the following notions specialized to ribbon graph orders:

Definition 1.24. (a) The canonical bimodule of $\Lambda$ is given by $\Lambda^\vee = \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda, R)$.

(b) The derived Nakayama functor of $\Lambda$ is the left-derived tensor product

$$\nu = \Lambda^\vee \otimes_{\Lambda^-} : D^-(\Lambda) \rightarrow D^-(\Lambda)$$

on the right-bounded derived category of finitely generated $\Lambda$-modules.

It is well-known that the category $D^-(\Lambda)$ has a relative Serre functor $S = \nu \circ [1] : D^-(\Lambda) \rightarrow D^-(\Lambda)$
given by the composition of the functor $\nu$ with the shift functor. In different setups this result was obtained by van den Bergh [vdB04, Lemma 6.4.1], Ginzburg [Gin06, Theorem 7.2.14], Keller [Kel08, Lemma 4.1] and Iyama and Reiten [IR08, Theorem 3.7]. Our exposition follows mainly the last reference:

Theorem 1.25. In the setup above, the following statements hold:

(a) For any perfect complex $P^* \in \text{Perf}(\Lambda)$ and any bounded complex $M^* \in D^b_{fd}(\Lambda)$ with finite-dimensional cohomology at each degree there is a isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{D(\Lambda)}(P^*, M^*) \cong \text{Hom}_{D(\Lambda)}(M^*, S(P^*))^*$$

which is functorial in $P^*$ as well as $M^*$, where $(\_)^*$ denotes the $k$-linear duality.

(b) If the order $\Lambda$ is Gorenstein, that is, $\omega$ is projective as left $\Lambda$-module, then the functor $S$ restricts to an auto-equivalence of the full subcategory $\text{Perf}_{fd}(\Lambda)$ in $\text{Perf}(\Lambda)$ of perfect complexes with finite-dimensional cohomology at each degree.

The assumptions on the complexes in the theorem above ensure that the morphism spaces in $(\mathfrak{a})$ have finite-dimension as $k$-linear spaces. Let us also note that $\text{Perf}_{fd}(\Lambda)$ is a $k$-linear triangulated and Hom-finite category. It was already known to Kauer and Roggenkamp that any ribbon graph order is Gorenstein [KR01].

The derived Nakayama functor defines the derived Auslander-Reiten translation of any complex from the category $\text{Perf}_{fd}(\Lambda)$:

Corollary 1.26 (Happel). For any indecomposable complex $P^* \in \text{Perf}_{fd}(\Lambda)$ there is an Auslander-Reiten triangle of the form

$$\nu(P^*) \longrightarrow E^* \longrightarrow P^* \longrightarrow S(P^*)$$

in $D^-(\Lambda)$.

Proof. The statement follows from Theorem 1.25 by a straightforward adaptation of an argument due to Happel [Hap88, Proof of Theorem 4.5].
This fact yields another motivation for the study of the derived Nakayama functor for ribbon graph orders in the next section.

In Section 3 we will study when ribbon graph orders belong to the following class:

**Theorem 1.27** ([IR08, Theorem 3.8]). The following conditions are equivalent:

(a) The R-order Λ is symmetric, that is, there is an isomorphism Λ ≅ Λ∨ of Λ-bimodules.

(b) For any perfect complex P* ∈ Perf(Λ) and any complex M* ∈ Db(Λ) with finite-dimensional total cohomology there is a bifunctorial isomorphism

\[ \text{Hom}_{D(\Lambda)}(P^*, M^*) \cong \text{Hom}_{D(\Lambda)}(M^*, P^*[1])^* \] 

(\*)

In this case, the category Perf(Λ) is 1-Calabi-Yau, that is, there is a natural isomorphism \( S(P^*) \cong P^*[1] \) for any complex \( P^* \in \text{Perf}(\Lambda) \), where \( S = \nu \circ [1] \).

Since property (b) above does not refer to the base ring R the following holds:

**Corollary 1.28.** The property of a ring Λ to be a symmetric R-order is independent from the choice of an R-algebra morphism \( R \to \Lambda \).

**Remark 1.29.** By Proposition 1.22 (d) any ribbon graph order Λ has infinite global dimension. In particular, the category Perf(Λ) is a proper subcategory of the bounded derived category \( D^b(\Lambda) \).

2. **The canonical bimodule of a ribbon graph order**

To simplify the exposition we assume for the rest of the paper that

- any R-order is a basic R-algebra.

Throughout this section, we fix the following setup:

- Let Λ be a ribbon graph order, \( k \) its base field and \((Q, I)\) a complete gentle quiver of Λ. Motivated by Corollary 1.28 we choose the R-algebra morphism \( R = k[t] \to \Lambda, t \mapsto \sum_{a \in Q_1} c_a \). We set \( \Lambda^\vee = \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda, R) \).

To study the derived Nakayama functor of the order Λ, we give an explicit description of its canonical bimodule \( \Lambda^\vee \) in the first subsection. It turns out that the bimodule \( \Lambda^\vee \) is isomorphic to the bimodule \( \Lambda_{\varepsilon^*} \) with regular left and right module structure twisted by some involution \( \varepsilon^* \) of \( \Lambda \).

In the second subsection we derive our description of the canonical bimodule using the following approach:

(a) We choose R-linear bases \( \mathcal{B} \) and \( \mathcal{B}^\vee \) of the R-modules \( \mathcal{R}_\Lambda \) respectively \( \mathcal{R}_\Lambda^\vee \).

(b) We define an R-linear form \( \phi \) which induces a bimodule isomorphism \( \Lambda_{\varepsilon^*} \cong \Lambda^\vee \).

This method is well-known in the setup of finite-dimensional Frobenius algebras and based on the Brauer-Nesbitt-Nakayama Theorems [SY11, Chapter IV].
2.1. The Nakayama automorphism of a ribbon graph order

In [BR87] Butler and Ringel defined a polarization for any string algebra. Their notion can be adapted to the setup of ribbon graph orders as follows:

**Definition 2.1.** A map \( \varepsilon : Q_1 \to \{+,-\} \) will be called a polarization of the ribbon graph order \( \Lambda \) if for any two different arrows \( a, b \in Q_1 \) which start at the same vertex it holds that \( \varepsilon(a) \neq \varepsilon(b) \).

In the following we will denote \( \varepsilon(a) \) by \( \varepsilon_a \) for any arrow \( a \in Q_1 \).

We will use polarizations to define a sign map on the ribbon graph order:

**Definition 2.2.** For any polarization \( \varepsilon \) of \( \Lambda \) we define its involution \( \varepsilon^* : \Lambda \to \Lambda : \)

\[
(a) \text{ For any arrow } a \in Q_1 \text{ and any element } x \in \Lambda \text{ we set } \varepsilon_a x = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } \varepsilon_a = +, \\ -x & \text{if } \varepsilon_a = - . \end{cases}
\]

\[(b) \text{ The involution } \varepsilon^* : \Lambda \to \Lambda \text{ associated to } \varepsilon \text{ is the unique } \mathbb{R}-\text{algebra morphism such that } \varepsilon^*(e_i) = e_i \text{ for any vertex } i \in Q_0 \text{ and } \]

\[
\varepsilon^*(a) = \varepsilon_{\sigma(a)} \varepsilon_a a \text{ for any arrow } a \in Q_1.
\]

Let us recall that \( \sigma(a) \) denotes the unique arrow in \( Q_1 \) such that \( \sigma(a) a \notin I \).

In the setup above, let \( \Lambda_{\varepsilon^*} \) denote the \( \Lambda \)-bimodule with the regular left \( \Lambda \)-module structure and the right \( \Lambda \)-module structure given by \( a \star b = a \varepsilon^*(b) \) for any \( a, b \in \Lambda \).

**Example 2.3.** The ribbon graph order \( \Lambda = \mathbb{k}\langle a, b \rangle \langle \langle a^2, b^2 \rangle \rangle / \langle a^2, b^2 \rangle \) has a polarization \( \varepsilon : Q_1 \to \{+,-\} \) with \( a \mapsto +, b \mapsto - \). For any path \( p \) in the quiver of \( \Lambda \) it holds that \( \varepsilon^*(p) = (-1)^{\ell(p)} p \), where \( \ell(p) \) denotes the length of the path \( p \).

Let us already point out that the case of characteristic two is special:

**Remark 2.4.** For any polarization \( \varepsilon \) of the order \( \Lambda \) the following holds:

- If the base field \( \mathbb{k} \) of the order \( \Lambda \) has characteristic two, then \( \varepsilon_a x = x \) for all \( a \in Q_1 \) and all \( x \in \Lambda \), although the map \( \varepsilon \) is not constant. In this case, \( \varepsilon^* = \text{id}_\Lambda \).
- Otherwise we may view any polarization as a map \( \varepsilon : Q_1 \to \{1, -1\} \).

Adapting terminology from the theory of finite-dimensional self-injective algebras [SY11, Chapter IV], the involution \( \varepsilon^* \) of a polarization might be called a Nakayama automorphism of the ribbon graph order \( \Lambda \) because of the following result:

**Theorem 2.5.** Let \( \varepsilon^* \) be the involution of any polarization of the ribbon graph order \( \Lambda \). Then there is an isomorphism \( \Lambda^\vee \cong \Lambda_{\varepsilon^*} \) of \( \Lambda \)-bimodules.

The proof of Theorem 2.5 will occupy the next section. Let us give a few remarks:

In the setup above, there is also an isomorphism \( \Lambda_{\varepsilon^*} \cong \varepsilon^* \Lambda \) of \( \Lambda \)-bimodules, where \( \varepsilon^* \Lambda \) denotes the \( \Lambda \)-bimodule with the left module structure twisted by \( \varepsilon^* \).

In brief terms, any polarization yields a Nakayama automorphism of the ribbon graph order. This automorphism may be trivial for any base field:

---

1The author would like to thank William Crawley-Boevey for pointing out the relationship between the polarization of a string algebra and Definition 2.1.
Example 2.6. The nodal singularity $\Lambda = k[[x,y]]/(xy)$ has a polarization $\varepsilon : Q_1 \to \{+, -\}$ with $x \mapsto +, y \mapsto -$. Its involution $\varepsilon^*$ is the identity map on $\Lambda$. Theorem 2.5 implies the well-known fact that the curve singularity $\Lambda$ is symmetric.

As a consequence of Theorem 2.5 we obtain the first main result of this paper:

**Corollary 2.7.** In the notations above the following statements hold:

(a) The derived Nakayama functor $\nu$ of the ribbon graph order $\Lambda$ is isomorphic to the ordinary tensor product functor

$$(\_ )^* = \Lambda^* \otimes_{\Lambda} : \text{mod } \Lambda \to \text{mod } \Lambda$$

So there are isomorphisms of functors $\nu^2 \cong \text{Id}$ and $S^2 \cong [2]$, where $S = \nu \circ [1]$.

(b) For any projective complex $P^*$ from $D^- (\Lambda)$ the complex $\nu (P^*)$ can be computed by application of the involution $\varepsilon^*$ to each entry in every differential of the complex $P^*$.

**Proof.** (a) By Theorem 2.5 the Nakayama functor is isomorphic to the tensor product $\Lambda^* \otimes_{\Lambda} : \text{mod } \Lambda \to \text{mod } \Lambda$. Since there is an isomorphism of $\Lambda$-bimodules $\Lambda^* \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda^* \cong (\varepsilon^*)^2 = \Lambda$, the Nakayama functor is an equivalence of order two, and thus it is exact. This shows the statements in (a).

(b) For any path $p$ from $i$ to $j$ in the quiver $(Q, I)$ there is a commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Lambda^* \otimes_{\Lambda} P_j & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \Lambda^* (e_j) = P_j \\
\downarrow^{{\text{id}} \otimes p} & & \downarrow^{{\varepsilon^*(p)}} \\
\Lambda^* \otimes_{\Lambda} P_i & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \Lambda^* (e_i) = P_i \\
\end{array}
$$

This implies the last statement. \qed

The derived Nakayama functor $\nu$ restricts to the subcategory $\mathcal{T} = \text{Perf}_{\text{id}}(\Lambda)$. By Corollary 2.7(a), the category $\mathcal{T}$ is fractionally $\frac{2}{3}$-Calabi-Yau and its Auslander-Reiten quiver is given by homogeneous tubes of rank one or two.

Since the restricted Serre functor $S$ is isomorphic to the composition $(\_ )^* \circ [1]$ the category $\mathcal{T}$ is twisted 1-Calabi-Yau in the sense of Herschend and Iyama [HI11].

By Corollary 2.7(b), any ribbon graph order $\Lambda$ is weakly symmetric, that is, there is an isomorphism $\Lambda^* \otimes_{\Lambda} P \cong P$ for any indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-module $P_i$.

2.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.5 on the canonical bimodule**

Additionally to the setup at the beginning of Section 2, we choose

- a polarization $\varepsilon : Q_1 \to \{+, -\}$ of the ribbon graph order $\Lambda$ as introduced in Definition 2.1 and denote by $\varepsilon^*$ its involution.
2.2.1. Bases of the ribbon graph order and its canonical bimodule

To choose a good basis of the module $R\Lambda$ we need to fix some notation. The first part concerns paths of certain length:

**Notation 2.8.** For any arrow $a$ of the complete gentle quiver $(Q, I)$ we will denote:

- As before, let $\sigma(a) \in Q_1$ denote the unique “successor” of $a$ such that $\sigma(a) a \notin I$.
- Again, $n(a)$ is the length of the repetition-free cycle $c_a$ starting with the arrow $a$.
- From now on, for any number $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ let $a_m$ denote the unique path of length $m$ which starts with the arrow $a$. In other terms, $a_m = \sigma^{m-1}(a) \ldots \sigma(a) a$.

Note that $a_{n(a)} = c_a$. We need some notation for the following subset of arrows:

- We denote by $Q_1^* = \{a \in Q_1 \mid c_a \neq a\}$ the set of arrows which are not cycles.

**Remark 2.9.** For any arrow $a \in Q_1$ the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $c_a = a$,
2. $\sigma(a) = a$,
3. $n(a) = 1$,
4. $s(a) = t(a)$ and $a^2 \notin I$,
5. The node $v_a$ of the graph $G$ of the complete gentle quiver $(Q, I)$ is a leaf, that is, it is incident to only one edge and this edge is ordinary.

The second part of notation involves the polarization $\varepsilon^*$ of $\Lambda$ fixed above:

**Notation 2.10.** For any vertex $i \in Q_0$ we define two cyclic paths $x_i$ and $y_i$:

- Set $x_i = c_a$ where $a \in Q_1$ is the unique arrow such that $s(a) = i$ and $\varepsilon_a = 1$.
- Similarly, set $y_i = c_b$ where $b$ is the unique arrow with $s(b) = i$ and $\varepsilon_b = -1$.

Recall that we use the $R$-algebra structure $R \to \Lambda$, $t \mapsto z := \sum_{a \in Q_1} c_a$. Note that $ze_i = e_i z = x_i + y_i$ for any vertex $i \in Q_0$.

With the notation above, we may describe a basis of the $R$-modules $R\Lambda$ and $R\Lambda^*$:

**Lemma 2.11.** Let $(Q, I)$ be some complete gentle quiver, $\Lambda$ its ribbon graph order and $\varepsilon$ some polarization. Then the free module $R\Lambda$ has an $R$-linear basis given by

$$\mathcal{B} = \{e_i, \ x_i \mid i \in Q_0\} \cup \{a_m \mid a \in Q_1^*, 1 \leq m < n(a)\}.$$  

In particular, the module $R\Lambda^\vee$ has an $R$-linear basis $\mathcal{B}^\vee := \{p^\vee \mid p \in \mathcal{B}\}$ where $p^\vee : \Lambda \to R$ is the unique $R$-linear morphism given by $p^\vee(q) = \delta_{pq}$ for any $q \in \mathcal{B}$.

**Proof.** Let $p$ be some path of $(Q, I)$ starting with some arrow $a \in Q_1$.

- If $p$ is not cyclic, then $p = a_{r n(a) + m} = z^r a_m$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $1 \leq m < n(a)$.
- If $p$ is cyclic, then $p = c_a^r = z^{r-1} x_i$ or $z^{r-1} y_i$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}^+$, where $i = s(a)$.

In particular, $\mathcal{B}$ forms a set of generators for $R\Lambda$. Since $|Q_1| = 2|Q_0|$, Proposition 1.22(b) implies that $|\mathcal{B}| = \text{rk}_R \Lambda$. So the set $\mathcal{B}$ is an $R$-linear basis of $R\Lambda$. \qed

**Example 2.12.** (a) Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{k}[x, y]/(xy)$ with polarization $\varepsilon_x = +$ and $\varepsilon_y = -$.

Then $z = x + y$. Lemma 2.11 yields the basis $\mathcal{B} = \{1, x\}$ for the module $R\Lambda$.

(b) Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{k}[a, b]/(a^2, b^2)$ with polarization $\varepsilon_a = +$ and $\varepsilon_b = -$. Then $z = ba + ab = x_1 + y_1$. By Lemma 2.11 the module $R\Lambda$ has a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{1, ba, a, b\}$.  


2.2.2. The Frobenius form of a ribbon graph order

Using the dual basis fixed above we introduce a special R-linear form of a ribbon graph order:

**Definition 2.13.** For a ribbon graph order \( \Lambda \) and a polarization \( \varepsilon \) of \( \Lambda \) we set
\[
\omega := \sum_{i \in Q_0} x_i^\gamma = \sum_{a \in Q_1 : \varepsilon_a = +} c_a^\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \phi := \omega^\gamma : \Lambda \longrightarrow R.
\]

The R-linear form \( \phi \) will be called the Frobenius form of \( \Lambda \).

This definition is motivated by an analogue for Brauer graph algebras which will be discussed in Remark 3.3.

Next, we compute the Frobenius form on compositions of basis elements.

**Notation 2.14.** For any \( a \in Q_1^* \) and \( 1 \leq m < n(a) \) we define a “derivative cycle”
- \( \partial^m c_a = \sigma^m(a)_{n(a)-m} = \sigma^{m-1}(a) \ldots \sigma^{m+1}(a) \sigma^{m}(a) \). Thus, \((\partial^m c_a) a_m = c_a\).

**Lemma 2.15.** For any \( p,q \in B \) it holds that \( \phi(qp) \neq 0 \) if and only if
\[
(q,p) \in \{(x_i, x_i), (x_i, e_i), (e_i, x_i) \mid i \in Q_0\} \cup \{(\partial^m c_a, a_m) \mid a \in Q_1^*, 1 \leq m < n(a)\}.
\]

**Proof.** \( \Leftarrow \): Let \( i \in Q_0 \). We note that \( \phi(x_i^2) = \phi(zx_i) = z \neq 0 \), \( \phi(x_i) = 1 \) and \( \phi(y_i) = \phi(ze_i - x_i) = -1 \), so \( \phi(c_a) = \varepsilon_a \neq 0 \) for any arrow \( a \in Q_1 \).

\( \Rightarrow \): Let \( p,q \in B \) such that \( \phi(qp) \neq 0 \). Then \( qp = a_\ell \) for some arrow \( a \in Q_1 \) and some number \( 1 \leq \ell \leq 2 n(a) \). Since \( qp \) must be a cyclic path it holds that \( qp = c_a^2 \) or \( c_a\). So \( qp \in \{x_i^2, x_i, y_i\} \) with \( i = s(a) \). This implies the possibilities above. \( \square \)

The last lemma implies that the Frobenius form \( \phi \) is an \( \varepsilon^* \)-symmetrizing form:

**Lemma 2.16.** For any \( p,q \in \Lambda \) it holds that \( \phi(qp) = \phi(\varepsilon^*(p)q) \).

**Proof.** For any arrow \( a \in Q_1 \) it holds that \( \varepsilon^*(c_a) = c_a \). So \( \varepsilon^*(z) = z \). Since the involution \( \varepsilon^* \) and the form \( \phi \) are both R-linear, it is sufficient to show the claim for any two elements \( p,q \in B \).

- Assume that \( \phi(qp) \neq 0 \). By Lemma 2.15 there are only two possibilities:
  - If \( (q,p) = (x_i, x_i), (x_i, e_i) \) or \( (e_i, x_i) \) for some \( i \in Q_0 \), then \( \varepsilon^*(p)q = pq = qp \).
  - If \( (q,p) = (\partial^m c_a, a_m) \) for some arrow \( a \in Q_1^* \) and some number \( 1 \leq m < n(a) \), then \( \varepsilon^*(p)q = \varepsilon_{\sigma^m(a)} \varepsilon_a c_{\sigma^m(a)} \) and \( \phi(\varepsilon^*(p)q) = \varepsilon^{2 \sigma^m(a)} \varepsilon_a = \varepsilon_a = \phi(c_a) = \phi(qp) \).

In particular, we have shown that \( \phi(\varepsilon^*(p)q) = 0 \) implies that \( \phi(qp) = 0 \).

- Let \( \phi(qp) = 0 \). Then \( 0 = \phi((\varepsilon^*)^2(qp)) = \phi((\varepsilon^*)^2(p)\varepsilon^*(q)) = \phi(\varepsilon^*(p)q) \). \( \square \)

The \( \Lambda \)-bimodule structure of the canonical bimodule \( \Lambda^\gamma = \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda, R) \) is given as follows. For any form \( \gamma \in \Lambda^\gamma \) and any two elements \( a,b \in \Lambda \) the forms \( a \cdot \gamma \) and \( \gamma \cdot b \) are defined via \((a \cdot \gamma)(x) = \gamma(xa)\) and \((\gamma \cdot b)(x) = \gamma(bx)\) for any element \( x \in \Lambda \).

At last, we prove a refined version of Theorem 2.5.
Theorem 2.5. Let \( \varepsilon \) be some polarization of the ribbon graph order \( \Lambda \). Let \( \varepsilon^* \) denote its involution and \( \phi \) its Frobenius form. Then the map \( \vartheta : \Lambda_{\varepsilon^*} \to \Lambda^\vee \), \( p \mapsto p \cdot \phi \) is an isomorphism of \( \Lambda \)-bimodules.

Proof. Let us recall that the Frobenius form is given by \( \phi = \sum_{i \in Q_0} x^\vee_i : \Lambda \to R \), and the right \( \Lambda \)-module structure of \( \Lambda_{\varepsilon^*} \), by \( \Lambda_{\varepsilon^*} \times \Lambda \to \Lambda_{\varepsilon^*}, (p, b) \mapsto p \cdot \varepsilon^*(b) \).

(a) The map \( \vartheta \) is left \( \Lambda \)-linear by definition. Let \( p \in \Lambda \). Lemma 2.16 yields that \( p \cdot \phi = \phi \cdot \varepsilon^*(p) \) and thus \( \varepsilon^*(p) \cdot \phi = \phi \cdot p \). For any \( x \in \Lambda \) it follows that
\[
\vartheta(x \cdot p) = \vartheta(x \cdot \varepsilon^*(p)) = (x \cdot \varepsilon^*(p)) \cdot \phi = x \cdot (\phi \cdot p) = (x \cdot \vartheta(1)) \cdot p = \vartheta(x) \cdot p.
\]

This shows that the map \( \vartheta \) is a morphism of \( \Lambda \)-bimodules.

To show that \( \vartheta \) is bijective, we first compute \( \vartheta(p) \) for all elements \( p \in B \):

(b) We note that any \( \Lambda \)-linear morphism \( \psi : \Lambda \to \Lambda \) can be written as \( \psi = \sum_{q \in B} \psi(q) q^\vee \). For any vertex \( i \in Q_0 \) Lemma 2.15 implies the following:
\[
\vartheta(e_i) = e_i \cdot \phi = \sum_{q \in B} \phi(qe_i) q^\vee = x^\vee_i \quad \vartheta(x_i) = x_i \cdot \phi = \sum_{q \in B} \phi(qx_i) q^\vee = e_i^\vee + zx_i^\vee.
\]

For any \( a \in Q_1^+ \) and any number \( 1 < m < n(a) \) Lemma 2.15 also yields that
\[
\vartheta(a_m) = a_m \cdot \phi = \sum_{q \in B} \phi(qa_m) q^\vee = (c_a)(\partial^m c_a)^\vee = \varepsilon_a(\partial^m c_a)^\vee.
\]

(c) Let \( \psi : \Lambda^\vee \to \Lambda_{\varepsilon^*} \) be the unique \( \Lambda \)-linear map given by
\[
x^\vee_i \mapsto e_i \quad \text{and} \quad e^\vee_i \mapsto -y_i = x_i - ze_i \quad \text{for any vertex} \ i \in Q_0,
\]
\[
a^\vee_m \mapsto \varepsilon_{\sigma^m(a)} \partial^m c_a \quad \text{for any arrow} \ a \in Q_1^+ \text{ and any number} \ 1 \leq m < n(a).
\]

It is straightforward to check that \( \psi \) is the inverse of the map \( \vartheta \). \qed

3. Symmetric ribbon graph orders and Brauer graph algebras

So far, we know that the canonical bimodule of the ribbon graph order \( \Lambda \) is given by a bimodule \( \Lambda_{\varepsilon^*} \), where the left module structure is the regular one and the right module structure is twisted by some involution \( \varepsilon^* \).

The goal of this section is to describe conditions when this twist “does not matter”. In Subsection 3.1 we observe a few statements on central quotients of some algebras which seem to be interesting on their own. In the remaining subsections we collect the implications to characterize symmetric ribbon graph orders:

\[
\Lambda \text{ is symmetric} \quad \overset{\text{Subsec. 3.2}}{\leftrightarrow} \quad \Lambda = \mathbb{k} \otimes_R \Lambda \text{ is symmetric} \quad \overset{\text{Subsec. 5.2}}{\leftrightarrow} \quad \Lambda \text{ is some Brauer graph algebra}
\]
\[
\varepsilon^* = \text{id for some polarization} \ \varepsilon \text{ of } \Lambda \quad \overset{\text{Subsec. 3.3}}{\leftrightarrow} \quad \text{G is bipartite} \quad \overset{\text{Subsec. 3.4}}{\leftrightarrow} \quad \text{A is BGA of certain form}
\]

The precise version of the diagram above is stated in Theorem 3.19. In Subsection 3.5 we will also clarify the relationship between certain natural quotients of ribbon graph orders and Brauer graph algebras.
3.1. Pushing down the canonical bimodule

In this brief subsection, we consider a more general setup than usual:

- Let $S$ be a commutative ring, $\mathfrak{m}$ any maximal ideal of $S$ and $\Lambda$ be an $S$-algebra such that $S\Lambda$ is a free $S$-module of finite rank.

For example, $S$ might be a regular local ring and $\Lambda$ an $S$-order.

- We set $\Lambda^\vee = \text{Hom}_S(\Lambda, S)$, $\mathfrak{k} = S/\mathfrak{m}$, $A = \Lambda/\mathfrak{m}\Lambda$ and $A^* = \text{Hom}_S(\Lambda, \mathfrak{k})$.

Let us note that there is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{k}$-algebras $A \cong \mathfrak{k} \otimes_R \Lambda$ and that $\mathfrak{m}\Lambda = \Lambda\mathfrak{m}$ is a two-sided ideal of $\Lambda$.

The canonical bimodule $A^*$ is a quotient of the canonical bimodule $\Lambda^\vee$:

**Lemma 3.1.** In the setup above, there is an isomorphism of $(A, \Lambda)$-bimodules

$$\phi: A \otimes \Lambda \Lambda^\vee \longrightarrow A^*,$$

where $a\otimes f \longmapsto a\overline{f}$, where $\overline{f}$ maps an element $x + \mathfrak{m}\Lambda \in A$ to the scalar $f(xa) + \mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{k}$ for any $x \in \Lambda$.

*Proof.* It is straightforward to verify that the map $\phi$ is left $A$-linear and right $\Lambda$-linear. Since the functor $\Lambda^\vee \longrightarrow \text{mod} \Lambda \longrightarrow \text{mod} A$ is full, the composition

$$\Lambda^\vee \longrightarrow A \otimes \Lambda \Lambda^\vee \longrightarrow A^*,$$

is surjective. Thus, the map $\phi$ is also surjective. The $\mathfrak{k}$-linear map $\overline{f}$ is also surjective. The $\mathfrak{k}$-linear map $\phi$ is also bijective, since $\dim_\mathfrak{k}(A \otimes \Lambda \Lambda^\vee) = \dim_\mathfrak{k}(\mathfrak{k} \otimes_S \Lambda^\vee) = \text{rk}_S \Lambda^\vee = \text{rk}_S \Lambda = \dim_\mathfrak{k}(A) = \dim_\mathfrak{k} A^*$. □

Symmetry ascends along the projection $\Lambda \to A$ in the sense of (b) below:

**Proposition 3.2.** In the setup above, the following statements hold:

(a) There is an isomorphism of $A$-bimodules $A \otimes \Lambda \Lambda^\vee \otimes \Lambda \cong A^*$.

(b) If the $S$-algebra $\Lambda$ is symmetric, then the $\mathfrak{k}$-algebra $A$ is symmetric.

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.1 there is an isomorphism $A \otimes \Lambda \Lambda^\vee \otimes \Lambda \cong A^\vee \otimes \Lambda A \cong A^*$ of $A$-bimodules which shows the first claim. The first claim implies the second. □

In the next subsection, we will apply these results to ribbon graph orders.

3.2. Twisted Brauer graph algebras

Throughout this subsection, we return to our original setup and extend it as follows:

**Notation 3.3.** Let $\Lambda$ be a ribbon graph order.

- Let $(Q, I)$ denote a complete gentle quiver of $\Lambda$ and $G_0$ the set of its $\sigma$-orbits. For any arrow $a \in Q_1$ its $\sigma$-orbit is denoted by $v_a$ as before.

- Let $m: G_0 \to \mathbb{N}^+$ be some map. For any arrow $a \in Q_1$ we set $m_a = m(v_a)$.

- We view the ring $\Lambda$ as an $R$-order via the map $R \to \Lambda$, $t \mapsto z = \sum_{a \in Q_1} c_a^{m_a}$ from Proposition 1.22. We define a quotient ring $A = \Lambda/z\Lambda$. 
Lemma 3.5. The quotient rings $I$ of non-zero left ideal $I$ form socle quotients $A$ of $B$. Then the algebra $B$ does not depend on the choice of polarization $\varepsilon$ and the socle quotients $A/\text{soc }A$ and $B/\text{soc }B$ are Morita equivalent.

Proof. For any $a \in Q$ it holds that $a_n(a)m_1 = a c_{a}^{m_1} = \pm a c_{b}^{m_1} \in I$. So each algebra $\mathbb{k}Q/(I + J_\pm)$ has finite dimension. The other claims follow directly. □

Remark 3.6. In the notation above, both ideals $I + J_\pm$ are not admissible if there is an arrow $a \in Q$ such that $c_a = a$ and $m_a = 1$.

We will be interested mainly in the quotients with anti-commutativity relations:

Definition 3.7. A finite-dimensional $\mathbb{k}$-algebra $A$ will be called a twisted Brauer graph algebra if there is a complete gentle quiver $(Q, I)$ and a map $m : G \to \mathbb{N}^+$ on its $\sigma$-orbits such that $A$ is Morita equivalent to the path algebra $\mathbb{k}Q/(I + J_\pm)$.

The terminology above will be motivated at the end of the present subsection.

Replacing the ideal $J_+$ by $J_-$ in Definition 3.7 yields the definition of a usual Brauer graph algebra. We will describe when a Brauer graph algebra is isomorphic to its twisted version in Subsection 3.4. The last statement of Lemma 3.5 shows that any Brauer graph algebra is a socle deformation of its twisted version.

The next property of Brauer graph algebras is well-known:

Theorem 3.8. Any Brauer graph algebra $B$ is symmetric.

The following proof is due to Schroll [Sch18, Proof of Theorem 2.6]:

Proof. We use Notation 3.3. It can be verified that the element $\mathcal{D} = \sum_{a \in Q} a \cdot c_a^{m_a}$ of $B$ yields a symmetrizing $\mathbb{k}$-linear form $\phi = \mathcal{D}^* \in B^*$ such that $\phi(I) \neq 0$ for any non-zero left ideal $I$ of $B$. Then the form $\phi$ induces an isomorphism of $B$-bimodules $B \to B^*$ via $x \mapsto x \cdot \phi$. □

Remark 3.9. Let $m \equiv 1$. Then $\Lambda$ is an $R$-order via the map $R \to \Lambda$, $t \mapsto \sum_{a \in Q} c_a$. On the one hand, the Frobenius form $\phi = \omega^\vee$ of the ribbon graph order $\Lambda$ from Definition 2.13 is essentially the same as the symmetrizing Frobenius form $\mathcal{D}^*$ of the Brauer graph algebra $B = \Lambda/\Lambda \omega \Lambda$ from the last proof. On the other hand, we will see that the ribbon graph order $\Lambda$ may be not symmetric. In this case, the map $\phi : R \to \Lambda$, $t \mapsto w$ does not factor through the center of $\Lambda$. □
In contrast to Brauer graph algebras, their twisted versions fit into the framework of the previous subsection:

**Lemma 3.10.** Any twisted Brauer graph \( k \)-algebra \( A \) is isomorphic to the quotient algebra \( k \otimes_R \Lambda \) for some ribbon graph order \( \Lambda \).

**Proof.** The ring \( A \) is isomorphic to the quotient of some ribbon graph order \( \Lambda \) by Definition 3.7 with respect to some multiplicity map \( m \). By Proposition 1.22, the map \( m \) yields an \( R \)-algebra morphism \( R \to \Lambda \), \( t \mapsto z = \sum_{a \in Q_1} c_a^{m_a} \). This implies that \( A \cong \Lambda / z \Lambda \cong k \otimes_R \Lambda \).

Next, we obtain an analogue of Theorem 2.5 for twisted Brauer graph algebras and the first implication in our characterization of symmetric ribbon graph orders:

**Theorem 3.11.** Let \( \Lambda \) be a ribbon graph order and \( m : G_0 \to \mathbb{N}^+ \) be a map on its \( \sigma \)-orbits and \( \varepsilon \) be any polarization of \( \Lambda \). Let \( A \) denote the corresponding twisted Brauer graph algebra from Notation 3.3. Then the following statements hold:

1. (a) The map \( \varepsilon : A \to A \), \( x \mapsto \varepsilon^*(x) \) is a well-defined \( k \)-algebra involution. Moreover, there is an isomorphism \( A^* \cong A \varepsilon^* \) of \( A \)-bimodules.
2. (b) If the ribbon graph order \( \Lambda \) is symmetric or if the \( k \)-algebra \( A \) is isomorphic to some Brauer graph algebra, then the \( k \)-algebra \( A \) is symmetric.

**Proof.** (a) Note that \( \varepsilon^*(c_a) = c_a \) for any arrow \( a \in Q_1 \). This implies that \( \varepsilon^* \) preserves the ideal \( z \Lambda = \Lambda z \). Since \( \varepsilon^* \) is an involution of \( R \)-algebras, the first statement follows.

Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 2.5 yield two isomorphisms of \( A \)-bimodules:

\[
A^* \cong A \otimes_A \Lambda \varepsilon \otimes_A A \cong A \otimes_A \Lambda \varepsilon \otimes_A A \xrightarrow{\eta} A^*, \quad \eta(\varepsilon \otimes b \otimes c) = ab \varepsilon^*(c)
\]

It is straightforward to check that \( \eta \) is also an isomorphism of \( A \)-bimodules.

(b) Assume that the order \( \Lambda \) is symmetric. By Lemma 3.10 we may apply Proposition 3.2(b) to the setup above and conclude that \( A \) is symmetric.

• If the \( k \)-algebra \( A \) is isomorphic to some Brauer graph algebra, then \( A \) is symmetric by Theorem 3.8.

The description of the canonical bimodule \( A^* \) of a twisted Brauer graph algebra \( A \) yields similar consequences as for ribbon graph orders:

The category \( \mathcal{T} = \text{Perf}(A) \) has a Serre functor \( S = A \varepsilon \otimes_A (\_): \mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T} \). Because of the isomorphism \( S^2 \cong \text{Id} \) of functors, the category \( \mathcal{T} \) is fractionally \( \frac{1}{2} \)-Calabi-Yau. Since \( \varepsilon \) is an involution, the category \( \mathcal{T} \) is also twisted \( 0 \)-Calabi-Yau.

As any idempotent of \( A \) is a fixed point of the map \( \varepsilon^* \), the twisted Brauer graph algebra \( A \) is weakly symmetric.
3.3. Circular graph orders and circular graph algebras

Next we study the minimal non-symmetric twisted Brauer graph algebras.

By a circular graph of length \( n \geq 2 \) we mean a connected graph with \( n \) vertices of valency two. A circular graph of length one is a loop.

In general, there can be many non-isomorphic ribbon graph orders with isomorphic underlying graphs in the sense of Construction 1.8. Fortunately, this does not happen for circular graphs:

**Lemma 3.12.** Let \( n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \) and \( \Lambda_n \) be a ribbon graph order such that its graph \( G_n \) is a circular graph of length \( n \). Then \( \Lambda_n \) has the following complete gentle quiver:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{circular graph } G_n \\
v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & v_4
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{complete gentle quiver } (Q,I)_n
\end{array}
\]

**Proof.** Let \( 1 \leq j \leq n \). In the following we consider all indices modulo \( n \). For each node \( v_j \in G_0 \) its set of incident edges is given by \( E_{v_j} = \{ e_j, e_{j+1} \} = \{ e_a | a \in Q_1 : v_a = v_j \} \). Let \( a_j \) and \( b_j \) denote the arrows such that \( s(a_j) = v_j = t(b_j) = j + 1 \). Then the permutation \( \sigma \) exchanges \( a_j \) and \( b_j \), so \( t(a_j) = s(b_j) \) and \( t(b_j) = s(a_j) \). This shows the claim. \( \square \)

Next, we consider twisted Brauer graph algebras which have a circular graph. The simplest example of such an algebra is given by \( \mathbb{K}\langle a,b \rangle/(a^2, b^2, ab + ba) \). This algebra is symmetric if and only if the field \( \mathbb{K} \) has characteristic two [SY11 Chapter IV, Example 2.8]. The last statement and its proof generalize as follows:

**Lemma 3.13.** Let \( \Lambda_n \) be a circular graph order as in Lemma 3.12 and \( m : G_0 \to \mathbb{N}^+ \) be some map. Let \( \Lambda_n = \Lambda_n / z\Lambda_n \) be the associated twisted Brauer graph algebra. If the \( \mathbb{K} \)-algebra \( \Lambda_n \) is symmetric, then \( n \) is even or the characteristic of \( \mathbb{K} \) is two.

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.5 the twisted Brauer graph algebra \( \Lambda_n \) has the same quiver \((Q,I)_n\) as the circular graph order \( \Lambda_n \) together with the additional relations

\[
(b_1a_1)^{m_1} = -(a_nb_n)^{m_n} \quad \text{and} \quad (b_{j+1}a_{j+1})^{m_{j+1}} = -(a_jb_j)^{m_j}
\]

where we denote \( m_j = m(v_j) \) for each index \( 1 \leq j \leq n \).

If \( \Lambda_n \) is symmetric, there is a \( \mathbb{K} \)-linear form \( \phi : \Lambda_n \to \mathbb{K} \) such that \( \phi(I) \neq 0 \) for any left non-zero ideal \( I \) of \( \Lambda_n \) and \( \phi(xy) = \phi(yx) \) for all \( x,y \in \Lambda_n \) [SY11 Chapter IV, Theorem 2.2]. For any index \( 1 \leq j \leq n \) we set \( \lambda_j = \phi((b_ja_j)^{m_j}) \). The vector space \( \tilde{I} = \langle (b_1a_1)^{m_1} \rangle_{\mathbb{K}} \) is a left ideal of \( \Lambda_n \), since \( aI = 0 \) for any arrow \( a \in Q_1 \). So \( \lambda_1 \neq 0 \).

Moreover, it holds that \( \lambda_1 = \phi((b_1a_1)^{m_1}) = -\phi((a_nb_n)^{m_n}) = -\phi((a_nb_n)^{m_n}) = -\lambda_n \), and similarly \( \lambda_{j+1} = -\lambda_j \) for any index \( 1 \leq j < n \). It follows that \( \lambda_1 = -\lambda_n = (-1)^2 \lambda_{n-1} = \ldots = (-1)^n \lambda_1 \). Thus the number \( n \) is even or \( \text{char} \mathbb{K} = 2 \). \( \square \)
A graph is bipartite if each of its nodes can be colored in one of two colors such that each edge is incident to nodes of different colors. It is a classical result that a graph is bipartite if and only if it has no circular subgraphs of odd length.

Our next result yields another second implication for our final characterization:

**Proposition 3.14.** Let $\Lambda$ be a ribbon graph order, $\mathbb{k}$ its base field, $G$ its graph, $m : G_0 \to \mathbb{N}^+$ be any map. As before, we set $A = \Lambda/z\Lambda$ where $z = \sum_{a \in Q_1} c_a^{m_a}$. If the $\mathbb{k}$-algebra $A$ is symmetric, then the graph $G$ is bipartite or the field $\mathbb{k}$ has characteristic two.

**Proof.** Let $A$ be symmetric. We may assume that the characteristic of the field $\mathbb{k}$ is not two and that the graph $G$ is not a tree. Let $G'$ be any circular subgraph in $G$. We have to show that its number $n$ of edges is even.

By Construction 1.8 there is a bijection between the edges of $G$ and the primitive idempotents of $\Lambda$. In particular, the $n$ edges of $G'$ correspond to an idempotent $e \in \Lambda$ which is a sum of $n$ primitive idempotents. By Lemma 1.12 the idempotent subring $\Lambda^e = e\Lambda e$ is a ribbon graph order with underlying graph $G'$. Lemma 3.12 implies that $\Lambda^e$ is isomorphic to the circular graph order $\Lambda_n$. Since $A$ is symmetric, so is its subalgebra $\Lambda^e = e\Lambda e$ [SY11, Chapter IV, Theorem 4.1]. Note that the element $z^e = eze$ lies in the center of $\Lambda^e$ and there are isomorphisms of $\mathbb{k}$-algebras $\Lambda^e \cong \Lambda^e/z^e \Lambda^e \cong A_n$. By Lemma 3.13 the number $n$ is even. \hfill $\Box$

### 3.4. Bipartite ribbon graph orders and Brauer graph algebras

For the sake of completeness we give several equivalent characterizations of bipartite ribbon graphs one of which is due to Kauer [Kau98]:

**Proposition 3.15.** Let $\Lambda$ be a ribbon graph order, $G$ its graph and $(Q, I)$ its quiver. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. The graph $G$ is bipartite, that is, there is a map $\beta : G_0 \to \{+, -\}$ such that $\beta(v_a) \neq \beta(v_b)$ if there is an edge between the nodes $v_a$ and $v_b$.

2. There is a $\sigma$-stable polarization $\epsilon$ of $\Lambda$, that is, there is a polarization $\epsilon : Q_1 \to \{+, -\}$ such that $\epsilon_a = \epsilon_{\sigma(a)}$ for any arrow $a \in Q_1$.

3. Each arrow of $Q$ can be colored in one of two colors such that any two arrows $a, b \in Q_1$ with $s(b) = t(a)$ have different colors if and only $ba \in I$.

4. The rank of the Cartan matrix $C_\Lambda$ of the R-order $\Lambda$ is equal to $|G_0| - c_G$, where $c_G$ denotes the number of connected subgraphs of the graph $G$.

**Proof.** 1) $\Rightarrow$ 2): Since $G$ is bipartite, there is a map $\beta : G_0 \to \{+, -\}$ as above. Let $\pi : Q_1 \to G_0$ be the map which assigns to each arrow $a \in Q_1$ its $\sigma$-orbit $v_a$. We claim that the $\sigma$-stable map $\epsilon = \pi \circ \beta : Q_1 \to \{+, -\}$ is a polarization.

Let $a, b \in Q_1$ be two arrows such that $s(a) = s(b)$. By definition of the graph $G$ there is an edge between $v_a$ and $v_b$ in $G$. This implies that $\epsilon_a = \beta(v_a) \neq \beta(v_b) = \epsilon_b$. Thus $\epsilon$ is a $\sigma$-stable polarization of $\Lambda$.

• By Kauer’s results [Kau98, Hauptsatz 2.15, Satz 3.12] it holds that $\text{rk} C_\Lambda = |G_0| - bc_G$, where $bc$ denotes the number of bipartite connected components of the graph $G$. This implies the equivalence $1) \Leftrightarrow 4)$. 

...
We skip the simple proofs of the implications \( (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (1) \) since they will not be needed in the following.

The next statement yields the last two implications for the final subsection:

**Proposition 3.16.** Let \( \Lambda \) be a ribbon graph order such that its graph \( G \) is bipartite or its base field \( k \) has characteristic two. Then the following statement holds:

(a) There is a polarization \( \epsilon \) of \( \Lambda \) which induces a trivial involution \( \epsilon^* \).

Let \( m : G_0 \to \mathbb{N}^+ \) be a map on the set of \( \sigma \)-orbits and \( \varepsilon \) be any polarization of \( \Lambda \). We set \( z = \sum_{a \in Q_1} c_a^{m(a)} \) and \( A = \Lambda / z\Lambda \), \( w = \sum_{a \in Q_1} \varepsilon_a c_a^{m(a)} \) and \( B = \Lambda / Aw\Lambda \).

(b) If for each \( \sigma \)-orbit \( v \in G_0 \) there exists an element \( \lambda \in k \) such that \( \lambda^{m(v)} = -1 \), then there is a \( k \)-algebra isomorphism \( A \cong B \).

**Proof.** Concerning the first claim let us note that for any polarization \( \varepsilon \) of \( \Lambda \) it holds that \( \varepsilon^* = \text{id}_A \) if and only if \( \varepsilon_{\sigma(a)} \varepsilon_a a = a \) for any arrow \( a \in Q_1 \).

- Assume that the field \( k \) has characteristic two. Then any polarization of \( \Lambda \) induces a trivial involution by definition. This was also observed in Remark 2.4. Moreover, it holds that \( z = w \) and \( A = B \). This shows \((a)\) and \((b)\).

- Assume that the graph \( G \) is bipartite. Then there is a \( \sigma \)-stable polarization \( \epsilon \) of \( \Lambda \) by Proposition 3.15 \((1) \Rightarrow (2)\). It follows that \( \epsilon^* = \text{id}_A \), so \((a)\) is true.

Now we assume also that \( k \) has the required roots of \(-1\). We may write \( G_0 = G_0^+ \cup G_0^- \), where \( G_0^+ = \{ v_a \in G_0 \mid \varepsilon_a = \pm \} \). We may choose a complete set of representatives \( \mathcal{A} \subseteq Q_1 \) of the \( \sigma \)-orbits in \( G_0^- \). For each arrow \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \) there is an element \( \lambda_\alpha \in k \) such that \( \lambda_\alpha^{m(a)} = -1 \) by assumption.

Let \( \psi : \Lambda \to \Lambda \) be the unique \( R \)-algebra morphism which preserves any idempotent of \( \Lambda \) as well as any arrow of the complement \( Q_1 \setminus \mathcal{A} \), and maps each arrow \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \) to its multiple \( \lambda_\alpha \alpha \).

Let \( \beta, \gamma \in Q_1 \) be two distinct arrows starting at the same vertex. We may assume that \( v_\beta \in G_0^+ \) and \( v_\gamma \in G_0^- \). Then there is a unique arrow \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \) such that \( v_\alpha = v_\gamma \). Note that \( \psi(c_\beta) = c_\beta, \psi(c_\gamma) = -\lambda_\alpha c_\gamma \) and \( \psi(c_\beta^{m(\beta)} + c_\gamma^{m(\gamma)}) = c_\beta^{m(\beta)} - c_\gamma^{m(\gamma)} \). By Lemma 3.3 the map \( \psi \) induces a \( k \)-algebra isomorphism \( A \cong B \).

This completes the proof of statement \((b)\). \( \square \)

We give an example of the isomorphism constructed in the proof above:

**Example 3.17.** Let \( \Lambda \) be the completed path algebra of the quiver \((Q, I)_n \) from Example 1.1. Its graph \( G \) is a line by Example 1.7. The map \( m \equiv 1 \) yields the algebras \( A \cong kQ/(I + J_+) \) and \( B \cong kQ/(I + J_-) \), where \( (Q, I + J_+) \) is the quiver

```
1          a_1 \quad a_2 \quad \ldots \quad a_n
\quad \quad b_1 \quad b_2 \quad \quad \quad \quad b_n
```

Then \( a_1 b_1 a_1 = b_n a_n b_n = a_{j+1} a_j = b_j b_{j+1} = 0 \),

\( a_j b_j = \mp b_{j+1} a_{j+1} \)

for each index \( 1 \leq j < n \).

The last proof yields the \( k \)-algebra isomorphism \( \psi : A \xrightarrow{\sim} B \) such that \( a_j \mapsto (-1)^j a_j \), \( b_j \mapsto b_j \) and \( e_j \mapsto e_j \) for any index \( 1 \leq j \leq n \).
In the above example the ribbon graph order $\Lambda$ is a flat deformation of the Brauer graph algebra $A$ and the latter has also a Lie-theoretic interpretation \cite{MS11}.

**Remark 3.18.** If $n = 3, m \equiv 2$ and $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{R}$ in Example 3.17 above, then $A \not\cong B$. This shows that the conclusion of Proposition 3.16\(b\) may be wrong in general.

### 3.5. Characterization of symmetric ribbon graph orders

Finally, we may characterize symmetric ribbon graph orders as follows:

**Theorem 3.19.** Let $\Lambda$ be a ribbon graph order, $\mathbb{k}$ its base field, $G$ the graph of a complete gentle quiver of $\Lambda$, $m : G_0 \to \mathbb{N}^+$ be any map on the set of its $\sigma$-orbits and $\varepsilon$ be any polarization of $\Lambda$.

We view $\Lambda$ as an $R$-order via the map $R \to \Lambda, t \mapsto z = \sum_{a \in Q_1} \epsilon_a m(v_a)$ and set $w = \sum_{a \in Q_1} \varepsilon_a m(v_a)$. Consider the following six conditions:

1. The ribbon graph order $\Lambda$ is symmetric.
2. The twisted Brauer graph algebra $A = \Lambda/z\Lambda$ is symmetric.
3. The graph $G$ is bipartite or the field $\mathbb{k}$ has characteristic two.
4. There is a polarization $\epsilon$ of $\Lambda$ such that its involution $\epsilon^*$ is trivial.
5. The algebra $A$ is isomorphic to some Brauer graph algebra.
6. The algebra $A$ is isomorphic to the Brauer graph algebra $B = \Lambda/\Lambda w\Lambda$.

Then the following statements hold:

- (a) The implications \(1 \iff 2 \iff 3 \iff 4 \iff 5 \iff 6\) are true.
- (b) If the field $\mathbb{k}$ has a root of the polynomial $x^{m(v)} + 1$ for each node $v \in G_0$, then all six conditions are equivalent.

**Proof.** • The implications \((1) \Rightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (5)\) follow from Theorem 3.11\(b\)

- \((2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4)\) were shown in Propositions 3.14 and 3.16\(a\)

- \((4) \Rightarrow (1)\): Let $\epsilon$ be a polarization of $\Lambda$ such that $\epsilon^* = \text{id}_\Lambda$. To show that $\Lambda$ is symmetric, we may assume that $m \equiv 1$ by Corollary 1.28. In this case, there is an isomorphism $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\epsilon^*} \cong \Lambda^\vee$ of $\Lambda$-bimodules by Theorem 2.3.

- If $\mathbb{k}$ has the required roots of $-1$, then \((4) \Rightarrow (6)\) by Proposition 3.16\(b\) \qed

At last, we give some examples and remarks on the last theorem.

**Example 3.20.** (a) The graph of the ribbon graph order $\Lambda = \mathbb{k}\langle\langle a,b \rangle\rangle/(a^2, b^2)$ is a loop. By Theorem 3.17 the order $\Lambda$ is symmetric if and only if $\text{char} \mathbb{k} = 2$.

(b) More generally, a circuit graph order $\Lambda_n$ with $n$ vertices from Lemma 3.12 is symmetric if and only if $n$ is even or $\text{char} \mathbb{k} = 2$.

**Remark 3.21.** There are direct proofs for the implications \((1) \iff (3) \iff (4)\) in Theorem 3.19. In particular, the introduction of twisted Brauer graph algebras is not necessary to prove an order-theoretic version of the last theorem.
Concerning quotients of ribbon graph orders let us note the following:

**Remark 3.22.** A $\mathbb{k}$-algebra is special biserial if and only if it is some quotient of some ribbon graph order. If the field $\mathbb{k}$ is algebraically closed, then Brauer graph $\mathbb{k}$-algebras are precisely the finite-dimensional special biserial symmetric $\mathbb{k}$-algebras by a result of Schroll [Sch15, Theorem 1.1].

Let $A$, $B$ and $C$ denote the isomorphism classes of twisted Brauer graph algebras, usual Brauer graph algebras respectively symmetric special biserial algebras over some fixed field $\mathbb{k}$. These three classes of algebras are related as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
A & \quad B = C \text{ if } \mathbb{k} \text{ is alg. closed} \quad \text{[Sch15]} \\
A \cap B & \quad A \cap C \\
A \cap C = C \text{ if } \mathbb{k} \text{ has } m\text{-th root of } -1 \forall m \in \mathbb{N}^+ \\
A \cap B = A \cap C \text{ if } \mathbb{k} \text{ has char } \mathbb{k} = 2 \\
B = C & \quad \text{if } \mathbb{k} \text{ is alg. closed} \\
A & \quad A = B \text{ if } \mathbb{k} \text{ has char } \mathbb{k} = 2 \\
A \cap B & \quad C 
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, let us recall two equivalent notions related to ribbon graphs:

**Remark 3.23.** A dimer model or a dessin d’enfants can be viewed as a bipartite ribbon graph together with a bicoloring of the nodes such that any edge connects nodes of different colors (see [LZ04, Sections 1.5, 2.1] respectively [Boc16]).

By the last Theorem, any dimer model together with some choice of multiplicities on its nodes give rise to the 0-Calabi-Yau category $\text{Perf}_{\Lambda}$ of a symmetric $\mathbb{k}$-algebra $\Lambda$ and the 1-Calabi-Yau category $\text{Perf}_{\text{fd}} \Lambda$ of a symmetric $\mathbb{R}$-order $\Lambda$.

We refer to Broomhead’s work [Bro11] for results and a survey on the relationship between dimer models and certain 3-Calabi-Yau categories.

In summary, Theorem 3.19 suggests that twisted Brauer graph algebras are closer to ribbon graph orders in terms of their homological properties than the symmetric Brauer graph algebras.

In a subsequent paper [Gne], we will study further homological features of ribbon graph orders and twisted Brauer graph algebras from an order-theoretic perspective.
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