Data-driven modeling of strongly nonlinear chaotic systems with non-Gaussian statistics

Hassan Arbabi and Themistoklis Sapsis *

August 27, 2019

Abstract

Strongly nonlinear systems, which commonly arise in turbulent flows and climate dynamics, are characterized by persistent and intermittent energy transfer between various spatial and temporal scales. These systems are difficult to model and analyze due to either high-dimensionality or uncertainty and there has been much recent interest in obtaining reduced models, for example in the form of stochastic closures, that can replicate their non-Gaussian statistics in many dimensions. On the other hand, data-driven methods, powered by machine learning and operator theoretic concepts, have shown great utility in modeling nonlinear dynamical systems with various degrees of complexity. Here we propose a data-driven framework to model stationary chaotic dynamical systems through non-linear transformations and a set of decoupled stochastic differential equations (SDEs). Specifically, we first use optimal transport to find a transformation from the distribution of time-series data to a multiplicative reference probability measure such as the standard normal distribution. Then we find the set of decoupled SDEs that admit the reference measure as the invariant measure, and also closely match the spectrum of the transformed data. As such, this framework represents the chaotic time series as the evolution of a stochastic system observed through the lens of a nonlinear map. We demonstrate the application of this framework in Lorenz-96 system, a 10-dimensional model of high-Reynolds cavity flow, and reanalysis climate data. These examples show that SDE models generated by this framework can reproduce the non-Gaussian statistics of systems with moderate dimensions (e.g. 10 and more), and approximate super-Gaussian tails that are not readily computable from the training data. Some advantageous features of our framework are convexity of the main optimization problem, flexibility in choice of reference measure and the SDE model, and interpretability in terms of interaction between variables and the underlying dynamical process.
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1 Data-driven analysis and prediction of dynamical systems

Scientists and engineers are facing increasingly difficult challenges such as predicting and controlling the earth climate, understanding and emulating the human brain, and design and maintenance of social networks. All these challenges require modeling and analysis of systems that have a large number of degrees of freedom, possibly combined with a considerable amount of uncertainty in parameters. In doing so, the classical model-based state-space approach for dynamical systems analysis and control falls short due to the computational size of these problems and lack of an accurate model. As a result, the recent decades have seen a great development in the area of model reduction and data-driven modeling with the focus of making these problems more amenable to computation and analysis.

One of the main challenges in modeling complex systems is centered around strongly nonlinear systems, i.e. chaotic systems with persistent and intermittent energy transfer between multiple scales. Climate dynamics and engineering turbulence give rise to many such problems and have been a major target of model reduction techniques. In particular, using stochastic reduced models has become increasingly popular for these problems because it allows representing turbulent fluctuations, which arise from nonlinear dynamics in many degrees of freedom, in the form of simple systems with random forcing. Stochastic models of climate are proposed to estimate climate predictability and response to external output [26, 36], explain the observed energy spectrum and transport rates in atmosphere [16, 21], facilitate data assimilation [25] and predict weather patterns [9]. Similar models for analysis of turbulent flows have been suggested in [13, 63, 70, 80]. The formal analysis in [43, 45, 46] has established the validity of stochastic closure models under certain assumptions while emphasizing the need to go beyond the commonly used linear models with additive noise. All the above efforts have shown the great utility and promise of stochastic modeling, but they heavily rely on expert intuition and the underlying model of the system (e.g. linearized Navier-Stokes equations) and therefore their application remains limited to well-understood systems and well-chosen variables.

Data-driven methods offer a shortcut for analysis and control of high-dimensional systems by allowing us to discover and exploit low-dimensional structures that may be masked by the governing equations, or find alternative models with more computational tractability. A key enabler of data-driven analysis for dynamical systems in the recent years has been the operator-theoretic formalism. The Perron-Frobenius operator, which propagates the probabilities in the state space, enables a Markov-type approximation of dynamical systems [14, 35]. Another framework is centered around the Koopman operator [31, 48], which describes the evolution of observables, for computation of geometric objects and linear predictors from trajectory data. These approaches are accompanied by an arsenal of numerical algorithms that extract dynamical information from large data produced by simulations and experiments [54, 59, 64, 66, 78]. Due to interpretability and connection with the classical theory of dynamical systems, operator-theoretic methods have become popular in many fields ranging from fluid dynamics [67] and power networks [61] to biological pattern extraction [8] and visual object recognition [20]. A central feature of these frameworks is to use data to find the canonical coordinates which decouple the system dynamics and allow independent and
linear representation. This feature is also emphasized in manifold learning methods for data mining [10,18,53,68]. However, much of the current operator-theoretic framework relies on the discrete spectrum expansion, and the modeling framework for stationary chaotic systems, where the associated operators possess continuous spectrum, remains to be developed.

Statistical and machine learning methods offer another large and open-ended avenue for data-driven modeling. Variations of neural networks, including auto-encoders [40], long short-term memory networks [75] and reservoir computers [57], are proposed for various tasks like discovering representations of complex systems, closure modeling and short-time prediction of chaotic systems. A big theme of many efforts in this area is to explicitly encode available physical and mathematical information in the structure of learning to reduce the amount of training data and adhere to known constraints [62,74,76]. Furthermore the approximation of operator-theoretic objects from data is naturally connected to the regression problem and there is a growing body of works that use machine learning techniques for approximation of Koopman or Perron-Frobenius operator [28,38,40,55,71,79,81]. All the above studies show the promise of machine learning in modeling dynamical systems but the methods in this category often accompany important caveats such as lack of interpretability and non-robust optimization processes.

In this work, we present a data-driven framework for stochastic modeling of strongly nonlinear systems. Starting from time-series measurements of observables on a dynamical system, this framework yields a set of randomly forced linear oscillators combined with a nonlinear observation map that produce the same statistics as the given time-series data. The core idea is to use optimal transport of probabilities [56,73] to map the data distribution to a multiplicative reference measure and then model each dimension separately using a simple SDE that mimics the spectrum of the time series. In contrast to aforementioned works on stochastic modeling, our framework enables a stronger data-driven approach: we start with a general space of stochastic models, i.e., linear stochastic oscillators pulled back under polynomial maps, and then select the model that produces closest statistics and dynamics to the observed data. This framework also offers advantage over the previous works on data-driven modeling of strongly nonlinear systems [34,44] by treating nonlinearities as a feature of the observation map and not the underlying vector field. As a result, we can use dynamic models with guaranteed stable invariant measures as opposed to quadratic models in [34], and avoid using latent variables as opposed to [44]. Moreover, isolating the nonlinearity in the observation map makes it possible to formulate a major part of the challenge as an optimal transport problem which can be attacked in large dimensions due to the recent computational progress in this area.

We use our framework to compute stochastic models for the Lorenz-96 system, high-Reynolds flow in a 2D cavity and 6-hourly time series of velocity and temperature reanalysis data from the earth atmosphere. Application of our framework to the above examples generates models that closely match the non-Gaussian features of data such as skewness and heavy tails in large dimensions from relatively little data. In case of the cavity flow, the SDE models not only produce the statistics of modal coordinates which are used to train the model, but they also lead to accurate approximation of high-order statistics for pointwise flow measurements. The transport-based framework also allows modeling systems that possess heavy tails in their invariant measures. By utilizing this feature in case of the climate data, we are able to extrapolate the heavy tails of chaotic time series and characterize the
probability of extreme events from short-time observations. Compared to the state-of-the-
art modeling via neural networks, our framework offers convexity of the main optimization
problem, and interpretability in terms of dependence between variables and the underlying
dynamical process.

2 Stochastic modeling via measure transport and spec-
tral matching

Consider a dynamical system given as

$$\dot{x} = f(x),$$
$$y = g(x)$$

with the state variable $x$ in the state space $S$ and the output observable $y$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Assume
that the trajectories in a neighborhood of $S$ converge to an attractor $\Omega$ which supports
an invariant and ergodic probability measure denoted by $\mu$. We assume that instead of
$x$, we can only measure $y$ which is a random variable with distribution $\nu = g#\mu$, that
is, the pushforward of $\mu$ under the observation map $g$. Moreover, the time-series of $y$ are
realizations of the stationary stochastic process $\{U_t g\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ where $U_t$ is the Koopman operator
associated with the system in (1). Given this time series, our goal is to construct a generative
model in the form of SDEs that produces the same joint statistics as this process. We are
typically interested in the setup where $N$ is smaller than the dimension of the attractor (e.g.
measurements from a few sensors in a turbulent flow) and therefore the process may not be
Markovian. Yet our objective is to use a Markovian stochastic model to obtain a coarse-
grained and statistically faithful model rather than constructing a deterministic model which
would necessarily be nonlinear and contain many latent variables.

The first step in our framework is to find the mapping $T : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ that takes the
random variable $y \sim \nu$ to another random variable, denoted as $q$, which has a standard
normal distribution, that is,

$$q = T(y) \sim \pi,$$

where $\pi$ is the standard normal distribution. In other words, we seek the change of variables
that makes the attractor look like a normalized Gaussian distribution in each direction. We
use the theory of optimal transport to find this change of variable. This theory studies
the choice of maps which minimize some notion of cost for carrying a probability measure
to another [60, 73]. The existence of such a map generally depends on the regularity of
the measure $\nu$. We assume that $\nu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue
measure on $\mathbb{R}^N$ which guarantees the existence of the transport map [73]. For numerical
approximation of this map, we use the computational approach developed in [56] (also see
[19, 47]). This framework leverages the structure of a specific class of optimal transport maps,
known as Knothe–Rosenblatt rearrangement, which leads to advantageous computational
properties. The map is assumed to be a lower triangular, differentiable and orientation-
preserving function given as

\[ T(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_N) = \begin{bmatrix} T_1(y_1) \\ T_2(y_1, y_2) \\ \vdots \\ T_N(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_N) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3) \]

where each component is approximated with a multivariate polynomial of a prescribed degree. The coefficients of the polynomials in \( T \) are determined by maximum likelihood estimation: let \( \tilde{T} \) be a candidate for \( T \), and consider the pullback of \( \pi \) under this map,

\[ \tilde{\nu}(y) = \pi(\tilde{T}(y))|\det \nabla \tilde{T}(y)|. \quad (4) \]

Then the map \( T \) is found by minimizing the Kullback-Leibler divergence between this pullback and the data distribution \( \nu \), i.e.,

\[ T = \arg \min_{\tilde{T}} \mathbb{E}_\nu \left[ \log \frac{\nu(y)}{\tilde{\nu}(y)} \right] = \arg \min_{\tilde{T}} \mathbb{E}_\nu \left[ -\log \tilde{\nu}(y) \right] \quad (5) \]

where we dropped \( \nu(y) \) in the second equality since it is independent of optimizer \( \tilde{T} \). The expectation with respect to \( \nu \) is approximated using the time average of data due to ergodicity.

The key feature of this computational setup is that it leads to a convex optimization problem which can be solved for each \( T_i \) separately [56], thereby allowing an efficient computation for large dimensions and long time series.

After we have found \( T \), we fit a system of stochastic oscillators to the time series of the random variable \( q = T(y) \). Since we chose \( \pi \) to be a multiplicative measure, we can do this fitting for each component of \( q \) independently. We consider the systems of forced linear oscillators,

\[ \ddot{q}_j + \beta_j \dot{q}_j + k_j q_j = \sqrt{2D_j} \dot{W}_j, \quad \beta_j, k_j, D_j > 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N, \quad (6) \]

where \( W_j \)'s denote standard and mutually independent Brownian motions. Each oscillator admits a stationary density given by

\[ \rho_j(q, \dot{q}) = c_j \exp \left\{ -\frac{\beta_j}{D_j} \left( \frac{\dot{q}^2}{2} + k_j q^2 \right) \right\}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N. \quad (7) \]

with \( c_j \) being the normalization constant. By setting \( D_j = k_j \beta_j \), we make sure that the displacement of each oscillator has a standard normal distribution. Next we optimize the free parameters of each system to match the spectrum of \( q \). To be more precise, let \( S_j \) denote the power spectral density (PSD) of the stochastic process \( \{U^i q_j\} \). On the other hand, the PSD of response, \( q_j \), in (6) is given as

\[ \hat{S}_j(\omega) = \frac{2D_j}{|k_j + i\beta_j \omega - \omega^2|^2}, \quad (8) \]
where $\omega$ denotes the frequency [69]. Then we find the pair $(k_j, \beta_j)$ that minimizes the spectral difference

$$\Delta = \int_0^{\omega_s} |\tilde{S}_j(\omega) - S_j(\omega)| d\omega$$

with $\omega_s$ being the sampling frequency. We approximate $S_j(\omega)$ from the time series of $q_j = T_j(y)$ using the Welch method [77], and solve this 2D optimization problem using particle swarm optimization. Note that the spectrum of $y_j$ will be affected by the spectrum of $q_1, \ldots, q_j$ due to the coupling of variables in $T^{-1}$, however, we have found that minimizing the error of $q$’s spectra independently is an efficient way to minimize the error in the spectrum of $y$ — see fig. 10 for a 10-dimensional example. When the time series are not long enough for a robust approximation of PSD, we recommend matching the autocorrelation function up to some finite time lag $\tau$. As discussed in [33], the autocorrelation sequence corresponds to the moments of the spectral measure and matching those moments in the limit of $\tau \to \infty$ leads to matching of the PSD. At the end, the data-driven stochastic model for the system in (1) consists of the stochastic oscillators in (6) with the observation map $T^{-1}$.

Our framework for modeling, which is summarized in fig. 1, can be interpreted in various ways: from a machine learning viewpoint, we start with a hypothesis space consisting of stochastic linear oscillators observed under the inverse of triangular monotonic polynomials, and then we find the optimal model using maximum likelihood estimation. From the systems and signals perspective, our approach resembles the classical Wiener system identification which approximates nonlinear systems as a linear system with a nonlinear observation map [6,65]. In the context of measure-preserving systems with discrete spectrum (i.e. flow on tori) one can discover the independent components of motion (i.e. phases along each direction of the tori or equivalently principal Koopman eigenfunctions [50]) and model the evolution of each component as a linear system. Our framework can be considered as an extension of this strategy to measure-preserving chaotic systems. Finally, this method provides a backward technique for fitting solutions of Fokker-Planck equations to data: direct construction of an SDE which gives rise to the data distribution requires solving Fokker-Planck equation in large dimensions which is often difficult or impossible, but in this framework the data is mapped to some distribution which is already a solution to a well-known set of models.

The key feature of our framework is the use of measure transport to ensure the accurate reproduction of the observable statistics. We note that one could use a more sophisticated class of reference measures and stochastic models (e.g. a bimodal distribution and an oscillator with double-well potential) or a more inclusive space of observation maps based on the complexity of the dynamics and availability of data. We find that using polynomial maps with total degree of 2 or 3 combined with linear stochastic oscillators leads to an accurate and computationally efficient pipeline for modeling complex time-series data from fluid flows and climate.
\[ \ddot{q} + \beta \dot{q} + kq = \sqrt{2D_j} \dot{W}_j \]
\[ \beta_j, k_j, D_j > 0 \]
decoupled SDEs

**3 Results**

**3.1 Lorenz 96 system**

Lorenz 96 system is a toy model of atmospheric turbulence which is commonly used in assessment of modeling, closure and data assimilation schemes [42]. This model describes a set of interacting states on a ring which evolve according to

\[ \dot{x}_k = x_{k-1}(x_{k+1} - x_{k-2}) - x_k + F, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, K \]
\[ x_0 = x_K, \quad x_{K+1} = x_1, \quad x_{-1} = x_{K-1}. \]

For the standard parameter values of \( K = 40 \) and \( F = 8 \) trajectories converge to a chaotic attractor. We assume that we can only observe the first component of the state while the trajectory is evolving on the attractor, i.e.,

\[ y = g(x) = x_1. \]

We record a time series of \( y \) with the length of 1000 and sampling interval of 0.1. After applying our SDE modeling framework to this time series, we find the stochastic model to be

\[ \ddot{q} + 4.73\dot{q} + 26.26q = 15.76\dot{W}, \]

where the state \( q \) is related to the observable \( y \) through the map\(^1\)

\[ q = T(y) \approx 0.001y^3 - 0.010y^2 + 0.279y - 0.570. \]

---

\(^1\)In the actual computation, the polynomial is not explicitly realized as in (13). See [56] for details on parametrization of the transport map.
The probability density function (PDF) and PSD of the observable \( y = T^{-1}(q) \) are shown in fig. 2. Due to the inclusion of nonlinear terms in the transport, this model is capable of capturing the skewness in the PDF of observable \( y \). This is a crucial feature for models of turbulent systems since skewness gives rise to the nonlinear cascade of energy, and (linear) models with Gaussian distribution are incapable of describing this type of energy transfer between the system components.

\[
y(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j y e^{i(\omega_j t + \zeta_j)}
\]  

(14)

Figure 2: **Data-driven modeling of Lorenz 96 system.** Top: truth observation on a state observable of chaotic Lorenz 96 system, middle: approximation via our stochastic modeling framework, bottom: random phase model approximation. The SDE model captures the skewness in the PDF and closely matches the spectrum. The quasi-periodic phase model, by design, matches the spectrum of data but systematically misses the skewness in the PDF. The PSDs are computed after removing the mean.

We compare our model to a data-driven approximation of Koopman operator for the Lorenz system. Koopman operator is usually approximated via Extended Dynamic Mode Decomposition (EDMD) algorithm \([30, 32, 78]\), however, the choice of observable dictionary in EDMD, which plays a critical role in the approximation, is not generally well-resolved. The most popular choice is to include delay-embeddings of the measurements \([2]\), but it is known that for measure-preserving chaotic systems the finite-dimensional approximations possess only eigenvalues with negative real part which leads to trivial statistics \([33]\). Here, we use the phase model approximation of the observable evolution in the form of
where $\omega_j$’s are randomly chosen frequencies from the support of the Koopman spectral measure for $y$, $\alpha_j$’s are determined from spectral projections of $y$, and $\zeta_j$’s are initial phases randomly drawn from $U(0, 2\pi)$ (see section 5.2 for more detail). It is shown in [33] that, as $n \to \infty$, such an approximation converges to the true evolution of $y$ over finite time intervals.

A realization of this quasi-periodic phase model for the Lorenz state for $n = 200$ is shown in fig. 2. This model, by construction, accurately reproduces the spectrum of the data but fails to capture the skewness in the PDF. In fact, the phase model, which is commonly used for ocean wave modeling, is shown to converge to Gaussian statistics as $n \to \infty$ [29]. This example highlights the fact that data-driven approximations of Koopman operator in the form of finite-dimensional linear systems cannot reproduce complicated statistics observed in measure-preserving chaotic systems.
### 3.2 Chaotic cavity flow and Spectral Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (SPOD)

Fluid flows at large length and velocity scales are canonical examples of high-dimensional chaotic behavior. We discuss the application of our framework to such flows using a numerical model of the chaotic lid-driven cavity flow. This flow consists of an incompressible fluid in a 2D square domain, $D = [-1, 1]^2$, with solid walls, where the steady sliding of the top wall, given by

$$u(y = 1) = (1 - x^2)^2, \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1,$$

induces a circulatory fluid motion inside the cavity. The Reynolds number of this flow is defined as $Re = 2/\kappa$ where $\kappa$ is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid in the numerical simulation. The cavity flow at $Re = 30,000$ converges to a measure-preserving chaotic attractor exhibiting a purely continuous Koopman spectrum [3]. Here we model the evolution of the post-transient cavity flow in two steps: first we use the modes obtained by Spectral Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (SPOD) [39, 72] as a spatial basis for description of the flow evolution. We justify the use of SPOD modes through its connection with the spectral expansion of Koopman operator with continuous spectrum. In the second step, we use the framework based on measure transport and spectral matching to find the stochastic model that captures the evolution of flow in the SPOD coordinates.

Consider a non-homogenous stationary turbulent flow. The velocity field at each point is a random variable defined on the underlying measure-preserving attractor, but due to non-homogeneity these random variables have different statistics and spectra. However, since all these variable arise from the same underlying attractor we can define characteristic spatial fields that connect the spectra of various variables through the notion of Koopman spectral measure. Let $u_x$ and $u_{x'}$ denote velocity field at location $x$ and $x'$ in the flow domain. The spectral expansion of the Koopman operator for these two random variables is

$$< u(x), U^\tau u(x') >_\mu = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\omega \tau} \rho_{x,x'}(\omega) d\omega,$$

where $\rho_{x,x'}$ is the Koopman cross spectral density of $u_x$ and $u_{x'}$, and $< \cdot, \cdot >_\mu$ is the inner product with respect to the invariant measure on the attractor [48]. The SPOD modes of the flow at frequency $\omega$, denoted by $\psi(x, \omega)$, are defined as solutions of the eigenvalue problem

$$\int_\Omega \rho_{x,x'}(\omega) \psi(x', \omega) dx' = \lambda \psi(x, \omega).$$

As such, SPOD modes are intrinsic dynamical properties that do not depend on the choice of flow realization, as opposed to dynamic modes or Fourier modes, and therefore provide a robust choice of basis for description of the flow evolution. For more details on Koopman spectral density see section 5.1.

We compute the SPOD modes of cavity flow using the algorithm in [72]. We define the SPOD coordinates to be the projection of the flow onto the 10 most energetic SPOD modes.

---

2 In contrast to [72] we do not include the time-dependence oscillation in the definition of SPOD modes, since for chaotic systems there are no observables with single-frequency oscillations.
at different frequencies, which contain $\sim 50\%$ of the turbulent kinetic energy, i.e.,

$$y_j(t) = \langle u(x, t), \psi_j \rangle_D, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, 10.$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D$ denotes the spatial inner product over the flow domain.

As the training data for our SDE model, we use a single time-series of SPOD coordinates with the length of 2500 seconds and sampling rate of 10 Hz. We use a polynomial map of (total) degree 2 to compute the transport between the distribution of SPOD coordinates and the standard normal distribution, and identify the corresponding SDE. After generating a 10000-second-long trajectory of the SDE, we compute the statistics of that trajectory, observed under the inverse of polynomial map. Figure 3 (a,b) shows the excellent agreement between the true marginals and the ones obtained from the SDE model. Next we use the SPOD data generated by the model to construct a new flow trajectory and compare the statistics of pointwise velocity measurements with the original data projected to the 10-dimensional subspace of SPOD modes. The results, shown in fig. 3(c), confirm an accurate recovery of pointwise statistics hence indicating the high skill of our modeling in capturing the 10-dimensional joint pdf of flow modal data. Moreover, the match between log PDFs shows the utility of polynomial transport for data-driven extrapolation of PDF tails which we will explore in the next example. See section 5.3 for more details on modeling of cavity flow.
Figure 3: Modeling of chaotic cavity flow at $Re = 30000$. a) Single and pairwise marginal PDFs of 4 (out of 10) SPOD coordinates from data, b) same marginals by the SDE model. The quantile axes limits are $(-0.026, 0.026)$. c) Location of sensors for velocity measurements and a vorticity snapshot of the cavity flow (left) and the log PDFs of $u$– and $v$–velocity generated by the SDE model and the 10-dimensional representation of the flow in SPOD coordinates (labeled as truth). The insets show the PDFs on a linear ordinate scale. The matching between the pointwise statistics indicates the skill of the SDE model in capturing the 10-dimensional joint pdf of SPOD coordinates.
3.3 Reanalysis climate data and tail extrapolation

Statistical characterization of dynamic extreme events, i.e. probabilistically rare events that arise from combination of dynamics and randomness, is an important and challenging task. The importance stems from the significant and disruptive effect of extreme events such as earthquakes, rogue waves and extreme weather patterns. Yet finding probabilities of extreme events, which reside at the tails of the PDF, is challenging because it requires a very large number of samples. The theoretical setup for study of such events is the extreme value theory (e.g. [11]) which has been recently extended to chaotic dynamical system with some success [12,22], but remains limited to systems with specific mixing properties. In the recent years, there has been a number of methods that employ techniques such as model reduction or machine learning for data-efficient quantification of extreme events [51,52].

Our modeling framework can be used for characterization of extreme events using relatively little data. The key idea is that we can model a large space of probability measures with heavy tails by using polynomial transport maps to a reference measure like standard normal distribution\(^3\). By discovering such a transport map, we identify our data distribution with a pullback of Gaussian probability under that map and the resulting distribution provides an approximation of the tails of the real data distribution. Although analytic description of the tails in these distributions are not available when the transport map is a high-degree multivariate polynomial map, we can easily generate a large sample from the reference measure and pass them through the transport map inverse to construct the tail approximation. In the following we consider the application of this method to climate data with super-Gaussian tails.

Our data is based on 6-hourly reanalysis of velocity and temperature in the earth atmosphere recorded at the 100-mbar iso-pressure surface from 1981 to 2017 [5]. These global fields are then expanded in a spherical wavelet basis [37]. Figure 4 shows the time series of the expansion coefficients for a level-1 wavelet envelope centered on top of the North Pole. These time series exhibit a combination of periodic and chaotic oscillations. Therefore, we model them as observation on a stationary system with mixed spectra, i.e., a system that possesses both discrete and continuous Koopman spectra (see e.g. [7, 48]). In the first step, we extract the periodic component of data (i.e. Koopman modes) by removing Fourier modes of time series that correspond to more than 1% of fluctuation energy. We apply our stochastic modeling framework to the chaotic remainders with heavy tails.

We construct a sequence of stochastic models for each of the chaotic time series obtained from measurements at the North Pole. In each model, we add an extra random variable from velocity and temperature measurements at other locations to capture the statistical dependence between those variables and the target variable (i.e. chaotic part of temperature or \(u\)-velocity). These covariates are chosen from the set of all wavelet coefficients (> 8000 variables) in the order of decreasing absolute linear correlation with the target variables. The geographic position of the covariates is shown in fig. 5(a). Importantly, we exploit the triangular structure of transport in (3) and place the target variable on the bottom of each map, so that its mapping to the reference measure is informed by all the covariates. For training the models we use only the time series from 1981 and 1982 and polynomials of total

\(^3\)Indeed the representation of distribution by transport to a reference measure is the idea behind development of the computational framework in [56]
degree 2.

After discovering each model, we generate a 74-year long trajectory of the SDE model and pull it back to the space of observations. The PDFs of the observed map provide a long-time extrapolation to the PDFs of the training data. As shown in fig. 5, those PDFs are in good agreement with the original reanalysis data of 37 years. In particular, when covariates are added to the model the approximation of tails with good training data (e.g. left tails in the top and bottom row) becomes consistently better.
Figure 5: **Extrapolation of tails for climate data.** a) Location of covariates used to build models for the target random variables, i.e., $u$-velocity and temperature at the North Pole, b) approximation of PDF tails by generating a surrogate trajectory of the SDE model and pulling it back under the transport map. $n$ is the number of covariates used to learn the SDE model. The training data is the time series in 1981-1982 and the truth data is the time series in 1981-2017. The shaded envelopes show the 95% (pointwise) confidence interval of PDF estimation for training and truth data.
4 Discussion

We presented a data-driven framework for modeling chaotic dynamical systems in the form of decoupled stochastic oscillators with nonlinear observation maps. The key feature of our framework is the use of measure transport to model non-Gaussian invariant measures that arise from statistics of complex chaotic systems. Application to a high-Reynolds chaotic flow showed that models generated by our framework can accurately reproduce the 10-dimensional joint pdfs of SPOD modal coordinates computed from data and hence recover the pointwise statistics in the flow. We also showed the promise of our framework in data-driven characterization of extreme events through an example of observational climate data.

In the context of operator-theoretic approximation, our framework is closest in spirit to the approach in [14, 15] where the Perron-Frobenius operator of the underlying dynamical system is approximated using a Markov chain for transition between the computational cells in the state space (also see [24]). Although this approach reproduces both the statistics and dynamical behavior, it is not computationally tractable for moderate and high-dimensional systems, and for systems like complex flows one needs to use extreme coarse-graining of the dynamics e.g. by clustering data in to discrete representative states [27, 59]. Another data-driven approach for generative modeling is the Generative Adversarial Network (GAN) [23]. GANs have produced exemplary results in emulating high-dimensional and rich data distributions, however, like other deep networks, they offer little to no interpretability and lack of an inherent dynamical character. Training GANs often require non-trivial techniques and interestingly one of the effective improvements on GAN training, the Wasserstein GAN [4], is based on ideas from measure transport. The framework presented in this paper provides a balanced trade-off for data-driven modeling of dynamical systems with complicated statistical behavior. Through the use of a single-layer triangular polynomial maps, it offers much interpretability in assessing the role of different variables on the observed dynamics, and due to the convexity and separability of the underlying optimization problem it is easily extendable to tens of dimensions.

One promising direction for extension of our framework is to include physics-based constraints in computation of transport maps and the underlying SDE models. As shown in previous works, incorporation of physical information about the target system into the structure of learning can substantially increase the data-efficiency of modeling. In the context of statistical modeling for turbulent flows and climate, statistical laws and tail slopes predicted from the theory provide suitable constraints. Our framework also has great potential for data-driven modeling in further applications such as nonlinear optics, chemical reaction networks, molecular dynamics and biological systems.
5 More details on data, computation and theory

The data for Lorenz 96 system is generated using direct integration of eq. (11) using 4th-order Runge-Kutta with time step $\Delta t = 0.01$. The velocity field for the lid-driven cavity flow is computed by direct solution of Navier-Stokes equations in NEK5000 [58]. The cavity domain is divided into 25 elements in each direction with polynomials of 7th degree and the stationary solution is recorded after 3500 transient simulation time units. The processed reanalysis climate data is provided by AIR Worldwide. The PDFs reported for Lorenz and cavity flow and climate (truth and model) are computed using a 100-bin histogram and then smoothed by convolving with Gaussian kernel with standard deviation of 2 bin widths. The PDFs for the climate training data are computed using 50 bins. The confidence intervals for PDFs of climate are computed using binomial statistics and the adjusted Wald formula in [1].

A Python implementation of our framework with the time-series data for generation of the figures in this paper can be found at https://github.com/arbabiha/StochasticModelingwData.

5.1 Cross-spectral density of Koopman operator and Spectral Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (SPOD)

In this section, we recall the Koopman spectral expansion for chaotic systems and define the cross-spectral density of Koopman operator which is used in the definition of SPOD in section 3.2. Consider a deterministic dynamical system with an attractor $\Omega$ which supports a physical measure $\mu$, and $\mu(\Omega) = 1$. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{H} := L^2(\Omega, \mu)$ be observables of this system, with the usual inner product

$$<f, g>_{\mu} = \int_{\Omega} fg^* d\mu. \quad (19)$$

Now recall the Koopman operator $U^t$ defined as $U^t f = f \circ F^t$ where $F^t$ is the reversible flow of the dynamical system. $U^t$ is a unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}$, that is $(U^t)^* = U^{-t}$. This implies that the spectrum of the Koopman operator lies on the imaginary axis in the complex plane, and the spectral expansion of the Koopman operator [48, 49] is given as

$$U^t f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_k \phi_k e^{i\omega_k t} + \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i\omega t} dE_{\omega} f, \quad (20)$$

where the countable sum is the Koopman mode decomposition of $f$ associated with the quasi-periodic part of the evolution, $i\omega_j$ is a Koopman eigenvalue (i.e. an element of discrete spectrum) associated with eigenfunction $\phi_k$, and $E$ is the spectral measure of the Koopman operator associated with the continuous part of the spectrum. To be more precise, $E$ is a measure on $[0, 2\pi)$ that takes values in the space of projections on $H$. That is, for every measurable set $B \subset [0, 2\pi)$, $E_B$ is a projection operator, and $E_B f$ is projection of $f$ onto the eigen-subspace associated with the part of spectrum residing in $B$. We are interested in continuous part of the Koopman spectrum which corresponds to chaotic behavior, and therefore we assume that there are no quasi-periodic parts, including the part with zero frequency (i.e. mean of the observable) present in the evolution.
The operator-valued measure $E$ is difficult to characterize using data. Instead, we can define a positive real-valued measure associated only with $f$ and defined as follows [41],

$$\mu_f(B) = \langle E(B)f, f \rangle_\mu.$$ (21)

Then, we can rewrite the spectral expansion of $f$ as

$$\langle U_t f, f \rangle_\mu = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\omega t} d\rho_f(\omega)$$ (22)

where $\rho_f$ is the Koopman spectral density of observable $f$. Similarly, we can define a measure for spectral correlation of two distinct observables. That is

$$\langle E(B)f, g \rangle_\mu = \langle f, E(B)g \rangle_\mu = \mu_{f,g}(B)$$ (23)

defines a finite complex-valued measure $\mu_{f,g}$ on $[0, 2\pi)$. Under the assumption of absolute continuity for this measure, we can write

$$\mu_{f,g}(B) = \int_B \rho_{f,g} d\alpha,$$ (24)

with $\rho_{f,g}$ being Koopman spectral density of observables $f$ and $g$. Consequently, we can write the following expansion for the dynamic evolution of the two observables

$$\langle f, U^\tau g \rangle_\mu = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\omega \tau} \rho_{f,g}(\omega) d\omega.$$ (25)

In view of the duality between measure-preserving deterministic systems and stationary stochastic processes [17], the expansion in (25) is the same as the spectral expansion for stochastic processes used in definition of SPOD [39, 72].

5.2 Construction of random phase model for chaotic systems

Let $g : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be an observable on the measure-preserving dynamical system. Given the spectral density of $g$, algorithm 1 generates a random phase model for the evolution of $g$ in time. The main idea is to approximate the spectral measure of $g$ using sum of delta functions, that is, modeling the evolution as rotation on tori. Using ergodicity, we can use a single realization to compute the PDF reported in section 3.1.

To see the connection with the approximation of the Koopman operator note that the work in [33] has shown that approximations such as

$$U^1_m g := \sum_{j=1}^m e^{2\pi i \omega_j} E(B_j)g,$$ (26)

where $B_j$’s are a partition of $[0, 2\pi)$ and $\omega_j \in B_j$, will converge to the true evolution $U^1 g$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mu)$ in the limit of infinitely refined partition. This approximation is in the function space and the choice of $B_j, \omega_j$’s are not unique. Algorithm 1 generates a realization of this approximation, with $B_j = [e_{j-1}, e_j)$, that is spectrally consistent, i.e.,

$$|a_j|^2 = \int_{B_j} \rho_g(\omega) d\omega.$$ (27)
Algorithm 1 Construction of random phase model from spectral density

Initialization: spectral density $\rho(\omega)$, number of intervals on the frequency domain $m$

1: Draw $m$ random value of cell edges, $e_j$, from uniform distribution on $[0, 2\pi)$.
2: Set $e_0 = 0$ and $e_{m+1} = 2\pi$.
3: Sort the random cell edges to form the sequence $e_j$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, m + 1$ with $e_{j+1} > e_j$.
4: for $j = 1, \ldots, m$ do
5: Let $\omega_j = \frac{1}{2}(e_j + e_{j-1})$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$.
6: Let $\Delta\omega_j = e_j - e_{j-1}$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$.
7: Let

$$a_j = \sqrt{\rho(\omega_j)\Delta\omega_j} \approx \left( \int_{e_{j-1}}^{e_j} \rho(\omega)d\omega \right)^{1/2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

8: Draw $\zeta_j$ randomly and independently for each $j$ from the uniform distribution on $[0, 2\pi)$ and let

$$g_t = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_k e^{i(\omega_j t + \zeta_j)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)
5.3 Supplemental information and figures for cavity flow

The data for the cavity flow consists of a single trajectory with the length of 12000 seconds. We have removed the mean flow from the data and then applied the algorithm in [72] to the first 2000 seconds to compute the SPOD modes of flow shown in fig. 6. Then projected the next 10000 seconds onto the top 10 energetic modes, using eq. (18), to obtain the SPOD coordinates. We have used the first 2500 second of the SPOD coordinate time series, with sampling rate of 10 Hz, for training of the SDE model. The marginal distributions of flow data (fig. 7) are computed using the whole trajectory. An SDE trajectory of the same length is used to compute the marginal distributions in fig. 3 and fig. 8. To compute the pointwise statistics, we reconstruct the flow field via

\[ \hat{u}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} H_{jk} y_k \psi_j(x) \]  \hspace{1cm} (30)

where the matrix $H$ is the inverse of Gramian matrix $G$ defined as $G_{jk} = \langle \psi_j, \psi_k \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}$.

The results in this paper are compiled using the real part of SPOD modes for simplicity in presentation.

\footnote{The matrix $H$ appears to compensate the non-orthogonality of SPOD modes.}
Figure 6: Spectral orthogonal decomposition (SPOD) for cavity flow at \( \text{Re} = 30000 \). a) Distribution of the kinetic energy within the SPOD modes vs frequency, for each frequency we have 155 spatially orthogonal modes. b) (real part of) vorticity for the top 10 energetic SPOD modes corresponding to the 10 highest circles in (a). The red color marks clockwise rotation and the blue counter-clockwise.
Figure 7: Marginal distributions of SPOD coordinates in cavity flow from the flow simulation. The quantile axes limits are $(-0.026, 0.026)$
Figure 8: Marginal distributions of SPOD coordinates in cavity flow from the SDE model. The quantile axes limits are \((-0.026, 0.026)\). Compare to the truth in fig. 7.
Figure 9: Time evolution of SPOD coordinates for cavity flow with samples from the truth data (numerical simulation of the flow, shown on the left) and a realization from the SDE model (right).
Figure 10: Power spectral density (PSD) of SPOD coordinates from the flow simulation and the SDE model (left), and the transported variable (right).
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Data and source code

Python Implementation of our framework with the time series data for generation of the figures in this paper is available at https://github.com/arbabiha/StochasticModelingwData.
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