We study a polynomial interpolation of finite multiple zeta and zeta-star
values with variable $t$, which is an analogue of interpolated multiple zeta values intro-
duced by Yamamoto. We introduce several relations among them and, in particular,
prove the cyclic sum formula, the Bowman-Bradley type formula, and the weighted sum
formula. The harmonic relation, the shuffle relation, the duality relation, and the deriva-
tion relation are also presented.

1. Introduction

1.1. Interpolated multiple zeta values. Interpolated multiple zeta values ($t$-MZVs)
which was introduced by Yamamoto [42] is an interpolation polynomial of multiple zeta
values (MZVs) and multiple zeta-star values (MZSVs).

An index is a sequence of positive integers and let $I = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}]$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector
space spanned by all indices. For an index $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_r)$, the integer $k := k_1 + \cdots + k_r$
is called the weight of $k$ (denoted by $\text{wt}(k)$) and the integer $r$ is called the depth of $k$
denoted by $\text{dep}(k)$). Then, for an index $(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ with $k_r \geq 2$, the MZVs and the
MZSVs are defined by

$$\zeta(k_1, \ldots, k_r) := \sum_{1 \leq n_1 < \cdots < n_r} 1 \over n_1^{k_1} \cdots n_r^{k_r} \in \mathbb{R},$$
$$\zeta^*(k_1, \ldots, k_r) := \sum_{1 \leq n_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_r} 1 \over n_1^{k_1} \cdots n_r^{k_r} \in \mathbb{R},$$

and the $t$-MZVs by

$$\zeta^t(k_1, \ldots, k_r) := \sum_p \zeta(p) t^{r-\text{dep}(p)} \in \mathbb{R}[t], \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where $\sum_p$ stands for the sum where $p$ runs over all indices of the form $p = (k_1 \Box \cdots \Box k_r)$
in which each $\Box$ is filled by a comma ‘,’ or a plus ‘+’. It is obvious that $\zeta^0 = \zeta$ and $\zeta^1 = \zeta^*$. We note that several
algebraic relations among $t$-MZVs are already known (see Yamamoto [42], Tanaka-Wakabayashi [39], Li-Qin [20], and Li [19]).

1.2. Interpolated finite multiple zeta values. The main topic of this paper is to con-
sider the counterpart of $t$-MZVs in the field of finite multiple zeta(-star) values (FMZ(S)Vs),
and introduce several relations among them.
1.2.1. Finite multiple zeta(-star) values. Kaneko and Zagier [16] defined two types of FMZ(S)Vs: \( \mathcal{A} \)-finite multiple zeta(-star) values (\( \mathcal{A} \)-FMZ(S)Vs) and symmetric multiple zeta(-star) values (SMZ(S)Vs).

Set \( \mathcal{A} := \prod_p \mathbb{F}_p / \bigoplus_p \mathbb{F}_p \), where \( p \) runs over all primes. For an index \((k_1, \ldots, k_r)\), the \( \mathcal{A} \)-FMZVs and the \( \mathcal{A} \)-FMZSVs are defined by

\[
\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, \ldots, k_r) := \left( \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < \cdots < m_r < p} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_r^{k_r}} \mod p \right)_p \in \mathcal{A},
\]

\[
\zeta^*_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, \ldots, k_r) := \left( \sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_r < p} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_r^{k_r}} \mod p \right)_p \in \mathcal{A}.
\]

Let \( \mathcal{Z} \) be the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linear subspace of \( \mathbb{R} \) spanned by 1 and all MZVs. For an index \((k_1, \ldots, k_r)\), we define the SMZVs by

\[
\zeta_{\mathcal{S}}(k_1, \ldots, k_r) := \sum_{i=0}^{r} (-1)^{k_1+i+\cdots+k_r} \zeta(k_1, \ldots, k_i) \zeta(k_r, \ldots, k_{r+1}) \mod \zeta(2) \in \mathcal{Z} / \zeta(2) \mathcal{Z},
\]

where we understand \( \zeta(\emptyset) = 1 \). Here, the symbol \( \zeta \) on the right-hand side means the regularized values coming from harmonic regularization, i.e., real values obtained by taking constant terms of harmonic regularization as explained in Ihara-Kaneko-Zagier [13]. For an index \((k_1, \ldots, k_r)\), we also define the symmetric multiple zeta-star values (SMZSVs) by

\[
\zeta^*_{\mathcal{S}}(k_1, \ldots, k_r) := \sum_{\Box \text{ is either a comma ',', or a plus '+'}} \zeta^*_{\mathcal{S}}(k_1 \Box \cdots \Box k_r) \mod \zeta(2) \in \mathcal{Z} / \zeta(2) \mathcal{Z}.
\]

Denoting by \( \mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{A} \) the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-vector subspace of \( \mathcal{A} \) spanned by 1 and all \( \mathcal{A} \)-FMZVs, Kaneko and Zagier conjectured that there is an isomorphism between \( \mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{Z} / \zeta(2) \mathcal{Z} \) as \( \mathbb{Q} \)-algebras such that \( \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, \ldots, k_r) \) and \( \zeta_{\mathcal{S}}(k_1, \ldots, k_r) \) correspond to each other (for details, see Kaneko [15] and Kaneko-Zagier [16]). In the following, the letter \( \mathcal{F} \) stands for either \( \mathcal{A} \) or \( \mathcal{S} \).

1.2.2. Interpolation of finite multiple zeta and zeta-star values. We define a polynomial that interpolates FMZVs and FMZSVs with \( t \) as a variable (\( t \)-FMZVs) by

\[
\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(k_1, \ldots, k_r) := \sum_p \zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(p) t^{r - \text{dep}(p)},
\]

where the variable \( p \) runs over the same place in \( \mathcal{F} \). Then we easily see \( \zeta^*_{\mathcal{F}} = \zeta_{\mathcal{F}} \) and \( \zeta^*_k = \zeta_k \) hold.

We note that \( \zeta^*_A(k) \) was first defined by Seki [37] and he interpolated the sum formulas for \( \mathcal{A} \)-FMZVs and \( \mathcal{A} \)-FMZSVs, which were proved by Saito-Wakabayashi [35], partially. Remark that Seki proved the formula only for \( \zeta^*_A(k) \), but his proof worked for \( \zeta^*_S(k) \). For a positive integer \( k \), let \( \mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{A}(k) := (B_{p-k}/k \mod p)_p \) and \( \mathcal{Z}_S(k) := \zeta(k) \mod \zeta(2) \), where \( B_n \) is the \( n \)-th Seki-Bernoulli number.

**Theorem 1.1** (Sum formula; Seki [37]). For positive integers \( k, r \) with \( 1 \leq r \leq k \), we have

\[
\sum_{\substack{k_1 + \cdots + k_r = k \\ k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1} \geq 1, k_r \geq 2}} \zeta^*_{\mathcal{F}}(k_1, \ldots, k_r) = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left\{ \binom{k-1}{j} + (-1)^r \binom{k-1}{r-1-j} \right\} t^j (1-t)^{r-1-j} \mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{F}(k).
\]
In this paper, we give several algebraic relations among \( t \)-FMZVs and, in particular, we prove the following three theorems:

**Theorem 1.2** (Cyclic sum formula). For a non-empty index \((k_1, \ldots, k_r)\) with \((k_1, \ldots, k_r) \neq \{1, \ldots, 1\}\), we have

\[
\sum_{l=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{k_l-1} \zeta_{F}^l(j, k_{l+1}, \ldots, k_r, k_1, \ldots, k_{l-1}, k_l+1-j) = (1-t) \sum_{l=1}^{r} (\zeta_{F}^l(k_{l+1}, \ldots, k_r, k_1, \ldots, k_{l-1}, k_l+1) + \zeta_{F}^l(k_{l+1}+1, k_{l+2}, \ldots, k_r, k_1, \ldots, k_l))
\]

\[+ \sum_{l=1}^{r} \zeta_{F}^l(1, k_{l+1}, \ldots, k_r, k_1, \ldots, k_l).\]

**Theorem 1.3** (Bowman-Bradley type formula). Let \(a\) and \(b\) be odd positive integers, and \(c\) be an even integer. Then, for any non-negative integers \(l\) and \(m\) with \((l, m) \neq (0, 0)\), we have

\[
\sum_{\sum_{i=0}^{2\ell-1} m_i = m} \zeta_{F}^\ell(\{c\}^{m_0}, a, \{c\}^{m_1}, b, \{c\}^{m_2}, \ldots, a, \{c\}^{m_{2s-1}}, b, \{c\}^{m_{2s}}) = 0.
\]

Here, for a positive integer \(k\) and a non-negative integer \(m\), we write \(\{k\}^m := k, \ldots, k\).

**Remark 1.4.** We prove a more general theorem in Section 3 (see Theorem 3.1).

**Theorem 1.5** (Weighted sum formula). For positive integer \(k\) and odd positive integer \(r\) with \(1 \leq r \leq k\), we have

\[
\sum_{k_1 + \ldots + k_r = k \atop k_1, \ldots, k_r \geq 1} 2^{kr} \zeta_{F}^l(k_1, \ldots, k_r) = 0.
\]

The contents of this paper is as follows: In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.2 by reducing it to the cyclic sum formula for FMZVs. In Section 3, we prove the Bowman-Bradley type formulas (Theorems 1.3 and 3.1) by comparing the coefficients of ‘Bowman-Bradley sum’ in the two successive degrees in \(t\)-FMZVs. In Section 4, we partially interpolate the weighted sum formulas obtained by Hirose-Murahara-Saito [7] for \(A\)-FMZ(S)V\(S\) and Murahara [27] for FMZ(S)V\(S\). In Section 5, we introduce several formulas that are relatively easy to obtain, such as the harmonic relation, the shuffle relation, the duality relation, and the derivation relation.

### 2. Cyclic sum formula

The cyclic sum formulas for MZVs and MZSVs were proved by Hoffman-Ohno [11 (1)] and Ohno-Wakabayashi [29 Theorem 1], respectively. Yamamoto [42 Theorem 5.4]
interpolated them to $t$-MZVs:

$$\sum_{l=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{k_l-1} \zeta^l(j, k_{l+1}, \ldots, k_r, k_1, \ldots, k_{l-1}, k_l + 1 - j)$$

$$= (1 - t) \sum_{l=1}^{r} \zeta^l(k_{l+1} + 1, \ldots, k_r, k_1, \ldots, k_{l-1}, k_l) + k\zeta(k + 1)t^r,$$

where $r, k_1, \ldots, k_r \geq 1$, $k_1, \ldots, k_r$ are not all 1 and $k := k_1 + \cdots + k_r$.

On the other hand, the counterparts for $\mathcal{A}$-FMZ(S)Vs and SMZ(S)Vs were obtained by Kawasaki-Oyama [17, Theorem 1.2] and Sato-Hirose (unpublished), respectively. Here, we prove a generalization for $t$-FMZVs (Theorem 1.2) by using their results.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2**. Put $F^t(k_1, \ldots, k_r) := \text{R.H.S.} - \text{L.H.S. of Theorem 1.2}$ and we extend $F^t$ to the map from $\mathcal{I}$ to $\mathcal{Z}_4[t]$ if $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A}$ or to $(\mathcal{Z}/\zeta(2)\mathcal{Z})[t]$ if $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{S}$. We define the cyclic star index $C_m(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ by

$$C_m(k_1, \ldots, k_r) := \sum_{\square \mapsto \tau \text{ or } \tau' \mapsto \square \text{ for all indices } k_1, \ldots, k_r} (k_1 \square \cdots k_r \square) \in \mathcal{I}.$$ 

Here, we understand $(k_1 \square \cdots k_r \square) = (k_r + k_1 \square \cdots k_{r-1})$. By the definition of $t$-FMZVs, we find that the coefficient of $t^m$ in $F^t(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ is

$$F^t(C_m(k_1, \ldots, k_r)).$$

This vanishes from the cyclic sum formula for FMZVs. \qed

### 3. **Bowman-Bradley type formula**

Bowman-Bradley [3, Theorem 5.1] proved that the sum of MZVs for indices which consist of the shuffle of two kinds of the strings $\{1, 3, \ldots, 1, 3\}$ and $\{2, \ldots, 2\}$ is a rational multiple of a power of $\pi$. Saito-Wakabayashi [36, Theorem 1.4] obtained its counterparts for FMZ(S)Vs. Here, we generalize them to $t$-FMZVs.

For $(l, m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, $I_{l,m}$ denote the set of all sequences of the form

$$\alpha = (a_1, \ldots, a_l; b_1, \ldots, b_l; c_1, \ldots, c_m),$$

where $a_1, \ldots, a_l$ and $b_1, \ldots, b_l$ are odd positive integers and $c_1, \ldots, c_m$ are even positive integers. We define a $\mathbb{Q}$-bilinear product $\hat{\otimes}$ on $\mathcal{I}$ inductively by setting

$$k \hat{\otimes} 0 = 0 \hat{\otimes} k = k,$$

$$(k_1, k) \hat{\otimes} (l_1, l) = (k_1, k \hat{\otimes} (l_1, l)) + (l_1, (k_1, k) \hat{\otimes} l)$$

for all indices $k, l$ and all positive integers $k_1, l_1$. For $\alpha = (a_1, \ldots, a_l; b_1, \ldots, b_l; c_1, \ldots, c_m) \in I_{l,m}$, set

$$B_\alpha := \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_l} \langle a_{\sigma(1)}, b_{\tau(1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(l)}, b_{\tau(l)} \rangle \hat{\otimes} (c_1) \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} (c_m) \in \mathcal{I},$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_r$ is the symmetric group of degree $r$. We endow the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $\mathcal{I}$ with a (non-commutative) ring structure by the concatenation product. We extend $\zeta^t_\mathcal{I}$ to the $\mathbb{Q}[t]$-linear map from $\mathcal{I}[t]$. Then, Theorem 1.3 can be generalized as follows:

**Theorem 3.1.** For any $(l, m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ and $\alpha \in I_{l,m}$, we have $\zeta^t_\mathcal{I}(B_\alpha) = 0$.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1**. Theorem 1.3 is obtained by setting $\alpha = (a, \ldots, a; b, \ldots, b; c, \ldots, c) \in I_{l,m}$ in Theorem 3.1. \qed
For an index \((k_1, \ldots, k_r)\), we put
\[
(k_1, \ldots, k_r)^t := \sum (k_1 \square k_2 \square \cdots \square k_r) \times t^{ \text{the number of }'\square' \text{ or a plus }'+' \text{ in} \ k_1, \ldots, k_r} \in \mathcal{I}[t]
\]
and call \((k_1, \ldots, k_r)^t\) a \(t\)-index. We extend \(\mathbb{Q}\)-linearly the definition of the \(t\)-index to elements in \(\mathcal{I}\) and for an element \(I\) in \(\mathcal{I}\), we denote its \(t\)-index by \(I^t\).

For non-negative integers \(l, m, n\) with \((l, m) \neq (0, 0)\) and \(a \in I_{l,m}\), we denote by \(B_{l,m}^{(n)}[a]\) the element in \(\mathcal{I}\) which is the coefficient of the \(t\)-index \(B_a^t\) at \(t^n\). Thus we have \(B_a^t = \sum_{n=0}^{2l+m-1} B_{l,m}^{(n)}[a] t^n\). Then, we see that the statement of Theorem 3.1 is equivalent to

\[
(2) \quad \zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(B_{l,m}^{(n)}[a]) = 0
\]
for all \(n\) with \(0 \leq n \leq 2l + m - 1\). To prove (2), we need the following proposition which relates \(B_{l,m}^{(n+1)}[a]\) and \(B_{l,m}^{(n)}[a]\).

**Proposition 3.2 (Key proposition).** For non-negative integers \(l, m, n\) with \((l, m) \neq (0, 0)\) and \(a = (a_1, \ldots, a_t; b_1, \ldots, b_t; c_1, \ldots, c_m) \in I_{l,m}\), we have

\[
(3) \quad (n+1)B_{l,m}^{(n+1)}[a] = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \left\{ B_{l,m-1}^{(n)}[(a_1, \ldots, a_i-1, a_i + c_j, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_t; b_1, \ldots, b_t; c_1, \ldots, c_{j-1}, c_{j+1}, \ldots, c_m)] 
   + B_{l,m-1}^{(n)}[(a_1, \ldots, a_i; b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1}, b_i + c_j, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_t; c_1, \ldots, c_{j-1}, c_{j+1}, \ldots, c_m)] 
   + \sum_{i,j=1}^{l} B_{l-1,m}^{(n)}[(a_1, \ldots, a_i-1, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_t; b_1, \ldots, b_{j-1}, b_{j+1}, \ldots, b_t; a_i + b_j, c_1, \ldots, c_{i,m})] 
   + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} B_{l,m-1}^{(n)}[(a_1, \ldots, a_i; b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1}; c_1 + c_j, c_{i+1}, \ldots, c_j, \ldots, c_m)] \right\}
\]

**Proof.** We note that the depths of all the indices appearing in (3) are all \(s := 2l + m - (n + 1)\). Fix an index \(m = (m_1, \ldots, m_s)\) with weight \(\sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i + \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j\). To prove the equality (3), it suffices to show that the number of \(m\) appearing in the right-hand and left-hand sides of (3) coincide. Let \(L\) and \(R_1, R_2, R_3\) be the numbers of \(m\) appearing in the left-hand side and the first, the second, the third sums on the right-hand side, respectively.

We find \(L\), first. If the index \(m\) appears in \(B_{l,m}^{(n+1)}[a]\), each \(m_i\) can be written by

\[
(4) \quad m_i = a_1^{(i)} + \cdots + a_{p_i}^{(i)} + b_1^{(i)} + \cdots + b_{q_i}^{(i)} + c_1^{(i)} + \cdots + c_{r_i}^{(i)} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, s),
\]
where

\[
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{a_1^{(i)}, \ldots, a_{a_i^{(i)}}}ight) := (a_1, \ldots, a_i),
\]

\[
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_{b_1^{(i)}, \ldots, b_{b_i^{(i)}}}ight) := (b_1, \ldots, b_i),
\]

\[
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} c_{c_1^{(i)}, \ldots, c_{c_i^{(i)}}}ight) := (c_1, \ldots, c_m),
\]

\[|p_i - q_i| \leq 1\]

and

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{s} (p_i + q_i + r_i - 1) = n + 1.
\]

Then we find that there are \(p_i!q_i!(p_i + q_i + 1)\) ways to get each \(m_i\), where

\[
(x)_n := \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } n = 0, \\
(x+1)\cdots(x+n-1) & \text{if } n > 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Hence we find

\[
L = (n + 1) \prod_{i=1}^{s} p_i!q_i!(p_i + q_i + 1),
\]

Put \(A_i := p_i!q_i!(p_i + q_i + 1).\) Similarly on the right-hand side, we may assume each \(m_i\) can be written by (4) and we have

\[
R_1 = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{s} (p_i + q_i)r_i p_i!(p_i + q_i + 1) \prod_{j \neq i} A_j,
\]

\[
R_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{s} p_i q_i (p_i - 1)!(q_i - 1)! (p_i - 1 + q_i - 1 + 1) \prod_{j \neq i} A_j
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{s} p_i!q_i!(p_i + q_i - 1) \prod_{j \neq i} A_j,
\]

\[
R_3 = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{s} \left(\frac{r_i}{2}\right) p_i!q_i!(p_i + q_i + 1) \prod_{j \neq i} A_j.
\]
Thus we get

\[ R_1 + R_2 + R_3 \]

\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{s} (2(p_i + q_i)r_i + (p_i + q_i - 1)(p_i + q_i) + r_i(r_i - 1))p_i!q_i!(p_i + q_i + 1)_{r_i-1} \prod_{j \neq i} A_j \]

\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{s} (p_i + q_i + r_i - 1)p_i!q_i!(p_i + q_i + 1)_{r_i} \prod_{j \neq i} A_j \]

\[ = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{s} (p_i + q_i + r_i - 1) \right) \prod_{i=1}^{s} A_i \]

\[ = (n + 1) \prod_{i=1}^{s} A_i = (n + 1) \prod_{i=1}^{s} p_i!q_i!(p_i + q_i + 1)_{r_i}. \]

This completes the proof.

\[ \square \]

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We prove the statement (2) by the induction on \( n \geq 0 \). The case \( n = 0 \) is just the usual Bowman-Bradley type formula for FMZVs, which was proved by Saito-Wakabayashi [36, Theorem 1.4].

We assume (2) holds for \( n > 0 \). Then, since \( a_i + c_j, b_i + c_j \) are odd for all \( i, j \) and \( a_i + b_j, c_i + c_j \) are even for all \( i, j \), we can use the induction hypothesis for the right-hand side of (3). Therefore we obtain \( \zeta_F(B_{l,m}^{(n+1)}[a]) = 0 \).

\[ \square \]

4. Weighted sum formula

In this section, we prove a weighted sum formula for \( t \)-FMZVs. Many types of weighted sum formulas for MZ(S)V are already known (for example, [30, Theorem 3], [23, Corollary 1.2], [28, Theorem 1.1, 1.2], [21, (1.1), (1.2)]). Li-Qin [22, (3.11)] simplified Guo-Xie’s result [4, Theorem 1.1, 2.2] and gave an analogous result [22, (3,12)] for MZSVs. Moreover, Li [19, Theorem 3.11] interpolated Guo-Xie’s result and Li-Qin’s result for \( t \)-MZVs.

On the other hand, another type of the weighted sum formula for FMZ(S)V was established recently (see Hirose-Murahara-Saito [7, Theorem 1.2] for \( \mathcal{A} \)-FMZVs and Murahara [27, Theorem 1.1] for SMZVs). We interpolate the formula, more precisely the case \( i = r \) in Murahara [27, Theorem 1.1], for \( t \)-FMZVs (Theorem 1.5).

For positive integers \( k, r \) with \( 1 \leq r \leq k \) and a non-negative integer \( n \) with \( 0 \leq n \leq r - 1 \), we set

\[ F^t(k, r) := \sum_{k_1 + \cdots + k_r = k \atop k_1, \ldots, k_r \geq 1} 2^{k_r-1} \cdot (k_1, \ldots, k_r)^t \in \mathcal{I}[t], \]

\[ F(k, r, n) := \text{the coefficient of } t^n \text{ in } F^t(k, r) \in \mathcal{I}. \]

In these notation, we rewrite Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 4.1. For a positive integer \( k \) and an odd positive integer \( r \) with \( 1 \leq r \leq k \), we have

\[ \zeta_F^t(F^t(k, r)) = 0. \]

Remark 4.2. For the proof of Theorem 4.1 it suffices to show \( \zeta_F(F(k, r, n)) = 0 \) for all \( n \) with \( 0 \leq n \leq k - 1 \). Note that \( \zeta_F(F(k, r, 0)) = 0 \) coincides with [27, Theorem 1.1] with \( i = r \).
To prove Theorem 4.1, we prepare some notation.

**Definition 4.3.**

(i) For positive integers \( n \) with \( 1 \leq r \leq k \), we set

\[
S(k, r) := \sum_{k_1 + \cdots + k_r = k \atop k_1, \ldots, k_r \geq 1} (k_1, \ldots, k_r) \in \mathcal{I}.
\]

(ii) We always assume that \( e \) runs over sequences of non-negative integers. The weight and depth for \( e \) are also defined. For an index \( k \) and a non-negative integer \( m \), we set

\[
G_1(k, m) := \sum_{\text{wt}(e) = m, \text{dep}(e) = \text{dep}(k)} (k \oplus e) \in \mathcal{I},
\]

\[
G_2(k, m) := \sum_{\text{wt}(e) = m, \text{dep}(e) = \text{dep}(k^\vee)} ((k^\vee \oplus e)^\vee) \in \mathcal{I},
\]

\[
G(k, m) := G_1(k, m) - G_2(k, m) \in \mathcal{I}.
\]

Here, for indices \( k = (k_1, \ldots, k_r) \) and \( k' = (k'_1, \ldots, k'_r) \) of the same depths, the symbol \( k \oplus k' \) represents the componentwise sum, i.e., \( k \oplus k' := (k_1 + k'_1, \ldots, k_r + k'_r) \), and \( k^\vee \) is the Hoffman dual of \( k \) defined by

\[
k^\vee := \left(1 \underbrace{, \ldots, 1}_{k_1} + 1 \underbrace{, \ldots, 1}_{k_2} + \ldots + 1 \underbrace{, \ldots, 1}_{k_r}\right).
\]

(iii) For positive integers \( k, r \) with \( 1 \leq r \leq k \) and a non-negative integer \( n \) with \( n \leq r - 1 \), we set

\[
S'(k, r, n) := -\binom{k - r + n}{n} S(k, r - n),
\]

\[
G'(k, r, n) := -\sum_{m=0}^{k-r-1} 2^{k-r-m-1} \binom{m + n}{n} G((\{1\}^{r-1}, k - r - m + 1), m),
\]

\[
G'_1(k, r, n) := -\sum_{m=0}^{k-r-1} 2^{k-r-m-1} \binom{m + n}{n} G_1((\{1\}^{r-1}, k - r - m + 1), m),
\]

\[
G'_2(k, r, n) := -\sum_{m=0}^{k-r-1} 2^{k-r-m-1} \binom{m + n}{n} G_2((\{1\}^{r-1}, k - r - m + 1), m),
\]

\[
H(k, r, n) := F(k, r, n) + S'(k, r, n) + G'(k, r, n).
\]

Note that \( G'(k, r, n) = G'_1(k, r, n) - G'_2(k, r, n) \).

We define a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linear isomorphism \( \phi \) on \( \mathcal{I} \) by

\[
\phi(k) := (-1)^{\text{dep}(k)} \sum_{\square \text{ is either a comma }, \ldots, \text{ or a plus } ^{\oplus}} (\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{k_1}, \ldots, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{k_r}) \in \mathcal{I}.
\]

To prove Theorem 4.1, the following proposition plays a key role.
Proposition 4.4 (Key proposition). For a positive integer $k$, an odd positive integer $r$ with $1 \leq r \leq k$, and a non-negative integer $n$ with $n \leq r - 1$, we have

$$H(k, r, n) + \phi(H(k, r, n)) = \begin{cases} 
- \left( \binom{k - r + n}{n} \cdot \{1 \}^k \right) & \text{if } k \text{ is even}, \\
0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd}.
\end{cases}$$

To prove Proposition 4.4, we need Lemmas 4.5, 4.6, and 4.7.

Lemma 4.5. For positive integer $k$ and odd positive integer $r$ with $1 \leq r \leq k$, we have

\[ F(k, r, n) = \sum_{a_1 + \ldots + a_{r-n} = k \atop a_1, \ldots, a_{r-n} \geq 1} \sum_{i=1}^{a_{r-n}-1} 2^{i-1} \binom{k - r + n - i}{n - 1} + 2^{a_{r-n}-1} \binom{k - r + n - a_{r-n} + 1}{n} \times (a_1, \ldots, a_{r-n}). \]

Proof. We note that the depths of all the indices appearing in (5) is $r - n$, and let $d$ be $r - n$. Fix an index $(a_1, \ldots, a_d)$ appearing in $F(k, r, n)$.

Choose $i \ (1 \leq i \leq a_d)$. For $i$, we can count the number of the indices $(a_1, \ldots, a_d)$ by the following steps.

(i) Draw $k$ circles.
(ii) Draw lines to divide $k$ circles into $d$ parts corresponding to $(a_1, \ldots, a_d)$.
(iii) Draw a dashed line between the $i$-th and the $(i + 1)$-th circles from the right.
(iv) If $1 \leq i < a_d$, proceed (a). If $i = a_d$, proceed (a').

(a): Draw $(k - 1) - i - (d - 1) = k - i - d$ arrows (dashed or non-dashed arrows) between the circles and choose $(r - 2) - (d - 1) = r - d - 1$ arrows (dashed arrows) from them.

(b): Obtain an index $(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ with $k_r = i$ by counting the circles between the (dashed and non-dashed) lines and dashed arrows.

(c): The number of indices $(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ with $k_r = i$ obtained by the above (i) to (iv), (a), and (b) is $2^{i-1} \binom{k-r+n-i}{n-1}$. We end all steps in the case of $1 \leq i < a_d$.

(a'): Draw $k - i - (d - 1) = k - i - d + 1$ arrows (dashed or non-dashed arrows) between the circles and choose $(r - 1) - (d - 1) = r - d$ arrows (dashed arrows) from them. Note that in this case, the rightmost line and the dashed line corresponding to $i$ coincides.

(b'): Obtain an index $(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ with $k_r = i$ by counting the circles between the (dashed and non-dashed) lines and dashed arrows.

(c'): The number of indices $(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ with $k_r = i$ obtained by the above (i) to (iv), (a'), and (b') is $2^{i-1} \binom{k-i-d+1}{r-d}$. We end all steps in the case of $i = a_d$. 
For example, consider the number of \((5,3,4,3)t^8\) appearing in \(F^t(15,7)\) and \(i = 2\). From the above steps (i) to (iv) and (a) to (c), we obtain the following figure.

Thus we see that the number of \((a_1,\ldots,a_d)t^n\) appearing in \(F^t(k,r)\) coincides with

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{a_{r-n}-1} 2^{i-1} \binom{k-i-r+n}{n-1}.
\]

On the other hand, consider the number of \((5,3,4,3)t^8\) appearing in \(F^t(15,7)\) and \(i = 3\). From the above steps (i) to (iv) and (a') to (c'), we obtain the following figure.

Thus we see that the number of \((a_1,\ldots,a_{r-n})t^n\) with \(i = a_{r-n}\) in \(F^t(k,r)\) coincides with

\[
2^{a_{r-n}-1} \binom{k-r+n-a_{r-n}+1}{n}.
\]

From (6) and (7), we obtain the desired formula.

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{a_{r-n}-1} 2^{i-1} \binom{k-i-r+n}{n-1} + 2^{a_{r-n}-1} \binom{k-r+n-a_{r-n}+1}{n}.
\]

Lemma 4.6. For positive integer \(k\), an odd positive integer \(r\) with \(1 \leq r \leq k\), and a non-negative integer \(n\) with \(n \leq r-1\), we have

\[
F(k,r,n) + S'(k,r,n) + G'_1(k,r,n) = 0.
\]

Proof. It is easy to see that

\[
S'(k,r,n) = -\binom{k-r+n}{n} \sum_{a_1+\cdots+a_{r-n}=k \atop a_1,\ldots,a_{r-n}\geq 1} (a_1,\ldots,a_{r-n})
\]

\[
= -\binom{k-r+n}{n} \sum_{a_{r-n}=1}^{k-r+n+1} \sum_{a_1+\cdots+a_{r-n-1}=k-a_{r-n} \atop a_1,\ldots,a_{r-n-1}\geq 1} (a_1,\ldots,a_{r-n}).
\]
By Lemma 4.5 and (8), we have
\[ F(k, r, n) + S'(k, r, n) \]
\[ = \sum_{a_{r-n} = 1}^{k-r+n+1} \sum_{a_1, \ldots, a_{r-n-1} \geq 1}^{a_{r-n}-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2^i-1} \binom{k-r+n-i}{n-1} + 2^{a_{r-n}-1} \binom{k-r+n-a_{r-n}+1}{n} - \binom{k-r+n}{n} \right) \times (a_1, \ldots, a_{r-n}) \]
\[ = \sum_{a_{r-n} = 2}^{k-r+n+1} \sum_{a_1, \ldots, a_{r-n-1} \geq 1}^{a_{r-n}-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2^i-1} \binom{k-r+n-i}{n-1} + 2^{a_{r-n}-1} \binom{k-r+n-a_{r-n}+1}{n} - \binom{k-r+n}{n} \right) \times (a_1, \ldots, a_{r-n}). \]

On the other hand, it is easy to see that
\[ G_1(\{1\}^{r-n-1}, k-r-m+n+1, m) = \sum_{a_1+\ldots+a_{r-n}=k \atop a_1,\ldots,a_{r-n-1} \geq 1 \atop a_{r-n} \geq k-r-m+n+1} (a_1, \ldots, a_{r-n}). \]

Then we have
\[ G'_1(k, r, n) \]
\[ = - \sum_{m=0}^{k-r-1} 2^{k-r-m-1} \binom{m+n}{n} \sum_{a_{r-n}=k \atop a_1,\ldots,a_{r-n-1} \geq 1 \atop a_{r-n} \geq k-r-m+1} (a_1, \ldots, a_{r-n}) \]
\[ = - \sum_{m=0}^{k-r-1} 2^{k-r-m-1} \binom{m+n}{n} \sum_{a_{r-n}=k-r-m+1 \atop a_1,\ldots,a_{r-n-1} \geq 1} \sum_{a_{r-n}=k \atop a_1,\ldots,a_{r-n-1} \geq k-a_{r-n}} (a_1, \ldots, a_{r-n}) \]
\[ = - \sum_{m=0}^{k-r-1} 2^m \binom{k-r-m+n-1}{n} \sum_{a_{r-n}=k-r-m+1 \atop a_1,\ldots,a_{r-n-1} \geq 1} \sum_{a_{r-n}=m+2 \atop a_1,\ldots,a_{r-n-1} \geq k-a_{r-n}} (a_1, \ldots, a_{r-n}) \]
\[ = (- \sum_{a_{r-n}=2}^{k-r+n+1} \sum_{m=0}^{a_{r-n}-2} \sum_{a_{r-n}=k-r+1 \atop m=k-r} \binom{k-r-m+n-1}{n} \times (a_1, \ldots, a_{r-n}) \]
\[ = 0. \]

Note that by the definition of binomial coefficients, we have
\[ \binom{k-r-m+n-1}{n} = 0. \]
for \( k - r \leq m \). Thus we have
\[
G'_1(k, r, n) = - \sum_{a_r = 2}^{k-r+n+1} \sum_{m=0}^{a_r-2} \sum_{a_1 + \cdots + a_{r-1} = k-a_r} 2^m \left( k - r - m + n - 1 \right) \binom{n}{a_1, \ldots, a_{r-n}}.
\]
From this, if we set \( a := a_{r-n} \), we obtain
\[
(9) \quad F(k, r, n) + S'(k, r, n) + G'_1(k, r, n)
\]
\[
= \sum_{a=2}^{k-r+n+1} \sum_{a_1 + \cdots + a_{r-1} = k-a} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{a-1} 2^{m-1} \left( k - r + n - m \right) \right\} \binom{n}{a_1, \ldots, a_{r-n}, a}.
\]
Here we note that
\[
(10) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{a-1} 2^{m-1} \left( k - r + n - m \right) = \sum_{m=0}^{a-2} 2^m \binom{n}{k - r + n - m - 1} - 2^a \binom{n}{k - r + n - a + 1}.
\]
From (9) and (10), we finish the proof of this lemma. \( \square \)

**Lemma 4.7.** For a positive integer \( k \), an odd positive integer \( r \) with \( 1 \leq r \leq k \), and a non-negative integer \( n \) with \( n \leq r - 1 \), we have
\[
G'_2(k, r, n) + \phi(G'_2(k, r, n)) = \begin{cases} - \left( \binom{k - r + n}{n} \right) \cdot \{1\}^k & \text{if } k \text{ is even}, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd}. \end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** Since
\[
G_2((\{1\}^{r-n-1}, k - r - m + n + 1), m) = (G_1((r - n, \{1\}^{k-r-m+n}), m))' = \sum_{b_1 + \cdots + b_{m+1} = k-r+n+1} \sum_{b_1, \ldots, b_{m+1} \geq 1} (\{1\}^{r-n-1}, b_1, \ldots, b_{m+1}),
\]
we have
\[
G'_2(k, r, n) = \sum_{m=0}^{k-r-1} 2^{k-r-m-1} \binom{m+n}{n} \sum_{b_1 + \cdots + b_{m+1} = k-r+n+1} \sum_{b_1, \ldots, b_{m+1} \geq 1} (\{1\}^{r-n-1}, b_1, \ldots, b_{m+n+1}).
\]
Fix a positive integer $d$ with $1 \leq d \leq k - r - 1$ and an index $(c_1, \ldots, c_{d+n+1})$ with weight $k - r + n + 1$. We count the number of the index $(\{1\}^{r-n-1}, c_1, \ldots, c_{d+n+1})$ appearing in $G'_2(k, r, n) + \phi(G'_2(k, r, n))$.

First, it is easy to see that the number of the index $(\{1\}^{r-n-1}, c_1, \ldots, c_{d+n+1})$ appearing in $G'_2(k, r, n)$ is equal to

$$2^{k-r-d-1} \binom{d+n}{n}$$

since it appears in $G'_2(k, r, n)$ if and only if $m = d$ holds.

Next, we count the index $(\{1\}^{r-n-1}, c_1, \ldots, c_{d+n+1})$ appearing in $\phi(G'_2(k, r, n))$. By the definition of $\phi$, the index $(\{1\}^{r-n-1}, b_1, \ldots, b_{m+n+1})$ is obtained from $(\{1\}^{r-n-1}, c_1, \ldots, c_{d+n+1})$ by changing $(d - m)$ commas (,') in $(c_1, \ldots, c_{d+n+1})$ to pluses (+), and the number of this way is $\binom{d+n}{d-m}$. Thus, the number of $(\{1\}^{r-n-1}, c_1, \ldots, c_{d+n+1})$ in $\phi(G'_2(k, r, n))$ is equal to

$$\sum_{m=0}^{d} (-1)^{r+m} 2^{k-r-m-1} \binom{m+n}{n} \binom{d+n}{d-m}.$$ 

Then we see that the number of such index $(\{1\}^{r-n-1}, c_1, \ldots, c_{m+n+1})$ in $G'_2(k, r, n) + \phi(G'_2(k, r, n))$ coincides with

$$2^{k-r-d-1} \binom{d+n}{n} + \sum_{m=0}^{d} (-1)^{r+m} 2^{k-r-m-1} \binom{m+n}{n} \binom{d+n}{d-m}.$$ 

Note that since

$$\binom{m+n}{n} \binom{d+n}{d-m} = \binom{d+n}{d} \binom{d}{m}$$

and

$$\sum_{m=0}^{d} (-2)^{-m} \binom{d}{m} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^d = 2^{-d}$$

by the binomial theorem, we have

$$2^{k-r-d-1} \binom{d+n}{n} + \sum_{m=0}^{d} (-1)^{r+m} 2^{k-r-m-1} \binom{m+n}{n} \binom{d+n}{d-m}$$

$$= 2^{k-r-d-1} \binom{d+n}{n} + (-1)^r 2^{k-r-1} \binom{d+n}{n} \sum_{m=0}^{d} (-2)^{-m} \binom{d}{m}$$

$$= 2^{k-r-d-1} \binom{d+n}{n} \left(1 + (-1)^r\right).$$

Since $r$ is odd, the above sum is 0. Thus the index $(\{1\}^{r-n-1}, c_1, \ldots, c_{d+n+1})$ of weight $k$ does not appear in $G'_2(k, r, n) + \phi(G'_2(k, r, n))$. 
Similarly, we see that the number of the index ($\{1\}^k$) in $G'_2(k, r, n) + \phi(G'_2(k, r, n))$ coincides with
\[
\sum_{m=0}^{k-r-1} (-1)^{r+m} 2^{k-r-m-1} \binom{m+n}{n} \binom{k-r+n}{k-r-m}
\]
which comes from that $r$ is odd. Thus we obtain the desired formula.

Proof of Proposition 4.4. By Lemma 4.5, Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.7, we have
\[
H(k, r, n) + \phi(H(k, r, n))
= F(k, r, n) + S'(k, r, n) + G'_1(k, r, n)
+ \phi(F(k, r, n) + S'(k, r, n) + G'_1(k, r, n)) + G'_2(k, r, n) + \phi(G'_2(k, r, n))
\]
which is the desired formula.

Proof of Theorem 4.7. By the duality relation for $\zeta_F(k)$ ([10 Theorem 4.7], [14 Corollarie 1.12]), we have
\[
\zeta_F(H(k, r, n)) = \zeta_F(\phi(H(k, r, n))).
\]
Then, by Proposition 4.4 and the fact $\zeta_F(\{1\}^m) = 0$ for a positive integer $m$, we have
\[
\zeta_F(H(k, r, n)) = 0.
\]
Moreover, by the fact $\zeta_F(S(k, r)) = 0$ ([10 Theorem 4.1], [25 Theorem 1.1]) and the Ohno-type relation $\zeta_F(G(k, m)) = 0$ ([33 Theorem 1.5]), we get
\[
\zeta_F(F(k, r, n)) = 0.
\]
This finishes the proof.

5. Trivial relations

In this section, we introduce several $\mathbb{Q}$-linear relations among $t$-FMZVs. First, we give an algebraic setup introduced by Yamamoto [42], and Li [19] and Tanaka-Wakabayashi [39], which is based on those for classical MZ(S)Vs (see Hoffman [9] and Muneta [24]). Let $\mathcal{S}_t := \mathbb{Q}(x, y)[t]$ denote the non-commutative polynomial algebra over $\mathbb{Q}[t]$ in two variables $x$ and $y$, and let $\mathcal{S}_t^1$ and $\mathcal{S}_t^0$ denote the subalgebras $\mathbb{Q}[t] + y\mathcal{S}_t$ and $\mathbb{Q}[t] + y\mathcal{S}_t x$, respectively. We write $\mathcal{S}_0(= \mathbb{Q}(x, y))$, $\mathcal{S}_t^0$, $\mathcal{S}_t^1$ simply by $\mathcal{S}_t^1$, $\mathcal{S}_t^0$, respectively. By setting $z_k := yx^{k-1}$ for a positive integer $k$, we can identify $\mathcal{S}_t^1$ with $\mathbb{Z}$ by the correspondence $z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_r} \leftrightarrow (k_1, \ldots, k_r)$. We define the $\mathbb{Q}[t]$-linear maps $Z'_A : \mathcal{S}_t^1 \to A[t]$ and $Z'_S : \mathcal{S}_t^1 \to (\mathbb{Z}/\zeta(2)\mathbb{Z})[t]$ by $Z'_A(1) := 1$ and
\[
Z'_S(z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_r}) := \zeta'_F(k_1, \ldots, k_r).
\]
We write $Z'_S$ simply by $Z_F$.

The $\mathbb{Q}[t]$-linear map $S_t : \mathcal{S}_t^1 \to \mathcal{S}_t^1$ is defined by $S_t(w) := w\sigma_t(w)$ (w $\in \mathcal{S}_t$),
where $\sigma_t$ is an automorphism on $\mathcal{S}_t$ characterized by
$\sigma_t(x) := x, \quad \sigma_t(y) := tx + y.$
Then we find that $S_t$ is invertible (in fact $S_t^{-1} = S_{-t}$) and
\[ Z_F^t = Z_F^0 \circ S_t. \]
Moreover, we see that $S_t(\mathcal{F}_1^t) = \mathcal{F}_1^t$, $S_t(\mathcal{F}_0^t) = \mathcal{F}_0^t$, and $S_t(y\mathcal{F}_1^t x) = y\mathcal{F}_1^t x$ holds (see Li [19, p.4]).

5.1. **Harmonic relation.** The $t$-harmonic product $^t*$ introduced by Yamamoto [42, Definition 3.7] is a $\mathbb{Q}[t]$-bilinear map $^t*: \mathcal{F}_1^t \times \mathcal{F}_1^t \to \mathcal{F}_1^t$ defined by the rules

\[
\begin{aligned}
1^t* w &= w^t * 1 = w, \\
 z_k w_1^t * z_l w_2 &= z_k(w_1^t * z_l w_2) + z_l(z_k w_1^t * w_2) + (1 - 2t)z_k+l(w_1^t * w_2) \\
&+ (1 - \delta(w_1)\delta(w_2))(t^2 - t)x^{k+l}(w_1^t * w_2),
\end{aligned}
\]

where $w, w_1, w_2 \in \{x, y\}^* \cap \mathcal{F}_1^t$ and $k$ and $l$ are positive integers. Here, $\{x, y\}^*$ is the set of all words generated by the letters $x$ and $y$, and the map $\delta: \{x, y\}^* \to \{0, 1\}$ is defined by

\[
\delta(w) := \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } w = 1, \\
0 & \text{if } w \neq 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Then it is obvious that $^0*$ coincides with the usual harmonic product $*$ on $\mathcal{F}_1^1$ (see Hoffman [9]) and $^1*$ coincides with Muneta’s $n$-harmonic product $^n*$ on $\mathcal{F}_1^1$ defined in [24, p.9].

We define the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map $Z: \mathcal{F}_0^t \to \mathbb{R}$ by $Z(1) := 1$ and $Z(z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_r}) := \zeta(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$. Then, the harmonic relation for classical MZVs, i.e.,

\[ Z(w_1^t * w_2) = Z(w_1)Z(w_2) \]

is naturally derived from the series representation. Similarly for FMZVs, the following relation holds.

**Proposition 5.1.** For $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{F}_1^t$, we have
\[ Z_F^t(w_1^t * w_2) = Z_F^t(w_1)Z_F^t(w_2). \]

In a similar way for $t$-MZVs, we have the following.

**Theorem 5.2** (Harmonic relation). For $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{F}_1^t$, we have
\[ Z_F^t(w_1^t * w_2) = Z_F^t(w_1)Z_F^t(w_2). \]

The symmetric sum formula for FMZVs was proved by Hoffman [10, Theorem 4.5] for $\mathcal{A}$-FMZ(S)Vs and by the first named author [25] for SMZ(S)Vs. We give a generalization of these theorems for $t$-FMZVs.

**Theorem 5.3** (Symmetric sum formula). For a non-empty index $(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$, we have
\[ \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} \zeta_F^t(k_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, k_{\sigma(r)}) = 0. \]

In the last of this subsection, we give an antipode-like relation for $t$-FMZVs, which is derived from the Hopf algebra structure of the quasi-symmetric functions (see Hoffman [10]).
Proposition 5.4 (Antipode-like relation). For a non-empty index \((k_1, \ldots, k_r)\), we have
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{r} (-1)^i \zeta_{t}^i(k_1, \ldots, k_i)\zeta_{t}^{r-i}(k_r, \ldots, k_{r+i}) = 0.
\]
Here, we understand \(\zeta_{t}(\emptyset) = 1\).

Remark 5.5. It is well-known that the same relation holds for various MZVs with the \(t\)-harmonic product structure. For related works, see Zlobin [42, Proposition 3.9], Hoffman [10, Theorem 3.1], Saito [34, Proposition 2.9], and Seki [37, Proposition 5.9].

5.2. Shuffle relation. The \(t\)-shuffle product \(t\in\mathfrak{H}_t \times \mathfrak{H}_t \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_t\) defined by the rules

\[
\begin{align*}
1 \t w = w \t 1 = w, \\
aw_1 \t bw_2 = a(w_1 \t bw_2) + b(aw_1 \t w_2) - \delta(w_1)\rho(a)bw_2 - \delta(w_2)\rho(b)aw_1,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(w, w_1, w_2 \in \{x, y\}^*\) and \(a, b \in \{x, y\}\). Here, \(\rho: \{x, y\} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_t\) is the map defined by \(\rho(x) := 0, \rho(y) := ty\).

Then it is obvious that \(0 \t\) coincides with the usual shuffle product \(\t\), but \(\t\) is different from Muneta’s \(n\)-shuffle product in [24]. We note that the product \(\t\) is associative and commutative as mentioned in Li [19].

The shuffle relation of MZVs, which is naturally derived from the iterated integral representation, states that

\[
Z(w_1 \t w_2) = Z(w_1)Z(w_2) \quad (w_1, w_2 \in \mathfrak{H}^0).
\]

We define a \(\mathbb{Q}\)-linear map \(\nu: \mathfrak{H}_t^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_t^1\) by \(\nu(1) = 1\) and \(\nu(z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_r}) = (-1)^{k_1 + \cdots + k_r}z_{k_r} \cdots z_{k_1}\)

for positive integers \(k_1, \ldots, k_r\), and extend this \(\mathbb{Q}[t]\)-linearly on \(\mathfrak{H}_t^1\). The following relation obtained by Kaneko-Zagier [10] is considered to be the counterpart of (11) for FMZVs.

Theorem 5.6. For \(w_1, w_2 \in \mathfrak{H}_t^1\), we have

\[
Z_F(w_1 \t w_2) = Z_F(w_1\nu(w_2)).
\]

Especially when \(w_1 = 1\) and \(w_2 = w\), we have

\[
Z_F(w) = Z_F(\nu(w)).
\]

As a generalization of this theorem, we introduce the following theorem for \(t\)-FMZVs.

Theorem 5.7 (Shuffle relation). For \(w_1, w_2 \in \mathfrak{H}_t^1\), we have

\[
Z_F^t(w_1 \t w_2) = Z_F^t(w_1\nu(w_2) - w_1xy^{-1}\nu(w_2)t).
\]

Here, we understand \(xy^{-1} = 0\) when \(w_1 \in \mathbb{Q}[t]\) or \(w_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[t]\).

Taking \(w_1 = 1\), we obtain the reversal formula for \(t\)-FMZVs.

Corollary 5.8 (Reversal formula). For \(w \in \mathfrak{H}_t\), we have

\[
Z_F^t(w) = Z_F^t(\nu(w)).
\]

To prove Theorem 5.7, we need Lemmas 5.9 and 5.10.
Lemma 5.9. We have

\[ S_t \circ \nu = \nu \circ S_t. \]

in \( S^1 \).

Proof. Since \( S_t \) and \( \nu \) are \( Q[t] \)-linear, it suffices to prove that

\[ S_t \circ \nu(z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_r}) = \nu \circ S_t(z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_r}) \]

for positive integers \( k_1, \ldots, k_r \) and this is obvious. \( \square \)

Lemma 5.10. For \( w_1, w_2 \in S^1 \), we have

\[ S_t(w_1)S_t(w_2) = S_t(w_1w_2 - w_1xy^{-1}w_2t). \]

Here, we understand \( xy^{-1} = 0 \) when \( w_1 \in Q[t] \) or \( w_2 \in Q[t] \).

Proof. When \( w_1 \in Q[t] \) or \( w_2 \in Q[t] \), we easily see the lemma holds. Thus we prove the lemma for \( w_1 = z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_r} \) and \( w_2 = z_{l_1} \cdots z_{l_s} \) with \( r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \). In this case, we see that the left-hand side of (12) is

\[ S_t(w_1)S_t(w_2) = yx^{k_1-1}\sigma_t(y)x^{k_2-1}\cdots\sigma_t(y)x^{k_r-1}yx^{l_1-1}\sigma_t(y)x^{l_2-1}\cdots\sigma_t(y)x^{l_s-1}. \]

Here, recall \( \sigma_t(y) = tx + y \). On the other hand, the right-hand side of (12) is

\[ S_t(w_1w_2 - w_1xy^{-1}w_2t) = yx^{k_1-1}\sigma_t(y)x^{k_2-1}\cdots\sigma_t(y)x^{k_r-1}yx^{l_1-1}\sigma_t(y)x^{l_2-1}\cdots\sigma_t(y)x^{l_s-1}. \]

Since \( \sigma_t(y)x^{l_1-1} - tx^{l_1} = yx^{l_1-1} \), (13) and (14) coincide. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

Proof of Theorem 5.7. By using Lemmas 5.10 and \( S_t(w_1 w_2) = S_t(w_1) S_t(w_2) \) \((w_1, w_2 \in S^1)\) \((20) \) Proposition 2.1), we have

\[ Z_F(w_1 w_2) = Z_F(S_t(w_1 w_2)) = Z_F(S_t(w_1) S_t(w_2)). \]

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

Remark 5.11. The shuffle relation for MZVs can be proved by the iterated integral expression of MZVs. Komori-Matsumoto-Tsumura \[18 \) Theorem 2) obtained this relation not using the iterated integral but a certain partial fraction decomposition.

On the other hand, its counterpart for FMZVs (more precisely, the case \( t = 0 \) in Theorem 5.7) was proved by Kaneko-Zagier \[10 \). An alternative proof for \( \mathcal{A} \)-FMZVs was obtained by the second named author \[11 \) Corollary 4.1], and for SMZVs, several works are known (see Jarossay \[14 \) Théorème 1.7], Hirose \[5 \) Theorem 7], and Ono-Seki-Yamamoto \[32 \).
5.3. **Duality relation.** We define a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear isomorphism $\alpha : \mathfrak{H} \to \mathfrak{H}$ by interchanging $x$ and $y$, and $\alpha(1) := 1$. We also define a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map $\tilde{\alpha} : y\mathfrak{H} \to y\mathfrak{H}$ by $\tilde{\alpha}(yw) = y\alpha(w)$ for $w \in \mathfrak{H}$. The duality relation for FMZSVs, obtained by Hoffman [10, Theorem 4.6] and Jarossay [14, Corollaire 1.12], is the following equality:

$$Z_F^+(w) = -Z_F^+(\tilde{\alpha}(w)) \quad (w \in y\mathfrak{H}).$$

Note that we can rewrite this equality as

$$\zeta^+_F(k) = -\zeta^+_F(k^\vee).$$

It is also known that there is another expression of the above duality relation, i.e.,

$$Z_F(w) = Z_F(\phi(w))$$

holds (for details Saito see [34, Corollary 2.15], for example). Here, a map $\phi : \mathfrak{H} \to \mathfrak{H}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear isomorphism defined by $\phi(x) = x + y$ and $\phi(y) = -y$.

We introduce

$$\phi^l := -S_{-l} \circ \phi \circ S_{t},$$

which was defined by Tanaka-Wakabayashi in [39, eq.(3)]. Then we can state the duality relation for $t$-FMZVs.

**Theorem 5.12** (Duality relation). For $w \in \mathfrak{H}^1$, we have

$$Z_F^+(w) = -Z_F^+(\phi^l(w)).$$

**Proof.** Since $y\mathfrak{H}x = S_{-l}(y\mathfrak{H}x)$, the statement is equivalent to the equality

$$Z_F^+(S_{-l}(w)) = -Z_F^+(\phi^l S_{-l}(w))$$

for all $w \in \mathfrak{H}^1$. Since $Z_F^+ = Z_F \circ S_{t}$ and $\phi^l = -S_{-l} \circ \phi \circ S_{t}$, the equality (16) is equivalent to (15). This completes the proof. □

**Remark 5.13.** Theorem 5.12 with $t = 0$ implies the duality relation for FMZ(S)Vs [15].

**Remark 5.14.** There are several alternative proofs of the duality relation for FMZ(S)Vs. See Bachmann-Takeyama-Tasaka [1, Theorem 2.15], Seki [37, p.28] and Seki-Yamamoto [38, Corollary 2.2] for $A$-MZ(S)Vs, and Bachmann-Takeyama-Tasaka [1, Corollary 2.17] and Hirose [3, Theorem 8] for SMZ(S)Vs.

5.4. **Derivation relation.** A derivation $\partial$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map on $\mathfrak{H}$ satisfying the Leibniz’s rule $\partial(ww') = \partial(w)w' + w\partial(w')$ ($w, w' \in \mathfrak{H}$). We can extend a derivation $\partial$ on $\mathfrak{H}$ to a $\mathbb{Q}[t]$-linear map on $\mathfrak{H}_t$.

For a positive integer $l$, we define a derivation $\partial_l$ on $\mathfrak{H}_t$ by

$$\partial_l(x) = y(x + y)^{l-1}x, \quad \partial_l(y) = -y(x + y)^{l-1}x.$$ 

We set $\partial^l := S_{-l} \circ \partial \circ S_{t}$ (see Li [19]). Then we have

$$\partial^l(x) := y(x + x - tx)^{l-1}x, \quad \partial^l(y) := -y(y + x - tx)^{l-1}x.$$ 

Note that this $\partial^l$ is not a derivation in general. We define $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map $R_x^{-1} : \mathfrak{H}_x \to \mathfrak{H}$ by $R_x^{-1}(wx) := w$ ($w \in \mathfrak{H}$).

**Theorem 5.15** (Derivation relation). For a positive integer $l$, we have

$$Z_F^+(R_x^{-1}\partial^l(w)) = 0 \quad (w \in y\mathfrak{H}x).$$
Proof of Theorem 5.15. Since \( S_t(y\delta_t x) = y\delta_t x \) from \([20, \S 2.1]\) and the definition of \( \partial_t^x = S_{-t} \circ \partial_t \circ S_t \) \([13, \text{p.7}]\), it suffices to prove the equality
\[
Z^x_t(R^{-1}_{x^{-1}}S_{-t}\partial_t(w)) = 0
\]
for all \( w \in y\delta_t x \). Moreover, from the easy fact \( R^{-1}_{x^{-1}}S_{-t} = S_{-t}R^{-1}_{x^{-1}} \) on \( y\delta_t x \) and the definition of \( Z^x_tF = Z^x_tF \circ S_t \), the equality (17) is equivalent to
\[
Z^x_tF(R^{-1}_{x^{-1}}\partial_t(w)) = 0,
\]
which is just the derivation relation for \( Z^x_tF \) proved by the first named author in \([26]\). □

Remark 5.16. The derivation relation for MZV was proved by Ihara-Kaneko-Zagier \([13, \text{Corollary 6}]\) and Horikawa-Murahara-Oyama \([12, \S 4]\) gave another several proofs. Note that Bachmann-Tanaka \([2, \text{Theorem 1.4}]\), Hirose-Murahara-Murakami \([6, \S 5.3]\) also gave another proof of the derivation relation for MZVs. On the other hand, the derivation relation for FMZVs was proved by the first named author \([26, \text{Theorem 2.1}]\) and Horikawa-Murahara-Oyama \([12, \S 5]\) gave another several proofs.

By applying Theorem 5.15 for \( l = 1 \) and \( w = z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_r} \) with \( k_1, \ldots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \) and \( k_r \geq 2 \), we obtain the Hoffman relation for t-FMZVs.

Corollary 5.17 (Hoffman’s relation). For a non-empty index \((k_1, \ldots, k_r)\) with \( k_r \geq 2 \), we have
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{r} (1 + (k_i + \delta_{i,1} - 2)t)\zeta^x_{t,F}(k_1, \ldots, k_{i-1}, k_i + 1, k_{i+1}, \ldots, k_{r-1}, k_r - 1)
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=2}^{k_i} \zeta^x_{t,F}(k_1, \ldots, k_{i-1}, k_i + 1 - j, j, k_{i+1}, \ldots, k_{r-1}, k_r - 1)
\]
\[
+ t(1-t) \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \zeta^x_{t,F}(k_1, \ldots, k_{i-1}, k_i + k_{i+1} + 1, k_{i+2}, \ldots, k_{r-1}, k_r - 1).
\]

Remark 5.18. Hoffman’s relation for MZVs was proved by Hoffman \([8, \text{Theorem 5.1}]\), which is of the same form of Corollary 5.17 with \( t = 0 \). The another proof of Hoffman’s relation for MZVs was given by Hoffman-Ohno \([11, \text{Theorem 2.1}]\). Hoffman’s relation for MZSVs was proved by Muneta \([24, \text{Theorem 3.1}]\) and Wakabayashi \([40, \text{Theorem 1.1}]\). These results were interpolated by Wakabayashi \([11, \text{Corollary 1.2}]\) and by Li-Qin \([20, \text{Theorem 2.5}]\) independently.

REFERENCES


(Hideki Murahara) NAKAMURA GAKUEN UNIVERSITY GRADUATE SCHOOL, 5-7-1, BEFU, JONAN-KU, FUKUOKA, 814-0198, JAPAN
E-mail address: hmurahara@nakamura-u.ac.jp

(Masataka Ono) MULTIPLE ZETA RESEARCH CENTER, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, 744, MOTOOKA, NISHI-KU, FUKUOKA, 819-0395, JAPAN
E-mail address: m-ono@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp