Angular-view dependency on hologram numerical aperture in holographic display
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Abstract: We investigate the angular view of a Fresnel holographic image reconstructed from the digital hologram in holographic display. The theoretical analysis reveals that the angular view of a holographic image is not determined by a diffraction angle of pixel pitch, but by the hologram numerical aperture (HNA). This property is approved for various types of the digital holograms by using a numerical simulation. The high-HNA hologram reconstructs the image with a high viewing-angle, although the contraction of the image size is inevitable due to the Nyquist criterion with respect to a pixelated modulator. We propose a method for securing the angular view of a holographic image in the manner of extending the image field at a high-HNA hologram synthesis and removing the aliasing noise images.

1. Introduction

The digital hologram in holographic displays is spatially bandlimited by the finite pixel pitch of a digitally pixelated modulator [1-3]. The holographic image is reconstructed by illuminating the coherent plane wave with a wavelength $\lambda$ to the digital hologram. The space-bandwidth product (SBP) of the digital hologram is known to be a measure of its capacity for the reconstructed image [4,5]. The hologram function has an SBP corresponding to data capacity, $N_z \times N_{\eta}$, which is expressed using a lateral size $L$ and diffraction angle $\theta$:

$$\text{SBP} = L_z L_{\eta} \frac{\theta_z \theta_{\eta}}{\lambda^2}. \quad (1)$$

The angle value $\theta$ is inversely proportional to a pixel pitch, and thus, a smaller pixel pitch leads to a wider diffraction field. For this reason, the pixel size $\Delta p$ has been known to determine the viewing-angle of a holographic image [6-9]:

$$\theta = 2 \sin^{-1} \frac{\lambda}{2 \Delta p}. \quad (2)$$

This interpretation makes it difficult for us to develop a commercial holographic display. Since the pixel size of a present spatial light modulator (SLM) is still on the scale of several micrometers, only a viewing angle of several degrees can be obtained [10,11]. We also know from Eq. (1) that the size and viewing-angle have a trade-off relation for a constant hologram capacity. This smaller viewing-angle problem should be resolved to realize the holographic display. Most researches to settle the limitation of a viewing-angle have been carried out by expanding a diffraction zone with spatial and temporal multiplexing of the SLM [2-4,6-9], where the enormous data capacity is required to display a 3D scene even in one frame. Therefore, it is desirable to secure the angular view of a holographic image by using a commercial modulator without its multiplexing. The related researches are still on enhancing a diffraction angle [12,13], while it is not certain that the diffraction angle of pixel pitch directly specifies the viewing-angle. To overcome that limitation, the deeper analysis to establish the cause of the angular-view change must take precedence.
The digital hologram has all the information of the diffractive wave propagating from the object field \( O(x, y) \), where the hologram field \( g(\xi, \eta) \) is well described by the Rayleigh-Sommerfeld diffraction formula [1,14]:

\[
g(\xi, \eta) = \frac{z}{ik} \iint_{\infty} O(x, y) \exp\left(\frac{-ikr}{r^2}\right) dx dy,
\]

where \( r = \sqrt{(\xi-x)^2 + (\eta-y)^2 + z^2} \) is the distance between a point in the hologram plane and a point in the object plane, and \( k = 2\pi/\lambda \) is the wavenumber. The local spatial frequency \( f_x \) of the object plane in the \( x \)-coordinate is represented by the derivative of a phase term, \( \phi = kr \): 

\[
f_x = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\{ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right\} = \frac{|\xi - x|}{\lambda \sqrt{(\xi-x)^2 + (\eta-y)^2 + z^2}}.
\]

To avoid an aliasing effect in the hologram synthesis, the sampling rate of the object field at respective distances is restricted by the maximum spatial frequency whose value appears at the maximum field size, \( |\xi - x|_{\text{max}} \) [15]. When the digital holograms encoded in the SLM have a constant size, as shown in Fig. 1, the size of object images should be adjusted according to a synthesis distance [15-18]. In the holographic display, the reconstruction process is a backpropagation from the digital hologram to the image plane. In Fig. 1, since the digital holograms synthesized at various distances have the same pixel size, the viewing-angle of various reconstructed images seems to be invariant based on Eq. (2). However, up to now, there has been no detailed study to elucidate whether or not the viewing angle is constant irrespective of the geometry.

![Fig. 1. Configuration of digital holograms and object images during the hologram synthesis. The object size decreases at lower distance to the digital hologram, based on the Nyquist criterion, and the angles from the blue lines and red lines means the numerical aperture of the digital hologram and diffraction zone due to pixel pitch.](image)

In this study, we carry out the analysis of the angular view of a reconstructed image for the sampled hologram on a pixelated modulator, and explain the angular-view dependency on the numerical aperture of the hologram other than the pixel pitch. We perform the numerical simulation investigating the change in the angular view of images for various types of digital holograms in the Fresnel diffraction regime. The diffraction fringes propagated from the
reconstructed image are simulated, which enables its viewing-angle evaluation by measuring the increment of an active diffraction field. We also apply this analysis to search a method for securing the angular view of a reconstructed image.

2. Angular-view dependency on hologram numerical aperture of digital hologram

Let us consider the sampled hologram \( g_s(\xi,\eta) \) on the pixelated modulator with rectangular pixels of the pixel interval \( p \) and pixel size \( \Delta p \),

\[
g_s(\xi,\eta) = \sum_{n_z=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_y=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ g\left(n_x p_x, n_y p_y\right) \text{rect}\left(\frac{\xi-n_x p_x}{\Delta p_x}, \frac{\eta-n_y p_y}{\Delta p_y}\right)\right],
\]

(5)

where \( \text{rect}(\cdot) \) is a rectangular function. In the Fresnel diffraction regime, the diffractive object wave propagating from the hologram can be expressed as a convolution form of two terms below [12]:

\[
O(x,y) = \frac{ie^{-ikz}}{\lambda z} e^{-\frac{i k}{2 z} (x^2+y^2)} \int \int g_s(\xi,\eta) \exp\left[ \frac{2\pi i}{\lambda z} (x\xi + y\eta) \right] d\xi d\eta \nonumber
\]

\[
\times \int \int \exp\left[ -\frac{i \pi}{\lambda z} (\xi^2 + \eta^2) \right] \exp\left[ \frac{2\pi i}{\lambda z} (x\xi + y\eta) \right] d\xi d\eta ,
\]

(6)

where the normally incident plane wave with unit amplitude is used. The integral form of the first line represents the Fourier spectrum of the sampled hologram:

\[
\int \int g_s(\xi,\eta) \exp\left[ \frac{2\pi i}{\lambda z} (x\xi + y\eta) \right] d\xi d\eta = \Delta p_x \Delta p_y \sin\left( \frac{\pi \Delta p_x}{\lambda z} \right) \sin\left( \frac{\pi \Delta p_y}{\lambda z} \right) \nonumber
\]

\[
\times \sum_{n_z=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_y=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ g\left(n_x p_x, n_y p_y\right) \exp\left[ \frac{2\pi i}{\lambda z} (n_x p_x x + n_y p_y y)\right]\right] .
\]

(7)

This equation describes the modulation of the periodic Fourier spectrum by the envelope of the sinc function along the \( x \)- and \( y \)-axis. The summation term indicates the periodic Fourier spectrum through the Poisson summation formula,

\[
\sum_{\alpha=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta=-\infty}^{\infty} G\left( \frac{1}{\lambda z}, \frac{x-\alpha \lambda}{p_x}, \frac{y-\beta \lambda}{p_y} \right)
\]

(8)

By using the diffraction relations, \( p_x \sin \theta_x = \alpha \lambda \) and \( p_y \sin \theta_y = \beta \lambda \), the convolution expression of Eq. (6) yields

\[
O(x,y) = \frac{ie^{-ikz}}{\lambda z} e^{-\frac{i k}{2 z} (x^2+y^2)} \Delta p_x \Delta p_y \sin\left( \frac{\pi \Delta p_x}{\lambda z} \right) \sin\left( \frac{\pi \Delta p_y}{\lambda z} \right) \sum_{\alpha=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left[ \frac{2\pi i}{\lambda z} (x\xi + y\eta) \right] d\xi d\eta .
\]

(9)

We find that the high-order diffraction beams are generated from the sampled hologram on a pixelated modulator. The Fraunhofer diffraction patterns are formed at a distance close to the hologram aperture. In a pixel size of about 8 \( \mu \)m of the present modulator, the far-field region appears at a submillimeter distance [1]. When \( z \) is a synthesis distance of the digital
hologram, replica images will be displayed within a lateral space at the interval of $\lambda_z/p$ [12,19,20]. The images are modulated by the envelope of the sinc function, where the modulated pattern is decided by the ratio of the pixel size and pixel pitch called as a fill factor, and the position of images can be arbitrarily controlled in terms of a phase shift [12]. We note that the pixelated structure contributes only the formation of a periodic diffraction zone. In other words, we may interpret most of the specification of a holographic image separately from the pixel structure. The integral of the respective diffraction zones in Eq. (9) looks like a diffraction formula for non-bandlimited signals, where the near-field region covers a relatively long distance according to a hologram aperture size. From this conjecture, we know that the angular view of a holographic image will not be simply determined only by the diffraction extent of the pixel pitch of a spatial modulator. The pixel pitch just causes the diffraction zone of Eq. (2), as illustrated in Fig. 1. Here, the object size is limited to the diffraction area due to the Nyquist criterion, however even the object field beyond the diffraction area of the pixel pitch can be calculated by increasing the object resolution [15].

The integral term of the respective diffraction zones in Eq. (9) can be written as the convolutional integral of the hologram field and inverse form of the impulse response function, 

$$h(x, y) = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{\lambda_z^2}} \exp \left[ -i\frac{x^2 + y^2}{\lambda_z^2} \right];$$

where the lowest order term, $\theta = 0$ is considered. If we adopt a point-like object, the converging spherical wave from the digital hologram forms an object image, and one imagines that the diverging wave from the object image propagates to a free space. The converging and diverging spherical waves have a mirror symmetry with respect to the imaging plane. The viewing-angle of the point-like object image will be directly related to the hologram numerical aperture (HNA). The HNA could become the fundamental criterion for the angular view of the holographic images. The hologram acquisition and its image reconstruction is the coherent imaging process [21,22]. The object field information is acquired through the optical lens in a conventional imaging process, whereas in the holography the field information is directly recorded on the digital hologram and the object is reconstructed in the image plane numerically or optically. During its reconstruction process, the initial resolution of the object field will be obtained. The resolution limit $R_{Abbe}$ of the Abbe criterion in a hologram imaging procedure is expressed as [14,21]:

$$R_{Abbe} = \frac{\lambda}{2 \text{HNA}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

The HNA in a free space is given by

$$\text{HNA} = \sin \Omega = \frac{\lambda}{2R_{Abbe}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

The viewing-angle $\Omega_{HNA}$ of a holographic image can be written in the form:

$$\Omega_{HNA} = 2\sin^{-1} \left( \frac{\lambda}{2R_{Abbe}} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Figure 2 is a typical example of the hologram fringes for point-like objects located at a different distance. We assume that the object size is put to be its image resolution. The point-like object generates the spherical wave diverging radially, where the real or imaginary hologram has a concentric fringe similar to the sinusoidal Fresnel zone plate [21,23]. The dense hologram fringe will be synthesized at a relatively close distance, as illustrated in Fig. 2(a). We know that only a spatial information of the point-like object is encoded in the fringe
shape [23], while the resolving power of imaging correlates to the diffraction fringe profile. This intensity profile is called as Airy pattern, which determines the image resolution. The 1st order diffraction fringe will be available for the hologram without its aliasing error.

Fig. 2. Typical example of hologram fringes for point-like objects located at a different distance. The data is calculated with (a) circular object of 8-μm size located at a 30.8-mm distance and (b) circular object of 16-μm size located at a 61.6-mm distance, based on the Riemann integral in the Rayleigh-Sommerfeld diffraction formula.

In the holographic display, the object image is focused on the image plane, and thus the ray of the object image field produces the viewing-angle with the same size as the angle of the HNA, in Fig. 3(a). In the discrete form of Eq. (10), the pixel resolution $\Delta \xi$ of the object image field and the resolution $\Delta \xi$ of the hologram field has the following relation [22],

$$\Delta \xi = \frac{\lambda z}{N_x \Delta \xi}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

The resolution limit $R_{\text{Abbe}}$ in the imaging procedure is the pixel size of object image, $R_{\text{Abbe}} = \Delta \xi$, where the pixel size $\Delta \xi$ changes depending on Eq. (14). The viewing-angle $\Omega_{\text{HNA}}$ of the holographic image is expressed as follows,

$$\Omega_{\text{HNA}} = 2 \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{N_x \Delta \xi}{2z} \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (15)
where $z$ becomes the imaging or synthesis distance. If we use a SLM with same pixel pitch to load the hologram fringe, the viewing-angle decreases with increasing the synthesis distance, and the image resolution gets worse as well. Here, the lateral size of the digital hologram becomes an aperture size.

![Diagram](image)

**Fig. 3.** Schematic diagram of analysis on angular view of the holographic image for the digital hologram of the point-like object. The HNA for the digital hologram synthesized (a) by varying the object resolution and (b) with maintaining the constant resolution of the object.

On the other hand, in the digital hologram synthesized with maintaining the constant resolution of the object, the viewing-angle will not change with a synthesis distance, in Fig 3(b). Particularly, at a distance far away from the object the hologram captures a part of its diffraction wave, while at a close distance, the whole diffraction fringe does not cover the total area of a SLM. This behavior acts as a low-pass filtering for hologram fringe to satisfy the Nyquist sampling criterion. When the synthesis distance $z$ is larger than the minimum distance, only a partial diffraction fringe contributes to the digital hologram. Here, the resolution limit $R_{Abbe}$ having the pixel size $\Delta x$ is constant, $\Delta x = \Delta \xi$. The viewing-angle $\Omega_{HNA}$ is given by,

$$\Omega_{HNA} = 2 \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{\lambda}{2 R_{Abbe}} \right).$$

(16)

Even though the whole diffractive wave is not displayed on a SLM, the viewing-angle maintains, where the lateral size of the digital hologram does not become its aperture size. However, because at a further distance, the hologram aperture does not have a sufficient size for securing the original resolution, the viewing-angle will be expected to decrease. As will be disclosed in the numerical simulation, the convolution method keeps a resolution during the
synthesis and its reconstruction process, and thus the viewing-angle remains invariable. The description of Fig. 3(a) and 3(b) are related to the holograms synthesized by the conventional Fresnel transform and convolutional method, respectively.

Fig. 4. Numerical studies for observing the angular view of the holographic image. The ratio of the lateral and longitudinal scale is arbitrarily resized for convenience. The red box and blue box indicate the total diffraction zone and the active diffraction fringe, respectively.

3. Numerical analysis of the angular view of Fresnel holographic images

3.1 Angular-view characteristics for holograms synthesized using a conventional Fresnel transform

For a numerical simulation in Eq. (3), the diffractive wave field propagated from the object field is expressed as the discrete Fresnel transform [22]. The fields are digitized on rectangular raster with steps \( \Delta \xi \) and \( \Delta \eta \) in the output \((\xi, \eta)\) plane and \( \Delta x \) and \( \Delta y \) in the input \((x, y)\) plane:

\[
g(m \Delta \xi, n \Delta \eta) = \frac{e^{i k z}}{i k z} \exp \left\{ i \frac{\pi}{\lambda z} \left[ m^2 \Delta \xi^2 + n^2 \Delta \eta^2 \right] \right\} \\
\times \text{DFT} \left[ O(p \Delta x, q \Delta y) \exp \left\{ i \frac{\pi}{\lambda z} \left[ p^2 \Delta x^2 + q^2 \Delta y^2 \right] \right\} \right]
\]  

(17)

The above equation indicates the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) of the product of the input field and a quadratic phase term aside from a prefactor.

Figure 4 illustrates the numerical studies for observing the angular view of a holographic image. The digital hologram is synthesized by using the MATLAB code based on Eq. (17) and the real-valued hologram is displayed, where the ‘HOLO’ letter image placed at \( z_0 \) distance in Fig. 1 is used. The object and hologram with 256×256 size have the same pixel pitch of 8 μm. The coherent plane wave has 532-nm wavelength, and, in this condition, the distance \( z_0 \) is calculated to be 30.8 mm.

To investigate the angular view of the reconstructed holographic image, the diffraction fringes far away from the imaging plane are numerically calculated via the inverse transform of Eq. (17), where the diffraction fringe is the intensity pattern of the diffracted wave. For convenience, the complex amplitude hologram is adopted. Considering a commercial pixelated modulator, the real-valued or imaginary-valued hologram will be realistic. This type
of hologram restricts only a field size where the half of zone is available to avoid an overlap of its conjugate image, and does not affects the angular view itself. In in-line holographic system, its overlap of the conjugate image makes it difficult to measure the diffraction fringe change. Therefore, the complex hologram is chosen for analyzing an angular-view of the holographic images described hereafter.

![Fig. 5. Diffraction fringes propagated from the reconstructed images for the digital holograms synthesized at various distances of (a) 30.8 mm, (b) 61.6 mm, and (c) 123.2 mm.](image)

The diffraction fringes are displayed with a logarithmic scale to mitigate the energy concentration at the origin in the Fourier space. As shown in Fig. 4, we can observe the apparent diffraction fringe corresponding to the letter image propagation, while it is not clearly distinguishable in a linear-scale image. This active area in an inset box increases with increasing a reconstruction distance. The strip patterns outside the active area of the diffraction fringes arise from an aliasing effect due to the opaque region of object image. We can see this aliasing phenomenon even in the restored image in the image plane. The total field in the discrete Fresnel transform varies in linear proportion to a reconstruction distance.
The pixel resolution $\Delta x$ of a diffraction field at an $x$-coordinate is determined from the relation given in Eq. (14). The increment ratio of the field sizes indicates the diffraction angle, which is another form of Eq. (2), assuming that the angle is small. The angle value relevant to the diffraction zone is estimated to be about 3.81°. The active diffraction area in the blue box of Fig. 4 changes from 960 $\mu$m at 30.8-mm distance of the image plane to 3304 $\mu$m at 60-mm distance. The viewing angle $\Omega_0$ of 4.59° is estimated from a growth rate of the diffraction fringe along a distance. This value is little large when compared to the diffraction angle due to the pixel pitch, because an observable view in terms of the active diffraction fringe gets enlarged in similar proportion to the total view.

Figure 5 is the simulation results for the digital holograms synthesized at various distances. Three kinds of digital holograms are prepared. To compare their angular-view variations clearly, all the objects are enlarged with 512×512 size using the zero-padding. The ratio of active area to an opaque background was confirmed to be irrelevant to the change in the viewing-angle. The small ratio of active area enables us to investigate the viewing-angle variation apparently, where a point-like source can be used in the extreme. Generally, since the holographic image is displayed on the opaque background in holographic display, this approach could be reasonable.

The pixel pitch of all the holograms is fixed to be 8 $\mu$m. The diffraction behavior of the hologram at a $z_i$-distance in Fig. 1 is displayed in Fig. 5(a). We choose the $z_i$-distance of 30.8 mm, where the object pixel size is 4 $\mu$m and thus, the reconstructed image size is half of the hologram size. The increasing rate of the total field of the diffraction fringe away from the image plane is same as that in Fig. 4 because of the same 8-$\mu$m pixel size; however, the active diffraction region reveals a rapid increase, whose diffraction fringe occupies the whole area at 60-mm distance. The active area increases from 480 $\mu$m at 30.8-mm distance to 3039 $\mu$m at 50-mm distance. The viewing-angle $\Omega_2$ is calculated to be approximately 7.62°, whose value is approximately twice the diffraction angle of 8-$\mu$m pixel.

Figure 5(b) depicts the diffraction behavior of a reconstructed image for the hologram made at $z_0$-distance. The $z_0$-distance is 61.6 mm because the field size of the hologram and object is doubled with compared to that in Fig. 4. The viewing-angle $\Omega_0$ estimated from the increase of the active diffraction fringe is about 4.08°, which is a similar value in Fig. 4. The numerical results for the hologram made at $z_2$-distance are appeared in Fig. 5(c). The digital hologram is located at 123.2-mm distance from the object image with a pixel size of 16 $\mu$m, in Fig. 1. The active diffraction area increases modestly with a reconstruction distance as compared with previous results. The angle $\Omega_2$ is estimated to be 2.37°, which is close to half of the diffraction angle for 8-$\mu$m pixel size.

The above results indicate that the angular view of a reconstructed image cannot be simply determined by the diffraction angle of the pixel pitch of a spatial modulator. We find that as described in Section 2, the angular view is rather decided in terms of the numerical aperture of the hologram. This is the case of the description in Fig. 3(a), where the viewing-angle $\Omega_{HNA}$ corresponds to Eq. (15). Figure 6 is the plot of the viewing-angle change in the reconstructed image as a function of a synthesis distance. The variation of the viewing-angle matches well with the angle $\Omega_{HNA}$ obtained from the HNA. The angle value reaches 27.5° at a 7.7-mm distance. Here, the upper bound of the angle will be limited within the Fresnel approximation, but this condition is known to be overly stringent [1]. Our analysis can be also extended to the Rayleigh-Sommerfeld region, and thus the higher angle can be obtainable in principle. The smaller synthesis distance results in a larger numerical aperture, which generates the reconstructed image with a wide angular-view, while it is inevitable the shrinkage of image size.
3.2 Angular-view characteristics for upsampled hologram

We apply this method of analyzing the field view to the upsampled hologram. In Eq. (14), a lower pixel value $\Delta \xi$ of the hologram than that in the Nyquist criterion can be obtained by varying the number of object plane pixels. When the number of pixels is $w$ times larger, the resolution of output field increases $w$ times,

$$\left( w \cdot N \cdot \frac{\Delta \xi}{\xi} \right).$$

(18)

If the field size of the object is doubled in terms of the zero-padding while all other parameters are fixed, the pixel size of the digital hologram will be reduced to half. In a vector-matrix notation, the column vector in the hologram plane is expressed as the multiplication of the Fresnel matrix and object vector. The Fresnel matrix is composed of the Fourier kernel multiplied by the prefactor in Eq. (17). The object vector is the product of the object data and the quadratic phase term. The Fresnel matrix elements corresponding to the extended parts of object space are multiplied by the zero values of the object vector. This is an upampling of the digital hologram, which is known as zero-padding technology [14]. This numerical hologram has higher SBP than the original. From Eq. (1), as the lateral size of the hologram is fixed, the higher SBP can be expected to increase the viewing angle for the restored image.

Figure 7(a) shows the digital hologram fringes and pixel value distributions via the upampling process. The digital hologram of 256×256 size with pixel pitch 8 μm is used. The pixel pitch of the letter image placed at a 15.4-mm distance is calculated to be 4 μm. The fringe upampled with 10-times enhanced resolution has finer pixel pitch of 0.8 μm. Each upampled subpixel makes a smooth connection with the nearest neighbor pixels in the magnified fringe. The pixel graph in a section of the fringe exhibits a wiggle not observed in the original fringe.

Simulation results for the angular-view change of the holographic image through the upampling process of a hologram fringe are illustrated in Fig. 7(b). The reconstructed diffraction fringes are about the digital hologram with the resolution enhanced four-fold. The reconstructed letter image at the same distance of 15.4 mm has a field size 4 times larger than the original field size of 1024 μm. The diffraction angle by the increment of total field is 15.2°, which is the angle value for four-fold enhanced resolution with a 2-μm pixel size. On
the other hand, the active diffraction fringe from the letter image enlarges in smaller proportion to the total view. The estimated viewing angle is approximately 8.4°. In this geometry, since the object image size with the 4-μm pixel is a half of the hologram size, it generates two-fold increase in the viewing-angle based on the explanation in Fig. 6. Therefore, this value is rather close to the original angle for the pixel of 8 μm in the hologram with the unenhanced resolution. We find that the upsampled hologram does not affect the angular-view enlargement, but only enlarges the diffraction viewing-zone due to the pixel pitch. The above zero-padding technology does not retrieve a higher spatial frequency component than the value from the Nyquist criterion [24]. The object image resolution remains constant, and thus, the HNA does not change where the viewing-angle maintains.

![Fig. 7. Angular-view change in the holographic image through the upsampling process of the hologram. (a) Digital hologram fringes and pixel value distributions with respect to unenhanced resolution and ten-fold enhanced resolution. (b) Reconstructed diffraction fringes using the digital hologram with the four-fold enhanced resolution.](image)

### 3.3 Angular-view characteristics for holograms synthesized using a convolution method

The digital hologram can be also synthesized by using a convolutional approach, where the pixel resolution of the input plane and output plane has the same value. In the convolution method, the diffractive wave filed is represented as the convolution of the input field and impulse response function. The Fourier transform of the impulse response function is called as a spatial frequency transfer function,
\[ H(u,v) = e^{ikz} \exp[-i\pi\lambda z(\|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2)]. \] (19)

The discrete form of the output field is written using a transfer function as follows,
\[ g(m\Delta\xi, n\Delta\eta) = e^{ikz} \text{DFT}\left\{ \text{DFT}^{-1}[O(x,y)] \exp[-i\pi\lambda z(p^2\Delta u^2 + q^2\Delta v^2)] \right\}. \] (20)

The sampling criterion can be interpreted from the analysis of a local frequency of the function \( H(u,v) \) with a phase term, \( \phi(u,v;z) = \pi\lambda z(\|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2) \). The maximum frequency \( f_{u,\text{max}} \) of the plane in the \( u \)-coordinate is given by
\[ f_{u,\text{max}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u} \right|_{\text{max}} = \lambda z|u|_{\text{max}}. \] (21)

To avoid an aliasing error, the sampling intervals \( \Delta u \) should be satisfied with the condition, \( \Delta u^{-1} \geq 2|f_{u,\text{max}}| \) [25]. From this, we find that the sampling interval is not largely restricted by a short distance \( z \) other than the synthesized hologram from the discrete Fresnel transform in Eq. (17). However, the sampling rate is rather obstructed at a larger distance. In the Fresnel diffraction regime, the transfer function is identical with that of the angular spectrum method, where this aliasing effect has been studied in detail [26].

---

**Fig. 8.** Numerical results of the angular-view change in the reconstructed image from the hologram made at (a) a 15-mm distance and (b) a 60-mm distance by using the convolution method. The reconstructed images located at different distances has the same field-size.

Figure 8 displays the numerical analysis of the angular-view for the reconstructed image from the hologram made by the convolution approach. The reconstructed image size located at different distances has the same value because of an identical pixel size of the hologram.
and object image. The hologram without an aliasing error is well obtained even at a short distance between the hologram and object. The specifications of the hologram synthesis are the same as those of the previous Fresnel transformation. The pixel size of the hologram is fixed to be 8 μm, and thus, all the images have 8-μm pixel. The diffraction fringes propagated from the hologram made at a 30.8-mm distance \( z_1 \) is illustrated in Fig. 8(a). The digital hologram consists of 512×512 pixels. The total field-size of all the diffraction fringes maintains to be 4096 μm, while the active diffraction fringe with respect to the letter image spreads out with increasing a reconstruction distance. The obtained viewing angle from the increasing rate of the diffraction fringe is about to be 3.5°. Figure 8(b) is the simulation results for the hologram synthesized at a 61.6-mm distance \( z_2 \). Although the image is reconstructed at far away from the hologram plane, the active fringe diffracts at a similar rate with that in Fig. 8(a) where the viewing angle is appeared to be 3.54°.

![Fig. 9. Viewing-angle change in the reconstructed image for the digital holograms synthesized by using the convolution method. The object size does not vary irrespective of a synthesis distance.](image)

Figure 9 shows the change of the viewing-angle in the holographic images as a function of a synthesis distance. All the angle values are similar irrespective of a synthesis-distance variation. Here, the resolution limit \( R_{\text{Abbe}} \) has a constant value of 8 μm for all the images, which corresponds to the description in Fig. 3(b). The viewing-angle \( \Omega_{\text{HNA}} \) is subject to Eq. (16). The value \( \Omega_{\text{HNA}} \) of 3.81° is close to the measurement value. The schematic diagram of the numerical aperture for the digital hologram made by the convolution method is displayed in Fig. 10. The angle is not directly calculated from the lateral size of the digital hologram, unlike the result for the hologram made by the Fresnel transform method. As shown in Fig. 10(a), the hologram fringe does not fully occupy all the area of the digital hologram at a close distance from the object, where a low-pass filtering takes place due to a pixel pitch. In the hologram synthesis from the Fresnel transformation method, even a point source fills its total area. The size of the HNA is defined by a diffraction scope propagating from the object image. On the other hand, at a further distance in Fig. 10(c) the digital hologram captures a partial diffractive wave. Nevertheless, the viewing-angle of a holographic image maintains constant, which is resulted from that the numerical reconstruction by the convolution method keeps a resolution of 8 μm. Generally, the finite object is transformed to be an infinite Fourier domain, and complete information of finite object is restored in terms of its inverse transform. The convolutional method uses a double Fourier transform. In an intermediate state of Fourier
domain the aperture region with respect to 8-μm resolution could be retained. We also observed this property in the holograms synthesized from the angular spectrum method, as not displayed here.

However, in an image reconstruction by using the conventional Fresnel transform we can suppose that the viewing-angle will decrease with a synthesis distance, because the pixel size indicating an image resolution changes in accordance with Eq. (14), which is another expression that as previously explained in Section 2, the sufficient aperture size dose not secure due to a finite SLM size. We observed that this type viewing-angle reduces at a further distance. From above result, we note that in digital holography, the convolution method can numerically reconstruct a holographic image with an original resolution even by using hologram occupied a part of the diffractive wave.

![Diagram](image)

Fig. 10. Numerical aperture angle of the digital holograms synthesized at (a) 30.8-mm, (b) 61.6-mm, and (c) 123.2-mm distances using the convolutional approach. Digital holograms are displayed with a logarithmic scale.
4. Angular-view expansion and its discussion

The angular view in the hologram made using the Fresnel transform method increases with decreasing a synthesis distance, where as previously described in Fig. 1, it is inevitable that the object image size decreases at a high-HNA hologram synthesis to avoid an aliasing effect. This description can be naturally extended to the Rayleigh-Sommerfeld diffraction scheme in Eq. (3). The sampling rate \( f_s \) of the object field follows the Nyquist criterion, \( f_s \geq 2f_{x,\text{max}} \). The sampling pixel size \( \Delta x \) should satisfy the following condition [15]:

\[
\Delta x^{-1} \geq 2 \frac{|\xi - x|_{\text{max}}}{\lambda \sqrt{(\xi - x)^2 + z^2}}.
\] (22)

The sampling pixel value depends on the calculated field-size \( |\xi - x|_{\text{max}} \) as well as a synthesis distance. As the calculated field size increases, the finer pixel sampling is required.

![Simulation result for securing the angular-view of the holographic image with an enlarged size.](image)

Figure 11 is the simulation result for securing the angular-view of a holographic image with an enlarged size. We consider the digital hologram of 256×256 size synthesized at the \( z_1 \) distance of 15.4 mm in Fig. 1. The blue box in Fig. 11(a) indicates the letter image with 256×256 pixel of a 4-μm resolution. The rectangular image is added to the outside of the letter image, and the object image with 512×512 pixel has the same size as 2048-μm of the hologram. Based on Eq. (22), the hologram can be calculated from the object with an enlarged size through its upsampling process. The digital hologram with no aliasing error is obtained through a two-fold upsampling process of the object image, which is calculated from the Riemann integral in Eq. (3), as illustrated in Fig. 11(b). This upsampling process is different from that in Eq. (18), which means that the object image itself is sampled to the finer pixel. We find that the lateral size of the object is not critical compared to the synthesis distance, where a no-aliasing hologram is achieved even without upsampling operation. In
this upsampling case, we also notice that although the object image resolution increases up to 2-μm, the HNA of the hologram is not affected. The Rayleigh-Sommerfeld diffraction formula is the extension of the Fresnel approximation. The output resolution changes into 16-μm during the hologram synthesis process where half of diffractive wave becomes a digital hologram. Furthermore, the hologram with 8-μm resolution is obtained only by its upsampling process of Eq. (18).

The display process of the holographic scene is also subject to the criterion of Eq. (22). Figure 11(c) illustrates the reconstructed image without its upsampling of the hologram fringe. The aliasing noise images are overlapped with the original image. These aliasing images are generated from the high-order diffraction beams due to its pixel pitch of a modulator, where the diffraction zone is a half of the field-view. The digital hologram is upsampled from 256×256 pixel of 8-μm resolution to 512×512 pixel of 4-μm resolution. The object image is reconstructed from a backpropagation of Eq. (3). The object image with an extended field is well retrieved in Fig. 11(d), but the aliasing error of high-order terms is not completely removed. The viewing-angle calculated from diffraction fringes is appeared to be 7.3°, which is double the value for 8-μm pixel diffraction.

![Figure 12](image)

Fig. 12. Simulation result for holographic display with an enhanced viewing-angle by using a binary random mask.

Figure 12 shows simulation results for holographic display with an enhanced viewing-angle. The binary random mask with 512×512 pixel of 4-μm resolution is used to eliminate the aliasing noise images. Although the opening ratio of mask is put to be 90%, we obtain a reconstructed image largely removed a noise images. The random sampling deteriorates a periodicity of the pixel structure, and thus prohibits the formation of the high-order diffraction patterns in the Fourier space. Therefore, we find that only the adding its randomness to the upsampled hologram effectively removes the aliasing images.

This shows the possibility of the angular-view enhancement of the holographic image with a present modulator. In a real system, the binary random mask could be manufactured by coating the black-matrix grating on a transparent substrate. The high-HNA digital hologram can be synthesized within the specification of a present modulator, where the aliasing images are appeared at the outside of the diffraction zone. These aliasing images could be effectively eliminated by upsampling the digital hologram through a binary random mask. Another way to remove the aliasing images is to design the spatial modulator itself with a randomly distributed pixels. This approach could be a useful tool to develop the wide viewing-angle holographic display [27]. Although the detailed observance of angular-view variation is not easy because of the small angle and aliasing images superposition, experimental verification
is necessary. In a further study, we will carry out the experiments for the angular-view dependency on the HNA and angular-view expansion of a holographic image.

5. Conclusion

We elucidate that the angular-view of the holographic images is determined from the HNA rather than the pixel pitch. In other words, the resolving power of the digital hologram becomes a key factor for the ability of the angular view. The numerical simulation for various types of holograms approves that the viewing-angle strongly depends on the hologram HNA, where the hologram with a large numerical aperture reconstructs the image with a high viewing-angle. This analysis can be also extended to the analog hologram fringe. We demonstrate that the holographic display with a wide viewing-angle could be realized by using a high-HNA hologram and removing the aliasing noise images. The high-HNA hologram can be synthesized using the object field beyond the diffraction zone of the pixel pitch, where the aliasing images appeared at the outside of the diffraction zone could be effectively eliminated by upsampling the digital hologram through a binary random mask.
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