Vectorial nonlinear optics: type-II second-harmonic generation driven by spin-orbit coupled fields
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Vectorial nonlinear optics refers to the investigation of optical processes whose nonlinear polarization (NP) experiences spin-orbit coupling (SOC) interactions, where, in general, the light field driving the NP or the new field generated by the interaction containing a SOC property. Here, to contribute to the fundamental knowledge on this domain, we present a comprehensive and thorough study on the type-II second-harmonic generation induced by vectorial laser modes. First, we provide a general theory to analyze the vectorial SHG process. Second, taking two typical vector light as examples, we demonstrate how the SOC of the pump field dictates the nonlinear interaction. Finally, we corroborate our theoretical predictions experimentally, confirming the crucial role that SOC plays in the nonlinear interactions. These results deepen our fundamental understanding of SOC-mediated nonlinear optics and lay the foundation for further related theoretical studies, as well as their possible applications.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since Maiman announced the first operative laser in 1960, based on Townes and Schawlow’s theory [1], the unprecedented brightness of the coherent light made it possible to generate strong light-matter interactions that enable the high-order optical response of materials, or rather nonlinear polarization (NP), to reach an observable level. Only one year later, not surprisingly, Franken and coworkers observed the first laser radiation induced nonlinear effect — i.e., second-harmonic generation (SHG) — that opened the research on nonlinear optics [2]. Due to its fundamental aspects and potential applications, nonlinear optics rapidly became a vital subfield of modern optics and photonics [3-15]. Today, driven by the continued advances in laser techniques over the past several decades, the longitudinal dimension of this active field has expanded into ultrashort time and ultrahigh intensity regions [16-19]. In contrast, its transverse dimension, both in theoretical and applied aspects, mainly focuses on Gaussian beams with scalar states of polarization (SoPs). This may be attributed to a fact that, although the researches on laser fields with spatially variant SoPs, i.e., so-called vector light, can trace back to 1970s [20, 21], most commercial laser resonators are designed to generate Gaussian (TEM₀₀) beams or pulses with a linear polarization for a high generation efficiency.

More recently, the progress in understanding the physics behind laser fields with spatially variant SoPs, i.e., the intrinsic spin-orbit coupling (SOC) and geometric phase (GP) of light fields [22-25], has significantly enhanced our capability to shape and control light-matter interactions. These highly customizable light-matter interactions have promoted a series of photonics advances, ranging from super-resolution microscopy, laser trapping, and optical metrology, to fundamental physics [26-31]. Remarkably, the vectorial light-matter interaction could also provide a new interface to tailor nonlinear optical processes, which significantly extends our understandings of nonlinear optics. To be more specific, as the origin of the interactions, NPs, i.e., the electric dipole moment in nonlinear media induced by two or more light fields, highly depend on both the intensity and SoP of applied light fields. In the vectorial case, due to the SOC of the applied laser fields, the driven NPs will possess the inherent spin-dependent spatial structures (phase and intensity profiles). These SOC mediated NPs, on the one hand, bring a host of new vector nonlinear optical phenomena and rich functionalities that have no analogs in scalar interactions, on the other hand, also provide a promising way to generate, control and characterize structured light. In consequence, this emerging field has gained more and more research interest and revives the research on fundamental nonlinear optics [32-44].

The central premise of exploring this emerging field is to develop a general theoretical toolkit that can completely describe and analyze vectorial nonlinear optical processes, yet it is still a missing fundamental component. In view of this situation, we tackle the subject comprehensively and thoroughly by a series of fundamental studies — vectorial
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nonlinear optics series — involving typical 2nd- and 3rd-order nonlinear optical processes. More specifically, for a 2nd-order interaction, due to the fixed polarization dependence of the nonlinear medium, the reference frame of the medium and the SOC state of the applied field codetermine the wavefunction (spatial structure) of the excited NP, i.e., a SOC mediated NP. While, for a 3rd-order interaction, the medium have more freedom for generating NPs, thus one can look forward to more extraordinary phenomena. In the series, consider the mathematical form of photonic SOC states are unified with quantum states [45-51], we therefore choose the well-established representation method and associated toolkits for quantum information to describe and analysis vector light fields and the interactions between them. Namely, the vectorial nonlinear optical process can be regard as an information interaction between (among) qubits (vector light) via an apparatus (nonlinear media).

Here, as the beginning of the series, we start from type-II SHG, the simplest nonlinear interaction, to discuss the new phenomena induced by SOC-mediated NPs in its vectorial version. The present paper is organized as follow: we first present a general theoretical frame; on the basis of which we then analyze theoretically the influence of vector pump fields on the intensity and spatial (amplitude and phase) structure of excited NPs, as well as the beam profiles of the SHG fields upon diffractive propagation; and finally, we experimentally prove the validity and accuracy of the theory. In particular, both in theoretical analysis and experimental demonstration, we consider type-II SHG in a lossless nonlinear optical medium involving collimated and monochromatic input vector beams.

II. THEORETICAL METHODS

A. Nonlinear polarizations of vectorial type-II SHG

As a typical 2nd-order nonlinear optical process, the NP of SHG is driven by the quadratic beating of the applied pump field. More specifically, for type-I SHG driven by a scalar spatial mode $|\psi\rangle = A(r, \varphi, z)e^{i\omega z}$, the corresponding NP can be expressed as $P^{nl} = 0.5\kappa^2 |\psi|^{\omega} = 0.5\kappa A^2(r, \theta, z)e^{ikz}$, where $\kappa = \varepsilon_0 c k(\omega)$ is a nonlinear coupling coefficient, $A(r, \theta, z)$ is an spatial complex amplitude function and $k(\omega)$ is the dispersion relation (frequency) representing the wave vector, respectively. While for type-II SHG, it is actually a sum-frequency generation between two orthogonal linearly polarized components of the pump field. If we assume the interaction is phase matched with respect to horizontal ($\hat{e}_h$) and vertical ($\hat{e}_v$) polarized components of the pump, i.e., the most common case in type-II crystals. The NP created in the crystal by an incident pump with a scalar SOP $|\psi\rangle = \sqrt{\alpha}|\hat{e}_h\rangle + e^{i\varphi}\sqrt{1-\alpha}|\hat{e}_v\rangle$, or explicitly as $|\psi\rangle = |\hat{e}_h\rangle + e^{i\varphi}|\hat{e}_v\rangle$, can be expressed as a polarization-cross-correlation relation

$$P^{nl} = \kappa^2\langle\psi|\langle\hat{e}_h|\hat{e}_v|\psi\rangle = \sqrt{\alpha(1-\alpha)}k e^{ikz} A^2(r, \theta, z)e^{ikz}$$

where $\alpha \in [0,1]$ and $e^{i\varphi}$ represent the mode weightiness and the intramode phase of SOPs, respectively. From Eq (1) we see that, as shown in Fig. 1 (a), first, the spatial profile of the created NP is the quadratic beating of pump field, leading to a shrinking in the beam waist of SHG field (compared with pump). Second, unlike type-I SHG, here the intensity of NP ($I_{np}$) is governed by a variable term $\sqrt{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \leq 0.5$ that associates with the ‘concurrence’ of SoPs with respect to the mutually unbiased base (MUB) $[\hat{e}_h, \hat{e}_v]$, [45], which is given by $C_s = 2\sqrt{\alpha(1-\alpha)}$. Note, $C_s \in [0,1]$ quantifies the non-separability of a SOP with respect to a given MUB of the spin space. This indicates that, for type-II SHG, the $I_{np}$ is controlled fully by the $C_s$ of an incident pump, i.e., $I_{np} \propto \alpha(1-\alpha)$. More specifically, the $I_{np}$ will completely vanish for $C_s = 0$ corresponding to a $\hat{e}_h$- or $\hat{e}_v$-polarized pump; and will reach their maximum when $C_s = 1$ corresponding to a circular (\hat{e}_c) or diagonal ($\hat{e}_d$) polarized pump (i.e., another two MUBs of the spin space). Moreover, the intramodal phase $e^{i\varphi}$ appearing on the right-hand side of Eq. (1) can affect the initial dynamic phase of generated SHG fields. These well-known facts in scalar nonlinear optics, however, will change radically when vector pump fields are involved, as will be discussed in more details below.

![FIG. 1. Schematic representation of the comparison between scalar (a) and vector type-II SHG (b) and (c).](image-url)
\[ |\psi_{\text{SOC}} \rangle = \sqrt{\beta} |\hat{e}_+ \psi_+ \rangle + e^{i\theta} \sqrt{1-\beta} |\hat{e}_- \psi_- \rangle, \]  
(2)

where \( \beta \in [0,1] \) and \( e^{i\theta} \) denote the mode weightiness and associated intramode phase of the SOC state, respectively. For this qubit-like state, we can use the ‘concurrency’ for SOC states to quantify the SOC strength, or rather the degree of non-separability with respect to the given MUB of the SOC space \([47-51]\), which is given by \( C_{\text{SOC}} = 2\sqrt{\beta(1-\beta)} \), where \( C_{\text{SOC}} \in [0,1] \) corresponding to the SoP from pure scalar to full vector. According to Eq. (1), we can express the NP of type-II SHG driven by a SOC state shown in Eq. (2) as

\[
P^\perp_{\text{SOC}} = \kappa \langle \psi_{\text{SOC}} | \hat{e}_+ | \psi_{\text{SOC}} \rangle = \kappa e^{i\theta} \langle \psi_{\text{II}} | \psi_{\psi} \rangle, \]  
(3)

with a group of \( \hat{e}_+ \) and \( \hat{e}_- \) dependent spatial modes

\[
\begin{align*}
|\psi_{\text{II}} \rangle &= \sqrt{\beta} |\psi_+ \rangle + e^{i\theta} \sqrt{1-\beta} |\psi_- \rangle, \\
|\psi_{\psi} \rangle &= \sqrt{(1-\beta)} |\psi_+\rangle - e^{i\theta} \sqrt{\beta} |\psi_- \rangle.
\end{align*}
\]  
(4)

Here notice that \( |\psi_{\text{II}} \rangle \) and \( |\psi_{\psi} \rangle \) are not usually orthogonal to each other, unless in the case \( C_{\text{SOC}} = 1 \). Now, by substituting Eq. (4) into Eq. (3), we can reformulate the waveform of the NP as

\[
P^\perp_{\text{SOC}} = \kappa e^{i\theta} (a_1 |\psi_+ \rangle + a_2 |\psi_- \rangle + a_3 |\psi_{\psi} \rangle), \]  
(5)

where \( a_{1,2,3} \) denote the complex probability amplitudes of the various spatial-mode components of the NP, which are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
a_1 &= \sqrt{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \beta = 0.5 C_3 \beta, \\
a_2 &= e^{i(2\alpha+\theta)} \sqrt{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)} = 0.5 e^{i(2\alpha+\theta)} C_3 (1-\beta), \\
a_3 &= e^{i\theta} (1-2\alpha) \sqrt{\beta(1-\beta)} = 0.5 e^{i\theta} (1-2\alpha) C_{\text{SOC}}.
\end{align*}
\]  
(6)

Here, if \( \alpha \leq 0.5 \) we can rewrite the third equation into

\[
a_i = 0.5 e^{i\theta} P_i C_{\text{SOC}}, \]  

where \( P_i = \sqrt{-1\hat{C}_3} \) denotes the ‘polarizability’ of the SoP related with a quadratic constant \( \hat{C}_3 = \hat{P}_3^2 = 1 [52,53] \). In addition, notice that the inner products of two spatial modes in Eq. (3) and (5) denote two-wave coupling (or beating) in the space, and the degree of the coupling depends on the overlap of two waves. That is, even for two spatial modes that are mathematically orthogonal (or fully distinguishable) to each other, such as \( |\psi_+ \rangle \) and \( |\psi_- \rangle \), they can still couple (beat) with each other in the space, and the coupling strength depends on their overlap. Namely, for normalized spatial modes \( |\psi_+ \rangle \) and \( |\psi_- \rangle \) at a given propagation plane \( \hat{z}_0 \), we have \( \langle |\psi_+ \rangle |\psi_- \rangle d\hat{r} d\theta \in [0,1] \).

Equations (5) and (6) present a general description for type-II SHG driven by a vector mode, where we find that the waveform of the created NP is governed fully by the SOC structure of incident pump, or rather, the spin-controlled two-wave coupling field \( \langle \psi_{\text{II}} | \psi_{\psi} \rangle \). In this new reality, as two specific examples shown in Fig. 1 (b) and (c), the SOC state of incident pump plays a vital role in the vectorial interaction — it directly determines both the spatial-mode components of the NP created in the crystal and associated complex probability amplitudes, as well as the \( I_{\text{NP}} \) that is proportional to the power of obtained SHG field. In addition, it is important to note that the conversion efficiency of a nonlinear interaction depends on the collection of spatial power density of the created NP. This fact indicates that, for the NP created by a vectorial pump shown in Eq. (5), the three spatial-mode components may have different SHG efficiencies owning to their possible difference in power density (related to their spatial size), such as NPs created by Full-Poincaré (FP) modes as will be discussed in Sec. III B. That is, for the NP having a superposed spatial mode, its intensity is determined by not only complex probability amplitudes but also the power density of associated spatial-mode components.

Here we first consider the most common case that \( |\psi_+ \rangle \) and \( |\psi_- \rangle \) have a same spatial profile, such as cylindrical vector (CV) modes as will be discussed in Sec. III A. So that we have \( I_{\text{NP}} \propto a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 \) indicating the \( I_{\text{NP}} \) is completely determined by the complex probability amplitudes. To illustrate this common case, Fig. 2 shows normalized \( a_{1,2,3} \), and associated \( I_{\text{NP}} \) as the functions of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), respectively, where we see that, first, the spatial-mode content and \( I_{\text{NP}} \) are dominated by both \( C_{\text{SOC}} \) and \( C_3 \); and second, unlike the scalar case, here \( I_{\text{NP}}(\alpha,\beta) \) is always nonzero as \( \beta \neq 0,1 \). The reason for this behavior is that, for any vector light (\( C_{\text{SOC}} \neq 0 \)), we can express it with respect to the base \( \langle |\hat{e}_+ \psi_0 \rangle |\hat{e}_- \psi_0 \rangle \rangle \) and have a relation \( \langle |\psi_+ \rangle |\psi_- \rangle \rangle \neq 0 \). Besides, according to Fig. 2, we find that when the SOC strength trends to zero, i.e., \( C_{\text{SOC}} = 0 \) corresponding to \( \beta = 0 \) or \( 1 \), the change of \( a_{1,2,3} \) and \( I_{\text{NP}} \) with \( \alpha \) obey the rule in pure scalar case shown in Eq. (1), i.e., \( a_i = 0 \), \( a_1 = 0 \) and \( I_{\text{NP}} \propto a(1-\alpha) \). This result indicates that Eq. (5) and (6) provide a unified description for type-II SHG that are compatible with both scalar and vector cases.

![FIG. 2. Normalized complex probability amplitudes of the three spatial-mode components (right) and the corresponding NP intensity (left) as the functions of parameters \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), respectively. In the \( I_{\text{NP}}(\alpha,\beta) \) diagram, red and blue curves are functions \( C_3(\alpha) \) and \( C_{\text{SOC}}(\beta) \), respectively.](image-url)
B. Beam profiles of generated second-harmonic fields upon diffraction propagation

In SHG process, the NP discussed above directly drives the dipole moment in the media, which generates a new traveling wave with a frequency of $2\omega$, i.e., the SHG field. Therefore, the SHG field during nonlinear propagation can be calculated through an inhomogeneous wave equation with the corresponding NP as driven terms, which is given by

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{E} - \frac{n^2}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0 c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{P}_{\text{SOC}}}{\partial t^2}. \quad (7)$$

From Eq. (7), one can obtain a well-known coupled-amplitude equation set that can predict the amplitude of pump and SHG fields upon nonlinear propagation [3]. While, what we are interested in the present paper are beam profiles of generated second-harmonic fields since the generation plane $z_0$ (in the crystal) to the far field. The SOC structure of the residual pump field in the depletion case will be discussed in our following papers. For this, we can find the driven term on the right-hand side of Eq. (7), in fact, plays the wave source of SHG fields in the crystal [3]. Therefore, we can use diffraction-integral (Green-function) method with corresponding NP wavefunction as the pupil function to conveniently calculate the diffraction property (or beam profile) of the SHG field upon propagation. The spatial modes of vector light considered here are paraxial laser beams, in view of that, we choose Collins propagator to derive the spatial wavefunction of SHG fields upon propagation in $z$, which is given by [54]

$$E_{\text{SHG}}(r, \varphi, z) = \frac{i}{\lambda z} \exp\left[-i(2\omega)z\right] \int d\theta d\phi E_{\text{pupil}}(\theta_0, \phi_0, z_0) \times \exp\left(-i(2\omega)\frac{z_0}{2z} \left[ r^2 - 2r_0 \cos(\phi - \phi_0) + r_0^2 \right] \right),$$

where $\lambda$ denotes the wavelength of SHG field, $E_{\text{pupil}}(\theta_0, \phi_0, z_0) = \langle \psi_H(z_0) | \psi_{\text{SHG}}(z_0) \rangle$ is the pupil function of the diffraction integral that equals to the wavefunction of the SHG field at $z_0$. Note, in this work, we have assumed that the nonlinear interaction is limited within a short interval of Rayleigh distance ($z_R$). Nonlinear interactions with focused vector beams, i.e., the interactions occurring within several orders of $z_R$, will be the subject of a separate paper in this series.

III. SIMULATION ANALYSIS WITH SPECIFIC SOC STATES

In this section, we employ the theoretical toolkit provided in the previous section to explore how the SOC structures of two specific categories vector modes, i.e., (i) cylindrical vector (CV) modes and (ii) Full-Poincaré (FP) modes, dictate the type-II SHG process. More specifically, we focus on the wavefunction (spatial-mode spectrum) of created NP as the function of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ (or $C_{\text{SOC}}$ and $C_s$) that manifest in two experimental observables: (1) the intensity of created NP that is proportional to the output power of obtained SHG; and (2) the corresponding beam profiles of the generated second-harmonic fields upon propagation.

For both, derivation and simulation, we have chosen the Laguerre-Gauss (LG) mode as the spatial mode carrying OAM (see Eq. (A1) in Appendix A). In the following, we represent LG modes as $[LG_{m, n}] = A_H(r, \varphi, z) e^{i\phi_\alpha} |\pm\ell\rangle$, where $A_H(r, \varphi, z)$ (we use $A_H$ for short in the following) is the donut-like spatial amplitude of LG modes with topological charges $\pm\ell$ and $|\ell\rangle$ denotes the vortex wavefront $e^{i\phi_\alpha}$ (i.e., OAM-carrying term). Notice that, at a given propagation plane, two complementary LG modes $[LG_{\ell, +}]$ and $[LG_{\ell, -}]$ have a same spatial amplitude $A_H$.

In addition, consider, in our experiment, the initial SOC states were prepared as $\sqrt{\beta} \langle \hat{e}_i, \psi_i \rangle + e^{i\phi} \sqrt{1-\beta} \langle \hat{e}_j, \psi_j \rangle$ (see the experimental setup in Fig. 7), and the SoP base can be further manipulated to a desired base $[\hat{e}_i, \hat{e}_j]$ using a half-wave plate (HWP) and a quarter-wave plate (QWP). Therefore, for conveniently comparing the simulation results and corresponding experimental verifications, in the simulations we have assumed the SoP base $[\hat{e}_i, \hat{e}_j]$ is converted from $|\hat{e}_i, \hat{e}_j\rangle$ via a HWP or a QWP. Namely, the weight coefficient $\alpha$ can be regarded as the function of HWP's angle $\delta_{iH}$ or QWP's angle $\delta_{iW}$ (see more details in Appendix B), respectively. Figure 3(a) shows the $\alpha$ function with respect to $\delta_{iH}$ and $\delta_{iW}$, respectively, where we see that the change of $\delta_{iH}(0 \to 45)$ corresponds to $\alpha(1\to0)$ and the change of $\delta_{iW}(0 \to 45 \to 90)$ corresponds to $\alpha(1\to0.5\to1)$.

A. Vectorial type-II SHG driven by CV-mode pump fields

The so-called CV modes refer to the most common category of vector spatial modes that features donut-like intensity profiles and spatially variant SoPs, and are therefore also known as vector vortex [26-28]. The SOC state of CV modes can be described as a non-separable superposition of two opposite LG modes with mutually orthogonal SoPs, which is given by

$$|\psi_{\text{CV}}\rangle = \sqrt{\beta} |\hat{e}_i, LG_{\ell, +}\rangle + e^{i\phi} \sqrt{1-\beta} |\hat{e}_j, LG_{\ell, -}\rangle.$$ \quad (9)

Equation (9) indicates that CV modes possess donut-like spatial amplitudes $A_H$ and associated CV wavefronts (or spatially variant polarization) $\sqrt{\beta} |\hat{e}_i, +\ell\rangle + e^{i\phi} \sqrt{1-\beta} |\hat{e}_j, -\ell\rangle$. Substitution of Eq. (9) into Eq. (5) provides with an expression to describe the NP created by CV-mode pumps, from which, its wavefunction can be determined, namely
Here we see that, for a given $\ell$, the created NP has a definite radial amplitude structure, i.e., $A^2_{\text{r}}$, but a variable azimuthal phase structure, i.e., $(a_0|2\ell\rangle + a_1|-2\ell\rangle + a_i|0\rangle)$. In other word, the three spatial-mode components of the NP, i.e., $|2\ell\rangle$, $|-2\ell\rangle$ and $|0\rangle$, have a same intensity profile $A^2_{\text{r}}$, as a consequence, the spatial-mode spectrum of the SHG field depends only on the complex probability amplitudes $a_{1,2,3}$.

As mentioned in Sec. II, the SHG pumped by CV modes is controlled fully by pump field’s parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ (or $C_{\text{soc}}$ and $C_V$). To demonstrate the prediction shown in Fig. 2, here we start from the special case of $\beta=0.5$ corresponding to $C_{\text{soc}}=1$, that is, the pump fields are full vectorial [47-49]. Moreover, for simplicity and without the loss of generality, in our simulations we defined intra-modal phase $\phi$ to be zero. Based on the relations shown in Fig. 3(a),

\[ P_{\text{CV}}^{\text{sh}} = \kappa' \langle \psi_{\text{p}} | \tilde{k}_n | \psi_{\text{CV}} \rangle \]
\[ = \kappa e^{i \phi} \left( a_0 |2\ell\rangle + a_1 |-2\ell\rangle + a_i |0\rangle \right) \]
\[ = \kappa e^{i \phi} A^2_{\text{r}} e^{i (2\omega \ell)} \left( a_0 |2\ell\rangle + a_1 |-2\ell\rangle + a_i |0\rangle \right) \]

(10)

FIG. 3. (a) $\alpha$ as the functions of $\delta_{0\ell}$ and $\delta_{1\ell}$, respectively. (b) and (c) are simulated intensities of NPs as function of $\delta_{0\ell}$ and $\delta_{1\ell}$ for $\ell=1-5$, respectively. (d) and (e) depict simulated SOC structures of CV mode pumps for different angles of $\delta_{0\ell}$ and $\delta_{1\ell}$, their corresponding spin-dependent orthogonal spatial mode pairs, and spatial profiles of NPs driven by them, respectively. The blue (white) circles and red lines on the simulated SOC structures correspond to left (right) circular and linear SoPs, respectively.
first of all, Fig. 3 (d) and (e) show simulated CV pump fields featuring a SOC structure versus $\delta_0 (0 \rightarrow 45)$ and $\delta_0 (0 \rightarrow 90)$, respectively (first row). Their corresponding $\hat{e}_{nv}$ -dependent spatial modes $|\psi_{nn'}\rangle$ and $|\psi_{nn'}\rangle$ are shown in the middle row, while the spatial profiles of the created NPs are shown in the bottom row. From these simulations, we see that the $\hat{e}_{nv}$ -dependent spatial modes are governed fully by the $C_5$ of the applied pump, which has further led to a polarization-controlled NP creation. On the basis of these simulated profiles, for a more intuitive comparison, figure 3 (b) and (c) show the corresponding $I_{np}$ as the functions of $\delta_{12}$ and $\delta_{14}$ with different $\ell$, respectively. The results illustrate that, just as predicted in Sec. II, first, the $I_{np}$ remains nonzero as $C_{SOC} \neq 0$, and second, due to the increase of the beam size of CV modes upon $\ell$, leading to the decrease of pump power density, the $I_{np}$ decreases with topological charges.

In a word, the results shown in Fig. 3 indicate that, when the $C_{SOC}$ of the pump is constant (i.e., $\beta = 0.5$), the spatial-mode content of the created NP is fully controlled by the $C_5$ (or $\alpha$) of the applied pump. In addition, in the simulated profiles shown in Fig. 3 (b) and 3 (c), of particular note are the two specific cases: (i) $\delta_{02} = 0.45$ or $\delta_{04} = 0.90$ corresponding to $\alpha = 0.1$; and (ii) $\delta_{02} = 22.5$ and $\delta_{04} = 45$ corresponding to $\alpha = 0.5$, respectively. For the case (i), the SHG can be regarded as being pumped by $\sqrt{I/2} A_{H} e^{i(2\alpha \psi_{e})}(|\hat{e}_{H} \cdot + 1\rangle + |\hat{e}_{e} \cdot - \hat{1}\rangle)$, and according to Eq. (6) we can obtain the wavefunction of the created NP, which is given by

$$P_{CV}^{NL} = \frac{1}{2} A_{H} e^{A_{12}(2\alpha) e^{-i(2\alpha \psi_{e})}}|0\rangle,$$

where in this case the $I_{np}$ reaches the maximum value due to the perfect overlap between $|\psi_{H}\rangle$ and $|\psi_{e}\rangle$. We note in the case (ii), the driven pumps can be regarded as $\sqrt{I/2} A_{H} e^{i(2\alpha \psi_{e})} e^{-i(\alpha \psi_{e})}$ and $\sqrt{I/2} A_{H} e^{i(2\alpha \psi_{e})} e^{i(\alpha \psi_{e})}$, respectively, where $\hat{e}_{LR} = (\hat{e}_{H} \mp i \hat{e}_{e}) / \sqrt{2}$ and $\alpha = 45$ is the Hermite-Gauss phases. Similarly, according to Eq. (6), we can obtain the corresponding Created NPs, which are given by

$$P_{CV}^{NL} = \frac{1}{2} e^{A_{12}(2\alpha) e^{-i(2\alpha \psi_{e})}}|0\rangle,$$

and

$$P_{CV}^{NL} = -\frac{1}{2} e^{A_{12}(2\alpha) e^{-i(2\alpha \psi_{e})}}|0\rangle,$$

respectively. Note, this time the $I_{np}$ takes the minimum values, i.e., half of the maximum values obtained in case (i), as the overlaps between $|\psi_{H}\rangle$ and $|\psi_{e}\rangle$ are minimum.

Then, we further consider the common case where the driven pump’s $C_{SOC} < 1$, i.e., $\beta \neq 0.5$. At this time, the $\hat{e}_{nv}$ -dependent spatial modes and the NP created by them are codetermined by $C_{SOC}$ and $C_5$ of applied pump. In particular, the specific cases $\delta_{02} = 0.22.5$ shown in Eqs. (11) and (12) now can be rewritten as

$$P_{CV}^{NL} = \sqrt{I/2} e^{A_{12}(2\alpha) e^{-i(2\alpha \psi_{e})}}|0\rangle,$$

and

$$P_{CV}^{NL} = -\frac{1}{2} A_{H} e^{A_{12}(2\alpha) e^{-i(2\alpha \psi_{e})}}|0\rangle,$$

respectively. Figure 4 (a) shows simulated SOC structures of partially vectorial (or entangled) CV-mode pump fields versus $\delta_0 (0 \rightarrow 45)$, where we have taken $\beta = 0.4, 0.2, 0$, respectively (upper row). Their corresponding $\hat{e}_{nv}$ -dependent spatial modes $|\psi_{nn'}\rangle$ and $|\psi_{nn'}\rangle$ are shown in the middle row. Finally, the spatial profiles of the created NPs are shown in the bottom row. Moreover, plots shown in Fig. 4 (b) present the $a_{1,2,3}$ and corresponding $l_{np}$ of created NPs as functions of $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\delta_{12}$ for $\beta = 0-0.5$, respectively. We see from Fig. 4, that with the vectorial feature manifested in $|\psi_{nn'}\rangle$, $|\psi_{nn'}\rangle$ and as well as the NP excited by them wear off with the decrease in the SOC strength ($C_{SOC}$) of the pump field. As a result, the change of $a_{1,2,3}$ and corresponding $l_{np}$ with $\alpha$ (or $\delta_{12}$) gradually evolves into the case of scalar SHG shown in Eq. (1).

On the basis of the above discussed NPs created by CV-mode pump fields, we can readily predict beam profiles of corresponding SHG fields born from them via using the diffraction integral shown in Eq. (8). It should be noted that, according to Eq. (11) - (14) and corresponding simulated profiles shown in Fig. 3, and 4., the initial intensity and phase structures of created NPs at points $\delta_{12} = 22.5$ and $\delta_{14} = 45$ match with each other featuring a petal-like profile with orthogonally composed twisted phase structures; while in contrast, they do not match with each other at points $\delta_{12} = 0.45$ and $\delta_{14} = 0.90$, i.e., featuring a donut profile without twisted phase structures. These realities lead to a profound influence on the beam profiles of the SHG fields during diffraction propagation. In view of that, here we focus on the far-field SHG beam profiles that originate from these two specific categories’ NPs.

Specifically, we first consider the SHG pumped by full-entangled CV modes, and Fig. 5 (a) presents simulated far-field ($z_R = 3$) SHG beam profiles that originate from $P_{CV}^{NL} (\delta_{12} = 0.45)$ and $P_{CV}^{NL} (\delta_{14} = 22.5)$ with $\ell = 1, 3$, and 5, respectively. For the first category, we find that the beam profiles will gradually evolve into TEM_{00}-like distributions with faint outer-ring texture in the far field, and the complexity of outer-ring texture increases with the topological charge carried by pump field. These results fully coincide with the experimental proof shown in Sec. IV, and to some extent, are also consistent with Pereira et al.’s prediction in Ref. [55]. For the second category, by contrast,
FIG. 4. (a) Simulated SOC structures of CV-mode pumps for different angles of $\delta_{\parallel 2}$, their corresponding spin-depend orthogonal spatial mode pairs, and spatial profiles of NPs driven by them, respectively. Here we have set $\ell = 1$ and the three rows correspond to $\beta = 0.4$, $0.2$ and $0$, respectively. The blue (white) circles and red lines on the simulated SOC structures correspond to left (right) circular and linear SoPs, respectively. (b) The first two rows show $a_{1,2,3}$ and corresponding $I_{NP}$ as the functions of $\alpha$ and $\beta$, respectively; and the third row shows the $I_{NP}$ as the function of $\delta_{\parallel 2}$ for $\beta = 0-0.5$.

the SHG beam profiles remain constant upon propagation since they are born from the NPs. We can interpret these results as follows: first, both intensity and phase structures of NPs are tailored by the SOC state of pump fields, i.e., the beam profile of the SHG light at the nonlinear interaction plane $z_{0}$ (wave source); second, if the initial intensity and phase structures of the SHG light match each other, the beam profile remains constant upon propagation just like that of paraxial-eigen modes, otherwise, a drastic evolution in the beam profile will occur during diffractions. Then, we further consider the influence of pump $C_{SOC}$ on the SHG beam profile. Figure 5 (b) presents the simulated far-field ($z_{R} = 3$) SHG beam profiles that originate from $P_{CV}^{NL}(\delta_{\parallel 2} = 0,45^\circ)$ and $P_{CV}^{NL}(\delta_{\parallel 2} = 22.5^\circ)$ (with $\ell = 1$) for $\beta = 0, 0.2,$ and $0.4$, respectively. We find the same behavior again, i.e., the beam profile of the first category is unstable upon propagation, while the other is remains constant. However, here the difference is that, with the decreasing of the $C_{SOC}$, their beam profiles will both degenerate into that of scalar type-II SHG, i.e., they will gradually vanish or transform into a donut shape, respectively.
B. Vectorial type-II SHG driven by FP-mode pump fields

We now analyze the SHG driven by the so-called FP-modes, whose SoPs in transverse plane can fully cover at least one surface of a Poincaré sphere [26-29]. This unique polarization structures arises by considering spatial profiles of OAM-carrying modes with different $|\ell|$. Here we consider the most common case that one spatial mode is Gaussian mode, i.e., $\ell = 0$, which can be expressed as,

$$|\psi_{FP}\rangle = \sqrt{\beta} |\hat{e}_1, LG_{\ell}\rangle + e^{i\phi} \sqrt{1-\beta} |\hat{e}_2, LG_{\ell}\rangle = \left(\sqrt{\beta} A_\ell |\hat{e}_1, 0\rangle + e^{i\phi} \sqrt{1-\beta} A_{\ell/2} |\hat{e}_2, \ell\rangle\right) e^{i\omega t},$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)$$

where $A_\ell$ denotes the intensity profile of TEM$_{00}$ mode. Equation (15) indicates the FP mode has an intensity profile $\sqrt{\beta} A_\ell + \sqrt{1-\beta} A_{\ell/2}$ with a FP-type vectorial wavefront $\sqrt{\beta} |\hat{e}_1, 0\rangle + e^{i\phi} \sqrt{1-\beta} |\hat{e}_2, \ell\rangle$. Similar to our previous analysis, by substituting Eq. (15) into Eq. (5), we can obtain the NP created by FP-mode pumps, which is given by

$$P_{FP}^{\text{NI}} = \kappa \langle \psi_{FP}| \hat{e}_3 |\psi_{FP}\rangle = \kappa e^{i\omega t} \left( a_1 \langle LG_0| LG_0 \rangle + a_2 \langle LG_1| LG_0 \rangle + a_3 \langle LG_0| LG_1 \rangle \right) = \kappa e^{i\omega t} \left( a_1 |A_\ell|^2 \langle 0| + a_2 |A_{\ell/2}|^2 \langle 2\ell| + a_3 A_\ell A_{\ell/2} \langle \ell| \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (16)$$

Here notice that the spatial profiles of $|LG_0\rangle$ and $|LG_1\rangle$ are no longer same, leading to the difference in the power densities of $A_\ell^2$, $A_{\ell/2}^2$ and $A_\ell A_{\ell/2}$. In this special case, as mentioned in Sec. II, the three spatial-mode components i.e., $|0\rangle$, $|2\ell\rangle$ and $|\ell\rangle$, will have different SHG efficiencies. In consequence, the spatial-mode spectrum and the intensity of created NP is determined by not only $a_{1,2,3}$ but also the intensity profiles of associated spatial-mode components, i.e., $A_\ell^2$, $A_{\ell/2}^2$ and $A_\ell A_{\ell/2}$.

Figure 6 (a) shows simulated $I_{\text{NP}}(\alpha, \beta)$ for $\ell = 1, 2$, respectively, as well as a compare of $I_{\text{NP}}(\delta_{\ell/2})$ for $\ell = 1, 2$ as $\beta = 0.5$. From the numerical result we see that, similarly to the previous CV-mode case, the NP created by FP-mode pump remains nonzero as $C_{\text{SOC}} \neq 0$ for arbitrary $\delta_{\ell/2}$. But the difference is that, owing to the wide difference in the power density of LG modes carrying different $|\ell|$ values, the impact of $\beta = 0$ and $\beta = 1$ on the $I_{\text{NP}}$ are not identical anymore. In consequence, as shown in Fig. 6 (a), compared with the case $\ell = 1$, the curve shape of $I_{\text{NP}}(\alpha)$ for $\ell = 2$ as $\beta = 0.5$ is reversed.

For a more intuitive demonstration, Fig. 6 (b) shows simulated SOC structures of applied FP-mode pump fields for $\delta_{\ell/2} (0 \rightarrow 45^\circ)$ (upper row), where we have taken $\beta = 0.5$ for simplicity. Their corresponding $\hat{e}_{H,V}$-dependent orthogonal spatial mode pairs (middle row), and spatial profiles of NPs created by them (bottom row). According to Eq. (16), here the initial intensities and phase structures of created NPs match with each other, indicating that their beam profiles will remain constant upon propagation. In addition, except for the case $\delta_{\ell/2} = 0, 45^\circ$, the generated SHG fields are both carry multi topological charges. In consequence, due to the $|\ell|$-dependent Gouy phase accumulation speed, the SHG beam profiles will experience a $90^\circ$ rotation from $z_0$ to the far field [35].

![Image of simulated far-field SHG beam profiles](image-url)
FIG. 6. (a) Simulated NP intensities as function of $\alpha$ and $\beta$, for $\ell = 1$ and 2, respectively. (b) Simulated SOC structures of FP-mode pumps for different angles of $\delta_{ij}$, their corresponding spin-depend orthogonal spatial mode pairs, and spatial profiles of NPs driven by them, respectively. The blue (white) circles and red lines on the simulated SOC structures correspond to left (right) circular and linear SoPs, respectively.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL DEMONSTRATION

To verify the validity and accuracy of the theoretical method and analysis, in this section we demonstrate experimentally the above predictions. Particularly, we focus on the two observables that can proof the feature of corresponding NPs predicted in Sec. III: (i) the $I_{np}$ created by corresponding vector pump that can be measured by comparing the output power of obtained SHG; and (ii) beam profiles of generated second-harmonic fields since the generation plane to the far field.

Figure 7 shows a schematic representation of our experimental setup. A horizontally polarized TEM$_{00}$ mode beam at 800 nm (Toptica TA pro) is converted into a desired spatial mode by using a spatial light modulator (SLM, HOLOEYE PLUTO-2-NIR-80) in combination with a polarizing beam splitter (PBS) and a half-wave plate (HWP). Here, in particular, for CV-mode generation, we used the so-called ‘complex amplitude modulation’ method to generate pure LG modes from a TEM$_{00}$-mode illumination laser [56]. The generated LG mode is then injected into a polarization (two-arm) Sagnac interferometer containing a Dove prism in one of the paths to convert it into the desired CV mode. Notice that a HWP at the input port of the interferometer is used to control the ratio of laser power between the two arms, so that we can manipulate the parameter $\beta$ of the generated CV mode. Moreover, at the output port of the Sagnac interferometer, a HWP in combination with a QWP are used to manipulate on demand the parameter $\alpha$ of the CV mode.

For FP-mode generation, the method is shown in the upper-left inset of Fig. 7., by utilizing the $\hat{e}_u$-only modulation property of liquid-crystal based SLM, an incident TEM$_{00}$ beam with diagonal polarization can be conveniently converted into a FP mode. Notice that, because, in FP mode preparation, the phase-only modulation is adopted to load the twisted phase into the incident light, therefore, the converted OAM-carrying mode will be the hypergeometric Gaussian mode that contains propagation varying radial structure [57,58]. This fact will bring a larger difference between the observed and the simulated results, especially for the case of pump light carrying larger topological charges, which can be found in the following experimental results shown in Fig. 9.

In the vectorial SHG process, the generated vector pump is focused into a 5 mm long type-II PPKTP using a 200 mm
focal length lens, to drive the SHG. Afterwards, a dichroic mirror (DM) is used to filter the generated 400 nm SHG fields. At last, a 4f-imaging system is employed to image the beam profiles of the SHG fields from the NP (generation) plane to the far field, and corresponding beam profiles and beam powers are recorded by a CCD (Laser Beam Profiler) mounted on a translation stage.

In the experiment, the beam profile of the SHG field driven by CV-mode pumps was first observed. We first consider fully vectorial pump (i.e., $\beta = 0.5$), the observed beam profiles (8bit false-color greyscale) for different angles of $\delta_{12}$ upon propagation, ranging from the generation plane $z_0$ (i.e., the intensity profile of NP), the intermediate stage, to the far field ($z_8 = 3$), are shown in Fig. 8 (a1), (b1), and (c1), which correspond to $\ell = 1, 2$ and $3$, respectively. For the SHG driven by partially vector pump, we considered the case $\beta = 0.2$ and Fig. 8 (d1) shows the corresponding experimental results. For convenient comparisons, we also present simulated beam profiles with the same false-color greyscale that correspond to the experimental observations in Fig. 8, (a2) - (d2). We see that the experimental observations exactly match the theoretical predictions shown in the right column of Fig. 8. Besides, for clarity, Fig. 8 (e) and (f) present the theoretical spatial-mode spectrum of the SHG fields as the function of $\delta_{12}$. Here, notice that the spatial-mode spectrums of SHG fields pumped by CV modes carrying different $\ell$ are same, i.e., $a_{1,2,3}(\delta_{12})$ as mentioned in Sec. II and III (A).

We then demonstrate the predictions with respect to the SHG pumped by FP modes, where the FP modes with $\beta = 0.5$ and $\ell = 1, 2$ are considered. Figure 9 (a) and (b) show the experimental observations and corresponding theoretical comparison, where we see that the observed beam profiles since the NP plane to the far field agree well with the theory. Meanwhile, as discussed in Sec. III (B), we also confirmed that the far-field beam profiles, except for the case $\delta_{12} = 0, 45^\circ$, all have experienced a 90° rotation with respect to the generation plane. Additionally, the theoretical spatial-mode spectrums of the observed SHG fields as the function of $\delta_{12}$ are shown in Fig. 8 (e) and (f), respectively. We can note that, as mentioned in Sec. III (B), this time the spatial-mode spectrum changes with the $\ell$ carried by pump.

At last, we have also demonstrated the prediction with respect to the influence of pump SOC on the intensity of the NP. Here, we choose 4 typically predictions that we have discussed in Sec. III, i.e., the $I_{np}$ as function of $\delta_{12}$ and $\delta_{4}$, shown in Fig. 3 (b) and (c); the $I_{np}$ as function of $\delta_{12}$ for $\beta = 0 - 0.5$ shown in Fig. 4 (b); and the $I_{np}$ as function of $\delta_{12}$ shown in Fig. 6 (a), respectively. We see that the experimental observations shown in Fig. 10 match perfectly with the theory again.
FIG. 8. Observed SHG beam profiles (8bit false-color greyscale), driven by CV-mode pump fields, from $z_0$ to $z_3 = 3$ for different angles of $\delta_{1/2}$, where (a1) - (c1) correspond to $\ell = 1, 2$ and $3$, respectively, and (d1) correspond to $\ell = 1$ and $\beta = 0.2$. (a2) - (d2) shown in the right column present simulated observables for comparisons (with the same false-color greyscale). (e) and (f) show the theoretical spatial-mode spectrums of corresponding SHG fields as the function of $\delta_{1/2}$. 
FIG. 9. Observed SHG beam profiles (8bit false-color greyscale), driven by FP-mode pump fields, from $z_0$ to $z_R = 10$, versus $\delta_{1/2}$, where (a1) and (b1) correspond to the pump fields carrying $\ell = 1$ and $\ell = 2$, respectively, and (a2) and (b2) present simulated observables for comparisons (with the same false-color greyscale). (c) and (d) are the theoretical spatial-mode spectrums of corresponding SHG fields as the function of $\delta_{1/2}$.

FIG. 10. Observed SHG $I_{SP}$ with respect to the SOC structures of pump fields, where the point data represent experimental observations and solid line represent theoretical data. Plots shown in (a) and (b) correspond to the $I_{SP}$ driven by CV-mode pumps, for $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ and $\beta = 0.5$, as function of $\delta_{1/2}$ and $\delta_{14}$, respectively. Plots shown in (c) correspond to the $I_{SP}$ driven by CV-mode pumps, for $\ell = 1$ and $\beta = 0.5$, as function of $\delta_{1/2}$. Plots shown in (d) correspond to the $I_{SP}$ driven by FP-mode pumps, for $\ell = 1, 2$ and $\beta = 0.5$, as the function of $\delta_{1/2}$. 
V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

As the beginning of the series studies, the results in the present paper show that although the SHG, as the first nonlinear optical processes ever found, has been well studied almost 60 years and widely used in laser frequency-doubling technique, the interaction still unfolds several unexpected outcomes when involving a vector pump field. The main results presented in this comprehensively and thoroughly study, on the one hand, one can use it well explain some interest results reported in related works [36,44,55,14,37]. On the other hand, it directly contributes to our fundamental understanding of nonlinear optics mediated by photonic SOC and lays solid foundation for the series studies.

Besides, from Eq. (10) and (16), as well as the spatial-mode spectrums shown in Fig. (8) and (9), one may find that for the SHG pumped by a more general laser mode carrying net OAM, the OAM selection rule might not be expressed simply as “the OAM of SHG is double that of input pump”. We will discuss that in detailed in a separate paper of the series. Notice that, there is no doubt for OAM conservation in nonlinear optical interactions, yet the OAM selection rule is not constant for a given interaction, such as the abnormal selection rule reported in SBS and SRS [59, 60].

In summary, we have presented a general theoretical toolkit for analyzing the type-II SHG driven by vector laser mode. Based on this, a detailed study with respect to the SHG driven by typical CV modes and FP modes is given, in which we have theoretically revealed and experimentally demonstrated how the SOC structures of pump fields affect and control the intensity and spatial-mode spectrum of created NPs; and as the consequence, how the beam profiles of generated SHG fields evolve upon propagation.
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Appendix A

The wavefunction of Laguerre-Gaussian (LG) mode with the radial index \(p=0\) in cylindrical coordinates \((r, \phi, z)\), used in simulations, is given by [61,63]

\[
LG_r(r, \phi, z) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi |l|!} \right)^{1/2} w(z) \left(\frac{2r^2}{w(z)^2}\right) \exp\left[-\frac{r^2}{w(z)^2}\right] \exp[-i\Phi(r, \phi, z)],
\]

where \(\Phi(r, \phi, z) = k z + \omega r^2/[2c R(z)] + (\phi - |l|+1)\tan^{-1}(z/z_R)\). \(\ell\) is the topological charge giving an OAM of \(\ell \hbar\) per photon. \(I^1\) is the Laguerre polynomial, \(R(z)\) is the curvature radius of the wavefront, \(z_R\) is the Rayleigh length for a given beam waist \(w_0\), \(w(z) = w_0(1 + z^2/z_R^2)^{1/2}\) and \((|l|+1)\tan^{-1}(z/z_R)\) describes the beam expanding and the Gouy phase accumulated during the diffraction propagation, respectively.

Appendix B

The transformation of the HWP and QWP for the SoPs of paraxial beams can be described by Jones matrices with respect to the fast axis angles \(\delta_i\), which are given by

\[
M_o = \begin{pmatrix}
  i \cos^2(\delta_{i_{\perp}}) + \sin^2(\delta_{i_{\perp}}) \\
  (i-1)\sin(\delta_{i_{\perp}})\cos(\delta_{i_{\perp}})
\end{pmatrix},
\]

and

\[
M_h = \begin{pmatrix}
  \cos(2\delta_{i_{\|}}) \sin(2\delta_{i_{\|}}) \\
  \sin(2\delta_{i_{\|}}) - \cos(2\delta_{i_{\|}})
\end{pmatrix},
\]

respectively. Therefore, for a given polarizations \(\hat{e}_{\nu_{\perp}}\), the SoPs after the transformation can be derived from \(M_{o,h} \hat{e}_{\nu_{\perp}}\).
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