Controlling the Photoelectric Effect in the Time Domain
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When an atom or molecule absorbs a high-energy photon, an electron is emitted with a well-defined energy and a highly-symmetric angular distribution, ruled by energy quantization and parity conservation. These rules seemingly break down when small quantum systems are exposed to short and intense light pulses, which raise the question of their universality for the simplest case of the photoelectric effect. Here we investigate the photoionization of helium by a sequence of attosecond pulses in the presence of a weak infrared dressing field. We continuously control the energy and introduce an asymmetry in the emission direction of the photoelectrons, thus contradicting well established quantum-mechanical predictions. This control is possible due to an extreme temporal confinement of the light-matter interaction. Our work extends time-domain coherent control schemes to one of the fastest processes in nature, the photoelectric effect.
Introduction  Since the seminal scientific contributions of Planck (1) and Einstein (2) at the beginning of the 20th century, it is well known that matter absorbs light in the form of discrete energy quanta ($h\nu$, the photon), where $h$ is the Planck constant and $\nu$ is the light frequency. Photoabsorption in centrosymmetric systems such as free atoms or molecules follows strict selection rules\cite{3} with a change of parity between the initial and final states (3). When the absorbed energy is above the binding energy ($I_p$), a photoelectron is emitted with kinetic energy equal to $h\nu - I_p$ (2) and its probability of emission is symmetric relative to the origin (4,5). With the advent of bright monochromatic light sources such as lasers (6) and synchrotron radiation sources (7) as well as the progress in photoelectron detection technology, in-depth studies of photoemission in a variety of systems with ever increasing energy and angle resolution have tested these predictions of quantum mechanics (8, 9).

As the light intensity increases, non-linear multiphoton processes become possible, leading to new ionization mechanisms. In above-threshold-ionization (ATI) processes, electrons are emitted at discrete kinetic energies (10, 11) and angular distributions remain symmetric, except in some particular multiphoton schemes using several frequencies that mix parity in the final state (12, 13). This picture breaks down in strong and ultrashort laser fields, when ionization essentially takes place during less than an optical cycle. As shown in the “stereo ATI” technique (14, 15), atoms exposed to intense few-cycle pulses emit electrons with a continuous kinetic energy distribution and a small asymmetry with respect to the origin which depends on the carrier-to-envelope (CEPT) phase offset of the laser pulses.

Attosecond extreme ultraviolet (XUV) pulses, produced through high-harmonic generation in gases (16, 17), provide new tools to study light-matter interaction and in particular photoemission. Single attosecond pulses combined with relatively intense infrared (IR) laser pulses have been successfully used in studies of photoemission (18–20) with the “streaking” tech-

\footnote{described within the dipole approximation}
nique (21–23). In this case, the kinetic energy distribution of the photoelectrons, imposed by
the attosecond pulse bandwidth, is very broad, typically several eV, and can be continuously
varied depending on the delay between the XUV and IR fields. The energy shift can be un-
derstood classically by momentum conservation: $p$ becomes $p + eA$, where $A$ is the vector
potential of the IR field at the time of ionization, $e$ the electron charge. At the delays when
the energy transfer is not zero, the angular distribution is asymmetric. Attosecond pulse trains,
combined with weak IR laser pulses, allow for precise measurements of photoemission dynam-
ics in atoms (24, 25), molecules (26) and solids (27), using the reconstruction of attosecond
harmonic beating by interference of two-photon transitions (RABBIT) technique (28, 29). In
these experiments, the photoelectron momentum distributions remain symmetric and the kinetic
energy spectra present discrete peaks separated by the IR photon energy.

In the present work, we study the transition between a “classical” energy transfer from
the IR electromagnetic field to the photoelectron, where the photoelectron momentum can be
changed continuously, and a “quantum-mechanical” picture of light-matter interaction, where
the kinetic energy of the photoelectron varies by discrete quanta, equal to the absorbed/emitted
IR photon energy. The principle of our experiment is illustrated in Fig. 1. Helium atoms inter-
act with two (A) or three (B) attosecond pulses, and a weak infrared dressing field. This leads
to the creation of electron wavepackets, carrying the phase of the exciting attosecond pulse,
and a phase modulation due to the IR field at the time of ionization. The resulting momentum
distribution is determined by the interference of these wavepackets. When helium atoms are
photoionized by two attosecond pulses separated by half the laser period, the electron energy
is shifted relative to the kinetic energy for the XUV-only case by a continuous amount which
depends on the IR light field as well as on the direction of emission. When helium atoms are
photoionized by three attosecond pulses, we recover discrete energies equal to the energy of
the absorbed photons minus the ionization energy. The emission direction is, however, strongly
asymmetric. These results allow us to identify the light-interaction regime where the photoelectric effect cannot simply be described by the well established rules based on energy and parity conservation.

**Experiment** The experiment was performed with a 200 kHz-repetition rate CEP-stable optical parametric chirped pulse amplification (OPCPA) laser system with 5 µJ energy per pulse and 6 fs pulse duration (30). The CEP of the laser can be varied with a fused silica wedge pair, as shown in Fig. 2A. The laser pulses are focused using an achromatic lens with 5 cm focal length in an argon gas jet with a 10 bar backing pressure (30). High-order harmonics are generated, corresponding in the time domain to a train of (mainly) two to three attosecond pulses (31). A metallic filter can be introduced to eliminate the IR field and a concave grating (not shown in Fig. 2) can be inserted after the differential pumping hole in order to disperse the XUV radiation and measure its spectrum with microchannel plates (MCP). Both XUV and attenuated IR fields are focused by a gold-coated toroidal mirror into a vacuum chamber containing an effusive helium gas jet and a 3D momentum spectrometer (see Fig. 2A). This spectrometer is based on a revised CIEL (“Coïncidences entre Ions et Électrons Localisés”) design, providing a complete kinematic momentum picture of the emitted ions and electrons without losing any data (32). Electron-ion coincidence data are recorded at a typical rate of ~ 35 kHz, with a negligible amount of false coincidence. The spectrometer orientation is chosen so that the time-of-flight axis coincides with the optical polarization direction.

Figure 2B shows an example of a 3D photoelectron momentum distribution, obtained in helium with both XUV and IR radiation. The momentum distribution has rotational symmetry around the $p_z$-axis (polarization axis) so that the signal can be integrated along the azimuthal angle $\phi$ and subsequently divided by $\sin \theta$, giving the differential cross section shown in Fig. 2C. In the following, we define the photoelectron direction with positive (negative) $p_z$ as up (down).
The left (right) side in Fig. 2C is obtained with only XUV radiation (XUV and IR), respectively. In the left plot, four rings can be identified corresponding to ionization ($1s \rightarrow \epsilon p$) by absorption of harmonics 17, 19, 21, and 23. With the additional IR dressing field (right plot), at an intensity estimated to be less than $10^{12}$ W/cm$^2$, the photoelectron momentum distribution is strongly modified. A feature close to the ionization threshold can be assigned to the resonant excitation $1s \rightarrow 3p$ by absorption of the $15^{th}$ harmonic, followed by ionization due to absorption of an IR photon. The most striking feature of the observed momentum distribution is the up-down asymmetry. While the down direction exhibits four half-rings as in the XUV-only result, the up direction presents half-rings which do not correspond to the same momentum as the XUV-only results, with a total of five half-rings (in addition to the resonant feature mentioned previously).

Figure 3 shows photoelectron distributions as a function of angle and energy, in two cases corresponding to attosecond pulse trains indicated in A and B, respectively (31), generated by IR fields with CEPs equal to $\pi/2$ (A) and 0 (B). The measured results are shown in (C,D), while simulations, which will be discussed in the next section, are shown in (E,F).

A pulse with CEP equal to $\pi/2$, called “sine” pulse, antisymmetric with respect to time reversal, leads to the generation of an even number of attosecond pulses. In our conditions, we generate mainly two pulses, with similar temporal properties, as shown in Fig. 3A and opposite sign, since they are generated by two consecutive half cycles of the IR field. In this case, the photoelectron distribution peaks are shifted (see Fig. 3C). In the upper part (up direction, $-\pi/2 < \theta < \pi/2$), they are shifted towards lower energy, while in the lower part (down direction, $\pi/2 < \theta < 3\pi/2$), they are shifted towards higher energy. The shift increases with kinetic energy.

A pulse with CEP equal to 0, called “cosine” pulse, symmetric with respect to time reversal, leads to the generation of an odd number of attosecond pulses, with a main central pulse. In our conditions, we generate three pulses, as shown in Fig. 3B (31). The lower part of Fig. 3D
shows photoelectrons with energies equal to those created by XUV-only radiation. In the upper part, the peaks corresponding to absorption of harmonics 21 and 23 are strongly reduced, while sideband peaks (SB20 and SB22) appear.

Similar results are obtained with different laser CEPs. In general, measurements show both energy shifts and the asymmetric appearance of sidebands. When the CEP is equal to \( 3\pi/2 \) or \( \pi \), very similar results as those shown in Fig. 3C, D are obtained, except that the up and down plots are now reversed.

**Simulations** The simulations presented in Fig. 3E,F have been performed by evaluating the probability amplitude for emission with momentum \( \mathbf{p} \) (33),

\[
a(\mathbf{p}) = -i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{p}) \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\text{XUV}}(t) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \left( (\mathbf{p} + \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m_e})t + \Phi_{\text{IR}}(\mathbf{p},t) \right)},
\]

where \( \mathbf{p} \) denotes the final electron momentum, \( \mathbf{d} \) is the dipole moment, \( \mathbf{E}_{\text{XUV}} \) is the XUV field, \( m_e \) the electron mass and \( \hbar \) the reduced Planck constant. In the relatively weak field case which is considered in the present work, the action of the laser field reduces to a phase modulation, approximated by

\[
\Phi_{\text{IR}}(\mathbf{p},t) \approx -\frac{e}{m_e \hbar} \int_{t}^{+\infty} dt' \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{A}(t').
\]

The dipole moment \( \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{p}) \) is calculated with an hydrogenic approximation (34), while both the IR and the XUV fields have been chosen to reproduce the experimental conditions as closely as possible. The XUV attosecond pulses are generated in Ar at an IR intensity of \( 1.1 \times 10^{14} \) W/cm\(^2\), and the IR intensity in the detector chamber is assumed to be \( 6 \times 10^{11} \) W/cm\(^2\). For a temporal offset \( \tau \) between attosecond pulses and the IR dressing field of \( \sim 0.6 \) optical cycles, an excellent agreement between experiment and theory is achieved, hence justifying that we use this approximation as a starting point for the derivation presented below.
Analytical derivation

The XUV field can be decomposed into a sum of attosecond pulses $E_m(t)$, separated by half the laser period $\pi/\omega$ and centered at $m\pi/\omega$. The XUV and IR fields have the same linear polarization, so we may drop the vector notation unless needed. Assuming that the phase $\Phi_{IR}(p, t)$ does not vary much over the duration of the attosecond pulse, and introducing the spectral amplitude $E_m(\Omega)$, equal to the Fourier transform of $E_m(t)$, where $\Omega = I_p/\hbar + p^2/(2me\hbar)$ is the XUV frequency, we have, (see SM for more details about the derivation)

\[ a(p) \approx -id(p) \sum_m e^{i\Phi_{IR}(p, m\pi/\omega)} e^{im\pi\Omega/\omega} E_m(\Omega). \]  (3)

Assuming $A(t) = A_0 \cos[\omega(t - \tau)]$, Eq. (2) can be evaluated, with

\[ \Phi_{IR}(p, m\pi/\omega) = -e p \cdot A_0/m_e\hbar\omega (-1)^m \sin(\omega\tau), \]  (4)

which we write in a more compact form as $-(\pm)^m \eta_p$. By making a Taylor expansion of $\exp(i\Phi_{IR})$, Eq. (3) becomes the sum of two terms. The first term describes ionization by absorption of one photon,

\[ a_1(p) \approx -id(p) \sum_m e^{im\pi\Omega/\omega} E_m(\Omega). \]  (5)

When consecutive attosecond pulses have a phase difference of $\pi$, $a_1(p)$ is maximum when $\Omega = (2q + 1)\omega$, where $q$ is integer, corresponding to ionization by absorption of odd-order harmonics of the laser field. The second term includes also the interaction with the IR field,

\[ a_2(p) = -\eta_p d(p) \sum_m (-1)^m e^{im\pi\Omega/\omega} E_m(\Omega). \]  (6)

When attosecond pulses have approximately the same amplitudes and a phase difference between consecutive pulses of $\pi$, $a_2(p)$ is maximum when $\Omega = 2q\omega$, where $q$ is integer, leading thus to sideband peaks in the photoelectron distribution, at energies that would correspond to ionization by absorption of even-order harmonics. To get the photoelectron distribution we now add the two amplitudes $a_1(p)$ and $a_2(p)$ coherently. An up-down asymmetric photoelectron
spectrum requires that the two terms overlap spectrally, allowing mixing of states with different parity.

As discussed in previous work (31), varying the CEP of the IR laser allows us to change the number of attosecond pulses between two and three main pulses, as shown in Fig. 3A and Fig. 3B. We now examine the interference structure and resulting up-down asymmetry in these two cases.

**Two and three attosecond pulses** Assuming pulses with the same amplitude and a spectral phase difference of \( \pi \), the sum of two attosecond pulses leads to \( |a(p)|^2 \propto \sin^2[\pi \Omega/(2\omega) + \eta_p] \) (see SM for the derivation). In this case, the interaction with the IR field does not lead to new photoelectron structures (sidebands), but to a shift of the photoelectron peaks, as shown in the spectra presented in Fig. 1A. These peaks appear at \( \Omega = (2q+1)\omega + 2\eta_p \omega/\pi \), which corresponds to the position of absorption by odd harmonics, shifted by a quantity proportional to \( \eta_p \), thereby depending on the emission direction of the electron.

The case of three pulses resembles more the “RABBIT” situation with the appearance of sidebands at energies equal to those of the photoelectrons emitted by absorption of an XUV photon \( \pm h\omega \). However, the amplitudes of the sidebands differ in the up-down directions, as shown for sidebands 18, 20 and 22 in Fig. 1B. As shown in the SM, the photoelectron distribution is proportional to

\[
|a(p)|^2 \propto 1 + 4r^2 \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi \Omega}{\omega} \right) - 4r \cos \left( \frac{\pi \Omega}{\omega} \right) \cos [s(\Omega) + 2\eta_p],
\]

where \( s(\Omega) \) is the difference in spectral phase and \( r \) the amplitude ratio between the side and the central attosecond pulses in Fig. 3B. The second term comes from interference between the first and third EWPs, resulting in peaks at all harmonic frequencies. The third term describes interference between the central pulse with the other two, leading to enhancement or reduction of the sidebands with respect to the main peaks. In traditional RABBIT, the spectral phase
difference $s(\Omega)$ is very small since it rapidly decreases as a function of the pulse duration ($31$), so that the photoelectron distribution remains up-down symmetric. However, in our case, $s(\Omega)$ is not negligible and leads to an up-down asymmetry of the photoelectron emission spectra.

Using the determination of $\eta_p$ in the two-pulse case, assuming a delay close to the delay due to the generation ($\sim 0.6$ optical cycles), we can deduce the IR intensity to be $6 \times 10^{11}$ W/cm$^2$.

From the three-pulse experiment, it is also possible to determine the difference in spectral phase between consecutive attosecond pulses.

**Temporal slits** Finally, we give a simple interpretation of these results based on analogy with diffraction through two or three slits (35, 36), as formally shown in the SM. Fig. 4A illustrates the two-EWP (or two-slit) case. The Fourier transform of a pair of pulses separated by $\pi/\omega$ leads to a modulation in the frequency domain equal to $2\omega$. When the phase difference between the pulses is $\pi$, constructive interferences take place at frequencies $\Omega = (2q + 1)\omega$, where $q$ is integer, as illustrated in (1). An additional constant phase ($\varphi$) imparted in one of the EWPs shifts the interference fringes, as shown by the green curve in (1). In our experiment, the phase difference between the two EWPs, equal to $2\eta_p$, increases with $|p|$, which leads to a (small) time delay ($\delta t \sim 100$ as) between the two EWPs and a shift increasing with frequency (2). The sign of the frequency shift depends on the direction of emission of the photoelectron with respect to the polarization, resulting in an asymmetric angular distribution.

Fig. 4B illustrates the three-slit case. The Fourier transform of three pulses separated by $\pi/\omega$ and with $\pi$ phase difference leads to interference fringes still separated by $2\omega$ (1, blue), with a small contribution at frequencies $\Omega = 2q\omega$ (sidebands), called “secondary maxima” in the theory of diffraction. An additional phase shift between consecutive EWPs (1, green) leads to enhancement of the sideband peaks. In our experiment, the phase difference between consecutive EWPs due to the interaction with the IR field leads to time delays between the EWPs and
to sideband intensities increasing with frequency (2). The spectral phase between the side and the central attosecond pulses, $s(\Omega)$, can enhance (compensate for) this effect, increasing (reducing) the SB intensities (3). Since $s(\Omega) + 2\eta_p$ depends on the photoelectron emission direction, the angular distribution becomes asymmetric. The difference with the two-slit case comes from the fact that the two smaller EWPs have the same phase and amplitude. This fixes the position of the constructive interferences at $\Omega = q\omega$.

**Conclusion**  This analogy with diffraction by multiple slits allows us to understand the difference between continuous and quantized energy transfer from the IR electromagnetic field to the photoelectron. This difference is due to the temporal confinement of the light-matter interaction, which is reduced to half an optical cycle in this first case while it lasts one optical cycle in the second case. In simple words, the absorption of an energy quantum of light (photon) requires a duration of the light-matter interaction (here limited by the number of attosecond pulses) of at least one optical cycle.

The control of the kinetic energy and direction of emission of the photoelectron using a sequence of a few attosecond pulses demonstrated in this work extends the concept of time-domain coherent control to attosecond temporal resolution and XUV excitation energies, with numerous applications, e.g. towards two-dimensional spectroscopy of more complex systems. In contrast to more traditional pump/probe techniques, the weak intensity and short pulse duration of the required dressing field ensures that the temporal evolution of the system out of equilibrium does not get blurred.

**References**


Acknowledgments

The authors thank Marcus Dahlström, David Busto, Ivan Sytcevich and Fabian Langer for insightful scientific discussions. The authors acknowledge support from the Swedish Research Council, the European Research Council (advanced grant PALP-339253) and the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation.
Fig. 1. Principle of the experiment: Helium atoms are exposed to two (A) or three (B) XUV attosecond pulses (blue) in presence of a weak IR laser field (red) at a fixed delay. Electron wave packets (violet) are emitted with an up-down asymmetry relative to the direction of polarization, resulting in different spectra (brown and green) when recording electrons emitted in the two opposite half-spheres. In the case of two pulses (A), the photoelectron spectrum is shifted towards higher or lower energies, while for three pulses (B), peaks at different frequencies, called sidebands, are emitted, mostly in the up direction.
Fig. 2. **Experiment** (A) Experimental setup. 6-fs IR laser pulses with horizontal polarization are sent through a wedge pair for CEP control and then tightly focused with an achromatic lens into a high-pressure argon gas jet. A train of attosecond pulses is generated and focused by a gold-coated toroidal mirror into an effusive helium jet. The emitted electrons are detected by a 3D momentum spectrometer. (B) 3D momentum representation, as a function of azimuthal angle $\phi$ and angle $\theta$. (C) Momentum distribution integrated along $\phi$ and divided by $\sin \theta$. The left side is measured with pure XUV radiation, while the right side is obtained with XUV and IR, by removing the Al filter. The axes are momenta in atomic units ($2 \times 10^{-24}$ kg m/s).
Fig. 3. XUV attosecond pulse trains and angular-resolved spectrograms: XUV (blue) and IR (red) electric fields, with a high-pass spectral filter above 24.5 eV, for two laser CEPs (A) $\pi/2$ and (B) 0; Color plots representing the photoelectron angular distributions as function of energy. The experimental results are shown in C,D, while corresponding simulated photoelectron spectra are shown in E,F. The red dashed lines correspond to the XUV-only photoelectron energies. When two attosecond pulses are used, the electron distribution shifts in energy, in opposite ways for the up and down emission directions. In the three-pulse case, sidebands appear, but only in the up direction.
Fig. 4. **Interference through multiple temporal slits:** A. The interference of two EWPs separated by half a laser cycle with a $\pi$ phase difference [left plot, panel (1)] leads to a modulation in the energy (frequency) domain, with maxima at the energies corresponding to excitation by odd harmonics [right plot, blue curve, (1)]. A phase change of one EWP shifts the interference fringes [green curve, (1)]. A momentum-dependent phase change (2) leads to an energy-dependent shift of the interference fringes, as well as to a temporal shift of one EWP relative to the other. B. The interference of three EWPs separated by half a laser cycle with a $\pi$ phase difference [left plot, panel (1)] leads to interferences with maxima at the energies corresponding to excitation by odd harmonics, and weak “secondary” maxima at the SB posi-
tion. A phase change between the side and central EWPs enhances the SB relative to the main peak [right plot, blue and green curves, (1)]. A momentum-dependent phase change (2) leads to energy-dependent sideband amplitudes, but no energy shift. The dipole phase enhances or reduces this effect depending on the direction of emission (3).