The singular null-momentum photonic state and its role in the gauge symmetry in quantum electrodynamics
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The photonic state with zero momentum is a singular point in the Fock space of quantum electrodynamics (QED). We study the field that describes null-momentum photons and derive an expression for it. This expression shows that, when a local gauge transformation is performed on the electron field, the null-momentum-photon field gets a change, which is equal to the change required by the gauge symmetry for the total photonic field. This gives a deeper understanding for gauge transformations and the gauge symmetry in QED.

Introduction. Gauge symmetry is one of the most important symmetries in modern physics, particularly, in the establishment of the standard model (SM) [1–3]. It is also one of the most mysterious things in modern physics — not much is known about the physics lying behind it. In this paper, a step is taken for a deeper understanding of the gauge symmetry in the simplest part of SM, namely in the quantum electrodynamics (QED). This is done in the quantum state space.

A useful observation is that the standard description of the photonic field in QED does not include a singular point in the Fock space, which corresponds to the photonic state whose momentum is exactly zero. This point is singular, because integration over its neighborhood may give divergent results for Feynman diagrams (infrared divergence). It is not included in the standard description, because a photon with zero momentum does not have any definite transverse and longitudinal directions and, as a result, does not have well-defined polarization vectors. For brevity, we call a photon whose momentum is zero a null-m photon.

Although null-m photons can not be detected experimentally, we are to show that they are useful for understanding things lying behind the gauge symmetry in QED. To achieve this goal, one needs to find an appropriate description for null-m photons. Due to the singularity of their state, we assume that null-m photons are described by a field that is independent of the ordinary field for photons with nonzero momenta. which we call the null-photon field. We further assume that the generic physical picture for interaction is still valid for null-m photons, which predicts that null-m photons may generate quantum fluctuations as virtual electron-positron pairs.

Based on the above-discussed picture, we are to discuss properties that the null-photon field may possess, particularly that the field may include certain mean effect of the virtual electron-positron pairs generated by null-m photons. Making use of these properties, an explicit expression for the null-photon field is derived. The expression shows that, when a local gauge transformation is performed on the electron field, the null-photon field gets a change that coincides with the change required by the gauge symmetry for the photonic field. Based on this result, an understanding for the gauge symmetry in QED is to be discussed.

Basic form of the null-photon field. Expanded in plane-wave solutions of the Dirac equation, the electron field is written as follows in terms of creation and annihilation operators,

$$\psi(x) = \int d\vec{p} \left( b^r(\vec{p}) U^r(\vec{p}) e^{-ipx} + d^r(\vec{p}) V^r(\vec{p}) e^{ipx} \right) ,$$

(1a)

$$\psi^\dagger(x) = \int d\vec{p} \left( b^r(\vec{p}) U^r(\vec{p}) e^{ipx} + d^r(\vec{p}) V^r(\vec{p}) e^{-ipx} \right) ,$$

(1b)

where $d\vec{p} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} d^3p$ [4] and the convention of repeated labels implying summation is obeyed. The spinors $U^r(\vec{p})$ and $V^r(\vec{p})$ ($r = 0, 1$), as solutions of the Dirac equation for spin states of electron and positron, respectively, satisfy the following normalization condition,

$$U^r(\vec{p})\gamma^0 U^s(\vec{p}) = -V^r(\vec{p})\gamma^0 V^s(\vec{p}) = 2m_e \delta^{rs} ,$$

(2)

where $m_e$ indicates the mass of electron. The creation and annihilation operators satisfy the well-known anti-commutation relations, e.g.,

$$\{b^r(\vec{p}), b^s(\vec{q})\} = p^0 \delta^{rs} \delta(\vec{p} - \vec{q}),$$

(3a)

$$\{d^r(\vec{p}), d^s(\vec{q})\} = p^0 \delta^{rs} \delta(\vec{p} - \vec{q}).$$

(3b)

The ordinary photon field $A_\mu(x)$ has the following expansion,

$$A_\mu(x) = \int d\vec{k} a_\lambda(\vec{k}) \varepsilon^\mu_\lambda(\vec{k}) e^{-ikx} + a_\lambda^\dagger(\vec{k}) \varepsilon^\mu_\lambda^*(\vec{k}) e^{ikx} ,$$

(4)

where $\varepsilon^\mu_\lambda(\vec{k})$ are polarization vectors. The well-known interaction Lagrangian density in QED is written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{\text{QED}}(x) = e \psi^\dagger(x) \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \psi(x) A_\mu(x) .$$

(5)

One remark: Since the electron field always interacts with the photon field, the above creation and annihilation operators change with the time. We do not indicate
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this (inexplicit) time dependence in an explicit way and simply write, say, $b^\dagger(p)$.

The expansion in Eq.(4) does not include null-m photons, because polarization vectors $\varepsilon_\mu^\dagger(k)$ can not be defined for a photon whose momentum is zero. Due to the singularity of the null-m photon state, we assume that null-m photons are described by a field that is independent of $A_\mu(x)$; we denote this field by $A_{\mu}^{np}(x)$. The most natural assumption about the Lagrangian density for the interaction between the null-photon field and the electron field, denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{\text{QED},np}(x)$, is that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{\text{QED},np}(x) = e\bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\psi(x)A^{np}_\mu(x). \quad (6)$$

This form of interaction implies that null-m photons may generate quantum fluctuations as virtual electron-positron pairs.

For a null-m photon with zero momentum and zero energy, there is no physical reason to assume a nonzero angular momentum for it. Hence, we assume that a null-m photon possesses no intrinsic degree of freedom. This implies a one-dimensional state space for a null-m photon. Using $a^{np}$ and $a^{np\dagger}$ to indicate the annihilation and creation operators for a null-m photon, respectively, one may write the null-photon field as,

$$A_{\mu}^{np}(x) = \mathcal{N}_0\left(a^{np}K_{\mu}(x) + a^{np\dagger}K^{\star}_{\mu}(x)\right), \quad (7)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_0$ is a normalization factor and $K_{\mu}(x)$ indicates some c-number four-component vector field.

Due to zero energy, there is in fact no restriction to the number of null-m photons that may exist. A reasonable assumption is an infinite number. We use $|\infty^{np}\rangle$ to indicate the state of the infinite-number null-m photons and assume that it is normalized. The product of this state and the vacuum state $|0\rangle$ is denoted by $|0^{np}\rangle$,

$$|0^{np}\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes |\infty^{np}\rangle. \quad (8)$$

The infinite number of null-m photons requires that the two operators $a^{np}$ and $a^{np\dagger}$ can not obey the ordinary commutation relation for photons. In fact, if one required that $[a^{np}, a^{np\dagger}] = 1$, then, the vector $a^{np}|\infty^{np}\rangle$ would be unnormalizable.

Since adding/subtracting one null-m photon to/from the state $|\infty^{np}\rangle$ should bring no change to it, the simplest assumption about action of $a^{np}$ and $a^{np\dagger}$ is that

$$a^{np}|\infty^{np}\rangle = a^{np\dagger}|\infty^{np}\rangle = |\infty^{np}\rangle, \quad (9)$$

which is the only requirement that is imposed here on the two operators $a^{np}$ and $a^{np\dagger}$ [5]. This assumption requires realness of $K_{\mu}$, i.e., $K^{\star}_{\mu} = K_{\mu}$. Making use of Eqs.(7)-(9) and noting the fact that the two operators $a^{np}$ and $a^{np\dagger}$ are commutable with all other creation and annihilation operators, one finds that

$$A_{\mu}^{np}(x)G|0^{np}\rangle = 2\mathcal{N}_0K_{\mu}(x)G|0^{np}\rangle, \quad (10)$$

where $G$ represents an arbitrary function of operators that do not include $a^{np}$ and $a^{np\dagger}$. Hence, the null-photon field $A_{\mu}^{np}(x)$ is effectively a c-number field and can be written in the form $A_{\mu}^{np}(x) = 2\mathcal{N}_0K_{\mu}(x)$.

In order to find an explicit expression for $K_{\mu}(x)$, the following obstacle should be overcome: Null-m photons by themselves possess no property that can be used to introduce a four-component vector. To solve this problem, one may make use of some mean effect of the quantum fluctuations induced by null-m photons, which take the form of emergence and vanishing of virtual electron-positron pairs. Below, we discuss this in detail.

**Explicit expressions of the null-photon field.** Based on discussions given above, we propose that the null-photon field should possess the following properties.

- The c-number feature of the null-photon field implies that it may take the form of the expectation value of some operator in the state $|0^{np}\rangle$.
- The above-mentioned operator describes emergence and vanishing of virtual electron-positron pairs in quantum fluctuations and, hence, should contain both the electron field $\psi(x)$ and its conjugate field $\psi^\dagger(x)$.
- To construct a vector field from $\psi(x)$, the simplest method is to make use of $\gamma_\mu$ or $\partial_\mu$.

Since $\psi(x)$ and $\psi^\dagger(x)$ do not act on the null-m photon state $|\infty^{np}\rangle$, the null-photon field may in fact be written as an expectation value for the vacuum state $|0\rangle$.

Under the restriction of the properties listed above, there are two simplest and most natural candidates for an appropriate expression of the null-photon field $A_{\mu}^{np}(x)$. The first one is

$$A_{\mu}^{np}(x) = \mathcal{N}_0(F^{(1)}_{\mu} + F^{(2)}_{\mu}), \quad (11)$$

where

$$F^{(1)}_{\mu} = \langle0|\psi^\dagger(x)\gamma^\mu\gamma_5\psi(x)|0\rangle, \quad (12a)$$

$$F^{(2)}_{\mu} = -\langle0|\text{Tr}\left(\gamma^\mu\gamma_5\psi(x)\psi^\dagger(x)\right)|0\rangle; \quad (12b)$$

and the second one is

$$A_{\mu}^{np}(x) = z\mathcal{N}_0(f^{(1)}_{\mu} + f^{(2)}_{\mu}), \quad (13)$$

where $z$ is a parameter and

$$f^{(1)}_{\mu} = \langle0|\psi^\dagger(x)\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu\psi(x))|0\rangle, \quad (14a)$$

$$f^{(2)}_{\mu} = -\langle0|\text{Tr}\left(\gamma^0(\partial_\mu\psi(x))\psi^\dagger(x)\right)|0\rangle. \quad (14b)$$

As shown in the appendix, under the plane-wave expansion of the electron field, the two expressions of $A_{\mu}^{np}(x)$ in Eq.(11) and Eq.(13) are equivalent with $z = i/m_e$.

Some remarks for $F^{(1,2)}_{\mu}$ (similar for $f^{(1,2)}_{\mu}$): (i) The term $F^{(1)}_{\mu}$ in fact describes an effect of emergence and
vanishing of virtual positron, while, the term \( F^{(2)}_\mu \) is for virtual electron. (ii) The minus sign in \( F^{(2)}_\mu \) in Eq.(12) is due to the exchange of the order of \( \psi \) and \( \psi^\dagger \), compared with that in \( F^{(1)}_\mu \).

Note that the partial derivative \( \partial_\mu \) in Eq.(14) should act on the explicit spatial-temporal dependence; in particular, \( \partial_\mu \) does not act on the creation and annihilation operators contained in \( \psi(x) \). Substituting Eq.(1) into Eq.(14) and making use of Eq.(2), one finds that

\[
\begin{align*}
 f^{(1)}_\mu &= i \int d\vec{p} V^\tau(p) \gamma^0 p_\mu V^\tau(p) = -4ie\int d\vec{p}p_\mu, \\
 f^{(2)}_\mu &= i \int d\vec{q} U^\tau(q) \gamma^0 q_\mu U^\tau(q) = 4ie\int d\vec{q}q_\mu.
\end{align*}
\]

Equations (15a) and (15b) show that

\[
\begin{align*}
 f^{(1)}_\mu + f^{(2)}_\mu &= 8ie\int d\vec{q}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.
\end{align*}
\]

To give further evaluation, we note the physical picture that virtual pairs emerge randomly with no difference in the probabilities for \( \vec{q} \) and \( -\vec{q} \). This implies that the contribution of a value of \( q_i \) on the rhs of Eq.(16) be canceled by that of \( -q_i \), resulting in a zero result of the integration. Hence, the null-photon field vanishes under the plane-wave expansion of the electron field,

\[
\begin{align*}
 A^{np}_\mu(x) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

**Gauge transformations.** We use tilde to indicate results of gauge transformations. In QED, gauge transformations of the electron field take the following form,

\[
\begin{align*}
 \psi(x) &\rightarrow \tilde{\psi}(x) = e^{-i\theta(x)}\psi(x), \\
 \psi^\dagger(x) &\rightarrow \tilde{\psi}^\dagger(x) = e^{i\theta(x)}\psi^\dagger(x).
\end{align*}
\]

We use \( A^{tot}_\mu(x) \) to indicate the total photonic field. With the null-photon field included, it is written as

\[
\begin{align*}
 A^{tot}_\mu(x) &= A_\mu(x) + A^{np}_\mu(x).
\end{align*}
\]

The covariant derivative \( D_\mu \) is written as \( D_\mu = \partial_\mu - ieA^{tot}_\mu(x) \). As is well known, under the gauge transformation in Eq.(18), the gauge symmetry requires that

\[
\begin{align*}
 A^{tot}_\mu(x) &\rightarrow \tilde{A}^{tot}_\mu(x) = A^{tot}_\mu(x) - \frac{1}{e}\partial_\mu\theta(x).
\end{align*}
\]

The two expressions of \( A^{np}_\mu(x) \) in Eq.(11) and Eq.(13) give different predictions under the gauge transformation in Eq.(18). In fact, the rhs of Eq.(12) does not change under the transformation and, hence, the expression in Eq.(11) predicts no change of the null-photon field; physically, we think that this is inappropriate.

For the above reason, we assume that it is the expression in Eq.(13) that should be used in the computation of the null-photon field under gauge transformations. Substituting Eq.(18) into Eq.(14), straightforward derivation shows that

\[
\begin{align*}
 f^{(1)}_\mu &\rightarrow \tilde{f}^{(1)}_\mu = f^{(1)}_\mu + 4mc_\mu\theta \int d\vec{p}, \\
 f^{(2)}_\mu &\rightarrow \tilde{f}^{(2)}_\mu = f^{(2)}_\mu + 4mc_\mu\theta \int d\vec{p}.
\end{align*}
\]

This gives that

\[
\begin{align*}
 A^{np}_\mu(x) &\rightarrow \tilde{A}^{np}_\mu(x) = -8\mathcal{N}_0(\partial_\mu\theta(x)) \int d\vec{p}.
\end{align*}
\]

To deal with the integral \( \int d\vec{p} \), one may employ a momentum-cut scheme, in which a finite three-momentum region with \( |\vec{p}| \leq \Lambda \) is considered, with the limit \( \Lambda \rightarrow \infty \) taken at last [6]. With this understanding, if setting the normalization factor \( \mathcal{N}_0 \) as

\[
\begin{align*}
 \mathcal{N}_0 = \frac{1}{8e\int d\vec{p}}
\end{align*}
\]

one gets that

\[
\begin{align*}
 A^{np}_\mu(x) &= 0 \rightarrow \tilde{A}^{np}_\mu(x) = -\frac{1}{e}\partial_\mu\theta(x).
\end{align*}
\]

Clearly, this change of the null-photon field is equal to that of the total photonic field in Eq.(20), implying that \( \tilde{A}_\mu(x) = A_\mu(x) \). Thus, it is the null-photon field that is responsible for the gauge transformation required by the gauge symmetry for the total photonic field.

Based on the above results, a simple interpretation to gauge transformations in QED is ready. Let us consider the following local-phase changes of the bases employed in the description of single electron and positron states,

\[
\begin{align*}
 \langle x_e | \rightarrow e^{i\theta(x)}| x_e \rangle \quad \& \quad \langle x_\varphi | \rightarrow e^{-i\theta(x)}| x_\varphi \rangle.
\end{align*}
\]

where the subscript \( e \) stands for electron and the subscript \( \varphi \) for positron. Writing the plane waves in the electron field \( \psi(x) \) in Eq.(1) as \( e^{-ipx} = \langle x_e | p_e \rangle * \) and \( e^{ipx} = \langle x_\varphi | p_\varphi \rangle \), and writing those in \( \psi^\dagger(x) \) as the conjugates of the above ones, it is easy to verify that the same transformations as in Eq.(18) are obtained for the electron field under the basis changes in Eq.(25). The null-photon field consequently changes in the same way as given in Eq.(24) with \( \mathcal{N}_0 \) given in Eq.(23). Since the field \( A_\mu(x) \) has nothing to do with the bases \( \langle x_e \rangle \) and \( \langle x_\varphi \rangle \), it should remain unchanged. Finally, the total photonic field undergoes a change that is equal to the well-known gauge transformation in Eq.(20).
Summary and discussions. Two things are revealed that lie behind the gauge symmetry in QED: (i) The total photonic field includes a null-photon field, which is described by a function of the electron field, reflecting a mean effect of the emergence and vanishing of virtual electron-positron pairs generated by null-m photons. It is the null-photon field that is responsible for the gauge-symmetry-required gauge transformation of the total photonic field. And (ii) with the null-photon field taken into account, gauge transformations in QED can be ascribed to certain local-phase changes of the bases employed in the description of single electron and positron states. Clearly, physical quantities such as the total Lagrangian should be independent of properties of the employed bases.

Taking into consideration the null-photon field brings a deeper understanding for gauge transformations and the gauge symmetry in QED. This understanding may be useful in some situations, in which the generic principle of gauge symmetry is not sufficient to fix the details. For example, it would be of interest to study whether the obtained expression of the null-photon field could be useful in the study of topics related to gauge fixing.

In future investigation, it would be also of interest to study the possibility of generalizing the approach adopted here to the electroweak theory with the gauge symmetry $U(1) \otimes SU(2)$. When doing this, one may consider a stage of the theory before the Higgs mechanism is used to introduce masses to vector bosons; at this stage, there are two species of massless neutral boson. Because the $SU(2)$ gauge symmetry involves the intrinsic degree of freedom, a generalization cannot be a straightforward one and some modification is expected.
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[4] In the literature, the factor $\frac{1}{\sqrt{p^0}}$ in $d\tilde{p}$ is sometimes written as $\sqrt{p^0}$. Here, we write this form of $d\tilde{p}$, because it is Lorentz-invariant. Consistently, the anti-commutation relation for creation and annihilation operators has a factor $p^0$ [see Eq.(3)]. Some constant prefactor may be multiplied to the field $\psi$, which is not written explicitly for brevity.
[5] In this paper, there is no need to discuss actions of $a^\mu p^\nu$ and $a^{\mu+1}$ on a state with a finite number of null-m photons.

Appendix A: Relation of Eqs.(11) and (13)

In this appendix, we show that the two expressions in Eqs.(11) and (13) are equivalent with $z = \imath/m_e$. Substituting Eq.(1) into Eq.(12), one finds that

$$F^{(1)}_\mu = \langle 0 | \theta^{(1)} \left( b^\dagger (q) U^\dagger (q) e^{i\gamma_5 x} + d^\dagger (q) V^\dagger (q) e^{-i\gamma_5 x} \right)$$

$$\gamma^0 \gamma_\mu \int d\tilde{p} \left( b^\dagger (p) U^\dagger (p) e^{-i\gamma_5 x} + d^\dagger (p) V^\dagger (p) e^{i\gamma_5 x} \right) | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | \int d\tilde{p} d\tilde{q} d\gamma (q) V^\dagger (q) e^{-i\gamma_5 x} \gamma^0 \gamma_\mu d^\dagger (p) V^\dagger (p) e^{i\gamma_5 x} | 0 \rangle \right)$$

$$= \int d\tilde{p} V^\dagger (p) \gamma^0 \gamma_\mu V^\dagger (p) (A1)$$

Similarly,

$$F^{(2)}_\mu = -\langle 0 | \theta (b^\dagger (q) U^\dagger (q) e^{-i\gamma_5 x} + d^\dagger (q) V^\dagger (q) e^{i\gamma_5 x} \right) \times e^{i\gamma_5 x}) \times \int d\tilde{p} \left( b^\dagger (p) U^\dagger (p) e^{-i\gamma_5 x} + d^\dagger (p) V^\dagger (p) e^{i\gamma_5 x} \right) | 0 \rangle$$

$$= -\int d\tilde{p} \theta (b^\dagger (p) U^\dagger (p) V^\dagger (p) \left) \right)$$

$$= -\int d\tilde{p} U^\dagger (p) \gamma^0 \gamma_\mu U^\dagger (p) (A2)$$

The Dirac equation $(\gamma^\nu p_\nu + m_e) V^\dagger (p) = 0$ gives that

$$V^\dagger (p) = -\gamma_\mu p_\mu V^\dagger (p)/m_e, \quad (A3a)$$

$$V^\dagger (p) = -\gamma^\nu \gamma_\nu p_\nu/m_e. \quad (A3b)$$

Making use of Eq.(A3) and the relations that $(\gamma^0)^2 = 1$ and $\gamma^0 \gamma_\mu \gamma^\nu = \gamma_\mu$, one finds that

$$V^\dagger (p) \gamma^0 \gamma_\mu V^\dagger (p) = -V^\dagger (p) \gamma^0 \gamma_\nu \gamma^\mu p_\nu V^\dagger (p)/m_e, \quad (A4a)$$

$$V^\dagger (p) \gamma^0 \gamma_\nu V^\dagger (p) = -V^\dagger (p) \gamma^0 \gamma_\nu p_\nu V^\dagger (p)/m_e \quad (A4b)$$

Then, noting the relation $(\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu) = 2g^{\mu\nu}$ and Eq.(2), one gets that

$$V^\dagger (p) \gamma^0 \gamma_\mu V^\dagger (p) = -\frac{1}{m_e} V^\dagger (p) \gamma^0 g^{\mu\nu} p_\nu V^\dagger (p) = 4p_\mu. \quad (A5)$$

Following the same procedure as that given above, for the spinors $U^\dagger (p)$, which satisfy the Dirac equation $(\gamma^\nu p_\nu - m_e) U^\dagger (p) = 0$, one finds that

$$U^\dagger (p) \gamma^0 \gamma_\mu U^\dagger (p) = 4p_\mu. \quad (A6)$$

Finally, making use of Eq.(15) and Eqs.(A5)-(A6), one gets

$$f^{(1,2)}_\mu = -(im_e) F^{(1,2)}_\mu \quad (A7)$$

and this accomplishes the proof.