Abstract

In the present paper we construct the star products concerning scalar fields in the covariant case from a new approach. We construct the star products at three levels, which are levels of functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$, fields and functionals respectively. We emphasize that the star product at level of functions is essence and starting point for our setting. Firstly the star product of functions includes all algebraic and combinatorial information of the star products concerning the scalar fields and functionals almost. Secondly, a more interesting point is that the star product of functions concerns only finite dimensional issue, which is a Moyal-like star product on $\mathbb{R}^d$ generated by a bi-vector field with abstract coefficients. Thus the Kontsevich graphs play some roles naturally. Actually we prove that there is an one-one correspondence between a class of Kontsevich graphs and the Feynman graphs. Additionally the Wick theorem, Wick power and the expectation of Wick-monomial are discussed in terms of the star product at level of functions. Our construction can be considered as the generalisation of the star products in perturbative algebraic quantum fields theory and twist product introduced in [1],[2].
1 Introduction

The deformation quantisation of the fields is an infinite dimensional issue essentially. Up to now, there are a lot of works about the deformation quantisation in the infinite dimensional case (for example, see [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], where the list of references is not complete). In some sense the star products in the infinite dimensional space were constructed as the copies of the classical Moyal product frequently, a typical point is that the partial derivatives in the classical Moyal bi-vector field are replaced by variational derivatives, for example, Frechet derivative or others. If we focus on the deformation quantisation of the fields, for example, the case of scalar fields, we need to pay attention to the following two facts. The first fact is the commutative relation (or Poisson bracket):

$$\{\varphi(x), \varphi(y)\} = K(x,y),$$

where $K(x,y)$ is some propagator and $\varphi(x)$ is a scalar field in some physical theory. Above commutative relation suggests the possibility of the Moyal-like product. Another one is the variational calculation for a specific functional, for example

$$F(\varphi) = \int f(\varphi(x_1), \ldots, \varphi(x_d))dx_1 \cdots dx_d,$$

the variation of $F(\varphi)$ can be calculated in terms of the partial derivatives of function $f(y_1, \ldots, y_d)$. This fact suggests us to work in finite dimensional case probably. Our setup is motivated by the facts mentioned above.

In the present article we discuss a new approach to the deformation quantisation of scalar fields in the covariant case, and then as a main application of our construction about the star product we discuss the connection between the Kontsevich graphs (see [13]) and the Feynman graphs. Other applications are discussed also. Now we make some explanation about function $f(y_1, \ldots, y_d)$ at level of terminology. In general $f(\varphi(x_1), \ldots, \varphi(x_d))$ (or more precisely $f(\varphi(x_1), \ldots, \varphi(x_d))dV$ where $dV$ is volume form) is called density from the viewpoint of variational theory in classical fields theory. Here we need to distinguish between the $f(y_1, \ldots, y_d)$ and $f(\varphi(x_1), \ldots, \varphi(x_d))$, so we call the function $f(y_1, \ldots, y_d)$ the density function, or function for short.

Our approach to the star products is divided into three steps. The first step is to construct the star products at level of functions. As the second step, the star product of fields, or densities in the sense mentioned above, can be constructed from the first step simply. Finally, the star product at level of functionals can be constructed based on the second step. We show our idea in the following table. Our construction can be considered as a generalisation of covariant deformation quantisation of the fields in perturbative algebraic quantum fields theory (see [7], [8], [9]) and twisted product introduced in [11], [2]. Somehow the main outline of our construction is along the idea in our earlier work (see [13]).

The basic starting point of our discussion is the construction of the star product at level of functions. The deformation quantisation in the case of the fields is the infinite
\[
f(x_i) \star g(y_j) \quad \text{functions} \\
\downarrow \\
f(\varphi) \star g(\varphi) \quad \text{fields or densities} \\
= f(x_i) \star g(y_j)|_{x_i=\varphi(\cdot),y_j=\varphi(\cdot)} \\
\downarrow \\
\int f(\varphi) \star g(\varphi) \quad \text{functionals}
\]

Table 1: default

dimensional issue basically. But in our approach, as a key point, the construction of the star product at level of functions involves the finite dimensional issue only. Our discussion below will show that the star product of functions contains all algebraic and combinatorial information of deformation quantisation of the fields and functionals almost. Actually, it will be showed that everything can be explicitly calculated based on the calculations at level of functions almost.

Here the star product of functions is a Moyal-like one in \( \mathbb{R}^d \). The bi-vector field in the Moyal product is replaced by a bi-vector field with abstract coefficients, this bi-vector field generates the star product of the functions in our setting. With the help of the Moyal-like product in finite dimensional space our discussion goes into the framework of Kontsevich naturally. We prove that for a special class of the Kontsevich graphs, here we call that the graphs of Bernoulli type, there is an one-one correspondence between the graphs of Bernoulli type and the Feynman graphs. In this paper we consider only the Feynman graphs without self-lines. It is well known that the Moyal product is the simplest example in the theory of deformation quantisation on the Poisson manifolds, thus the Kontsevich graphs involving the Moyal product should be the simplest case. Our setting is completely parallel to the Moyal product from the viewpoint of the Kontsevich graphs. Roughly speaking the set of the graphs of Bernoulli type is generated by a special Bernoulli graph (see [11], [12]) which may be the simplest, but non-trivial, graph even in Bernoulli graphs. We will see that the forms of the graphs of Bernoulli type under the structure of product of admissible graphs (see [11], [12]) look like the Feynman amplitudes very much. This similarity results in the existence of one-one correspondence mentioned above.

Moreover, as another application of our construction we discuss the various forms of Wick theorem, Wick power and expectation of Wick-monomial in terms of the coordinates in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) from the viewpoint of the star product of functions. In the sense of the star product the Wick theorem, Wick power and expectation of Wick-monomial for the case of scalar fields can be obtained from their various forms mentioned above. Observing the procedure to calculate the star product we find the Feynman amplitudes arise from the bi-vector field, that explains also why the Kontsevich graphs are relevant to the Feynman graphs.

This paper is organised as the following. In section 2 we discuss the star products at level of functions. The definitions of star product and Poisson bracket are presented and
some properties are discussed. In section 3 we recall some contents of the Kontsevich graphs including admissible graphs and their product, Bernoulli graphs, etc. (see [11], [12], [13]). A combinatorial notation, adjacency matrix, is introduced. In the end of this section we prove the existence of one-one correspondence between the graphs of Bernoulli type and the Feynman graphs. In section 4 we discuss the Wick theorem, Wick power and notion of expectation of Wick-monomial from the viewpoint of star product of functions on \( \mathbb{R}^d \). Here everything is expressed in terms of functions, or special, coordinates on \( \mathbb{R}^d \). In section 5 we discuss the star products of the fields and functionals based on the star product of functions on \( \mathbb{R}^d \).

2 The star products at level of functions

In this section we discuss the star products of functions which plays the role of underlying structure about the star products of scalar fields and functionals, moreover, includes all of combinatorial and algebraic information concerning the star products of scalar fields almost.

Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a commutative algebra over \( \mathbb{R} \) (or \( \mathbb{C} \)) with finite generators, we consider a free \( C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) module on \( \mathcal{A} \) denoted by \( C^\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \):

\[
C^\infty_{\mathcal{A}} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{A}} C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).
\]

The elements in \( C^\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \) are the linear combinations of the elements in \( \mathcal{A} \) with coefficients in \( C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \). The partial derivations on \( C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) can be extended to \( C^\infty_{\mathcal{A}} \) where the elements in \( \mathcal{A} \) are viewed as constants, for example, we have \( \partial_i(\lambda f(x)) = \lambda \partial_if(x) \), \( \lambda \in \mathcal{A}, f(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \), here we have used the short symbols, \( x = (x_1, \cdots, x_d) \), \( \partial_i = \partial_{x_i} \).

In the present article we focus on the situation of real scalar fields, the case of complex ones are similar, thus we discuss the problems over real number field \( \mathbb{R} \) below.

In this paper the star product at level of functions what we want to construct is Moyal-like one. Let \( K = (K_{ij})_{d \times d} \) be a matrix with entries in \( \mathcal{A} \) called propagator matrix, this matrix determines the star product as one in the following definition.

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( f(x), g(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \), their star product is defined to be

\[
f(x) \star_K g(y) = m \circ (f(x) \star_K g(y))|_{x=y},
\]

where

\[
(f(x) \star_K g(y))|_{x=y} = \exp\{\hbar K\}(f(x) \otimes g(y)),
\]

\( K = \sum_{i,j} K_{ij} \partial_i \otimes \partial_j \), and \( m \) denote the point-wise multiplication of functions. Specially, we define

\[
f(x) \star_K g(x) = m \circ (f(x) \star_K g(y))|_{x=y}.
\]

**Remark 2.1.**
• Sometime the tenser form of the star product (2.2) is convenient for us.

• Comparing with the Moyal product

\[ f(x) \star g(x) = f(x)g(x) + \hbar \sum_{i,j} \alpha^{ij} \partial_i f(x) \partial_j g(x) + O(\hbar^2), \]

where the constant coefficients \( \alpha^{ij} \) in Moyal star product are replaced by abstract elements \( K_{ij} \) in \( \mathcal{A} \). Similar to the case of the Moyal product, \( K \) plays the role of bi-vector field with coefficients in \( \mathcal{A} \).

• It is obvious that the star product defined by the formula (2.1) and (2.3) can be extended to the case of \( C_{\mathcal{A}, \hbar}^\infty \), where

\[ C_{\mathcal{A}, \hbar}^\infty = \{ \sum_{m \geq 0} \hbar^m F_m | F_m \in C_{\mathcal{A}}^\infty, m \geq 0 \}, \]

such that the star product \( \star_K \) is a map from \( C_{\mathcal{A}, \hbar}^\infty \times C_{\mathcal{A}, \hbar}^\infty \) to \( C_{\mathcal{A}, \hbar}^\infty \), or from \( C_{\mathcal{A}, \hbar}^\infty \otimes C_{\mathcal{A}, \hbar}^\infty \) into itself.

• We can extend the star product defined as above to the case where functions depending on some parameters. For example,

\[ f(t, x) \star_K g(t, y) = \exp\{\hbar K\}(f(t, x)g(t, y)), \]

where \( t = (t_1, \cdots, t_k) \).

More precisely, we have

\[ f(x) \star_K g(y) = f(x)g(y) + \hbar \sum_{i,j} K_{ij} \partial_i f(x) \partial_j g(y) \]
\[ + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \sum_{i_1,i_2,j_1,j_2} K_{i_1,j_1} K_{i_2,j_2} \partial_{i_1} \partial_{j_1} f(x) \partial_{i_2} \partial_{j_2} g(y) + \cdots, \]

thus we have

\[ f(x) \star_K g(y) - g(y) \star_K f(x) = \hbar \sum_{i \neq j} (K_{ij} - K_{ji}) \partial_i f(x) \partial_j g(y) + O(\hbar^2). \]

If \( f(x) \star_K g(x) = g(x) \star_K f(x) \) we say the star product \( \star_K \) is commutative. It is obvious that we have

**Proposition 2.1.** The star product \( \star_K \) is commutative iff the propagator matrix \( K \) is symmetric.

For non-commutative case we define the Poisson bracket as following:
Definition 2.2. Let \( f(x), g(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \), their Poisson bracket is defined to be
\[
\{f(x), g(y)\}_K = \sum_{i \neq j} (K_{ij} - K_{ji}) \partial_i f(x) \partial_j g(y).
\] (2.4)

Specially
\[
\{f(x), g(x)\}_K = \{f(x), g(y)\}_K |_{x=y}.
\] (2.5)

The Poisson bracket can be extended to \( C^\infty_A \) also. It is obvious that the Poisson brackets (2.4) and (2.5) is bi-linear and anti-symmetric, additionally, are derivations for both of \( f \) and \( g \). The Jacobi identity is valid for the Poisson brackets in above definition.

Now we extend the star product to the situation with several factors.

Definition 2.3. Let \( f_i(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), i = 1, \cdots, m \), we define their star product
\[
f_1(x_1) \star_K \cdots \star_K f_m(x_m) = m \circ (f_1(x_1) \star_K \cdots \star_K f_m(x_m)) \otimes (2.6)
\]

where
\[
(f_1(x_1) \star_K \cdots \star_K f_m(x_m)) \otimes = \exp \left\{ \sum_{i<j} K_{ij} \right\} (f_1(x_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes f_m(x_m)),
\]

\( K_{ij} = \sum_{\mu, \nu} K_{\mu \nu} \partial^{(i)}_\mu \otimes \partial^{(j)}_\nu, \partial^{(k)}_\lambda \text{ acts on } k\text{-th factor}, 1 \leq k \leq m. \)

Because the star product \( \star_K \) is very similar to the Moyal product, the associativity is valid obviously.

Theorem 2.1. Let \( f(x), g(x), h(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \), we have
\[
[f(x) \star_K g(y)] \star_K h(z) = f(x) \star_K [g(y) \star_K h(z)].
\]

Observing the definition 2.1 we know that the different choice of propagator matrixes determines the different star products. Now we discuss the connection between the star products depending on the different propagator matrixes. We have

Theorem 2.2. For different propagator matrixes \( K, K' \) we have
\[
(f(x) \star_K g(y)) \otimes = \exp\{h(K - K')\} (f(x) \star_{K'} g(y)) \otimes. \quad (2.7)
\]

Proof. Actually we have
\[
\exp\{hK\} = \exp\{h(K - K')\} \exp\{K'\}.
\]

\( \square \)

Remark 2.2. It is obvious that all formulas in above discussion are valid for elements in \( C^\infty_A \). Thus we will only discuss the issues concerning the star products for smooth functions below.
3 Kontsevich graphs and Feynman graphs

In this section we explain how the star products result in the Feynman amplitudes, at the same time, Kontsevich graphs result in the Feynman graphs naturally.

3.1 Notations

At beginning as preparations we recall some contents concerning the Kontsevich graphs simply, for more details we direct readers to [13].

Definition 3.1. An oriented graph $\Gamma$ is a pair $(V_\Gamma, E_\Gamma)$ of two finite sets such that $E_\Gamma$ is a subset of $V_\Gamma \times V_\Gamma$. Elements of $V_\Gamma$ are vertices of $\Gamma$, elements of $E_\Gamma$ are edges of $\Gamma$. If $e = (v_1, v_2) \in E_\Gamma \subseteq V_\Gamma \times V_\Gamma$ is an edge of $\Gamma$ then we say that $e$ stars at $v_1$ and ends at $v_2$.

Definition 3.2. (Admissible graphs, [13], p.22) Admissible graph $G_{n,m}$ is an oriented graph with labels such that

- The set of vertices $V_\Gamma$ is $\{1, \ldots, n\} \sqcup \{\bar{1}, \ldots, \bar{m}\}$ where $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $2m + n - 2 \geq 0$; vertices from $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ are called vertices of the first type, vertices from $\{1, \ldots, \bar{m}\}$ are called vertices of the second type.
- Every edge $e = (v_1, v_2) \in E_\Gamma$ stars at a vertex of the first type, $v_1 \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.
- There are no loops, i.e. no edges of the type $(v, v)$.
- For every vertex $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ of the first type, the set of edges
  \[ \text{Star}(k) = \{(v_1, v_2) \in E_\Gamma | v_1 = k\} \]
  starting from $k$, is labeled by symbols $\{e_1^k, \ldots, e_{\#\text{Star}(k)}^k\}$.

In other articles the vertices of the first type are also called internal vertices and vertices of the second type are called boundary vertices. About the operation of graphs we have

Definition 3.3. (see [11], p.7 and [12], p.22) If $\Gamma_1 \in G_{n,m}$, $\Gamma_2 \in G_{n',m'}$, we define the product $\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 \in G_{n+n',m+m'}$ as the graph obtained from disjoint union of two graphs by identification of the vertices of the first type.

It is easy to see that the product of admissible graphs defined above is commutative. For convenience we define the embedding of the admissible graphs $G_{n,m} \hookrightarrow G_{n,m'}$, $m' \geq m$, or, extend a graph in $G_{n,m}$ to a graph in $G_{n,m'}$.

Definition 3.4. Let $\Gamma \in G_{n,m}$, the all vertices of the second type are labeled by $v_1, \ldots, v_{\bar{m}}$, for a subset of $\{\bar{i}_1, \ldots, \bar{i}_m\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, \bar{m}\}$, $1 \leq \bar{i}_1 < \cdots < \bar{i}_m \leq \bar{m}$, we extend $\Gamma$ in the following way:

- identifying vertex $v_j$ with vertex ${v_{\bar{i}_j}}$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$. 
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Above procedure define an embedding \( \iota_{i_1, \ldots, i_m} : G_{n,m} \hookrightarrow G_{n,m'} \), and we denote new graph by \( \Gamma_{i_1, \ldots, i_m} \). We call \((i_1, \ldots, i_m)\) the position of \( \iota_{i_1, \ldots, i_m} \) or \( \Gamma_{i_1, \ldots, i_m} \).

**Remark 3.1.** Combining the definitions 3.3 and 3.4 we can consider the product of general admissible graphs. For instance, let \( \Gamma \in G_{n,m}, \; \Gamma' \in G_{n',m'} \), we take \( m_1 = \max\{m, m'\} \) and choose two positions \((i_1, \ldots, i_m)\), \((i'_1, \ldots, i'_{m'})\), then the product

\[
\Gamma_{(i_1, \ldots, i_m)} \Gamma'_{(i'_1, \ldots, i'_{m'})} \in G_{n+n', m_1}
\]

makes sense.

Now we embed the star product defined in definition 2.1 into the Kontsevich’s framework. The Moyal product is one generated by constant Poisson bi-vector field, it may be trivial case from the viewpoint of Kontsevich theory. However for convention we discuss problems in details. Similar to the case of the Moyal product, the basic graph is Bernoulli graph (see [11], [12]) \( b_1 \in G_{1,2} \) which endows one vertex of the first type, two vertices of the second type named by left and right ones respectively, and \( b_1 \) endows two edges starting at one vertex of the first type ending at two vertices of the second type respectively. Now we consider

\[
b^n_1 = b_1 \cdots b_1, \quad n - \text{times}
\]

where the product of the graphs is in the sense of definition 3.3, thus we know that \( b^n_1 \in G_{n, 2} \), or \( b^n_1 \in G_n \) more simply (see [13]). \( b^n_1 \) is simple graph even in \( G_n \), actually, there are no edges connecting the different vertices of the first type in \( b^n_1 \). The vertices of the first type in \( b^n_1 \) are labeled by \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \), and the edges of \( b^n_1 \) are labeled by symbols \( e^L_1, e^R_1, \ldots, e^L_n, e^R_n \), i.e. \( E^L_b^n = E^L_n \cup E^R_n \), where \( e^L_k \) (or \( e^R_k \)) denote the edge starting at \( k \)-th vertex of the first type and ending at left (or right) vertex of the second type, and \( E^L_n = \{e^L_1, \ldots, e^L_n\} \). \( E^R_n = \{e^R_1, \ldots, e^R_n\} \). We consider the map \( I : E^L_n \rightarrow \{1, \ldots, d\}, \;
\]

\[
I_L = I |_{E^L_n}, \; I_R = I |_{E^R_n}.
\]

Let \( I_L(e^L_k) = i_k, \; I_R(e^R_k) = j_k, \; k = 1, \ldots, n \), according to the Kontsevich’s rule, where we make a little modification such that we have

\[
B_{b^n_1, K}(f, g) = \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_m, j_1, \ldots, j_n} K_{i_1 j_1} \cdots K_{i_m j_n} \partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_m} f \partial_{j_1} \cdots \partial_{j_n} g.
\]

If we use the Kontsevich’s notation \( \mathcal{U} \), we have

\[
\mathcal{U}_{b^n_1} (K^n_{\otimes}) (f(x) \otimes g(y)) = \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_m, j_1, \ldots, j_n} K_{i_1 j_1} \cdots K_{i_m j_n} \partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_m} f(x) \otimes \partial_{j_1} \cdots \partial_{j_n} g(y),
\]

or,

\[
\mathcal{U}_{b^n_1} (K^n_{\otimes}) = K^n
\]

where there is a modification the tenser

\[
K^n_{\otimes} = K \otimes \cdots \otimes K, \quad n - \text{times}
\]
instead of wedges of $K$, and $K^n$ is viewed as bi-differential operator. Let $b^n_1 \in G_{0,2}$ be assigned to the identity, i.e.
\[ \mathcal{U}_{b^n_1}(id)(f(x) \otimes g(y)) = f(x) \otimes g(y). \]
Finally we have
\[ (f(x) \star_K g(x))_\otimes = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\hbar^n}{n!} \mathcal{U}_{b^n_1}(K^n_\otimes)(f(x) \otimes g(x)). \]

There is a graphical explanation of above discussion. We put $K$ on each vertex of the first type in $b^n_1$, if we fix a map $I : E_k^L \to \{1, \cdots, d\}$, for $k$-th vertex of the first type, we put $\partial_i$ on $e_k^L$ and $\partial_j$ on $e_k^R$, where $I(e_k^L) = i_k$, $I(e_k^R) = j_k$. In this sense we are able to assign the graph $b^n_1$ to $K^n_\otimes$, $n > 0$, in other word we do not distinguish between the graph graph $b^n_1$ and $K^n_\otimes$. Therefore, formally we can express the star product in term of graphical language as following
\[ \exp\{\hbar b_1\} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\hbar^k}{k!} b^k_1, \quad (3.1) \]

(see [11]).

For convenience we introduce the notion of adjacency matrix.

**Definition 3.5.** A adjacency matrix is a symmetric matrix with non-negative integer entries. Here we make an additional restriction such that the entries on main diagonal are zeros, i.e. for an adjacency matrix $M = (m_{ij})$, we have $m_{ij} = m_{ji}$, $m_{ii} = 0$. We call $\sum_{ij} m_{ij}$ the degree of $M$ denoted by $\text{deg}M$.

**Remark 3.2.** The notion of adjacency matrix appears in combinatorial theory. In C. Brouder [11] the author emphasised the connection between the Feynman graphs and RSK algorithm (Robinson-Schensted-Kunth algorithm) and paid attention to the adjacency matrix. In general the assumption about all zeros along main diagonal of the adjacency matrices is not necessary from viewpoint of combinatorial theory. Here we talk about the adjacency matrices with additional restriction mentioned above due to the following reasons. On the one hand we will discuss the Feynman graphs from the viewpoint of Wick monomials, in this case the Feynman graphs do not contain the self-lines. On the other hand, it was noted in [11], there is an one-one correspondence between the Feynman graphs without self-lines and the adjacency matrices with all zeros on main diagonal. Actually, for an adjacency matrix $M = (m_{ij})_{k \times k}$, the integer $k$ indicates the number of vertices of a Feynman graph and every entry $m_{ij}$ indicates the number of edges between $i$-th and $j$-th vertices of the Feynman graph. From the viewpoint of combinatorial theory, recalling RSK algorithm, we know that there are three one-one correspondences among the following three objects: (see [3], [4], [14])

- the set of permutations which are involutions without fixed points,
- the set of adjacency matrices with zeros along the main diagonal,
- the set of semi-standard Young tableaus (SSYT) without odd columns.
3.2 From Kontsevich graphs to Feynman graphs

Now we turn to the Feynman amplitudes and Feynman graphs. We need to consider the multiple star product:

\[ f_1(x_1) *_K \cdots *_K f_m(x_m) = m \circ (f_1(x_1) *_K \cdots *_K f_m(x_m)) \oplus. \]

Recalling definition 2.6, by a straightforward calculation we have

\[
\exp \{ h \sum_{i<j} K_{ij} \} (f_1(x_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes f_m(x_m)) = \prod_{i<j} \exp \{ h K_{ij} \} (f_1(x_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes f_m(x_m)),
\]

(3.2)

The adjacency matrix appears in the star product naturally, for example, observing the formula (3.2) we have

\[
\exp \{ h \sum_{i<j} K_{ij} \} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{h^k}{k!} \left( \sum_{i<j} K_{ij} \right)^k,
\]

and

\[
\left( \sum_{i<j} K_{ij} \right)^k = \sum_{\text{deg} M = 2k} \left( m_{12}, \ldots, m_{m-1,m} \right) \prod_{i<j} K_{ij}^{m_{ij}},
\]

(3.3)

where the sum on the left side of equality in the formula (3.3) is over all \( m \times m \) adjacency matrices \( M = (m_{ij})_{m \times m} \) with \( \text{deg} M = 2k \) and

\[
\left( m_{12}, \ldots, m_{m-1,m} \right) = \frac{k!}{m_{12}! \cdots m_{m-1,m}!}.
\]

The factors \( \prod_{i<j} K_{ij}^{m_{ij}} \) in the formula (3.3) are poly-differential operators with coefficients in \( \mathcal{A} \). But the forms of \( \prod_{i<j} K_{ij}^{m_{ij}} \) and the Feynman amplitudes are very much alike. It is seems that here \( K_{ij} \) play the role of the propagators. In fact for the special choice of \( f_i(x) \), \( K_{ij} \) contributes the propagator indeed, we will discuss that in section 4 and section 5 furthermore. Therefore we call \( \prod_{i<j} K_{ij}^{m_{ij}} \) the generalised Feynman amplitude. It is worth to point-out that the generalised Feynman amplitudes appearing in the coefficients of the star product.

Above discussion from the viewpoint of the star product suggests that the Kontsevich graphs should result in the Feynman graphs. Now we discuss problem from graphical viewpoint in details. We consider the embedding \( \iota_{i,j} : G_{1,2} \hookrightarrow G_{1,m}, i < j \). Where for a graph in \( G_{1,2} \), the left and right vertices of the second type correspond to \( i \)-th and \( j \)-th vertices of the second type of a graph in \( G_{1,m} \) respectively. Specially we consider the Bernoulli graph \( b_1 \), let \( b_{ij} \) denote \( \iota_{i,j} b_1 \). It is easy to check that

\[
U_{b_{ij}}(K) = K_{ij}.
\]
thus $b_{ij}$ can be assigned to bi-vector field $K_{ij}$ which can be viewed as a simple poly-
differential operator also. The generalised Feynman amplitudes suggests us to consider
the graph $\prod_{i<j} b_{ij}^{m_{ij}}$ with form same as $\prod_{i<j} K_{ij}^{m_{ij}}$. It is obvious that there is an one-one
correspondence between the adjacency matrix and graph $\prod_{i<j} b_{ij}^{m_{ij}}$. Actually starting
from an adjacency matrix $M = (m_{ij})_{m \times m}$ with $\deg M = 2k$ we can get an unique graph
$\prod_{i<j} b_{ij}^{m_{ij}}$ in $G_{k,m}$. Thus we denote this graph by $b_M$ for short. In the sense of the product
of the graphs defined in definition 3.3, the graph $b_M$ is the product of embeddings of
Bernoulli graph $b_1$, so we call the admissible graphs with form $b_M$ the graphs of Bernoulli
type. Recalling discussion about the connection between the adjacency matrices and the
Feynman graphs, it is obvious that there is a way to build the connection between the
graphs of Bernoulli type and Feynman graphs. The key point of a graph of Bernoulli
type $b_M$ is that every $b_{ij}$ endows two edges starting at same vertex of the first type and
ending at $i$-th and $j$-th vertices of the second type respectively. A graph of Bernoulli
type $\Gamma$ in $G_{k,m}$ endows $2k$ edges and there are no edges connecting different vertices of
the first type. Now we get one of our main consequence immediately.

**Theorem 3.1.** There is a one-one correspondence between the graphs of Bernoulli type
and Feynman graphs without loops.

**Proof.** For a given graph of Bernoulli type $\prod_{i<j} b_{ij}^{m_{ij}} \in G_{k,m}$, it reduces a Feynman
graph by the following rule:

- every vertex of the second type is assigned to a vertex of Feynman graph,
- every $b_{ij}$ is assigned to a edge of Feynman graph connecting $i$-th and $j$-th vertices
  of Feynman graph.

Consequently, according to above rule from the graph $\prod_{i<j} b_{ij}^{m_{ij}} \in G_{k,m}$ we get a Feyn-
man graph with $m$ vertices, $k$ edges, here there are $m_{ij}$ edges between the $i$-th and $j$-th
vertices, $\sum_{i<j} m_{ij} = k$.

Conversely, from a given Feynman graph we can get a graph of Bernoulli type in an
obvious way. \qed

About the graphs of Bernoulli type we have

**Proposition 3.1.**

- Let $M_1, M_2$ be two $m \times m$ adjacency matrixes with $\deg M_i = 2k_i, i = 1, 2$, then we
  have
    \[ b_{M_1+M_2} = b_{M_1} b_{M_2}, \]  
  \[ (3.4) \]
  and
    \[ U_{b_{M_1}}(K_{i}^{k_1}) U_{b_{M_2}}(K_{j}^{k_2}) = U_{b_{M_1} b_{M_2}}(K_{i}^{k_1+k_2}). \]  
  \[ (3.5) \]
• Specially, for an adjacency matrix $M = (m_{ij})_{m \times m}$, $\deg M = 2k$, we have

$$U_{b_M}(\mathcal{K}_L^k) = \prod_{i<j}^k \mathcal{K}^m_{ij}.$$  

(3.6)

Where $\prod_{i<j}^k \mathcal{K}^m_{ij}$ is considered as a poly-differential operator.

**Proof.** Actually, if we write $b_M$ as $b_M = \prod_{l=1}^k b_{i_l j_l}$, where $i_l < j_l, l = 1, \cdots, k$, by induction we can prove

$$U_{b_M}(\mathcal{K}_L^k) = \prod_{l=1}^k U_{b_{i_l j_l}}(\mathcal{K}).$$

On the other hand, $b_{i_l j_l}$ corresponds to adjacency matrix $M(i_l, j_l)$ with entries $m_{i_l j_l} = m_{j_l i_l} = 1, m_{ij} = 0$, for others. Noting $M = \sum_{i,j} m_{ij} M(i,j)$, it is easy to check that

$$b_M = \prod_{i<j} b_{m_{ij} M(i,j)}; b_{m_{ij} M(i,j)} = b_{ij}^m.$$  

Thus the formula (3.4) and (3.5) are valid. The formula (3.6) is implied by (3.4) and (3.5). \hfill \square

Therefore we do not distinguish between the graph $b_M$ and $\prod_{i<j}^k \mathcal{K}^m_{ij}$. Up to now we have a graphical version of the multiple star product:

**Theorem 3.2.** In terms of the graphical language, formally we have

$$\prod \exp\{hb_{ij}\} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{h^k}{k!} \sum_{\deg M = 2k} \left( m_{12}, \cdots, m_{m-1,m} \right) \prod_{i<j} b^m_{ij}. $$  

(3.7)

The graph $b_M$ have same form as Feynman amplitudes. Due to the correspondence between the Bernoulli graph $b_{ij}$ and bi-vector field $K_{ij}$, the graph $\prod_{i<j}^k b^m_{ij}$ can be viewed as graphical version of the Feynman amplitudes.

**Remark 3.3.**

• In the standard quantum fields theory the Feynman amplitudes appear in the expectation of Green functions. Here we talk about the Feynman amplitudes in a different sense from the original one. In above discussion the Feynman amplitudes appear in the coefficients of star product arising from bi-vector field $\mathcal{K}$ which generates the star product. It is not only convenient to explain why Kontsevich graphs work but reasonable really. In next sections we will discuss the Wick-monomials which are the star products of the Wick power. We will introduce the notation of the expectation of the Wick-monomial which results in the Feynman amplitudes in the original sense.
• If the star products in the infinite dimensional space are Moyal-like ones, it is possible for us to generalise above argument to an infinite dimensional version.

In summary, up to now we have six ways from different aspects to describe the Feynman graphs showed in table 2.

4 Wick theorem and Wick power

In this section we want to discuss the Wick theorem and Wick power in terms of the star product at level of functions in $\mathbb{C}^\infty(R^d)$. Therefore we focus on the situation of star product with special form as $f_1(x_1) K \cdots K f_d(x_d)$, where $f_i(\cdot) \in \mathbb{C}^\infty(R)$, $i = 1, \cdots, d$.

Firstly we have the following formula obviously,

**Lemma 4.1.**

\[
f_1(x_1) K \cdots K f_d(x_d) = \exp\{\hbar \sum_{i<j} K_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j\} f_1(x_1) \cdots f_d(x_d).
\] (4.1)

The formula (4.1) is a special case of the formula (3.2), here the bi-vector field $K_{ij}$ is reduced to a simple one, $K_{ij} \partial_i \otimes \partial_j$. Similar to the discussion of the formula (3.2) we have

\[
\exp\{\hbar \sum_{i<j} K_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j\} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\hbar^k}{k!} \left(\sum_{i<j} K_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j\right)^k,
\]

and

\[
\left(\sum_{i<j} K_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j\right)^k = \sum_{\text{deg} M = 2k} \left(\begin{array}{c} k \\ m_{12}, \cdots, m_{d-1,d} \end{array}\right) \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_d^{\alpha_d} \prod_{i<j} K_{ij}^{m_{ij}}.
\]

Where the sum on the right side of above equality is over all $d \times d$ adjacency matrixes $M = (m_{ij})_{d \times d}$ with $\text{deg} M = 2k$ and

\[
\alpha_i = \sum_j m_{ij}, \ i = 1, \cdots, d.
\]

Thus we have a more precise formula as following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feynman amplitudes</th>
<th>analytic aspect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feynman graphs</td>
<td>graphical aspect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kontsevich graphs</td>
<td>graphical aspect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjacency matrixes</td>
<td>algebraic aspect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permutations</td>
<td>combinatorial or algebraic aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSYT</td>
<td>combinatorial aspect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: default
Proposition 4.1.

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\hbar^k}{k!} \sum_{\text{deg} M = 2k} \left( \begin{array}{c} k \\ m_{12}, \ldots, m_{d-1,d} \end{array} \right) f_1^{(\alpha_1)} \cdots f_d^{(\alpha_d)} \prod_{i<j} K_{ij}^{m_{ij}}. \quad (4.2)$$

Where $$m_{ij}, \alpha_i$$ are same as mentioned above.

In the formula (4.2) the factors $$\prod_{i<j} K_{ij}^{m_{ij}}$$ reduced from $$\prod_{i<j} K_{ij}^{m_{ij}}$$ are very close to the original Feynman amplitudes in the standard quantum fields theory.

Now we turn to the discussion of Wick power. In this case, it is necessary for us to consider the following star product:

$$f(x_1) \star K \cdots \star K f(x_i), \quad l - \text{times}$$

where $$f(\cdot) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$$ and $$i = 1, \ldots, d$$. Similar to the formula (4.2) we have:

Proposition 4.2.

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\hbar^k}{k!} K_{ii}^k \sum_{\text{deg} M = 2k} \left( \begin{array}{c} k \\ m_{12}, \ldots, m_{l-1,l} \end{array} \right) f^{(\alpha_1)}(x_i) \cdots f^{(\alpha_l)}(x_i). \quad (4.3)$$

Where the second sum in the formula (4.3) is over all $$l \times l$$ adjacency matrixes $$M = (m_{ij})_{l \times l}$$ with $$\text{deg} M = 2k$$ and

$$\alpha_i = \sum_j m_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, l.$$

If we take $$f(x_i) = x_i$$ we have

Corollary 4.1.

$$x_i \star K \cdots \star K x_i \quad l - \text{times} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor} \frac{\hbar^k}{k!(l-2k)!} K_{ii}^k x_i^{l-2k}. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. It is enough for us to count the number of terms with form $$\hbar^k K_{ii}^k x_i^{l-2k}$$.

Definition 4.1. The Wick power in the sense of the star product $$\star_K$$ of $$x_i$$ is defined to be

$$:x_i^l :_K = x_i \star K \cdots \star K x_i, \quad l - \text{times} \quad (4.5)$$

where $$1 \leq i \leq d$$. 
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Remark 4.1. By definition as above we know that the Wick power belongs to $C^\infty_A$. Due to the formula (4.4) the Wick power is expressed by means of Hermite polynomials.

Similar to the theorem 2.2, about the case of $f_1(x_1) \star_K \cdots \star_K f_d(x_d)$ we have more precise formula which is a generalisation of the classical Wick theorem.

Theorem 4.1. (Wick theorem) For different propagator matrices $K = (K_{ij})_{d \times d}$ and $K' = (K'_{ij})_{d \times d}$ we have

$$f_1(x_1) \star_K \cdots \star_K f_d(x_d) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\hbar^k}{k!} \sum_{\text{deg} M = 2k} \left( \begin{array}{c} k \\ m_{12}, \ldots, m_{d-1,d} \end{array} \right) f_1^{(\alpha_1)} \cdots f_d^{(\alpha_d)} \prod_{i<j} (K_{ij} - K'_{ij})^{m_{ij}}. \tag{4.6}$$

Where the second sum in the formula (4.6) is over all $d \times d$ adjacency matrices with $\text{deg} M = 2k$ and

$$\alpha_i = \sum_j m_{ij}, \ i = 1, \ldots, d.$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of theorem 2.2 we have

$$\exp\{\hbar \sum_{i<j} K_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j\} = \exp\{\hbar \sum_{i<j} (K_{ij} - K'_{ij}) \partial_i \partial_j\} \exp\{\hbar \sum_{i<j} K'_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j\}.$$

Therefore

$$f_1(x_1) \star_K \cdots \star_K f_d(x_d) = \exp\{\hbar \sum_{i<j} (K_{ij} - K'_{ij}) \partial_i \partial_j\} f_1(x_1) \star_K' \cdots \star_K' f_d(x_d).$$

Above formula implies (4.6). \qed

If we take $K' = 0$ in the formula (4.6), we come back to the formula (4.2).

If we make a special choice of $f_i(x_i)$ in the formula (4.6), i.e. : $x_1^{n_1_{1i}} \cdots x_d^{n_d_{1i}}$, we can get the Wick theorem with expression very similar to the classical Wick theorem in the standard quantum fields theory.

Corollary 4.2.

$$= \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\hbar^k}{k!} \sum_{\text{deg} M = 2k} \left( \begin{array}{c} k \\ m_{12}, \ldots, m_{d-1,d} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} n_1 \\ \alpha_1 \end{array} \right) \cdots \left( \begin{array}{c} n_d \\ \alpha_d \end{array} \right) \prod_{i<j} (K_{ij}^{(1)} - K_{ij}^{(2)})^{m_{ij}}. \tag{4.7}$$

Where the second sum in the formula (4.7) is over all $d \times d$ adjacency matrices with $\text{deg} M = 2k$ and

$$\alpha_i = \sum_j m_{ij}, \ \alpha_i \leq n_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, d.$$
Remark 4.2. Observing the formula (4.7), we find that the form of Feynman amplitudes does not dependent on the choices of propagator matrices $K^{(1)}$ and $K^{(2)}$. Thus, when we focus on the issues of the Feynman amplitudes, without loss of generality we can choose the the star product $\star_{K^{(1)}}$ in Wick-monomial: $x_{1}^{n_1} :K \star_{K^{(1)}} \cdots \star_{K^{(1)}} :x_{d}^{n_d} :K$ to be commutative always.

In the traditional sense the Feynman amplitudes arising from the expectation of Green functions. But in the previous discussion we talk about the Feynman amplitudes which appear as factors arising from the bi-vector field in the coefficients of the star product. Above statement is reasonable really. Actually, along the idea of perturbative algebraic quantum fields theory we can define the expectation of the Wick-monomial as the coefficient of the term with the highest power of $\hbar$ in the star product. Now we define the expectation of Wick-monomial: $x_{1}^{n_1} :K \star_{K^{(1)}} \cdots \star_{K^{(1)}} :x_{d}^{n_d} :K$, denoted by

$$\langle :x_{1}^{n_1} :K \star_{K^{(1)}} \cdots \star_{K^{(1)}} :x_{d}^{n_d} :K >,$$

as following:

Definition 4.2. If we write the Wick-monomial as a polynomial of $\hbar$

$$x_{1}^{n_1} :K \star_{K^{(1)}} \cdots \star_{K^{(1)}} :x_{d}^{n_d} :K = \sum_{k=1}^{m} c_k \hbar^k,$$

we define the expectation of above Wick-monomial as following:

- When $n_1 + \cdots + n_d = 2m$,
  $$\langle :x_{1}^{n_1} :K \star_{K^{(1)}} \cdots \star_{K^{(1)}} :x_{d}^{n_d} :K > = c_m, \quad (4.8)$$
  where
  $$c_m = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\text{degM}=2m} \left( m_{12}, \cdots, m_{d-1,d} \right) \prod_{i<j} (K^{(1)}_{ij})^{m_{ij}}, \quad (4.9)$$
  above sum is over all adjacency matrices $M = (m_{ij})_{d \times d}$, $\text{degM} = 2m$, such that
  $$n_i = \sum_{j} m_{ij}, \; i = 1, \cdots, d, \quad (4.10)$$
- When $n_1 + \cdots + n_d > 2m$,
  $$\langle :x_{1}^{n_1} :K \star_{K^{(1)}} \cdots \star_{K^{(1)}} :x_{d}^{n_d} :K > = 0.$$

Definition 4.3.

When the integer sequence $(n_1, \cdots, n_d)$ satisfies the following conditions:

- There is an adjacency matrix $M = (m_{ij})_{d \times d}$, $\text{degM} = 2m$, such that
  $$2m = n_1 + \cdots + n_d, \quad 2m = n_1 + \cdots + n_d, \quad n_i = \sum_{j} m_{ij}, \; i = 1, \cdots, d, \quad (4.10)$$
we call this integer sequence \((n_1, \cdots, n_d)\) admissible.

Combining the definition 4.2 and 4.3 we have the following conclusion immediately.

**Proposition 4.3.** The Wick-monomial \(x_1^{n_1} \star K(1) \cdots \star K(1) \star x_d^{n_d} \star K\) endows non-zero expectation iff \((n_1, \cdots, n_d)\) is admissible.

We have a simpler description of the admissible integer sequence. Here we assume the star product is commutative and \(n_i > 0, i = 1, \cdots, d\).

**Theorem 4.2.** A integer sequence \((n_1, \cdots, n_d)\) is admissible iff \(n_1 + \cdots + n_d\) is an even integer and
\[
2n_i \leq n_1 + \cdots + n_d, \quad i = 1, \cdots, d. \tag{4.11}
\]

**Proof.** If the integer sequence \((n_1, \cdots, n_d)\) is admissible, i.e. there is an adjacency matrix \(M = (m_{ij})_{d \times d}\), such that \(n_i = \sum_j m_{ij}, i = 1, \cdots, d\). Then we have
\[
2n_i = \sum_j m_{ij} + \sum_j m_{ji} \leq \sum_{i,j} m_{ij} = 2 \sum_{i<j} m_{ij} = \sum n_i.
\]

Conversely we need to prove that for an integer sequence \((n_1, \cdots, n_d)\) satisfying \(n_1 + \cdots + n_d = 2m, m \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(2n_i \leq n_1 + \cdots + n_d, i = 1, \cdots, d\) there is an adjacency matrix \(M = (m_{ij})_{d \times d}\) such that \(n_i = \sum_j m_{ij}, i = 1, \cdots, d\). Now we prove the existence of an adjacency matrix by induction for \(d\). Without loss of generality we assume \(n_1 \geq \cdots \geq n_d\).

When \(d = 2\), then \(n_1 = n_2\) at this time. In this case there is an unique suitable adjacency matrix
\[
M = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & n_1 \\
n_2 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
satisfying the conditions what we need.

Suppose the conclusion is valid for \(d\), now we consider the case of \(d + 1\). The case will be divided into a few parts.

**Case of** \(n_1 = \cdots = n_{d+1}\):

- \(d + 1\) is an even integer: Let \(n_1 = \cdots = n_{d+1} = p\), we can take the entries of \(M = (m_{ij})_{(d+1) \times (d+1)}\) to be
  \[
m_{ij} = p, \quad i + j = d + 2; \quad m_{ij} = 0, \text{ for others.}
\]

- \(d + 1\) is an odd integer: Because \((d + 1)p = 2m, p\) is an even integer, let \(p = 2q\), the entries of \(M = (m_{ij})_{(d+1) \times (d+1)}\) can be taken to be
  \[
m_{i,i+1} = m_{i+1,i} = q, \quad i = 1, \cdots, d; \quad m_{1,d+1} = m_{d+1,1} = q; \quad m_{ij} = 0, \text{ for others.}
\]
Case of $n_1 > n_{d+1}$:

Let $n'_1 = n_1 - n_{d+1}$, then we know that

$$n'_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_d = \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} n_i - 2n_{d+1}$$

is an even integer and $(n'_1, n_2, \cdots, n_d)$ satisfies the condition (4.10). According to the hypothesis of induction we know that there is an adjacency matrix $M = (m_{ij})_{d \times d}$ such that

$$n'_1 = \sum_j m_{1j}, n_i = \sum_j m_{ij}, i = 2, \cdots, d.$$

Now we take a $(d + 1) \times (d + 1)$ adjacency matrix as following:

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
M & n_{d+1} \\
0 & \vdots \\
n_{d+1} & 0 \cdots & 0
\end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix $M_1$ satisfies all conditions what we need.

We want to talk about theorem 4.2 more from the combinatorial viewpoint. Under the assumption being $n_i > 0, i = 1, \cdots, d$ the adjacency matrixes need additional restriction which is that there is at least one positive entry at every row or column. Recalling RSK algorithm, there are one-one correspondences between the following objects:

(see [3], [4], [14])

- the set of permutations which are involutions without fixed points,
- the set of adjacency matrixes with zeros along the main diagonal,
- the set of semi-standard Young tableaus (SSYT) without odd columns.

From the combinatorial viewpoint the integer sequence $(n_1, \cdots, n_d)$ arising from the monomial: $x_1^{n_1}K^{*K(1)} \cdots K^{*K(1)}x_d^{n_d}K$ plays the role of content for some semi-standard Young tableau denoted by $1^{n_1}2^{n_2} \cdots d^{n_d}$ usually. Here we assume $n_1 \geq \cdots \geq n_d$. Starting from an admissible integer sequence $(n_1, \cdots, n_d)$, now we begin to construct a special SSYT such that under the one-one correspondence mentioned above this Young tableau results in an adjacency matrix which satisfies the conditions in definition 4.2. Firstly we construct an Young diagram with 2 rows and there are $m$ columns in each row, where $m = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i n_i$. Secondly we fill the numbers in above Young diagram as follows. At beginning we put the numbers in the first row. Starting from the up-left corner of Young diagram we put number ”1” with $n_1$ times, and then we put all of ”2” and so on until the first row is full. Continuously we put numbers in the second row in the same way. Consequently we get a SSYT as table 3, where $2 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq r_3 \leq r_4 \leq d$. Above discussion gives a combinatorial proof of theorem 4.2.
5 The star products at levels of fields and functionals

In this section we construct the star products at levels of fields and functionals based on the star product of functions discussed in previous sections. We will discuss the problems on the general smooth manifold which contains Lorentzian manifold as a special case.

Let $X$ be a $n$-dimensional real smooth manifold, $K(x, y) \in D'(X \times X)$. For simplicity we assume $K(x, y) \in C^\infty(X \times X)$ which can be considered as regulation of general distribution on $X \times X$. In this section the bold letters $x$ or $y$ will denote the points in $X$. At beginning of this subsection we discuss the star product of fields. Here we discuss the star product of functions being of form

$$f(\varphi(x_1), \cdots, \varphi(x_d)) \in C^\infty(X \times \cdots \times X),$$

where $f(y_1, \cdots, y_d) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the function $\varphi(x) \in C^\infty(X)$ plays the role of real scalar field on $X$.

**Definition 5.1.** Let $K(x, y) \in C^\infty(X \times X)$, $f(y_1, \cdots, y_d), g(y_1, \cdots, y_d) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\varphi(x) \in C^\infty(X)$, we define the star product as following:

$$f(\varphi(x_1), \cdots, \varphi(x_d)) \star_K g(\varphi(y_1), \cdots, \varphi(y_d)) = m \circ \left[ \exp\{\hbar K\} f(x_1, \cdots, x_d) \otimes g(y_1, \cdots, y_d) \bigg|_{x_i = \varphi(x_i), y_i = \varphi(y_i)} \right].$$

(5.1)

Where $K = \sum_{i,j} K_{ij} \partial_{x_i} \otimes \partial_{y_j}$, $K_{ij} = K(x_i, y_j)$.

When $K(x, y) = P^* K(x, y)$, where $P : X \times X \longrightarrow X \times X; P(x, y) = (y, x)$, is permutation map, we know that the star product $\star_K$ is commutative. For non-commutative case we have:

**Definition 5.2.** The Poisson bracket is defined to be

$$\{f(\varphi(x_1), \cdots, \varphi(x_d)), g(\varphi(y_1), \cdots, \varphi(y_d))\}_K = m \circ \left[ \sum_{i,j} (K_{ij} - K_{ji}) \partial_i f(x_1, \cdots, x_d) \otimes \partial_j g(y_1, \cdots, y_d) \bigg|_{x_i = \varphi(x_i), y_i = \varphi(y_i)} \right].$$

(5.2)

**Remark 5.1.**

- The star product defined in definition 5.1 and Poisson bracket defined in definition 5.2 are well defined and rely on the issues at level functions. Actually as a special case we have

$$f(\varphi(x_1), \cdots, \varphi(x_d)) \star_K g(\varphi(x_1), \cdots, \varphi(x_d)) = f(\varphi(x_1), \cdots, \varphi(x_d)) \star_K g(\varphi(y_1), \cdots, \varphi(y_d)) \bigg|_{x_i = \varphi(x_i)} = f(x_1, \cdots, x_d) \star_K g(x_1, \cdots, x_d) \bigg|_{x_i = \varphi(x_i)}. $$

(5.3)
Corollary 5.1. Let $K(x, y), K'(x, y)$ be smooth functions on $X \times X$, $f_1(\cdot), \cdots, f_d(\cdot) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\varphi(x) \in C^\infty(X)$, we have

$$
{\begin{aligned}
&\{f(\varphi(x_1), \cdots, \varphi(x_d)), g(\varphi(x_1), \cdots, \varphi(x_d))\}_K \\
&= \{f(y_1, \cdots, y_d), g(y_1, \cdots, y_d)\}|_{y_i=\varphi(x_i)}.
\end{aligned}}
$$

(5.4)

Where $K_{ij} = K(x_i, x_j)$.

- For all of conclusions in section 2 there are parallel ones in the case of scalar fields.

In the case of fields we have Wick theorem and Wick power similarly. Recalling the discussion in section 4, if we take $x_i = \varphi(x_i)$, $i = 1, \cdots, d$, in each formula in section 4, we can get a corresponding formula in the case of fields.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let $K(x, y)$, $K'(x, y)$ be smooth functions on $X \times X$, $f_1(\cdot), \cdots, f_d(\cdot) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\varphi(x) \in C^\infty(X)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
&\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{\deg M = 2k} h^k \left( \prod_{i<j} (K_{ij} - K'_{ij})^{m_{ij}} \right) f_1(\varphi(x_1)) \ast_K \cdots \ast_K f_d(\varphi(x_d)) \\
&= \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{\deg M = 2k} h^k \left( \prod_{i<j} (K_{ij} - K'_{ij})^{m_{ij}} \right) f_1(\varphi(x_1)) \ast_K \cdots \ast_K f_d(\varphi(x_d)).
\end{align*}
$$

(5.5)

Where the second sum in the formula (5.3) is over all adjacency matrices $M = (m_{ij})_{d \times d}$ with $\deg M = 2k$, and

$$
\alpha_i = \sum_j m_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, d.
$$

Moreover we define the Wick power in the case of fields as following:

$$
:\varphi^l(x) :_K = \underbrace{\varphi(x) \ast_K \cdots \ast_K \varphi(x)}_{l - \text{times}}.
$$

(5.6)

Precisely we have an expression of Wick power in terms of Hermite polynomials

$$
:\varphi^l(x_i) :_K = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor l/2 \rfloor} \frac{l!}{2^k (l - 2k) k!} h^k K_{ii}^k (\varphi(x_i))^{l - 2k}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d,
$$

(5.7)

where $K_{ii} = K(x_i, x_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq d$.

The following Wick theorem expressed by means of Wick power is more closed to classical Wick theorem.

**Corollary 5.1.** Let $K(x, y)$, $K^{(1)}(x, y)$, $K^{(2)}(x, y) \in C^\infty(X \times X)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
&\varphi^{n_1}(x_1) \ast_K \cdots \ast_K \varphi^{n_d}(x_d) :_K \\
&= \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{\deg M = 2k} h^k \left( \prod_{i<j} (K_{ij}^{(1)} - K_{ij}^{(2)})^{m_{ij}} \right) f_1(\varphi(x_1)) \ast_K \cdots \ast_K f_d(\varphi(x_d)) \ast_K \varphi^{n_1-\alpha_1}(x_1) \ast_K \cdots \ast_K \varphi^{n_d-\alpha_d}(x_d).
\end{align*}
$$

(5.8)
Where the second sum in the formula (5.8) is over all adjacency matrices \( M = (m_{ij})_{d \times d} \) with \( \text{deg} M = 2k \), and

\[
\alpha_i = \sum_j m_{ij}, \quad \alpha_i \leq n_i, i = 1, \ldots, d.
\]

\[
K^{(1)}_{ij} = K^{(1)}(x_i, x_j), \quad K^{(2)}_{ij} = K^{(2)}(x_i, x_j).
\]

Now we define the expectation of monomial :

\[
\langle : \varphi^{n_1}(x_1) : *_{K'} \cdots *_{K'} : \varphi^{n_d}(x_d) : K \rangle
\]

where \( K(x, y), K'(x, y) \) are smooth functions on \( X \times X \). For convenience we assume the star product \( *_{K'} \) is commutative.

**Definition 5.3.**

- When the integer sequence \((n_1, \ldots, n_d)\) is admissible, we define

\[
\langle : \varphi^{n_1}(x_1) : K *_{K'} \cdots *_{K'} : \varphi^{n_d}(x_d) : K \rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\text{deg} M = 2m} (m_{12}, \ldots, m_{d-1,d}) \prod_{i<j} (K'_{ij})^{m_{ij}}.
\]

Where \( 2m = \sum_i n_i \), the sum in (5.9) is over all adjacency matrices \( M = (m_{ij})_{d \times d} \) with \( \text{deg} M = 2m \) satisfying

\[
n_i = \sum_j m_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, d.
\]

- for others

\[
\langle : \varphi^{n_1}(x_1) : K *_{K'} \cdots *_{K'} : \varphi^{n_d}(x_d) : K \rangle = 0.
\]

**Remark 5.2.** For general distribution \( K(x, y) \in \mathcal{D}'(X \times X) \), the power and restriction on diagonal of \( X \times X \) make non-sense generally. In this case only the star product with form \( \varphi(x_1) *_{K} \cdots *_{K} \varphi(x_d) \) may be well defined, but some analytic conditions, for example, concerning wave front set \( WF(K) \), may be needed.

Now we turn to situation of the functionals. We consider the functionals with form

\[
F(\varphi) = \int_{X^d} f(x_1, \ldots, x_d, \varphi(x_1), \ldots, \varphi(x_d)) dV_d.
\]

where \( f \in C^\infty(X^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \),

\[
X^d = \underbrace{X \times \cdots \times X}_{d \text{ - times}},
\]

and \( dV_d \) is volume form on \( X^d \).

In the below discussion we assume the integrals make sense always. We state the definitions of star product and Poisson bracket of functionals as following.

**Definition 5.4.** Let \( F(\varphi), G(\varphi) \) be functionals as in (5.10).
We define their star product to be

\[ F(\varphi) \star_K G(\varphi) = \int_{X^d} \int_{X^d} f(\cdot) \star_K g(\cdot) \lambda dV dV, \quad (5.11) \]

where

\[ f(x_1, \ldots, x_d, \varphi(x_1), \ldots, \varphi(x_d)) \star_K g(y_1, \ldots, y_d, \varphi(y_1), \ldots, \varphi(y_d)) = m \circ \left[ \exp\{hK\} f(x_1, \ldots, x_1, \ldots, x_d) g(y_1, \ldots, y_1, \ldots, y_d) \right]_{x_i = \varphi(x_i), y_i = \varphi(y_i)}. \]

where \( K = \sum_{i,j} K_{ij} \partial x_i \otimes \partial y_j, \ K_{ij} = K(x_i, y_j). \)

We define their Poisson bracket to be

\[ \{F(\varphi), G(\varphi)\}_K = \int_{X^d} \int_{X^d} \{f(\cdot), g(\cdot)\}_K \lambda dV dV, \quad (5.12) \]

where

\[ \{f(x_1, \ldots, x_d, \varphi(x_1), \ldots, \varphi(x_d)), g(y_1, \ldots, y_d, \varphi(y_1), \ldots, \varphi(y_d))\}_K = \sum_{i,j} (K_{ij} - K_{ji}) \partial x_i f(x_1, \ldots, x_1, \ldots, x_d) \partial y_j g(y_1, \ldots, y_1, \ldots, y_d) \right|_{x_i = \varphi(x_i), y_i = \varphi(y_i)}. \]

The star product and Poisson bracket defined in definition 5.3 are well defined and satisfy all conditions which are needed.
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