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Abstract

Let \( G \) be a group and \( A \) a set equipped with a collection of finitary operations. We study cellular automata \( \tau : A^G \to A^G \) that preserve the operations of \( A^G \) induced componentwise from the operations of \( A \). We establish that the set \( \text{EndCA}(G; A) \), consisting of all such cellular automata, is isomorphic to the direct limit of \( \text{Hom}(A^S, A) \), where \( S \) runs among all finite subsets of \( G \). In particular, when \( A \) is an \( \mathbb{R} \)-module, we show that \( \text{EndCA}(G; A) \) is isomorphic to the group algebra \( \text{End}(A)\mathbb{Z}[G] \). Moreover, when \( A \) is a finite Boolean algebra, we establish that the number of endomorphic cellular automata over \( A^G \) admitting a memory set \( S \) is precisely \((k|S|)^k\), where \( k \) is the number of atoms of \( A \).
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1 Introduction

The theory of cellular automata (CA) has important connections with many areas of mathematics, such as group theory, topology, symbolic dynamics, theoretical computer science, coding theory, and cryptography. In its classical setting, CA are studied over grids \( \mathbb{Z}^d \) and finite alphabets \( A \). However, in recent years, various generalisations have gained considerable interest: notably, CA over arbitrary groups instead of grids, linear CA over vector spaces as alphabets, and additive CA over commutative monoids as alphabets have been thoroughly investigated (e.g. see [2], [3], [4] and [12, p. 952–953]). In this paper, we propose a general framework that encompasses all these settings by considering CA over arbitrary groups and alphabets with an arbitrary algebraic structure.

For any group \( G \) and any set \( A \), let \( A^G \) be the set of all functions of the form \( x : G \to A \). A cellular automaton over \( A^G \) is a function \( \tau : A^G \to A^G \) defined via a local function \( \mu : A^S \to A \), where \( S \) is a finite subset of \( G \) called a memory set for \( \tau \).

We shall assume that the set \( A \) has an algebraic structure, which means that \( A \) is equipped with a collection of finitary operations. In this situation, \( A^G \) inherits an algebraic structure via operations defined componentwise from the operations of \( A \). Naturally, we restrict our attention to cellular automata that are endomorphisms of \( A^G \), i.e. cellular automata \( \tau : A^G \to A^G \) that preserve the operations of \( A^G \).

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we review the definition and basic facts of cellular automata over arbitrary groups. In Section 3, we give a brief introduction to algebraic structures.
structures in the context of universal algebra, including their direct products and homomorphisms. In Section 3 we study endomorphic cellular automata $\tau : A^G \to A^G$ where $A$ has an algebraic structure. We show that the operations of $A^G$ are continuous in its indiscrete topology and that the shift action of $G$ on $A^G$ preserves the operations. Our main result of the section is that the algebra $\text{EndCA}(G; A)$, consisting of all endomorphic CA over $A^G$, is isomorphic to the direct limit of the directed family $\{\text{Hom}(A^S, A) : S \subseteq G, |S| < \infty\}$. In particular, the algebra $\text{EndCA}(G, S; A)$, consisting of all endomorphic CA over $A^G$ admitting $S$ as memory set, is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(A^S, A)$. Finally, in Section 4 we focus on two particular situations: when $A$ is an $R$-module, we show that $\text{EndCA}(G; A)$ is isomorphic to the group algebra $\text{End}(A)[G]$, and when $A$ is a finite Boolean algebra, we establish that $|\text{EndCA}(G, S; A)| = (|S|)^k$, where $k$ is the number of atoms of $A$.

2 Cellular automata

Let $G$ be a group and $A$ a set. The set $A^G$ of all functions $x : G \to A$ is usually called the configuration space in this context. The shift action of $G$ on $A^G$ is defined by $g \cdot x(h) := x(g^{-1}h)$, for all $x \in A^G$, $g, h \in G$.

The following definition is taken from [4, Sec. 1.4].

**Definition 1.** A cellular automaton over $A^G$ is a function $\tau : A^G \to A^G$ such that there is a finite subset $S \subseteq G$, called a memory set of $\tau$, and a local function $\mu : A^S \to A$ satisfying

$$\tau(x)(g) = \mu((g^{-1} \cdot x)|_S), \quad \forall x \in A^G, g \in G,$$

where $|S|$ denotes the restriction to $S$ of a configuration in $A^G$.

**Remark 1.** Let $\tau : A^G \to A^G$ be a cellular automaton with memory set $S$. The local defining function $\mu : A^S \to A$ may be recovered from $\tau : A^G \to A^G$ via

$$\mu(y) = \tau(\overline{y})(e), \quad \forall y \in A^S,$$

where $\overline{y} \in A^G$ is any extension of the function $y : S \to A$ and $e \in G$ is the identity element of the group.

**Remark 2.** A memory set for a cellular automaton $\tau : A^G \to A^G$ is normally not unique. Indeed, if $S \subseteq G$ is a memory set for $\tau$, with local defining function $\mu : A^S \to A$, then any superset $S' \supseteq S$ is also a memory set for $\tau$: the local defining function $\mu' : A^{S'} \to A$ associated with $S'$ is given by $\mu'(x) = \mu(x|_{S})$, for any $x \in A^{S'}$.

The most famous example of a cellular automaton is John Conway’s Game of Life, which is defined over $\{0, 1\}^\mathbb{Z}$ and has memory set $S = \{-1, 0, 1\}^2$.

A notorious family of examples are the so-called elementary cellular automata, which are defined over $A^Z$, with $A = \{0, 1\}$, and have memory set $S = \{-1, 0, 1\}$; they are labeled as ‘Rule $M$’, where $M$ is a number from 0 to 255. In each case, the local function $\mu_M : A^S \to A$ of Rule $M$ is determined as follows: let $M_1 \ldots M_8$ be the binary representation of $M$ and write the elements of $A^S$ in lexicographical descending order, i.e. $111, 110, \ldots , 000$; then, the image of the $i$-th element of $A^S$ under $\mu_M$ is $M_i$.

**Example 1.** Let $G = \mathbb{Z}$ and $A = \{0, 1\}$. We may identify the elements of $A^Z$ with bi-infinite sequences, i.e. for any $x \in A^Z$, we may write

$$x = (\ldots, x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots ),$$

where $x_i = x(i) \in A$, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that the action of $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ on $x \in A^Z$ is given by

$$k \cdot x = (\ldots, x_{-k-2}, x_{-k-1}, x_{-k}, x_{-k+1}, x_{-k+2}, \ldots ).$$
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Let $S = \{-1, 0, 1\} \subseteq G$ and define $\mu : A^S \to A$ by the following table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x \in A^S$</th>
<th>111</th>
<th>110</th>
<th>101</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>011</th>
<th>010</th>
<th>001</th>
<th>000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\mu(x)$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cellular automaton $\tau : A^Z \to A^Z$ with memory set $S$ and local function $\mu$ as above is the elementary cellular automaton Rule 110. Remarkably, this cellular automaton is known to be Turing complete [9].

We endow $A^G$ with the prodiscrete topology, which is the product topology of the discrete topology on $A$ (see [10] for a comprehensive introduction to product topologies). For every $g \in G$, the projection maps $\pi_g : A^G \to A$, defined by $\pi_g(x) := x(g)$, $\forall x \in A^G$, are continuous, and the preimage sets

$$\pi_g^{-1}(a) = \{x \in A^G : x(g) = a\}, \text{ for all } g \in G, a \in A,$$ form a subbasis of the prodiscrete topology of $A^G$ (i.e. every open set in $A^G$ may be written as a finite intersection of a union of these preimage sets). A function $\tau : A^G \to A^G$ is continuous if and only if, for all $g \in G$, the functions $\pi_g \circ \tau : A^G \to A$ are continuous.

It is known that every CA over $A^G$ commutes with the shift action (i.e. $\tau(g \cdot x) = g \cdot \tau(x)$, for all $x \in A^G$, $g \in G$) and is continuous in the prodiscrete topology of $A^G$. Moreover, when $A$ is finite, Curtis-Hedlund theorem [4, Theorem 1.8.1] establishes that every shift commuting continuous $\tau : A^G \to A^G$ is a cellular automaton.

For any group $G$ and set $A$, define

$$\text{CA}(G; A) := \{\tau : A^G \to A^G | \tau \text{ is a cellular automaton}\}.$$ As the composition of any two cellular automata is a cellular automaton, the set $\text{CA}(G; A)$ equipped with composition is a monoid (see [4, Corollary 1.4.11]).

### 3 Algebraic structures

In this section, we introduce some concepts and notation coming from universal algebra. For more details, see [1].

Let $A$ be a non-empty set and $n$ a non-negative integer. An $n$-ary operation on $A$ is a function $f : A^n \to A$. A finitary operation is an $n$-ary operation, for some $n$. When $n = 0$, then $A^0 = \{\emptyset\}$, so 0-ary operations simply correspond to distinguished elements of $A$: $f(\emptyset) \in A$; abusing notation, we shall dente this distinguished element by $f \in A$.

An algebra type is a set $\mathcal{F}$ of function symbols with a non-negative integer $n$ (the arity) associated to each $f \in \mathcal{F}$: in such case, we say that $f \in \mathcal{F}$ is an $n$-ary function symbol. An algebraic structure of type $\mathcal{F}$, or simply an algebra of type $\mathcal{F}$, is a non-empty set $A$ together with a family $F$ of finitary operations on $A$ such that each $n$-ary operation $f^A \in F$ is indexed by an $n$-ary function symbol $f \in \mathcal{F}$. If it is clear from the context, we normally denote an operation $f^A$ by its function symbol $f$.

Let $A$ and $B$ algebras of the same type $\mathcal{F}$. We say that $A$ is a subalgebra of $B$ if $A$ is a subset of $B$, and for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $f^A = f^B|_A$.

Given two algebras $A$ and $B$ of the same type $\mathcal{F}$, the direct product $A \times B$ is an algebra of type $\mathcal{F}$ with componentwise operations: for every $n$-ary $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$, $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in B$,

$$f^{A \times B}(a_1, b_1), \ldots, (a_n, b_n)) = (f^A(a_1, \ldots, a_n), f^B(b_1, \ldots, b_n)).$$

The above definition of direct product may be generalised to arbitrary direct products.
Let $A$ and $B$ be algebras of the same type $\mathcal{F}$. A homomorphism from $A$ to $B$ is a function $\phi : A \to B$ such that, for all $n$-ary $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$, we have
\[
\phi \circ f^A(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = f^B(\phi(a_1), \ldots, \phi(a_n)).
\]
For algebras $A$ and $B$ of the same type $\mathcal{F}$ we define
\[
\text{Hom}(A, B) := \{ \phi : A \to B \mid \phi \text{ is a homomorphism} \},
\]
\[
\text{End}(A) := \text{Hom}(A, A).
\]
Note that $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ is also an algebra of type $\mathcal{F}$ by defining
\[
f^{\text{Hom}(A,B)}(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n)(a) := f^B(\phi_1(a), \ldots, \phi_n(a)),
\]
for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n \in \text{Hom}(A, B)$, $a \in A$.

Two algebras $A$ and $B$ of type $\mathcal{F}$ are isomorphic if there exists a bijective homomorphism from $A$ to $B$; in such case, we write $A \cong B$.

## 4 Cellular automata over algebras

Throughout this section, let $A$ be an algebra of type $\mathcal{F}$. Then, the configuration space $A^G$ is also an algebra of type $\mathcal{F}$: for any $n$-ary operation $f^A : A^n \to A$, we have an $n$-ary operation $f^{AG} : (A^G)^n \to A^G$ induced componentwise as follows: for any $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in A^G$ and $g \in G$,
\[
f^{AG}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)(g) := f^A(x_1(g), x_2(g), \ldots, x_n(g)).
\]

A topological algebra of type $\mathcal{F}$ is an algebra of type $\mathcal{F}$ that is also a topological space in which all $n$-ary operations $f : A^n \to A$ are continuous (considering $A^n$ with the product topology).

**Theorem 1.** With respect to the prodiscrete topology, $A^G$ is a topological algebra of type $\mathcal{F}$.

**Proof.** Consider any $n$-ary $f \in \mathcal{F}$. First, observe that the operation $f : A^n \to A$ is continuous as both spaces $A^n$ and $A$ have the discrete topology (as the finite product of discrete spaces is discrete).

Fix $g \in G$ and consider the function $\pi'_g : (A^G)^n \to A^n$ defined as $\pi'_g(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = (x_1(g), x_2(g), \ldots, x_n(g))$, for every $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in A^G$. The preimage of any $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \in A^n$ under $\pi'_g$ is
\[
(\pi'_g)^{-1}(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) = \{(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in (A^G)^n : x_i(g) = a_i, \forall i\}
\]
\[
= \{x_1 \in A^G : x_1(g) = a_1\} \times \cdots \times \{x_n \in A^G : x_n(g) = a_n\}
\]
\[
= \pi^{-1}_g(a_1) \times \cdots \times \pi^{-1}_g(a_n).
\]
This is an open set in $(A^G)^n$ as it is a Cartesian product of the open sets $\pi^{-1}_g(a_i)$ of $A^G$. Thus $\pi'_g$ is a continuous function for any $g \in G$.

The operation $f^{AG} : (A^G)^n \to A^G$ is continuous if and only if $\pi_g \circ f^{AG} : (A^G)^n \to A$ is continuous for all $g \in G$. Note that
\[
\pi_g \circ f^{AG} = f^A \circ \pi'_g.
\]
As both $f^A$ and $\pi'_g$ are continuous, it follows that $\pi_g \circ f^{AG}$ is continuous.

Let $G$ be a group acting on an algebra $X$. We say that $X$ is a $G$-algebra if $G$ acts by homomorphisms, i.e. for all $g \in G$, the function $\varphi_g : X \to X$ defined by $\varphi_g(x) = g \cdot x$, $\forall x \in X$, is an homomorphism of the algebra.
Theorem 2. With respect to the shift action of $G$ on $A^G$, $A^G$ is a $G$-algebra.

Proof. Let $n \geq 1$ and observe that for all $n$-ary $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in A^G$, $g, h \in G$,

$$f^A(g \cdot x_1, \ldots, g \cdot x_n)(h) = f^A(g \cdot x_1(h), \ldots, g \cdot x_n(h))$$

$$= f^A(x_1(g^{-1}h), \ldots, x_n(g^{-1}h))$$

$$= f^A(x_1, \ldots, x_n)(g^{-1}h)$$

$$= g \cdot f^A(x_1, \ldots, x_n)(h).$$

Thus,

$$f^A(g \cdot x_1, \ldots, g \cdot x_n) = g \cdot f^A(x_1, \ldots, x_n).$$

When $n = 0$, then $f^A \in A^G$ is defined by $f^A(g) = f \in A$, for all $g \in G$. Hence, for all $g, h \in G$, we have

$$g \cdot f^A(h) = f^A(g^{-1}h) = f = f^A(h).$$

Thus, $g \cdot f^A = f^A$ for all $g \in G$. $\Box$

Lemma 1. The set $CA(G; A)$ is a subalgebra of $(A^G)^A$.

Proof. Let $X := (A^G)^A$. We must show that for any $n$-ary $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n \in CA(G; A)$ we have $f^X(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n) \in CA(G; A)$. Let $S_i$ and $\mu_i : A^{S_i} \to A$ be the memory set and local function of $\tau_i$, respectively, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Define $S := \bigcup_{i=1}^n S_i$ and $\mu : A^S \to A$ by

$$\mu(y) := f^A(\mu_1(y|S_1), \ldots, \mu_n(y|S_n)), \quad \forall y \in A^S.$$  

Then, for all $x \in A^G, g \in G$,

$$f^X(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n)(x)(g) = f^A(\tau_1(x), \ldots, \tau_n(x))(g)$$

$$= f^A(\tau_1(x)(g), \ldots, \tau_n(x)(g))$$

$$= f^A(\mu_1(g^{-1} \cdot x|S_1), \ldots, \mu_n(g^{-1} \cdot x|S_n))$$

$$= \mu((g^{-1} \cdot x)|S).$$

This shows that $f^X(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n)$ is a cellular automaton with memory set $S$ and local function $\mu : A^S \to A$. $\Box$

For any $S \subset G$, define

$$CA(G, S; A) := \{ \tau : A^G \to A^G \mid \tau \text{ is a cellular automaton with memory set contained in } S \}.$$  

This is not a monoid under composition, as the memory set of $\tau \circ \sigma$, for $\tau, \sigma \in CA(G, S; A)$, may not be contained in $S$. However, a similar argument as in Lemma[?] shows that $CA(G, S; A)$ is a subalgebra of $CA(G; A)$.

Naturally, we are interested on cellular automata that preserve the algebraic structure of $A^G$. Define

$$\text{End}CA(G, S; A) := \text{End}(A^G) \cap CA(G, S; A),$$

$$\text{End}CA(G; A) := \text{End}(A^G) \cap CA(G; A).$$

We shall call the elements of $\text{End}CA(G; A)$ endomorphic cellular automata over $A^G$. Being intersections of subalgebras, both $\text{End}CA(G, S; A)$ and $\text{End}CA(G; A)$ are subalgebras of $\text{End}(A^G)$.
Lemma 2. Suppose that $A$ is an abelian group with operation $+$, and consider $G = \mathbb{Z}$ and $S = \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Define $\mu : A^S \to A$ by

$$\mu(x_{-1}, x_0, x_1) = x_{-1} + x_0 + x_1.$$ 

The cellular automaton $\tau : A^\mathbb{Z} \to A^\mathbb{Z}$ defined by the local function $\mu : A^S \to A$ preserve the addition of $A^\mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, for all $x, y \in A^\mathbb{Z}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\tau(x + y)(k) = \mu(x_{k-1} + y_{k-1}, x_k + y_k, x_{k+1} + y_{k+1}) = (x_{k-1} + y_{k-1}) + (x_k + y_k) + (x_{k+1} + y_{k+1}) = \mu(x_{k-1}, x_k, x_{k+1}) + (y_{k-1}, y_k, y_{k+1}) = \tau(x)(k) + \tau(y)(k).$$

Therefore, $\tau(x + y) = \tau(x) + \tau(y)$, for all $x, y \in A^\mathbb{Z}$, so $\tau \in \text{EndCA}(\mathbb{Z}; A)$.

The following two lemmas are technical, but they are required to show the main result of this section.

**Lemma 2.** Consider an $n$-ary operation $f : A^n \to A$ and $S \subseteq G$. For any $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in A^G$, we have

$$f^{A^G}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)|_S = f^{A^S}(x_1|_S, \ldots, x_n|_S).$$

**Proof.** The above equality compares two elements of $A^S$. Note that, for every $s \in S$, the left-hand-side gives us

$$f^{A^G}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)|_S(s) = f(x_1(s), \ldots, x_n(s)).$$

On the other hand, for every $s \in S$, the right-hand-side gives us

$$f^{A^S}(x_1|_S, \ldots, x_n|_S)(s) = f(x_1(s), \ldots, x_n(s)).$$

The result follows.

For any $S \subseteq G$ and $y \in A^S$, denote by $\overline{y}$ an element of $A^G$ such that $\overline{y}|_S = y$.

**Lemma 3.** Let $S \subseteq G$. For any $n$-ary $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in A^S$, we have

$$f^{A^S}(y_1, \ldots, y_n)|_S = f^{A^G}(\overline{y_1}, \ldots, \overline{y_n})|_S.$$ 

**Proof.** For every $s \in S$ we have

$$f^{A^S}(y_1, \ldots, y_n)(s) = f^{A^G}(\overline{y_1}(s), \ldots, \overline{y_n}(s)) = f^A(y_1(s), \ldots, y_n(s)) = f^G(\overline{y_1}(s), \ldots, \overline{y_n}(s)).$$

The following result show that a cellular automaton is an endomorphism of $A^G$ if and only if its local function is a homomorphism. This is a significant generalisation of [4, Proposition 8.1.1.1,], which was proved for linear cellular automata.

**Theorem 3.** Let $\tau : A^G \to A^G$ be a cellular automaton with memory set $S \subseteq G$ and local function $\mu : A^S \to A$. Then $\tau \in \text{End}(A^G)$ if and only if $\mu \in \text{Hom}(A^S, A)$. 


This shows that we have local functions \( \mu_f \) defined, and it is easy to see that \( \Phi \) is bijective (using Remark 1). In order to show that \( \Phi \) is bijective, let \( f \) be an algebra. Then, for any \( n \)-ary \( f \in \mathcal{F} \) and \( y_1, \ldots, y_n \in A^S \) we have

\[
\mu(f^A(y_1, \ldots, y_n)) = \tau(f^{A^S}(y_1, \ldots, y_n))(e).
\]

It follows from the definition of cellular automata that if \( x_1, x_2 \in A^G \) are two configurations such that \( x_1|_{S} = x_2|_{S} \), then \( \tau(x_1)(e) = \tau(x_2)(e) \). Hence, by Lemma 3 we have

\[
\tau(f^{A^S}(y_1, \ldots, y_n))(e) = \tau(f^{A^G}(y_1, \ldots, y_n))(e).
\]

Combining (1) and (2), and using the fact that \( \tau \in \text{End}(A^{G}) \), we obtain

\[
\mu(f^{A^S}(y_1, \ldots, y_n)) = \tau(f^{A^G}(y_1, \ldots, y_n))(e)
\]

Therefore, \( \mu \in \text{Hom}(A^S, A) \).

Suppose now that \( \mu \in \text{Hom}(A^S, A) \). For any \( n \)-ary \( f \in \mathcal{F}, x_1, \ldots, x_n \in A^G \), and \( g \in G \), we apply the definition of cellular automaton

\[
\tau \circ f^{A^G}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)(g) = \mu((g^{-1} \cdot f^{A^G}(x_1, \ldots, x_n))|_{S})
\]

By Theorem 2 and Lemma 2

\[
\mu((g^{-1} \cdot f^{A^G}(x_1, \ldots, x_n))|_{S}) = \mu(f^{A^G}(g^{-1} \cdot x_1, \ldots, g^{-1} \cdot x_n)|_{S})
\]

Hence \( \tau \in \text{End}(A^{G}) \).

**Theorem 4.** Let \( A \) be an algebra. Then,

\[
\text{EndCA}(G, S; A) \cong \text{Hom}(A^S, A).
\]

**Proof.** Consider the function \( \Phi : \text{EndCA}(G, S; A) \to \text{Hom}(A^S, A) \) defined by \( \Phi(\tau) = \mu \), where \( \tau \in \text{EndCA}(G, S; A) \) and \( \mu : A^S \to A \) is the local function of \( \tau \). By Theorem 3 \( \Phi \) is well-defined, and it is easy to see that \( \Phi \) is bijective (using Remark 1). In order to show that \( \Phi \) is a homomorphism, let \( f \in \mathcal{F} \) be an \( n \)-ary function symbol. Let \( \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n \in \text{EndCA}(G, S; A) \) have local functions \( \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n \in \text{Hom}(A^S, A) \), respectively. We claim that the local function of \( f^{\text{EndCA}(G, S; A)}(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n) \) is \( f^{\text{Hom}(A^S, A)}(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n) \). Indeed, for all \( x \in A^G \), we have

\[
f^{\text{EndCA}(G, S; A)}(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n)(x)(e) = f^A(\tau_1(x)(e), \ldots, \tau_n(x)(e))
\]

This shows that

\[
\Phi(f^{\text{EndCA}(G, S; A)}(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n)) = f^{\text{Hom}(A^S, A)}(\Phi(\tau_1), \ldots, \Phi(\tau_n)),
\]

proving that \( \Phi \) is an isomorphism.
Corollary 1. The number of cellular automata that are algebra homomorphisms admitting a memory set $S \subseteq G$ is $|\text{Hom}(A^S, A)|$.

A partially ordered set $(I, \leq)$ is a directed set if for every pair $i, j \in I$ there exists $z \in I$ such that $i \leq z$ and $j \leq z$. A direct family of algebras is collection $A := \{A_i : i \in I\}$ of algebras of the same type. $I$ indexed by a directed set $I$ together with a collection of maps \( \{\phi_{ij} : A_i \to A_j : i, j \in I, i \leq j\} \) such that $\phi_{ij} \circ \phi_{jk} = \phi_{ik}$ if $i \leq j \leq k$ and $\phi_{ii}$ is the identity map for all $i \in I$. In this situation, as shown in [6, Section 21], one may construct an algebra of type $F$ called the direct limit of $A$ and denoted by $\lim\rightarrow(A)$.

Let $P_{\leq\infty}(G)$ be the set of all finite subsets of $G$. Ordered by inclusion, $P_{\leq\infty}(G)$ is a directed set as an upper bound for a pair $S_1, S_2 \in P_{\leq\infty}(G)$ is $S_1 \cup S_2 \in P_{\leq\infty}(G)$. Together with the inclusion maps, the collection $A := \{\text{EndCA}(G, S; A) : S \in P_{\leq\infty}(G)\}$ is a directed family and its direct limit is given by its union:

$$\lim\rightarrow(A) = \bigcup_{S \in P_{\leq\infty}(G)} \text{EndCA}(G, S; A).$$

As every cellular automaton has a finite memory set by definition, it is easy to see that

$$\text{EndCA}(G; A) = \bigcup_{S \in P_{\leq\infty}(G)} \text{EndCA}(G, S; A).$$

Hence, Theorem 1 implies the following result.

Corollary 2. The algebra $\text{EndCA}(G; A)$ is isomorphic to the direct limit of the directed family $\{\text{Hom}(A^S, A) : S \in P_{\leq\infty}(G)\}$.

5 Cellular automata over particular algebras

5.1 $R$-modules

In this section, we assume that $R$ is a ring (associative with 1) and $M$ is an $R$-module. In the language of universal algebra, this means that $M$ is an algebra of type $F = \{+, 0, r \cdot | r \in R\}$, where $+$ is binary operation, 0 is a distinguished element, and $\{r \cdot | r \in R\}$, is a family of unary operations. Important classes of $R$-modules are vector spaces (in which $R$ is a field) and abelian groups (in which $R = \mathbb{Z}$).

For any $x \in M^G$, define the support of $x$ as the set $\text{supp}(x) := \{g \in G : x(g) \neq 0\}$. Let $M[G]$ be the subset of $M^G$ consisting of all configurations with finite support; this is an $R$-module with operations induced componentwise. Furthermore, we define a new binary operation on $M[G]$, called the convolution product, as follows

$$(x \cdot y)(g) := \sum_{h \in G} x(h) y(h^{-1} g), \quad \forall x, y \in M[G].$$

Notice that the sum above is finite because both $x$ and $y$ have finite support. In the case when $M = R$, $R[G]$ is known as the group ring of $G$ over $R$, and has significant importance in the representation theory of $G$ (see [9]).

Equivalently, $M[G]$ may be seen as the set of formal sums $\sum_{g \in G} m_g g$, such that $m_g \in M$, for all $g \in G$, and the set $\{g \in G : m_g \neq 0\}$ is finite.

Remark 3. As $M[G]$ consists of functions with finite support, we have

$$M[G] = \bigcup_{S \in P_{\leq\infty}(G)} M^S.$$
If $A$ is an $R$-module, then both $\text{End}(A)$ and $\text{End}(A)[G]$ are $R$-modules. The following result is a generalisation of [4, Theorem 8.5.2.].

**Theorem 5.** Let $A$ be an $R$-module and $G$ a group. Then, $\text{End}(A)[G] \cong \text{End}(A)[G]$. The following result is a generalisation of [4, Theorem 8.5.2.].

**Proof.** If $A$ is an $R$-module, then $\text{Hom}(A^2, A) \cong \text{End}(A) \times \text{End}(A)$ (see [11, Corollary 2.32]). Using this and Theorem 4, we obtain that for every finite $S \subseteq G$,

$$\text{End}(A, S; A) \cong \text{Hom}(A^S, A) \cong \prod_{s \in S} \text{End}(A) = \text{End}(A)^S.$$ 

The result follows because

$$\text{End}(A; A) \cong \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{P}_{<\infty}(G)} \text{Hom}(A^S, A) \cong \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{P}_{<\infty}(G)} \text{End}(A)^S = \text{End}(A)[G].$$

An isomorphism $\Psi : \text{End}(A)[G] \rightarrow \text{End}(A; A)$ may be explicitly defined as follows: for any $\alpha \in \text{End}(A)[G]$, $x \in A^G$, and $g \in G$, we have a cellular automaton $\Psi(\alpha) : A^G \rightarrow A^G$ given by

$$\Psi(\alpha)(x)(g) := \sum_{h \in G} \alpha(h)(x(gh)).$$

A memory set $S$ of $\Psi(\alpha)$ is the support of $\alpha$ and its local function is $\mu : A^S \rightarrow A$ given by $\mu(y) = \sum_{s \in S} \alpha(s)(y(s))$, for all $y \in A^S$. It follows, by the same proof as in [4, Theorem 8.5.2.] that $\Psi$ satisfies

$$\Psi(\tau \circ \sigma) = \Psi(\tau) \Psi(\sigma), \quad \forall \tau, \sigma \in \text{End}(A; A),$$

where the operation on the right-hand side is the convolution product.

**Remark 4.** By Theorem 5, the number of cellular automata in $\text{End}(A; A)$ admitting a memory set $S \subseteq G$ is $|\text{End}(A)|^{|S|}$. This formula is specially useful when $\text{End}(A)$ is finite.

**Corollary 3.** Let $G$ be a group and $S \subseteq G$ a finite subset of size $s$.

1. Suppose $A$ is a vector space of dimension $n < \infty$ over a finite field $F$. The number of linear cellular automata $\tau : A^G \rightarrow A^G$ admitting a memory set $S$ is $|F|^{n^s}$.

2. Let $A$ be a group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_n$. The number of cellular automata $\tau : A^G \rightarrow A^G$ that are group homomorphisms, or $\mathbb{Z}$-module homomorphisms, admitting a memory set $S$ is $n^s$.

**Proof.** When $A$ is a vector space, it is well-known that $\text{End}(A)$ is isomorphic to the algebra of matrices $M_{n \times n}(F)$. Hence, $|\text{End}(A)| = |M_{n \times n}(F)| = |F|^{n^2}$. Hence, part (1.) follows by the previous remark.

For part (2.) it is easy to see that $|\text{End}(\mathbb{Z}_n)| = n$, so the result again follows by the previous remark.

**Example 3.** Consider $A = \{0, 1\}$ as a group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Then, the number of linear (or additive) elementary cellular automata over $A^2$ is $2^3 = 8$, as elementary CA admit a memory set $S = \{-1, 0, 1\}$; explicitly, these are Rules 0, 60, 90, 102, 150, 170, 204 and 240.
5.2 Boolean algebras

A Boolean algebra is a set $B$ equipped with two binary operations $\land : B \times B \to B$ and $\lor : B \times B \to B$ called meet and join, respectively, an unary operation $\neg : B \to B$ called complement and two distinguished elements $0 \in B$ and $1 \in B$, called bottom and top, respectively. The meet and join are commutative and associative, and distributive between each other, $0$ is an identity for $\lor$, $1$ is an identity of $\land$, and complements satisfy $x \lor \neg x = 1$ and $x \land \neg x = 0$, for all $x \in B$ (see [7] for details).

The smallest example of a Boolean algebra is $2 := \{0, 1\}$, which only contains the two distinguished elements. If $X$ is a set, the power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ with meet, join and complement given by the intersection, union and complement of subsets, respectively, is a Boolean algebra. In fact, $\mathcal{P}(X) \cong 2^X$ and every finite Boolean algebra is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}(S)$, for some finite non-empty set $S$ ([7, Ch. 15]).

We may define a partial order relation $\leq$ on a Boolean algebra $B$ as follows: $x \leq y$ if and only if $x \lor y = y$ (or, equivalently, if and only if $x \land y = x$). The non-zero minimal elements of $B$ with respect to this order are called the atoms of $B$. For example, when $B$ is the power set $\mathcal{P}(S)$ of a set $S$, the atoms are precisely the singleton sets $\{x\}$ with $x \in S$. Thus, $\mathcal{P}(S)$ has exactly $|S|$ atoms.

An ideal of a Boolean algebra $B$ is a subset $I \subseteq B$ such that $0 \in I$, for all $a, b \in I$, we have $a \lor b \in I$, and, for all $a \in I$, $x \in B$, we have $a \land x \in I$. An ideal $I$ of $B$ is maximal if $I$ is properly contained in $B$ and there is no ideal $J$ of $B$ such that $I \subset J \subset B$. The ideal generated by a subset $E$ of $B$, denoted by $(E)$, is the smallest ideal of $B$ that contains $E$. An ideal generated by a singleton is called a principal ideal. In fact, for any $y \in B$, a theorem of Stone (see [7, Ch. 18]) implies that

$$\langle y \rangle = \{x \in B : x \leq y\}.$$  

The kernel of a Boolean homomorphism $\phi : B \to B'$ between Boolean algebras is the set

$$\ker(\phi) := \{b \in B : \phi(b) = 0\},$$

Kernels of Boolean homomorphisms are always ideals.

**Lemma 4.** Let $G$ be a group and consider the Boolean algebra $2 = \{0, 1\}$. Then, the number of Boolean cellular automata over $2^G$ with memory set $S \subseteq G$ is $|S|$.

**Proof.** By Corollary [4], the number of Boolean cellular automata over $2^G$ is equal to $|\text{Hom}(2^S, 2)|$. By [7, Ch. 22], the set $\text{Hom}(2^S, 2)$ is in bijection with the set of maximal ideals of $2^S$ via $\mu \mapsto \ker(\mu)$, for every $\mu \in \text{Hom}(2^S, 2)$. As $2^S$ is finite, every ideal is principal [7, Corollary 18.2], so the maximal ideals of $2^S$ are given by $\langle \neg a \rangle$, where $a \in 2^S$ is an atom. As $2^S \cong \mathcal{P}(S)$ has precisely $|S|$ atoms (corresponding to the singletons $\{s\}$, $s \in S$), then $2^S$ has precisely $|S|$ maximal ideals, and the result follows.

**Corollary 4.** Let $G$ be a group and consider the Boolean algebra $2 = \{0, 1\}$. Let $\tau : 2^G \to 2^G$ be a cellular automaton with memory set $S$. Then, $\tau$ is a Boolean homomorphism if and only its local function is a projection $\pi_s : 2^S \to 2$, for some $s \in S$.

**Proof.** Observe that $\pi_s \in \text{Hom}(2^S, 2)$, for each $s \in S$, and use Lemma [4] and Theorem [4].

**Example 4.** There are precisely 3 elementary cellular automata over $2^\mathbb{Z}$ that are Boolean homomorphisms; explicitly, these are Rules 170, 204, and 240.

**Theorem 6.** Let $B$ be a group and $A$ be a finite Boolean algebra. The number of Boolean cellular automata over $A^G$ with memory set $S \subseteq G$ is $(k|S|)^k$, where $k$ is the number of atoms of $A$. 
Proof. By Corollary 1, we must show that $|\text{Hom}(A^S, A)| = (k|S|)^k$. By Corollary 15.1, $A$ is isomorphic to $2^k$, where $k$ is the number of atoms of $A$, and $A^S$ is isomorphic to $2^{ks}$, where $s = |S|$, so

$$\text{Hom}(A^S, A) \cong \text{Hom}(2^{ks}, 2^k).$$

In general, for algebras $B, B_i, i \in I$, the set $\text{Hom}(B, \prod_{i \in I} B_i)$ is in bijection with $\prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}(B, B_i)$ via $\phi \mapsto (\pi_i \circ \phi)_{i \in I}$, for any $\phi \in \text{Hom}(B, \prod_{i \in I} B_i)$ (c.f. [8, p. 70]). Hence, the resutl follows by Lemma 4:

$$|\text{Hom}(2^{ks}, 2^k)| = \prod_{i=1}^{k} |\text{Hom}(2^{ks}, 2)| = \prod_{i=1}^{k} |\text{Hom}(2^{ks}, 2)| = \prod_{i=1}^{k} ks = (ks)^k.$$
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