A NOTE ON THE GAUSSIAN MOAT PROBLEM

MADHUPARNA DAS

Abstract. The Gaussian moat problem asks whether it is possible to find an infinite sequence of distinct Gaussian prime numbers such that the difference between consecutive numbers in the sequence is bounded. In this paper, we have proved that the answer is ‘No’, that is an infinite sequence of distinct Gaussian prime numbers can not be bounded by an absolute constant, for the Gaussian primes \( p = a^2 + b^2 \) with \( a, b \neq 0 \). We consider each prime \((a, b)\) as a lattice point on the complex plane and use their properties to prove the main result.

1. Introduction

Primes are always interesting topics for mathematicians. After lots of research work still, we don’t have any explicit formula for the distribution of the natural prime numbers. Prime number theorem gives an asymptotic formula for primes with some bounded error. Also, the prime number theorem implies that there are arbitrarily large gaps in the sequence of prime numbers, and this can also be proved directly: for any \( n \), the \( n - 1 \) consecutive numbers \( n! + 2, n! + 3, \ldots, n! + n \) are all composite. It’s very easy for natural primes that it is not possible to walk to infinity with stepping on the natural prime numbers with bounded length gap. Now we can think about the same problem for the complex prime numbers or explicitly for ‘Gaussian Primes’. So, the question says: “Whether it is possible to find an infinite sequence of distinct Gaussian prime numbers such that the difference between consecutive numbers in the sequence is bounded. More colorfully, if one imagines the Gaussian primes to be stepping stones in a sea of complex numbers, the question is whether one can walk from the origin to infinity with steps of bounded size, without getting wet.” The problem was first posed in 1962 by Basil Gordon (although it has sometimes been erroneously attributed to Paul Erdős) and in number theory, it is known as the “Gaussian moat” problem.

We can formulate the Gaussian Moat problem (with the condition \( a_n, b_n \neq 0 \forall n \)) as follows:

**Theorem 1.** Consider sequence of pairs of integers \( \left( (a_n, b_n) \right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \) such that

- \( a_n^2 + b_n^2 = p \) (Gaussian Prime) and
- \( N(a_n, b_n) < N(a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}) \), \( \forall n = 1, 2, \ldots \)

The difference between two consecutive terms (i.e., the distance function between two Gaussian prime) \( d((a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}), (a_n, b_n)) \leq M \), where \( M \) is an absolute constant and \( a_n, b_n \neq 0 \forall n \).

[Note: \( N(a_n, b_n) \) denotes the norm value of the point \( (a_n, b_n) \) i.e., \( a_n^2 + b_n^2 \).]

In this paper, we are going to prove for any constant \( M \) there exist an \( n \) such that \( d((a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}), (a_n, b_n)) \leq M \) for \( a_n, b_n \neq 0 \forall n \). In 1998, Genthier et al. [6] find moat of width value \( \sqrt{26} \). Later, computational searches have shown that the origin is separated from infinity by a moat of width \( 6 \) [7]. It is known that, for any positive number \( k \), there exist Gaussian primes whose nearest neighbor is at distance \( k \) or larger. These numbers may be constrained to be on the
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real axis. For instance, the number 20785207 is surrounded by a moat of width 17. Thus, there exist moats of arbitrarily large width, but these moats do not necessarily separate the origin from infinity and there is no explicit proof for this statement.

We can not assure that there exist infinitely many moats which separate origin and there is no asymptotic formula for the width of the moats. We can say about such kind of moats only from the computational searches, which can not give a complete proof of Theorem 1. Also, one can formulate the twin prime conjecture and more generally the primes with bounded length gaps for the Gaussian primes. In that case, there exist infinitely many pairs of such Gaussian primes where their euclidean distance is bounded by an absolute constant. In 2017, Aksha Vatwani [3] has conjectured this statement and proved it partially. Aksha’s conjectures say that there exist infinitely many Gaussian prime pairs which are bounded by 246. Also, there exist infinitely many Gaussian prime pairs with the euclidean distance of infinitely many Gaussian prime pairs which are bounded by 246. She has done this by viewing a Gaussian prime \( p \) as a lattice point \((c, d)\) in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and showing that there are infinitely many pairs of distinct Gaussian primes \((c_1, d_1)\) and \((c_2, d_2)\) such that the Euclidean distance between them is bounded by 246.

We have to deal with such kind of prime distribution too and such pairs can lie inside the sequence \( (a_n, b_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \). Although for infinitely many \( n \) we can get such pairs of primes (whose euclidean distance is bounded by an absolute constant), still we can prove that there exist infinitely many \( n \) for which we get pairs of \((a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}), (a_n, b_n)\) such that their distance is not bounded and that proves Theorem 1 for \( a_n, b_n \neq 0 \forall n \).

In this paper, we prove that there exist infinitely many \( n \), such that the distance function i.e., \( d_n \) tends to infinity as \( n \to \infty \). This is same as saying that there exist infinitely many \( n \) such that \( d_n \) is not bounded by an absolute constant. The proof involves two main steps. In the first step, we classify the Gaussian primes (the class of such primes is called ‘Path’ here) and then we study their properties. We use the upper bound of the prime gap function for the natural prime numbers to construct the paths. Irregularities of primes are the reason that we have constructed such set of prime called ‘Paths’ here. Paths can separate the Gaussian primes in some specific order, later which helps us to prove our main problem. For such construction process, we calculate the maximum number of paths we can have for the circle \( x^2 + y^2 = R^2 \), where \( R \in \mathbb{N} \). In the next step we start constructing the sequence \( (a_n, b_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \) taking Gaussian primes from the paths (or the classes), in the construction process we have to select prime triplets such that two of them will lie in the same path (say \( P_n \)) and the third one lies on another path \( P_{n'} \) (for some \( n \neq n' \)). Then we apply the properties of paths and Pegion whole principle which proves Theorem 1.

2. The Main Problem

We are interested in the Gaussian primes, their properties, distribution, etc. So, before going into deeper of the Gaussian Moat problem let us define Gaussian prime. As the Gaussian integers form a principal ideal domain they form also a unique factorization domain. This implies that a Gaussian integer is irreducible (that is, it is not the product of two non-units) if and only if it is prime (that is, it generates a prime ideal). The prime elements of \( \mathbb{Z}[i] \) are also known as Gaussian primes. An associate of a Gaussian prime is also a Gaussian prime. The conjugate of a Gaussian prime is also a Gaussian prime (this implies that Gaussian primes are symmetric about the real
and imaginary axes). That’s why it is enough to analyze the Gaussian prime distribution only on the first octant of the complex plane. A positive integer is a Gaussian prime if and only if it is a prime number that is congruent to 3 modulo 4. The other prime numbers are not Gaussian primes, but each is the product of two conjugate Gaussian primes. More precisely we can define,

**Definition 1. Gaussian Prime:** Gaussian primes are Gaussian integers \( z = a + bi \) satisfying one of the following properties.

- If both \( a \) and \( b \) are nonzero then, \( a + bi \) is a Gaussian prime iff \( a^2 + b^2 \) is an ordinary prime.
- If \( a = 0 \), then \( bi \) is a Gaussian prime iff \(|b| \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \).
- If \( b = 0 \), then \( a \) is a Gaussian prime iff \(|a| \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \).

As for every unique factorization domain, every Gaussian integer may be factored as a product of a unit and Gaussian primes, and this factorization is unique up to the order of the factors, and the replacement of any prime by any of its associates (together with a corresponding change of the unit factor). It suffices to analyze the Gaussian Moat problem for the first octant of the complex plane because for each Gaussian prime \( p = a^2 + b^2 \) the integer coordinate \((|a|, |b|)\) is unique. Now we can move our discussion to our main problem, we have stated it already in Theorem 1. In other words, we can say

**The Gaussian Moat problem:** In the complex plane, is it possible to “walk to infinity” in the Gaussian integers using the Gaussian primes as stepping stones and taking bounded-length steps?

The answer to this question is No. We are going to prove that in this paper for the Gaussian primes \( p = a^2 + b^2 \) with \( a, b \neq 0 \). With the usual prime numbers, such a sequence is impossible: the prime number theorem implies that there are arbitrarily large gaps in the sequence of prime numbers, and this can also be proved directly: for any \( n \), the \( n - 1 \) consecutive numbers \( n! + 2, n! + 3, \ldots, n! + n \) are all composite. The problem of finding a path between two Gaussian primes that minimizes the maximum hop size is an instance of the minimax path problem, and the hop size of an optimal path is equal to the width of the widest moat between the two primes, where a moat may be defined by a partition of the primes into two subsets and its width is the distance between the closest pair that has one element in each subset. Thus, the Gaussian moat problem may be phrased in a different but equivalent form (or in the graph-theoretic language): “Is there a finite bound on the widths of the moats that have finitely many primes on the side of the origin?”

In this paper, we prove that the answer for Theorem 1 is No, with the help of the distribution of the natural prime numbers. The primes congruent to 1 modulo 4 are placed to the complex plane and recognized as Gaussian prime. So we can use the properties of natural prime numbers to prove the main theorem. In this paper, we have classified the Gaussian primes such that we can study their details. Later, these classes help us to prove the main result of this article. Before we start constructing those classes we need to discuss some important facts of natural prime numbers and Gaussian primes.

We call a Gaussian prime \( p = a^2 + b^2 \) is \( k \)-isolated if there exist no other prime numbers inside the circle centered at \((a, b)\) with radius \( k \), for some real number \( k \). We define,

**Definition 2. \( k \)-isolated Gaussian Prime:** A Gaussian prime \( p = a^2 + b^2 \) (see Definition 1) is \( k \)-isolated if there exist no other Gaussian primes on the complex plane in the disk of radius \( k \) around \( p \), then the prime \( p \) is called a \( k \)-isolated Gaussian prime on the complex plane.

We prove that for every complex gaussian prime \( p \), there exist a radius \( k \) such that \( p \) is \( k \)-isolated Gaussian Prime.
Theorem 2. For each complex Gaussian prime $p \mapsto (a, b)$, there exist a radius $k > 0$ such that $p$ is $k$-isolated.

Proof. We are going to prove the existence of such positive integer $k > 0$ for each complex Gaussian prime. We will construct the paths by taking Gaussian primes in some specific order. So, every Gaussian prime will lie on a path. Later we construct the paths in the fashion, such that the primes in the same path are in increasing order.

Now choose a complex gaussian prime randomly from any path, say it is $p \mapsto (a, b)$ lies on the path $P_n$ for $n \geq 1$. Suppose the nearest prime of $p \mapsto (a, b)$ is $p' \mapsto (a', b')$ on the path $P_n$ and the euclidean distance between $p \mapsto (a, b)$ and $p' \mapsto (a', b')$ is $l_1$ (say). Using the same process we have got the prime $p \mapsto (a, b)$ from it’s previous prime on the path $P_n$, say it is, $p'' \mapsto (a'', b'')$. Let us assume that the euclidean distance between $(a, b)$ and $(a'', b'')$ is $l_2$. Take the min $\{l_1, l_2\} = l$ (say) and draw a circle with radius $l$ centered at $(a, b)$.

We know that every prime is bounded by two lines parallel to $Y$-axis, so the particular area of our selected path $P_n$ is also bounded by the lines $L_{n-1}$ and $L_n$. If the circle we have drawn with radius $l$, centred at $p \mapsto (a, b)$ intersect with any of the line $L_{n-1}$ or $L_n$ then take the minimum distance from $p \mapsto (a, b)$ to $L_{n-1}$ or $L_n$. Say it is $l'$ . Now consider min $\{l, l'\} = k$, is the desired radius. If the circle does not intersect with any of these two lines then $l$ is the desired radius that is, in that case, $l = k$.

From the construction of the circle with radius $k$ and centered at $(a, b)$, it is clear that $k < r$, where $r$ is the radius we have taken in the algorithm (see Figure 1). The distance between any two consecutive prime on the same path is greater than 0, which is the same as saying that $l > 0$. Now, according to the line construction, it is evident that there does not lie any other gaussian prime on the line $L_n$ for all $n \geq 1$ except some primes of the path $P_1$. So, if the circle with radius $l$, centered at $p \mapsto (a, b)$ cuts any (or both) of the lines $L_{n-1}$ or $L_n$ for the path $P_n$, then the distance from $p \mapsto (a, b)$ to $L_{n-1}$ or $L_n$ is greater than 0, which is same as saying that $l' > 0$. Combine these two
statements we get that \( k > 0 \) for all the Gaussian primes on the path \( P_n \) for all \( n \geq 0 \). So, we have to get that for all the Gaussian primes \( p \mapsto (a, b) \) there exist a positive integer \( k > 0 \), such that \( p \mapsto (a, b) \) is \( k \)-isolated, as desired. \( \square \)

**Remark 1.** In Figure 1, the dotted circle is the desired circle with radius \( k \) and centered at \( p \mapsto (a, b) \). Another circle is the big circle with the radius \( r = O((\log p)^2) \) (taken from the Cramér’s bound).

We have proved the existence of such positive integer \( k \) for which \( p \) is a \( k \)-isolated Gaussian prime. Now our target is to calculate the value of such \( k \). We know that the euclidean distance in \( \mathbb{R} \) and \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) are not the same. If two coordinate (say lie on the first quadrant) \((a, b), (c, d)\) lies on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and suppose their distance is \( d \) then the distance of norm value of these two coordinates will not same. In the next lemma, we prove that result and observe what is the connection between this two distance function.

**Lemma 1.** If \( g(\mathbb{Z}^2) \) is the gap function between any two coordinate in \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) then \( g(\mathbb{Z}) \neq g(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}) \).

**Proof.** This lemma states that the Euclidean distance between any two Gaussian integers in \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) is not the same as the distance between these two points in \( \mathbb{Z} \). Let, \( A \mapsto (a_1, a_2) \) and \( B \mapsto (b_1, b_2) \) be two Gaussian integers (where \( a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\} \)), and we have the condition that \( B > A \). Then we can write

\[
A = a_1^2 + a_2^2 \quad \text{and} \quad B = b_1^2 + b_2^2.
\]

The distance between two integer \( A \) and \( B \) in \( \mathbb{Z} \) is

\[
g(B, A) (\in g(\mathbb{Z})) = |B - A| = |(b_1^2 + b_2^2) - (a_1^2 + a_2^2)|.
\]

The distance between the pair of coordinate \((b_1, b_2)\) and \((a_1, a_2)\) in \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) is,

\[
g((b_1, b_2), (a_1, a_2)) (\in g(\mathbb{Z}^2))
\]

\[
= \sqrt{(a_1 - b_1)^2 + (a_2 - b_2)^2}
\]

\[
= \sqrt{(b_1^2 + b_2^2) + (a_1^2 + a_2^2) - 2(a_1b_1 + a_2b_2)}.
\]

From the above equations it is clear that \( g(B, A) \neq g((b_1, b_2), (a_1, a_2)) \). Since, we have chosen \( A \mapsto (a_1, a_2) \) and \( B \mapsto (b_1, b_2) \) arbitrarily. So, for the gap function \( g \) we have \( g(\mathbb{Z}) \neq g(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}) \). \( \square \)

From the above lemma, it is clear that the euclidean distance in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) is smaller than their normal value distance because in case of Gaussian prime numbers we do not take the square root to calculate their norm value (by their definition). Now it’s time to describe why do we need to study about such facts. If we take the upper bound of the prime gap function of natural prime numbers and make a circle on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) plane with center at \( n \)-th prime \( p_n \) and radius with the upper bound of the prime gap function, then the distance we cover in \( \mathbb{R} \) is more than we take as radius. More precisely, consider that that gaps between consecutive primes are defined by

\[
p_{n+1} - p_n = h(n) < g(n).
\]

and bounded by the function \( g(n) \) (we study about the function \( h(n) \) later). This states that there exists a prime within the gap \( h_n \) from the point \( p_n \). As we know that according to the Chebyshev’s bias the primes of the form \( 3 \pmod{4} \) dominates the prime \( 1 \pmod{4} \), so we need
to cover more than the function $h(n)$ covers, to get another prime of the form $1 \pmod{4}$. So outside of this circle, we always get a prime of the form $1 \pmod{4}$ and most of the time we left a prime inside the circle. It will help us to construct the paths. We need this to classify the Gaussian primes which lie on the complex plane because it helps us to separate the Gaussian primes in increasing order. Observe that we will not leave many primes inside the circle that means we can calculate upper bound on the number of such classes in terms of the function $g(n)$. So the number of such class depends on the function $g(n)$, as much as small we can make it we will get the minimum number of classes which is our target. We see their connection later.

Now we study the function $g(n)$ and it’s behavior. A prime gap is a difference between two successive prime numbers. The first, smallest, and the only odd prime gap is the gap of size 1 between 2, the only even prime number, and 3, the first odd prime. All other prime gaps are even.

Bertrand’s postulate, proven in 1852, states that there is always a prime number between $k$ and $2k$, so in particular $p_n + 1 < 2p_n$, which means $g_n < p_n$.

There exist much better result or stronger upper bound for the prime gap function. We list three of them below.

1. **Bound under Riemann Hypothesis:** Harald Cramér proved\(^2\) that the Riemann hypothesis implies the gap $g(n)$ satisfies
   \[ h(n) < g(n) = O\left(\sqrt{p_n \log p_n}\right), \]
   using the big $O$ notation. Later, he conjectured that the gaps are even smaller. It is known as Cramér’s conjecture.

2. **Cramér’s Conjecture:** It states that
   \[ h(n) < g(n) = O((\log p_n)^2), \]
   where $p_n$ denotes the $n$th prime number.

3. **Proved Result:** Baker, Harman and Pintz proved that there is a prime in the interval $[x, x + O(x^{21/40})]$ for all large $x$\(^9\). This is same as saying that
   \[ h(n) < g(n) = p_n^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta} \]
   for some $\delta > 0$ and sufficiently large $n$.

Later we check that how does $g(n)$ function work on the number of paths. Also, we calculate the number of paths for the results we have listed above.

### 3. Classification of the Gaussian Prime

In this section, we classify the Gaussian primes, and each class is denoted by ‘Paths’ throughout the article. We construct paths and study their properties. The properties of the Paths will help us to prove Theorem\(^1\) In the previous section we have defined $k$-isolated Gaussian prime and proves its existence in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Now we start path construction procedure.

**Path Construction:** In few steps we will construct the paths. Consider all the Gaussian Primes with norm value in the domain $10^{4^{A-1}} \leq N(p) \leq 10^A$. Clearly, they can be placed inside the strip bounded by \( (10^A, 0, (10^{A/2}, 0), (10^{A/2}, 0), (10^{A/2}, 0), (10^{A/2}, 0)) \) on the $\mathbb{R}^2$ plane. For all $a, b \neq 0$ which satisfies the equation $a^2 + b^2 = p$ is bounded by $a, b \leq 10^{A/2}$. Assume that $A/2 = B$ for all $A \in \mathbb{N}$.

**Step 1.** Choose the smallest value prime $p_{(1,1)}$ from the segment $[10^{A-1}, 10^A]$ and the function $g$, which is an upper bound of the prime gap function for all natural prime numbers.
Step 2. Make a circle $C_{(1,1)}$ (say) of radius $g(p_{(1,1)})$, centered at the prime $p_{(1,1)}$.

Step 3. Choose the lowest norm value prime which does not lie inside the circle $C_{(1,1)}$ but lies inside $[10^{A-1}, 10^A]$, i.e., the prime $p_{(2,1)} \in [10^{A-1}, 10^A] \setminus C_{(1,1)}$. According to the choice we have the inequality $N(p_{(1,1)}) < N(p_{(2,1)})$. In this step first we calculate the value for the function $g(p_{(1,1)})$ then we add it with the $a_{(1,1)}$, where $(a_{(1,1)}, b_{(1,1)})$ is the center of the circle. Then we choose the prime centered at $(a_{(2,1)}, b_{(2,1)})$ such that we choose $a_{(2,1)}$ within the bound $a_{(1,1)} + g(p_{(1,1)}) - \epsilon \leq a_{(2,1)}$, for some small value of $\epsilon > 0$.

Step 4. Continue the process till we reach the highest norm value prime in the segment $[10^{A-1}, 10^A]$ i.e., the Gaussian prime $p$ such that for all prime $q > p$, $q \notin [10^{A-1}, 10^A]$.

We have got our first path $P_1$. In this process, we have left some primes.

Step 5. Choose the lowest norm value Gaussian prime lies in $[10^{A-1}, 10^A] \setminus P_1$ and continue this process. The radius varies according to the norm value of the prime or the center of the circle.

We have classified all the Gaussian primes lies in the segment $[10^{A-1}, 10^A]$ in some paths. Now we give the mathematical definition of such classification or definition of ‘Paths’. Later we study about their properties and how do they work for the function $g$. First, we define what is a path and then we define path isolated Gaussian prime.

Definition 3. Path: A path is an ordered set of Gaussian Primes such that

$$P_n = \{a_{(m,n)}^2 + b_{(m,n)}^2 = p_{(m,n)}| N\left((a_{(m+1,n)}, b_{(m+1,n)})\right) > N\left((a_{(m,n)}, b_{(m,n)})\right) \text{ and } d\left((a_{(m+1,n)}, b_{(m+1,n)}), (a_{(m,n)}, b_{(m,n)})\right) \geq g(a_{(m,n)}, b_{(m,n)})\}$$

for all $m$-th prime in the $n$-th path and $g(a_{(m,n)}, b_{(m,n)})$ is a continuous and increasing function. In the above equation $d()$ denotes the distance function or the euclidean distance between two points on the $\mathbb{R}^2$ plane.

We will study this function later. This plays an important role in the number of paths and helps us to prove Theorem III. Previously, we have seen what is an isolated Gaussian prime. Now we define Path isolated Gaussian prime.

Definition 4. Path isolated Gaussian Prime: A prime $p_{(m,n)}$ is called path isolated Gaussian prime with radius $g(p_{(m,n)})$ such that

$$p_{(i,n)} \notin C_{(m,n)} \forall i \neq m,$$

where $C_{(m,n)}$ is the circle with radius $g(p_{(m,n)})$ centered at $p_{(m,n)} \mapsto (a_{(m,n)}, b_{(m,n)})$.

Before going into deeper about the function $g(a_{(m,n)}, b_{(m,n)})$, we study about some properties of the paths.

Properties of Paths: According to Definition III Paths have the following properties:

1. Two paths can not contain a same prime, which is same as saying that $P_i \cap P_j = \phi$ for all $i \neq j$.
2. After joining all the points of a path (and draw the picture on $\mathbb{R}^2$ plane) they can intersect each other but not on the prime points.
3. The norm of the primes are in increasing order in a path. That is,

$$N(p_{(m+1,n)}) > N(p_{(m,n)}) \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(4) The distance between two primes in the same path are in increasing order and has the lower bound \(g(\sigma_{(m, n)}, b_{(m, n)})\) for each \(m\)-th prime in the \(n\)-th path.

(5) For each prime \(p_{(m, n)} \in P_n\), it is an path isolated Gaussian prime with radius \(g(p_{(m, n)}), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\).

(6) Let us assume that we have constructed \(r\) many paths \(\{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_r\}\). Then they have covered all the Gaussian primes inside the segment \([10^{A-1}, 10^A]\). We discuss about the upper bound of \(r\) later.

Except for the last property of the paths other follows by its construction, we need not prove them individually. If we generalize the last property of paths then we have \(\bigcup_i P_i = \mathbb{P}[i]\), where \(\mathbb{P}[i]\) denote the set of all primes in the ring \(\mathbb{Z}[i]\). We prove this statement in the next theorem.

**Theorem 3.** For the paths \(\{P_1, P_2, P_3, \ldots\}\) we have

\[
\bigcup_i P_i = \mathbb{P}[i],
\]

where \(\mathbb{P}[i]\) denote the set of all primes in the ring \(\mathbb{Z}[i]\).

**Proof.** \(\mathbb{Z}[i]\) is the ring of Gaussian integers. We can divide \(\mathbb{Z}[i]\) in the strips bounded by 
\((10^{B-1/2}, 0), (10^{B/2}, 0), (10^{B/2}, 10^{B/2}), (10^{B-1/2}, 10^{B-1/2})\). We know that we need finitely many paths to cover each strips because \(B\) is finite so the number of primes is. Observe that all primes lie inside the strips will lie inside the segment \([10^{A-1}, 10^A]\) in \(\mathbb{R}\) with the relation \(A = 2B\). Now we prove that \(r\) many paths cover all the Gaussian primes lie inside the segment \([10^{A-1}, 10^A]\), for some \(r \in \mathbb{N}\).

According to the path construction, to construct the first path we have taken the lowest norm value Gaussian prime from the segment \([10^{A-1}, 10^A]\), after that, we have added some Gaussian prime to the path \(P_1\). After the construction of the path \(P_1\) some Gaussian primes have left, then we have started constructing the second path \(P_2\) by taking the lowest norm value primes which do not lie in \(P_1\) but in \([10^{A-1}, 10^A]\) and so on. From Prime Number Theorem we know that the maximum number of Gaussian prime the segment can contain is \(\pi(10^A) - \frac{\pi(10^{A-1})}{2}\) (we divide by 2 because of Chebyshev’s bias).

In worst case scenario a path can contain maximum one prime. Then we have \(r = \frac{\pi(10^A) - \pi(10^{A-1})}{2}\), clearly \(r\) is finite and \(r\) many paths cover all the Gaussian primes inside the segment \([10^{A-1}, 10^A]\). This proves that paths can cover all the Gaussian primes lie inside the strip 
\((10^{B-1/2}, 0), (10^{B/2}, 0), (10^{B/2}, 10^{B/2}), (10^{B-1/2}, 10^{B-1/2})\). As we know \(\mathbb{Z}[i]\) is the union of such strips and we have taken \(B\) arbitrarily. So, union of all paths make the set of Gaussian primes, i.e.,

\[
\bigcup_i P_i = \mathbb{P}[i],
\]

as desired. \(\Box\)

Now it’s time to focus on the number of paths which can cover all the Gaussian primes inside the strip 
\((10^{B-1/2}, 0), (10^{B/2}, 0), (10^{B/2}, 10^{B/2}), (10^{B-1/2}, 10^{B-1/2})\). We calculate the upper bound on the number of paths for the strip 
\((10^{B-1/2}, 0), (10^{B/2}, 0), (10^{B/2}, 10^{B/2}), (10^{B-1/2}, 10^{B-1/2})\). Is it really possible that for some strips we get the highest number of paths i.e., \(\pi(10^A) - \frac{\pi(10^{A-1})}{2}\)? Next lemma proves that the elemnt of this \(\{P_1, |P_2|, |P_3|, \ldots, |P_r|\}\) (\(|P_i|\) denotes the number of primes of a path inside the considered strip) set is in decreasing order.
Lemma 2. For each strip \(((10^{B-1/2}, 0), (10^{B/2}, 0), (10^B/2, 0), (10^{B+1/2}, 10^{-1/2}))\), if it is covered by \(r\) paths \(\{P_1, P_2, P_3, \ldots, P_r\}\), then the inequality 

\[ |P_i| \geq |P_j| \quad \forall i < j, \]

holds.

Proof. We have chosen smallest norm value prime from the segment \([10^{A-1}, 10^A]\) or from the strip \(((10^{B-1/2}, 0), (10^{B/2}, 0), (10^B/2, 0), (10^{B+1/2}, 10^{-1/2}))\), let the prime is \(p_{A_1}\). From the upper bound of the prime gap function we can say that there exist a prime within the gap \(g(p_{A_1})\) from the prime \(p_{A_1}\), say it is \(p_{A_2}\). Similarly there exist a prime within the gap \(g(p_{A_2})\), say it is \(p_{A_3}\) and according our path construction \(p_{A_3}\) lies outside the circle centered at \(p_{A_1}\). So we choose \(p_{A_3}\) in as an element of the first path \(P_1\). Likewise, we continue this process and choose Gaussian primes and make our first path.

To construct the second path we can not choose the primes which have already taken by the first path. For the third path, we can not choose the primes taken by first and second path both. Evidently, we don’t have such restriction to construct the first path. After making the first path we make the second path from the primes we have left or which does not lie in the first path but lie inside the segment \([10^{A-1}, 10^A]\). So, we left with lesser number of primes after making each path inside the segment \([10^{A-1}, 10^A]\). During the construction time, it is possible that two paths can contain the same number of primes but the path we have constructed previously can not contain lesser prime that the path we have constructed after that. More generally, we can say that for the higher values path i.e., when \(P_i, P_j\) with \(i < j\) \((P_j\) is a higher value path with respect to \(P_i\)\) the inequality \(|P_i| \geq |P_j|\) holds for all \(i < j\). \(\square\)

Now we move our focus to the maximum number of paths we can make from the Gaussian primes lie inside the segment \([10^{A-1}, 10^A]\). We use simple counting formula and Prime number theorem to prove that the maximum number of paths depend on the upper bound of the prime gap function. Then we calculate the error term for the number of paths. As we know that for the set of all Gaussian primes \(\mathbb{F}[i]\) if a path has stared then it will never be terminated. So, if we want to calculate the number of paths inside the strip \(((10^{B-1/2}, 0), (10^{B/2}, 0), (10^B/2, 0), (10^{B+1/2}, 10^{-1/2}))\), it is same as calculating the number of paths for the circle \(x^2 + y^2 = R^2\) with \(R = 10^B\). We are interested in the Gaussian integers to lie inside the segment \([10^{A-1}, 10^A]\) and we do not need to think about the other integers of this segment. Now the question arises that how many integer lattices are there inside the circle \(x^2 + y^2 = R^2\) with \(R = 10^B\). Well, this number is approximated by the area of the circle with an error term and this is called ‘Gauss circle problem’ \(\mathbb{S}\). The problem states that

**Gauss circle Problem:** Consider a circle in \(\mathbb{R}^2\) with center at the origin and radius \(R \geq 0\). The number of lattice points inside this circle of the form \((a, b)\) where \(a\) and \(b\) are both integers such that \(m^2 + n^2 \leq R^2\) is

\[ N(R) = \pi R^2 + E(R) \]

for some error term \(E(R)\) of relatively small absolute value.

We are not interested to calculate the error term of the Gauss circle problem. So, let us get back to our target which is the number of paths we can get inside the circle \(x^2 + y^2 = R^2\) with \(R = 10^B\). Well, next theorem states about it.
Theorem 4. The Gaussian primes lie inside the first octant of the circle \( x^2 + y^2 = R^2 \) (with \( R = 10B \) and \( A = 2B \) for all \( B \in \mathbb{N} \)) can be covered by the number of paths \( N < 1.27 \frac{g(a(m,n), b(m,n))}{\pi A} + E''(r) \), where \( E''(r) \) is the error term comes from the Gauss circle problem.

Proof. Gauss circle problem says that the number of integer lattices we get inside the first octant of the circle \( x^2 + y^2 = R^2 \) with \( R = 10B \) is \( \frac{\pi R^2}{8} = \frac{\pi 10^4}{8} \). The Gaussian primes lie inside the circle \( x^2 + y^2 = R^2 \) are bounded by the norm value \( 10^4 \). Prime number theorem and Chebyshev’s bias says that the number of primes of the form congruent to 3 (mod 4) we have up to \( 10^4 \) is \( \frac{\pi(10^4)}{2} \sim \frac{10^4}{2 \log 10^4} \sim \frac{10^4}{2A} \), where \( \pi() \) is the prime counting function.

The way we have constructed the paths and Lemma 2 say that the first path \( P_1 \) can contain the maximum number of primes from the circle \( x^2 + y^2 = R^2 \) (with \( R = 10B \)) with respect to the other paths. First we calculate how many primes the first path can contain. According to the construction method we have cut the first octant of the circle \( x^2 + y^2 = R^2 \) into some small circles (or pieces) \( C(m,n) \) centered at \( p(m,n) \mapsto (a(m,n), b(m,n)) \) with radius \( g(a(m,n), b(m,n)) \) and taken one prime from each circle. The maximum number of circle we can make is the maximum number of primes the first path can contain. So the path \( P_1 \) can contain,

\[
\frac{\text{total number of Gaussian integer}}{\text{the size of the circle (or pice)}} = \frac{\pi R^2}{8} g(a(m,n), b(m,n))
\]

many primes. Now from Lemma 2 we can say that the other paths can not contain more than \( g(a(m,n), b(m,n)) \) many primes and a path will not contain less number of primes because of construction. But the total number of primes inside the first octant of the circle \( x^2 + y^2 = R^2 \) (with \( R = 10B \)) is asymptotic to \( \frac{10^4}{2A} \). The higher index value paths get a prime easily because the area and the total number of primes are fixed. If the total number of paths which can cover all the primes less than \( 10^4 \) is \( N \) then we can write

\[
\frac{\pi 10^4}{8} \times N < \frac{10^4}{2A} + E'(r)
\]

\[
\Rightarrow 8g(a(m,n), b(m,n)) \times N < \frac{10^4}{2A} + E'(r)
\]

\[
\Rightarrow N < \frac{4g(a(m,n), b(m,n))}{\pi A} + E''(r)
\]

\[
\Rightarrow N < 1.27 \frac{g(a(m,n), b(m,n))}{A} + E''(r),
\]

where \( E'(r) \) is the error term taken from the prime number theorem and \( E''(r) \) is the error term we get after calculating the number of paths, we discuss it later.

So the maximum number of path which covers the circle \( x^2 + y^2 = R^2 \) (with \( R = 10A \)) is bounded by \( 1.27 \frac{g(a(m,n), b(m,n))}{A} + E''(r) \), as desired.
Remark 2. Not all the primes may lie inside a small area of the first octant of the circle \(x^2 + y^2 = R^2\) (with \(R = 10^A\)). They have placed randomly and we can connect their distribution with the natural prime number distribution along with the prime gap function \(g(n)\). By construction \(|P_i - P_j|\) is a small integer with respect to \(i < j\) and \(|i - j|\).

As we know that the function \(g(a(m,n), b(m,n))\) varies along with the norm value of the prime we have taken as center. For the segment \([10^A - 1, 10^A]\) we know the maximum norm value of the prime can not exceed \(10^A\). So we can calculate the upper bound of \(N\) in Equation 1 we put \(g(10^A)\) instead of \(g(a(m,n), b(m,n))\). Then we get,

\[
N < 1.27g(10^A) + E''(r).
\]

Now we calculate our number of paths putting different values of the function \(g(n)\), which we have already listed in the previous section.

**Bound under RH for \(g(n)\):** The calculation is very simple. We put the function \(g(n) = O(\sqrt{p_n} \log p_n)\) in Equation 2 and calculate the upper bound of \(N\). We can replace the big \(O\) notation by a suitable constant \(c > 0\) such that this result works for some \(m' < n\). So, the function \(g(n) = c\sqrt{p_n} \log p_n\).

\[
N_1 < 1.27 \frac{c\sqrt{10^A} \log 10^A}{A} + E''(r)
\]

\[
\Rightarrow N_1 < 1.27cA \times 10^{A/2} + E''(r)
\]

\[
\Rightarrow N_1 < 1.27c \times 10^{A/2} + E''(r), \tag{3}
\]

where \(E''(r)\) is the error term we calculate later.

The next result we calculate is the contribution of Harald Cramér.

**Cramér’s bound for \(g(n)\):** We calculate like the calculation we have done in Equation 3. We put \(g(n) = O((\log p_n)^2)\) and similarly for the suitable constant \(c'\) we get \(g(n) = c'(\log p_n)^2\) for some constant \(c' > 0\) such that this result works for some \(m'' < n\). Now from Equation 2 we can write

\[
N_2 < 1.27 \frac{c'(\log(10^A))^2}{A} + E''(r)
\]

\[
\Rightarrow N_2 < 1.27c'A^2 + E''(r)
\]

\[
\Rightarrow N_2 < 1.27c'A + E''(r), \tag{4}
\]

likewise \(E''(r)\) is the error term that we calculate later. Now we go to the last and solved result which is,

**Proved Result for \(g(n)\):** In this result we have \(g(n) = p^\frac{1}{2} + \delta\). Continuing similarly in Equation 2 we get,

\[
N_3 < 1.27 \frac{10^\frac{1}{2} + \delta}{A} + E''(r), \tag{5}
\]
for some $\delta > 0$ and $E''(r)$ is the error term.

We have calculated the maximum number of the path we can get using the existing result for
the function $g(n)$. We use them in the next section. Now it’s time to calculate the error term.

3.1. The Error term Calculation. In this section, we calculate the error term we get from the
number of path calculation. There are two error terms in the calculation, one is due to the Gauss
circle problem and another one is due to the Prime Number Theorem. We calculate the error terms
for the bounds of the Riemann Hypothesis.

Gauss managed to prove $[8]$ that

$$|E(R)| = 2\sqrt{2\pi R}$$

It is conjectured that the correct bound $[11]$ is

$$|E(r)| = O\left( R^{1/2+\epsilon} \right).$$

the lower bound from Hardy and Landau in 1915, and the upper bound proved by Huxley in

The value of the function $g(R)$ due to the Riemann Hypothesis is $R \log R$. So, the error term
we get on the left hand side of Equation 1 is $O\left( N \cdot R^{-1/2+\epsilon} \log R \right)$. Here we take
$N \approx R$ then we get the error term on L.H.S is $O\left( R^{1/2+\epsilon} \right)$.

Now we calculate the error term we get on the right-hand side of Equation 1 due to the Prime
Number Theorem. Riemann hypothesis gives the error term $[13]$ of the Prime Number Theorem,
is $E'(R) = O\left( R^{1/2} \log R \right)$. We are calculating for the bound of $N_1$ which we get assuming RH.
So, the error term of R.H.S will become $O(1)$. Then in this case we get the error term $E''(R) = O\left( R^{1/2+\epsilon} \right)$, for $\epsilon > 0$. We are dividing both sides of Equation 3 by $g(R)$, where we have
chosen the function $g$ from the upper bound of between the consecutive prime assuming Riemann
Hypothesis.

4. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we complete the proof of our main theorem. Before going to the proof we discuss
the Gaussian primes with bounded length gaps because they prevent us to make an infinite sequence
of Gaussian primes with an unbounded gap. In 2017, Akshaa Vatwani $[3]$ has conjectured that

Conjecture 1. Given any integers $m_1$ and $m_2$ having the same parity, there are infinitely many
pairs of rational primes $(p_1, p_2)$ of the form $p_1 = a^2 + b^2$ and $p_2 = (a + m_1)^2 + (b + m_2)^2$.

It is clear from this conjecture that the minimum value of $m_1, m_2$ can be 1, i.e., twin prime
conjecture in the Gaussian integers. Formally it states that,

Conjecture 2. There are infinitely many Gaussian primes $p = a + bi$ such that $p + (1 + i)$ is prime.
Consequently there are infinitely many pairs of rational primes $(p_1, p_2)$ of the form $p_1 = a^2 + b^2$
and $p_2 = (a + 1)^2 + (b + 1)^2$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Conjecture 1 is still unsolved. A related result by Throner $[4]$ states that for any fixed $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$,
there are infinitely primes $p_1, p_2$ of the form $p = a^2 + b^2$ with $|a| < \epsilon \sqrt{p}$, such that $|p_1 - p_2| \leq C(\epsilon)$.

Vatwani has proved Conjecture 1 for $m_1 = 0$ and $|m_2| \leq 246$. Conjecture 1 says that the minimum
value of $|m_2|$ can be 2 when $m_1 = 0$. 


The above conjectures say that there exist infinitely many Gaussian prime pairs which are bounded by 246. Also, there exist infinitely many Gaussian prime pairs with the euclidean distance of \( \sqrt{2} \). To prove that there exists no path using which one can walk through the Gaussian primes towards infinity, we have to deal with the problem of bounded gaps between Gaussian primes.

Observe that if a person is walking through the Gaussian primes then that person will take the next step with increasing norm value of the primes. Let us assume that there exists a path in which a person can walk through the Gaussian prime to infinity with bounded length step. We prove that this assumption is false to show that a bounded length walks through Gaussian primes to infinity is impossible.

We have calculated the number of paths for some standard result of the upper bound of the prime gap function. The results we have listed in Section 2 for the upper bound of prime gap function all of them are increasing and tends to infinity as \( n \) tends to infinity. So the distance between two consecutive terms from the same path are in increasing order and they tend to infinity as the Gaussian prime \( p(m,n) \) tends to infinity. Also, the number of paths will increase and there exist infinitely many paths which cover the set \( \mathbb{P} \). We can get pairs of bounded length Gaussian primes by choosing them from different paths, not from the same path consecutively. Now we move our focus what is the motivation of making such paths. Well, next theorem can give an idea about it.

**Theorem 5.** For two consecutive paths \( P_n \) and \( P_{n+1} \) the prime triplet \( (p(m,n), p(m+1,n), p(m',n+1)) \) makes a triangle. Two sides of this can not be bounded by the constant \( M \) (can be obtained from Conjecture 1), when one side is bounded by \( M \).

**Proof.** The proof of this theorem comes from the properties of paths. We have the lower bound for the prime gap function of each path \( g(p(m,n)) \) with the inequality,

\[
p(m+1,n) - p(m,n) \geq g(m,m').
\]

Also, we know that \( g(p(m,n)) \) is strictly increasing function and tends to infinity as \( m, n \to \infty \). From this result it is evident that the primes in the same path are in increasing distance and they are not bounded by any constant \( M \) for all \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \).

Observe, Figure 2 we can see that the triangle we are getting by joining the points \( (p(m,n), p(m+1,n), p(m',n+1)) \), one side of this triangle is the distance between two consecutive primes from the same path \( P_n \). Assume that two side of this triangle is bounded by some constant \( M \) (obtained from Conjecture 1) then we have the inequalities,

\[
\begin{align*}
    s_1 &= d(p(m,n), p(m',n+1)) = |p(m,n) - p(m',n+1)| \leq M, \\
    s_2 &= d(p(m+1,n), p(m',n+1)) = |p(m+1,n) - p(m',n+1)| \leq M.
\end{align*}
\]

Now we can calculate the third side of the triangle that is \( s_3 = d(p(m,n), p(m+1,n)) = |p(m,n) - p(m+1,n)| \) using the simple algebraic formula,

\[
s_3^2 = s_1^2 + s_2^2 - 2s_1s_2 \cos \theta, \text{ (where } \theta \text{ is the angle between } s_1, s_2)\.
\]

The angle \( \theta \) is always less than or equal to 90°, so the maximum value of \( \cos \theta \) can be less than 1 (\( \theta \) can not be zero). We can write,
Figure 2. The prime triplet

\[
s_3^2 = s_1^2 + s_2^2 - 2s_1s_2 \cos \theta \leq M^2 + M^2 + 2M \cdot M \cdot \epsilon \leq 2(1 - \epsilon)M^2,
\]

\[
\implies |s_3| \leq |\sqrt{2(1 - \epsilon)}M| \text{ where } 0 < \epsilon < 1.
\]

We know that \(M\) is a constant and the third side of the triangle \(s_3\) is nothing but the function \(g(p_{(m,n)})\), which is monotonically increasing and tends to infinity as \(m, n \to \infty\). Equation 6 gives contradiction that the third side is bounded by the constant \(M\). This proves that both of \(s_1\) and \(s_2\) are not bounded by the constant \(M\), one of them must be in increasing order with respect to the increasing value of \(m, n\). Hence, we have proved that for two consecutive paths \(n\) and \((n + 1)\) the prime triplet \((p_{(m,n)}, p_{(m+1,n)}, p_{(m',n+1)})\) can not makes a triangle where two of its side is bounded by the constant \(M\).

\[\square\]

We can generalize Theorem 5 for any two paths \(P_n\) and \(P_{n'}\) with \(n \neq n'\) and \(n, n' \in \mathbb{N}\). The argument will be the same if two of its side is bounded by any constant \(M\) then it is impossible that the third side is not bounded by that constant. We use this fact to prove Theorem 1.

Recall the famous result of combinatorics Peter Gustav Lejeune Dirichlet in 1834 which known as ‘Pigeonhole principle’ [10] states that
**Pigeonhole Principle:** If \( n \) items are put into \( m \) containers, with \( n > m \), then at least one container must contain more than one item.

This result helps on the counting problems and there are several examples of Pigeonhole principle. We have calculated that the number of path is bounded by \( \mathcal{N} < 1.27\frac{g(a(m,n), b(m,n))}{\pi A} + E''(r) \), where \( E''(r) \) is the error term. For the standard upper bounds of the prime gap functions we have calculated \( \mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2, \mathcal{N}_3 \) in Equation 3.15 respectively.

Now we can start the random walk over the Gaussian primes and assume that every length of this walk is bounded by the constant \( M \) thus to get the contradiction. We have an upper bound on the number of paths for the first octant of the circle \( x^2 + y^2 = R^2 \) with \( R = 10^B \) and \( A = 2B \). Fix the constant \( M \) (large enough), it is clear that to cross the first octant of the circle with each step bounded by \( M \) we need \( \frac{10^A}{M} \) many steps at least but we have \( 1.27\frac{g(a(m,n), b(m,n))}{\pi A} + E''(r) \) many paths. We know that \( A \) tends to infinity, so how much large we have considered the constant \( M \), after a certain time \( A \) will cross \( M \) that means we will get \( A \gg M \).

Now we calculate the inequality for \( \mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2, \mathcal{N}_3 \) with \( A > M \).

We have calculated \( \mathcal{N}_1 < 1.27c \times 10^{A/2} + E''(r) \) with \( g(n) = c\sqrt{p_n} \log p_n \) for some constant \( c > 0 \). It is an obvious inequality that for \( A > M \),

\[
\mathcal{N}_1 < 1.27c \times 10^{A/2} + E''(r) < \frac{10^A}{M}
\]

Similarly for \( \mathcal{N}_2, \mathcal{N}_3 \) we get,

\[
\mathcal{N}_2 < 1.27c' A + E''(r) < \frac{10^A}{M} \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
\mathcal{N}_3 < 1.27\frac{10^{\frac{A}{2}+\delta}}{A} + E''(r) < \frac{10^A}{M}.
\]

So we need more steps to get out of the circle \( x^2 + y^2 = R^2 \) (with \( R = 10^B \) and \( A = 2B \)), than the number of paths we have to cover all the Gaussian primes \((a, b)\) with \( ab \neq 0 \). Let us consider that we have the paths \( P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_N \) and we started our walk from \( P_{i_1}, P_{i_2}, \ldots, P_{i_N} \) where \( i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_N \) is a permutation of \( 1, 2, \ldots, N \). After \( N \) many steps, we have not to get out of the circle \( x^2 + y^2 = R^2 \) (with \( R = 10^B \) and \( A = 2B \)), so we need more steps to go to infinity. Observe that according to the path construction after \( A > M \) we have to change the path in each step to put the path within the length gap bounded by \( M \). Now we apply the Pigeonhole principle on the number of paths and need steps.

We get that after \( P_{i_1}, P_{i_2}, \ldots, P_{i_N} \) many steps for \((N + 1)\)st step we have to put our step in one of the path \( P_{i_j} \) for some \( j \in \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_N\} \), because we are still inside the circle \( x^2 + y^2 = R^2 \) (with \( R = 10^B \) and \( A = 2B \)). Each step we have taken was bounded by \( M \) and we could take \( N \) many steps. According to the assumption the \((N + 1)\)st step also bounded by \( M \). Now we join the primes \( p_{(m_1, i_1)}, p_{(m_2, i_N)}, p_{(m_3, i_1)} \) for some \( m_1, m_2, m_3 \in \mathbb{N} \).

To travel from \( p_{(m_1, i_1)} \) to \( p_{(m_2, i_N)} \) maximum distance we have covered is \( N M \) and the distance between \( p_{(m_2, i_N)} \) and \( p_{(m_3, i_1)} \) is also bounded by \( M \). Now from triangle inequality we know that the third side can not be greater than the sum of other two sides. In that case we check that what is the minimum value of the third side we can get from \( \mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2, \mathcal{N}_3 \) and what we get by assuming that all the steps upto \((N + 1)\)st step was bounded by \( M \).
We can start our calculation for $N_1, N_2, N_3$.

**For the bound under RH:** We have taken $g(n) = c \sqrt{p_n \log p_n}$, for some $c > 0$. In the segment $[10^{A-1}, 10^A]$ the lowest norm value prime is greater than $10^{A-1}$. According to our construction the distance between $p(m_1, i_1)$ and $p(m_3, i_3)$ is greater than $g(10^{A-1}) = c \sqrt{10^{A-1} \log 10^{A-1}} = c(A - 1)10^{(A-1)/2}$

\[
c \sqrt{10^{A-1}\log 10^{A-1}} = c(A - 1)10^{(A-1)/2} < N_1M + M
\]

\[
< (1.27c \times 10^{A/2} + E''(r))M + M = (1.27c \times 10^{A/2} + E''(r) + 1)M.
\]

If all the steps are bounded by $M$ then the above inequality should be true, but which is impossible because the function $c \sqrt{10^{A-1} \log 10^{A-1}}$ dominates the function $(1.27c \times 10^{A/2} + E''(r) + 1)M$, for $A \gg M$. Hence, we get contradiction.

For the next result, we have,

**For Cramér’s bound:** We have taken $g(n) = c'( \log p_n)^2$, for some $c' > 0$. In the segment $[10^{A-1}, 10^A]$ the lowest norm value prime is greater than $10^{A-1}$. According to our construction the distance between $p(m_1, i_1)$ and $p(m_3, i_3)$ is greater than $g(10^{A-1}) = c'(\log(10^{A-1}))^2 = c'(A - 1)^2$.

\[
c'(A - 1)^2 < N_2M + M < (1.27c'A + E''(r))M + M = (1.27c'A + E''(r) + 1)M.
\]

If all the steps are bounded by $M$ then the above inequality should be true, but which is impossible because the parabola $c'(A - 1)^2$ dominates the straight line $(1.27c'A + E''(r) + 1)M$, for $A \gg M$. Hence, we get a contradiction.

Now let us calculate for the proved upper bound we get for the prime gap function.

**For Proved Result:** We have taken $g(n) = p_n^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}$, for some $\delta > 0$ and sufficiently large $n$. In the segment $[10^{A-1}, 10^A]$ the lowest norm value prime is greater than $10^{A-1}$. According to our construction the distance between $p(m_1, i_1)$ and $p(m_3, i_3)$ is greater than $g(10^{A-1}) = 10^{(A-1)/(\frac{1}{2} + \delta)}$.

\[
10^{(A-1)/(\frac{1}{2} + \delta)} < N_3M + M < \left( \frac{10^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}}{A} + E''(r) \right)M + M = \left( \frac{10^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}}{A} + E''(r) + 1 \right)M.
\]

If all the steps are bounded by $M$ then the above inequality should be true, but which is impossible because the function $10^{(A-1)/(\frac{1}{2} + \delta)}$ dominates the function $\left( \frac{10^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}}{A} + E''(r) + 1 \right)M$, for sufficiently large $A \gg M$. Hence, we get contradiction.

The meaning of getting this contradiction is that all steps were not bounded by the constant $M$. In other words it says that there exist such a pair or many pair\(^1\) of $(a_n, b_n)$ and $(a_{n+1}, b_{n+1})$ in the sequence $\{(a_n, b_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $d((a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}), (a_n, b_n)) \ll M$ or, $d((a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}), (a_n, b_n)) > M$, completes the proof of Theorem\(\Box\) with $a_n, b_n \neq 0 \forall n$.

**Remark 3.** For each factorial prime of the form $n! + 1$ we have that there exist no other prime of up to the length of segment $n - 1$. We have $n! + 1$ is also a prime for some $n$. It is clear that $n! + 1$ is of the form congruent to 1 (mod 4), so it can be placed on the $\mathbb{R}^2$ plane but $n! - 1$ cannot

\(^1\)We don’t know the exact place of Gaussian primes, so we can not say than how many steps were unbounded and what was the length of that step
be placed. So, even if \( n! - 1 \) is a prime still we get a segment of length \( n - 1 \) from \( n! + 1 \) to \( n! - n \). Now inside the segment, \([n! - n, n! + n]\) only one Gaussian prime can lie which can be placed on the complex plane and that is \( n! + 1 \). Draw a square with the starting point at \( n! - n \) and ending point at \( n! + n \) on the complex plane. Clearly, \( n! + 1 \) is the only one prime lies inside this square. So for each factorial prime of the form \( n! + 1 \) we get the arbitrary large gap between Gaussian primes. Moreover, we can draw a moat inside this square above the prime \( n! + 1 \) and as \( n \) increases the width of the moat will also increase.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have proved if someone wants to walk over the Gaussian primes with (for \( a, b \neq 0 \)) bounded length steps then such a walk is impossible for the Gaussian prime placed on the complex plane. Also, we have shown that for each factorial prime of the form \( n! + 1 \), we get a square such that no other Gaussian prime will lie inside that square and we can draw a moat from this. The width of such moats will increase as \( n \) is increasing but we can not say whether they disjoint the origin and infinity.
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