On power residues modulo a prime

Ke Gong and Chaohua Jia

Abstract. Let $p$ be a sufficiently large prime number, $n$ be a positive odd integer with $n|p - 1$ and $n > p^\varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon$ is a sufficiently small constant. Let $k(p, n)$ denote the least positive integer $k$ such that for $x = -k, \ldots, -1, 1, 2, \ldots, k$, the numbers $x^n \pmod{p}$ yield all the non-zero $n$-th power residues modulo $p$. In this paper, we shall prove

$$k(p, n) = O(p^{1-\delta}),$$

which improves a result of S. Chowla and H. London in the case of large $n$.

1. Introduction

Let $p > 3$ be a prime number, and $n$ be a positive odd integer with $n|p - 1$. Let $k(p, n)$ denote the least positive integer $k$ such that for $x = -k, \ldots, -1, 1, 2, \ldots, k$, the numbers $x^n \pmod{p}$ yield all the non-zero $n$-th power residues modulo $p$.

Obviously, one has

$$k(p, n) < \frac{1}{2}p.$$ S. Chowla and H. London [2], using elementary arguments, proved that

$$\frac{p - 1}{2n} \leq k(p, n) < \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n}\right)p.$$ In this paper, we improve the result of S. Chowla and H. London in the case of large $n$.

Theorem. Let $p$ be a sufficiently large prime number, $n$ be a positive odd integer with $n|p - 1$ and $n > p^\varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon$ is a sufficiently small constant. The number $k(p, n)$ is defined as above. Then we have

$$k(p, n) = O(p^{1-\delta}),$$

where $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$ is a sufficiently small constant which depends only on $\varepsilon$.

2. The proof of Theorem
Lemma 1. Assume that \((m, p) = 1\), \(x_0\) is a solution of the congruence equation

\[ x^n \equiv m \pmod{p}. \]  

Then the equation (1) has \(n\) different solutions modulo \(p\) in the following form:

\[ x_0 g^{\frac{p-1}{n} j}, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1, \]

where \(g\) is a primitive root modulo \(p\).

For a reference, see [2].

Let \(\mathbb{F}_p\) be the finite field with \(p\) elements, \(\mathbb{F}_p^* = \mathbb{F}_p \setminus \{0\}\),

\[ H = \{h : h \equiv g^{\frac{p-1}{n} j} \pmod{p}, j = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1\} \]

Obviously \(H\) is a multiplicative subgroup of \(\mathbb{F}_p^*\). The order of \(H\) is \(n\). The numbers \(x_0h\) \((h \in H)\) yield all the solutions of the equation (1), where \(x_0\) is one of the solutions of the equation (1).

Lemma 2 (Bourgain–Glibichuk–Konyagin [1]). For any \(\varepsilon > 0\) and for any multiplicative subgroup \(H\) of \(\mathbb{F}_p^*\) with \(|H| > p^\varepsilon\), there exists a small positive constant \(\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)\) such that

\[ \max_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \left| \sum_{h \in H} e\left(\frac{ah}{p}\right) \right| \ll \frac{|H|}{p^{3\delta}}, \]

where \(e(x) = e^{2\pi ix}\).

The proof of Theorem. We have

\[
\sum_{h \in H} \sum_{|x| \leq p^{1-\delta} \atop x \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}} 1
\]

\[
= \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{|x| \leq p^{1-\delta} \atop x \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{r=1}^{p} e\left(\frac{r(x_0h - x)}{p}\right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{r=1}^{p} \left( \sum_{h \in H} e\left(\frac{r}{p}\right) \right) \left( \sum_{|x| \leq p^{1-\delta} \atop x \not\equiv 0} e\left(\frac{-rx}{p}\right) \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{|H|}{p} \cdot 2[p^{1-\delta}] + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \left( \sum_{h \in H} e\left(\frac{r}{p}\right) \right) \left( \sum_{|x| \leq p^{1-\delta} \atop x \not\equiv 0} e\left(\frac{-rx}{p}\right) \right).
\]
The application of Lemma 2 yields

\[
\frac{1}{p} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \left( \sum_{h \in H} e\left( \frac{rx_0h}{p} \right) \right) \left( \sum_{|x| \leq p^{1-\delta} \atop x \neq 0} e\left( \frac{-rx}{p} \right) \right)
\]

\[
\ll \frac{1}{p} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \left| \sum_{h \in H} e\left( \frac{rx_0h}{p} \right) \right| \left| \sum_{|x| \leq p^{1-\delta} \atop x \neq 0} e\left( \frac{-rx}{p} \right) \right|
\]

\[
\ll \frac{|H|}{p^{1+3\delta}} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \left| \sum_{|x| \leq p^{1-\delta} \atop x \neq 0} e\left( \frac{-rx}{p} \right) \right|
\]

\[
\ll \frac{n}{p^{1+3\delta}} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{\|x/p\|}
\]

\[
\ll \frac{n}{p^{1+3\delta}} \sum_{1 \leq r \leq \frac{n}{p} \|x/p\|} \frac{p}{r}
\]

\[
\ll \frac{n}{p^{3\delta}},
\]

where \(\|\alpha\|\) denotes the distance from \(\alpha\) to the nearest integer.

It follows that

\[
\sum_{h \in H} \sum_{|x| \leq p^{1-\delta} \atop x \neq 0} 1 = \frac{2n}{p^\delta} + O\left( \frac{n}{p^{2\delta}} \right) \geq \frac{n}{p^\delta} \geq 1.
\]

Hence there is a solution \(x_0h\) of the equation (1) such that

\[
x_0h \equiv x \pmod{p}, \quad |x| \leq p^{1-\delta},
\]

which implies

\[
k(p, n) \leq p^{1-\delta}.
\]

So far the proof of Theorem is complete.
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Additional remark

After the first version of this paper was published in arXiv, we found that the result in Theorem of this paper had been included in Theorem 8 of the paper of M. Ram Murty (Small solutions of polynomial congruences, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 41 (2010), no.1, 15-23).
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