We derive an extended fluctuation relation for an open quantum system coupled with two reservoirs under adiabatic one-cycle modulation. We confirm that the geometrical phase caused by the Berry-Sinitsyn-Nemenman curvature in the parameter space generates non-Gaussian fluctuations. This non-Gaussianity is enhanced for the instantaneous fluctuation relation when the bias between the two reservoirs disappears.

I. INTRODUCTION

Adiabatic pumping is a process where an average current is generated even in the absence of an average bias under slow and periodic modulation of multiple parameters of the system. The theory of adiabatic pumping was first proposed by Thouless in isolated quantum systems [1,2]. He showed that charges can be transported by applying a time-periodic potential to one-dimensional isolated quantum systems under a periodic boundary condition. He also clarified that the charge transportation in this system is essentially induced by a Berry-phase-like quantity in the parameter space [2,3], before Berry proposed the Berry phase [4].

Later, Brower extended the Thouless pumping to open quantum systems [13]. Then, we recognize that the essence of Thouless pumping or geometrical pumping can be described by a classical master equation in which the Berry-Sinitsyn-Nemenman (BSN) phase is the generator of the pumping current [15,16]. There are various papers on geometrical pumping processes in terms of a scattering theory [14,17-23], classical master equations [15,16,24-31] and quantum master equations [32-38]. Non-adiabatic pumping processes have also been studied because the pumping current becomes zero in the adiabatic (i.e., zero frequency) limit [39,40].

Furthermore, we discovered the existence of the path-dependent excess entropy production induced by the BSN curvature [31,33]. The existence of the path-dependent entropy in systems under cyclic modulation implies that the direct extension of equilibrium thermodynamics to nonequilibrium processes is not possible, at least for such systems. Similarly, the geometrical phase effect plays an important role even in a heat engine [41].

Thus, understanding geometrical pumping is important for both applications and fundamental physics.

In nonequilibrium processes, in addition to the expectation values of physical quantities such as the electric and heat currents, their fluctuations are also important. Historically, the relationship between fluctuations and responses from base states has been extensively studied, resulting in the Green-Kubo formula for the linear response, the fluctuation-dissipation relation (FDR), Onsager’s reciprocity relation etc. [45]. Furthermore, in 1990s, the fluctuation theorem (FT) [54-57] was discovered as a relation which holds even in far-from-equilibrium situations. The FT expresses the relative probabilities of typical and rare events such as positive and negative entropy production.

Let us consider an open system in contact with multiple external reservoirs, which is in a nonequilibrium steady state. In this situation, the probability distribution $P_{\tau}(J)$ of the current $J$ in time interval $\tau$ satisfies the steady fluctuation theorem [54-57]

$$\lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \ln \frac{P_{\tau}(J)}{P_{\tau}(-J)} = A^{st}J, \quad (1)$$

where $A^{st}$ is a steady affinity. For example, when we consider a heat flow, the affinity is given by $A^{st} = \beta_R - \beta_L$, where $\beta_L$ and $\beta_R$ are the inverse temperatures of the left and the right reservoirs, respectively. Moreover, the FT recovers the Green-Kubo formula, the FDR, Onsager’s reciprocity relation and the other nonlinear relations [55]. The FT in Eq. (1) is a direct consequence of Gaussian fluctuations, since the Gaussian form $P_{\tau}(J) \sim \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{4\tau A^{st}} (J - \langle J \rangle)^2 \right]$ with the average current $\langle J \rangle$ satisfies Eq. (1).

Therefore, systems activated by non-Gaussian noises do not satisfy the conventional fluctuation theorem [58,59]. Similarly, Ren et. al. indicated that the fluctuation theorem is violated in adiabatic pumping because of the existence of the geometrical phase [60]. Watanabe and Hayakawa [61] analyzed the spin-boson model and verified the violation of the FT in the pumped system. Nevertheless, they could not get a concise form of an extended fluctuation theorem for geometric pumping.
processes. We also need to know relations among the cumulants of the current. If we can construct the extended fluctuation relation between $P_r(J)$ and $P_r(-J)$, we can derive the explicit expressions for all cumulants.

In this paper, we derive two types of fluctuation relations for adiabatic pumping processes by using the generalized quantum master equation with the aid of the full counting statistics (FCS) [52]. By using these expressions, we also derive nonequilibrium relations corresponding to the FDR and other key results. We have confirmed that the geometrical phase generates non-Gaussian fluctuations [53, 59], and thus, systems under cyclic modulations do not belong to a group which satisfies the fluctuation theorem.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Sec. II we explain the method used in this paper, the FCS and the generalized quantum master equation. In Sec. III we introduce the adiabatic approximation and show the general form of the cumulants for the pumping current. Section IV is the main part of this paper. We calculate the approximate form of the current distribution and derive the two types of the fluctuation relations. In Sec. V we apply our formalism to the spin-boson model to illustrate the role of the non-Gaussian fluctuations in the fluctuations of the pumping current. Finally, we discuss and summarize our results in Sec. VI. In the appendices, we present some detailed calculations to support the description in the main text.

II. GENERAL FRAMEWORK

![Figure 1. A schematic of the total system which consists of the target system and the left and right reservoirs.](image)

Let us adopt the generalized quantum master equation approach with the aid of the FCS method [52]. The FCS method enables us to obtain the probability distribution of the dimensionless current from the system to a reservoir during the time interval $\tau$. When we perform the projective measurement on a dimensionless observable $Q$ in the right reservoir at time zero and time $\tau$, the corresponding outcomes are denoted by $Q_0$ and $Q_\tau$, respectively. We assume $[Q, H_R] := QH_R - H_R Q = 0$. The cumulant-generating function $G_\tau(\chi)$ for the probability distribution function $P_r(q)$ of the dimensionless current $q$ becomes $G_\tau(\chi) = Q_\tau - Q_0$ from the target system to the right reservoir in the time interval $\tau$ is given by

$$G_\tau(\chi) = \ln \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq P_r(q) e^{i\chi q},$$

(2)

where $\chi$ is called the counting field. We introduce the counting field only between the system and the right reservoir as shown in Fig. 1. Note that Eq. (2) can be rewritten as $G_\tau(\chi) = \ln Tr[\rho_{tot}(\tau, \chi)]$ (its derivation is given in Appendix A), where $\rho_{tot}(t, \chi)$ at time $t$ is the generalized density matrix for the system Hamiltonian $(\nu = L, R)$ and the interaction Hamiltonians $H_S \nu$, $(\nu = L, R)$. The general form of the cumulants for the pumping current $\tau$ can be derived as $G_\tau(\chi) = \ln Tr_{\nu}[\rho(\tau, \chi)]$, where $Tr_{\nu}$ is the trace of the reservoir $\nu$. By using the Born-Markov and the rotating wave approximations, it satisfies the generalized quantum master equation [52]

$$\partial_t \rho(t, \chi) = K(\rho(t), \chi) \rho(t, \chi).$$

(3)

The explicit form of $K(\rho(t), \chi)$ in general cases is given in Eqs. (5) and (6) in Appendix B. Once we obtain the solution of Eq. (3), we can calculate the cumulant-generating function as $G_\tau(\chi) = \ln Tr_S[\rho(\tau, \chi)]$, where $Tr_S$ is the trace in the target system. To calculate the cumulant-generating function, we only need the diagonal part of the density matrix, which satisfies the generalized classical master equation

$$\partial_t |\rho(t, \chi)\rangle = K(\rho(t), \chi) |\rho(t, \chi)\rangle,$$

(4)

where $|\rho(t, \chi)\rangle := (\rho_1(t, \chi), \ldots, \rho_n(t, \chi))^T$ with $\rho_k(t, \chi) := \langle k | \rho(t, \chi) | k \rangle$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $| k \rangle$ is the energy eigenstate of $H_S$. Elements of the transition matrix $K(\alpha(t), \chi)$ are given in Eqs. (10) - (14). Now, let us introduce the angular frequency and the phase of parameter modulation $\Omega = 2 \pi / \tau$ and $\theta := \Omega(t - t_0)$, respectively, where $t_0$ is the time that the initial information has been sufficiently lost and $\rho(t, \chi)$ becomes periodic. Then, we rewrite Eq. (4) as

$$\partial_\theta |\rho(\theta, \chi)\rangle = e^{-i \hat{K}(\alpha(\theta), \chi) |\rho(\theta, \chi)\rangle},$$

(5)
where $\epsilon = \Omega/\Gamma$, $\dot{K}(\alpha(t), \chi) = \Gamma^{-1} K(\alpha(t), \chi)$, and $\Gamma$ is the parameter to characterize the interaction between the system and the two reservoirs. Therefore, we can replace $G_r(\chi)$ by $G_r(\chi)$. Because we are interested in adiabatic modulation, the parameter $\epsilon$ satisfies $\epsilon \ll 1$.

### III. ADIABATIC PUMPING

In this section, we briefly explain the method to obtain the cumulant generating function $G_r(\chi)$. First, we adopt the adiabatic approximation

$$\rho(\theta, \chi) \approx e^{-1} \Lambda(\alpha(\theta), \chi)$$

where $|\rho(\alpha(\theta), \chi))\rangle = |1\rangle r(\alpha(0), \chi))^{-1}$,

$$\Lambda(\theta, \chi) = \int_0^\theta d\theta' \Lambda(\alpha(\theta'), \chi),$$

where the eigenvalue $\lambda(\alpha(\theta), \chi)$ at $\theta$ reduces to zero in the limit $\chi \to 0$. For later discussion we introduce the simplified notation $A(\chi) = A(2\pi, \chi).$ Equation (6) contains the geometric phase $V(\theta, \chi)$ defined by

$$V(\theta, \chi) := \int_0^\theta d\theta' \langle l(\alpha(\theta'), \chi) | \partial_{\theta'} r(\alpha(\theta'), \chi) \rangle.$$  

We also use the simplified notation $\tilde{V}(\chi) := V(2\pi, \chi).$ Then, we can rewrite Eq. (8) as

$$\tilde{V}(\chi) = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta' \langle l(\alpha(\theta'), \chi) | \partial_{\theta'} r(\alpha(\theta'), \chi) \rangle$$

where $\mathbf{F}_{mn}(\chi, \chi) := \partial_{\alpha_m} \langle l_0(\alpha, \chi) \rangle \wedge \partial_{\alpha_n} \langle r_0(\alpha, \chi) \rangle$ and $S$ is the open surface enclosed by the closed contour $C$ (see Fig. 2). The derivation of Eqs. (6) - (8) is given in Appendix C.
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**Figure 2.** A schematic of a trajectory $C$ and the surface enclosed by $C$ in parameter space spanned by $(\alpha_m, \alpha_n)$. The cumulant generating function is given by

$$G_r(\chi) = \ln(1 | \rho(\theta = 2\pi, \chi)) = e^{-1} A(\chi) - \tilde{V}(\chi).$$

Then, the $n$-th cumulant for the current $J = q/\tau = \epsilon J/2\pi$ can be expressed as

$$\langle J^n \rangle_e = \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial(\tilde{V}(\chi))^n} G_r(\chi) \bigg|_{\chi = 0}.$$  

### IV. FLUCTUATION RELATIONS

In this section, we present the general expressions for two types of fluctuation relations for adiabatic pumping processes. In subsection [V A] we discuss the cyclic fluctuation relation and in subsection [V B] we give the general expression for the instantaneous fluctuation relation.

#### A. Cyclic fluctuation relation

Because of Eqs. (2) and (10) the probability distribution function $P_\epsilon(J)$ of the current $J$ under one-cycle modulation with the parameter $\epsilon$ is given by

$$P_\epsilon(J) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\chi}{2\pi\epsilon} e^{-i\chi J} G_r(\chi)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\chi}{2\pi\epsilon} e^{-i\chi |\tilde{V}(\chi)| - \tilde{V}(\chi)}.$$  

When we consider an adiabatic pumping process ($\epsilon \ll 1$), the contribution of $\tilde{V}(\chi)$ is small. Therefore, $P_\epsilon(J)$ can be evaluated as

$$P_\epsilon(J) \approx \frac{1}{2\pi\epsilon \tilde{V}(\chi)} e^{-i\tilde{V}(\chi)} I(J) - \tilde{V}(\chi),$$

where we have introduced the large deviation function $I(J) := i\max_\chi X(J) = i\chi(J)J - \Lambda(\chi(J)).$  

where $\tilde{V}(\chi) = \Lambda(\chi)$, $\chi(J)$ satisfies

$$\delta_{\chi} \Lambda(\chi) \bigg|_{\chi = \chi(J)} - J \Lambda(\chi(J)) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\chi}^2 \Lambda(\chi(J)) \bigg|_{\chi = \chi(J)}.$$  

It is expected that $I(J)$ satisfies the symmetry relation

$$I(J) - I(-J) = -\mathcal{A}J$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is the dynamical affinity, which is determined by quantities of left and right reservoirs. In fact, it was confirmed numerically that $\mathcal{A}$ is given as

$$\mathcal{A} = \ln \int_{-\infty}^{2\pi} d\theta f_L(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial L} f_R(\theta) (1 - f_R(\theta))$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{2\pi} d\theta f_L(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial L} f_R(\theta) (1 - f_L(\theta)).$$
in a system of fermions, where $f_s(\theta)$ is the Fermi distribution of the $\nu$-th reservoir [62]. By using Eqs. (13) and (14), we obtain the fluctuation relation

$$\epsilon \ln \frac{P_s(J)}{P_s(-J)} = AJ - \epsilon [\nabla \chi_c(J) - \nabla \chi_c(-J)]$$

This is one of our main results. The second term on the right hand side of Eq. (17) stands for the geometrical phase contribution which is much smaller than the first term. When $\nabla \chi_c(J) = 0$, Eq. (17) reduces to the steady fluctuation theorem in driven systems [62]. Thus, Eq. (17) can be regarded as an extension of the fluctuation theorem for the adiabatic pumping process. If the trajectory $C$ of the parameter modulation is symmetric with respect to the parameters $\alpha_n$ and $\alpha_{\nu}$, the average bias is zero, i.e., $A = 0$ and $\nabla \chi_c(J) = -\nabla \chi_c(-J)$. In this case, Eq. (17) is reduced to

$$\ln \frac{P_s(J)}{P_s(-J)} = -2\nabla \chi_c(J),$$

which can be expressed only by the geometrical phase. As will be shown in Sec. V, Eq. (18) contains contributions nonlinear in $J$.

### B. Instantaneous fluctuation relation

In this subsection, let us consider the instantaneous fluctuation relation of our system. If the master equation (5) does not contain any singularities, the cumulant generating function can be written as

$$G_s(\chi) = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta g(\theta, \chi) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{2\pi}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} g_n(\chi),$$

where $g(\theta, \chi) := e^{-\lambda(\chi, \theta)} - v(\chi, \theta), v(\chi, \theta) := \langle \lambda(\chi, \theta) \rangle \delta \theta = \langle \lambda(\chi, \theta) \rangle$). Here we discretize $\theta$ in the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ as in the last expression of Eq. (19), where we have introduced $\theta_n := n\Delta \theta$, $\Delta \theta := 2\pi/N, g_n(\chi) := g(\theta_n, \chi), \lambda_n(\chi) := \lambda(\theta_n, \chi), v_n(\chi) := v(\theta_n, \chi)$. From Eq. (19), the distribution of the current during one cycle can be decomposed into

$$P_s(J) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \epsilon J \epsilon^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{N} J_n \epsilon^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{N} J_n \epsilon n(J_n),$$

where

$$p_n(J_n) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dJ_n}{2\pi e^N} e^{-[\epsilon^{-1} J_n e^{-1 - 2\pi g_n(\chi)})]/N}$$

is the instantaneous distribution at of the current $J_n$ at $\theta = \theta_n$. (The derivation of Eqs. (20) and (21) is explained in Appendix B).

From a parallel argument used in the previous subsection, the instantaneous distribution for the current $J_n$ is given as

$$p_n(J_n) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi e N \lambda^{(2)}(\chi_c(J_n))}} e^{-[\epsilon^{-1} I_n(J_n) + v_n(\chi_c(J_n))]}/N,$$

where $\lambda^{(2)}(\chi_c(J_n)) := \partial^2 \lambda_n(\chi_c(J_n))/\chi_c(J_n)$ and we have introduced the instantaneous large deviation function

$$I_n(J_n) := i \max_\chi [\chi J_n - \lambda_n(\chi)] = i \chi_c(J_n) J_n - \lambda_n(\chi_c(J_n)),$$

where $\lambda_n(\chi) = -i \lambda_n(\chi), \chi_c(J_n)$ satisfies

$$\partial \lambda_n(\chi)|_{\chi = \chi_c(J_n)} = J_n.$$

Because the instantaneous eigenvalue $\lambda_n(\chi)$ satisfies the Levitov-Lesovik-Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry [17–19], $\lambda_n(\chi) = \lambda_n(-\chi + iA_n), I_n(J_n)$ satisfies the symmetry relation

$$I_n(J_n) - I_n(-J_n) = -A_n J_n,$$

where $A_n$ is the instantaneous affinity, which is given by, for example, $A_n = \beta_R(\theta_n) - \beta_L(\theta_n)$ when we control the inverse temperatures $\beta_R$ and $\beta_L$ of the left and the right reservoirs.

From Eqs. (22) and (24), we obtain the instantaneous fluctuation relation

$$\epsilon n \to \infty \epsilon N = O(1) \epsilon N \ln \frac{p_n(J_n)}{p_n(-J_n)} = A_n J_n - \epsilon \left[ v_n(\chi_c(J_n)) - v_n(\chi_c(-J_n)) \right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \ln \lambda^{(2)}(\chi_c(J_n))/\lambda^{(2)}(\chi_c(-J_n)).$$

The second term on the right hand side of Eq. (25) expresses the geometrical phase effect at $\theta = \theta_n$, which is much smaller than the first term. If the first term vanishes, i.e., $A_n = 0$, the geometrical contribution becomes dominant. As will be shown in Sec. V, the geometrical contribution of Eq. (25) is a nonlinear function of $J_n$.

### V. APPLICATION TO THE SPIN-BOSON SYSTEM

The results presented in the previous section can be used for an arbitrary adiabatic pumping process if the process can be described by the master equation Eq.
To know the explicit contribution of the geometric phase in the extended fluctuation relations such as Eqs. (17), (18) and (25), we need to know the details of the eigenstates and the eigenvalues of the operator $\hat{K}(\alpha(\theta), \chi)$. Here, we apply the general results in Sec. IV to the spin-boson model [63].

### A. The spin-boson model

![Figure 3. A schematic of the spin-boson model.](image)

In the spin-boson model, the total Hamiltonian is given by $H_{tot}(\alpha(t)) = H_S(\alpha_S) + \sum_{\nu=L,R}[H_\nu + H_{S\nu}(\alpha_{S\nu})]$. Each term is given by

$$H_S(\alpha_S) = \frac{\hbar\omega_0}{2} \sigma_z,$$

$$H_\nu = \sum_k \hbar\omega_{k,\nu} b_{k,\nu}^\dagger b_{k,\nu},$$

$$H_{S\nu}(\alpha_{S\nu}) = \hbar\sigma_x \otimes \sum_k g_{k,\nu} (b_{k,\nu}^\dagger + b_{k,\nu}),$$

where $\hbar\omega_0$ is the energy gap between the two levels in the target system. $\sigma_z = |1\rangle\langle 1| - |0\rangle\langle 0|$ and $\sigma_x = |1\rangle\langle 0| + |0\rangle\langle 1|$ are Pauli operators, where $|1\rangle$ is the up state and $|0\rangle$ is the down state, $\omega_{k,\nu}$ is the angular frequency at wave number $k$ for the $\nu$-th reservoir and $b_{k,\nu}$ ($b_{k,\nu}^\dagger$) is the boson annihilation (creation) operator for the $\nu$-th reservoir, respectively. Here $g_{k,\nu}$ is the coupling constant, which is related to the spectral density function $D_\nu(\omega) := 2\pi \sum_k g_{k,\nu}^2 \delta(\omega - \omega_{k,\nu})$. For later analysis, we use the line-width $\Gamma_\nu := D_\nu(\omega)$ which is independent of $\omega$. We also introduce $\gamma_\nu := \Gamma_\nu/\Gamma$, where $\Gamma := (\Gamma_L + \Gamma_R)/2$.

We assume that the bosonic reservoirs are always at equilibrium. Thus, the density matrix of the $\nu$-th reservoir is expressed as

$$\rho_\nu^{eq}(\beta_\nu) = e^{-\beta_\nu H_\nu}/Z_\nu$$

at the inverse temperature $\beta_\nu$, where $Z_\nu = Tr_{\nu}[e^{-\beta_\nu H_\nu}]$. Now, we can write the control parameters $\alpha = \{\alpha_S = \omega_0, \alpha_{S\nu} = \gamma_{\nu}, \alpha_{\nu} = \beta_{\nu}\}_{L,R}$ explicitly.

In this model, the generalized quantum master equation [5] can be written as

$$\partial_\theta |\rho(\theta, \chi)\rangle = e^{-1} \hat{K}(\alpha(\theta), \chi)|\rho(\theta, \chi)\rangle,$$

where $|\rho(\theta, \chi)\rangle = (|0\rangle|\rho(\theta, \chi)|0\rangle, |1\rangle|\rho(\theta, \chi)|1\rangle)^T$. Note that the explicit expressions for the elements of $\hat{K}(\alpha(\theta), \chi)$ as well as the corresponding eigenvalue and the eigenvector are given in Appendix G.

### B. Cyclic fluctuation relation for the spin-boson model

In this model, the dynamical affinity is given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \ln \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta n_L(\theta)[1 + n_R(\theta)]/\int_0^{2\pi} d\theta n_R(\theta)[1 + n_L(\theta)].$$

(30)

Let us calculate the right hand side of Eq. (17) for two types of modulations. In the first case, we control the temperature of the left and right reservoirs as

$$\hat{T}_L(\theta) := (\hbar\omega_0\beta_L(\theta))^{-1} = \hat{T}_0 + \hat{T}_A \cos(\theta + \pi/4),$$

$$\hat{T}_R(\theta) := (\hbar\omega_0\beta_R(\theta))^{-1} = \hat{T}_0 + \hat{T}_A \sin(\theta + \pi/4).$$

(31)

(32)

where $\hat{T}_0$ and $\hat{T}_A$ are the center and the amplitude of the dimensionless temperatures $\hat{T}_L$ and $\hat{T}_R$, respectively. For simplicity, we assume $\Gamma_L = \Gamma_R = \Gamma$. In the second case, we control the dimensionless line-width between the target system and the left reservoir and the energy level in the target system such that

$$\gamma_L(\theta) = \gamma_R + \gamma_A \cos(\theta),$$

$$\omega_0(\theta) := \beta_0 \hbar\omega(\theta) = \hat{\omega}_C + \hat{\omega}_A \sin(\theta).$$

(33)

(34)

where $\gamma_A$ is the amplitude of the dimensionless line-width $\gamma_A$, $\hat{\omega}_C$ and $\hat{\omega}_A$ are the center and the amplitude of the dimensionless energy gap between two levels in the target system. For simplicity, we assume $\beta_L = \beta_R = \beta$. The corresponding BSN curvatures $F_{mn}(\alpha)$ in Eq. (D2) are plotted in Fig. 5. In both cases, because the affinity satisfies $\mathcal{A} = 0$, the geometrical phase effect $V(x,J)$ plays an important role as in Eq. (18).

With the aid of a numerical calculation, we obtain Figs. 5 and 6. These results lead to

$$\ln \frac{P_L(J)}{P_L(-J)} \approx -2V_1(\alpha)[J + \frac{1}{3}V_3(\alpha)J^3 + O(J^5)],$$

(35)

where $V_n(\alpha) := \partial^n V(x,J)|_{J=0}$, $\hat{V}_n(\alpha) := V_n(\alpha)/V_1(\alpha)$ which depend on the sets of parameters $\alpha = (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_A)$ or $(\hat{\omega}_C, \hat{\omega}_A, \gamma_R, \gamma_A)$. It is remarkable that $\hat{V}_3(\alpha)$ is not small. This implies the geometrical current or the BSN curvature generates non-Gaussian fluctuations. Our result is consistent with the previous results of Ref. [61].

### C. Instantaneous fluctuation relation

Let us discuss the instantaneous fluctuation relation in this subsection. Here, we control temperatures in the
right and the left reservoirs as in Eqs. \((31)\) and \((32)\). At \(\theta_n = \pi n\) and \(A_n = 0\) the geometrical contribution (the second term on the right hand side of Eq. \((25)\)) is dominant. Its explicit behaviour is plotted as in Fig.7. This result implies that the fluctuation is highly non-Gaussian, in contrast to the conventional fluctuation theorem.

D. Relations among cumulants

As mentioned in Sec.\(\text{III}\), we can calculate cumulants \(\langle J^n \rangle_c\) for arbitrary \(n\) from Eq.\(\text{(11)}\). If the parameter modulation is symmetric, \(\overline{\lambda}(\chi) = \lambda(-\chi)\) and \(\overline{V}(\chi) = -\overline{V}(-\chi)\) are satisfied. Then, we obtain

\[
\langle J^n \rangle_c = \begin{cases} 
\left( \frac{e}{2\pi} \right)^n \overline{V}^{(n)}(0) & (n : \text{odd}) \\
\left( \frac{e}{2\pi} \right)^{n-1} \overline{\lambda}^{(n)}(0) & (n : \text{even})
\end{cases}
\]

where we have introduced \(\overline{V}^{(n)}(\chi) := \partial^n_{\chi} \overline{V}(\chi)\) and \(\overline{\lambda}^{(n)}(\chi) := \partial^n_{\chi} \overline{\lambda}(\chi)\).

The one-cycle fluctuation relation \((35)\) can be rewritten as

\[
\langle e^{2V_1(\alpha)J + \frac{1}{3}V_3(\alpha)J^3} \rangle_c \simeq 1,
\]

when we ignore contributions of order higher than \(O(J^4)\). This equation corresponds to the integral fluctuation theorem \([52, 53]\). From Eqs.\(\text{(36)}\) and \((37)\), we obtain the FDR-like relation

\[
\partial_\epsilon \left[ 2\langle J \rangle_c + V_1 \langle J^2 \rangle_c \right] \bigg|_{\epsilon=0} = 0
\]

as the balance of terms of order \(O(\epsilon)\). Similarly, we also obtain

\[
\partial_\epsilon^3 \left[ (4V_1^3 + V_3)\langle J^3 \rangle_c + 2V_1 V_3 \langle J^4 \rangle_c \right] \bigg|_{\epsilon=0} = 0
\]

as the balance of terms of order \(O(\epsilon^3)\). It should be noted that there are no such relations at even orders. This leads to the absence of Onsager’s reciprocal relation as indicated in Ref. \([61]\).

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we derived the cyclic and the instantaneous fluctuation relations given in Eqs. \((17)\) and \((25)\), respectively, for adiabatic pumping process. We
Applied these results to the spin-boson model and clarified the existence of non-Gaussianity as the geometric phase contribution in the fluctuation relations as in Eq. (35) (Fig. 5). We confirmed that the non-Gaussianity \( \dot{V}_3(\alpha) \) in Eq. (35) is not small. From the cyclic fluctuation relation, we obtained the nonequilibrium relations \( (38) \) and \( (39) \). Our results indicate that the conventional fluctuation theorem should be extended to include non-Gaussian fluctuations if the geometric phase effects exist under cyclic modulations of parameters.

Our future tasks are as follows: (i) Because our analysis is restricted to the adiabatic case, we will have to try to extend our analysis to non-adiabatic cases. If we restrict our interest to a two-level system like the spin-boson system, we can use the analytic solution of the generalized master equation \( [4] \). (ii) We can analyze the entropy production by a parallel method reported in Refs. [11][13], in which the excess entropy production can be expressed by the geometric phase. (iii) Shortcuts to adiabaticity (STA) can be used for the non-adiabatic pumping in which a finite pumping current can be realized in a finite speed. Therefore, we expect that the universal work-fluctuation relation discussed by Funo et al. [64] can be generalized to include non-Gaussian fluctuations as mentioned in this paper. (iv) We will have to discuss the linear response around a cyclic adiabatic state obtained in this paper by changing the modulation perturbatively. This linear response theory is expected to be different from that obtained from the Green-Kubo formula.
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\section*{Appendix A: Full counting statistics}

We briefly summarize the method of the full counting statistics (FCS) in this appendix. As mentioned in Sec. \ref{sec:FCS}, we perform the projective measurement on a dimensionless observable \( Q \) in the right reservoir at time zero and time \( \tau \), the corresponding outcomes are denoted by \( Q_0 \) and \( Q_\tau \), respectively. The joint probability \( P(Q_0,Q_\tau) \) to get the outcome \( Q_0 \) and \( Q_\tau \) is given as

\[ P(Q_\tau,Q_0) = \text{Tr} \left[ P_Q U(\tau) \rho_{\text{tot}}(0) P_Q U^\dagger(\tau) P_Q \right] , \]

Where \( P_Q \) is the projection operator corresponding to the outcome \( Q_\tau, U(\tau) := e^{iH_{\text{tot}}\tau/\hbar} \) and \( \rho_{\text{tot}}(0) \) is the density matrix of the total system at \( t = 0 \). By using Eq. (A1), the probability distribution \( P_\tau(q) \) of the dimensionless current \( q = Q_\tau - Q_0 \) in the time interval \( \tau \) is given by

\[ P_\tau(q) = \sum_{Q_\tau,Q_0} \delta(q - Q_\tau + Q_0) P(Q_\tau,Q_0). \]
Then, the cumulant generating function (2) can be rewritten as
\[
G_\tau(\chi) := \ln \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq P_\tau(q) e^{i\chi q} \\
= \ln \sum_{Q_\tau, Q_0} P(Q_\tau, Q_0) e^{i\chi (Q_\tau - Q_0)} \\
= \ln \text{Tr} \left[ e^{i\chi Q/2} U(\tau) e^{-i\chi Q/2} \rho_{\text{tot}}(0) e^{-i\chi Q/2} U(\tau) e^{i\chi Q/2} \right] \\
= \text{Tr} [\rho_{\text{tot}}(\tau, \chi)],
\]
with the density matrix \( \rho_{\text{tot}}(\tau, \chi) \). Thus, the cumulant generating function \( G_\tau(\chi) \) can be rewritten as
\[
G_\tau(\chi) = \ln \text{Tr} [\rho_{\text{tot}}(\tau, \chi)] \\
= \ln \text{Tr} [\rho_{\text{tot}}(\tau, \chi)] + \ln \text{Tr} [\rho_{\text{tot}}(0)].
\]

Then, the generalized quantum master equation is given as
\[
\dot{\rho}(t, \chi) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [H, \rho(t, \chi)] + \mathcal{D}[\rho(t, \chi)] + \mathcal{N}[\rho(t, \chi)],
\]
where \( \mathcal{D}[\rho(t, \chi)] \) is the dissipator and \( \mathcal{N}[\rho(t, \chi)] \) is the noise term.

### Appendix B: The Generalized Quantum Master Equation

In this paper, we assume that the interaction Hamiltonians is given as
\[
H_S = \sum_{\nu, k} [L_k \otimes B_{\nu, k}^\dagger + L_k^\dagger \otimes B_{\nu, k}],
\]
where \( L_k \) is the system operator which satisfies
\[
[H_S, L_k] = -\omega_{\nu,k} L_k, [H_S, L_k^\dagger] = \omega_{\nu,k} L_k^\dagger.
\]
Here, \( B_{\nu, k} \) is the operator of \( \nu \)-th reservoir which satisfies
\[
[H_{\nu, k}, B_{\nu, k}] = -\omega_{\nu,k} B_{\nu, k}, [H_{\nu, k}, B_{\nu, k}^\dagger] = \omega_{\nu,k} B_{\nu, k}^\dagger.
\]
Then, the generalized quantum master equation is given as
\[
\dot{\rho}(t, \chi) = \mathcal{K}[\rho(t, \chi)],
\]
where \( \mathcal{K} \) is the dissipator defined as
\[
\mathcal{K}[\rho(t, \chi)] = \sum_{\nu, k} \gamma_{\nu,k,+}(\chi)(t) \left[ e^{i\chi \omega_{\nu,k}} L_k^\dagger \rho L_k - \frac{1}{2} \{ L_k^\dagger L_k, \rho \} \right] \\
+ \sum_{\nu, k} \gamma_{\nu,k,-}(\chi)(t) \left[ e^{-i\chi \omega_{\nu,k}} L_k \rho L_k^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \{ L_k L_k^\dagger, \rho \} \right].
\]
Here, \( \gamma_{\nu,k,+}(\chi)(t) \) is the dissipation coefficient defined as
\[
\gamma_{\nu,k,+}(\chi)(t) := \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds e^{i\omega_{\nu,k}s} \langle B_{\nu,k}^\dagger B_{\nu,k}(s) \rangle_{\omega_{\nu,k}(t)} \\
= \frac{2\pi}{\hbar^2} \delta(\omega_{\nu,k} - \omega_{\nu,k}) \langle B_{\nu,k}^\dagger B_{\nu,k}(s) \rangle_{\omega_{\nu,k}(t)},
\]
and \( \gamma_{\nu,k,-}(\chi)(t) \) is defined similarly.

The equation for diagonal parts of the density matrix \( \rho(t, \chi) \) is given as
\[
\dot{\rho}_{\nu,\nu}(t, \chi) = \sum_{\mu, \nu} k_{\nu,\mu}(\chi)(t) \rho_{\mu,\nu}(t, \chi),
\]
where \( k_{\nu,\mu}(\chi)(t) \) are the transition rate coefficients.

### Appendix C: Adiabatic Approximation

Let us assume that the density matrix \( \rho(\theta, \chi) \) is parallel to the right eigenvector \( |r(\alpha(\theta))\rangle \) as
\[
|\rho(\theta, \chi)\rangle = C(\theta, \chi) |r(\alpha(\theta))\rangle,
\]
where \( C(\theta, \chi) \) will be determined later. By using the generalized master equation (5) and normalization condition \( \langle l | (\alpha(\theta)) \rangle (l | r(\alpha(\theta)) \rangle) = 1 \), we get
\[
\partial_\theta C(\theta, \chi) = C(\theta, \chi) \lambda(\alpha(\theta), \chi) \\
- C(\theta, \chi) \langle [l | (\alpha(\theta)) \rangle |l | r(\alpha(\theta)) \rangle \rangle.
\]
Equation (C2) can be solved as
\[
C(\theta, \chi) = C(0, \chi) \exp \left[ \int_0^\theta \delta \lambda(\alpha(\theta')) \right] \\
- \int_0^\theta \delta \lambda(\alpha(\theta')) \int_0^\theta \delta \lambda(\alpha(\theta')) \right].
\]
From the normalization condition \( \langle l | \rho(0) \rangle = 1 \) and \( \rho(0, \chi) = |\rho(0)\rangle \), we get \( C(0, \chi) = \langle l | r(\alpha(0)) \rangle \). Therefore, we obtain Eqs. (B1)-(B3).
Let us introduce the large deviation function

\[ F(\chi, J) = \left( \partial_{\chi} \Lambda(\chi, J) \right) |_{\chi=0}, \]

where \( \Lambda(\chi, J) := \partial_{\chi} \Lambda(\chi, J) \) satisfies \( \partial_{\chi} \Lambda(\chi, J) |_{\chi=0} = J \). We expand \( \Lambda(\chi) \) by \( \chi \)-round \( \chi = \chi_c(J) \) as

\[ \Lambda(\chi) = \Lambda(\chi_c(J)) + \Lambda^{(1)}(\chi_c(J))(\chi - \chi_c(J)) + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{(2)}(\chi_c(J))(\chi - \chi_c(J))^2 + O((\chi - \chi_c(J))^3), \]

where we have introduced \( \Lambda^{(n)}(\chi_c(J)) := \partial_{\chi}^n \Lambda(\chi) |_{\chi=\chi_c(J)} \). Then, we get

\[ i\chi J - \Lambda(\chi) \equiv I(J) + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{(2)}(\chi_c(J))(\chi - \chi_c(J))^2, \]

where \( \Lambda^{(2)}(\chi_c(J)) := \partial_{\chi}^2 \Lambda(\chi) |_{\chi=\chi_c(J)} = -i\Lambda^{(2)}(\chi_c(J)). \) Then we obtain Eq. (13) as

\[ P_e(J) \simeq e^{\epsilon I(J)/\epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\chi}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{\Lambda^{(2)}(\chi_c(J))(\chi - \chi_c(J))^2}{2\epsilon}} e^{-V(\chi)} \]

\[ \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \Lambda^{(2)}(\chi_c(J))}} e^{-\epsilon^{-1} I(J) - V(\chi_c(J))}. \]

### Appendix D: Pumping current

Although we are not interested in the average current for the adiabatic pumping process, it is useful to write its explicit form for the convenience to compare our results with the results in the literature. The average current is given by

\[ \langle J \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \partial_{\chi} \lambda(\alpha(\theta), \chi) |_{\chi=0}, \]

\[ \langle J \rangle_{\text{geo}} = -\frac{\epsilon}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \partial_{\chi} \lambda(\alpha(\theta), \chi) |_{\chi=0} \]

\[ = -\frac{\epsilon}{2\pi} \int_S d\alpha_m d\alpha_n F_{mn}(\alpha), \]

where \( F_{mn}(\alpha) := \partial_{\alpha_m} \partial_{\alpha_n} \chi(\alpha, \chi) |_{\chi=0} \) is the BSN curvature in the parameter space. Note that even if the average bias is zero such that \( \langle J \rangle_{\text{dyn}} = 0 \), \( \langle J \rangle_{\text{geo}} \) is generally not zero.

### Appendix E: Derivation of Eq. (13)

As shown in Sec. IV, the current distribution is given as

\[ P_e(J) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\chi}{2\pi} e^{-i\chi J/\epsilon + G_e(\chi)} \]

\[ \simeq e^{-\epsilon^{-1} \chi J - G_e(\chi)}/\epsilon \]

where \( G_e(\chi) := \epsilon \lambda(\alpha(\chi), \chi) - v(\alpha(\chi), \chi) \). Here we discretize variables in the interval \([0, 2\pi]\) and introduced \( \theta_n := n \Delta \theta, \Delta \theta := 2\pi/N \). Then we rewrite Eq. (F1) as

\[ P_e(J) = e^{-\epsilon^{-1} \chi J - G_e(\chi)}/\epsilon \]

\[ \simeq e^{-\epsilon^{-1} \chi J - G_e(\chi)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \left\{ i\chi J - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta g(\chi, \theta) \right\} \right\} \]

where \( g(\chi, \theta) := e^{-\epsilon^{-1} \lambda(\alpha(\theta), \chi) - v(\alpha(\theta), \chi), v(\alpha(\theta), \chi) := (\lambda(\alpha(\theta), \chi) \partial_{\alpha} \chi(\alpha(\theta), \chi)) \lambda(\alpha(\theta), \chi) := (\lambda(\alpha(\theta), \chi) \partial_{\alpha} \chi(\alpha(\theta), \chi)) \}. \)

### Appendix F: Derivation of Eq. (20)

In this appendix, we explain the details of the derivation of Eqs. (20) and (22). From the definition of \( P_e(J) \) in Eq. (13), we can write

\[ P_e(J) = e^{-\epsilon^{-1} \chi J - G_e(\chi)} \]

\[ \simeq e^{-\epsilon^{-1} \chi J - G_e(\chi)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \left\{ i\chi J - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta g(\chi, \theta) \right\} \right\} \]

\[ \simeq e^{-\epsilon^{-1} \chi J - G_e(\chi)} \]

where we have used \( g_n(\chi) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\chi_n \delta(\chi - \chi_n) g_n(\chi_n) \). By using \( \delta(x) = 1_{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk e^{ikx}, \) Eq. (F2) can be
rewritten further as

\[ P_e(J) = e^{-1} \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{2\pi} e^{-ixJ/e} \times \prod_{n=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dJ_n e^{i(x-x_n)J_n/eN} e^{g_n(x_n)/eN} \]

\[ = e^{-1} \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{2\pi} \exp \left[ -i\epsilon e^{-1} \left( J - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} J_n \right) \right] \times \prod_{n=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{2\pi eN} e^{i(x-nJ_n-g(x_n))/eN} \]

\[ = e^{-1} \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{N} \{ dJ_n \} \delta \left( J - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} J_n \right) \prod_{n=1}^{N} p_n(J_n). \]  

(E3)

Here we obtain the explicit expression of \( p_n(J_n) \) as Eq. (22).

Appendix G: Details of the spin-boson model

The transition matrix \( \hat{K}(\alpha(\theta), \chi) \) for the spin-boson model in Eq. (22) is given as

\[ \hat{K}(\alpha(\theta), \chi) = \begin{pmatrix} -k_{10}(\theta) & k_{01}(\theta, \chi) \\ k_{10}(\theta, \chi) & -k_{01}(\theta) \end{pmatrix}, \]  

(G1)

where each component of \( \hat{K}(\alpha(\theta), \chi) \) is given by

\[ k_{10}(\theta, \chi) := k_{10}^R + k_{10}^L e^{i\chi(0)}, \]  

(G2)

\[ k_{01}(\theta, \chi) := k_{01}^R + k_{01}^L e^{-i\chi(0)}, \]  

(G3)

\[ k_{01}(\theta, 0), \quad k_{01}(\theta) := k_{01}(\theta, 0). \]  

(G4)

Here, we have introduced

\[ k_{10}^\nu(\theta) := \gamma_\nu(\theta)n_\nu(\theta), \]  

(G5)

\[ k_{01}^\nu(\theta) := \gamma_\nu(\theta)(1 + n_\nu(\theta)), \]  

(G6)

with

\[ n_\nu(\theta) := (e^{\beta_\nu(\theta)\hbar\omega_0(\theta)} - 1)^{-1}, \]  

(G7)

\[ \gamma_\nu(\theta) := \Gamma_\nu(\theta)/\Gamma, \]  

(G8)

\[ \Gamma := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \Gamma_L(\theta) + \Gamma_R(\theta). \]  

(G9)

The eigenvalue \( \lambda(\alpha(\theta), \chi) \) of \( \hat{K}(\alpha(\theta), \chi) \) and corresponding eigenvectors \( \langle \langle \alpha(\theta), \chi) \rangle, \) \( |r(\alpha(\theta), \chi)\rangle \) are given as

\[ \lambda(\theta, \chi) = \frac{-k_{01}(\theta) + k_{10}(\theta)}{2} + \sqrt{\left( \frac{k_{01}(\theta) - k_{10}(\theta)}{2} \right)^2 + k_{01}(\theta, \chi)k_{10}(\theta, \chi)}, \]  

(G10)

\[ \langle \langle \theta, \chi) \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1, \lambda(\theta, \chi) + k_{10}(\theta) \\ k_{10}(\theta, \chi) \end{pmatrix}, \]  

(G11)

\[ |r(\theta, \chi)\rangle = \frac{1}{c(\theta, \chi)} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(\theta, \chi) + k_{10}(\theta) & 1 \\ k_{01}(\theta, \chi) \end{pmatrix}, \]  

(G12)

\[ c(\theta, \chi) := 1 + (\lambda(\theta, \chi) + k_{10}(\theta))^2/k_{01}(\theta, \chi)k_{10}(\theta, \chi). \]  

(G13)