HOMOGENEOUS COMPLETELY SIMPLE SEMIGROUPS

THOMAS QUINN-GREGSON

Abstract. A semigroup is completely simple if it has no proper ideals and contains a primitive idempotent. We say that a completely simple semigroup $S$ is a homogeneous completely simple semigroup if any isomorphism between finitely generated completely simple subsemigroups of $S$ extends to an automorphism of $S$. Motivated by the study of homogeneous completely regular semigroups, we obtain a complete classification of homogeneous completely simple semigroups, modulo the group case. As a consequence, all finite regular homogeneous semigroups are described, thus extending the work of Cherlin on homogeneous finite groups.

1. Introduction

A countable first order structure $M$ is homogeneous if any isomorphism between finitely generated substructures extends to an automorphism of $M$. Interest in homogeneity stems from the strong connections between homogeneity and model theoretic concepts including $\aleph_0$-categoricity and quantifier elimination [11]. In particular, a homogeneous structure which is uniformly locally finite (ULF) and has finite signature is $\aleph_0$-categorical and has quantifier elimination, where a structure is ULF if, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are only finitely many non-isomorphic substructures generated by $n$ elements.

Progress has been made in classifying homogeneous groups and rings (see, for example, [4], [23]), and has been completed for finite groups in [5], and solvable groups in [3], up to the determination of the homogeneous nilpotent groups of class 2 and exponent 4. Homogeneous semilattices were determined in [9], and this work was considerably extended to both homogeneous idempotent semigroups (bands) and inverse semigroups by the author in [20] and [21], respectively. While a classification of homogeneous bands was achieved, a number of open problems still exist for the inverse case.

A semigroup is completely regular if every element is contained in a subgroup. Completely regular semigroups were first studied by Clifford [7], although for an in depth study we refer the reader to Petrich and Reilly’s monograph [19]. Clifford coined these semigroups ‘semigroups admitting relative inverses’, since every element possesses a unique inverse in the maximal subgroup in which it lies. As a consequence, the class of completely regular semigroups forms a variety $\text{CRS}$ of unary semigroups, that is, semigroup equipped with an additional, basic, unary operation (in this case the operation mapping an element to its
relative inverse). We may thus define a completely regular semigroup to be *homogeneous* if it is homogeneous as a unary semigroup. In this setting a ‘substructure’ is a completely regular subsemigroup, and therefore this is a natural choice of signature. Indeed, if we consider homogeneity of a completely regular semigroup in the setting of semigroups, substructures need not be completely regular: this subtle variant is considered in the final section. Unless stated otherwise we will consider completely regular semigroups as unary semigroups.

Bands form an important subvariety of $\text{CRS}$, as do completely simple semigroups, which we now define. A semigroup without zero is called *simple* if it has no proper ideals. A simple semigroup is *completely simple* if it contains an idempotent which is minimal within the set of idempotents $E(S)$ of $S$ under the natural order. That is, if it contains an idempotent $e$ such that

$$(\forall f \in E(S)) \quad ef = fe = f \Rightarrow f = e.$$  

Clifford [7] showed that every completely regular semigroup can be written as a semilattice $Y$ of completely simple semigroups $S_\alpha$ ($\alpha \in Y$). Further details of completely regular semigroups and this decomposition can be found in [19]. A simple generalization of the band case in [20] yields the following motivating result:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in Y} S_\alpha$ be a completely regular semigroup. If $S$ is homogeneous then each completely simple semigroup $S_\alpha$ is homogeneous, and the $S_\alpha$’s are pairwise isomorphic.

As a consequence, we first require a complete understanding of homogeneous completely simple semigroups before we begin to tackle the general case. This is our central aim: a complete classification of homogeneous completely simple semigroups.

We note that investigations into the model theoretic properties of completely simple semigroups were initiated by the author in [22], for the case of $\aleph_0$-categorical completely (0-)simple semigroups. We now provide further, and far more complex, examples of such semigroups by restricting our attention to the ULF case.

This paper proceeds as follows. In Section 2, the method of Fraïssé to determine homogeneity is transferred into the setting of completely simple semigroups. In Section 3, we review the work on the homogeneity of edge-coloured bipartite graphs by Jenkinson, Seidel and Truss. From the Rees’ Theorem, every completely simple semigroup is isomorphic to a Rees matrix semigroup, where the latter is determined by a group $G$ and a sandwich matrix over $G$. From this, every completely simple semigroup is shown in Section 4 to induce an edge-coloured bipartite graph. We end Section 4 by deriving a number of consequences of the isomorphism theorem for Rees matrix semigroups, which are used throughout Section 5 to understand the roll of the underlying group and sandwich matrix of a homogeneous Rees matrix semigroup. In particular, we show that the homogeneity of a completely simple semigroup depends only on that of its maximal subgroups and its idempotent generated subsemigroup, and a complete classification is obtained. Finally, in Section 6 we consider the stronger notion of homogeneity of a completely simple semigroup as a semigroup, which is motivated by the homogeneity of regular semigroups with either a finite number of idempotents, or an element of infinite order. Our hope is that the work
we present here, together with the band and inverse semigroup cases, will lead to a better understanding of the homogeneity of completely regular semigroups.

Henceforth, all structures considered will be of countable cardinality. The idempotents of a semigroup $S$ will be denoted by $E(S)$, and the identity of a group $G$ will be denoted by $e$ or $e_G$. The identity automorphism of a structure $M$ is denoted $Id_M$. Given a completely regular semigroup $S$ and $X \subseteq S$, we let $\langle X \rangle$ denote the completely regular semigroup generated by $X$. Notice if $S$ is a group, then $\langle X \rangle$ forms a subgroup of $S$.

2. Basics of homogeneity

Our methods for proving homogeneity come in two forms: either we prove it directly from certain isomorphism theorems or we use the general method of Fraïssé. In this section we outline the latter method. Here we apply this only to completely simple semigroups (considered in the signature of unary semigroups), and for the general case we refer to [11, Chapter 6].

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a class of finitely generated (f.g.) completely simple semigroups. Then we say:

1. $\mathcal{K}$ is countable if it contains only countably many isomorphism types.
2. $\mathcal{K}$ is closed under isomorphism if whenever $A \in \mathcal{K}$ and $B \cong A$ then $B \in \mathcal{K}$.
3. $\mathcal{K}$ has the hereditary property (HP) if given $A \in \mathcal{K}$ and $B$ a f.g. completely simple subsemigroup of $A$ then $B \in \mathcal{K}$.
4. $\mathcal{K}$ has the joint embedding property (JEP) if given $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{K}$, then there exists $C \in \mathcal{K}$ and embeddings $f_i : B_i \to C$ ($i = 1, 2$).
5. $\mathcal{K}$ has the amalgamation property (AP) if given $A, B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{K}$, where $A$ is non-empty, and embeddings $f_i : A \to B_i$ ($i = 1, 2$), then there exists $D \in \mathcal{K}$ and embeddings $g_i : B_i \to D$ such that

\[ f_1 \circ g_1 = f_2 \circ g_2. \]

The collection $A, B_1, B_2$ is known as an amalgam, denoted $[A; B_1, B_2]$.

The age of a completely simple semigroup $S$ is the class of all f.g. completely simple semigroups which can be embedded in $S$.

We may now apply Fraïssé’s Theorem [10] to the case of completely simple semigroups.

**Theorem 2.1** (Fraïssé’s Theorem for completely simple semigroups). Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a non-empty countable class of f.g. completely simple semigroups which is closed under isomorphism and satisfies HP, JEP and AP. Then there exists a unique, up to isomorphism, countable homogeneous completely simple semigroup $S$ such that $\mathcal{K}$ is the age of $S$. Conversely, the age of a countable homogeneous completely simple semigroup is closed under isomorphism, is countable and satisfies HP, JEP and AP.

We call $S$ the Fraïssé limit of $\mathcal{K}$. We note that the age of any structure can be seen to be closed under isomorphism and have HP and JEP (Fraïssé also showed the converse to hold). Consequently, to show that a structure is homogeneous it suffices to show that its age is countable and has AP.

---

1This is also known as the weak amalgamation property.
Example 2.2. Given a pair of index sets $I$ and $\Lambda$, we may form a band $B = I \times \Lambda$ with multiplication $(i, \lambda)(j, \mu) = (i, \mu)$. Then $B$ is a rectangular band, and is thus completely simple. The class of all finite rectangular bands forms a Fraïssé class, with Fraïssé limit the rectangular band $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ [20].

3. HOMOGENEOUS EDGE-COLOURED BIPARTITE GRAPHS

A major aim of this paper is to link the homogeneity of completely simple semigroups with previously studied homogeneous structures, chiefly groups and edge-coloured bipartite graphs. In this section we recap the work of Jenkinson, Seidel and Truss [14] on the homogeneity of edge-coloured bipartite graphs. Note that they considered only the case where the colouring set was finite, but it is necessary to give the background details in a more general setting.

A bipartite graph is a (simple) graph whose vertices can be split into two disjoint non-empty sets $L$ and $R$ such that every edge connects a vertex in $L$ to a vertex in $R$. The sets $L$ and $R$ are called the left set and the right set, respectively. We consider bipartite graphs in the signature $(E, L, R)$, where $E$ corresponds to the edge relation, and $L$ and $R$ are unary relations corresponding to the left and right sets, respectively.

A bipartite graph is called complete if all vertices from $L$ and $R$ are joined by an edge. If each vertex is incident to exactly one edge, then it is called a perfect matching. The complement of a bipartite graph $\Gamma$ is the bipartite graph with the same vertex set as $\Gamma$ but having precisely those edges which are not edges in $\Gamma$. We call $\Gamma$ generic if $|L| = \aleph_0 = |R|$, and for any pair of finite disjoint subsets $U$ and $V$ of $L$ (of $R$) there exists $x \in R$ ($x \in L$) joined to all elements of $U$ and to no elements of $V$.

We may colour the edges of a complete bipartite graph by colours from a non-empty set $C$, and we call such a graph $C$-edge-coloured, and the original case monochromatic. Notice that a bipartite graph can be considered as a 2-edge-coloured bipartite graph, where the two colours correspond to ‘joined’ and ‘not joined’. Formally, we construct a colouring function $F$ from $L \times R$ to $C$, and a $C$-edge-coloured graph $\Gamma$ is considered in the signature $(E, L, R, E_1, \ldots, E_{|C|})$, where $E_i$ is the binary relation corresponding to edges which are coloured by a fixed colour.

Our choice of signature gives rise to a natural definition of isomorphism between edge-coloured bipartite graphs. Let $\Gamma = L \cup R$ and $\Gamma' = L' \cup R'$ be a pair of $C$-edge-coloured bipartite graphs. A bijection $\psi$ from $\Gamma$ to $\Gamma'$ is an isomorphism if it preserves left and right sets (and thus edges), and preserves colours:

$$L \psi = L', \quad R \psi = R', \quad (x, y) F = (x \psi, y \psi) F.$$

An (induced) sub-$C$-edge-coloured graph $A$ of $\Gamma$ is a subgraph $L' \cup R'$ of $\Gamma$ with each edge $(x, y)$ in $A$ coloured as in $\Gamma$. That is, the colouring function $F' : L' \times R' \to C$ of $A$ is simply the restriction of the colouring function of $\Gamma$ to $A$.

Given a colour set $C$, we say that the $C$-edge-coloured bipartite graph $\Gamma$ is $C$-generic if $|L| = \aleph_0 = |R|$ and for any map $\alpha$ from a finite subset of $L$ (of $R$) into $C$, there exists $x \in R$ ($x \in L$) such that for all $y \in \text{dom } \alpha$, $(y, x) F = y \alpha$. It follows that there exist infinity many $x \in R$ with this property, and such elements are often referred to as witnesses.
Theorem 3.1. [14] If $\Gamma$ is a countable homogeneous $C$-edge-coloured bipartite graph where $1 \leq |C| < \aleph_0$, then one of the following holds:

1. $|C| = 1$ and all edges have the same colour,
2. $|C| = 2$ and the edges of one colour are a perfect matching, and those of the other colour are its complement,
3. $|C| \geq 2$ and $\Gamma$ is $C$-generic.

We note that the homogeneity of infinitely edge-coloured bipartite graphs was not considered in [14]. Fortunately, the only example of such a bipartite graph arising in this paper will be the $\omega$-generic bipartite graph $\Gamma$. The homogeneity of $\Gamma$ can be proved using Fraïssé’s method, using an argument identical to that used for the finite colouring case in Lemma 2.1 of [14].

Lemma 3.2. (cf. [14]) Let $C$ be a (possibly infinite) colouring set $C$. Then the $C$-generic graph is the Fraïssé limit of the class of all finite bipartite $C$-edge-coloured graphs.

4. Morphisms between completely simple semigroups

Our hope of achieving a classification of homogeneous completely simple semigroups is aided by the well known structure theorem of Rees given below, as well as a relatively simple isomorphism theorem (Theorem 4.4). We refer to [13] for a comprehensive study of completely simple semigroups.

Theorem 4.1. Let $G$ be a group, $I$ and $\Lambda$ be non-empty index sets and let $P = (p_{\lambda,i})$ be a $\Lambda \times I$ matrix with entries in $G$. Let $S = I \times G \times \Lambda$, and define multiplication on $S$ by

$$(i,g,\lambda)(j,h,\mu) = (i,g p_{\lambda,j} h,\mu)$$

Then $S$ is a completely simple semigroup, denoted $\mathcal{M}[G;I,\Lambda;P]$. Conversely, every completely simple semigroup is isomorphic to a semigroup constructed in this way.

We call $S = \mathcal{M}[G;I,\Lambda;P]$ a Rees matrix semigroup. We may assume that $S$ is normalised, so that there exists $1_I \in I$ and $1_\Lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $p_{\lambda,1_I} = e = p_{1_\Lambda,i}$ for each $i \in I, \lambda \in \Lambda$ (we may normalise using any $i = 1_I \in I$ and $\lambda = 1_\Lambda \in \Lambda$). The benefits of using the normal form is highlighted in the following result.

Proposition 4.2. [12] Let $S = \mathcal{M}[G;I,\Lambda;P]$ be a normalised Rees matrix semigroup. Then

$$\langle E(S) \rangle = \mathcal{M}[\langle G^P \rangle;I,\Lambda;P]$$

where $G^P = \{p_{\lambda,i} : \lambda \in \Lambda, i \in I\}$.

It is worth extending the notation of the proposition above. Given a $\Lambda \times I$ matrix $Q = (q_{\lambda,i})$ with entries from some set $X$, we denote $X^Q$ as the subset of $X$ given by

$X^Q = \{q_{\lambda,i} : \lambda \in \Lambda, i \in I\}$

The matrix $Q$ induces an $X^Q$-edge coloured graph, denoted $\Gamma(Q)$, with left set $\Lambda$, right set $I$, and colouring function $F : \Lambda \times I \to X^Q$ defined by

$$(\lambda,i)F = q_{\lambda,i} \quad ((\lambda,i) \in \Lambda \times I).$$
**Definition 4.3.** A normalised Rees matrix semigroup \( S = \mathcal{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P] \) gives rise to two key bipartite graphs, one monochromatic, and one coloured:

1. We let \( \Gamma_P \) denote the complete bipartite graph with left set \( \Lambda \) and right set \( I \).
2. We denote by \( \Gamma(S) \) the \( G' \)-edge-coloured graph \( \Gamma(P') \), where \( P' \) is the \( I \setminus \{1_I\} \) submatrix of \( P \). We call \( \Gamma(S) \) the *induced edge-coloured bipartite graph of \( S \).*

Notice that \( \Gamma_P \) is always a homogeneous bipartite graph since it is complete. Our perhaps obscure choice of \( \Gamma(S) \) will be justified in the next section, where we will show that the homogeneity of \( S \) passes to \( \Gamma(S) \) in the finite coloured case (that is, the case where \( \{p_{\lambda,i} : \lambda \in \Lambda, i \in I \} \) is finite).

As in \([2]\), we adapt the isomorphism theorem for Rees matrix semigroups to explicitly highlight the role of the underlying bipartite graph:

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \( S = \mathcal{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P] \) and \( T = \mathcal{M}[H; J, M; Q] \) be a pair of normalised Rees matrix semigroups. Let \( \theta : G \to H \) be a group morphism, \( \psi : \Gamma_P \to \Gamma_Q \) a bipartite graph morphism, and let \( u_i, v_\lambda \in H \) \((i \in I, \lambda \in \Lambda)\) be such that

\[
p_{\lambda,i} \theta = v_\lambda q_{\psi,i} u_i
\]

for all \( i \in I \) and \( \lambda \in \Lambda \). Define a map \( \phi : S \to T \) given by

\[
(i, g, \lambda) \phi = (i \psi, u_i(g \theta) v_\lambda, \lambda \psi).
\]

Then \( \phi \) is a morphism, denoted \([\theta, \psi, u_i, v_\lambda]\), and moreover every morphism from \( S \) to \( T \) can be constructed in this way. The morphism \( \phi \) is injective/surjective if and only if both \( \theta \) and \( \psi \) are injective/surjective.

Note that the morphisms \( \theta \) and \( \psi \), and the elements \( u_i, v_\lambda \) do not, in general, uniquely define the morphism \( \phi \). This will become apparent in the following result.

Given a group \( G \) and \( u \in G \), we denote \( C_u \) to be the inner automorphism of \( G \) given by \( g C_u = u g u^{-1} \).

Given a structure \( A \), we say that a substructure \( B \) characteristic if it is preserved by automorphisms of \( A \), that is, if for all \( \theta \in \text{Aut}(A) \) we have \( \theta(B) = B \). For example, for any (completely simple) semigroup \( S \), since automorphisms of \( S \) map idempotents to idempotents, it follows that \( \langle E(S) \rangle \) forms a characteristic (completely simple) subsemigroup.

**Corollary 4.5.** Let \( S = \mathcal{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P] \) and \( T = \mathcal{M}[H; J, M; Q] \) be a pair of normalised Rees matrix semigroups, and \( \phi \) a morphism from \( S \) to \( T \). Then \( \phi = [\theta, \psi, u_i, v_\lambda] \) such that \( u_i, v_\lambda \in \langle G' \rangle \) and \( [\theta]_{\langle G' \rangle}, \psi, u_i, v_\lambda \) is a morphism from \( \langle E(S) \rangle \) to \( \langle E(T) \rangle \). In particular, \( \theta_{\langle G' \rangle} : \langle G' \rangle \to \langle H' \rangle \), and \( u_i, v_\lambda \in \langle H' \rangle \).

**Proof.** By Theorem \([14]\) we may let \( \phi = [\theta, \psi, u_i, v_\lambda] \). Since \( \langle E(S) \rangle \) is a characteristic subsemigroup of \( S \) it follows that \( \phi' = \phi_{\langle E(S) \rangle} \) is a morphism from \([\langle G' \rangle; I, \Lambda; P]\) to \([\langle H' \rangle; J, M; Q]\). Suppose \( \phi' = [\theta', \psi', \bar{u}_i, \bar{v}_\lambda] \). For each \((i, g, \lambda) \in \langle E(S) \rangle\), so that \( g \in \langle G' \rangle \), we have

\[
(i, g, \lambda) \phi = (i \psi, u_i(g \theta) v_\lambda, \lambda \psi) = (i \psi', \bar{u}_i(g \theta') \bar{v}_\lambda, \lambda \psi') = (i, g, \lambda) \phi'
\]
and so $\psi = \psi'$. By taking $g = e_G$ we have $u_i v_\lambda = u_i \bar{v}_\lambda$, so that $u_i^{-1} u_i = v_\lambda \bar{v}_\lambda^{-1}$ for all $i \in I, \lambda \in \Lambda$. Letting $u = u_i^{-1} u_i$ for any $i \in I$, we thus have

$$(g \theta) = u_i^{-1} u_i (g \theta') \bar{v}_\lambda v_\lambda^{-1} = u (g \theta') u^{-1}$$

and so $\theta|_{G^P} = \theta' C_u$.

We claim that $\phi = [\theta C_{u^{-1}}, \psi, \bar{u}_i, \bar{v}_\lambda]$. If $g \in G$ then

$$\bar{u}_i (g \theta C_{u^{-1}}) \bar{v}_\lambda = \bar{u}_i (u^{-1}) (g \theta) (u) \bar{v}_\lambda$$

$$= \bar{u}_i (u^{-1} u_i) (g \theta) (v_\lambda \bar{v}_\lambda^{-1}) \bar{v}_\lambda = u_i (g \theta) v_\lambda,$$

thus proving the claim. The result then follows as $\theta C_{u^{-1}} = \theta C_{u^{-1}} \theta'$.

The proof above may be adapted to show exactly when a pair of morphisms between Rees matrix semigroups are equal:

**Corollary 4.6.** Let $S = M[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ and $T = M[H; J, M; Q]$ be a pair of Rees matrix semigroups, and $\phi = [\theta, \psi, u_i, v_\lambda]$ and $\phi' = [\theta', \psi', u'_i, v'_\lambda]$ be a pair of morphisms from $S$ to $T$. Then $\phi = \phi'$ if and only if $\theta = \theta' C_{u_i^{-1} u_i}, \psi = \psi'$, and $u_i v_\lambda = u'_i v'_\lambda$ for all $i \in I, \lambda \in \Lambda$.

To make use of the induced edge-coloured bipartite graph of a Rees matrix semigroup, we need to consider those morphisms which fix the normalised row and column:

**Corollary 4.7.** Let $S = M[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ and $T = M[H; J, M; Q]$ be a pair of normalised Rees matrix semigroups, and $\phi = [\theta, \psi, u_i, v_\lambda]$ a morphism from $S$ to $T$ such that $1_I \psi = 1_J$ and $1_\Lambda = 1_M$. Then there exists $u \in H$ such that $u_i = u$ and $v_\lambda = u^{-1}$ for all $i \in I$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and $\phi = [\theta C_u, \psi, e_H, e_H]$. Moreover, $(1_I, p_{\lambda, i}, 1_\Lambda) \phi = (1_I, p_{\lambda, i}, 1_\Lambda)$ for any $p_{\lambda, i} \in G^P$.

**Proof.** For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we have, by Theorem 4.4,

$$e = p_{\lambda, i} \theta = v_\lambda p_{\lambda, i, 1_I} u_{1_I} = v_\lambda p_{\lambda, i, 1_I} u_{1_I} = v_\lambda u_{1_I}$$

so that $v_\lambda = u_{1_I}^{-1}$. Dually, $v_{1_\Lambda} u_i = e$ for all $i \in I$, and so $u_i = v_{1_\Lambda}^{-1} = u_{1_I}$. Let $u = u_{1_I}$.

Then for each $i \in I, \lambda \in \Lambda$ we have $u_i v_\lambda = u u^{-1} = e_H$ and

$$(\theta C_u) C_{u^{-1} e_H} = (\theta C_u) C_{u^{-1}} = \theta,$$

so that $\phi = [\theta C_u, \psi, e_H, e_H]$ by Corollary 4.6.

Finally, for any $i \in I, \lambda \in \Lambda$,

$$(1_I, p_{\lambda, i}, 1_\Lambda) \phi = (1_I, e_H (p_{\lambda, i} \theta) e_H, 1_\Lambda),$$

and $p_{\lambda, i} \theta = e_H p_{\lambda, i, \psi} e_H = p_{\lambda, i, \psi}$ by Theorem 4.4.

**Corollary 4.8.** Let $S = M[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ and $T = M[H; J, M; Q]$ be a pair of Rees matrix semigroups with $P$ and $Q$ matrices over $\{e_G\}$ and $\{e_H\}$, respectively. Let $\theta : G \to H$ and $\psi : \Gamma_P \to \Gamma_Q$ be morphisms. Then $\phi = [\theta, \psi, e_H, e_H]$ is a morphism from $S$ to $T$, and moreover every morphism can be constructed this way.

**Proof.** Immediate from the proof of Corollary 4.7.
5. HOMOGENEITY OF COMPLETELY SIMPLE SEMIGROUPS

In this section we classify homogeneous completely simple semigroups, up to the determination of the homogeneous groups. Given that we now better understand isomorphisms between completely simple semigroups, the next step is to construct f.g. completely simple semigroups. The following lemma is folklore, and is easily verified:

**Lemma 5.1.** A Rees matrix semigroup $M[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ is f.g. if and only if $G$ is f.g. and both $I$ and $\Lambda$ are finite.

A subsemigroup $T$ of $S = M[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ is called a Rees subsemigroup if there exists a subgroup $H$ of $G$, $J \subseteq I$ and $M \subseteq \Lambda$ such that $T = M[H; J, M; Q]$, where $Q$ is the $M \times J$ submatrix of $P$. Note that not every completely simple subsemigroup of $S$ is of this form, as shown in [24].

This section will build towards a proof of the following theorem, which links the homogeneity of a completely simple semigroup $M[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ to that of the group $G$ and the subsemigroup $\langle E(S) \rangle$:

**Theorem 5.2.** Let $S = M[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ be a completely simple semigroup. Then $S$ is homogeneous if and only if $G$ and $\langle E(S) \rangle$ are homogeneous, and $G^P$ forms a characteristic subgroup of $G$.

The forward direction of the theorem above is proven in the next result, together with Proposition 5.3. The backwards direction will follow from the classification theorem for homogeneous completely simple semigroups (Theorem 5.16).

**Proposition 5.3.** Let $S = M[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ be a homogeneous completely simple semigroup. Then $G$ and $\langle E(S) \rangle$ are homogeneous.

**Proof.** Since $\langle E(S) \rangle$ forms a characteristic subsemigroup of $S$, it is clear that the homogeneity of $S$ passes to $\langle E(S) \rangle$. If $\theta : H \to K$ is an isomorphism of f.g. subgroups of $G$, then the map

$$\phi : \{(1_I, g, 1_\Lambda) : g \in H\} \to \{(1_I, h, 1_\Lambda) : h \in K\}, \quad (1_I, g, 1_\Lambda) \phi = (1_I, g\theta, 1_\Lambda)$$

is clearly an isomorphism between f.g. subsemigroups of $S$. Extending $\phi$ to an automorphism $\phi' = [\theta', \psi, e, e]$ of $S$ (noting our use of Corollary 4.7) then for any $g \in H$,

$$(1_I, g, 1_\Lambda) \phi = (1_I, g\theta, 1_\Lambda) = (1_I, g\theta', 1_\Lambda) = (1_I, g, 1_\Lambda) \phi'$$

and so $\theta'$ extends $\theta$ as required. \hfill $\square$

Theorem 5.2 will now be shown to hold when we place a strong restriction on our sandwich matrix. This result will provide vital for characterising homogeneous Rees matrix semigroups with finite sandwich matrices.

**Theorem 5.4.** Let $S = M[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ be a normalised Rees matrix semigroup where $G^P$ forms a simple abelian group. Then $S$ is homogeneous if and only if $G$ and $\langle E(S) \rangle$ is homogeneous, and $G^P$ is a characteristic subgroup of $G$. 

**Proof.** Suppose \( G \) and \( E = \langle E(S) \rangle = [G^P; I, \Lambda; P] \) are homogeneous, with \( G^P \) forming a characteristic subgroup of \( G \). Since \( G^P \) is a simple abelian group, it is either trivial or isomorphic to \( Z_p \) for some prime \( p \), so \( \text{age}(G^P) = \{ \{ e \}, Z_p \} \) up to isomorphism. To prove the homogeneity of \( S \) is suffices, by Fraïssé’s Theorem, to show that \( \text{age}(S) \) is countable and has the AP.

First, note that the isomorphism types of \( \text{age}(S) \) are completely determined by \( \text{age}(G) \) and \( \text{age}(\langle E(S) \rangle) \) by Corollary 4.5. Hence \( \text{age}(S) \) is countable since both \( \text{age}(G) \) and \( \text{age}(\langle E(S) \rangle) \) are.

Let \( [M_0; M_1, M_2] \) be an amalgam in \( \text{age}(S) \), where \( M_k = \mathcal{M}[H_k; I_k, \Lambda_k; P_k] \) \( (k = 0, 1, 2) \). As in [9] we may assume that \( H_1 \cap H_2 = H_0 \), \( I_1 \cap I_2 = I_0 \) and \( \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 = \Lambda_0 \). Moreover, we may assume that each \( M_k \) is normalised, and by normalising via some \( i \in I_0 \) and \( \lambda \in \Lambda_0 \), we may assume that \( 1_{I_1} = 1_{I_0} = 1_{I_2} = 1 \) and \( 1_{\Lambda_1} = 1_{\Lambda_0} = 1_{\Lambda_2} = 1' \).

For each \( k \), let \( H_k' = \langle H_k^k \rangle \), so that \( E_k = \langle E(M_k) \rangle = \mathcal{M}[H_k'^k; I_k, \Lambda_k; P_k] \) \( (k = 0, 1, 2) \). Then \( [E_0; E_1, E_2] \) is an amalgam in \( E \), and so by the homogeneity of \( E \) there exists a pair of embeddings \( \phi_k = [\theta_k, \psi_k, u_i^{(k)}, v_i^{(k)}] : E_k \to E' = \mathcal{M}[A; I', \Lambda'; P'] \subseteq \text{age}(E) \) \( (k = 1, 2) \) such that \( \phi_1 = \phi_2 \) on \( E_0 \) (where we assume \( E' \) is normalised). Note that inner automorphisms of \( A \) are trivial as \( A \in \text{age}(G^P) \) is abelian, and it thus follows from Corollary 4.6 that \( \phi_2 = [\theta_2, \psi_2, \bar{u}_i, \bar{v}_\lambda] \) where

\[
\bar{u}_i = (u_i^{(1)}(u_i^{(2)})^{-1})u_i^{(2)} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{v}_\lambda = (u_i^{(1)}(u_i^{(2)})^{-1})^{-1}v_\lambda^{(2)}.
\]

We may thus assume without loss of generality that \( u_1^{(1)} = u_2^{(1)} \).

For any \( (i, g, \lambda) \in E_0 \) we have

\[
(i, g, \lambda)\phi_1 = (i\psi_1, u_i^{(1)}(g\theta_1)v_i^{(1)}, \lambda\psi_1) = (i\psi_2, u_i^{(2)}(g\theta_2)v_i^{(2)}, \lambda\psi_2) = (i, g, \lambda)\phi_2
\]

and so \( \psi_1 = \psi_2 \) on \( \Gamma_{P_0} \) and \( u_i^{(1)}v_i^{(1)} = u_i^{(2)}v_i^{(2)} \), and by the usual argument \( \theta_1 = \theta_2C_x = \theta_2 \) on \( H_0' \), where \( x = (u_i^{(2)})^{-1}u_i^{(1)} \). Moreover, since \( u_i^{(1)}v_i^{(1)} = u_i^{(2)}v_i^{(2)} \) for any \( \lambda \in \Lambda_0 \) we have \( v_i^{(1)} = v_i^{(2)} \). Hence \( u_i^{(1)} = u_i^{(2)} \) for any \( i \in I_0 \).

Since \( [H_0; H_1, H_2] \) is an amalgam in \( \text{age}(G) \) there exists a pair of embeddings \( \varphi_k : H_k \to K \subseteq \text{age}(G) \) \( (k = 1, 2) \) such that \( \varphi_1 = \varphi_2 \) on \( H_0 \). Further, as \( \text{age}(G) \) has the JEP we may assume without loss of generality that \( K \) contains a copy of \( A \).

Note that if \( H_k' \) is non-trivial for some \( k = 1, 2 \), then \( H_k' \cong Z_p \), and so \( \theta_k \) is an isomorphism. Let \( \epsilon : A \to K \) be the embedding given by

\[
\epsilon = \begin{cases} 
\theta_1^{-1}\varphi_1, & \text{if } H_1' \neq \{ e \}, \\
\theta_2^{-1}\varphi_2, & \text{if } H_2' \neq \{ e \}, \\
\text{any embedding else,} & 
\end{cases}
\]

noting that \( \epsilon \) is well defined as \( \theta_1^{-1}\varphi_1 = \theta_2^{-1}\varphi_2 \) if both \( H_1' \) and \( H_2' \) are non-trivial. Consider the Rees matrix semigroup \( M' = \mathcal{M}[K; I', \Lambda'; P'] \), where \( p_{\lambda,i}^k = p_{\lambda,i}^k \epsilon \). For each \( k = 1, 2 \), let \( \phi_k' = [\varphi_k, \psi_k, u_i^{(k)}\epsilon, v_i^{(k)}\epsilon] \). We claim that \( \phi_k' \) is an embedding of \( M_k \) into \( M' \). For any \( i \in I_k, \lambda \in \Lambda_k \),

\[
p_{\lambda,i}^{(k)}\theta_k = v_i^{(k)}p_{\lambda,i}^{(k)}\psi_k u_i^{(k)}.
\]
as $\phi_k$ is a morphism, and so by applying $\epsilon$ we have

$$\left(p_{\lambda,i}^{(k)} \theta_k\right)\epsilon = \left(v_{\lambda}^{(k)}\right)\epsilon p_{\lambda,i}^{*} e_{\lambda}^{(k)} \left(u_{i}^{(k)}\right)\epsilon.$$ 

If $H'_k = \{e\}$ ($k = 1, 2$) then $p_{\lambda,i}^{(k)} = e$, so that $p_{\lambda,i}^{(k)} \varphi_k = e = p_{\lambda,i}^{(k)} \theta_k \epsilon$. Otherwise, $\epsilon = \theta_k^{-1} \varphi_k$, so that

$$\left(p_{\lambda,i}^{(k)} \theta_k\right)\epsilon = \left(p_{\lambda,i}^{(k)} \theta_k\right)\theta_k^{-1} \varphi_k = p_{\lambda,i}^{(k)} \varphi_k$$

thus completing our claim. It thus suffices to prove that $\phi'_1$ and $\phi'_2$ agree on $M_0$. Let $(i, g, \lambda) \in M_0$. Then as $\psi_1 = \psi_2$ on $\Gamma P_0$ it in turn suffices to prove that

$$(5.2) \quad \left(u_{i}^{(1)}\right)\epsilon (g \varphi_1) \left(v_{\lambda}^{(1)}\right)\epsilon = \left(u_{i}^{(2)}\right)\epsilon (g \varphi_2) \left(v_{\lambda}^{(2)}\right)\epsilon.$$ 

Since $i \in I_0$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ we have that $u_{i}^{(1)} = u_{i}^{(2)}$ and $v_{\lambda}^{(1)} = v_{\lambda}^{(2)}$. Moreover, $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ on $H_0$, and so $[5.2]$ holds as required. \hfill $\Box$

The direct product of a group and a rectangular band is called a **rectangular group**. A semigroup $S$ in which $E(S)$ forms a subsemigroup is called **orthodox**. It then holds that a semigroup $S$ is isomorphic to a rectangular group if and only if $S$ is an orthodox completely simple semigroup or, equivalently, if $S$ is isomorphic to a Rees matrix semigroup in which the sandwich matrix contains only the identity element [8, Section 3.2]. Consequently, the result above holds for rectangular groups.

Every rectangular band was shown to be homogeneous by the author in [20], and the result extends to rectangular groups as follows:

**Theorem 5.5.** Let $S = G \times B$ be a rectangular group, where $G$ is a group and $B$ is a rectangular band. Then $S$ is homogeneous if and only if $G$ is a homogeneous group.

**Proof.** We may assume that $S = M [G; I, \Lambda; P]$, where $p_{\lambda,i} = e$ for all $\lambda, i$. Hence $E(S) = \langle E(S) \rangle = M \{e\} I, \Lambda; P \}$, with $E(S)$ being isomorphic to $B$, and thus homogeneous. Hence if $G$ is a homogeneous group it follows immediately from Theorem 5.4 that $S$ is homogeneous. The converse is from Proposition 5.3. \hfill $\Box$

We now consider the homogeneity of a non-orthodox Rees matrix semigroup $S = M [G; I, \Lambda; P]$, so that $\Gamma S$ (Definition 4.3) is not coloured by a single colour. We first show that the homogeneity of $S$ passes to $\Gamma S$ when $\Gamma S$ is finitely coloured. The proof requires the following simple consequence of Theorem 4.4.

**Lemma 5.6.** Let $S = M [G; I, \Lambda; P]$ and $T = M [G; J, M; Q]$ be a pair of normalised Rees matrix semigroups over a group $G$. Let $\psi : \Gamma S \rightarrow \Gamma T$ be an isomorphism. Then the map $[Id_G, \hat{\psi}, e_G, e_G]$ is an isomorphism from $S$ to $T$, where $\hat{\psi}$ extends $\psi$ with $1_j \hat{\psi} = 1_j$, $1_\Lambda \hat{\psi} = 1_M$.

**Proof.** The proof is immediate, as $S$ and $T$ are normalised, and $p_{\lambda,i} = p_{\lambda \psi, i \psi}$ for each $\lambda, i \in \Gamma S$ as $\psi$ preserves colours. \hfill $\Box$

**Proposition 5.7.** Let $S = M [G; I, \Lambda; P]$ be a homogeneous completely simple semigroup with $G^P$ finite. Then $\Gamma S$ is homogeneous.
Proof. Let \( \Gamma_k \) \((k=1,2)\) be a pair of f.g. sub-edge-coloured graphs of \( \Gamma(S) \), with left sets \( I_k \) and right sets \( \Lambda_k \). Let \( \psi : \Gamma_1 \to \Gamma_2 \) be an isomorphism (as \( G^P \)-edge-coloured graphs). Let \( P_k \) be the \( \{ 1_\Lambda \} \cup \Lambda_k \) by \( \{ 1_I \} \cup I_k \) submatrix of \( P \). Then \( S_k = [\{ G^P \}; I_k, \Lambda_k; P_k] \) \((k=1,2)\) are a pair of normalised Rees matrix subsemigroups of \( S \), and are f.g. as \( G^P \) is finite. Moreover, by Lemma 5.6, \( \phi \) by \( \phi \) an automorphism of \( \Gamma(\{ G^P \}; I_k, \Lambda_k; P_k) \). Let \( \psi \) be a finite subset of \( \psi \), and thus by \( \phi \) by \( \phi \) a bijection of \( \Gamma(\{ G^P \}; I_k, \Lambda_k; P_k) \). Then there exists an automorphism \( \phi' \) of \( \Gamma_P \) extending \( \psi \), with \( 1_\Lambda \phi = 1_\Lambda \) and such that \( p_{\lambda,i} = p_{\lambda\phi,i\phi} \) for all \( p_{\lambda,i} \in H \). Dually for finite subsets of \( \Lambda \).

Lemma 5.8. Let \( S = M[G; I, \Lambda; P] \) be a homogeneous normalised Rees matrix semigroup. Let \( H \) be a finite subset of \( G^P \), \( J \) a finite subset of \( I \) containing \( 1_I \), and \( \psi \) a bijection of \( J \) fixing \( 1_I \). Then there exists an automorphism \( \varphi \) of \( \Gamma_P \) extending \( \psi \), with \( 1_\Lambda \varphi = 1_\Lambda \) and \( \varphi \) an automorphism of \( \Gamma(\{ G^P \}; I_k, \Lambda_k; P_k) \). Hence \( \varphi' = \varphi|_{\Gamma(S)} \) is an automorphism of \( \Gamma(S) \), from which the result follows.

Proof. Consider the f.g. Rees subsemigroup of \( S \) given by \( T = M[\{ H \}; J, \{ 1_I \}; Q] \). Let \( \psi' \) be the automorphism of \( \Gamma_Q \) which fixes \( 1_\Lambda \) and such that \( j \psi' = j \psi \) for each \( j \in J \). Then \( \phi = [\text{Id}_{\{ H \}}, \psi', e, e] \) is an automorphism of \( T \) by Corollary 4.8. Extend \( \phi \) to an automorphism \( \phi' \) of \( S \), noting that as \( \psi' \) fixes \( 1_I \) and \( 1_\Lambda \) we may assume \( \phi' = [\theta, \varphi, e, e] \) by Corollary 4.7. Let \( p_{\lambda,i} \in H \). Then \( (1_I, p_{\lambda,i}, 1_\Lambda) \) is fixed by \( \phi \) and so \( p_{\lambda,i} = p_{\lambda\varphi,i\varphi} \) by Corollary 4.7, as required.

We let \( C(i) = \{ p_{\lambda,i} : \lambda \in \Lambda \} \) denote the entries of \( P \) in column \( i \), and \( R(\lambda) = \{ p_{\lambda,i} : i \in I \} \) denote the entries of \( P \) in row \( \lambda \). Unless stated otherwise, we let \( I' = I \backslash \{ 1_I \} \), \( \Lambda' = \Lambda \backslash \{ 1_\Lambda \} \), and \( P' \) be the \( \Lambda' \times I' \) submatrix of \( P \).

Corollary 5.9. Let \( S = M[G; I, \Lambda; P] \) be a homogeneous normalised Rees matrix semigroup. Then \( G^P = C(i) = R(\lambda) \) for any \( i \in I' \) and any \( \lambda \in \Lambda' \).

Proof. We prove that \( C(i) = C(j) \) for any \( i, j \in I' \) and \( R(\lambda) = R(\mu) \) for any \( \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda' \), from which the result is immediate. Let \( i, j \in I' \) and take any \( p_{\lambda,i} \in C(i) \). Let \( H = \{ p_{\lambda,i} \} \), \( J = \{ 1_I, i, j \} \), \( \psi \) a bijection of \( J \) fixing \( 1_I \) and swapping \( i \) and \( j \). Then by Lemma 5.8 there exists an automorphism \( \varphi \) of \( \Gamma_P \) such that \( p_{\lambda,i} = p_{\lambda\varphi,i\varphi} \in C(j) \). Hence \( C(i) \subseteq C(j) \), and a similar argument gives equality. Dually for rows.

Proposition 5.10. Let \( S = M[G; I, \Lambda; P] \) be a homogeneous normalised Rees matrix semigroup. Then \( I \) is finite if and only if \( \Lambda \) is finite.

Proof. Suppose \( I \) is finite. Then by Corollary 5.9 we have that \( G^P \) is finite, and so \( \Gamma(S) \) is homogeneous by Proposition 5.7. It then follows Theorem 3.1 that \( \Lambda \) is finite. Dually for \( \Lambda \).

Consequently, the sandwich matrix of a homogeneous Rees matrix semigroup is either finite, or is infinite by infinite. We are now able to complete our proof of the forward direction of Theorem 5.2.

Proposition 5.11. Let \( S = M[G; I, \Lambda; P] \) be a homogeneous normalised Rees matrix semigroup. Then \( G^P \) is an characteristic subgroup of \( G \).
Proof. Let $a, b \in G^P$, so that by Lemma 5.9 we may assume that $a = p_{\lambda,i}$ and $b = p_{\mu,i}$ for some $i \in I$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$. Letting $H = \langle a, b \rangle$, consider a pair of f.g. Rees subsemigroups of $S$ given by $S_1 = \mathcal{M}[H; \{1, i\}, \{\lambda\}; P_1]$ and $S_2 = \mathcal{M}[H; \{1, i\}, \{\mu\}; P_2]$. Let $\psi : \Gamma_1 \to \Gamma_2$ be the isomorphism fixing $1_I$ and $i$, and with $\lambda \psi = \mu$. Let $\bar{u}_{1_I} = \bar{v}_\lambda = e$ and $u_i = b^{-1}a$. Then it is a simple exercise to show that $\phi = [I_d_H, \psi, \bar{u}_i, \bar{v}_\lambda]$ is an isomorphism from $S_1$ to $S_2$, which we may thus extend to $\phi' = [\theta, \psi', u_i, v_\lambda] \in \text{Aut}(S)$. For each $\tau \in \Lambda$ we have

$$e = p_{\tau,1_I} \theta = v_\tau p_{\tau \psi',1_I} u_{1_I} = v_\tau u_{1_I},$$

and so $v_\tau = u_{1_I}^{-1}$. By considering the image of $(i, e, \lambda)$ by $\phi$ and $\phi'$ we have that $u_i u_{1_I}^{-1} = b^{-1}a$. Let $1_\Lambda \psi = \sigma$, so that

$$e = p_{1_\Lambda,i} \theta = u_{1_I}^{-1} p_{\sigma,i} u_i.$$

Then $p_{\sigma,i} = u_{1_I} u_i^{-1} = a^{-1}b \in G^P$, and hence $G^P$ is a subgroup of $G$.

Now let $\theta$ be an automorphism of $G$, and let $p_{\lambda,i} \in G^P$. Then the map

$$\phi : \langle (1_I, p_{\lambda,i}, 1_\Lambda) \rangle \to \langle (1_I, p_{\lambda,i} \theta, 1_\Lambda) \rangle, \quad (1_I, p_{\lambda,i}^m, 1_\Lambda) \phi = (1_I, (p_{\lambda,i} \theta)^m, 1_\Lambda) \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism. We may extend $\phi$ to an automorphism $[\chi, \psi, e, e]$ by the homogeneity of $S$ and Corollary 4.7. Notice that $(1_I, p_{\lambda,i}, 1_\Lambda) \phi = (1_I, p_{\lambda,i} \theta, 1_\Lambda)$, so that $p_{\lambda \psi, \psi} = p_{\lambda,i} \theta \in G^P$ by Corollary 4.7. Hence $G^P$ is a characteristic subgroup of $G$. \hfill \Box

Our classification naturally splits into two cases, based on whether $G^P$ is finite or not. However, it will be easier to simultaneously consider the cases where $\Gamma(S)$ is of generic type or $G^P$ is infinite.

5.1. $\Gamma(S)$ finitely coloured and not of generic type. In this subsection we classify the homogeneity of Rees matrix semigroups $S = \mathcal{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ where $\Gamma(S)$ is finitely coloured, so that $G^P$ is finite, but not of generic type. By Theorem 3.1, $P' = (\Lambda \setminus \{1_\Lambda\}) \times (I \setminus \{1_I\})$ has either all entries the same, or $G^{P'} = \{a, b\}$ with $a$ appearing exactly once in each row and column.

**Lemma 5.12.** Let $S = \mathcal{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ be a normalised Rees matrix semigroup such that $\Gamma(S)$ is finitely coloured and not of generic type. Then $S$ is homogeneous if and only if $G$ is homogeneous with characteristic subgroup $G^P$ such that either

1. $G^P = \{e\}$, so that $S$ is orthodox.
2. $|I| = |\Lambda| = 2$ with $G^P = \{e, a\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $P' = (a)$.
3. $|I| = |\Lambda| = 3$ with $G^P = \{e, a, a^{-1}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $P'$ is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix}
    a & a^{-1} \\
    a^{-1} & a
\end{pmatrix},$$

4. $|I| = |\Lambda| = 4$ with $G^P = \{e, a\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $P'$ is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix}
    e & a & a \\
    a & e & a \\
    a & a & e
\end{pmatrix}.$$
**Proof.** Suppose $S$ is homogeneous. Then $G$ is homogeneous with characteristic subgroup $G^P$ by Propositions 5.3 and 5.11. Since $\Gamma(S)$ is finitely coloured, it is thus homogeneous by Proposition 5.7. Hence by Theorem 3.1 $P'$ has either all entries the same, or $G^{P'} = \{a, b\}$ with $a$ appearing exactly once in each row and column, so that $P'$ has the same number of rows and columns. Since the homogeneity of $S$ passes to $\langle E(S) \rangle = [G^P; I, \Lambda; P]$, we may assume that $S = \langle E(S) \rangle$ to show that $P'$ reduces to one of the four forms.

Suppose first that $G^{P'} = \{a\}$. If $a = e$ then $S$ is orthodox and we obtain case (1), so assume instead that $a \neq e$, so that $G^P = \{e, a\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Suppose, seeking a contradiction, that $|I| > 2$, and fix distinct $1_I, i, j \in I$. Then $P$ contains the $\{1_\Lambda, \lambda \} \times \{1_I, i, j\}$ submatrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
eq e & e & e \\
eq e & a & a 
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Consider a pair of f.g. Rees subsemigroups of $S$ given by

$$S_1 = \mathcal{M}[G^P; \{1_I, i, j\}, \{1_\Lambda\}; P_1], \quad S_2 = \mathcal{M}[G^P; \{1_I, i, j\}, \{\lambda\}; P_2],$$

so that $P_1 = (e e e)$ and $P_2 = (e a a)$. Let $\psi : \Gamma_{P_1} \to \Gamma_{P_2}$ be the isomorphism given by $1_I \psi = i, i \psi = j, j \psi = 1_I$ and $1_\Lambda \psi = \lambda$. Let $\phi = [\text{Id}_{G^P}, \psi, u_i, v_\lambda]$ be an isomorphism from $S_1$ to $S_2$, so that

$$e = v_{1_\Lambda} a u_{1_I} = v_{1_\Lambda} a u_i = v_{1_\Lambda} u_j,$$

which may be satisfied by $v_{1_\Lambda} = e = u_j$ and $u_{1_I} = a = u_i$, say. Extend $\phi$ to an automorphism $\phi' = [\text{Id}_{G^P}, \psi', u_i', v'_\lambda]$ of $S$. Then

$$e = v'_\lambda p_{\lambda \psi', i} u'_{1_I}, \quad a = v'_\lambda p_{\lambda \psi', j} u'_{i}, \quad a = v'_\lambda p_{\lambda \psi', 1_I} u'_j = v'_\lambda u'_j,$$

and $u'_j = a u'_{1_I} = a u'_i$ by (5.3). Hence, as $G^P$ is abelian with $a^2 = e$, we have

$$e = v'_\lambda p_{\lambda \psi', i} (a u'_j) = (v'_\lambda u'_j)p_{\lambda \psi', i} a = ap_{\lambda \psi', i} a = p_{\lambda \psi', i},$$

so that $\lambda \psi' = 1_\Lambda$. Similarly,

$$a = v'_\lambda p_{\lambda \psi', j} (a u'_j) = p_{\lambda \psi', j} = p_{1_\Lambda, j} = e,$$

and we arrive at our desired contradiction. Thus $|I| = 2 = |\Lambda|$, and case (2) is obtained.

Now suppose $G^{P'} = \{a, b\}$, and suppose the edges of $\Gamma(S)$ coloured by either $a$ or $b$ forms a perfect matching, so that $|I| = |\Lambda| \geq 3$ and $G^P$ is isomorphic to either $\mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\mathbb{Z}_3$, and thus abelian.

Suppose first that $b = a^{-1}$, so that $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$, and suppose without loss of generality that $a$ appears exactly once in each row and column of $P$. Consider the subsemigroup $T = \{(1_I, a^n, 1_\Lambda) : n = -1, 0, 1\}$ of $S$. Then we may extend the unique non-identity automorphism of $T$ to an automorphism $\phi = [\theta, \psi, e, e]$ of $S$, noting the use of Corollary 4.7. Then

$$(1_I, a, 1_\Lambda) \phi = (1_I, a \theta, 1_\Lambda) = (1_I, a^{-1}, 1_\Lambda),$$

so that $a \theta = a^{-1}$. Suppose, seeking a contradiction, that $|I| > 3$, so that there exist $i, j, k \in I \setminus \{1_I\}$. Since row $\lambda$ contains $a$ exactly once, we may assume without loss of
generality that $p_{\lambda,i} = p_{\lambda,j} = a^{-1}$, so that
\[ p_{\lambda \psi, i \psi} = p_{\lambda, j} \theta = a = p_{\lambda, j} \theta \]
and similarly $p_{\lambda \psi, j \psi} = a$, contradicting $\lambda \psi$ containing $a$ only once. Hence $|I| = |\Lambda| = 3$, and case (3) is achieved.

Suppose instead that $b \neq a^{-1}$, so that $b = e$ as $G^P = \{e, a, b\}$ forms a group. Then $P$ contains a $\{1_\Lambda, \lambda, \mu\} \times \{1_I, i, j\}$ submatrix given by
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
e e e \v e a e \v e e a
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We study the Rees subsemigroups of the form
\[ S_1 = M[G^P; \{1_I, i, j\}, \{1_\Lambda\}; (e e e)], \quad S_2 = M[G^P; \{1_I, i, j\}, \{\lambda\}; (e a e)], \]
and let $\phi = [\text{Id}_{G^P}, \psi, u_i, v_\lambda]$ be the isomorphism from $S_1$ to $S_2$, where $\psi$ fixes $1_I$, and swaps $i$ and $j$, and $1_\Lambda \psi = \lambda$. For $\phi$ to be a morphism we require
\[ e = v_{1_\Lambda} a u_i = v_{1_\Lambda} u_i = v_{1_\Lambda} a u_j, \]
which is satisfied by $v_{1_\Lambda} = e = u_i = u_j = a$, say. Extend $\phi$ to $\phi' = [\text{Id}_{G^P}, \psi', u_i', v_\lambda'] \in \text{Aut}(S)$, noting that as $1_I$ is fixed by $\psi$ it follows by the proof of Corollary 2.7 that $v_{\sigma} = v_{1_\Lambda}'$ for each $\sigma \in \Lambda$. By (5.4), we have
\[ p_{\sigma, i} = v_{\sigma} p_{\sigma \psi, j} u_i' = v_{1_\Lambda}' p_{\sigma \psi, j} u_i' = p_{\sigma \psi, j}, \]
\[ p_{\sigma, j} = v_{\sigma} p_{\sigma \psi, i} u_j' = v_{1_\Lambda}' p_{\sigma \psi, i} u_j' = a p_{\sigma \psi, i}. \]

In particular, $a = p_{\lambda, i} = p_{\lambda \psi, j}$ and $e = p_{\lambda, j} = a p_{\lambda \psi, i}$, so that $p_{\lambda \psi, i} = a$. Since $a \neq e$, it follows that $\lambda \psi \notin \{1_\Lambda, \lambda, \mu\}$, so $|I| = |\Lambda| \geq 4$, with each row and column of $P'$ containing $e$ exactly once. Let $\gamma \in \Lambda \setminus \{1_\Lambda, \lambda, \mu\}$. Then $p_{\gamma, j} = a$ and $p_{\gamma, j} = a p_{\gamma \psi, i}$, so that $p_{\gamma \psi, i} = e$. Hence $\gamma \psi \in \{1_\Lambda, \mu\}$. However, $p_{\gamma, i} = a$ and $p_{\gamma, i} = p_{\gamma \psi, j}$, so that $\gamma \psi = \mu$. Hence $|I| = |\Lambda| = 4$, and we arrive at case (4).

Conversely, case (1) is homogeneous by Theorem 5.5. For each of the cases (2), (3) and (4), the subsemigroup $\langle E(S) \rangle = M[G^P; I, \Lambda; P]$ can be verified to be homogeneous by using the Semigroups package [16] for the computational algebra system GAP [17]; see [18] for details of the computation. Hence $S$ is homogeneous by Theorem 5.4.  □

Given that a classification of homogeneous finite groups is known, we thus obtain a classification of homogeneous finite completely simple semigroups. However, using the theorem above in practice requires the understanding of which homogeneous groups possess a characteristic subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\mathbb{Z}_3$. By a simple application of homogeneity, this is equivalent to the homogeneous group possessing a unique copy of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\mathbb{Z}_3$.

For example, the quaternions and the special linear groups $SL_2(5)$ and $SL_2(7)$ possess a unique copy of $\mathbb{Z}_2$, but not a unique copy of $\mathbb{Z}_3$. On the other hand, the linear groups $L_2(5)$ and $L_2(7)$ do not fall into either category.

Moreover, it is clear from the work of Cherlin and Felgner [3] that a homogeneous abelian $p$-group with a unique copy of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ for some prime $p$ is isomorphic to either $\mathbb{Z}_p$ or the Prüfer
Lemma 5.13. Let $S = M[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ be a homogeneous non-orthodox Rees matrix semigroup with $G^P$ infinite. Then $\Gamma(S)$ is $G^P$-generic.

Proof. We claim that any $x \in G^{P'}$ is repeated infinitely many times in $P'$. Let $x = p_{\lambda,i} \in C(i)$ for some $i \neq 1_I$. For some fixed $n > 1$, let $x, p_{\lambda,i_1}, \ldots, p_{\lambda,i_n}$ be distinct non-identity elements of $R(\lambda)$. Consider the f.g. subgroup $H = \langle x, p_{\lambda,i_k} : 1 \leq k \leq n \rangle$ of $G^P$, so that $T = M[H; \{1_I, i_1, \ldots, i_n\}, \{1_\Lambda\}; Q]$ is a f.g. Rees subsemigroup of $S$. For each $1 \leq k \leq n$, let $\psi_k$ be the automorphism of $\Gamma_Q$ which swaps $i_1$ and $i_k$, and fixes all other elements. Then $\phi_k = [\text{Id}_H, \psi_k, e, e]$ is an automorphism of $T$ by Corollary 4.8 and so by the homogeneity of $S$ and Corollary 4.7 we may extend $\phi_k$ to an automorphism $\phi'_k = [\theta_k, \psi'_k, e, e]$ of $S$. For each $h \in H$, the element $(1_I, h, 1_\Lambda)$ is fixed by $\phi'_k$, and so by Corollary 4.7 we have $p_{\lambda,i} = p_{\lambda,i_k} = x$ and $p_{\lambda,i_1} = p_{\lambda,i_k, i_1} = p_{\lambda,i_k, i_1}$. By considering each $1 < k \leq n$, it follows from the fact that $p_{\lambda,i_1}, \ldots, p_{\lambda,i_n}$ are distinct that there are $n$ distinct elements $\lambda, \lambda \psi'_2, \ldots, \lambda \psi'_n$ of $\Lambda$. Hence $C(i)$ contains $n$ copies of $x$, for arbitrarily large $n$, and the claim follows.

We now claim that $G^P = G^{P'}$, for which it suffices to show that $e$ appears in $P'$. Fix some $\lambda \in \Lambda'$, and let $a \in R(\lambda)$ with $a \neq e$. Then $T = \langle \langle a \rangle; \{1_I\}, \{1_\Lambda, \lambda\}; Q \rangle$ is a f.g. Rees subsemigroup of $S$. Let $\psi$ be the automorphism of $\Gamma_Q$ which swaps $1_\Lambda$ and $\lambda$, so that $[\text{Id}_{\langle a \rangle}, \psi, e, e]$ is an automorphism of $T$ by Corollary 4.8. Extend the isomorphism to an automorphism $[\theta, \psi', u_i, v_\lambda]$ of $S$, noting that as $1_I$ is fixed, we have that $v_\mu = u_1^{-1}$ for all $\mu \in \Lambda$. By the previous claim, there exist infinitely many $i \in I$ such that $p_{\lambda,i} = a$. Fix $i \in I$ such that $p_{\lambda,i} = a$ and $i \psi' \neq 1_I$. Then as $\lambda \psi' = 1_\Lambda$ we have

$$p_{\lambda,i} \psi = a \theta = u_1^{-1} p_{\lambda,i} \psi' u_i = u_1^{-1} u_i$$

and so for any $\gamma \neq \lambda$ such that $p_{\gamma,i} = a$ we have

$$p_{\gamma,i} \psi = a \theta = u_1^{-1} p_{\gamma,i} \psi' u_i \Rightarrow p_{\gamma,i} \psi' = e.$$ 

Since both $i \psi' \neq 1_I$ and $\gamma \psi' \neq 1_\Lambda$ we have that $e \in G^{P'}$, thus proving the claim.

We now show that $\Gamma(S)$ is $G^P$-generic. Let $J = \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}$ be a finite subset of $I'$, and $\alpha : J \to G^P$ a map given by $i \alpha = x_i$. Then by the first claim there exists $\mu \in \Lambda$ and $k_1, \ldots, k_r \in I$ such that $p_{\mu,k_t} = x_t$ for each $t$. Let $T = M[\langle x_1, \ldots, x_r \rangle; J \cup \{1_I, k_1, \ldots, k_r\}, \{1_\Lambda\}; Q]$ be a Rees subsemigroup of $S$, noting that $Q$ contains only the identity element. Let $\psi$ be the automorphism of $\Gamma_Q$ which swaps $j_t$ and $k_t$ for each $1 \leq t \leq r$, and fixes $1_I$ and $1_\Lambda$. Then by Corollary 4.8 $[\text{Id}_{\langle x_1, \ldots, x_r \rangle}, \psi, e, e]$ is an automorphism of $T$, which we may extend to an automorphism $[\theta, \psi', e, e]$ of $S$ by Corollary 4.7. Then $(1_I, x_t, 1_\Lambda)$ is fixed, so that

$$p_{\mu,k_t} \psi = p_{\mu,\psi', j_t} = p_{\mu,k_t} = j_t \alpha.$$
Hence $\mu \psi' \in \Lambda'$ is a witness for $J$. A dual argument holds for finite subsets of $\Lambda'$, and so $\Gamma(S)$ is $G^P$-generic. \hfill $\square$

Given a group $G$ and characteristic subgroup $H$, we let $\mathcal{CS}(G; H)$ denote the class of all f.g. completely simple semigroup which are isomorphic to a normalised Rees matrix semigroup of the form $\mathcal{M}[K; J, M; Q]$ with $K \in \text{age}(G)$ and $\langle K^Q \rangle \in \text{age}(H)$.

**Lemma 5.14.** Let $S = \mathcal{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ be homogeneous with $\Gamma(S)$ of generic type. Then $\text{age}(S) = \mathcal{CS}(G; G^P)$.

**Proof.** We claim that if $Q$ is a finite matrix over $G^P$, then $Q$ appears as a submatrix of $P$. We proceed by induction on the number of rows of $Q$, noting that the base case is immediate from the previous lemma. For some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, assume the claim holds for all matrices over $G^P$ with less than $m$ rows. Let $Q = (q_{k,\ell})_{1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq \ell \leq m}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by the inductive hypothesis, the submatrix of $Q$ obtained by removing row $m$ appears as a submatrix $P^*$ of $P$, say $q_{k,\ell} = p_{\lambda_k, i_{\ell}}$. Since $\Gamma(S)$ is $G^P$-generic there exist infinity many $\lambda \in \Lambda'$ such that $p_{\lambda_k, i_{\ell}} = q_{m, \ell}$ for each $1 \leq \ell \leq n$. The claim then follows by choosing $\lambda$ such that $\lambda \neq \lambda_k$ for each $1 \leq k \leq m$.

Now let $T$ be a member of $\mathcal{CS}(G; G^P)$, so we may assume without loss of generality that $T = \mathcal{M}[K; J, M; Q]$, where $T$ is normalised, $K$ is a f.g. subgroup of $G$, and $K^Q$ is a subset of $G^P$. By the previous claim, $Q$ forms a submatrix of $P$, and so $T$ forms a Rees subsemigroup of $S$. Hence $\mathcal{CS}(G; G^P)$ is a subclass of $\text{age}(S)$. The converse is immediate. \hfill $\square$

**Proposition 5.15.** Let $G$ be a homogeneous group with characteristic subgroup $H$. Then $\mathcal{CS}(G; H)$ forms a Fraïssé class.

**Proof.** Note that $H$, being a characteristic subgroup of $G$, is homogeneous, and so $\text{age}(G)$ and $\text{age}(H)$ form Fraïssé classes. By construction $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{CS}(G; H)$ is closed under isomorphism. If $K$ is a f.g. group, $A$ is a finite subset of $A$ and $I$ and $\Lambda$ are finite index sets, then the number of Rees matrix semigroups $\mathcal{M}[K; I, \Lambda; Q]$ such that $Q^K = A$ is finite. Hence, as $\text{age}(G)$ is countable, it follows that $\mathcal{CS}(G; H)$ is countable. Similarly, the hereditary property is inherited from $\text{age}(G)$ and $\text{age}(H)$. We now show that $\mathcal{K}$ has the AP, from which the proof can be easily adapted to show the JEP.

The proof of the AP follows closely to the argument given by Clarke in [6] to show that the variety of completely simple semigroups whose subgroups lies in some variety of groups has the AP. Let $[M_0; M_1, M_2]$ be an amalgam in $\text{age}(S)$, where $M_k = \mathcal{M}[G_k; I_k, \Lambda_k; P_k]$ ($k = 0, 1, 2$). We may again assume that $H_1 \cap H_2 = H_0$, $I_1 \cap I_2 = I_0$, $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 = \Lambda_0$, and each $M_k$ is normalised with $1_{I_1} = 1_{I_0} = 1_{I_2}$ and $1_{\Lambda_1} = 1_{\Lambda_0} = 1_{\Lambda_2}$. We may also assume that $p_{\mu, j}^{(0)} = p_{\mu, j}^{(1)} = p_{\mu, j}^{(2)}$ for each $j \in I_0$, $\mu \in \Lambda_0$.

The amalgam $[G_0; G_1, G_2]$ can be be embedded in a group $K \in \text{age}(G)$, by embeddings $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ of $G_1$ and $G_2$, respectively. Let $\bar{I} = I_1 \cup I_2$ and $\bar{\Lambda} = \Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2$. Define the $\bar{\Lambda} \times \bar{I}$
and put $T = \mathcal{M}[K; \bar{I}, \bar{\Lambda}; Q]$. Note that $T$ is normalised along row $1_{I_0}$ and down column $1_{\Lambda_0}$. The map $\theta_k = [\phi_i, \iota_k, e, e]$ from $M_k$ to $T$ ($k = 1, 2$) is an embedding by Theorem 4.4, where $\iota_k$ is the inclusion embedding. Moreover, $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ clearly agree on $M_0$, so $[M_0; M_1, M_2]$ can be embedded in $T$. It therefore suffices to show that $T$ is a member of $\mathcal{CS}(G; H)$.

Note that if $\theta : K_1 \to K_2$ is an embedding of members of age($G$), and if $K_1' \leq K_1$ is a member of age($H$), then so too is $K_1'\theta$ (simply extend the isomorphism between $K_1'$ and $K_1'\theta$ to an automorphism of $G$, noting that $H$ is a characteristic subgroup of $G$). Hence, as $\langle G_1^{P_1} \rangle$ and $\langle G_2^{P_2} \rangle$ are members of age($H$), so too are $\langle G_1^{P_1} \phi_1 \rangle$ and $\langle G_2^{P_2} \phi_2 \rangle$, and thus $\langle K^Q \rangle$, being generated by these groups, is a member of age($H$). \hfill $\square$

Every homogeneous Rees matrix semigroup of generic type can therefore be built from a group $G$, a characteristic subgroup $H$, and an $H$-generic bipartite graph. As a consequence we obtain all homogeneous Rees matrix semigroups with infinite sandwich matrix, as either a direct product of a group and a rectangular band, or the Fraïssé limit of some $\mathcal{CS}(G; H)$. We summarise our findings:

Theorem 5.16. A completely simple semigroup $S$ is homogeneous if and only if there exists a homogeneous group $G$ with characteristic subgroup $H$ such that

1. $S = G \times B$ for some rectangular band $B$;
2. $S = \mathcal{M}[G; 2, 2; P_1]$ where $H = \{e, a\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $P_1' = (a)$;
3. $S = \mathcal{M}[G; 3, 3; P_2]$ where $H = \{e, a, a^{-1}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $\Gamma(S)$ is $\{a, a^{-1}\}$-edge coloured, with edges coloured by $e$ forming a perfect matching;
4. $S = \mathcal{M}[G; 4, 4; P_3]$ where $H = \{e, a\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\Gamma(S)$ is $\{e, a\}$-edge coloured, with edges coloured by $e$ forming a perfect matching;
5. $S$ is the Fraïssé limit of $\mathcal{CS}(G; H)$, that is, the Rees matrix semigroup $\mathcal{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ with $G^P = H$ and $\Gamma(S)$ being $H$-generic.

6. Homogeneous semigroups

A semigroup $S$ is inverse if every element has a unique inverse, that is, for each $x \in S$ there exists a unique $y \in S$ with $x = xyx$ and $y = yxy$. Inverse semigroups may be naturally considered as a unary semigroup, with unary operation mapping elements to their inverses. In [21] the author showed that the condition that an inverse semigroup is homogeneous as a unary semigroup is stronger than the condition that it is homogeneous as a semigroup, and simple examples were constructed to show that the two concepts of homogeneity differ. In this section we continue this line of work by investigating the homogeneity of completely simple semigroups as semigroups. The key difference is that we shall be considering isomorphisms between all subsemigroups, and as such it will be a stronger, albeit less natural, condition. This work is further motivated by Proposition 6.2.
and Lemma 6.3, which state that regular homogeneous semigroups with either elements of infinite order or finitely many idempotents are completely simple. Given a completely simple semigroup with a subset $X$, to avoid notation clashes we shall let $\langle X \rangle_S$ denote the subsemigroup generated by $X$ (rather than the unary subsemigroup which we denoted by $\langle X \rangle$).

A semigroup $S$ is called periodic if every element is of finite order, that is, if the monogenic subsemigroup $\langle x \rangle_S$ is finite for each $x \in S$. On the class of periodic completely simple semigroups, every subsemigroup is necessarily completely simple (folklore, and remarked upon in [1]). Hence our two notions of homogeneity for a completely simple semigroup intersect in this case:

**Lemma 6.1.** A periodic completely simple semigroup is a homogeneous semigroup if and only if it is a homogeneous completely simple semigroup.

On the other hand, subsemigroups of non-periodic completely simple semigroups can be unwieldy, and are considered in [1]. Indeed, even in the case of groups, it is not known if a homogeneous group is necessarily homogeneous as a semigroup (the abelian case is proven to hold in [21]).

**Open Problem 1:** Characterise which homogeneous completely simple semigroups are homogeneous semigroups.

In Corollary 6.3 of [21], a regular homogeneous semigroup with a non-periodic element contained in a subgroup is shown to be completely simple. We now generalise this to show that an answer to Open Problem 1 would in fact classify all non-periodic regular homogeneous semigroups.

Each regular semigroup $S$ comes equipped with a quasi-order $\leq_R$, known as Green’s right quasi-order, defined by $a \leq_R b$ if and only if there exists $u \in S$ such that $a = bu$. The associated equivalence relation is denoted by $R$. Note that $\leq_R$ is preserved by morphisms, that is, if $\phi : S \to T$ is a morphism of semigroups and if $a \leq_R b$ in $S$ then $a\phi \leq_R b\phi$ in $T$.

Recall that the set of idempotents $E(S)$ of a semigroup comes equipped with a natural order $\leq$, defined by $e \leq f$ if and only if $ef = fe = e$. Any $e \in E(S)$ is a left identity for its $R$-class. Consequently, if $e, f \in E(S)$ then $e \leq_R f$ if and only if $ef = e$, so that $e \leq f$ implies that $e \leq_R f$.

**Proposition 6.2.** Let $S$ be a regular homogeneous semigroup. If $S$ is non-periodic then $S$ is completely simple.

**Proof.** By [13, Theorem 3.3.3] it suffices to show that each idempotent of $S$ is primitive. Let $x$ be an element of $S$ of infinite order. If $x$ is contained in a subgroup of $S$ then $S$ is completely simple by [21], so we assume the contrary. Since $S$ is regular we may pick some idempotent $e$ such that $x R e$. Consider the subsemigroup of $S$ given by $A = \langle x, xe \rangle_S$. Since $e$ is a left identity for $x$ we have for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(x^n e)(x^m e) = x^{n+m} e, \quad x^n(x^m e) = x^{n+m} e, \quad (x^n e)x^m = x^{n+m},$$
and so $A = \{x^n, xe : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Notice that $xe$ has infinite order, since if $x^n e = x^m e$ then $x^{n+1} = (x^n e)x = (x^m e)x = x^{m+1}$, a contradiction. It follows from the multiplication in $A$ that the map swapping $x^n$ with $x^n e$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) is an automorphism of $A$. By the homogeneity of $S$ we may extend the map to an automorphism $\theta$ of $S$. Then $(xe)\theta = xe(e\theta) = x$, and so $x R xe$. Since $e$ is an identity of $xe$ we have, for any idempotent $f \geq e$,

$$f(xe) = f(exe) = (fe)xe = e(exe) = xe = xf.$$  

Hence every idempotent $f \geq e$ is an identity of $xe$, and so the map $\phi$ from $(xe,e)S$ to $(xe,f)S$ mapping $e$ to $f$ and fixing all other elements is an isomorphism. Extending $\phi$ to an automorphism of $S$, then $xe R f$, so that $e R f$. Hence $e = f$, and so $e$ is a maximal idempotent under the natural ordering. Now let $g \in E(S)$. Since idempotents generate trivial semigroups, it follows by the homogeneity of $S$ that there exists an automorphism $\theta$ of $S$ such that $e\theta = g$, and so $g$ is also maximal. Hence all idempotents of $S$ are primitive, and so $S$ is completely simple.

□

Lemma 6.3. A regular homogeneous semigroup with finite set of idempotents is a homogeneous completely simple semigroup.

Proof. Let $e \in E = E(S)$. Since $E$ is finite, there exists a primitive idempotent $f$ under the partial order $\leq$ on $E$. Then by the homogeneity of $S$ there exists an automorphism $\theta$ of $S$ such that $e\theta = f$. If there exists $g \in E$ such that $g \leq f$, then $g\theta \leq e\theta = f$, and so $g = f$ as $f$ is primitive. Hence all idempotents are primitive, and so $S$ is completely simple. The result then follows from Lemma 6.1.

□

A consequence of the lemma above together with Theorem 5.16 is that we now have a full classification of all finite regular homogeneous semigroups (where in Theorem 5.16 the group $G$ is forced to be finite, and case (5) cannot hold).

Note that if we drop the condition that $S$ is regular then the lemma no longer holds. Indeed, it is a simple exercise to check that the monogenic semigroup $(a : a^4 = a^2)S$ is homogeneous, but not completely simple.
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