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Two-dimensional (2D) generalization of the Su-Schriifer-Heeger (SSH) model serves as a platform for exploring higher-order topological insulators (HOTI). We investigate this model in a magnetic field which interpolates two models studied so far with zero flux and \( \pi \) flux per plaquette. We show that in the Hofstadter butterfly there appear a wide gap around \( \pi \) flux, which belongs to the same HOTI discovered by Benalcazar-Bernevig-Hughes (BBH). It turns out that in a weak field regime HOTI could exist even within a small gap disconnected from the wider gap around \( \pi \) flux. To characterize HOTI, we employ the entanglement polarization (eP) technique which is useful even if the basic four bands split into many Landau levels under a magnetic field.

Topological classification of matter is nowadays one of fundamental methods to understand various phenomena in condensed matter physics \[1\, 15\]. In addition to time reversal, particle-hole, and chiral symmetries, crystalline point group symmetries enrich periodic tables of topological insulators and superconductors \[7\, 10\]. Topological classification has also opened a new venue to explore topological phenomena in metamaterials such as phononic systems \[11\, 12\], photonic crystals \[13\, 14\], etc. Experimentally, edge states associated with topological properties of bulk play a crucial role as observables. This is the bulk-edge correspondence \[15\].

Recent discovery of HOTI \[16–20\] has led us to a renewed interest in the bulk-edge correspondence. For conventional topological insulators, bulk topological invariants are directly related with gapless boundary states \[1\, 15\]. In HOTI, on the other hand, both of them seem trivial, that is, bulk topological invariants vanish and boundary states are gapped out. Nevertheless, higher-order boundary states such as corner or hinge states show up. These states are guaranteed by higher-order topological invariants of the bulk, e.g., one-dimensional (1D) Berry-Zak phases in two and higher dimensional systems. This implies that there is still “higher-order” bulk-edge correspondence. HOTI have been attracting much current interest \[21–29\], and observed experimentally in various metamaterial systems \[30–32\].

One of typical models for HOTI is a 2D generalization \[16\, 17\, 25\] of the SSH model \[33\]. Consider a tight-binding model on the square lattice with nearest neighbor hoppings only, as shown in Fig. 1 (\( \phi = 0 \)). Then, the model is a simple decoupled SSH model \( H = h_{SSH,x} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes h_{SSH,y} \), where \( h_{SSH,j} \) stands for the 1D SSH Hamiltonian toward the \( j \) direction \[16\, 17\, 25\]. Therefore, it is obvious that the model shows corner states as the edge states of the 1D SSH models. Remember that these edge states are protected by chiral symmetry of each chain \( \{ h_{SSH,j}, \sigma \} = 0 \), where \( \sigma \) is a certain matrix depending on the representation. Its topological invariant is the quantized polarization (Berry-Zak phase) \[34\]. Therefore, the corner states of this model are also ensured by the quantized polarizations for both directions \[25\]. On the other hand, BBH introduced \( \pi \)-flux per plaquette to this model, as shown in Fig. 1 with \( \phi = \pi \). In this case, the Hamiltonian can be written as \( H = h_{SSH,x} \otimes 1 + \sigma \otimes h_{SSH,y} \). Because of the anti-commutability of the \( x \) and \( y \) sectors, the model becomes gapful. Its ground state is characterized by the topological quadrupole moment \[16\, 17\], or the product formula of topological invariants in the mathematical context \[19\].

These two models studied so far are 2D SSH model with 0-flux and \( \pi \)-flux. With 0-flux, the half-filled ground state is basically gapless and corner states are embedded in the bulk spectrum, whereas a magnetic field giving \( \pi \)-flux per plaquette is too strong to realize in experiments. Therefore, quest for the possibility of HOTI in arbitrary magnetic field is not only a theoretical interest but also an urgent need for experimental observations of HOTI in real materials.

In this paper, we generalize these two models by introducing generic magnetic flux \( \phi \) which interpolates the decoupled SSH model at \( \phi = 0 \) and BBH model at \( \phi = \pi \). The model is defined on the square lattice with the nearest neighbor hopping,

\[
H(\phi) = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} t_{ij} c_i^\dag c_j + \text{h.c.} = \sum_k c_k^\dag H(k,\phi) c_k, \tag{1}
\]

where \( t_{ij} \) is given by \( t_{j+xj} = t_x \) and \( t_{j+yj} = e^{i(jx,1/2)\phi} t_y \). Here, real parameters \( t_x \) and \( t_y \) are \( t_x = \gamma_x, t_y = \gamma_y \).
within unit cells, whereas \( t_x = \lambda_x, t_y = \lambda_y \) between unit cells, as illustrated in Fig. 1. We basically set \( \gamma_x = \gamma_y = \gamma \) and \( \lambda_x = \lambda_y = \lambda (\equiv 1) \). A uniform flux \( \phi = 2\pi p/q \) per plaquette is introduced in the Landau gauge. See Supplementary Information (SI). For such a gauge fixing and choice of the magnetic unit cell, it may be natural to choose the Brillouin zone as \( |k_x| \leq \pi/q \) and \( |k_y| \leq \pi/2 \).

We show in Fig. 2 the Hofstadter butterfly spectra for \( \gamma = 0.35 \) and \( \gamma = 0.45 \), from which we observe: 1) For small \( \gamma \), the spectrum shows a large gap at half-filling except for \( \phi \sim 0 \), implying that the half-filled ground states for any finite flux may be adiabatically deformed to the BBH ground state, and hence, HOTI phase seems robust against magnetic fields. Thus, the decoupled SSH model at \( \phi = 0 \) opens a gap immediately if a small magnetic field is applied, and these gapped ground states would be in the same class as the BBH model. 2) As \( \gamma \) becomes larger, the gap becomes smaller, and around \( \gamma \sim 0.4 \), a gap-closing occurs at \( \phi = \pi/2 \). Even after the gap-closing, one can observe a smaller but finite gap surviving in the weak field regime \( 0 < \phi < \pi/2 \). Since states with fixed \( \phi \) within this gap are deformed from the same states with larger \( \gamma \) keeping gaps open, they are expected to be HOTI. On the other hand, in the butterfly spectrum, they can no longer be deformed into those around \( \phi = \pi \) due to a gap-closing. Therefore, it is desirable to determine their topological properties directly. 3) As \( \gamma \) becomes much larger, the gap around \( \phi = \pi \) shrinks and eventually vanishes at \( \gamma = 1 (\equiv \lambda) \). Then, the HOTI phase disappears from the butterfly. See also SI.

In what follows, we restrict our discussions to the model with \( \gamma = 0.45 \). In Fig. 3 we show the spectrum of the model with full open boundary conditions. Figure 3 (a) is the case with flux \( \phi = 2\pi/3 \), which may be adiabatically connected to the BBH model. One observes degenerate four zero energy states in the bulk gap whose wave functions are localized at four corners, as seen in Fig. 3 (c). These give indeed corner charge \( \sim \pm 0.494 \) (charge deviation from 2 within \( 3 \times 3 \) unit cells around each corner). This suggests that the gapped ground state belongs to topological quadrupole phase with corner charges \( \pm 1/2 \).

Even in the isolated gap in the weak field regime, one can also observe degenerate zero energy states in a small energy gap in Fig. 3 (b). Although the peaks and valleys of the occupied charges at corners do not look very sharp in Fig. 3 (d), the corner charge can be estimated as \( \pm 0.435 \) and \( \pm 0.492 \), respectively, within \( 3 \times 3 \) and \( 5 \times 5 \) unit cells around each corner. Therefore, the distribution of the corner charge is rather broad, but its total amount would be \( \pm 1/2 \). Thus, the question is whether the states in the weak field regime belong to the HOTI phase. To address the question, we apply the entanglement techniques to this system developed in [33, 36] and applied to the HOTI phase of the BBH model [29].

First, we discuss the symmetry properties of the model. As discussed in Refs. [16, 17], reflection symmetries play a crucial role in the quantization of the topological quadrupole moment. In the present model, however, they change not only the sign of the momentum but also the sign of the magnetic field such that

\[
M_x \mathcal{H}(k_x, k_y, \phi)M_x^{-1} = \mathcal{H}(-k_x, k_y, -\phi),
\]

\[
M_y \mathcal{H}(k_x, k_y, \phi)M_y^{-1} = \mathcal{H}(k_x, -k_y, -\phi).
\]  (2)

See SI. On the other hand, under time reversal \( T = K \), where \( K \) stands for the complex conjugation, the transformation law of \( \mathcal{H}(k, \phi) \) reads

\[
T \mathcal{H}(k_x, k_y, \phi)T^{-1} = \mathcal{H}(-k_x, -k_y, -\phi).
\]  (3)

Combining these, we can define anti-unitary reflection symmetries \( \tilde{M}_j = M_j T (j = x, y) \), under which the Hamiltonian transforms as

\[
\tilde{M}_x \mathcal{H}(k_x, k_y, \phi)\tilde{M}_x = \mathcal{H}(k_x, -k_y, \phi),
\]

\[
\tilde{M}_y \mathcal{H}(k_x, k_y, \phi)\tilde{M}_y = \mathcal{H}(-k_x, k_y, \phi).
\]  (4)

FIG. 2: Hofstadter butterfly spectra for (a) \( \gamma = 0.35 \) and (b) \( \gamma = 0.45 \). The spectra in \( \pi < \phi < 2\pi \) are symmetric with respect to \( \phi = \pi \).

FIG. 3: (a), (b): The energies of the model with full open boundary conditions in the case of \( \gamma = 0.45 \). Insets show degenerate four zero energy states. (c), (d): Occupied states for any finite flux may be adiabatically deformed from the same formation law of \( \mathcal{H}(k, \phi) \) to the BBH ground state, and hence, HOTI phase seems robust against magnetic fields. Thus, the decoupled SSH model at \( \phi = 0 \) opens a gap immediately if a small magnetic field is applied, and these gapped ground states would be in the same class as the BBH model. 2) As \( \gamma \) becomes larger, the gap becomes smaller, and around \( \gamma \sim 0.4 \), a gap-closing occurs at \( \phi = \pi/2 \). Even after the gap-closing, one can observe a smaller but finite gap surviving in the weak field regime \( 0 < \phi < \pi/2 \). Since states with fixed \( \phi \) within this gap are deformed from the same states with larger \( \gamma \) keeping gaps open, they are expected to be HOTI. On the other hand, in the butterfly spectrum, they can no longer be deformed into those around \( \phi = \pi \) due to a gap-closing. Therefore, it is desirable to determine their topological properties directly. 3) As \( \gamma \) becomes much larger, the gap around \( \phi = \pi \) shrinks and eventually vanishes at \( \gamma = 1 (\equiv \lambda) \). Then, the HOTI phase disappears from the butterfly. See also SI.
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In what follows, we omit the dependence on $\phi$, considering the system with fixed $\phi$.

Next, we divide the total system into a subspace $A$ and its complement $\bar{A}$, and derive the entanglement Hamiltonian ($eH$) $H^A$ and $H^{\bar{A}}$ as follows. Let $\{G\}$ be the half-filled ground state of the model with a flux $\phi$. Then, by tracing out $\bar{A}$ in the density matrix $\rho = \langle G\rangle\langle G\rangle$, we obtain $H^A$ as $\text{tr}_A \rho \propto e^{-H^A}$. For noninteracting systems, $eH$ thus also reduce to noninteracting Hamiltonians [27] written as, $H^A = \sum_{j,l \in A} c_j^\dagger(k)c_l(k)$, where we have assumed that the partition into $A$ and $\bar{A}$ keeps translational invariance. The entanglement topological numbers are associated with the eigenfunctions $\chi^A(k)$ of the $eH$, obeying

$$\sum_l \mathcal{H}^A_{jl}(k)\chi^A_l(k) = \epsilon^A_{jn}(k)\chi^A_{jn}(k),$$

where $j,l \in A$. Assume that the entanglement spectrum (eS), $\epsilon^A_{jn}(k)$, is gapped at zero energy. Then, by the Schmidt-decomposition of the ground state $\langle G\rangle = \sum_n a_{\bar{A}} b_D |\Psi_n\rangle \otimes |\Phi_n\rangle$ and the singular value decomposition of $D$, it turns out that the most dominant term is unique, given by $\langle G\rangle \sim |G^A\rangle \otimes |G^{\bar{A}}\rangle$, where $|G^A\rangle$ and $|G^{\bar{A}}\rangle$ are the ground states of $H^A$ and $H^{\bar{A}}$, respectively [30]. Therefore, the topological number associated with $|G\rangle$ is just the sum of those associated with $|G^A\rangle$ and $|G^{\bar{A}}\rangle$. This motivates us to introduce the entanglement Berry connection (eBC),

$$A^A_{\mu}(k) = \sum_{\epsilon_{\mu}^A < 0} \sum_{j,A} \chi^A_{nj}(k) \partial_{\epsilon_{\mu}^A} \chi^A_{jn}(k).$$

This is the basis for various topological numbers associated with $|G^A\rangle$. For the present model, the eP defined by

$$p^A_{\mu}(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi_y}^{\pi_y} dk_{\mu} A^A_{\mu}(k),$$

where $\pi_x = \pi/q$ and $\pi_y = \pi/2$ characterizes the HOTI and the corner states, as we shall show below. It was pointed out [31] that the eigenstates of the $eH$ $\mathcal{H}^A_{jl}(k)$ can be computed in a simpler way as follows: Define the projection operator to the ground states,

$$P_G(k) = \sum_{\text{occ.}} \psi_j(k) \psi^\dagger_{jn}(k),$$

where $\psi_j(k)$ is the eigenstate of $H(k)$ in Eq. (1), obeying $\sum_l \mathcal{H}_{jl}(k)\psi_l(k) = \psi_j(k)\epsilon_j(k)$, and the sum over $n$ is restricted to the occupied bands. Note that $P_G(k)$ obeys the same symmetry properties of $H(k)$ in Eq. (1). Now, if $j,l$ in Eq. (3) are restricted to those belonging to $A$, which may be written as

$$P^A_G(k) \equiv P^A P_G(k) P^A,$$

where $P^A$ stands for the projection operator to $A$, the eigenstates of $P^A_G(k)$ is the simultaneous eigenstates of the $eH$, $\mathcal{H}^A(k)$ [37]. The eigenvalues of the $eH$, $\epsilon^A(k)$ in Eq. (5) are related with those of the projection operator $\epsilon^A(k)$ in Eq. (9) as $\epsilon^A(k) = 1/(e^{\epsilon^A(k)} + 1)$. We therefore often call $P^A_G(k)$ eH also. As partitions, we consider two choices: One is $A = \{1,2,\cdots, q\}$, and the other is $A = \{q+1, q+2, \cdots, 2q-1\} \equiv L(\text{eft})$ sites in the magnetic unit cell in Fig. 4(a). Here, left means the left sites in the SSH unit cell. Their complements are denoted as $\uparrow$ and $R(\text{ight})$, respectively. In what follows, we often use $\sigma = \downarrow$ or $\uparrow$ and $\tau = L$ or $R$, and $-\sigma$ and $-\tau$ stand for the complement of $\sigma$ and $\tau$, respectively.

Finally, we consider the symmetry properties of the $eH$. The projection operators to $A = \sigma$ transforms as $\hat{M}_x P^\sigma \hat{M}_x^{-1} = P^\sigma$ and $\hat{M}_y P^\sigma \hat{M}_y^{-1} = P^{-\sigma}$, whereas for the partition $A = \tau$, as $\hat{M}_x P^\tau \hat{M}_x^{-1} = P^{-\tau}$ and $\hat{M}_y P^\tau \hat{M}_y^{-1} = P^\tau$. Therefore, we have

$$\hat{M}_x P^\sigma_G(k_x, k_y) \hat{M}_x^{-1} = P^\sigma_G(-k_x, k_y),$$

$$\hat{M}_x P^\tau_G(k_x, k_y) \hat{M}_x^{-1} = P^\tau_G(k_x, -k_y).$$

These symmetry properties give the following constraints on the eBC, $A^\sigma_x(-k_x, k_y) = A^\sigma_y(k_x, k_y)$ and $A^\tau_x(k_x, -k_y) = A^\tau_y(k_x, k_y)$ apart from gauge transformations. This leads to the following relationship,

$$p^\sigma_x(k_y) = p^\sigma_x(k_y),$$

$$p^\tau_x(k_y) = p^\tau_x(k_y),$$

where integer is due to gauge ambiguities of eBC above. On the other hand, the conventional polarizations of the half-filled ground states in Fig. 2 vanish for both directions $x$ and $y$, implying $p^\sigma_x(k_y) + p^\sigma_y(k_y) = p^\tau_x(k_y) + p^\tau_y(k_y) = 0$. Therefore, $p^\sigma_x(k_y)$ and $p^\tau_x(k_y)$ should be quantized, taking only 0 or 1/2 modulo an integer. Since the ground state for a fixed $\phi$ keeps a gap over the Brillouin zone, $p^\sigma_x$ and $p^\tau_x$ cannot depend on $k_y$ and $k_x$, respectively. Thus, the set of bulk eP, $(p^\sigma_x, p^\tau_x)$, can be topological invariants characterizing the HOTI.

Let us calculate eP for the two cases in Fig. 4(a) First, of all, we mention that the eS under $\phi = 2\pi/3$ and $\phi = 2\pi/10$ are indeed gapped, although the gap under $\phi = 2\pi/10$ is rather small. Therefore, it is possible to compute eP for occupied state ($\xi > 1/2$). Using the link variable technique for the Berry connections [38], we have $(p^\sigma_x, p^\tau_x) = (1/2, 1/2)$ in both cases $\phi = 2\pi/3$ and $\phi = 2\pi/10$. The nontrivial $p^\sigma_x = 1/2$ implies that the 1D single chain toward the $x$ direction specified by $\sigma$, if disentangled from another chain $-\sigma$, is topologically equivalent to the SSH chain with edge states. Thus, each chain $\sigma$ or $-\sigma$ has potentially edge states at zero energy. However, the edge states are lifted toward nonzero energies as a result of the coupling between the chains $\pm \sigma$. These form gapped edge states, still localized near the edges along the $y$ direction.

In Fig. 4(a) (a) and (b), we show the spectra of the model with open boundary condition in the $x$ direction. Since each Landau level has a nontrivial Chern number, one can observe various edge states in between the Landau
levels at nonzero energy. The gapped edge states associated with the SSH zero energy states are embedded somewhere in the spectra. Even if these states are identified, they are spectrally degenerate, although spatially separated at the left and right ends.

Note here that the entanglement technique, applied to the system with boundaries, enables us to pick out the single edge state and to compute its eP, which may be referred to as entanglement edge state polarization (eESP). To this end, let us construct the projection operator \( P_G \) in Eq. 3 using the wave functions of the ground states with open boundary condition in the \( x \) direction, i.e., those of Fig. 4 (a) and (b). Let us introduce similar partitions \( A = \sigma \) or \( A = \tau \), extending the magnetic unit cell into whole finite chains in the \( x \) direction. Then, we obtain \( P_G(k_y) = P^\sigma P_G(k_y) P^\sigma \), from which we compute the e\( S \) and eP including the edge states.

In Fig. 4 (c), we show the e\( S \), \( \xi^\ell(k_y) \), corresponding to Fig. 4 (a). One can clearly observe (doubly-degenerate) zero energy states indicated by the green line. The case of Fig. 4 (b) is likewise. Thus, we can reproduce the zero energy edge states in the topological SSH phase by the disentanglement between two chains \( \sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow \). To check this argument, let us introduce anisotropy of the hopping parameters. Firstly, consider the system with \( (\gamma_x, \lambda_x) = (0.45, 1) \) and \( (\gamma_y, \lambda_y) = (1, 0.45) \) which has the bulk eP, \( (p_x^\sigma, p_y^\sigma) = (1/2, 0) \). This case has the same spectra \( \xi^\ell(k_y) \) as in Fig. 4 (e) with zero energy states. Secondly, consider the system with \( (\gamma_x, \lambda_x) = (1, 0.45) \) and \( (\gamma_y, \lambda_y) = (0.45, 1) \) which has the bulk eP, \( (p_x^\sigma, p_y^\sigma) = (0, 1/2) \). This case shows similar spectra but with no zero energy states (See SI).

To reveal the property of these edge states, let us next consider the partition \( \tau \), which lifts the degeneracy of gapped edge states at the left and right ends as follows: For example, \( \tau = L \) includes only the left end. Therefore, the edge states localized at the left and right ends are, respectively, almost occupied and unoccupied in the partition \( \tau = L \). Thus, we can spectrally separate the edge states at the left and right ends. In Fig. 4 (d), we show the e\( S \), \( \xi^\ell(k_y) \), for the partition \( \tau = L \). One can observe \( \xi = 1 \) and \( \xi = 0 \) states indicated by red lines. For these states, we numerically obtain 1/2 eESP both for \( \xi = 1 \) and \( \xi = 0 \) states, implying that these are 1D SSH topological states propagating toward the \( y \) direction along the edges perpendicular to the \( x \) direction. The case of Fig. 4 (b) is likewise. Therefore, if the open boundary condition is further imposed in the \( y \) direction, zero energy edge states appear. These are nothing but the corner states. To check this argument, let us again introduce anisotropic hopping parameters. The system with \( (\gamma_x, \lambda_x) = (0.45, 1) \) and \( (\gamma_y, \lambda_y) = (1, 0.45) \) has the same spectrum \( \xi^\ell(k_y) \) with \( \xi = 1 \) and \( \xi = 0 \) states. However, their eESP are 0, implying that these gapped edge states are trivial dimerized states. Therefore, even if the open boundary condition is further imposed in the \( y \) direction, no edge states appear. The system with \( (\gamma_x, \lambda_x) = (1, 0.45) \) and \( (\gamma_y, \lambda_y) = (0.45, 1) \) shows no \( \xi = 1 \) and \( \xi = 0 \) states.

To conclude, we have studied 2D SSH model in a uniform magnetic field. We have shown the possibility of HOTI in a weak magnetic field regime.
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A. Hamiltonian and reflection symmetries

According to the notational conventions in Fig. 1, the Hamiltonian in the momentum space in Eq. (1) is given by

\[ \mathcal{H}(k, \phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_x(k_x) & \mathcal{H}_y(k_y, \phi) \\ \mathcal{H}_y^\dagger(k_y, \phi) & \mathcal{H}_x^\dagger(k_x) \end{pmatrix}, \]  

where \( \mathcal{H}_x(k_x) \) and \( \mathcal{H}_y(k_y, \phi) \) are \( q \times q \) matrices associated with the hopping toward \( x \) and \( y \) directions, respectively. They are explicitly given by

\[ \mathcal{H}_x(k_x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma_x & e^{-iqk_x} \lambda_x \\ \gamma_x & 0 & \lambda_x \\ \lambda_x & 0 & \gamma_x \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ e^{iqk_x} \lambda_x & \gamma_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \]

\[ \mathcal{H}_y(k_y, \phi) = \text{diag}(\cdots, h_j(k_y, \phi), \cdots), \]

\[ h_j(k_y, \phi) \equiv \gamma_y e^{i(j-\frac{1}{2})\phi} + \lambda_y e^{-2ik_y} - i(j-\frac{1}{2})\phi. \]  

Note that \( \mathcal{H}_x^\dagger(k_x) = \mathcal{H}_x(-k_x) \), and \( \mathcal{H}_y^\dagger(k_y, \phi) = \mathcal{H}_y^\dagger(k_y, \phi) = \mathcal{H}_y(-k_y, -\phi). \)

Next, let us consider the reflection symmetries. The reflection in the \( x \) direction induces the exchange of sites in the magnetic unit cell, \( j \rightarrow j + q \). This can be represented by the use of the following \( q \times q \) matrix

\[ \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \]

which induces the following transformations,

\[ \Sigma \mathcal{H}_x(k_x) \Sigma^{-1} = \mathcal{H}_x(-k_x), \]

\[ \Sigma \mathcal{H}_y(k_y, \phi) \Sigma^{-1} = \text{diag}(\cdots, h_{q+j-1}(k_y, \phi), \cdots) = \mathcal{H}_y(k_y, -\phi). \]  

Therefore, we can define \( M_x \) by

\[ M_x = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma \\ \Sigma \end{pmatrix}. \]

This leads to the transformation law with respect to the \( x \)-reflection in Eq. (2). Next, the reflection in the \( y \) direction induces \( j \leftrightarrow j + q \). Therefore, the following \( M_y \),

\[ M_y = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} \\ \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}, \]

where \( \mathbb{1} \) stands for the \( q \times q \) unit matrix, gives the reflection law with respect to the \( y \) direction in Eq. (2)

B. Hofstadter butterfly spectra for wider parameter space

In the main text, we have shown the Hofstadter butterfly spectra just two cases, smaller and larger \( \gamma \) than \( \gamma \sim 0.4 \) at which gap-closing at flux \( \phi = \pi/2 \) occurs. In this section, we show butterfly spectra for wider parameter space of \( \gamma \).
The case, $\gamma = 0$, is a trivial system with a set of independent squares with flux $\phi$. If very small $\gamma$ is switched, such squares couples together weakly, which form Landau levels. The gap at half-filling is quite wide, and except for $\phi = 0$, all the ground states belong to HOTI phase. When $\gamma$ becomes larger, butterfly shows a similar gap structure, but Landau levels tend to merge toward zero energy. Around $\gamma \sim 0.4$, the gap at $\phi = \pi/2$ closes. For much larger $\gamma$, small gaps in the weak field regime vanish rapidly, and the wider gap around $\pi$-flux becomes smaller and shrinks toward $\phi = \pi$. The HOTI vanish in the butterfly eventually at $\gamma = 1$, as discussed in the main text.

C. Entanglement spectra in the case of anisotropic hopping parameters

We have mentioned in the main text the model with anisotropic parameters $(\gamma_x, \lambda_x) = (1, 0.45)$ and $(\gamma_y, \lambda_y) = (0.45, 1)$ which has the bulk eP, $(p_x^\sigma, p_y^\tau) = (0, 1/2)$. In this section, we present the several spectra of this system with flux $\phi = 2\pi/10$ to establish the argument in the main text. Fig. S2 (a) shows the spectrum of the model with the open boundary condition in the $x$ direction, corresponding to Fig. 4 (b). Fig. S2 (b) and (c) show the eS, corresponding to Fig. 5 (b) and (d). One can observe no zero energy states in Fig. S2 (b) and no $\xi = 0$ and 1 states in Fig. S2 (c).