Pair Correlations of Niederreiter and Halton Sequences are not Poissonian

Roswitha Hofer, Lisa Kaltenböck

Abstract

Niederreiter and Halton sequences are two prominent classes of multi-dimensional sequences which are widely used in practice for numerical integration methods because of their excellent distribution qualities. In this paper we show that these sequences—even though they are uniformly distributed—fail to satisfy the stronger property of Poissonian pair correlations. This extends already established results for one-dimensional sequences and confirms a conjecture of Larcher and Stockinger. The proofs rely on a general tool which identifies specific regularities of a sequence to be sufficient for not having Poissonian pair correlations.

1 Introduction

Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the distance to the nearest integer. A sequence $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of real numbers in [0,1) has Poissonian pair correlations if

$$\frac{1}{N} \# \left\{ 0 \le n \ne l \le N - 1 : \|x_n - x_l\| \le \frac{s}{N} \right\} \to 2s$$

for every real number s > 0 as $N \to \infty$.

The investigation of pair correlations of sequences was originally motivated by problems in quantum chaos, see e.g. [1] and the references therein. In the last few years, in particular the case of Poissonian pair correlations has also been studied from a purely mathematical point of view as this property is natural for a sequence of independently chosen random numbers drawn from the uniform distribution. Extensive research recently has been done in terms of metrical theory as well as for concrete sequences. An introduction to this topic and a collection of results is provided by [11].

For example, it is known that any sequence $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ in [0,1) which has Poissonian pair correlations is also uniformly distributed, i.e.

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \{ 0 \le n \le N - 1 : x_n \in [a, b) \} = b - a$$

^{*}The author is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), Project F5505-N26, which is a part of the Special Research Program Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods: Theory and Applications.

[†]The author is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), Project F5507-N26, which is a part of the Special Research Program Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods: Theory and Applications.

for all $0 \le a < b \le 1$ (this was independently proven in [2, 5, 19]). However, the converse is not true since for many explicit examples of classical low-discrepancy sequences, such as the Kronecker sequence $(\{n\alpha\})_{n\ge 0}$, the van der Corput sequence and certain digital (t,1)-sequences, it has been shown that they do not have Poissonian pair correlations (see e.g. [12]).

A generalization of most previously mentioned results to a multi-dimensional setting has recently been established in [7], see also [20] and [17] for a slightly different approach and a more general analysis of higher dimensional pair correlations. In this work, we refer to the former concept. Therefore, let $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ denote a combination of the supremum-norm of a d-dimensional vector $\mathbf{x} = (x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(d)}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with the distance to the nearest integer function $\|\cdot\|$ defined by

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_{\infty} := \max(\|x^{(1)}\|, \dots, \|x^{(d)}\|).$$

A d-dimensional sequence $(\boldsymbol{x}_n)_{n\geq 0}\in [0,1)^d$ has Poissonian pair correlations if

$$\frac{1}{N} \# \left\{ 0 \le n \ne l \le N - 1 : \|\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{x}_l\|_{\infty} \le \frac{s}{N^{1/d}} \right\} \to (2s)^d$$
 (1)

for every real number s > 0 as $N \to \infty$.

In analogy to the one-dimensional case it could be shown that sequences with this property are uniformly distributed in $[0,1)^d$ and that the d-dimensional Kronecker sequence $(\{n\alpha\})_{n\geq 0}$ does not have Poissonian pair correlations for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$. However, whether the multi-dimensional analogues of other well-distributed one-dimensional point sequences—as Halton or digital (t,d)-sequences—have Poissonian pair correlations remained unanswered.

The motivation behind the present paper was to close this gap. Before the presentation of our results, let us briefly review the construction of the considered sequences.

1.1 Digital (t, d)-sequences

A widely used class of low-discrepancy sequences for numerical integration methods are (t, d)-sequences that are generated via the digital method:

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field with q elements and characteristic p and let $\phi : \mathbb{F}_q \to \{0, 1, \ldots, q-1\}$ be a bijection satisfying $\phi(0) = 0$. Further, let $C^{(1)}, \ldots, C^{(d)} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}_0}$, be given generating matrices, where we assume that each column of such a matrix contains only finitely many nonzero entries. We construct a sequence $(\boldsymbol{x}_n)_{n \geq 0}$, $\boldsymbol{x}_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \ldots, x_n^{(d)})$, by generating the j-th component of the n-th point, $x_n^{(j)}$, as follows. We represent $n = n_0 + n_1 q + n_2 q^2 + \ldots$ in base q and set

$$C^{(j)} \cdot (\phi^{-1}(n_0), \phi^{-1}(n_1), \dots)^{\top} =: (y_1^{(j)}, y_2^{(j)}, \dots)^{\top} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\mathbb{N}}$$

and

$$x_n^{(j)} := \sum_{i \ge 1} \frac{\phi(y_i^{(j)})}{q^i}.$$

The distribution properties of the constructed sequence $(\boldsymbol{x}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ strongly depend on the generating matrices $C^{(1)}, \ldots, C^{(d)}$.

If for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $r_1, \ldots, r_d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $r_1 + \cdots + r_d = m - t$, $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the set of row vectors

$$\{(c_{r,k}^{(j)})_{0 \le k \le m} : j \in \{1, \dots, d\}, r \in \{1, \dots, r_j\}\}$$

is linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_q , then $(\boldsymbol{x}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a (t,d)-sequence in base q, i.e. for all integers m>t and $s\in\mathbb{N}_0$ the point set $(\boldsymbol{x}_n)_{sq^m\leq n<(s+1)q^m}$ has the property that any elementary interval of order m-t, that is any interval of the form

$$I(v_1, \dots, v_d) := \prod_{j=1}^d \left[\frac{a_j}{q^{v_j}}, \frac{a_j + 1}{q^{v_j}} \right)$$

with $v_1 + \cdots + v_d = m - t$ and $a_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, q^{v_j} - 1\}$, contains exactly q^t points. For more detailed information on (t, d)-sequences and their construction we refer to [3, 16] and the references therein.

The more specific notion of (t, \mathbf{e}, d) -sequences, where $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_d)$, was introduced by Tezuka in [21] and analyzed e.g. in [9]. For constructing such sequences, for all $r_1, \dots, r_d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $e_1r_1 + \dots + e_dr_d \leq m - t$, the set of row vectors

$$\{(c_{r,k}^{(j)})_{0 \le k < t + \sum_{j=1}^{d} e_j r_j} : j \in \{1, \dots, d\}, r \in \{1, \dots, e_j r_j\}\}$$

has to be linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_q . Then, for all integers m>t and $s\in\mathbb{N}_0$ the point set $([\boldsymbol{x}_n]_{q,m})_{sq^m\leq n<(s+1)q^m}$, where $[\boldsymbol{x}_n]_{q,m}$ denotes the coordinate-wise q-ary m-digit truncation of the point \boldsymbol{x}_n , satisfies that for any $v_1,\ldots,v_d\in\mathbb{N}_0$ with $e_1v_1+\cdots+e_dv_d\leq m-t$, the elementary interval $I(e_1v_1,\ldots,e_dv_d)$ contains exactly $q^{m-(e_1v_1+\cdots+e_dv_d)}$ points.

Note that a (t, d)-sequence in base q is identical to a (t, \mathbf{e}, d) -sequence in base q if $\mathbf{e} = (1, ..., 1)$. Moreover, it is known that any (u, \mathbf{e}, d) -sequence in base q is a (t, d)-sequence in base q with $t = u + \sum_{j=1}^{d} (e_j - 1)$.

It is a non-trivial task to find or construct matrices satisfying such strict conditions on their rank structure. One famous example was given by Niederreiter [15].

For a given dimension $d \in \mathbb{N}$ we choose $q_1(x), \ldots, q_d(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ to be monic non-constant pairwise co-prime polynomials over \mathbb{F}_q of degrees $e_j := \deg q_j(x) \geq 1$ for $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. Set $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \ldots, e_d)$. Now the i-th row of the j-th generating matrix $C^{(j)}$, denoted by $\rho_i^{(j)}$, is constructed as follows. We choose $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \{0, \ldots, e_j-1\}$ such that $i = e_j s - r$, consider the expansion

$$\frac{x^r}{q_j(x)^s} = \sum_{k>0} a^{(j)}(s, r, k) x^{-k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$$

and set $\rho_i^{(j)} = (a^{(j)}(s, r, k))_{k \ge 0}$.

It is easy to check that the generating matrices are non-singular upper triangular (NUT) matrices over \mathbb{F}_q . Furthermore, they generate digital $(0, \boldsymbol{e}, d)$ - and (t, d)-sequences over \mathbb{F}_q with $t = \sum_{j=1}^d (e_j - 1)$ (see e.g. [21, 9]).

An alternative column by column construction method for generating matrices was introduced in [8].

We choose $d \in \mathbb{N}$ pairwise co-prime, monic non-constant polynomials $q_1(x), \ldots, q_d(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ and denote their degrees, which are all positive, by e_1, \ldots, e_d . For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we construct the k-th column of the j-th generating matrix $C^{(j)}$, denoted by $\sigma_k^{(j)} = (\sigma_{t,k}^{(j)})_{t \geq 1}$, by using the representation of x^k in terms of powers of $q_j(x)$, i.e., $x^k = \sum_{s \geq 0} b_s(x) q_j^s(x)$ with $b_s(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ satisfying $\deg b_s(x) < e_j$. This representation can be computed as follows:

$$x^k = a_0(x)q_j(x) + b_0(x),$$
 where $a_0(x), b_0(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ such that $\deg b_0(x) < e_j,$ $a_0(x) = a_1(x)q_j(x) + b_1(x),$ where $a_1(x), b_1(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ such that $\deg b_1(x) < e_j,$:

Note that there are just finitely many nonzero remainder polynomials $b_s(x)$. Now we consider the representation of the remainder polynomial $b_s(x)$ in terms of powers of x, i.e. $b_s(x) = b_{s,0} + b_{s,1}x + \cdots + b_{s,e_j-1}x^{e_j-1}$, and set

$$(\sigma_{e_js+1,k}^{(j)},\sigma_{e_js+2,k}^{(j)},\ldots,\sigma_{e_js+e_j,k}^{(j)}):=(b_{s,0},b_{s,1},\ldots,b_{s,e_j-1}).$$

The matrices $C^{(1)}, \ldots, C^{(d)}$ are NUT matrices and generate a $(0, \boldsymbol{e}, d)$ -sequence in base q. (Cf. [8, proof of Theorem 1]).

For both of these methods of constructing generating matrices of digital sequences, we analyze the left hand side of (1) and obtain our first main result.

Theorem 1 The digital $(0, \mathbf{e}, d)$ -sequences with generating matrices $C^{(1)}, \ldots, C^{(d)}$ obtained via the Niederreiter construction or the alternative column by column approach do not have Poissonian pair correlations.

1.2 Halton Sequences

Other multi-dimensional point sequences which are of wide interest and which can be seen as the extension of the van der Corput sequence to higher dimensions are Halton sequences [6].

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $b_1, \ldots, b_d \geq 2$ be pairwise relatively prime integers and for $b \geq 2$ let $\phi_b : \mathbb{N}_0 \to [0, 1)$ be the b-adic radical inverse function, defined as

$$\phi_b(n) := \frac{n_0}{b} + \frac{n_1}{b^2} + \dots$$

where $n = n_0 + n_1 b + \ldots$ with $n_i \in \{0, \ldots, b-1\}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is the unique base b representation of n. The Halton sequence in bases b_1, \ldots, b_d is the sequence $(\boldsymbol{x}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ in $[0,1)^d$ whose elements are given by

$$\boldsymbol{x}_n = (\phi_{b_1}(n), \dots, \phi_{b_d}(n)).$$

Again, see e.g. [3] for more details. The question whether Halton sequences have Poissonian pair correlations was posed in [7] and also stated as Problem 5 in [11], although it was suggested that this is most likely not the case. It turns out that this conjecture indeed is true.

Theorem 2 The Halton sequence $(\boldsymbol{x}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ in pairwise relatively prime integer bases $b_1,\ldots,b_d,\ d\in\mathbb{N}$, does not have Poissonian pair correlations.

Of course, it typically is expected that multi-dimensional versions of sequences have similar qualities as their one-dimensional analogues. However, it should be mentioned that an exceptional behaviour of Halton sequences has been observed for the instance of the L_p -discrepancy for $p < \infty$. Recently, Levin proved that higher-dimensional Halton sequences have optimal order of L_p -discrepancy [13], even though the one-dimensional van der Corput sequence does not satisfy optimal L_p -discrepancy bounds (see e.g. [18]).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The key ingredient for the proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 is a general tool stated as Proposition 1 at the beginning of the next section. It identifies regularity conditions of sequences which are sufficient for failing Poissonian pair correlations. Verifying these conditions for both, Niederreiter and Halton sequences, is not trivial and therefore takes the majority of Section 2. Finally, in Section 3 we give an outlook to future research tasks and discuss a problem in algebraic number theory and Diophantine approximation that occurred during the investigation of Halton sequences.

2 Proofs

The property of Poissonian pair correlations can be seen as local quality criterion for a sequence $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ to be uniformly distributed. Therefore, one might suggest that deterministically generated sequences which show a certain degree of regularity do not enjoy this feature. In fact, this is the statement of the following proposition, which serves as one of our key tools for the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.

Proposition 1 Let $(\boldsymbol{x}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence in $[0,1)^d$. If there exists a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers $(N_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $(\boldsymbol{x}_n)_{0\leq n< N_k}$ fulfills

$$\#\left\{0 \le n \ne l \le N_k - 1 : \|\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{x}_l\|_{\infty} \in \left(\frac{a}{N_k^{1/d}}, \frac{b}{N_k^{1/d}}\right]\right\} \ge cN_k$$
 (2)

for all k larger than some index k_0 and where a, b, c > 0 are real constants which satisfy

$$c > (2b)^d - (2a)^d > 0, (3)$$

then $(\boldsymbol{x}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ does not have Poissonian pair correlations.

Proof. To begin with, assume that $(\boldsymbol{x}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ has Poissonian pair correlations. We use this property for s=b and obtain

$$\frac{1}{N_k} \# \left\{ 0 \le n \ne l \le N_k - 1 : \|\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{x}_l\|_{\infty} \le \frac{b}{N_k^{1/d}} \right\} \to (2b)^d$$

as $N_k \to \infty$. It holds that

$$\# \left\{ 0 \le n \ne l \le N_k - 1 : \|\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{x}_l\|_{\infty} \le \frac{b}{N_k^{1/d}} \right\} \\
= \# \left\{ 0 \le n \ne l \le N_k - 1 : \|\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{x}_l\|_{\infty} \le \frac{a}{N_k^{1/d}} \right\} \\
+ \# \left\{ 0 \le n \ne l \le N_k - 1 : \|\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{x}_l\|_{\infty} \in \left(\frac{a}{N_k^{1/d}}, \frac{b}{N_k^{1/d}}\right] \right\} \\
=: A + B.$$

Therefore, for any $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ there exists an index $k(\varepsilon_1)$ such that for all $k > k(\varepsilon_1)$ we have

$$\frac{A}{N_k} + \frac{B}{N_k} \le (2b)^d + \varepsilon_1.$$

For sufficiently large N_k we can use the assumptions (2) and obtain

$$\frac{A}{N_k} \le (2b)^d + \varepsilon_1 - \frac{B}{N_k} \le (2b)^d + \varepsilon_1 - c.$$

Now consider A/N_k which tends to $(2a)^d$ as $N_k \to \infty$ by the property of Poissonian pair correlations for s=a. Again this implies that for any $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ there is an index $k(\varepsilon_2)$ such that for all $k > k(\varepsilon_2)$ it holds that

$$\frac{A}{N_k} \ge (2a)^d - \varepsilon_2.$$

By assumption (3), there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$c = (2b)^d - (2a)^d + \kappa.$$

However, if ε_1 and ε_2 are chosen such that $\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 < \kappa$ and provided that N_k is sufficiently large we have

$$(2a)^d - \varepsilon_2 \le \frac{A}{N_k} \le (2b)^d + \varepsilon_1 - c$$

and

$$c \le (2b)^d - (2a)^d + \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 < (2b)^d - (2a)^d + \kappa = c,$$

which yields the desired contradiction to our assumption that $(\boldsymbol{x}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ has Poissonian pair correlations.

In the light of Proposition 1, the key ingredient for proving that Niederreiter and Halton sequences do not have Poissonian pair correlations therefore is to find enough pairs of points, for which the distance between those points can be suitably well calculated and lies in a rather small interval.

2.1 Application to digital sequences

For the proof of Theorem 1 we need some preliminary results.

Lemma 1 ([4, Prop.1]) Let $C^{(1)}, \ldots, C^{(d)}$ be the generating matrices of a digital (t, \mathbf{e}, d) sequence over \mathbb{F}_q and S be a NUT matrix in $\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0}$. Then $C^{(1)}S, \ldots, C^{(d)}S$ are generating matrices of a digital (t, \mathbf{e}, d) -sequence over \mathbb{F}_q .

In the following the quantity L_f denotes the maximal row length considering the first f rows of all generating matrices. More precisely, taking the matrix consisting of the first f rows of each of the generating matrices, $L_f - 1$ is the index of the last non-zero column (or ∞ , if none exists).

Lemma 2 Let $C^{(1)}, \ldots, C^{(d)}$ be the generating matrices associated to the distinct monic non-constant pairwise co-prime polynomials $q_1(x), \ldots, q_d(x)$ with degrees e_1, \ldots, e_d using one of the two constructions given in Section 1.1. We set $v := \text{lcm}(e_1, \ldots, e_d)$ and define the matrix $S \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0}$ as follows. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the k-th column σ_k of S, is given by $\sigma_k = (b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{k-1}, 0, \ldots)^{\top}$ where the b_n are the coefficients of the following monic polynomial of degree k,

$$p_k(x) = x^{r_1} \prod_{i=1}^d q_i(x)^{(s_i + s_{i+1} + \dots + s_d)v/e_i} = \sum_{n>0} b_n x^n.$$

Here the s_i and r_i are defined as follows

$$k = dv s_d + r_d, r_d \in \{0, \dots, vd - 1\}$$

$$r_d = (d - 1)v s_{d-1} + r_{d-1}, r_{d-1} \in \{0, \dots, v(d - 1) - 1\}$$

$$\vdots \vdots \vdots$$

$$r_2 = v s_1 + r_1, r_1 \in \{0, \dots, v - 1\}.$$

Then the matrices $C^{(1)}S, \ldots, C^{(d)}S$ generate a digital $(0, \mathbf{e}, d)$ -sequence and satisfy $L_f \leq df$ provided that v|f.

Proof. For the Niederreiter construction see [10], for the column by column construction note the linearity of the construction algorithm and the fact that $(1, x, x^2, \ldots, x^k)$ as well as $(1, p_1(x), p_2(x), \ldots, p_k(x))$ form a base of $\{p \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] : \deg(p) \le k\}$.

Proof of Theorem 1. We again may assume $d \geq 2$ as the one-dimensional case was treated already in [12]. Let $q_j(x)$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ be monic non-constant pairwise coprime polynomials over \mathbb{F}_q of degrees $e_j \geq 1$ and let $C^{(j)}$ denote the corresponding generating matrices constructed via the Niederreiter or the alternative column by column approach. Moreover, let m be a multiple of $v = \text{lcm}(e_1, \ldots, e_d)$ times d, i.e. m = kvd with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $M_m = q^m$ and $N_m = 2q^m$.

The sequence $(\boldsymbol{x}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ constructed via the digital method is a $(0,\boldsymbol{e},d)$ -sequence, therefore any elementary interval with volume q^{-m} of the form

$$I(vk, \dots, vk) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \left[\frac{a_j}{q^{vk}}, \frac{a_j + 1}{q^{vk}} \right)$$

where $0 \le a_j < q^{vk}$, contains exactly one point \boldsymbol{x}_n with $n \in \{0, 1, ..., M_m - 1\}$ and one point \boldsymbol{x}_l with $l \in \{M_m, M_m + 1, ..., N_m - 1\}$. The idea of the proof then is to find infinitely many suitable values of m such that the distances between the elements \boldsymbol{x}_n and \boldsymbol{x}_l are similar for many n and l, respectively, in order to apply Proposition 1.

To begin with, for arbitrary $n = n_0 + n_1 q + \dots$ and $l = l_0 + l_1 q + \dots$ we define

$$\Delta_{n,l} := (\phi^{-1}(l_0), \phi^{-1}(l_1), \dots, \phi^{-1}(l_m))^{\top} - (\phi^{-1}(n_0), \phi^{-1}(n_1), \dots, \phi^{-1}(n_m))^{\top} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m+1}.$$

Note that $n_m = 0$ and $l_m = 1$.

The elements \boldsymbol{x}_n and \boldsymbol{x}_l lie in the same elementary interval $I(vk,\ldots,vk)$ if

$$D_{m \times (m+1)} \Delta_{n,l} = (0, \dots, 0)^{\mathsf{T}},$$
 (4)

where $D_{m\times(m+1)} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m\times(m+1)}$ is the $(m\times(m+1))$ -matrix whose rows consist of the rows of each upper left $(kv\times(m+1))$ -submatrix of $C^{(j)}$, $j\in\{1,\ldots,d\}$. Note that $D_{m\times(m+1)}$ has rank m.

Furthermore, let S_{m+1} be the upper left $((m+1)\times (m+1))$ -submatrix of S defined in Lemma 2. Since S_{m+1} is regular we can rewrite

$$D_{m \times (m+1)} \Delta_{n,l} = D_{m \times (m+1)} S_{m+1} S_{m+1}^{-1} \Delta_{n,l}.$$

Since $0 \le n < M_m$, $M_m \le l < N_m$ and both, S_{m+1} and S_{m+1}^{-1} are NUT matrices with 1s in the diagonal, we have

$$S_{m+1}^{-1}\Delta_{n,l} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{d} \\ \phi^{-1}(1) \end{pmatrix}$$

with $d \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$. Moreover, from Lemma 2 with f = kv it follows that the last column of the product $D_{m \times (m+1)} S_{m+1}$ consists of zeros exclusively. From (4) it therefore follows that d is the zero vector in \mathbb{F}_q^m , i.e.

$$S_{m+1}^{-1}\Delta_{n,l} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \phi^{-1}(1) \end{pmatrix},$$

or equivalently after multiplying with S_{m+1} from the left, $\Delta_{n,l}$ equals $\phi^{-1}(1)$ times the (m+1)-st column of S_{m+1} , which is determined by the representation of the polynomial

$$p_m(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d q_i(x)^{vk/e_i}$$

in terms of powers of x.

We now have to find specific choices of m or k, respectively, such that we obtain special $\Delta_{n,l}$ in order to apply Proposition 1. Therefore, let

$$\tau_i := \min\{r \in \mathbb{N} : q_i^{rv/e_i}(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{q_j^{v/e_j}(x)} \text{ for every } j \neq i\}.$$

Then we use the characteristic p of the finite field \mathbb{F}_q and the fact that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq l < p^k$ we have $\binom{p^k}{l} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. So whenever u is a power of the characteristic of \mathbb{F}_q we have for all $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ that

$$(f(x) + g(x))^u = f^u(x) + g^u(x).$$

If we set $\theta := \text{lcm}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d)$, we therefore have

$$q_i^{\theta v/e_i}(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{q_j^{v/e_j}(x)}$$

and

$$\prod_{\substack{i=1\\i\neq j}}^{d} q_i^{uv\theta/e_i}(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{q_j^{uv/e_j}(x)}.$$
 (5)

Then let $m = uv\theta d$ and consider $C_{(m+1)\times(m+1)}^{(j)}\Delta_{n,l}$.

1. If the matrix $C^{(j)}$ is constructed via the Niederreiter approach, then the k-th entry of $C^{(j)}_{(m+1)\times(m+1)}\Delta_{n,l}$ is the coefficient of x^{-1} in the Laurent series expansion of

$$\phi^{-1}(1) \frac{x^r}{q_j^s(x)} \prod_{i=1}^d q_i^{uv\theta/e_i}(x),$$

with $k = e_j s - r$ and $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, e_j - 1\}$. For $s \le uv\theta/e_j$ and any admissible value of r, the expression above is a polynomial and therefore the coefficient of x^{-1} in its Laurent series expansion is 0. For $uv\theta/e_j < s \le uv\theta/e_j + uv/e_j$ we use (5) to get

$$\frac{x^r}{q_j^s(x)} \prod_{i=1}^d q_i^{uv\theta/e_i}(x) = x^r b(x) + \frac{x^r}{q_j^{s-uv\theta/e_j}(x)},$$

for some polynomial $b(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$. Remember that $x^r q_j^{-(s-uv\theta/e_j)}(x)$ exactly determines row $e_j(s-uv\theta/e_j)-r$ of $C^{(j)}$ and that the coefficient of x^{-1} of this expression is the entry in the first column. Since $C^{(j)}$ is a NUT matrix with 1s in the diagonal we obtain

$$C_{m+1\times m+1}^{(j)}\Delta_{n,l} = (\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{uv\theta},\phi^{-1}(1),\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{uv-1},a,\ldots)^{\top},$$

with $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

2. In case that the matrix $C^{(j)}$ is constructed via the column by column approach, the entries of $C^{(j)}_{(m+1)\times(m+1)}\Delta_{n,l}$ are given by the coefficients of the representation of $\phi^{-1}(1)p_m(x)$ in terms of powers of $q_j(x)$. Using (5) we get

$$p_m(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d q_i^{uv\theta/e_i}(x)$$

$$= q_j^{uv\theta/e_j}(x) \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1\\i\neq j}}^d q_i^{uv\theta/e_i}(x)\right)$$

$$= q_j^{uv\theta/e_j}(x) \left(1 + b(x)q_j^{uv/e_j}(x)\right).$$

for some polynomial $b(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$. Thus, here we also have

$$C_{(m+1)\times(m+1)}^{(j)}\Delta_{n,l} = (\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{uv\theta},\phi^{-1}(1),\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{uv-1},a,\dots)^{\top},$$

with $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Assume now that the $(uv\theta+1)$ -st entry $y_{uv\theta+1}^{(1)}$ of $C^{(1)} \cdot (\phi^{-1}(n_0), \phi^{-1}(n_1), \dots)^{\top}$ fulfills

$$|\phi(y_{uv\theta+1}^{(1)} + \phi^{-1}(1)) - \phi(y_{uv\theta+1}^{(1)})| = \max\{|\phi(\alpha + \phi^{-1}(1)) - \phi(\alpha)| : \text{ for } \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q\} =: w \ge 1,$$

which is the case for at least M_m/q many values of $n \in \{0, 1, ..., M_m - 1\}$. Then, for such n it holds that

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{x}_l\|_{\infty} \in \left[\frac{w}{q^{uv\theta+1}} - \frac{1}{q^{uv\theta+uv}}, \frac{w}{q^{uv\theta+1}} + \frac{1}{q^{uv\theta+uv}}\right).$$

Finally, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and set

$$a = 2^{1/d} \frac{w}{q} - \varepsilon, \qquad b = 2^{1/d} \frac{w}{q} + \varepsilon, \qquad c = \frac{1}{q}.$$

Thus, for $N_m = 2q^m$ with $m = uv\theta d$, where u is a power of the characteristic of \mathbb{F}_q , we have

$$\#\left\{0 \le n \ne l \le N_m - 1 : \|\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{x}_l\|_{\infty} \in \left(\frac{a}{N_m^{1/d}}, \frac{b}{N_m^{1/d}}\right]\right\} \ge cN_m$$

provided that u is chosen large enough. However, since ε can be chosen such that

$$(2b)^{d} - (2a)^{d} = 2^{d} \left(\left(2^{1/d} \frac{w}{q} + \varepsilon \right)^{d} - \left(2^{1/d} \frac{w}{q} - \varepsilon \right)^{d} \right) < \frac{1}{q} = c,$$

the assumptions of Proposition 1 are fulfilled and the considered sequences therefore do not have Poissonian pair correlations. \Box

2.2 Application to Halton sequences

In order to be able to also apply Proposition 1 to Halton sequences, we again need a preliminary result, formulated as Lemma 3 below.

However, this lemma makes use of *Minkowski's Theorem* (see [14]) that states that if $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a convex set that is symmetric about the origin (i.e., $x \in C$ if and only if $-x \in C$) and with $vol(C) > 2^d m$, then there are at least m different points $\mathbf{z}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{z}_m$ such that $\pm \mathbf{z}_1, \ldots, \pm \mathbf{z}_m \in C \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$.

Lemma 3 Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d$ be irrational. Then the sequence $(\{n\alpha\})_{n\geq 0}$ in $[0,1)^d$ with $\{n\alpha\} = (\{n\alpha_1\}, \ldots, \{n\alpha_d\})$ has an accumulation point in

$$D := \{ (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d) : \delta_i \in \{0, 1\}, j \in \{1, \dots, d\} \}.$$

Proof. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and arbitrary $\varepsilon_j > 0$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, define $C_N \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$,

$$C_N := \{(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : |\alpha_j x_0 - x_j| \le \varepsilon_j, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}, |x_0| \le N\}.$$

The set C is convex and symmetric about the origin with

$$\operatorname{vol}(C) = 2^{d+1} N \prod_{j=1}^{d} \varepsilon_j.$$

Therefore, if $N > m/(\prod_{j=1}^d \varepsilon_j)$, we have $\operatorname{vol}(C) > 2^{d+1}m$ and, by Minkowski's Theorem, there exist m different elements $\boldsymbol{z}_i = (z_i^{(0)}, z_i^{(1)}, \dots, z_i^{(d)}), \ i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $\boldsymbol{z}_i \in C \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} \setminus \{0\}$ and $z_i^{(0)} \geq 0$. Moreover, for those elements it holds that $|\alpha_j z_i^{(0)} - z_i^{(j)}| \leq \varepsilon_j$, thus $\{\alpha_j z_i^{(0)}\} \in (0, \varepsilon_j] \cup [1 - \varepsilon_j, 1)$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Note that, if ε_j are chosen small enough the integers $z_i^{(0)}$ will be distinct.

Proof of Theorem 2. For d=1 we have to consider the van der Corput sequence for which it is well-known that it does not have Poissonian pair correlations. Hence we assume $d \geq 2$ in the following. Let b_1, \ldots, b_d be pairwise relatively prime integers and let $(\boldsymbol{x}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ denote the Halton sequence in bases b_1, \ldots, b_d . Without loss of generality we assume $b_1 < b_j$ for all $j \in \{2, \ldots, d\}$.

Let $u \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ and define

$$P_{1} := \prod_{j=2}^{d} b_{j}^{2}, \qquad P_{i} := b_{1}^{u} \left(\prod_{\substack{j=2\\j \neq i}}^{d} b_{j}^{2} \right),$$

$$\tau_{1} := \min\{1 \leq l \leq P_{1} : b_{1}^{ul} \equiv 1 \pmod{P_{1}}\},$$

$$\tau_{i} := \min\{1 \leq l \leq P_{i} : b_{i}^{2l} \equiv 1 \pmod{P_{i}}\}$$

for all $i \in \{2, ..., d\}$. Such τ_1, τ_i exist as $gcd(P_1, b_1) = gcd(P_i, b_i) = 1$ and $d \ge 2$.

Similar as in the proof of Theorem 1 we define for $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ numbers $N_{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbb{N}$ and corresponding subintervals

$$I := I(u\tau_1k_1, 2\tau_2k_2, \dots, 2\tau_dk_d) = \left[\frac{a_1}{b_1^{u\tau_1k_1}}, \frac{a_1+1}{b_1^{u\tau_1k_1}}\right) \times \prod_{j=2}^d \left[\frac{a_j}{b_j^{2\tau_jk_j}}, \frac{a_j+1}{b_j^{2\tau_jk_j}}\right),$$

where $0 \le a_1 < b_1^{u\tau_1 k_1}$ and $0 \le a_j < b_j^{2\tau_j k_j}$ for $j \in \{2, \ldots, d\}$, and study the distances between the points \boldsymbol{x}_n that lie in the same subinterval I.

Now let

$$M = M(\mathbf{k}) := b_1^{u\tau_1 k_1} \left(\prod_{j=2}^d b_j^{2\tau_j k_j} \right),$$

$$L = L(\mathbf{k}) := b_1^{u\tau_1 k_1 + 1} \left(\prod_{j=2}^d b_j^{2\tau_j k_j + 1} \right).$$

By a special regularity of the sequence, which is an easy consequence of the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we have that exactly $\prod_{j=1}^d b_j$ points of the first L points and exactly one point of the subsequent M points of the sequence lie in I. Moreover, $\boldsymbol{x}_{n+M} \in I$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{x}_n \in I$.

We set $N_k := L + M$ and study $\|\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{x}_{n+M}\|_{\infty}$ for $0 \le n < L$.

By $(n)_{b_j}$ we denote the digit representation of n in base b_j , i.e. for $n = n_0 + n_1 b_j + n_2 b_j^2 + \ldots$ we have $(n)_{b_j} = (n_0, n_1, n_2, \ldots)$. Note that obviously $b_1^{u\tau_1 k_1} | M$ and $b_j^{2\tau_j k_j} | M$. By the choice of τ_1 and τ_j we have

$$b_1^{u\tau_1} \equiv 1 \pmod{b_j^2}$$

and also for $i \neq j$,

$$b_i^{2\tau_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{b_i^2}$$
 and $b_i^{2\tau_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{b_1^u}$.

Therefore,

$$\prod_{i=2}^d b_i^{2\tau_i k_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{b_1^u} \quad \text{and} \quad b_1^{u\tau_1 k_1} \prod_{i=2, i\neq j}^d b_i^{2\tau_i k_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{b_j^2}.$$

Hence,

$$(M)_{b_1} = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{u\tau_1 k_1}, 1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{u-1}, m_{u\tau_1 k_1 + u}, \dots),$$

$$(M)_{b_j} = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{2\tau_j k_j}, 1, 0, m_{2\tau_j k_j + 2}, \dots).$$
(6)

Now consider $\|\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{x}_{n+M}\|_{\infty} = \sup_{j \in \{1,...,d\}} \|x_n^{(j)} - x_{n+M}^{(j)}\|$. If for $(n)_{b_1}$ it holds that if $n_{u\tau_1k_1} \neq b_1 - 1$ then by (6) the first $u\tau_1k_1 + u$ entries except of $(u\tau_1k_1 + 1)$ -st

entry of $(n+M)_{b_1}$ and $(n)_{b_1}$ coincide. As $\sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{b_1-1}{b_1^i} = \frac{1}{b_1^m}$ we have in the case where $n_{u\tau_1k_1} \neq b_1 - 1$,

$$||x_n^{(1)} - x_{n+M}^{(1)}|| \in \left(\frac{1}{b_1^{u\tau_1k_1+1}} - \frac{1}{b_1^{u\tau_1k_1+u}}, \frac{1}{b_1^{u\tau_1k_1+1}} + \frac{1}{b_1^{u\tau_1k_1+u}}\right).$$

Similarly, for the other coordinates $j \in \{2, ..., d\}$ we obtain in the case where in $(n)_{b_j}$ we have $n_{2\tau_j k_j} \neq b_j - 1$,

$$||x_n^{(j)} - x_{n+M}^{(j)}|| \in \left(\frac{1}{b_j^{2\tau_j k_j + 1}} - \frac{1}{b_j^{2\tau_j k_j + 2}}, \frac{1}{b_j^{2\tau_j k_j + 1}} + \frac{1}{b_j^{2\tau_j k_j + 2}}\right).$$

Next, we want to find constants $\xi_j \geq 1, j \in \{2, ..., d\}$, such that

$$\xi_j \le \frac{b_j^{2\tau_j k_j + 1}}{b_1^{u\tau_1 k_1 + 1}} \le \xi_j f(u) \tag{7}$$

with

$$f(u) := \left(\frac{1 + b_1^{1-u}}{1 - b_1^{1-u}}\right)^{\frac{d}{d-1}}$$

is simultaneously fulfilled for infinitely many $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ and thus also for infinitely many $N_{\mathbf{k}} = M + L$. Therefore, we define $\beta_1 := b_1^{u\tau_1}$ and $\beta_j := b_j^{2\tau_j}$ for $j \in \{2, \dots, d\}$. The inequalities in (7) are then equivalent to

$$\log_{\beta_j} \left(\xi_j \frac{b_1}{b_j} \right) + k_1 \log_{\beta_j} (\beta_1) \le k_j \le \log_{\beta_j} \left(\xi_j f(u) \frac{b_1}{b_j} \right) + k_1 \log_{\beta_j} (\beta_1). \tag{8}$$

Moreover, we consider the sequence $(\{n\boldsymbol{\alpha}\})_{n\geq 0}\in [0,1)^{d-1}$ with $\{n\boldsymbol{\alpha}\}=(\{n\alpha_2\},\ldots,\{n\alpha_d\})$ and $\alpha_j=\log_{\beta_j}(\beta_1)\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Q}$. Let now $(\delta_2,\ldots,\delta_d)\in\{0,1\}^{d-1}$ denote an accumulation point of this sequence which exists by Lemma 3.

We want to distinguish two cases: If $\delta_i = 0$ we set

$$\xi_j := \frac{b_j}{b_1} \frac{1}{f(u)}$$
 and $k_j := \lfloor k_1 \log_{\beta_j}(\beta_1) \rfloor$.

Note that $\xi_j > 1$ if u is large enough. The inequalities (8) are then equivalent to

$${k_1 \log_{\beta_j}(\beta_1)} - \log_{\beta_j}(f(u)) \le 0 \le {k_1 \log_{\beta_j}(\beta_1)},$$

which is fulfilled if

$$\{k_1 \log_{\beta_j}(\beta_1)\} \in \left[0, \log_{\beta_j}(f(u))\right]. \tag{9}$$

If $\delta_i = 1$ we set

$$\xi_j := \frac{b_j}{b_1}$$
 and $k_j := \lfloor k_1 \log_{\beta_j}(\beta_1) \rfloor + 1$.

Again, $\xi_j > 1$ and (8) is equivalent to

$${k_1 \log_{\beta_j}(\beta_1)} \le 1 \le {k_1 \log_{\beta_j}(\beta_1)} + \log_{\beta_j}(f(u)),$$

which is fulfilled if

$$\{k_1 \log_{\beta_i}(\beta_1)\} \in \left[1 - \log_{\beta_i}(f(u)), 1\right]. \tag{10}$$

By the fact that $(\delta_2, \ldots, \delta_d)$ is an accumulation point of $(\{n\alpha\})_{n\geq 0}$ with $\alpha = (\log_{\beta_2}(\beta_1), \ldots, \log_{\beta_d}(\beta_1))$, conditions (9) and (10), respectively, are fulfilled simultaneously for each $j=2,\ldots,d$ for infinitely many k_1 . Since $k_j\geq 0$ for all $j\in\{2,\ldots,d\}$, we know that there are also infinitely many N_k such that (7) is fulfilled.

We can now use this important estimate to deduce that

$$\frac{1}{b_1^{u\tau_1k_1+1}} - \frac{1}{b_1^{u\tau_1k_1+u}} > \frac{1}{b_j^{2\tau_jk_j+1}} + \frac{1}{b_j^{2\tau_jk_j+2}}$$

for all $j \in \{2, ..., d\}$ and u large enough. This can be seen since

$$\frac{b_j^{2\tau_j k_j + 1}}{b_1^{u\tau_1 k_1 + 1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{b_1^{u-1}} \right) \ge \xi_j \left(1 - \frac{1}{b_1^{u-1}} \right)
> \frac{b_j}{b_1} \frac{1}{f(u)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{b_1^{u-1}} \right)
> \left(1 + \frac{1}{b_j} \right),$$

where in the last step we used that $(1 - 1/b_1^{u-1})/f(u) \to 1$ as $u \to \infty$, and $b_j > b_1$. Therefore, if in $(n)_{b_1}$ we have that $n_{u\tau k_1} \neq b_1 - 1$ and in $(n)_{b_j}$, $j \in \{2, \ldots, d\}$ we have that $n_{2\tau_j k_j} \neq b_j - 1$,

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{x}_{n+M}\|_{\infty} = \|x_n^{(1)} - x_{n+M}^{(1)}\| \in \left(\frac{1 - b_1^{1-u}}{b_1^{u\tau_1 k_1 + 1}}, \frac{1 + b_1^{1-u}}{b_1^{u\tau_1 k_1 + 1}}\right).$$

As next step, we want to establish suitable bounds for $\|x_n - x_{n+M}\|_{\infty}$ in order to be able to apply Proposition 1, i.e. we want to show that there exist a and b such that

$$\frac{a}{(L+M)^{1/d}} \le \frac{1 - b_1^{1-u}}{b_1^{u\tau_1 k_1 + 1}} < \frac{1 + b_1^{1-u}}{b_1^{u\tau_1 k_1 + 1}} \le \frac{b}{(L+M)^{1/d}},$$

which is equivalent to

$$a^{d} \le \frac{(1 - b_{1}^{1-u})^{d}(L+M)}{(b_{1}^{u\tau_{1}k_{1}+1})^{d}} < \frac{(1 + b_{1}^{1-u})^{d}(L+M)}{(b_{1}^{u\tau_{1}k_{1}+1})^{d}} \le b^{d}.$$

$$(11)$$

Note that

$$L + M = b_1^{u\tau_1 k_1 + 1} \left(\prod_{j=2}^d b_j^{2\tau_j k_j + 1} \right) \underbrace{\left(1 + \prod_{j=1}^d b_j^{-1} \right)}_{:=\gamma^d} = L\gamma^d.$$

Using the estimate (7) we find that (11) is fulfilled if we choose

$$a^{d} := (1 - b_{1}^{1-u})^{d} \left(\prod_{j=2}^{d} \xi_{j} \right) \gamma^{d},$$

$$b^{d} := \frac{(1 + b_{1}^{1-u})^{2d}}{(1 - b_{1}^{1-u})^{d}} \left(\prod_{j=2}^{d} \xi_{j} \right) \gamma^{d}.$$

Hence we have shown that

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{x}_{n+M}\|_{\infty} \in \left(\frac{a}{(L+M)^{1/d}}, \frac{b}{(L+M)^{1/d}}\right)$$

for $n \in \{0, ..., L-1\}$ whenever in $(n)_{b_1}$ we have $n_{u\tau k_1} \neq b_1 - 1$ and in $(n)_{b_j}$, $j \in \{2, ..., d\}$ we have $n_{2\tau_j k_j} \neq b_j - 1$. Since this is the case for exactly $\left(\prod_{j=1}^d \frac{b_j - 1}{b_j}\right) L$ values of n and each pair has to be counted twice in the pair correlation function, we obtain

$$\# \left\{ 0 \le n \ne l \le L + M - 1 : \| \boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{x}_l \|_{\infty} \in \left(\frac{a}{(L+M)^{1/d}}, \frac{b}{(L+M)^{1/d}} \right] \right\} \\
\ge \# \left\{ 0 \le n \le L - 1 : \| \boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{x}_{n+M} \|_{\infty} \in \left(\frac{a}{(L+M)^{1/d}}, \frac{b}{(L+M)^{1/d}} \right] \right\} \\
\ge 2 \left(\prod_{j=1}^d \frac{b_j - 1}{b_j} \right) \frac{L}{L+M} (L+M) \\
= 2 \left(\prod_{j=1}^d \frac{b_j - 1}{b_j} \right) \frac{1}{\gamma^d} (L+M) =: c \cdot (L+M).$$

In order to apply Proposition 1 it therefore has to hold that

$$(2b)^d - (2a)^d < 2\left(\prod_{j=1}^d \frac{b_j - 1}{b_j}\right) \frac{1}{\gamma^d} = c.$$
 (12)

Using the definition of a and b and the fact that $\xi_j \leq b_j/b_1$ we obtain

$$(2b)^{d} - (2a)^{d} = 2^{d} \left(\prod_{j=2}^{d} \xi_{j} \right) \gamma^{d} \left(\frac{(1 + b_{1}^{1-u})^{2d}}{(1 - b_{1}^{1-u})^{d}} - (1 - b_{1}^{1-u})^{d} \right)$$

$$\leq 2^{d} \left(\prod_{j=2}^{d} \frac{b_{j}}{b_{1}} \right) \gamma^{d} \underbrace{\left(\frac{(1 + b_{1}^{1-u})^{2d} - (1 - b_{1}^{1-u})^{2d}}{(1 - b_{1}^{1-u})^{d}} \right)}_{\text{tends to 0 for } u \to \infty}.$$

Thus, if u is chosen large enough, condition (12) is true and the Halton sequence in bases b_1, \ldots, b_d does not have Poissonian pair correlations.

3 Discussion and Further Research

Although Theorem 1 of the present paper deals with a very prominent class of (t, s)sequences, as a consequence of this result, of course a further research question is,
whether other classes of (t, s)-sequences, as for example generalized Niederreiter sequences [22], Niederreiter-Xing sequences [24] or even (digital) (t, s)-sequences in general, have the property of Poissonian pair correlation or not.

Furthermore, we would like to note an interesting relation of our method of proof to a conjecture in algebraic and transcendental number theory. During the search for a proof of Theorem 2 we faced the problem to simultaneously satisfy the inequalities (8) with $\xi_j \geq 1$ for infinitely many $(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ to make sure that

$$\frac{1}{b_1^{u\tau_1k_1+1}} - \frac{1}{b_1^{u\tau_1k_1+u}} > \frac{1}{b_j^{2\tau_jk_j+1}} + \frac{1}{b_j^{2\tau_jk_j+2}}$$

for all $j \in \{2, ..., d\}$ and u large enough.

Note that if $1, \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1, \ldots, \log_{\beta_d} \beta_1$ were linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} then the sequence $(\{n(\log_{\beta_2} \beta_1, \ldots, \log_{\beta_d} \beta_1)\})_{n \geq 0} \in [0, 1)^{d-1}$ would be uniformly distributed in $[0, 1)^{d-1}$. Such a statement would considerably shorten the proof of Theorem 2. Unfortunately, it is not known whether for example the three numbers $1/\log 2, 1/\log 3, 1/\log 5$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} or not. The algebraic independence of the logarithm of the prime numbers would be one consequence of the so-called Schanuel's conjecture in algebraic and transcendental number theory. We refer the interested reader to [23] for more details on this conjecture and its related problems.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Michel Waldschmidt for his e-mail correspondence concerning Schanuel's conjecture and the reciprocal of the logarithm of prime numbers.

References

- [1] I. Aichinger, C. Aistleitner and G. Larcher, On Quasi-Energy-Spectra, Pair Correlations of Sequences and Additive Combinatorics, *Contemporary Computational Mathematics a celebration of the 80th birthday of Ian Sloan*, pp.1-16, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2018
- [2] C. Aisleitner, T. Lachmann and F. Pausinger, Pair correlations and equidistribution, *Journal of Number Theory*, 182:206-220, 2018
- [3] J. Dick and F. Pillichshammer, Digital Nets and Sequences: Discrepancy Theory and Quasi-Monte Carlo Integration, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2010

- [4] H. Faure and S. Tezuka, Another random scrambling of digital (t, s)-sequences, Monte Carlo and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods 2000, pp.242-256, Springer, Berlin, 2002
- [5] S. Grepstad and G. Larcher, On pair correlation and discrepancy, *Archiv der Mathematik*, 109(2):143-149, 2017
- [6] J. H. Halton, On the efficiency of certain quasi-random sequences of points in evaluating multi-dimensional integrals, *Numerische Mathematik*, 2(1):84-90, 1960
- [7] A. Hinrichs, L. Kaltenböck, G. Larcher, W. Stockinger and M. Ullrich, On a multi-dimensional Poissonian pair correlation concept and uniform distribution, *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, 190(2):333–352, 2019
- [8] R. Hofer, A construction of low-discrepancy sequences involving finite-row digital (t, s)-sequences, Monatshefte für Mathematik, 171(1):77-89, 2013
- [9] R. Hofer and H. Niederreiter, A construction of (t, s)-sequences with finite-row generating matrices using global function fields. Finite Fields and Their Applications, 21, 2012
- [10] R. Hofer and G. Pirsic, A finite-row scrambling of Niederreiter sequences, *Monte Carlo and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods* 2012, pp.427-437, Springer, Berlin, 2013
- [11] G. Larcher and W. Stockinger, On Pair Correlation of Sequences, arXiv:1903.09978, 2019.
- [12] G. Larcher and W. Stockinger, Some negative results related to Poissonian pair correlation problems, to appear in: Discrete Mathematics, arXiv:1803.052361, 2019
- [13] M. B. Levin, On the upper bound of the L_p discrepancy of Halton's sequence and the Central Limit Theorem for Hammersley's net, arXiv:1806.11498, 2018
- [14] H. Minkowski, Geometrie der Zahlen, Chelsea Publishing Company, reprint 1953
- [15] H. Niederreiter, Low-discrepancy and low-dispersion sequences, *Journal of Number Theory*, 30(1):51-70, 1988
- [16] H. Niederreiter, Random Number Generation and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992
- [17] J. Marklof, Pair correlations and equidistribution on manifolds, *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, 2019
- [18] F. Pillichshammer, On the discrepancy of (0,1)-sequences, *Journal of Number Theory*, 104(2):301-314, 2004

- [19] S. Steinerberger, Localized quantitative criteria for equidistribution, Acta Arithmetica, 180:183-199, 2017
- [20] S. Steinerberger, Poissonian pair correlation in higher dimensions, Journal of Number Theory, 2019
- [21] S. Tezuka, On the discrepancy of generalized Niederreiter sequences, *Journal of Complexity*, 29(3):240-247, 2013
- [22] S. Tezuka, Polynomial Arithmetic Analogue of Halton Sequencesm ACM Transactions on Modeling and Computer Simulation, 3:99-107, 1993
- [23] M. Waldschmidt, Diophantine Approximation on Linear Algebraic Groups: Transcendence Properties of the Exponential Function in Several Variables, Grundleheren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 326, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2000
- [24] C. P. Xing and H. Niederreiter, A construction of low-discrepancy sequences using global function fields, *Acta Arithmetica*, 73(1):87-102, 1995

Author's Addresses:

Roswitha Hofer and Lisa Kaltenböck, Institut für Finanzmathematik und Angewandte Zahlentheorie, Johannes Kepler Universität Linz, Altenbergerstraße 69, A-4040 Linz, Austria.

Email: roswitha.hofer@jku.at, lisa.kaltenboeck@jku.at