Since the invention of chirp laser pulse amplification [1], optical methods became the most convenient tool in high energy density plasma studies. Optically driven magnetic fields are widely used for collimation of high energy particles [2–4], inertial confinement fusion [5, 6], laboratory studies of astrophysically-relevant phenomena, including reconnection [7–9] and collisionless shock generation [10, 11]. In many cases optical schemes are preferable than the ones based on external high-voltage sources fed by capacitor banks, where the magnetic field strength is limited to few tens Tesla in cm$^3$ scale volume on a μs time scale [12]. Optically-driven schemes normally use discharges supported by strong currents of accelerated electrons, induced by laser radiation. For example, in the capacitor-coil targets [13–15], the laser pulse induces a high potential in the capacitor, which drives a strong discharge current through the coil. The nanosecond-time scale for the discharge is set by the laser pulse duration and the target impedance. In similar experiments with ps laser pulses, the discharge current propagates across the target as a pulse, resulting in fast transient phenomena [3, 16]. The temporal evolution of the current is characterized by the aspect ratio $\kappa = c\tau/L$, where $c$ is the light velocity, $\tau$ is the laser pulse duration, and $L$ is the characteristic length of the discharge coil. For ns laser pulses and mm-size coils the parameter $\kappa$ is large, that corresponds to the “slow” regime of the magnetic field generation. For shorter pulses, in order to obtain a homogeneous and quasistatic magnetic field, the target size needs to be reduced.

By miniaturization of targets it is possible to maintain the condition $\kappa \gg 1$ even for few-tens fs laser pulses [17]. In the scheme detailed below, based on the micro-cavity “snail” targets, the parameter $\kappa \sim 0.5$ corresponds to an oscillatory regime of the laser-induced discharge. However, owing to the target geometry and a relatively high value of the parameter $\kappa$, after a short transient regime, a strong quasistationary magnetic field was observed in the ablated plasma, reaching the kilotesla scale and then slowly decaying in time. Those targets, proposed in Ref. [18] demonstrate for $\kappa \sim 5$ a quasistationary behavior with a multi-kT scale magnetic field with an energetic efficiency reaching several percents. The theoretical modelling confirms the high robustness of these targets for magnetic field generation [19]. They are driven by the discharge current, which normally dominates, and the current of relativistically accelerated electrons along the target surface [20, 21]. Depending of the geometrical shape, the magnetized structure in the cavity may be monopolar or bipolar, which allows to produce and study highly relativistic reconnection events [9].

Compared to the theoretical model [18], in the present experiment, the target was bigger, while the laser pulse was shorter. The experiment was performed at the PHELIX laser facility at GSI (Darmstadt, Germany). The laser pulse at wavelength of 1056 nm and duration of 0.5 ps, was divided into two beams SP1 and SP2, each carrying energy of 50 J. The beams were tightly focused to focal spot of
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The main result in this experiment was produced by the TNSA (Target Normal Sheath Accelerated) energetic proton deflectometry diagnostics driven by SP1 beam. The time of flight of \( \sim \) maximum proton energy was around 10...15 MeV, which corresponds to the opposite position of the characteristic caustic features, the image is taken 64 ps for the CWIR and 80.5 ps for the CCWIR targets after the laser pulse. The experimentally defined direction of magnetic field lines is shown with yellow arrows.

\[ \approx 10 \ \mu m \text{ FWHM (Full Width at Half Maximum)} \] providing intensity \( \approx 2 \times 10^{19} \text{ W/cm}^2 \) at the target surface with the contrast \( \sim 10^{-10} \). The SP1 beam was focused on a thin (4 \( \mu m \)) gold foil, serving as a source for backlighting TNSA (Target Normal Sheath Accelerated) energetic protons from the contamination layer [22]. The SP2 beam was focused on the internal surface of the “snail” target. Because of the grazing incidence, the intensity on target was \( \lesssim 10^{19} \text{ W/cm}^2 \). The experimental setup is illustrated by Fig. 1. Targets were produced in the Technical University Darmstadt by using the MEMS (Micro-Electro-Mechanical Systems) technology. Two types of targets were prepared for the experiment, the Clock-Wise with Inlet Right target (CWIR) and the Counter-Clock-Wise with Inlet Right target (CCWIR). The laser focusing scheme and the direction of the main discharge current \( J_d \) are shown in Fig. 2. These two different geometries were chosen so that the magnetic field, generated by the discharge currents, would have opposite polarities. The target image is shown in the inset panel of Fig. 1. The target geometry was designed and adjusted to fit the two main requirements, namely the laser pulse focusing cone geometry and the technological possibilities. Because of the tight focusing, the entrance for the laser beam had to be as wide as \( \alpha = 136 \ \mu m \), half of the total target height \( h = 272 \ \mu m \). The width was \( w = 156 \ \mu m \) in the plane of Fig. 2 and 100 \( \mu m \) in the normal direction, i.e. along the target axis.

The main result in this experiment was produced by the proton deflectometry diagnostics driven by SP1 beam. The maximum proton energy was around 10...15 MeV, which corresponds to the time of flight of \( \sim 50 \) ps for the distance between the foil and the “snail” target of 2.8 mm. Additionally, SP1 was delayed for \( \pm 500 \) ps to study the time-dependence of the fields. Test protons were passing through the mesh, installed between the target and the foil. Multi-layer Radio-Chromic Film (RCF) stacks were used as a detector to visualize the fields spatial distribution and time evolution. Ballistic spreading of protons of different energies in flight provided the temporal resolution of the order of a few ps in successive RCF layers due to the Bragg peak energy absorption. The distance between the target and the RCF of 111 mm corresponds to the magnification factor of 40 in the RCF images. Two examples of RCF images for the CWIR and CCWIR targets are shown in Fig. 2. The characteristic caustic feature of the magnetic field solenoidal structure is very similar to that observed in the capacitor-coil targets with a similar diagnostics [15]. However, in difference from Ref. [15], in the present setup, the magnetic field is generated in a hot plasma, but not in a vacuum.

Analysis of the proton deflectometry data was performed by using the test-particle approach. The proton source is considered as a point source and the space-charge effects in the probe beam are neglected, so the deviations of proton trajectories are caused solely by the interaction with the fields generated in the target. It was verified, that the protons with trajectories passing through the coil, do not contribute to the RCF image. That test removes the question of a possible interaction of the test protons with the target material. Reconstruction of fields from the RCF images is a complicated multi-dimensional inverse problem. Each image was characterized by three parameters \( \alpha, \chi, \sigma \) as shown in Fig 3c). Field maps were obtained with a resolution of 10 \( \mu m \). The electric field was defined for a
conducting snail target with a real geometry, charged to a parametrically adjustable potential. Magnetic field was calculated using the software Radia 4.3 [23], for an electrical current in a coil-like circuit, assumed to be inside the target, close to its internal surface. The circuit was connected straight in the slit, and had parametrically adjustable inner and outer radii, as well as the straight section length and the total height. A proton trajectory through the field was calculated by solving the Newton’s equation of motion with the Lorentz force \( \vec{F} = e(\vec{E} + [\vec{v} \times \vec{B}]) \) with a 0.1 ps time resolution.

First, the synthetic images were constructed assuming only electric or only magnetic field. Within the whole space of parameters, in the case of only electric field, the synthetic images have a smooth oval shape without the point-like caustics. In contrast, for the case of only magnetic field, there was always a point-like singularity, see Fig. 3 b). Patterns similar to the experimental data, can be produced only assuming the presence of both the electric field and magnetic field of several hundreds of Tesla. To determine the magnetic field value, the target’s electric potential \( U \) and current in the simulation were parametrically varied for possible current circuit shapes to create 3-dimensional plots: \( \alpha(B,U) \), \( \chi(B,U) \) and \( \sigma(B,U) \), where \( B \) is the magnetic field value in the center of the coil. These plots were reduced to three curves by using experimental data for the dependence \( U(B) \). The intersections for the pairs of the curves should coincide. This condition appears to be quite sensitive to the circuit shape and allows to make the initial estimate for the circuit parameters and the size of the plasmoid, minimizing the distance between the intersection points. To define the parameters more precisely, an additional correlation analysis was carried out. The circuit parameters and current were varied around the initially estimated values. Afterwards, for each synthetic image a structural similarity index, the normalized cross-correlation matrix and thus the correlation coefficient were calculated to determine the best fitting image and consequently the corresponding circuit parameters and the value of the magnetic field (see Fig. 4).

With the method described above, the magnetic field was defined for different energies of the backlight protons, i.e. for different time moments. The results are shown in Fig. 3. The maximum value of the magnetic field observed is \((800\pm 280) \text{ T}\) at 24 ps after the laser pulse. Then, the magnetic field decays to \( \approx 200\pm 80 \text{ T} \) at \( \approx 80 \text{ ps} \) time corresponds to the target expansion, leading to the loss of confinement. During its temporal evolution, the estimated radius of the current circuit, corresponding to the plasmoid size, was found to change from 70 to 100 \( \mu \text{m} \), and height - from 70 \( \mu \text{m} \) to 120 \( \mu \text{m} \). The energy conversion efficiency \( \eta \) of the magnetic field generation, was estimated as a relation of the magnetic field energy \( W_B \) to the of the total laser energy, delivered to the target \( W_L \approx 50 \text{ J} \). At maximum it was \( \eta \approx 4\% \) \((W_B \approx 2 \text{ J})\). This value is comparable, although somewhat less, to \( \approx 10\% \), estimated for the capacitor-coil targets [24], but target optimization may result in a higher efficiency. Note, that due to the compactization of the target the kilotesla scale of the magnetic field was obtained with only 50 J of the laser beam.

The magnetic field generation was simulated in 2D with a Particle-in-Cell (PIC) code PICLS [25] with the real target size and geometry. Simulations were performed with a plasma made of electrons and singly charged ions with atomic mass \( A=64 \) corresponding to copper. The electron density was 10 times the critical plasma density. Simulation box size was \( 4080 \times 5152 \) cells or \( 269 \times 340 \mu \text{m} \), with 50 electrons and 50 ions per cell. The time step was 0.2 fs and the total simulation time was about 17 ps. Inside the bulk of the target where the field does not penetrate, to save computation time and suppress numerical heating, the density was reduced, see the grey target-shaped figures in panels a), c)-f) in Fig. 5. The magnetic field amplitude \( B_z \) is shown at time moments 1.1 ps (panel a), initial interaction stage, the SP2 beam just entered the target inlet), and 17.2 ps (panel b), the latest simulation time). The fields are averaged spatially on the scale of 0.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) with a Gaussian envelope to reduce the visual noise. The laser pulse length is less than the target perimeter \((z \approx 0.5 < 1)\). This results in a premature disruption of the discharge currents, which is clearly observed in the simulations. In panels c) - f) in Fig. 5 a transient process of fast propagation of the discharge wave is shown. The wave has a complicated shape, containing a positive and a negative parts, which originate from the laser focusing point. The two discharge pulses with opposite polarities are travelling along the target surface, meeting each other at some point on the other side and propagating further. After a transient time interval, a quasistationary plasmoid is formed inside the target cav-
Recent studies show strong effect of nonlinear plasma dynamics [27, 28], on the increase of charge and energy of accelerated electrons in laser wakefield acceleration [29–31], and in other schemes [32]. Extremely strong magnetization may considerably facilitate proton collimation emitted from near-critical [33] and overdense plasmas [34]. Unprecedentedly high magnetization, obtained in a hot collisionless plasma, becide applications in particle acceleration and radiation generation, paves the way to fundamental studies of collisionless magnetized plasmas [9].

In conclusion, a fast ps-scale formation of a highly magnetized plasma structure is observed. It is shown that, being driven by an optically-induced discharge, it is governed by a parameter \( \kappa \) relating a target size and laser beam length. For \( \kappa \lesssim 1 \), the process demonstrates transient features, which relax to a stable on a hydrodynamic timescale strongly-magnetized plasmoid, evidenced experimentally. Magnetic field strength reached the value of \( 800 \pm 280 \, \text{T} \) at maximum for only 50 J of the invested laser energy. The presented scheme allow the robust strongly magnetized plasma production for multiple applications in fundamental physics studies, including laboratory astrophysics experiments.
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![FIG. 5. 2D simulation of interaction of the PHELIX SP2 laser beam with a real-size target: a) first stage of interaction at 1.1 ps, laser pulse just entered the target; b) at time of 17.2 ps inside the target a magnetized plasmoid is formed; c)-f) a transient discharge wave is travelling along the target, the white arrows in panel d) show direction of propagation of the wave. The target volume in panels a), c)-f) is filled with the grey color, corresponding to the initial plasma density, the light internal region inside the target bulk is the reduced 10 times the maximum density to save the calculation time.](image-url)