

Painlevé VI, Painlevé III and the Hankel Determinant Associated with a Degenerate Jacobi Unitary Ensemble

Chao Min* and Yang Chen†

October 30, 2021

Abstract

This paper studies the Hankel determinant generated by a perturbed Jacobi weight, which is closely related to the largest and smallest eigenvalue distribution of the degenerate Jacobi unitary ensemble. By using the ladder operator approach for the orthogonal polynomials, we find that the logarithmic derivative of the Hankel determinant satisfies a nonlinear second-order differential equation, which turns out to be the Jimbo-Miwa-Okamoto σ -form of the Painlevé VI equation by a translation transformation. We also show that, after a suitable double scaling, the differential equation is reduced to the Jimbo-Miwa-Okamoto σ -form of the Painlevé III. In the end, we obtain the asymptotic behavior of the Hankel determinant as $t \rightarrow 1^-$ and $t \rightarrow 0^+$ in two important cases, respectively.

Keywords: Random matrix theory; Hankel determinant; Degenerate Jacobi unitary ensemble; Ladder operators; Painlevé equations; Double scaling analysis.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 15B52, 42C05, 33E17.

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Huaqiao University, Quanzhou 362021, China; e-mail: chaomin@hqu.edu.cn

†Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Macau, Macau, China; e-mail: yangbrookchen@yahoo.co.uk

1 Introduction

As a fundamental research object in random matrix theory, the Hankel determinant is defined by

$$D_n := \det \left(\int_a^b x^{i+j} w(x) dx \right)_{i,j=0}^{n-1},$$

where $w(x)$ is a weight function supported on the interval $[a, b]$. This Hankel determinant is equal to the partition function of the unitary ensemble of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices with eigenvalues $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ [26],

$$D_n = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[a,b]^n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^n w(x_j) dx_j.$$

Due to the importance in random matrix theory, Hankel determinants generated by the perturbed Gaussian, Laguerre and Jacobi weights have been studied extensively in the past decade, see [4, 5, 8, 9, 12, 17, 25, 31, 34, 35, 36]. They can be viewed as the partition function of the corresponding perturbed unitary ensembles. Hankel determinants also play an important role in the gap probability problems, including the largest and smallest eigenvalue distribution in the unitary ensembles, see [3, 7, 15, 24, 28, 29]. In addition, they have been applied to the wireless communication systems [11, 13] and many branches of applied mathematics and mathematical physics (see [6, 14, 22, 27] for example).

In this paper, we consider the Hankel determinant generated by a perturbed Jacobi weight, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(t) &:= \det \left(\int_0^1 x^{i+j} w(x, t) dx \right)_{i,j=0}^{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[0,1]^n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^n w(x_j, t) dx_j, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$w(x, t) := x^\alpha (1-x)^\beta |x-t|^\gamma (A + B\theta(x-t)), \quad x, t \in [0, 1], \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0.$$

Here $\theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function, i.e., $\theta(x)$ is 1 for $x > 0$ and 0 otherwise; A and B are constants, and $A \geq 0$, $A + B \geq 0$.

As stated in the previous work [30], this Hankel determinant is connected with the partition function of the Jacobi unitary ensemble with a single eigenvalue degeneracy, and the largest and smallest eigenvalue distribution of this degenerate Jacobi unitary ensemble. See also [33] on the study of the Hankel determinant for the Gaussian case.

As a matter of fact, the Hankel determinants generated by perturbed Gaussian, Laguerre and Jacobi weights are usually related to the well-known nonlinear second-order ordinary differential equations-Painlevé equations. Chen and Zhang [15] studied the $\gamma = 0$ case in (1.1), and showed that the logarithmic derivative of the related Hankel determinant satisfies a particular σ -form of Painlevé VI. Dai and Zhang [17] considered the situation of $t < 0$ and $A = 1, B = 0$ in (1.1), and established the relation of the Hankel determinant with another particular Painlevé VI. The main difference of our problem and [17] is that our weight vanishes at a singular point t in the interior of the support, and this will increase the difficulty in the analysis. Actually, they mentioned the case with $A = 1, B = 0$ in (1.1), but did not prove it (see Remark 1.2 in [17]). We will show that the results in [17] are still valid in our problem.

The approach in this paper is the ladder operators associated with the orthogonal polynomials. We first write the Hankel determinant as the product of the square of the norm of the corresponding monic orthogonal polynomials (see (1.7)). Then we use the ladder operator approach to analyze the properties of the orthogonal polynomials to obtain a series of difference and differential equations. From this we establish the relation of the Hankel determinant with the Painlevé equations. This approach has been widely applied to the Hankel determinants for various perturbed weight functions, see [3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 12, 15, 17, 25, 28, 29, 30, 31]. We will state some elementary facts about the orthogonal polynomials at first.

Let $P_n(x, t)$ be the monic polynomials of degree n orthogonal with respect to the weight $w(x, t)$,

$$\int_0^1 P_m(x, t) P_n(x, t) w(x, t) dx = h_n(t) \delta_{mn}, \quad m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$P_n(x, t) = x^n + p(n, t)x^{n-1} + \dots.$$

We will see that $p(n, t)$, the coefficient of x^{n-1} , plays an important role in the following discussions.

The three-term recurrence relation of the orthogonal polynomials shows that [16, 32]

$$xP_n(x, t) = P_{n+1}(x, t) + \alpha_n(t)P_n(x, t) + \beta_n(t)P_{n-1}(x, t), \quad (1.3)$$

supplemented by the initial conditions

$$P_0(x, t) = 1, \quad \beta_0(t)P_{-1}(x, t) = 0.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\alpha_n(t) = p(n, t) - p(n+1, t) \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\beta_n(t) = \frac{h_n(t)}{h_{n-1}(t)}. \quad (1.5)$$

A telescopic sum of (1.4) gives

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_j(t) = -p(n, t). \quad (1.6)$$

Finally, it is well known that [20]

$$D_n(t) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} h_j(t). \quad (1.7)$$

This paper is organized as follows. Sec. 2 applies the ladder operators and the associated compatibility conditions to the perturbed Jacobi weight to obtain some important equations. Sec. 3 mainly derives the Painlevé VI equation satisfied by the logarithmic derivative of the associated Hankel determinant. Sec. 4 shows that our problem is related to the Painlevé III equation under a suitable double scaling. In addition, the asymptotic behavior of the Hankel determinant is given in two special cases.

2 Ladder Operators and its Compatibility Conditions

In the following discussions, for convenience, we shall not display the t dependence in $P_n(x)$, $w(x)$, h_n , α_n and β_n unless it is needed. The following lemmas have been proved by Min and Chen [30], following Basor and Chen [3] and Chen and Feigin [10].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $w_0(x)$ be a smooth weight function defined on $[a, b]$, and $w_0(a) = w_0(b) = 0$. The monic orthogonal polynomials with respect to $w(x) := w_0(x)|x - t|^\gamma(A + B\theta(x - t))$, $t \in [a, b]$, $\gamma > 0$, $A \geq 0$, $A + B \geq 0$ satisfy the lowering operator equation*

$$\left(\frac{d}{dz} + B_n(z) \right) P_n(z) = \beta_n A_n(z) P_{n-1}(z), \quad (2.1)$$

and the raising operator equation

$$\left(\frac{d}{dz} - B_n(z) - v'_0(z) \right) P_{n-1}(z) = -A_{n-1}(z) P_n(z), \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_n(z) &:= \frac{1}{h_n} \int_a^b \frac{v'_0(z) - v'_0(y)}{z - y} P_n^2(y) w(y) dy + a_n(z, t), \\
a_n(z, t) &:= \frac{\gamma}{h_n} \int_a^b \frac{P_n^2(y)}{(z - y)(y - t)} w(y) dy; \\
B_n(z) &:= \frac{1}{h_{n-1}} \int_a^b \frac{v'_0(z) - v'_0(y)}{z - y} P_n(y) P_{n-1}(y) w(y) dy + b_n(z, t), \\
b_n(z, t) &:= \frac{\gamma}{h_{n-1}} \int_a^b \frac{P_n(y) P_{n-1}(y)}{(z - y)(y - t)} w(y) dy
\end{aligned}$$

and $v_0(z) = -\ln w_0(z)$.

Lemma 2.2. *The functions $A_n(z)$ and $B_n(z)$ satisfy the following equations:*

$$B_{n+1}(z) + B_n(z) = (z - \alpha_n)A_n(z) - v'_0(z), \quad (S_1)$$

$$1 + (z - \alpha_n)(B_{n+1}(z) - B_n(z)) = \beta_{n+1}A_{n+1}(z) - \beta_n A_{n-1}(z), \quad (S_2)$$

$$B_n^2(z) + v'_0(z)B_n(z) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_j(z) = \beta_n A_n(z)A_{n-1}(z). \quad (S'_2)$$

Lemma 2.3. *The monic orthogonal polynomials $P_n(z)$ satisfy the second-order linear ordinary differential equation*

$$P_n''(z) - \left(v'_0(z) + \frac{A'_n(z)}{A_n(z)} \right) P_n'(z) + \left(B'_n(z) - B_n(z) \frac{A'_n(z)}{A_n(z)} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_j(z) \right) P_n(z) = 0.$$

Remark 1. Equations (2.1) and (2.2) are called the ladder operators. The compatibility conditions (S_1) , (S_2) and (S'_2) are valid for $z \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$.

For our problem, the weight and associated quantities are

$$w(x) = w_0(x)|x - t|^\gamma (A + B\theta(x - t)), \quad x, t \in [0, 1], \quad \gamma > 0,$$

$$w_0(x) = x^\alpha (1 - x)^\beta, \quad v_0(x) = -\alpha \ln x - \beta \ln(1 - x), \quad \alpha, \beta > 0,$$

$$\frac{v'_0(z) - v'_0(y)}{z - y} = \frac{\alpha}{zy} + \frac{\beta}{(1 - z)(1 - y)}.$$

From Lemma 2.1 and noting that $w_0(0) = w_0(1) = 0$, we have

$$A_n(z) = \frac{x_n(t)}{z} - \frac{R_n(t)}{z - 1} + a_n(z, t), \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}x_n(t) &:= \frac{\alpha}{h_n} \int_0^1 \frac{P_n^2(y)w(y)}{y} dy, \\R_n(t) &:= \frac{\beta}{h_n} \int_0^1 \frac{P_n^2(y)w(y)}{1-y} dy, \\a_n(z, t) &= \frac{\gamma}{h_n} \int_0^1 \frac{P_n^2(y)w(y)}{(z-y)(y-t)} dy,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$B_n(z) = \frac{y_n(t)}{z} - \frac{r_n(t)}{z-1} + b_n(z, t), \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}y_n(t) &:= \frac{\alpha}{h_{n-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{P_n(y)P_{n-1}(y)w(y)}{y} dy, \\r_n(t) &:= \frac{\beta}{h_{n-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{P_n(y)P_{n-1}(y)w(y)}{1-y} dy, \\b_n(z, t) &= \frac{\gamma}{h_{n-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{P_n(y)P_{n-1}(y)w(y)}{(z-y)(y-t)} dy.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.4. *As $z \rightarrow \infty$, $A_n(z)$ and $B_n(z)$ have the following series expansions:*

$$\begin{aligned}A_n(z) &= \frac{\gamma + (t-1)R_n - tx_n}{z^2} + \frac{\gamma(t + \alpha_n) + (t^2 - 1)R_n - t^2x_n}{z^3} \\&+ \frac{\gamma(t^2 + \alpha_n^2 + t\alpha_n + \beta_n + \beta_{n+1}) + (t^3 - 1)R_n - t^3x_n}{z^4} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^5}\right),\end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned}B_n(z) &= -\frac{n}{z} + \frac{(t-1)r_n - ty_n - nt}{z^2} + \frac{\gamma\beta_n - nt^2 + (t^2 - 1)r_n - t^2y_n}{z^3} \\&+ \frac{\gamma\beta_n(t + \alpha_n + \alpha_{n-1}) + t^3(r_n - y_n - n) - r_n}{z^4} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^5}\right).\end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. As $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{z-1} = \frac{1}{z} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{z}} = \frac{1}{z} \left(1 + \frac{1}{z} + \left(\frac{1}{z}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{z}\right)^3 + O\left(\frac{1}{z^4}\right) \right) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{1}{z^3} + \frac{1}{z^4} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^5}\right)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{z-y} = \frac{1}{z} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\frac{y}{z}} = \frac{1}{z} \left(1 + \frac{y}{z} + \left(\frac{y}{z}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{z}\right)^3 + O\left(\frac{1}{z^4}\right) \right) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{y}{z^2} + \frac{y^2}{z^3} + \frac{y^3}{z^4} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^5}\right).$$

Then from the definition of $a_n(z, t)$ and $b_n(z, t)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}a_n(z, t) &= \frac{\gamma}{zh_n} \int_0^1 \frac{P_n^2(y)w(y)}{y-t} dy + \frac{\gamma}{z^2h_n} \int_0^1 \frac{yP_n^2(y)w(y)}{y-t} dy + \frac{\gamma}{z^3h_n} \int_0^1 \frac{y^2P_n^2(y)w(y)}{y-t} dy \\&+ \frac{\gamma}{z^4h_n} \int_0^1 \frac{y^3P_n^2(y)w(y)}{y-t} dy + O\left(\frac{1}{z^5}\right),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
b_n(z, t) &= \frac{\gamma}{zh_{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \frac{P_n(y)P_{n-1}(y)w(y)}{y-t} dy + \frac{\gamma}{z^2h_{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \frac{yP_n(y)P_{n-1}(y)w(y)}{y-t} dy \\
&+ \frac{\gamma}{z^3h_{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \frac{y^2P_n(y)P_{n-1}(y)w(y)}{y-t} dy + \frac{\gamma}{z^4h_{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \frac{y^3P_n(y)P_{n-1}(y)w(y)}{y-t} dy + O\left(\frac{1}{z^5}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Through integration by parts, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha \int_0^1 \frac{P_n^2(y)w(y)}{y} dy &= \int_0^1 P_n^2(y)(1-y)^\beta |y-t|^\gamma (A+B\theta(y-t)) dy^\alpha \\
&= \beta \int_0^1 \frac{P_n^2(y)w(y)}{1-y} dy - \gamma \int_0^1 \frac{P_n^2(y)w(y)}{y-t} dy,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where we have used the formula [10]

$$\partial_y |y-t|^\gamma = \delta(y-t)((y-t)^\gamma - (t-y)^\gamma) + \gamma \frac{|y-t|^\gamma}{y-t}$$

and

$$\partial_y \theta(y-t) = \delta(y-t).$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\gamma}{h_n} \int_0^1 \frac{P_n^2(y)w(y)}{y-t} dy = R_n - x_n. \tag{2.8}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\frac{\gamma}{h_{n-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{P_n(y)P_{n-1}(y)w(y)}{y-t} dy = r_n - y_n - n. \tag{2.9}$$

With the aid of the recurrence relation (1.3) and the orthogonality (1.2), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
a_n(z, t) &= \frac{R_n - x_n}{z} + \frac{\gamma + t(R_n - x_n)}{z^2} + \frac{\gamma(t + \alpha_n) + t^2(R_n - x_n)}{z^3} \\
&+ \frac{\gamma(t^2 + \alpha_n^2 + t\alpha_n + \beta_n + \beta_{n+1}) + t^3(R_n - x_n)}{z^4} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^5}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
b_n(z, t) &= \frac{r_n - y_n - n}{z} + \frac{t(r_n - y_n - n)}{z^2} + \frac{\gamma\beta_n + t^2(r_n - y_n - n)}{z^3} \\
&+ \frac{\gamma\beta_n(t + \alpha_n + \alpha_{n-1}) + t^3(r_n - y_n - n)}{z^4} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^5}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain the desired results. \square

Substituting (2.5) and (2.6) into (S_1) , we get the following three equations by comparing the coefficients of $\frac{1}{z}$, $\frac{1}{z^2}$ and $\frac{1}{z^3}$ on both sides:

$$(t-1)R_n - tx_n + \alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2n + 1 = 0, \tag{2.10}$$

$$(t-1)(r_{n+1}+r_n)-t(y_{n+1}+y_n)=\beta+(2n+1+\gamma)t+(t^2-\alpha_nt+\alpha_n-1)R_n+(t\alpha_n-t^2)x_n, \quad (2.11)$$

$$(t^2-1)(r_{n+1}+r_n)-t^2(y_{n+1}+y_n)=\beta+(2n+1+\gamma)t^2+(t^3-\alpha_nt^2+\alpha_n-1)R_n+(t^2\alpha_n-t^3)x_n. \quad (2.12)$$

Eliminating $y_{n+1}+y_n$ from (2.11) and (2.12), we have

$$r_{n+1}+r_n=(1-\alpha_n)R_n-\beta. \quad (2.13)$$

Similarly, eliminating $r_{n+1}+r_n$ from (2.11) and (2.12) gives

$$y_{n+1}+y_n+(\alpha_n-t)x_n+(t-1)R_n+\beta+\gamma+2n+1=0.$$

Using (2.10), it follows that

$$y_{n+1}+y_n=\alpha-\alpha_nx_n. \quad (2.14)$$

Similarly, substituting (2.5) and (2.6) into (S_2) , we have the following equations by comparing the coefficients of $\frac{1}{z}$, $\frac{1}{z^2}$ and $\frac{1}{z^3}$:

$$\alpha_n=t-(t-1)(r_{n+1}-r_n)+t(y_{n+1}-y_n), \quad (2.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (t-1)(\beta_{n+1}R_{n+1}-\beta_nR_{n-1})-t(\beta_{n+1}x_{n+1}-\beta_nx_{n-1}) \\ = & (t^2-\alpha_nt+\alpha_n-1)(r_{n+1}-r_n)-(t^2-t\alpha_n)(y_{n+1}-y_n)+t\alpha_n-t^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (t^2-1)(\beta_{n+1}R_{n+1}-\beta_nR_{n-1})-t^2(\beta_{n+1}x_{n+1}-\beta_nx_{n-1}) \\ = & (t^3-\alpha_nt^2+\alpha_n-1)(r_{n+1}-r_n)-(t^3-t^2\alpha_n)(y_{n+1}-y_n)+t^2\alpha_n-t^3. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

In view of (1.6), a telescopic sum of (2.15) gives

$$p(n,t)=(t-1)r_n-ty_n-nt. \quad (2.18)$$

From the above results, we have three important equations in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5.

$$\beta_nR_nR_{n-1}=r_n^2+\beta r_n, \quad (2.19)$$

$$\beta_nx_nx_{n-1}=y_n^2-\alpha y_n, \quad (2.20)$$

$$\beta_n(R_nx_{n-1}+x_nR_{n-1})=2r_ny_n+(2n+\beta+\gamma)r_n-(2n+\alpha+\gamma)y_n-n(n+\gamma). \quad (2.21)$$

Proof. We start from eliminating $\beta_{n+1}x_{n+1} - \beta_n x_{n-1}$ from (2.16) and (2.17) to obtain

$$\beta_{n+1}R_{n+1} - \beta_n R_{n-1} = (1 - \alpha_n)(r_{n+1} - r_n). \quad (2.22)$$

Multiplying both sides of (2.22) by R_n produces

$$\beta_{n+1}R_{n+1}R_n - \beta_n R_n R_{n-1} = (1 - \alpha_n)R_n(r_{n+1} - r_n).$$

Using (2.13), the above becomes

$$\beta_{n+1}R_{n+1}R_n - \beta_n R_n R_{n-1} = r_{n+1}^2 - r_n^2 + \beta(r_{n+1} - r_n). \quad (2.23)$$

Noting that $\beta_0 R_0(t)R_{-1}(t) = 0$ and $r_0(t) = 0$, a telescopic sum of (2.23) gives (2.19).

To proceed, we substitute (2.22) into (2.16) and obtain

$$\beta_{n+1}x_{n+1} - \beta_n x_{n-1} = -(t - 1)(r_{n+1} - r_n) + (t - \alpha_n)(y_{n+1} - y_n) + t - \alpha_n.$$

Using (2.15), the above becomes

$$\beta_{n+1}x_{n+1} - \beta_n x_{n-1} = -\alpha_n(y_{n+1} - y_n).$$

Multiplying both sides by x_n gives

$$\beta_{n+1}x_{n+1}x_n - \beta_n x_n x_{n-1} = -\alpha_n x_n (y_{n+1} - y_n).$$

It follows from (2.14) that

$$\beta_{n+1}x_{n+1}x_n - \beta_n x_n x_{n-1} = y_{n+1}^2 - y_n^2 - \alpha(y_{n+1} - y_n).$$

A telescopic sum produces (2.20).

To derive (2.21), we subtract (2.16) from (2.17) to obtain

$$\beta_{n+1}(R_{n+1} - x_{n+1}) - \beta_n(R_{n-1} - x_{n-1}) = (t - \alpha_n)(r_{n+1} - r_n - y_{n+1} + y_n - 1).$$

Multiplying both sides by $R_n - x_n$ shows that

$$\beta_{n+1}(R_{n+1} - x_{n+1})(R_n - x_n) - \beta_n(R_n - x_n)(R_{n-1} - x_{n-1}) = (t - \alpha_n)(R_n - x_n)(r_{n+1} - r_n - y_{n+1} + y_n - 1). \quad (2.24)$$

The difference of (2.12) and (2.11) gives

$$(t - \alpha_n)(R_n - x_n) = r_{n+1} + r_n - y_{n+1} - y_n - 2n - 1 - \gamma.$$

Inserting it into (2.24), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta_{n+1}(R_{n+1} - x_{n+1})(R_n - x_n) - \beta_n(R_n - x_n)(R_{n-1} - x_{n-1}) \\ = & (r_{n+1} - y_{n+1} - n - 1 - \gamma)(r_{n+1} - y_{n+1} - n - 1) - (r_n - y_n - n - \gamma)(r_n - y_n - n). \end{aligned}$$

A telescopic sum produces

$$\beta_n(R_n - x_n)(R_{n-1} - x_{n-1}) = (r_n - y_n - n - \gamma)(r_n - y_n - n). \quad (2.25)$$

Substituting (2.19) and (2.20) into (2.25), we obtain (2.21). \square

Remark 2. The three important equations (2.19), (2.20) and (2.21) were derived from (S'_2) directly in [17]. But in our case, we have to obtain them from the combination of (S_1) and (S_2) .

Finally, substituting (2.5) and (2.6) into (S'_2) and comparing the coefficients of $\frac{1}{z^2}$ on both sides, we get (2.10). Comparing the coefficients of $\frac{1}{z^3}$, we find the following equation,

$$n\beta + n(2n + \alpha + \beta + \gamma)t - (2n + \alpha + \beta)(t - 1)r_n + (2n + \alpha + \beta)ty_n + \gamma \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_j + (t^2 - 1) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} R_j - t^2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_j = 0. \quad (2.26)$$

Using (1.6) and (2.18), we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_j = -p(n, t) = -(t - 1)r_n + ty_n + nt.$$

Plugging it into (2.26) and replacing x_j by R_j from (2.10), we obtain

$$n\beta + n(n + \gamma)t - (2n + \alpha + \beta + \gamma)(t - 1)r_n + (2n + \alpha + \beta + \gamma)ty_n + (t - 1) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} R_j = 0. \quad (2.27)$$

The equations obtained from (S_1) , (S_2) and (S'_2) will play a significant role in the derivation of the Painlevé VI equation satisfied by the logarithmic derivative of the Hankel determinant $D_n(t)$ in the next section.

3 Painlevé VI and its σ -Form

In this section, we devote our efforts to deriving the second-order ordinary differential equation satisfied by the logarithmic derivative of the Hankel determinant. After suitable transformation, the relation of our Hankel determinant with the Painlevé equation will be established. We begin with taking a derivative with respect to t in the following equation

$$\int_0^1 P_n^2(x, t) x^\alpha (1-x)^\beta |x-t|^\gamma (A + B\theta(x-t)) dx = h_n(t), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

which produces

$$h'_n(t) = -\gamma \int_0^1 \frac{P_n^2(x) w(x)}{x-t} dx.$$

It follows from (2.8) that

$$t \frac{d}{dt} \ln h_n(t) = tx_n - tR_n = \alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2n + 1 - R_n, \quad (3.1)$$

where we have used (2.10) in the second equality.

In view of (1.5), we have

$$t \frac{d}{dt} \ln \beta_n(t) = 2 - R_n + R_{n-1}.$$

Then,

$$t\beta'_n(t) = \beta_n(2 - R_n + R_{n-1}). \quad (3.2)$$

We now define a quantity related to the logarithmic derivative of the Hankel determinant,

$$H_n(t) := t(t-1) \frac{d}{dt} \ln D_n(t).$$

From (1.7) and (3.1) we have

$$H_n(t) = n(n + \alpha + \beta + \gamma)(t-1) - (t-1) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} R_j(t). \quad (3.3)$$

On the other hand, taking a derivative with respect to t in the equation

$$\int_0^1 P_n(x, t) P_{n-1}(x, t) x^\alpha (1-x)^\beta |x-t|^\gamma (A + B\theta(x-t)) dx = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} p(n, t) = r_n - y_n - n. \quad (3.4)$$

It follows that

$$t\alpha'_n(t) = \alpha_n + r_n - r_{n+1}, \quad (3.5)$$

where use has been made of (1.4) and (2.15).

In addition, substituting (2.18) into (3.4) gives the following important relation,

$$(t-1)r'_n(t) = ty'_n(t). \quad (3.6)$$

Proposition 3.1. *The recurrence coefficient α_n has the following expression in terms of r_n, y_n and R_n ,*

$$(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2n + 2)\alpha_n = 2(t-1)r_n - 2ty_n - (t-1)R_n + t\alpha + (t-1)\beta + t, \quad (3.7)$$

while β_n has the expression in terms of r_n and y_n ,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2n + 1)(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2n - 1)\beta_n \\ = & [ty_n - (t-1)r_n]^2 - (t-1)(2nt + \gamma t + \beta)r_n + t[(t-1)(2n + \gamma) - \alpha]y_n + n(n + \gamma)(t^2 - t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. From (2.13) and (2.14) we have

$$r_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)R_n - \beta - r_n \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$y_{n+1} = \alpha - \alpha_n x_n - y_n. \quad (3.10)$$

Substituting (3.9) and (3.10) into (2.15), and using (2.10) to eliminate x_n , we obtain (3.7).

Multiplying (2.20) by t^2 on both sides, and using (2.10) to eliminate x_n and x_{n-1} , together with the aid of (2.19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (t-1)[(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2n - 1)\beta_n R_n + (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2n + 1)\beta_n R_{n-1}] \\ = & t^2(y_n^2 - \alpha y_n) - (t-1)^2(r_n^2 + \beta r_n) - (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2n + 1)(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2n - 1)\beta_n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Similarly, from (2.21) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2n - 1)\beta_n R_n + (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2n + 1)\beta_n R_{n-1} \\ = & (2n + \beta + \gamma)tr_n - (2n + \alpha + \gamma)ty_n + 2tr_n y_n - 2(t-1)(r_n^2 + \beta r_n) - n(n + \gamma)t. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Substituting (3.12) into (3.11) we obtain (3.8). \square

Proposition 3.2. *The auxiliary quantities $r_n(t)$ and $y_n(t)$ can be expressed in terms of $H_n(t)$ and $H'_n(t)$ as follows,*

$$r_n(t) = \frac{n^2 + n\alpha + n\gamma + H_n(t) - tH'_n(t)}{2n + \alpha + \beta + \gamma},$$

$$y_n(t) = -\frac{n^2 + n\beta + n\gamma - H_n(t) + (t-1)H'_n(t)}{2n + \alpha + \beta + \gamma}.$$

Proof. From (2.27) and (3.3) we have

$$(2n + \alpha + \beta + \gamma) [(t-1)r_n - ty_n] = n(2n + \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma)t - n(n + \alpha + \gamma) - H_n(t). \quad (3.13)$$

Taking a derivative with respect to t and using (3.6), we obtain

$$(2n + \alpha + \beta + \gamma)(r_n - y_n) = n(2n + \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma) - H'_n(t). \quad (3.14)$$

The combination of (3.13) and (3.14) gives the desired result. \square

From the above results, we are now able to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *The quantity $H_n(t)$ satisfies the following nonlinear second-order ordinary differential equation,*

$$\begin{aligned} & t^2(t-1)^2(H''_n)^2 + 4t(t-1)(H'_n)^3 - \left\{ 4(2t-1)H_n + [4n^2 + 4n(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) + (\alpha + \beta)^2] t^2 \right. \\ & - 2[2n^2 + 2n(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) + \alpha(\alpha + \gamma) + \beta(\alpha - \gamma)] t + (\alpha + \gamma)^2 \left. \right\} (H'_n)^2 \\ & + 2 \left\{ 2H_n^2 + [(4n^2 + 4n(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) + (\alpha + \beta)^2) t - 2n^2 - 2n(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) - \alpha(\alpha + \gamma) - \beta(\alpha - \gamma)] H_n \right. \\ & - n\gamma(n + \alpha + \beta + \gamma)[(\alpha - \beta)t - \alpha - \gamma] \left. \right\} H'_n - [4n^2 + 4n(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) + (\alpha + \beta)^2] H_n^2 \\ & + 2n\gamma(\alpha - \beta)(n + \alpha + \beta + \gamma)H_n - n^2\gamma^2(n + \alpha + \beta + \gamma)^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. Define

$$X := \beta_n R_n,$$

$$Y := \beta_n R_{n-1}.$$

It follows from (2.19) that

$$X \cdot Y = \beta_n(r_n^2 + \beta r_n). \quad (3.16)$$

From (3.2) and (3.12) we get two linear equations satisfied by X and Y :

$$X - Y = 2\beta_n - t\beta'_n,$$

$$(2n+\alpha+\beta+\gamma-1)X+(2n+\alpha+\beta+\gamma+1)Y = [2\beta+(2n-\beta+\gamma)t]r_n-2(t-1)r_n^2-(2n+\alpha+\gamma)ty_n+2tr_ny_n-n(n+\gamma)t.$$

Solving for X and Y and substituting the expressions into (3.16), we get an equation for r_n, y_n, β_n and β'_n . Using (3.8) and Proposition 3.2, we obtain the second-order differential equation satisfied by $H_n(t)$. \square

From Theorem 3.3, we readily have the following result.

Theorem 3.4. *Let*

$$\sigma_n(t) := H_n(t) + c_1 t + c_2,$$

where

$$c_1 = -n(n + \alpha + \beta + \gamma) - \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2}{4},$$

$$c_2 = \frac{1}{4} [2n(n + \alpha + \beta + \gamma) + (\alpha + \beta)\beta - (\alpha - \beta)\gamma].$$

Then $\sigma_n(t)$ satisfies the following Jimbo-Miwa-Okamoto σ -form of Painlevé VI [23] (page 446 (C.61)),

$$\sigma'_n [t(t-1)\sigma''_n]^2 + [2\sigma'_n(t\sigma'_n - \sigma_n) - (\sigma'_n)^2 - \nu_1\nu_2\nu_3\nu_4]^2 = (\sigma'_n + \nu_1^2)(\sigma'_n + \nu_2^2)(\sigma'_n + \nu_3^2)(\sigma'_n + \nu_4^2),$$

with the parameters

$$\nu_1 = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}, \quad \nu_2 = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2}, \quad \nu_3 = \frac{2n + \alpha + \beta}{2}, \quad \nu_4 = \frac{2n + \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma}{2}.$$

Proof. Substituting $H_n(t) = \sigma_n(t) - c_1 t - c_2$ into equation (3.15), we obtain the desired result. \square

In the end, we show that the auxiliary quantity $R_n(t)$ satisfies a particular painlevé VI up to a linear transformation.

Theorem 3.5. *Let*

$$S_n(t) := \frac{(t-1)R_n(t)}{2n + \alpha + \beta + \gamma + 1} + 1. \tag{3.17}$$

Then $S_n(t)$ satisfies the Painlevé VI equation [19]

$$S''_n = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{S_n} + \frac{1}{S_n - 1} + \frac{1}{S_n - t} \right) (S'_n)^2 - \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t-1} + \frac{1}{S_n - t} \right) S'_n$$

$$+ \frac{S_n(S_n - 1)(S_n - t)}{t^2(t-1)^2} \left(\mu_1 + \frac{\mu_2 t}{S_n^2} + \frac{\mu_3(t-1)}{(S_n - 1)^2} + \frac{\mu_4 t(t-1)}{(S_n - t)^2} \right),$$

where

$$\mu_1 = \frac{(2n + \alpha + \beta + \gamma + 1)^2}{2}, \quad \mu_2 = -\frac{\alpha^2}{2}, \quad \mu_3 = \frac{\beta^2}{2}, \quad \mu_4 = \frac{1 - \gamma^2}{2}.$$

Proof. Eliminating r_{n+1} from (2.13) and (3.5) gives

$$t\alpha'_n(t) = \beta + \alpha_n + 2r_n - (1 - \alpha_n)R_n.$$

Substituting (3.7) into the above and taking account of (3.6), we obtain the expression of y_n in terms of R_n , R'_n and r_n ,

$$\begin{aligned} y_n = & \frac{1}{2tR_n} \left\{ t(t-1)R'_n - (t-1)R_n^2 + [2(t-1)r_n + (\alpha + \beta + 1)t - \alpha - 2\beta - \gamma - 2n - 1] R_n \right. \\ & \left. + 2(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2n + 1)r_n + \beta(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2n + 1) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Replacing $\beta_n R_{n-1}$ by $\frac{r_n^2 + \beta r_n}{R_n}$ in (3.12) and making use of (3.8) together with the aid of (3.18), we finally obtain a linear equation for r_n . Hence we can express r_n in terms of R_n and R'_n ,

$$r_n = f(R_n, R'_n), \quad (3.19)$$

where $f(R_n, R'_n)$ is a function that is explicitly known. We do not write it down because it is somewhat long.

On the other hand, using (2.19), equation (3.2) can be written as

$$t\beta'_n(t) = \beta_n(2 - R_n) + \frac{r_n^2 + \beta r_n}{R_n}. \quad (3.20)$$

In view of (3.8), (3.18) and (3.19), we see that β_n can also be exclusively expressed in terms of R_n and R'_n ,

$$\beta_n = g(R_n, R'_n), \quad (3.21)$$

where $g(R_n, R'_n)$ is also a function that is explicitly known.

Substituting (3.19) and (3.21) into (3.20), we obtain a second-order differential equation satisfied by $R_n(t)$. Using the transformation (3.17), we finally get the Painlevé VI equation satisfied by $S_n(t)$. \square

Remark 3. If $\gamma = 0$, the results in Theorem 3.4 and 3.5 are coincident with the ones in [15]. In addition, we extend the results in [17] to a more general situation.

4 Double Scaling Analysis and Asymptotics

It is well known that the standard Jacobi polynomials $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ admit the following important limit relationship [18] (page 173 (41))

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-\alpha} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{2n^2} \right) = \left(\frac{z}{2} \right)^{-\alpha} J_\alpha(z),$$

where $J_\alpha(z)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind of order α . This motivates us to execute the double scaling, namely, $n \rightarrow \infty, t \rightarrow 0$, such that $s = c n^2 t$ is fixed. Here c is a constant to be suitably chosen later.

We define

$$\sigma(s) := - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n \left(\frac{s}{c n^2} \right).$$

After the change of variables, equation (3.15) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & s^2 (\sigma''(s))^2 + 4s (\sigma'(s))^3 - \left[(\alpha + \gamma)^2 - \frac{4s}{c} + 4\sigma(s) \right] (\sigma'(s))^2 - \frac{2}{c} (\alpha\gamma + \gamma^2 + 2\sigma(s)) \sigma'(s) - \frac{\gamma^2}{c^2} \\ & + \frac{2(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)}{c n} \left[2s (\sigma'(s))^2 - (\alpha\gamma + \gamma^2 + 2\sigma(s)) \sigma'(s) - \frac{\gamma^2}{c} \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

We have obtained the coefficient of the $O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)$ term. Since the expression is very long, we do not write it down here.

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$ and keep only the highest order term in (4.1), we get

$$s^2 (\sigma''(s))^2 + 4s (\sigma'(s))^3 - \left[(\alpha + \gamma)^2 - \frac{4s}{c} + 4\sigma(s) \right] (\sigma'(s))^2 - \frac{2}{c} (\alpha\gamma + \gamma^2 + 2\sigma(s)) \sigma'(s) - \frac{\gamma^2}{c^2} = 0.$$

By choosing $c = -1$, the above equation becomes

$$s^2 (\sigma''(s))^2 + 4s (\sigma'(s))^3 - [(\alpha + \gamma)^2 + 4s + 4\sigma(s)] (\sigma'(s))^2 + 2(\alpha\gamma + \gamma^2 + 2\sigma(s)) \sigma'(s) - \gamma^2 = 0,$$

which is just the Jimbo-Miwa-Okamoto σ -form of the Painlevé III. Hence we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Assuming that $n \rightarrow \infty, t \rightarrow 0$, such that $s = -n^2 t$ is fixed. Then $\sigma(s) := - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n \left(-\frac{s}{n^2} \right)$ satisfies the Jimbo-Miwa-Okamoto σ -form of the Painlevé III [21] (see (3.13) in page 1157),*

$$(s\sigma''(s))^2 = 4\sigma'(s)(\sigma'(s) - 1)(\sigma(s) - s\sigma'(s)) + (\nu_1\sigma'(s) - \nu_2)^2, \quad (4.2)$$

with parameters $\nu_1 = \alpha + \gamma, \nu_2 = \gamma$.

Finally, we show the asymptotic behavior of the Hankel determinant in two special cases, which are related to the largest and smallest eigenvalue distribution in the degenerate Jacobi unitary ensemble.

Theorem 4.2. *If $A = 0$, $B = 1$, then as $t \rightarrow 1^-$,*

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(t) &\sim 2^{-2n(n+\beta+\gamma)} (2\pi)^n \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\beta+\gamma+1}{2}\right) G^2\left(\frac{\beta+\gamma+1}{2}\right) G^2\left(\frac{\beta+\gamma}{2} + 1\right)}{G(\beta + \gamma + 1)G(\beta + 1)G(\gamma + 1)} \\ &\times \frac{G(n+1)G(n+\beta+1)G(n+\gamma+1)G(n+\beta+\gamma+1)}{G^2\left(n + \frac{\beta+\gamma+1}{2}\right) G^2\left(n + \frac{\beta+\gamma}{2} + 1\right) \Gamma\left(n + \frac{\beta+\gamma+1}{2}\right)} (1-t)^{n(n+\beta+\gamma)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

If $A = 1$, $B = -1$, then as $t \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(t) &\sim 2^{-2n(n+\alpha+\gamma)} (2\pi)^n \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+\gamma+1}{2}\right) G^2\left(\frac{\alpha+\gamma+1}{2}\right) G^2\left(\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{2} + 1\right)}{G(\alpha + \gamma + 1)G(\alpha + 1)G(\gamma + 1)} \\ &\times \frac{G(n+1)G(n+\alpha+1)G(n+\gamma+1)G(n+\alpha+\gamma+1)}{G^2\left(n + \frac{\alpha+\gamma+1}{2}\right) G^2\left(n + \frac{\alpha+\gamma}{2} + 1\right) \Gamma\left(n + \frac{\alpha+\gamma+1}{2}\right)} t^{n(n+\alpha+\gamma)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Here $G(x)$ is the Barnes G -function, defined by [1]

$$G(x+1) = (2\pi)^{\frac{x}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x(x+1) - \frac{1}{2}\gamma x^2} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\left(1 + \frac{x}{k}\right)^k e^{-x + \frac{x^2}{2k}} \right],$$

where γ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant.

Proof. If $A = 0$, $B = 1$, then (1.1) becomes

$$D_n(t) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[t,1]^n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^\alpha (1 - x_j)^\beta (x_j - t)^\gamma dx_j.$$

After the change of variables $x_j = y_j + t$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the above multiple integral turns to

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(t) &= \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[0,1-t]^n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (y_i - y_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^n (y_j + t)^\alpha (1 - t - y_j)^\beta y_j^\gamma dy_j \\ &= (1-t)^{n(n+\beta+\gamma)} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[0,1]^n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (y_i - y_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^n y_j^\gamma (1 - y_j)^\beta [(1-t)y_j + t]^\alpha dy_j, \end{aligned}$$

where we replace y_j by $(1-t)y_j$ in the second equality.

As $t \rightarrow 1^-$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(t) &\sim (1-t)^{n(n+\beta+\gamma)} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[0,1]^n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (y_i - y_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^n y_j^\gamma (1 - y_j)^\beta dy_j \\ &= 2^{-n(n+\beta+\gamma)} (1-t)^{n(n+\beta+\gamma)} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[-1,1]^n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (y_i - y_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - y_j)^\beta (1 + y_j)^\gamma dy_j \\ &= 2^{-n(n+\beta+\gamma)} (1-t)^{n(n+\beta+\gamma)} D_n[w_{\beta,\gamma}], \end{aligned}$$

where we replace the variable y_j by $\frac{1+y_j}{2}$ in the second step, and $D_n[w_{\beta,\gamma}]$ is the Hankel determinant for the standard Jacobi weight $(1-y_j)^\beta(1+y_j)^\gamma$. According to the formula (1.6) in [2], we obtain (4.3).

On the other hand, in the case $A = 1, B = -1$, from (1.1) we have

$$D_n(t) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[0,t]^n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^\alpha (1-x_j)^\beta (t-x_j)^\gamma dx_j.$$

By the change of variables $x_j = ty_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, it gives

$$D_n(t) = t^{n(n+\alpha+\gamma)} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[0,1]^n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (y_i - y_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^n y_j^\alpha (1-y_j)^\gamma (1-ty_j)^\beta dy_j.$$

As $t \rightarrow 0^+$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(t) &\sim t^{n(n+\alpha+\gamma)} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[0,1]^n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (y_i - y_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^n y_j^\alpha (1-y_j)^\gamma dy_j \\ &= 2^{-n(n+\alpha+\gamma)} t^{n(n+\alpha+\gamma)} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[-1,1]^n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (y_i - y_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^n (1-y_j)^\alpha (1+y_j)^\gamma dy_j \\ &= 2^{-n(n+\alpha+\gamma)} t^{n(n+\alpha+\gamma)} D_n[w_{\alpha,\gamma}], \end{aligned}$$

where we replace the variable y_j by $\frac{1-y_j}{2}$ in the second equality. Similarly, by using the formula (1.6) in [2], we obtain (4.4). \square

Acknowledgments

Chao Min was supported by the Scientific Research Funds of Huaqiao University under grant number 600005-Z17Y0054. Yang Chen was supported by the Macau Science and Technology Development Fund under grant number FDCT 023/2017/A1 and by the University of Macau under grant number MYRG 2018-00125-FST.

References

- [1] R. A. Askey and R. Roy, *Gamma Function*, Pages 135–147 of: NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Ed. by F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert and C. W. Clark, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.

- [2] E. L. Basor and Y. Chen, *Perturbed Hankel determinants*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **38** (2005) 10101–10106.
- [3] E. L. Basor and Y. Chen, *Painlevé V and the distribution function of a discontinuous linear statistic in the Laguerre unitary ensembles*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **42** (2009) 035203.
- [4] E. L. Basor and Y. Chen, *Perturbed Laguerre unitary ensembles, Hankel determinants, and information theory*, Math. Meth. App. Sci. **38** (2015) 4840–4851.
- [5] E. L. Basor, Y. Chen and T. Ehrhardt, *Painlevé V and time-dependent Jacobi polynomials*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **43** (2010) 015204.
- [6] E. Basor, Y. Chen and N. Mekareeya, *The Hilbert series of $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SO(N_c)$ and $Sp(N_c)$ SQCD, Painlevé VI and integrable systems*, Nucl. Phys. B **860** (2012) 421–463.
- [7] E. L. Basor, Y. Chen and L. Zhang, *PDEs satisfied by extreme eigenvalues distributions of GUE and LUE*, Random Matrices: Theor. Appl. **1** (2012) 1150003 (21 pages).
- [8] A. Bogatskiy, T. Claeys and A. Its, *Hankel determinant and orthogonal polynomials for a Gaussian weight with a discontinuity at the edge*, Commun. Math. Phys. **347** (2016) 127–162.
- [9] Y. Chen and D. Dai, *Painlevé V and a Pollaczek-Jacobi type orthogonal polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory **162** (2010) 2149–2167.
- [10] Y. Chen and M. V. Feigin, *Painlevé IV and degenerate Gaussian unitary ensembles*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **39** (2006) 12381–12393.
- [11] Y. Chen, N. S. Haq and M. R. McKay, *Random matrix models, double-time Painlevé equations, and wireless relaying*, J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013) 063506.
- [12] Y. Chen and A. Its, *Painlevé III and a singular linear statistics in Hermitian random matrix ensembles, I*, J. Approx. Theory **162** (2010) 270–297.
- [13] Y. Chen and M. R. McKay, *Coulomb fluid, Painlevé transcendents, and the information theory of MIMO systems*, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **58** (2012) 4594–4634.

- [14] Y. Chen, N. Jokela, M. Järvinen and N. Mekareeya, *Moduli space of supersymmetric QCD in the Veneziano limit*, J. High Energy Phys. **9** (2013) 1–38.
- [15] Y. Chen and L. Zhang, *Painlevé VI and the unitary Jacobi ensembles*, Stud. Appl. Math. **125** (2010) 91–112.
- [16] T. S. Chihara, *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*, Dover, New York, 1978.
- [17] D. Dai and L. Zhang, *Painlevé VI and Hankel determinants for the generalized Jacobi weight*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **43** (2010) 055207.
- [18] A. Erdélyi, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol. II, McGraw Hill, New York, 1953.
- [19] V. I. Gromak, I. Laine, S. Shimomura, *Painlevé Differential Equations in the Complex Plane*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2002.
- [20] M. E. H. Ismail, *Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in One Variable*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 98, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [21] M. Jimbo, *Monodromy problem and the boundary condition for some Painlevé equations*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **18** (1982) 1137–1161.
- [22] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Y. Mori and M. Sato, *Density matrix of an impenetrable Bose gas and the fifth Painlevé transcendent*, Physica D **1** (1980) 80–158.
- [23] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, *Monodromy preserving deformation of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients. II*, Physica D **2** (1981) 407–448.
- [24] S. Lyu, Y. Chen and E. Fan, *Asymptotic gap probability distributions of the Gaussian unitary ensembles and Jacobi unitary ensembles*, Nucl. Phys. B **926** (2018) 639–670.
- [25] S. Lyu, J. Griffin and Y. Chen, *The Hankel determinant associated with a singularly perturbed Laguerre unitary ensemble*, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. **26** (2019) 24–53.
- [26] M. L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, 3rd edn., Elsevier, New York, 2004.

- [27] B. M. McCoy, C. A. Tracy and T. T. Wu, *Spin-spin correlation functions for the two-dimensional Ising model*, Pages 83–97 of: *Statistical Mechanics and Statistical Methods in Theory and Application*, Ed. by U. Landman, Plenum Press, New York, 1977.
- [28] C. Min and Y. Chen, *Gap probability distribution of the Jacobi unitary ensemble: an elementary treatment, from finite n to double scaling*, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **140** (2018) 202–220.
- [29] C. Min and Y. Chen, *Painlevé transcendents and the Hankel determinants generated by a discontinuous Gaussian weight*, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **42** (2019) 301–321.
- [30] C. Min and Y. Chen, *Painlevé V, Painlevé XXXIV and the degenerate Laguerre unitary ensemble*, accepted for publication in *Random Matrices: Theor. Appl.*, arXiv: 1901.00318.
- [31] C. Min, S. Lyu and Y. Chen, *Painlevé III' and the Hankel determinant generated by a singularly perturbed Gaussian weight*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **936** (2018) 169–188.
- [32] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, 4th edn., AMS Colloquium Publications, Vol. 23, Providence, RI, 1975.
- [33] X.-B. Wu, S.-X. Xu and Y.-Q. Zhao, *Gaussian unitary ensemble with boundary spectrum singularity and σ -form of the Painlevé II equation*, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **140** (2018) 221–251.
- [34] S.-X. Xu, D. Dai and Y.-Q. Zhao, *Painlevé III asymptotics of Hankel determinants for a singularly perturbed Laguerre weight*, *J. Approx. Theory* **192** (2015) 1–18.
- [35] S.-X. Xu, D. Dai, Y.-Q. Zhao, *Hankel determinants for a singular complex weight and the first and third Painlevé transcendents*, *J. Approx. Theory* **205** (2016) 64–92.
- [36] Z.-Y. Zeng, S.-X. Xu and Y.-Q. Zhao, *Painlevé III asymptotics of Hankel determinants for a perturbed Jacobi weight*, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **135** (2015) 347–376.