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We introduce the concept of Berry’s phase in Josephson junctions and consider how this geometric phase arises due to applied oscillating electric fields and affects Cooper-pair tunneling across the Josephson junction barrier. A finite Berry’s phase can be detected by its renormalization of the electric field amplitude. This has implications for the designing of accurate Josephson junction microwave detectors.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Berry’s phase\(^1\) is responsible for a wide class of exotic physics ranging from condensed-matter physics and optics to high-energy and particle physics, fluid mechanics to gravity and cosmology.\(^2\) Here, we consider Berry’s phase to Cooper pair tunneling in Josephson junctions. We show that, a tunnelling Cooper pair under the influence of an oscillating electric field can polarize the surface of a tunnel barrier between two superconductors (Josephson junction) leading to topological quasi-particles. These quasi-particles are responsible for an additional phase of topological origin \(-\) Berry’s phase. The Berry’s phase is responsible for the renormalization of the amplitude of the electric field absorbed by the junction.

We set the Swihart velocity,\(^3\) Planck’s constant and Boltzmann constant to unity throughout the paper: \(\hbar = k_B = 1\).

II. BERRY’S PHASE

A. Adiabatic Evolution

When the wavefunction of a quantum system undergoes adiabatic\(^4\) evolution satisfying the time-dependent Schrödinger equation,

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(t) = iE\psi(t),
\]

the adiabatic wavefunction \(\psi(t)\) and the Berry’s phase\(^1\) \(\gamma(s)\) are given by

\[
\psi(s) = \exp\left[-i \int_0^s \! dt \, E(t) + i\gamma(s)\right] \psi(\bar{\lambda}(t)),
\]

\[
\gamma(s) = i \int_0^s \! dt \, \langle \psi(\bar{\lambda})(t) | \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\lambda}} | \psi(\bar{\lambda})(t) \rangle,
\]

respectively where the wavefunction \(\langle \psi(\bar{\lambda})|\psi(\bar{\lambda})\rangle = 1\) is normalized. The Berry’s phase \(\gamma\) is observable when it is gauge invariant – this is the case when the wave function \(\psi(\bar{\lambda}(t))\) depends on time via a parameter \(\bar{\lambda}(t)\) and \(s = T\) is the period of the adiabatic evolution \(\bar{\lambda}(t + T) = \bar{\lambda}(t)\).

\[
\gamma(T) = i \int_0^{T} \! dt \, \langle \psi(\bar{\lambda}(t)) | \frac{\partial}{\partial t} | \psi(\bar{\lambda}(t)) \rangle = i \int_0^{T} \! dt \, \bar{\lambda} \cdot \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\lambda}} \right) | \psi(\bar{\lambda}(t)) \rangle
\]

\[
= \int \! d\bar{\lambda} \, \bar{\Gamma} \cdot \oint \! dA \bar{\eta} \cdot \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\lambda}} \times \bar{\Gamma} \right) = \int \! dA \bar{\eta} \cdot \bar{B}, \tag{4}
\]

\(\bar{\Gamma} = i\langle \psi(\bar{\lambda})(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\lambda}}) | \psi(\bar{\lambda}) \rangle\) is the Berry’s connection, \(\bar{B} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\Gamma} \times \bar{\Gamma}\) the Berry’s curvature and \(\bar{\eta}\) is the unit normal vector to a surface \(A\) in parameter space \(\bar{\lambda}\).

B. Quantum Phase Dynamics of a Josephson Junction and Aharonov-Bohm Phase

The quantum phase dynamics for the large Josephson junction\(^5\) is given by,

\[
eV = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = 2ed_{eff} \bar{n} \cdot \bar{E}, \tag{5a}
\]

\[
\bar{\nabla} \phi = 2ed_{eff} \bar{n} \times \bar{B}, \tag{5b}
\]

\[
\bar{n} \cdot \bar{j} = 2eE_{j} \sin \phi, \tag{5c}
\]

where \(e\) is the electric charge, \(d_{eff}\) is the effective thickness of the tunnel barrier, \(\bar{n}\) is a unit vector normal to the tunnel barrier, \(\bar{\nabla} = (\partial/\partial x, \partial/\partial y, \partial/\partial z)\) and \(\bar{E}, \bar{B}\) are the electric and magnetic fields respectively which satisfy Maxwell’s equations,

\[
\bar{\nabla} \times \bar{B} = \frac{\bar{j}}{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r} + \frac{\partial \bar{E}}{\partial t}, \tag{5d}
\]

The quantum phase \(\phi\) and thus the vector potential \(\bar{A}\) will vary slowly along the \(\bar{n} = (1, 0, 0)\) direction (For simplicity, we assume the junction is oriented in the \(x\) direction). In this case, the quantum phase and electromagnetic fields have generic solutions,

\[
\phi = -2e \int_0^{d_{eff}} \! dx \, n \cdot \bar{A} = -2ed_{eff} A_x, \tag{6a}
\]

\[
-\frac{\partial A_x}{\partial t} = E_x, \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial y} = -B_z, \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial z} = B_y. \tag{6b}
\]

Combining eq. (5) with eq. (5d) leads to the Sine–Gordon equation,

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} - \nabla_{\bar{n}}^2 \phi = -\frac{\bar{n} \cdot \bar{j}}{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r} \sin \phi, \tag{6c}
\]

where \(\nabla_{\bar{n}} = (0, \partial/\partial y, \partial/\partial z)\) and \(\omega_p = [(2e)^2d_{eff}E_j/\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r]^{1/2}\) is the Josephson plasma frequency. However, the solution of \(\phi\) is not unique since another solution can be obtained by the
re-definition,
\[ \phi' = -2e \int_{C,\text{eff}} d\vec{x} \cdot \vec{A} \]
\[ = -2e \int_{0}^{\text{eff}} d\vec{x} A_{x} - 2e \int_{\mathcal{A}} (dy A_{y} + dz A_{z}) \]
\[ = \phi + 2e \int_{\mathcal{A}} dydz \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_{y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} A_{z} \right) \]
\[ = \phi - 2e \int_{\mathcal{A}} dydz B_{x}, \quad (6d) \]

where \( B_{x} = \vec{n} \times \vec{A}, \mathcal{A} \) is the cross-sectional area of the tunnel barrier and \( \phi' - \phi \) is the Aharonov-Bohm phase.\(^7\) The condition that \( \gamma_{AB} \) is undetectable by solely measuring the Josephson current \( \vec{n} \cdot \bar{J} = 2eE_{J} \sin \phi \) is \( \frac{2\pi}{e} \int dydz B_{x} = k \in \mathbb{Z} \). The tunneling surface \( \mathcal{A} \) appears to carry \( k \) magnetic charges each carrying a flux quantum, \( 2\pi/2e \).

C. The Quasi-Particle Model

1. Renormalization of Oscillating Electric Fields

Since the 2 dimensional surface of the tunnel barrier acts as a capacitor plate that can store charges, a tunneling Cooper-pair across the barrier and oscillating electromagnetic fields can lead to polarized charges as the capacitor microstates (microscopic degrees of freedom). Consequently, this introduces quantum electro-dynamics in \( 1+2 \) dimensions.(See Appendix)

Consider the total Hamiltonian \( H = E(t) + U_{\text{int}} \) of the Junction including a single quasi-particle of fractional charge \( 2e/k \) and magnetic moment \( \vec{\mu} \) interacting adiabatically with an oscillating electric field,

\[ H(t) = \left( \vec{P} - 2e\vec{A}^{ac} + \vec{E} \times \vec{\mu} \right)^{2} - 2eV - \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial t}, \quad (7a) \]

Here, \( M, \vec{P} \) is the mass and momentum of the quasi-particle, \( \vec{E} \times \vec{\mu} \) is the Aharonov-Casher potential\(^8\) acquired by the quasi-particle when it moves around tunneling charges with \( \mu = -i\gamma M^{-1}(2e/2) \vec{n} \) a fictitious imaginary magnetic moment where \( g = \gamma M \) is the \( g \) - factor and \( \beta \) the inverse temperature, and \( -\partial \gamma/\partial t \equiv U_{\text{int}} \) is the quasi-particle interaction energy (written in terms of an action \( \gamma \)) to be determined.

Under adiabatic evolution due to an oscillating voltage \( V(t) = V_{dc} + V_{ac} \cos(\Omega t) \), the total quantum phase \( \phi_{\Sigma} \) of the quasi-particle wavefunction is given by,

\[ \phi_{\Sigma}(s) = -\int_{0}^{s} dt H(t) = -\int_{0}^{s} dt E(t) + \gamma(s). \quad (7b) \]

Since the capacitor couples only to oscillating potentials, the gauge invariant kinetic term in eq. (7) will only depend on the time dependent \( A_{\alpha}^{ac} \) vector potential, \( -\partial A_{\alpha}^{ac}/\partial t = E_{\alpha}^{ac} = d_{\text{eff}}^{ac} V_{ac} \cos \Omega t \). Assuming these quasi-particles are topological, the kinetic energy term vanishes\(^9\) leading to

\[ \vec{P} = 2e\vec{A}^{ac} + \vec{\mu} \times \vec{E}_{\Omega} \quad (8) \]

\[ E(t) = 2eV(t) = 2eV_{dc} + 2eV_{ac} \cos \Omega t = -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \quad (9) \]

Provided the amplitude \( 2eV_{ac} \) is small compared to \( U_{\text{int}} \), large energy fluctuations are suppressed while the total wave function of the junction \( |\psi(x_{\text{J}})\rangle \) undergoes adiabatic evolution leading to,

\[ \gamma(s) = i \int_{0}^{s} dt \langle \bar{\psi}(x(t)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi(x(t))\rangle = \int_{\text{d}a} d\vec{x} \cdot \nabla \psi(x) \]

\[ = -\int_{0}^{\text{eff}} d\vec{x} P_{x} - \int_{\mathcal{A}} d\vec{x} \cdot \vec{P}_{\text{eff}} \]

\[ = -2e\int_{\text{eff}} d_{\text{eff}} A_{x}^{ac} \int_{\mathcal{A}} d\vec{x} \cdot \vec{P}_{\text{eff}}. \quad (10a) \]

Consequently, we find,

\[ \int_{\mathcal{A}} d\vec{x} \cdot \vec{P}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{2e}{k} \int_{\mathcal{A}} (dy A_{y}^{ac} + dz A_{z}^{ac}) \]

\[ + \frac{2e}{k} i\beta \int_{\mathcal{A}} (dy E_{z} - dz E_{y}) = \gamma_{AB}^{ac} + \gamma_{MC} \]

\[ = \frac{2e}{k} \int_{\mathcal{A}} d\vec{x} B_{x}^{ac} + \frac{2e}{k} i\beta \int_{\mathcal{A}} d\vec{x} \cdot \nabla \psi(x) \cdot \vec{E}_{\Omega}. \quad (10b) \]

Note that because of the term \( 2e\int_{\text{eff}} d_{\text{eff}} A_{x}^{ac} = k^{-1} \phi_{ac} \) in eq. (10a), the ac voltage is renormalized,

\[ \phi_{\Sigma}(s) = -\int_{0}^{s} dt E'(t) - \gamma_{AB}^{ac} - \gamma_{MC}, \quad (11a) \]

\[ E'(t) = 2eV_{dc} + 2e\Xi V_{ac} \cos(\Omega t), \quad (11b) \]

where \( \gamma_{AB}^{ac} = -\frac{2e}{k} \int_{\mathcal{A}} d\vec{x} B_{x}^{ac} = 2\pi \) is the Aharonov–Bohm phase, \( \gamma_{MC} = -\frac{2\pi}{k} \int_{\mathcal{A}} d\vec{x} \cdot \nabla \psi(x) \cdot \vec{E}_{\Omega} \) the Aharonov–Casner phase and \( \Xi = (1 - k^{-1}) \).

2. Quasi-particle Thermodynamics and Wavefunction Renormalization

Since it takes \( k \) quasi-particles to constitute charge \( 2e \), this renormalization factor \( 1 - k^{-1} \) depends on the thermal average \( \langle k \rangle \) at finite temperature. For bosonic quasi-particles, their average number, \( \langle k \rangle \equiv \langle bb^{\dagger} \rangle = 1 - \exp(-\beta M)^{-1} \) where \( E \equiv 2M \geq 0 \) is the minimum energy required to excite a pair of charged quasi-particles from the vacuum. This leads to a renormalization factor \( \Xi_{B} = 1 - \langle k \rangle^{-1} = \exp(-\beta M) - 1 \). On the other hand, fermionic quasi-particles lead to \( \langle cc^{\dagger} \rangle \equiv \langle \bar{c}c \rangle = [1 + \exp(-\beta M)]^{-1} \) where \( \Xi_{F} = -\exp(-\beta M) \).

Thus, we can rewrite this renormalization factor as \( \Xi \equiv \exp(-\beta M) \exp i\beta \omega_{m} \) where \( \omega_{m} = \beta^{-1} 2\pi n \) or \( \omega_{m} = \beta^{-1}(2m + \)
on the y–z plane,

$$\bar{v}_\mu \cdot \bar{E}_\mu \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{M - i\omega_m}{2e} \delta^2 (\vec{r} - \vec{r}_j),$$

(12a)

$$\gamma_{AC} = k^{-2} e i \beta \int dydz \bar{v}_\mu \cdot \bar{E}_\mu = i \beta M + \beta \omega_m,$$

(12b)

where \( \vec{r}_j \) = \((y_j', z_j')\) is the co-ordinate location of the \( j \)th quasiparticle on the \( y–z \) plane. This leads to a wavefunction renormalization (Lehmann) factor\(^{12,13}\)

$$\psi_{k-1} \rightarrow \psi_k = \Xi \psi_{k-1},$$

(13a)

when \( \gamma_{AC} \neq 0 \) is finite. Thus, \( \Xi = \langle \psi_{k-1} | \psi_k \rangle \) is the probability amplitude of exciting the ground state of \( \langle k - 1 \rangle = \{ \text{exp}(\beta M) \pm 1 \}^4 \) quasiparticles with a wavefunction \( \psi_{k-1} \) by creating an extra quasi-particle leading to \( \langle k \rangle \) quasi-particles with a modified wavefunction \( \psi_k \). By iteration, we arrive at eq. (13b) and the partition function

$$\psi_k = \Xi \psi_{k-1} \cdots \psi_0 = \Xi^k \psi_k = 0,$$

(13b)

$$\langle k \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Xi^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho_k,$$

(13c)

where we have defined a partition function \( \rho_k = \Xi^k / k = \pm k^{-1} \exp(-\beta k M) \). This yields a quasi-particle free-energy \( F = -\beta^{-1} \ln |\rho| = \beta^{-1} S + k M \) as expected where \( S = \ln k \) is the Boltzmann entropy.

3. Fraction of Absorbed Electric Energy Density

Since the process absorbs electromagnetic energy through this process \( (\psi_{k-1} \rightarrow \psi_k) \), we note that due to eq. (11b), the Boltzmann factor \( \exp(-\beta 2 M) = |\langle \psi_k | \psi_{k-1} \rangle|^2 \) is the fraction of the electric energy absorbed by the junction. This means that it renormalizes the electromagnetic Lagrangian density of the time-varying potential field,

$$L_{\text{AC}} = \frac{E_0 E_t}{4} \exp(-\beta 2 M) E^2 + \cdots.$$

(14a)

The inverse \((\psi_k \rightarrow \psi_{k-1})\) relaxation process with \( V(t) = V_{\text{dc}} \) in eq. (7) is accompanied by radiation emission and will lead only to the term \( (k^{-1} V_{\text{ac}} \cos \Omega t) \). Similar arguments yield a factor of \( (k^{-1}) \) in the Lagrangian.

In particular, introducing the Riemann–Silberstein vector (photon wavefunction)\(^{14,15}\) \( \Psi = k^{-1} E + 2 i a \bar{B} \) for this process satisfies the vacuum Maxwell’s equations, \( i \partial \Psi / \partial t = \Psi \times \Psi \), the action to order \( \alpha \) is given by

$$S_{\text{ac}} = \frac{E_0 E_t}{2} \int d^4 x \Psi \cdot \dot{\Psi} \approx \frac{E_0 E_t}{4} \int d^4 x \left( k^{-2} \bar{E} \cdot \bar{E} - 4 i a \bar{B} \cdot \bar{B} - 4 a^2 \bar{B} \cdot \bar{B} \right)$$

$$\approx \frac{E_0 E_t}{4k^2} \int d^4 x \bar{E} \cdot \bar{E} + \frac{(2e)^2}{4\pi k} i \int d^4 x \bar{E} \cdot \bar{B} - O(\alpha^2),$$

(14b)

where \( \alpha = (2e)^2 / 4\pi e_0 \epsilon_r \) is the fine structure constant, \( \omega_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4 x \dot{B} = \frac{1}{\pi e_0} \int ds dydz \epsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\sigma \) is a Chern–Simons (topological) term with \( \dot{B} \) the electric field and \( \Omega - s \) is the response function, making \( \Xi(\omega) = \int_0^{\infty} \chi(t) \exp(-i\omega t) dt \) the susceptibility. Thus, the renormalized electric field spectrum is given by

$$E'_{\text{ac}}(\omega) = d_{\text{eff}}' \int \frac{V_{\text{ac}} \Xi(\omega)}{\delta(\omega - \Omega) + \delta(\omega + \Omega)}$$

(16a)

$$\Xi(\omega) = \left[ \Xi(\omega) \exp(i\beta \omega_m) \right].$$

(16b)

For instance, in the equivalent circuit of the Josephson junction (JJ) depicted in Fig. 1, the response function becomes \( \chi(t) = \frac{1}{RC} \exp(-t/RC) \) with \( \Xi(\Omega) = [1 + i\Omega CR]^{-1} \) and \( RC \) the relaxation time of the circuit. Since the Matsubara frequency in this case is given by \( \omega_m = m\pi = \text{arctan}(\Omega/RC) \), we discover that the oscillation period of the electric field \( T \approx 2\pi RC \) has to satisfy the slow (adiabatic)\(^4\) condition required for the existence of the Berry’s phase. In conclusion, we have described a model where topological quasi-particles created by an oscillating electric field applied to the tunnel junction renormalizes the amplitude of the electric field via Berry’s phase. Since Berry’s phase \( \gamma(T) \) neither depends on \( B \), nor \( B_{\text{dc}} \), renormalization will be present even in ultrasmall junctions \((B_y = B_z = 0)\) exhibiting dynamical Coulomb blockade\(^{20,22}\) as long as the junction responds linearly as discussed. Hence, the insights herein are particularly useful in improving the accuracy of JJ microwave detectors\(^{23}\).
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Appendix A: Chern-Simons theory

We can further discuss the significance of \( \gamma_{AB} \) and \( \gamma_{AC} \) by introducing the Chern–Simons action,\(^{17}\)

\[
S_{CS} = \frac{2e}{2\pi k} \int dt dy dz \left( 2e \varepsilon^{\mu
\nu
\sigma} A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\sigma - j^\mu A_\mu \right),
\]

where \( \varepsilon^{\mu
\nu
\sigma} \) is the Levi-Civita symbol in 1 + 2 dimensions. Since the tunnel barrier acts as a capacitor that can store quasi-particles of charge \( 2e/k \) on its surface, a tunneling Cooper–pair will lead to a net topological current in the \( y \rightarrow z \) direction. (Repeated Greek indices are summed over as per Einstein’s Summation Convention)

For instance, a Chern-Simons term (a mass for the photon\(^{17}\)) can arise by introducing an effective quantum electrodynamics,\(^{18}\)

\[
\exp i [ S_M \pm S_{CS} ] \sim \int \prod_{n=1}^{k} D[\tilde{\phi}_n, \phi_n, a] \exp i \int d^4x \left( \frac{e_0 e_\phi}{4} f_{\mu \nu}^2 \right) \times \exp i \int dt dy dz \left[ \tilde{\phi}_n(i \partial - 2e\phi - M)\phi_n - 2e j^\mu a_\mu \right]
\]

where \( S_M = -\frac{e_0}{2} \int d^4x (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu)^2 \) is the Maxwell action, \( f_{\mu \nu} = (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + i e_0 e_\phi a_\mu a_\nu) \) is the Cabibbo-Ferrari tensor\(^{24}\) with \( \epsilon = (2e)^2/4\pi \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_\phi \), the fine-structure constant and \( \alpha^2 \approx 0 \). We assume the current takes the form \( j^\mu = (\rho, -\beta^{-1} \overline{\psi}_i \ln \rho/\rho_0) = (\rho, \overline{\psi}_i \bar{\psi}_i \bar{\psi}_i) \) and satisfies the normalization condition \( \int dy dz \rho = 2\pi k \) to ensure that after varying \( S_{CS} \) with respect to \( A_\mu \),

\[
\delta S_{CS} \rightarrow 2e e^{\mu
\nu
\rho} \partial_\nu A_\sigma \rightarrow j^\mu \rightarrow 2eB_\mu = \rho,
\]

\[
2eE_\phi = \beta^{-1} \rho^{-1} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial y} 2eE_\phi = -\beta^{-1} \rho^{-1} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z} \label{A1}
\]

we have \( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dy dz \rho \rightarrow 2e \int dy dz B_\mu = k \in \mathbb{Z} \). Here, the superscript – ac – has been dropped for convenience. Thus, the charge density \( \rho \) is the source of the magnetic field \( B_\mu \), responsible for \( \gamma_{AB} \).

Moreover, since eq. (A1) guarantees the continuity equation \( \partial_\mu j^\mu = 0 \), we can introduce 1) the diffusion equation,

\[
0 = \partial_\mu j^\mu \rightarrow \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \overline{\psi}_i \cdot (D \overline{\psi}_i \partial \phi),
\]

2) entropy \( S = \ln \rho/\rho_0 \) and 3) work done \( \delta W = \beta^{-1} \delta S \) by the quasi-particle which need not vanish over a closed path \( \mathcal{C} \),

\[
\gamma_{AC} = \int_C \delta W = \int_C \delta \ln \rho/\rho_0 = 2e_\phi \int_C (dy E_\phi - dz E_\phi),
\]

where \( D = \beta^{-1} \rho^{-1} \) is the diffusion coefficient satisfying the Einstein-Smoluchowski relation with \( \rho^{-1} \) playing the role of mobility. By inspection, it is clear that eq. (A1) solves the Euler–Langevin equation\(^{26,27}\) below,

\[
0 = \frac{dp}{dt} = -\beta^{-1} \overline{\psi} S - 2e(\vec{E} \cdot \vec{n})\vec{n} + 2e(\vec{B} \cdot \vec{n})(\vec{v} \times \vec{n}) + \vec{\eta},
\]

where \( \langle \eta(t)\eta(s) \rangle = \rho \delta(t-s) \beta^{-1} \delta(t-s) \),

with the Gaussian noise \( \eta = 0 \) and \(-\beta^{-1} \delta S/\delta x = 2eE_\phi \) an entropic force in the \( x \) direction. This result suggests a connection between charged fluid (thermo)dynamics and Maxwell-Chern-Simons theories.