PURELY (NON-) STRONGLY REAL BEAUVILLE $p$-GROUPS

SÜKRAN GÜL

Abstract. For every prime $p \geq 5$, we give examples of Beauville $p$-groups whose Beauville structures are never strongly real. This shows that there are purely non-strongly real nilpotent Beauville groups. On the other hand, we determine infinitely many Beauville 2-groups which are purely strongly real. This answers two questions formulated by Fairbairn in [8].

1. Introduction

A Beauville surface (of unmixed type) is a compact complex surface isomorphic to a quotient $(C_1 \times C_2)/G$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $C_1$ and $C_2$ are algebraic curves of genus at least 2, and $G$ is a finite group acting freely on $C_1 \times C_2$ by holomorphic transformations.

(ii) For $i = 1, 2$, the group $G$ acts faithfully on each curve $C_i$ so that $C_i/G \cong \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{C})$ and the covering map $C_i \to C_i/G$ is ramified over three points for $i = 1, 2$.

If a group $G$ can be made to act on $C_1 \times C_2$ as in (i) and (ii), then $G$ is said to be a Beauville group. The geometric definition of Beauville groups can be transformed into purely group-theoretical terms, see the remark after Definition 3.7 in [3].

Definition 1.1. Let $G$ be a finite group. For a couple of elements $x, y \in G$, let

$$
\Sigma(x, y) = \bigcup_{g \in G} \left( \langle x \rangle^g \cup \langle y \rangle^g \cup \langle xy \rangle^g \right).
$$

Then $G$ is called a Beauville group if $G$ is a 2-generator group, and there exists a pair of generating sets $\{x_1, y_1\}$ and $\{x_2, y_2\}$ of $G$ such that $\Sigma(x_1, y_1) \cap \Sigma(x_2, y_2) = 1$. Then $\{x_1, y_1\}$ and $\{x_2, y_2\}$ are said to form a Beauville structure for $G$.

Recall that a complex surface $S$ is called real if there exists a biholomorphism $\sigma: S \to \overline{S}$ between $S$ and its complex conjugate surface $\overline{S}$ such that $\sigma^2 = 1$. If we want Beauville surfaces to be real, then we work with the following sufficient condition on the Beauville structure, see page 38 in [2].
Definition 1.2. Let \( G \) be a Beauville group. We say that \( G \) is strongly real if there exist a Beauville structure \( \{\{x_1, y_1\}, \{x_2, y_2\}\} \), an automorphism \( \theta \in \text{Aut}(G) \) and elements \( g_i \in G \) such that
\[
g_i \theta(x_i) g_i^{-1} = x_i^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad g_i \theta(y_i) g_i^{-1} = y_i^{-1}
\]
for \( i = 1, 2 \). Then the Beauville structure is called strongly real Beauville structure.

We remark that a number of strongly real Beauville groups were given in \([5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14]\). Strongly real Beauville groups may have Beauville structures which are not strongly real. In this paper we are interested in the extreme cases given in the following definition.

Definition 1.3. A Beauville group \( G \) is said to be a purely strongly real Beauville group if all Beauville structures of \( G \) are strongly real. If none of the Beauville structures of \( G \) are strongly real, then \( G \) is said to be a purely non-strongly real Beauville group.

Since for any abelian group the map \( x \mapsto x^{-1} \) is an automorphism, every abelian Beauville group is actually a purely strongly real Beauville group. On the other hand, in \([8]\) Fairbairn showed that there are infinitely many purely non-strongly real Beauville groups by taking the direct product of the Mathieu group \( M_{11} \) and any Beauville group of coprime order with \( M_{11} \). As these groups are not nilpotent, he asked if there exist any nilpotent purely non-strongly real Beauville groups.

In \([8]\) Fairbairn also constructed infinitely many non-abelian purely strongly real Beauville \( p \)-groups by considering one of the types of 2-generator \( p \)-groups of nilpotency class 2. Namely, he showed that for \( p \geq 5 \) and \( n \geq r \geq 1 \), the group
\[
(1) \quad G = \langle x, y, z \mid x^{p^n} = y^{p^n} = z^{p^r} = [x, z] = [y, z] = 1, [x, y] = z \rangle
\]
is a purely strongly real Beauville group. Since in his result \( p \geq 5 \), he asked about the existence of purely strongly real Beauville 2-groups and 3-groups.

Our first goal in this paper is to give infinitely many purely non-strongly real Beauville \( p \)-groups for every prime \( p \geq 5 \).

Theorem A. Let \( G \) be a non-abelian metacyclic Beauville \( p \)-group or a Beauville \( p \)-group of nilpotency class 2 which is not as in \((1)\). Then \( G \) is a purely non-strongly real Beauville \( p \)-group.

The second main result in this paper shows that there are infinitely many purely strongly real Beauville 2-groups.

Theorem B. For every \( e \geq 2 \), the group
\[
G = \langle x, y, z, t, w \mid x^{2^e} = y^{2^e} = z^{2^{e-1}} = t^{2^{e-1}} = w^{2^{e-1}} = 1, \quad [y, x] = z, [z, x] = t, [z, y] = w \rangle
\]
is a purely strongly real Beauville 2-group.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we characterize non-abelian metacyclic Beauville \( p \)-groups and Beauville \( p \)-groups of nilpotency class 2, and then we also determine their automorphism groups. In Section 3 we prove our main theorems.
Remark 2.2. Recall that a finite $p$-group $G$ is metacyclic if its maximal subgroup is cyclic. In this section, we will first give a classification of non-abelian metacyclic Beauville $p$-groups and Beauville $p$-groups of nilpotency class 2. Then we will determine their automorphism groups.

Theorem 2.1. Let $G$ be a non-abelian metacyclic Beauville $p$-group of exponent $p^e$. Then $p \geq 5$ and $G$ has the following presentation:

$$G = \langle a, b \mid a^{p^e} = b^{p^e} = 1, [a, b] = a^p \rangle,$$

where $1 \leq i \leq e - 1$.

Proof. By Corollary 2.9 in [10], $G$ is a Beauville group if and only if $p \geq 5$ and $G$ is a semidirect product of two cyclic groups of order $p^e$. This implies that $G$ has the presentation given in (2). □

Remark 2.2. Recall that a finite $p$-group $G$ is powerful if $G' \leq G^p$ for $p$ odd, or if $G \leq G^4$ for $p = 2$. Then the groups given in Theorem 2.1 are powerful since $p$ is odd. On the other hand, by Exercise 2.13 in [4], a 2-generator powerful $p$-group is metacyclic. As a consequence, non-abelian metacyclic Beauville $p$-groups are exactly the same as non-abelian powerful Beauville $p$-groups.

We next deal with Beauville $p$-groups of nilpotency class 2.

Proposition 2.3. There is no Beauville 2-group of nilpotency class 2.

Proof. Let $G$ be a 2-generator 2-group of nilpotency class 2 of exponent $2^c$. Since the class is 2, $G'$ is cyclic and hence it consists of commutators. For every $x, y \in G$ we have

$$1 = (xy)^2 = x^{2e}y^{2e}[y, x] = [y, x],$$

and thus $\exp G' \leq 2^{e-1}$.

On the other hand, let us see that $\exp \Phi(G) \leq 2^{e-1}$. Any element in $\Phi(G)$ can be written in the form $x^2c$ for some $x \in G$ and $c \in G'$. Since $G' \leq Z(G)$ and $\exp G' \leq 2^{e-1}$, we have $(x^2c)^{2^{e-1}} = x^{2^{e-1}} = 1$.

Thus, as $\exp G = 2^e$, there exists an element $a \in G \setminus \Phi(G)$ which is of order $2^e$. Consider the maximal subgroup $M$ containing $a$. We claim that all elements in $M \setminus \Phi(G)$ are of order $2^e$ and their $2^{e-1}$st powers coincide with $a^{2^{e-1}}$. Every element in $M \setminus \Phi(G)$ is of the form $ab$ where $b \in \Phi(G)$. If we write $b = x^2c$ for some $x \in G$ and $c \in G'$, then

$$(ax^2c)^{2^{e-1}} = a^{2^{e-1}}(x^2c)^{2^{e-1}}[x^2c, a] = a^{2^{e-1}}[x, a]^{2^{e-1}} = a^{2^{e-1}}.$$

Since there are only three maximal subgroups in $G$, for any generating set $\{x_1, y_1\}$ of $G$ one of the elements in $\{x_1, y_1, x_1y_1\}$ falls in the maximal subgroup $M$, and hence $a^{2^{e-1}} \in \Sigma(x_1, y_1)$. Thus, $G$ cannot be a Beauville group. □
The following result, which gives the complete classification of 2-generator $p$-groups of nilpotency class 2, is Theorem 1.1 in [1].

**Theorem 2.4.** Every 2-generator $p$-group of order $p^n$ of nilpotency class 2 corresponds to an ordered 5-tuple of integers, $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; p, \sigma)$, such that

(i) $\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma \geq 1$,
(ii) $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = n$,
(iii) $0 \leq \rho, \sigma \leq \gamma$,

where $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; p, \sigma)$ corresponds to the group presented by

$$G = \langle x, y \mid [x, y]^p = [x, y, x] = [x, y, y] = 1, \ x^{p^\rho} = [x, y]^{p^\gamma}, \ y^{p^\sigma} = [x, y]^{p^{\rho + \gamma}} \rangle.$$  

By using this result, we will characterize all Beauville $p$-groups of nilpotency class 2. Before that, we recall the definition of regular $p$-groups.

**Definition 2.5.** A finite $p$-group $G$ is called regular if for every $x, y \in G$, $(xy)^p \equiv x^py^p \pmod{H^p}$ where $H = \langle x, y \rangle'$.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let $G$ be a Beauville $p$-group of nilpotency class 2 and of exponent $p^e$. Then $p \geq 5$ and $G$ has the following presentation:

$$G = \langle a, b \mid a^{p^e} = [b, a]^{p^e} = [b, a, a] = [b, a, b] = 1, b^{p^i} = [b, a]^{p^{j - 1}} \rangle,$$

where $0 \leq k \leq j \leq i \leq e$ and $e = i + j - k$.

**Proof.** Let $G = \langle x, y \rangle$ have a presentation as in the previous theorem. By Proposition 2.3, $p$ is odd. Since $G$ is of class 2 $< p$, this implies that $G$ is a regular $p$-group. Then by Corollary 2.6 in [10], we have $p \geq 5$ and $|G^{p^{e-1}}| \geq p^2$. Also according to Proposition 2.7 in [10], the condition $|G^{p^{e-1}}| \geq p^2$ holds if and only if $(x, y)^{p^{e-1}}$ and $(y, x)^{p^{e-1}}$ are distinct and non-trivial. Therefore, $(x) \cap (y) = 1$ and $o(x) = o(y) = p^e$.

By the condition $(x) \cap (y) = 1$, in the presentation of $G$ in Theorem 2.4, we have either $\sigma = \gamma$ or $\rho = \gamma$. On the other hand, since $p^e = o(x) = p^{\sigma + \gamma - r}$ and $p^e = o(y) = p^{\beta + \gamma - r}$, the condition $\alpha \geq \beta$ implies that $\sigma \leq \rho$. As a consequence, we necessarily have $\sigma = \gamma$. Hence $G$ has the following presentation:

$$G = \langle x, y \mid x^{p^e} = [x, y]^{p^e} = [x, y, x] = [x, y, y] = 1, y^{p^e} = [x, y]^{p^{k - 1}} \rangle,$$

where $0 \leq k \leq j \leq i \leq e$ and $e = i + j - k$.

Now consider the group

$$L = \langle a, b \mid a^{p^e} = [b, a]^{p^e} = [b, a, a] = [b, a, b] = 1, b^{p^i} = [b, a]^{p^{j - 1}} \rangle,$$

where $0 \leq k \leq j \leq i \leq e$ and $e = i + j - k$. Then one can easily check that the map $\theta: L \rightarrow G$ defined by $\theta(a) = x^{-1}$ and $\theta(b) = y$ is an isomorphism. This completes the proof. □

**Remark 2.7.** Note that we have three main types of Beauville $p$-groups of nilpotency class 2. The first type is when $k = 0$ in (3). In this case we have $[b, a] = b^{p^i}$, and hence the group is powerful. If $k = j$ then (3) coincides with the presentation given in [1]. In the last type we have $0 < k < j$.  

---

\[\text{5. GÜL}\]
We continue this section by giving the automorphism groups of non-abelian metacyclic Beauville $p$-groups and Beauville $p$-groups of nilpotency class 2 which are not as in (1). To this purpose, we refer to the paper [15] by Menegazzo.

**Proposition 2.8.** Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $G$ be a metacyclic $p$-group with the following presentation:

$$G = \langle a, b \mid a^{p^i} = b^{p^j} = 1, [a, b] = a^{p^k} \rangle,$$

where $1 \leq i \leq e - 1$. Then a map $\theta$ defined on the generators $a$ and $b$ extends to an automorphism of $G$ if and only if

$$\theta(a) = b^{mp^{i-1}}a^n \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(b) = b^{1+rp^{i-1}}a^s,$$

where $1 \leq m, r \leq p^i$ and $1 \leq n, s \leq p^e$ with $p \nmid n$.

**Proof.** By the result of Menegazzo in [15, page 82], a map $\theta$ defined on the generators $a$ and $b$ extends to an automorphism of $G$ if and only if

$$\theta(a) = b^\alpha a^\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(b) = b^{1+\lambda p^{i-1}(b^\alpha a^\beta)^\mu},$$

where $p^{i-1} \mid \alpha$ and $p \nmid \beta$. As one can easily check that $b^{\lambda p^{i-1}} \in Z(G)$, we have $(b^\alpha a^\beta)^\mu = b^{\alpha \mu}a^{\beta \mu}$. Then by writing $\alpha = mp^{i-1}, \beta = n, \lambda + \mu = r$ and $\beta \mu = s$, we get the result. $\square$

We next consider Beauville $p$-groups of nilpotency class 2 which are not as in (1). By Remark 2.7, there is only one case that we have to deal with since in the other case the groups are powerful.

**Proposition 2.9.** Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $G$ be a $p$-group given by the following presentation:

$$G = \langle a, b \mid a^{p^k} = [b, a]^p = [b, a, a] = [b, a, b] = 1, b^{p^i} = [b, a] \rangle,$$

where $0 < k < j \leq i \leq e$ and $e = i + j - k$. Then a map $\theta$ defined on the generators $a$ and $b$ extends to an automorphism of $G$ if and only if

$$\theta(a) = a^{1+mp^{i-1}}b^n a_c \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(b) = a^{rp^{i-1}}b^s c_b,$$

where $a_c, c_b \in G'$ and $1 \leq m, n, r, s \leq p^i$ with $p \nmid s$.

**Proof.** By the result of Menegazzo in [15, page 85], a map $\theta$ defined on the generators $a$ and $b$ extends to an automorphism of $G$ if and only if

$$\theta(a) = a^{1+\lambda p^{i-1}}c \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(b) = a^\alpha b^\beta [b, a]^\mu,$$

where $p^{i-1} \mid \alpha, p \nmid \beta$ and $c \in \langle a^\beta b^\alpha \rangle G'$.

Now if we write $\alpha = rp^{i-1}$, and $\beta = s$, then

$$\theta(b) = a^{rp^{i-1}}b^s c_b,$$

where $1 \leq r, s \leq p^i$ with $p \nmid s$, and $c_b \in G'$.

On the other hand, observe that all elements in $\langle a^\beta b^\alpha \rangle G'$ are of the form

$$a^{rtp^{i-1}}b^s c_a,$$

where $1 \leq r, s \leq p^i$ and $c_a \in G'$. As a consequence,

$$\theta(a) = a^{1+mp^{i-1}}b^n c_a,$$

where $1 \leq m, n \leq p^i$, and $c_a \in G'$, as desired. $\square$
3. Proof of the main theorems

This section is devoted to proving our main theorems. We will first deal with Theorem A. Indeed, Theorem A immediately follows from the following result.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime and let \( G \) be a 2-generator \( p \)-group of exponent \( p^e \) with one of the following presentations:

(i) \( G = \langle a, b \mid a^{p^i} = b^{p^i} = 1, [a, b] = a^{p^i} \rangle \), where \( 1 \leq i \leq e - 1 \),

(ii) \( G = \langle a, b \mid a^{p^i} = [b, a]^{p^i} = [b, a, a] = [b, a, b] = 1, b^{p^i} = [b, a]^{p^i} \rangle \),

where \( 0 < k < j \leq i \leq e \) and \( e = i + j - k \).

Let \( \{x, y\} \) be an arbitrary generating set of \( G \). Then there do not exist \( \theta \in \text{Aut}(G) \) and \( g \in G \) such that

\[
\theta(x) = (x^{-1})^g \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(y) = (y^{-1})^g.
\]

**Proof.** Suppose, by way of contradiction, that there exist \( \theta \in \text{Aut}(G) \) and \( g \in G \) such that \( \theta(x) = (x^{-1})^g \) and \( \theta(y) = (y^{-1})^g \). We next write \( x = a^i b^j c \) and \( y = a^k b^l d \) where \( c, d \in \Phi(G) \) and \( t, u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z} \).

Now we have

\[
\theta(x) = \theta(a^i b^j c) = \theta(a)^i \theta(b)^j \theta(c) = (a^{-1} b^{-1} a^{-t})^g.
\]

We first assume that we are in case (i). According to Proposition 2.8, we have \( \theta(x) \equiv a^{tn + us} b^u \pmod{\Phi(G)} \) for some \( n, s \in \mathbb{Z} \). Then (4) implies that

\[
a^{tn + us} b^u \equiv a^{-1} b^{-1} \pmod{\Phi(G)},
\]

that is, \( a^{(n+1) + us} \equiv b^{-2u} \pmod{\Phi(G)} \). Since \( p \) is odd, this implies that \( p \mid u \). By using the same arguments for \( y \), we also get \( p \mid w \). Thus, \( G = \langle x, y \rangle \leq \langle a, \Phi(G) \rangle \), a contradiction.

Similarly, if we are in case (ii), then by using Proposition 2.8 we get \( p \mid t \) and \( p \mid v \). Consequently, in this case we have \( G = \langle x, y \rangle \leq \langle b, \Phi(G) \rangle \), which is again a contradiction. \( \square \)

The next result, which answers Question 18 in [8], is a straightforward consequence of Theorem A.

**Corollary 3.2.** There exist infinitely many nilpotent purely non-strongly real Beauville groups.

In the remaining part of this section, we will prove Theorem B. Before we proceed, we will need to introduce a general lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( G \) be a group and let \( x, y \in G \). If \( \theta \in \text{Aut}(G) \), then the following are equivalent:

(i) There exists \( g \in G \) such that \( \theta(x) = (x^{-1})^g \) and \( \theta(y) = (y^{-1})^g \).

(ii) For \( a = xy \) and \( b \in \{x, y\} \), there exists \( h \in G \) such that \( \theta(a) = (a^{-1})^h \) and \( \theta(b) = (b^{-1})^h \).

**Proof.** We first assume that (i) holds. Since \( \theta \in \text{Aut}(G) \), we have

\[
\theta(a) = \theta(x) \theta(y) = (x^{-1} y^{-1})^g.
\]

Note that \( a^{-1} = (x^{-1} y^{-1})^y = (x^{-1} y^{-1})^{x^{-1}} \). Hence \( \theta(a) = (a^{-1})^{y^{-1} g} = (a^{-1})^{x g} \). Then (ii) follows by the fact that \( \theta(x) = (x^{-1})^{x g} \) and \( \theta(y) = (y^{-1})^{y^{-1} g} \).
Conversely, assume that (ii) holds. Since we can apply a similar argument we assume that $b = x$. Then the equalities $\theta(a) = \theta(xy) = (y^{-1}x^{-1})^h$ and $\theta(x) = (x^{-1})^h$ imply that $\theta(y) = (y^{-1})x^{-1}$. Thus, we take $g = x^{-1}h$. □

For $e \geq 2$, let $T$ be the triangle group defined by the presentation

$$T = \langle a, b \mid a^{2e} = b^{2e} = (ab)^{2e} = 1 \rangle.$$ 

Then by Theorem 2.1(iii) in [14], the group $G$ given by the following presentation

(5) $G = \langle x, y, z, t, w \mid x^{2e} = y^{2e} = z^{2e-1} = t^{2e-1} = w^{2e-1} = 1, [y, x] = z, [z, x] = t, [z, y] = w \rangle$

is isomorphic to $T/\gamma_4(T)$.

Note that the map $\phi: T \to T$ defined by $\phi(a) = a^{-1}$ and $\phi(b) = b^{-1}$ is an automorphism. Since $G \cong T/\gamma_4(T)$, the map $\phi$ induces an automorphism $\theta: G \to G$ defined by $\theta(x) = x^{-1}$ and $\theta(y) = y^{-1}$. Then observe that we have $\theta(z) = zt^{-1}w^{-1}$, $\theta(t) = t^{-1}$ and $\theta(w) = w^{-1}$.

In the calculations in Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.5 we will use the following identity. Let $G$ be a group as in (5). Then since $G$ is of class 3, we have

$$[y^n, x^n] = [y^n, x^n][y^n, x, x]^n,$$

where $[y^n, x] = z^m w^m(z)$. As a consequence,

$$[y^n, x^n] = z^m t^m(z) w^m(z).$$

The following technical lemma will be needed for the proof of Theorem 3.5.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let $G$ be a group as in (5), and let $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$ be the automorphism of $G$ defined by $\theta(x) = x^{-1}$ and $\theta(y) = y^{-1}$. Then the following hold:

(i) If $a = x^iy^jz^k \in G$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq 2^e$, $1 \leq k \leq 2^{e-1}$, and $i$ is odd, then $a \theta(a) = [a^{-1}, y^{2kn-j}]$,

where $1 \leq n < 2^e$ and $i \cdot n \equiv 1 \pmod{2^e}$.

(ii) If $b = y^i x^j z^k \in G$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq 2^e$, $1 \leq k \leq 2^{e-1}$, and $j$ is odd, then $b \theta(b) = [b^{-1}, x^{-2km-i}]$,

where $1 \leq m < 2^e$ and $j \cdot m \equiv 1 \pmod{2^e}$.

**Proof.** We will first prove (i). We have

$$[a^{-1}, y^{2kn-j}] = [a^{-1}, y^{-j}][a^{-1}, y^{2kn}]^{y^{-j}}.$$ 

By keeping in mind that $i \cdot n \equiv 1 \pmod{2^e}$, we have

$$[a^{-1}, y^{2kn}] = z^{2k_l - ik - k} w^{-k},$$

and hence

$$[a^{-1}, y^{2kn-j}] = [a^{-1}, y^{-j}][2k_l - ik - k]^{2j_k - k}.$$
On the other hand, 
\[ a\theta(a) = ax^{-i}y^{-j}z^{k}t^{-k}w^{-k} \]
\[ = ay^{-j}x^{-i}[z^{-k}y^{-j}x^{-i}, y^{-j}]z^{k}t^{-k}w^{-jk-k} \]
\[ = ay^{-j}x^{-i}[a^{-1}, y^{-j}]z^{k}t^{-k}w^{-jk-k} \]
\[ = [a^{-1}, y^{-j}]z^{2k}t^{-ik-k}w^{-2jk-k}. \]

Consequently, (i) holds.

Notice that (ii) follows from (i). In item (i), we interchange the roles of \( x \) and \( y \). Then since \( z = [y, x] \), we have to replace \( z \) with \( z^{-1} \) and hence we get (ii) from (i).

We are now ready to prove Theorem B, which answers Question 13 in [8].

**Theorem 3.5.** For every \( e \geq 2 \), the group

\[ G = \langle x, y, z, t, w \mid x^{2e} = y^{2e} = z^{2e-1} = t^{2e-1} = w^{2e-1} = 1, \]
\[ [y, x] = z, [z, x] = t, [z, y] = w \] is a purely strongly real Beauville 2-group.

**Proof.** By the proof of Theorem 2.1(iii) in \([14]\), \( G \) can be constructed as the semidirect product of \( \langle y \rangle \times A \) by \( \langle x \rangle \cong C_{2e} \), where \( \langle y \rangle \cong C_{2e} \) and \( A = \langle z \rangle \times \langle t \rangle \times \langle w \rangle \cong C_{2e-1} \times C_{2e-1} \times C_{2e-1} \). Hence \( \exp G = 2e-1 \). Again by Theorem 2.1(iii) in \([14]\), we know that \( \exp G = 2e \). This implies that any two elements which generate \( G \) satisfy the relations given in \([6]\).

Let \( \{x_1, y_1\} \) and \( \{x_2, y_2\} \) form a Beauville structure for \( G \). Then by the previous paragraph, without loss of generality we may assume that \( x_1 = x \) and \( y_1 = y \). Since the map \( \theta : G \rightarrow G \) defined by \( \theta(x) = x^{-1} \) and \( \theta(y) = y^{-1} \) is an automorphism, in order to complete the proof we need to show that there exists \( h \in G \) such that \( \theta(x_2) = (x^{-1}_2)^h \) and \( \theta(y_2) = (y^{-1}_2)^h \).

Note that \( G \) has 3 maximal subgroups. Hence, there are \( a, b \in \{x_2, y_2, x_2y_2\} \) such that \( a \in \langle x, \Phi(G) \rangle \) and \( b \in \langle y, \Phi(G) \rangle \). Then according to Lemma \([8, 3]\) it is enough to show that \( \theta(a) = (a^{-1})^{g} \) and \( \theta(b) = (b^{-1})^{g} \) for some \( g \in G \).

Indeed, we will prove more generally that for any \( u \in \langle x, \Phi(G) \rangle \setminus \Phi(G) \) and \( v \in \langle y, \Phi(G) \rangle \setminus \Phi(G) \), there exists some \( g \in G \) such that \( \theta(u) = (u^{-1})^{g} \) and \( \theta(v) = (v^{-1})^{g} \). Since the restriction of \( \theta \) to \( Z(G) = \langle t, w \rangle \) coincides with the inversion map, we can assume that

\[ u = x^{1+2i_1}y^{2j_1}z^{k_1} \quad \text{and} \quad v = y^{1+2j_2}x^{2i_2}z^{k_2}, \]

where \( 1 \leq i_1, j_1, k_1 \leq 2e-1 \) for \( s = 1, 2 \).

Now according to Lemma \([8, 3]\) we have

\[ u\theta(u) = [u^{-1}, y^{2k_1n-2j_1}] \quad \text{and} \quad v\theta(v) = [v^{-1}, x^{-2k_2m-2i_2}], \]

where

\[ (1 + 2i_1) \cdot n \equiv 1 \pmod{2e} \quad \text{and} \quad (1 + 2j_2) \cdot m \equiv 1 \pmod{2e}. \]

Then the equalities \( \theta(u) = (u^{-1})^{g} \) and \( \theta(v) = (v^{-1})^{g} \) hold if and only if

\[ [u^{-1}, y^{2k_1n-2j_1}] = [u^{-1}, g] \quad \text{and} \quad [v^{-1}, x^{-2k_2m-2i_2}] = [v^{-1}, g]. \]
Thus, such an element \( g \in G \) exists if and only if
\[
(8) \quad gy^{2ji - 2k_1n} \in C_G(u) \quad \text{and} \quad gx^{2k_2m + 2i_2} \in C_G(v).
\]
We will next show that \( C_G(u) = \langle u \rangle Z(G) \) and \( C_G(v) = \langle v \rangle Z(G) \). Clearly, \( \langle u \rangle Z(G) \leq C_G(u) \). Conversely, let \( c \in C_G(u) \) and write \( c \equiv u^{\alpha} v^\beta [v, u]^{\mu} \pmod{Z(G)} \) where \( \alpha, \beta, \mu \in \mathbb{Z} \). Then
\[
1 = [u, c] = [u, u^{\alpha} v^\beta [v, u]^{\mu}]
= [u, [v, u]^{\mu}][u, v^{\beta}]
= [u, v^{\beta}][u, v]^{\langle j \rangle}[u, v, u]^{\mu}
\]
if and only if \( 2^{e-1} | \mu \) and \( 2^e | \beta \). Thus, \( c \equiv u^{\alpha} \pmod{Z(G)} \) and hence \( C_G(u) = \langle u \rangle Z(G) \), as desired. Similarly, we have \( C_G(v) = \langle v \rangle Z(G) \).

Thus by (8), we need to check whether there exist \( 1 \leq R, S \leq 2^e \) such that
\[
(9) \quad u^S y^{2k_1n - 2j_1} \equiv v^R x^{2k_2m + 2i_2} \pmod{Z(G)}.
\]
Now we will replace \( u \) and \( v \) with their values in (8). Then by taking into account that modulo \( Z(G) \) the group \( G \) has nilpotency class 2 and by (9) we obtain that (9) is equivalent to the following:
\[
(10) \quad x^{(1 + 2i_1)} S y^{2j_1(S - 1) + 2k_1n}(1 + 2i_1) j_1 S(S - 1) + k_1 S
\equiv x^{2i_2(R - 1) - 2k_2m} y^{(1 + 2j_2)} R (1 + 2j_2)(1 + 2j_2) j_2 R(R - 1) - k_2 R \pmod{Z(G)}.
\]
As a consequence, we have the following three equations:
\[
(11) \quad (1 + 2i_1) S \equiv 2i_2 (R - 1) - 2k_2 m \pmod{2^e},
\]
\[
(12) \quad 2j_1 (S - 1) + 2k_1 n \equiv (1 + 2j_2) R \pmod{2^e},
\]
and
\[
(13) \quad (1 + 2i_1) j_1 S(S - 1) + k_1 S \equiv (1 + 2j_2) i_2 R(R - 1) - k_2 R \pmod{2^{e-1}}.
\]
By taking into account (10), if we multiply equations (11) and (12) side by side and then divide by 2, we get (13).

Thus, it only remains to check the existence of values of \( R \) and \( S \) satisfying equations (11) and (12). Now we multiply both sides of (11) by \(-2j_1\), and both sides of (12) by \(1 + 2i_1\). Then we sum up these two equations, so that the only unknown will be \( R \), which has an odd coefficient. Hence, we can get the value of \( R \). We next substitute \( R \) in (11), and consequently we obtain \( S \) since its coefficient is odd.

As a result, (11) has a solution in \( R \) and \( S \). Therefore, we can take
\[
g = u^S y^{2k_1n - 2j_1} \equiv v^R x^{2k_2m - 2i_2} d
\]
for some \( d \in Z(G) \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)
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