# TOTAL POSITIVITY IN SPRINGER FIBRES

### G. Lusztig

## Contents

- 1. The totally positive part of  $\mathcal{B}_u$ .
- 2. Examples.
- 3. Partial flag manifolds.
- 4. A conjecture and its consequences.
- 5. The map  $g \mapsto P_g$  from  $G_{\geq 0}$  to  $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 0}$ .

# 1. The totally positive part of $\mathcal{B}_u$

1.1. Let G be a reductive connected algebraic group over C and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the variety of Borel subgroups of G. For  $g \in G$  the Springer fibre at g is the subvariety  $\mathcal{B}_q = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; g \in B\}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ . The Springer fibres can be quite complicated; they play an important role in representation theory (for example in character formulas for finite reductive groups over a finite field). In this paper we assume that a pinning of G is given so that the totally positive part  $G_{>0}$  of G and the totally positive part  $\mathcal{B}_{>0}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  are defined (see [L94, 2.2, 8.1]). Recall that  $G_{>0}$  is a submonoid and a closed subset of G and  $\mathcal{B}_{>0}$  is a closed subset of  $\mathcal{B}$  on which  $G_{>0}$  acts. We are interested in the interaction of the theory of total positivity with that of Springer fibres. More precisely, for any  $g \in G_{>0}$  we consider the closed subset  $\mathcal{B}_{g,>0} = \mathcal{B}_g \cap \mathcal{B}_{>0}$  of  $\mathcal{B}_g$ , which we call the totally positive part of the Springer fibre  $\mathcal{B}_g$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the variety of unipotent elements of G and let  $\mathcal{U}_{>0} = \mathcal{U} \cap G_{>0}$ . Our main result is that if  $g \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_{g,\geq 0}$  has a surprisingly simple structure, much simpler than that of  $\mathcal{B}_q$  itself (see Cor. 1.16); namely it has a canonical cell decomposition which is part of the canonical cell decomposition of  $\mathcal{B}_{>0}$ . By a similar argument we show that an analogous result holds for the fixed point set of g on a partial flag manifold intersected with the totally positive part [L98] of that partial flag manifold (see 3.9). In §4 we state a conjecture (see 4.4) on the compatibility of two ways to define a positive structure on the cells of  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$  and give some of its consequences; this conjecture extends a result of |L97, §3| which concerns the open dense cell  $\mathcal{B}_{>0}$  of  $\mathcal{B}_{>0}$  defined in [L94, 8.8]. Let  $G_{>0}$  the open dense sub-semigroup of  $G_{>0}$  defined in [L94]. In [L94, 8.9(c)], a map  $g \mapsto B_q$  from

Supported by NSF grant DMS-1855773.

 $G_{>0}$  to  $\mathcal{B}_{>0}$  was defined. In §5 we give a definition of this map which is simpler than that in [L94] and we define an extension of this map to a map from  $G_{\geq 0}$  to the set of parabolic subgrups of G. As a result we obtain a new definition of  $\mathcal{B}_{>0}$ .

We shall always assume that G is simply laced; the non-simply laced case can be reduced to the simply laced case by descent.

**1.2.** Recall that we have fixed a pinning of G. Thus we are given a maximal torus T of G and a pair  $B^+, B^-$  of opposed Borel subgroups of G containing T with unipotent radicals  $U^+, U^-$ . The pinning also includes root homomorphisms  $x_i : \mathbf{C} \to U^+, y_i : \mathbf{C} \to U^-$  indexed by a finite set I (corresponding to simple roots). Let NT be the normalizer of T in G and let W be the Weyl group. For  $i \in I$  we set  $\dot{s}_i = y_i(1)x_i(-1)y_i(1) \in NT$ ; let  $s_i$  be the image of  $\dot{s}_i$  in W. Now W is a Coxeter group with simple reflections  $\{s_i; i \in I\}$ ; let  $w \mapsto |w|$  be the standard length function. Let  $w_I$  be the unique element of maximal length of W. More generally, for any  $H \subset I$  we denote by  $w_H$  the longest element in the subgroup  $W_H$  of W generated by  $\{s_i; i \in H\}$ .

Let  $\leq$  be the standard partial order on W. For  $w \in W$  let  $\mathcal{I}_w$  be the set of sequences  $(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m)$  in I such that  $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \ldots s_{i_m}$ , m = |w|; let  $\mathrm{supp}(w)$  be the set of all  $i \in I$  which appear in some (or equivalently any)  $(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m) \in \mathcal{I}_w$ . For  $w \in W$  we set  $\dot{w} = \dot{s}_{i_1} \dot{s}_{i_2} \ldots \dot{s}_{i_m} \in NT$  where  $(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m) \in \mathcal{I}_w$ ; this is known to be well defined.

For B, B' in  $\mathcal{B}$  there is a unique  $w \in W$  denoted by pos(B, B') such that for some  $g \in G$  we have  $gBg^{-1} = B^+, gB'g^{-1} = \dot{w}B^+\dot{w}^{-1}$ . There is a unique isomorphism  $\phi: G \xrightarrow{\sim} G$  such that  $\phi(x_i(a)) = y_i(a), \phi(y_i(a)) = x_i(a)$  for all  $i \in I, a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\phi(t) = t^{-1}$  for all  $t \in T$ . This carries Borel subgroups to Borel subgroups hence induces an isomorphism  $\phi: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\phi(B^+) = B^-, \phi(B^-) = B^+$ . For  $i \in I$  we have  $\phi(\dot{s}_i) = x_i(1)y_i(-1)x_i(1) = \dot{s}_i^{-1}$ . Hence  $\phi$  induces the identity map on W. We show:

(a) Let B, B' in  $\mathcal{B}$  be such that pos(B, B') = w. Then  $pos(\phi(B), \phi(B')) = w_I w w_I$ .

Assume first that for some  $i \in I$  we have  $B = B^+, B' = \dot{s}_i B^+ \dot{s}_i^{-1}$  so that  $pos(B, B') = s_i$ . We have

$$pos(\phi(B), \phi(B')) = pos(B^-, \dot{s}_i^{-1}B^-\dot{s}_i) = pos(\dot{w}_I B^+ \dot{w}_I^{-1}, \dot{s}_i^{-1} \dot{w}_I B^+ \dot{w}_I^{-1} \dot{s}_i)$$
$$= pos(B^+, \dot{w}_I^{-1} \dot{s}_i^{-1} \dot{w}_I B^+ \dot{w}_I^{-1} \dot{s}_i \dot{w}_I) = w_I s_i w_I.$$

It follows that (a) holds when  $w = s_i$  for some  $i \in I$ . The general case can be easily reduced to this special case. This proves (a).

**1.3.** Let V be an irreducible rational G-module over  $\mathbb{C}$  with a given highest weight vector  $\eta$ . Let  $\beta$  be the basis of V (containing  $\eta$ ) obtained by specializing at v=1 the canonical basis [L90] of the corresponding module over the corresponding quantized enveloping algebra. For any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  let  $L_B$  be the unique B-stable line in V. We can assume that V is such that  $B \mapsto L_B$  is a bijection

(a)  $\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}$ 

onto a subset  $\mathcal{X}$  of the set of lines in V. Let

(b)  $V_+ = \sum_{b \in \beta} \mathbf{R}_{>0} b \subset V$ .

If  $\xi_1 \in V_+, \xi_2 \in V_+$  then  $\xi_1 + \xi_2 \in V_+$ ; moreover,

- (c) if  $\xi_1 + \xi_2 = 0$ , then  $\xi_1 = \xi_2 = 0$ .
- **1.4.** Following [KL], for any v, w in W we set  $\mathcal{B}_{v,w} = \{B \in B; pos(B^+, B) = B\}$  $w, pos(B^-, B) = w_I v$ . It is known that  $\mathcal{B}_{v,w} \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $v \leq w$ . The subvarieties  $\mathcal{B}_{v,w}$  with  $v \leq w$  form a partition of  $\mathcal{B}$ . For  $v \leq w$  in W we have  $ww_I \leq vw_I$ ; moreover,
  - (a)  $\phi$  defines an isomorphism  $\mathcal{B}_{ww_I,vw_I} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}_{v,w}$ .

We use that for  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  we have:

$$pos(B^+, B) = vw_I \implies pos(B^-, \phi(B)) = w_I v; pos(B^-, B) = w_I ww_I \implies pos(B^+, \phi(B)) = w.$$

- **1.5.** As in [L94] let  $G_{>0}$  be the submonoid of G generated by  $\{x_i(a); i \in I, a \in A\}$  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ ,  $\{y_i(a); i \in I, a \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}\}$  and by  $\chi(a)$  for various algebraic group homomorphisms  $\chi: \mathbb{C}^* \to T$  and various  $a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ; this is a closed subset of G. We have
  - (a)  $\phi(G_{>0}) = G_{>0}$ .

By [L94, 3.2] we have in the setup of 1.3:

(b)  $G_{>0}V_{+} \subset V_{+}$ .

From [L94, 8.7] it follows that

(c)  $\phi(\mathcal{B}_{>0}) = \mathcal{B}_{>0}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}_{>0}$  be the set of lines in  $\mathcal{X}$  which meet  $V_+ - \{0\}$ . From [L94, 8.17] we have that:

(d) under the bijection 1.3(a),  $\mathcal{B}_{>0}$  corresponds to  $\mathcal{X}_{>0}$ .

Using (b),(d) we deduce

(e)  $g \in G_{>0}, B \in \mathcal{B}_{>0} \implies gBg^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}_{>0}.$ 

(This also follows from [L94, 8.12].)

- **1.6.** Recall that  $\mathcal{U}_{\geq 0} = \mathcal{U} \cap G_{\geq 0}$  and that for  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0}$  we have  $\mathcal{B}_{u,\geq 0} = \mathcal{B}_u \cap \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$ . Let  $V, V_+$  be as in 1.3. For  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{>0}$  let  $V_+^u = \{\xi \in V_+; u\xi = \xi\}$ . In particular  $V_{+}^{x_{i}(a)}, V_{+}^{y_{i}(a)}$  are defined for  $i \in I, a \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ . We show:
- (a) Let u, u', u'' in  $U_{>0}$  be such that u = u'u''. We have  $V_{+}^{u} = V_{+}^{u'} \cap V_{+}^{u''}$ . Let  $\xi \in V_+^{u'} \cap V_+^{u''}$ . Then  $u\xi = u'(u''\xi) = u'\xi = \xi$  hence  $\xi \in V_+^u$ . Conversely, let  $\xi \in V_{+}^{u}$ . By [L94, 6.2, 3.2] for any  $b \in \beta$  we have  $u'b - b \in V_{+}$ ,  $u''b - b \in V_{+}$ . Hence for any  $\xi', \xi''$  in  $V_+$  we have  $u'\xi' - \xi' \in V_+$ ,  $u''\xi'' - \xi'' \in V_+$ . Thus  $u''\xi = \xi + \xi'$  where  $\xi' \in V_+$  so that  $\xi = u\xi = u'(u''\xi) = u'(\xi + \xi')$ . We have  $u'\xi = \xi + \xi'_1, u'\xi' = \xi' + \xi'_2$ where  $\xi_1' \in V_+, \xi_2' \in V_+$ . Thus  $\xi = \xi + \xi_1' + \xi' + \xi_2'$  so that  $\xi_1' + \xi' + \xi_2' = 0$ ; using 1.3(c) we see that  $\xi_1' = \xi' = \xi_2' = 0$ . Thus we have  $u''\xi = \xi, u'\xi = \xi$  and  $\xi \in V_+^{u'} \cap V_+^{u''}$ . This proves (a).

We show:

(b) Let  $i \in I$ ,  $a \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ . We have  $V_+^{x_i(a)} = V_+^{x_i(1)}$ ,  $V_+^{y_i(a)} = V_+^{y_i(1)}$ . Note that  $x_i(a) = x_i(1)^a : V \to V$  and  $x_i(1) = x_i(a)^{1/a} : V \to V$ . Hence we have  $\xi \in V_+^{x_i(a)}$  if and only if  $\xi \in V_+^{x_i(1)}$ . This proves the first equality in (b). The proof of the second equality is entirely similar.

Let  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0}$ . From 1.5(d) we see that under the bijection 1.3(a),  $\mathcal{B}_{u,\geq 0}$  corresponds to the set of lines L in  $\mathcal{X}_{\geq 0}$  which are u-stable. Since  $u: V \to V$  is unipotent, for any such L, u automatically acts on L as identity. Thus we have:

- (c) Under the bijection 1.3(a),  $\mathcal{B}_{u,\geq 0}$  corresponds to the set of lines in  $\mathcal{X}$  which meet  $V^u_+ \{0\}$ .
- **1.7.** Following [L94, 8.15] we define a partition  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0} = \sqcup_{(v,w) \in W \times W; v \leq w} \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}$  by  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w} = \mathcal{B}_{v,w} \cap \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$ . In [L94, 8.15] it was conjectured that for  $v \leq w$ ,
- (a)  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}^{|w|-|v|}$ . This conjecture was proved by Rietsch [R98], [R99] and in a more explicit form by Marsh and Rietsch [MR].

For  $v \leq w$  let [v, w] be the subset of  $\mathcal{X}_{\geq 0}$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0, v, w}$  under the bijection  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\geq 0}$  in 1.5(d). We have  $\mathcal{X}_{\geq 0} = \sqcup_{(v,w) \in W \times W : v \leq w} [v, w]$ .

- **1.8.** Let  $v \leq w$  in W and let  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m) \in \mathcal{I}_w$ . According to Marsh and Rietsch [MR], there is a unique sequence  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m$  with  $t_k \in \{s_{i_k}, 1\}$  for  $k \in [1, m], \ t_1 t_2 \dots t_m = v$  and such that  $t_1 \leq t_1 t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_1 t_2 \dots t_m$  and  $t_1 \leq t_1 s_{i_2}, t_1 t_2 \leq t_1 t_2 s_{i_3}, \dots, t_1 t_2 \dots t_{m-1} \leq t_1 t_2 \dots t_{m-1} s_{i_m}$ . Following [MR] we define a subset  $\mathcal{Y}_{v,w,\mathbf{i}}$  of G to be the set of products  $g_1 g_2 \dots g_m$  in G where  $g_k = \dot{s}_{i_k}$  if  $t_k = s_{i_k}$  and  $g_k = y_{i_k}(a_k)$  with  $a_k \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$  if  $t_k = 1$ ; according to [MR], the map  $\mathcal{Y}_{v,w,\mathbf{i}} \to \mathcal{B}, \ g \mapsto g B^+ g^{-1}$  is a homeomorphism
  - (a)  $\mathcal{Y}_{v,w,\mathbf{i}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}$ .

Moreover we have a homeomorphism

(b) 
$$\mathcal{Y}_{v,w,\mathbf{i}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{|w|-|v|}$$

(to  $g_1g_2...g_m$  we associate the sequence consisting of the  $a_k$  with k such that  $t_k = 1$ .) In particular, the factors  $g_1, g_2, ..., g_m$  are uniquely determined by their product  $g_1g_2...g_m$ . The composition of the inverse of (b) with (a) is a homeomorphism

(c) 
$$\tau_{\mathbf{i}}: \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{|w|-|v|} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}.$$

- **1.9.** We preserve the setup of 1.8. Assume that  $s_{i_1}w \leq w$ . We show:
  - (a) We have  $t_1 = 1$  if and only if  $v \leq s_{i_1} w$ .

If  $t_1=1$  then from  $v=t_1\dots t_m$  we deduce  $v=t_2t_3\dots t_m$ ; thus v is equal to a product of a subsequence of  $s_{i_2},s_{i_3},\dots,s_{i_m}$  so that  $v\leq s_{i_1}w$ . Conversely, if  $v\leq s_{i_1}w$  then by the results in 1.8 applied to  $v,s_{i_1}w$  instead of v,w, we can find a sequence  $t'_2,\dots,t'_m$  with  $t'_k\in\{s_{i_k},1\}$  for  $k\in[2,m],\ t'_2\dots t'_m=v$  and such that  $t'_2\leq t'_2t'_3\leq \dots \leq t'_2\dots t'_m$  and  $t'_2\leq t'_2s_{i_3},\dots,t'_2\dots t'_{m-1}\leq t'_2\dots t'_{m-1}s_{i_m}$ . Taking  $t'_1=1$  we have  $t'_1t'_2\dots t'_m=v,\ t'_1\leq t'_1t'_2\leq \dots \leq t'_1t'_2\dots t'_m$  and  $t'_1\leq t'_1s_{i_2},t'_1t'_2\leq t'_1t'_2s_{i_3},\dots,t'_1t'_2\dots t'_{m-1}\leq t'_1t'_2\dots t'_{m-1}s_{i_m}$ . By uniqueness we have  $(t'_1,t'_2,\dots,t'_m)=(t_1,t_2,\dots,t_m)$ . Thus  $t_1=1$  and (a) is proved.

**1.10.** For any  $h \in G$  let  $[h] : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$  be the map  $B \mapsto hBh^{-1}$ .

Let  $i \in I, a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and let v, w in W be such that  $v \leq w$ . We show:

- (a) If  $v \leq s_i w \leq w$ , then  $[y_i(a)] : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$  restricts to a map  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w} \to \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}$  which is fixed point free.
- (b) If  $s_i w \leq w$ ,  $v \not\leq s_i w$ , then  $[y_i(a)] : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$  restricts to the identity map  $\mathcal{B}_{>0,v,w} \to \mathcal{B}_{>0,v,w}$ .
- (c) If  $w \leq s_i w$  then  $[y_i(a)] : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$  restricts to a map  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0, v, w} \to \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0, v, s_i w}$ . Assume first that  $s_i w \leq w$ . We can find  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m) \in \mathcal{I}_w$  such that  $i_1 = i$ . We use the notation of 1.8 relative to  $v, w, \mathbf{i}$ .

In case (a) we have  $t_1 = 1$ , see 1.9(a). In this case, left multiplication by  $y_i(a)$  restricts to a map  $\mathcal{Y}_{v,w,\mathbf{i}} \to \mathcal{Y}_{v,w,\mathbf{i}}$  given by  $g_1g_2 \dots g_m \mapsto g_1'g_2' \dots g_m'$  where  $g_1 = y_1(a_1), g_1' = y_1(a_1 + a), g_k' = g_k$  for k > 1. To prove (a), it remains to use that  $a_1 \mapsto a_1 + a$  from  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$  to  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$  is fixed point free.

In case (b) we have  $t_1 = s_i$ , see 1.9(a). In this case, for  $g_1g_2 \dots g_m \in \mathcal{Y}_{v,w,i}$  we have  $g_1 = \dot{s}_i$  and for some  $b \in B^+$  we have  $y_i(a)g_1g_2 \dots g_m = y_i(a)\dot{s}_ig_2 \dots g_m = \dot{s}_ix_i(-a)g_2 \dots g_m = \dot{s}_ig_2 \dots g_mb$  (the last equality follows by an argument in [MR, 11.9]). Hence (b) holds.

Assume that we are in case (c). Let  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}$ ,  $B' = y_i(a)By_i(a)^{-1}$ . We have  $pos(B^+, B') = pos(y_i(a)^{-1}B^+y_i(a), B)$ . This equals  $s_iw$  since

$$pos(y_i(a)^{-1}B^+y_i(a), B^+) = s_i, pos(B^+, B) = w$$

and  $s_i w \geq w$ . We have

$$pos(B^-, B') = pos(y_i(a)^{-1}B^-y_i(a), B) = pos(B^-, B) = v$$

since  $y_i(a) \in B^-$ . Thus  $B' \in \mathcal{B}_{v,s_iw}$ . By 1.5(e) we have  $B' \in \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$  hence  $B' \in \mathcal{B}_{>0,v,s_iw}$ . Hence (c) holds.

- **1.11.** Let  $i \in I$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and let v, w in W be such that  $v \leq w$ . We show:
- (a) If  $v \leq s_i v \leq w$ , then  $[x_i(a)] : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$  restricts to a map  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w} \to \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}$  which is fixed point free.
- (b) If  $v \leq s_i v$ ,  $s_i v \not\leq w$ , then  $[x_i(a)] : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$  restricts to the identity map  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w} \to \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}$ .
- (c) If  $s_i v \leq v$ , then  $[x_i(a)] : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$  restricts to a map  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0, v, w} \to \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0, s_i v, w}$ . We apply 1.10(a)-(c) to  $ww_I, vw_I$  instead of v, w (note that  $ww_I \leq vw_I$ ) and we apply the automorphism  $\phi$ . We obtain the following statements.
  - (1) If  $ww_I \leq s_i vw_I \leq vw_I$ , then  $[x_i(a)]: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$  restricts to a map

$$\phi(\mathcal{B}_{>0,ww_I,vw_I}) \to \phi(\mathcal{B}_{>0,ww_I,vw_I})$$

which is fixed point free.

(2) If  $s_i v w_I \leq v w_I$ ,  $w w_I \not\leq s_i v w_I$  then  $[x_i(a)] : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$  restricts to the identity  $map \ \phi(\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0, w w_I, v w_I}) \to \phi(\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0, w w_I, v w_I})$ .

(3) If  $vw_I \leq s_i vw_I$ , then  $[x_i(a)] : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$  restricts to a map  $\phi(\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0, ww_I, vw_I}) \to \phi(\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0, ww_I, s_i vw_I})$ .

It remains to note that

- (d)  $\phi(\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0, ww_I, vw_I}) = \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0, v, w}$  for any  $v \leq w$  in W (see 1.4(a) and 1.5(c)).
- **1.12.** Let  $i \in I, a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . From 1.10 we deduce:

(a) 
$$\{B \in \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}; y_i(a) \in B\} = \sqcup_{(v,w) \in W \times W; v \leq w, s_i w \leq w, v \not\leq s_i w} \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}.$$

From 1.11 we deduce:

(b) 
$$\{B \in \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}; x_i(a) \in B\} = \sqcup_{(v,w) \in W \times W; v < w, v < s_i v, s_i v \not< w} \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}.$$

**1.13.** Let  $(W \times W)_{disj} = \{(z, z') \in W \times W; \operatorname{supp}(z) \cap \operatorname{supp}(z') = \emptyset\}$ . For  $(z, z') \in (W \times W)_{disj}$  with m = |z|, m' = |z'|, let  $\mathcal{U}_{\geq 0, z, z'}$  be the image of the (injective) map  $\mathbf{R}^m_{>0} \times \mathbf{R}^{m'}_{>0} \to G_{\geq 0}$  given by

$$((a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m), (a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_{m'}))$$

$$\mapsto y_{i_1}(a_1)y_{i_2}(a_2)\dots y_{i_m}(a_m)x_{i'_1}(a'_1)x_{i'_2}(a'_2)\dots x_{i'_m}(a'_{m'})$$

$$= x_{i'_1}(a'_1)x_{i'_2}(a'_2)\dots x_{i'_m}(a'_{m'})y_{i_1}(a_1)y_{i_2}(a_2)\dots y_{i_m}(a_m)$$

where  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m) \in \mathcal{I}_w, \mathbf{i}' = (i'_1, \dots, i'_{m'}) \in \mathcal{I}_{w'}$ . This map is injective and its image is independent of the choice of  $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'$  (see [L94, 2.7, 2.9]). Note that  $\mathcal{U}_{>0,z,z'} \subset \mathcal{U}_{>0}$ . More precisely, we have (see [L94, 6.6]):

$$\mathcal{U}_{\geq 0} = \sqcup_{(z,z') \in (W \times W)_{disj}} \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0,z,z'}.$$

We state the following result.

**Theorem 1.14.** Let  $(z, z') \in (W \times W)_{disj}$  and let  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0, z, z'}$ , J = supp(z), J' = supp(z'). We have

$$\mathcal{B}_{u,\geq 0} = \cap_{i\in J} \mathcal{B}_{y_i(1),\geq 0} \cap \cap_{j\in J'} \mathcal{B}_{x_j(1),\geq 0}.$$

Using 1.6(c) we see that the following statement implies the theorem.

(a) 
$$V_{+}^{u} = \bigcap_{i \in J} V_{+}^{y_{i}(1)} \cap \bigcap_{j \in J'} V_{+}^{x_{j}(1)}.$$

Let  $m, m', \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'$  be as in 1.13 and let  $((a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m), (a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_{m'}))$  be corresponding to u as in 1.13. We argue by induction on m + m'. If m + m' = 0 the result is obvious. Assume now that  $m + m' \geq 1$ . If  $m \geq 1$  let  $z_1 = s_{i_1}z$  and let  $u_1 = y_{i_1}(a_1)^{-1}u$ ; we have  $|z_1| = m - 1$  and  $u_1 \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0, z_1, z'}, u = y_{i_1}(a_1)u_1$ . If  $m' \geq 1$  let  $z'_1 = s_{i'_1}z'$  and let  $u'_1 = x_{i'_1}(a'_1)^{-1}u$ ; we have  $|z'_1| = m' - 1$  and  $u'_1 \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0, z, z'_1}, u = x_{i'_1}(a'_1)u'_1$ . From 1.6(a),(b), we have  $V_+^u = V_+^{y_{i_1}(1)} \cap V_+^{u_1}$  if  $m \geq 1$ ,  $V_+^u = V_+^{x_{i'_1}(1)} \cap V_+^{u'_1}$  if  $m' \geq 1$ . Since the induction hypothesis is applicable to  $V_+^{u_1}$  (if  $m \geq 1$ ) and to  $V_+^{u'_1}$  (if  $m' \geq 1$ ) we see that (a) is proved. This proves the theorem.

**1.15.** Let  $J \subset I$ ,  $J' \subset I$  be such that  $J \cap J' = \emptyset$ . We define

$$Z_{J,J'} = \{(v, w) \in W \times W; v \leq w; s_i w \leq w, v \nleq s_i w \quad \forall i \in J, v \leq s_i v, s_j v \nleq w \quad \forall j \in J'\}.$$

Combining 1.14 with 1.12(a),(b) we obtain our main result.

Corollary 1.16. Let  $(z, z') \in (W \times W)_{disj}$  and let  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0, z, z'}$ , J = supp(z), J' = supp(z'). We have

$$\mathcal{B}_{u,\geq 0} = \cup_{(v,w)\in Z_{J,J'}} \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{B}_{u,\geq 0}$  admits a canonical, explicit, cell decomposition in which each cell is part of the canonical cell decomposition of  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$ . The zero dimensional cells of  $\mathcal{B}_{u,\geq 0}$  are  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,w,w}$  where  $w \in W$  is such that the set  $\{i \in I; s_i w \leq w\}$  contains J and is contained in I - J'. (For example  $w_J$  is such a w since  $J \subset I - J'$ .) In particular,  $Z_{J,J'} \neq \emptyset$ , so that  $\mathcal{B}_{u,\geq 0} \neq \emptyset$ . (This also follows from [L94, 8.11].)

1.17. In [L94, 2.11],  $G_{\geq 0}$  is partitioned into pieces indexed by  $W \times W$ ; by results in [L94] each piece is a cell. In [L19] the set of pieces of  $G_{\geq 0}$  is interpreted as a monoid  $G(\{1\})$ , the value of G at the semifield  $\{1\}$  with 1 element, so that pieces appear precisely as the fibres of a natural surjective map  $G_{\geq 0} \to G(\{1\}) = W \times W$  compatible with the monoid structures (the monoid structure on  $W \times W$  thus obtained is not the usual group structure). In particular, the product of two pieces of  $G_{\geq 0}$  is contained in a single piece of  $G_{\geq 0}$ . Similarly, we can view the set of cells  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}$  of  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$  (see 1.7) as  $\mathcal{B}(\{1\})$  or the value of  $\mathcal{B}$  at the semifield  $\{1\}$ . From 1.10 and 1.11 we see that in the action of  $G_{\geq 0}$  on  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$ , the result of applying a piece of  $G_{\geq 0}$  to a cell of  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$  is contained in a single cell of  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$ . It follows that the action of  $G_{\geq 0}$  on  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$  induces an action of  $G(\{1\}) = W \times W$  on  $\mathcal{B}(\{1\})$ . We can identify  $\mathcal{B}(\{1\})$  with  $\{(v,w) \in W \times W; v \leq w\}$ . Then the action of  $W \times W$  becomes:

$$(s_i, 1) : (v, w) \mapsto (v, s_i * w), (1, s_i) : (v, w) \mapsto (s_i \circ v, w)$$

where for  $i \in I, v \in W, w \in W$  we define

$$s_i * w = w \text{ if } s_i w \leq w, \ s_i * w = s_i w \text{ if } w \leq s_i w,$$
  
 $s_i \circ v = v \text{ if } v \leq s_i v, \ s_i \circ v = s_i v \text{ if } s_i v \leq v.$ 

Now let  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0}$  and let z, z', J, J' be associated to u as in 1.16. Let  $\mathcal{B}_u(\{1\})$  be the set of cells of  $\mathcal{B}_{u,\geq 0}$  described in 1.16; this set is in bijection with  $Z_{J,J'}$  (see 1.16) and can be viewed as a subset of  $\mathcal{B}(\{1\})$ . Let  $\mathfrak{Z}_u(\{1\})$  be the set of all  $(w, w') \in W \times W = G(\{1\})$  such that in the  $W \times W$ -action on  $\mathcal{B}(\{1\})$  (as above), we have  $(w, w')\mathcal{B}_u(\{1\}) \subset \mathcal{B}_u(\{1\})$ . Clearly,  $\mathfrak{Z}_u(\{1\})$  is a submonoid of  $W \times W = G(\{1\})$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{Z}(u)$  be the inverse image of  $\mathfrak{Z}(u)(\{1\})$  under the canonical monoid homomorphism  $G_{\geq 0} \to G(\{1\})$  (as above). Note that  $\mathfrak{Z}(u)$  is a submonoid of  $G_{\geq 0}$  which is related to the centralizer of u in G (although it is not in general contained in it). Clearly the  $G_{>0}$ -action of  $\mathcal{B}_{>0}$  restricts to a  $\mathfrak{Z}(u)$ -action on  $\mathcal{B}_{u,>0}$ .

**1.18.** Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \{(u, B) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{B}; u \in B\}$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\geq 0} = \{(u, B) \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0} \times \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}; u \in B\}$ . From 1.16 we can deduce that  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{>0}$  is the disjoint union of the sets

(a) 
$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\geq 0, z, z', v, w} = \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0, z, z'} \times \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0, v, w}$$

where (z, z') runs through  $(W \times W)_{disj}$  and (v, w) runs through  $Z_{J,J'}$  where  $J = \operatorname{supp}(z), J' = \operatorname{supp}(z')$ . The subset (a) is a cell of dimension |z| + |z'| + |w| - |v|. Note that the first projection  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\geq 0} \to \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0}$  is a trivial fibration over each  $\mathcal{U}_{\geq 0,z,z'}$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(\{1\})$  be the indexing set for the set of cells of  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\geq 0}$ . This is the set of all  $(z, z', v, w) \in W^4$  such that  $J = \operatorname{supp}(z), J' = \operatorname{supp}(z')$  are disjoint and  $(v, w) \in Z_{J,J'}$ .

**1.19.** Let  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$  and let  $\underline{B} = B \cap \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0}$ . This is a closed subset of B closed under multiplication. (If  $u \in \underline{B}, u' \in \underline{B}$  then u, u' are contained in the unipotent radical of B hence uu' is also contained in that unipotent radical, so that uu' is unipotent. Since  $u \in G_{\geq 0}, u' \in G_{\geq 0}$  we have also  $uu' \in G_{\geq 0}$ . Thus  $uu' \in \underline{B}$ .) We have  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{>0,v,w}$  for well defined  $v \leq w$  in W. We set

$$\Xi_{v,w} = \{(z, z') \in (W \times W)_{disj}; s_i w \le w, v \not\le s_i w \quad \forall i \in \text{supp}(z); v \le s_j v, s_j v \not\le w \quad \forall j \in \text{supp}(z')\}.$$

We show:

(a) 
$$\underline{B} = \sqcup_{(z,z') \in \Xi_{v,w}} \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0,z,z'}.$$

Let E be the right hand side of (a). Let  $u \in \underline{B}$ . Let  $(z, z') \in (W \times W)_{disj}$  be such that  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0, z, z'}$ . Let  $J = \operatorname{supp}(z)$ ,  $J' = \operatorname{supp}(z')$ . We have  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{u, \geq 0}$  hence by 1.16 we have  $(v, w) \in Z_{J,J'}$  hence  $(z, z') \in \Xi_{v,w}$  Thus,  $u \in E$ . We see that  $\underline{B} \subset E$ . Conversely, assume that  $u \in E$ . We can find  $(z, z') \in \Xi_{v,w}$  such that  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0, z, z'}$ . Let  $J = \operatorname{supp}(z)$ ,  $J' = \operatorname{supp}(z')$ . We have  $(v, w) \in Z_{J,J'}$ . Since  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}$  from 1.16 we see that  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{u,\geq 0}$  so that  $u \in B$  and  $u \in \underline{B}$ . We see that  $E \subset \underline{B}$ . This proves (a).

Let  $H = \{i \in I; s_i w \le w, v \not\le s_i w\}, H' = \{j \in I; v \le s_j v, s_j v \not\le w\}.$ 

If  $i \in H$  then  $v \leq w, s_i w \leq w$  and this is known to imply  $s_i v \leq w$ . Thus  $i \in H \implies i \notin H'$  so that

(b)  $H \cap H' = \emptyset$ .

We have  $\Xi_{v,w} = \{(z,z') \in (W \times W)_{disj}; \operatorname{supp}(z) \subset H, \operatorname{supp}(z') \subset H'\}$ . Using (b) we see that

(c)  $\Xi_{v,w} = \{(z,z') \in W \times W; \operatorname{supp}(z) \subset H, \operatorname{supp}(z') \subset H'\}.$ Hence (a) becomes:

(d) 
$$\underline{B} = \sqcup_{(z,z') \in W \times W; \operatorname{supp}(z) \subset H, \operatorname{supp}(z') \subset H'} \mathcal{U}_{>0,z,z'}.$$

From (d) we see that  $\underline{B}$  has a canonical cell decomposition with cells indexed by (c). One of these cells is  $\mathcal{U}_{\geq 0, w_H, w_{H'}}$  (of dimension  $|w_H| + |w_{H'}|$ ); all other cells

have dimension strictly less than  $|w_H| + |w_{H'}|$  and are contained in the closure of  $\mathcal{U}_{\geq 0, w_H, w_{H'}}$ . We see that

- (e)  $\underline{B}$  is connected of dimension  $|w_H| + |w_{H'}|$ .
- If  $(v, w) = (1, w_I)$  then  $H = H' = \emptyset$  and from (d) we see that  $\underline{B} = \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0,1,1} = \{1\}$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,1,w_I} = \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$ . We see that:
- (f) If  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}_u$  satisfies  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{>0}$  then u = 1. It is likely that, more generally, for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{>0}$ ,  $B \cap G_{\geq 0}$  consists of semisimple elements.
- **1.20.** Let  $g \in G_{\geq 0}$  and let  $[g]: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$  be as in 1.10. Let  $\mathcal{B}_{g,\geq 0}$  be as in 1.1. If  $v \leq w$  in W then, by 1.17, there are three possibilities:
  - (i)  $[g]\mathcal{B}_{>0,v,w} = \mathcal{B}_{>0,v',w'}$  where  $v' \leq w', (v',w') \neq (v,w)$ ;
- (ii)  $[g]\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w} = \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}$  and  $B \mapsto [g]B$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w} \to \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}$  has empty fixed point set;
- (iii)  $[g]\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w} = \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}$  and  $B \mapsto [g]B$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w} \to \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}$  has non-empty fixed point set (denoted by  $\mathcal{B}_{g,\geq 0,v,w}$ ).
- It follows that  $\mathcal{B}_{g,\geq 0} = \sqcup_{v,w} \mathcal{B}_{g,\geq 0,v,w}$  where v,w is as in (iii). We conjecture that if v,w is as in (iii), then  $\mathcal{B}_{g,\geq 0,v,w}$  is a cell. (When g is unipotent this holds by 1.16.)

#### 2. Examples

**2.1.** Assume that  $G = SL_{n+1}(\mathbf{C})$  and  $I = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  with  $n \geq 2$  and with  $s_1s_2, s_2s_3, ..., s_{n-1}s_n$  of order 3. Let  $J = \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$ . Let – be the unit element of W. We have  $(w_J, -) \in (W \times W)_{disj}$  and  $Z_{J,\emptyset}$  consists of

```
(w_J, w_J), (w_J s_n, w_J s_n), (w_J, w_J s_n), (w_J s_n s_{n-1}, w_J s_n s_{n-1}), (w_J s_n, w_J s_n s_{n-1}),
(a)
\dots, (w_J s_n s_{n-1} \dots s_2 s_1, w_J s_n s_{n-1} \dots s_2 s_1), (w_J s_n s_{n-1} \dots s_2, w_J s_n s_{n-1} \dots s_2 s_1).
```

Thus if  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0, w_J, -}$  then  $\mathcal{B}_{u, \geq 0}$  is a union of n + 1 cells of dimension 0 and n cells of dimension 1.

We have also  $(w_J, s_n) \in (W \times W)_{disj}$  and  $Z_{J,\{n\}}$  consists of the pairs in (a) other than the last two. Thus, if  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0, z, s_n}$  then  $\mathcal{B}_{u, \geq 0}$  is a union of n cells of dimension 0 and n-1 cells of dimension 1.

Assume now that n = 3. We have  $(s_1s_3, -) \in (W \times W)_{disj}$ . Let  $J = \{1, 3\}$ . Then  $Z_{J,\emptyset}$  consists of

```
(132, 132), (13, 132), (1321, 1321), (132, 1321), (1323, 1323), (132, 1323), (13231, 13231),
```

(b) (1321, 13213), (1323, 13213), (132, 13213), (132312, 132312), (13231, 132132).

(We write  $i_1 i_2 ...$  instead of  $s_{i_1} s_{i_2} ...$ ) Thus, if  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0, s_1 s_3, -}$  then  $\mathcal{B}_{u, \geq 0}$  is a union of 6 cells of dimension 0, 6 cells of dimension 1 and one cell of dimension 2.

10

We have also  $(s_1s_3, s_2) \in (W \times W)_{disj}$  and  $Z_{J,\{2\}}$  consists of the pairs in (b) other than the last two. Thus, if  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0, s_1s_3, s_2}$  then  $\mathcal{B}_{u, \geq 0}$  is a union of 5 cells of dimension 0, 5 cells of dimension 1 and one cell of dimension 2.

In these examples  $\mathcal{B}_{u,\geq 0}$  is contractible; but in the last two examples,  $\mathcal{B}_{u,\geq 0}$  is not of pure dimension, unlike  $\mathcal{B}_u$ .

We have  $(s_1, -) \in (W \times W)_{disj}$ . Then  $Z_{\{1\},\emptyset}$  consists of

```
(2,2), (21,21), (2,21), (23,23), (2,23), (212,212), (21,212), \\ (232,232), (23,232), (213,213), (21,213), (23,213), (2,213), (2123,2123), \\ (212,2123), (213,2123), (21,2123), (2321,2321), (232,2321), (231,2321), \\ (23,2321), (2132,2132), (213,2132), (232,2132), (212,2132), (21,2132), (23,2132), \\ (21232,21232), (2123,21232), (2132,21232), (212,21232), (212,21232), \\ (23212,23212), (2321,23212), (2312,23212), (232,23212), (231,23212), \\ (23,23212), (213213,213213), (32132,213213), (12312,213213), (2132,213213).
```

(We write  $i_1 i_2 ...$  instead of  $s_{i_1} s_{i_2} ...$ ) Thus if  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0, s_1, -}$  then  $\mathcal{B}_{u, \geq 0}$  is a union of cells of dimension  $\leq 3$ , two of which have dimension 3.

In each of the examples above, for any cell  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}$  of maximal dimension of  $\mathcal{B}_{u,\geq 0}$ , we have  $w=vw_H$  where  $H\subset I$  is such that u is conjugate in G to a regular unipotent element in the subgroup of G generated by  $\{y_i(a), x_i(a); i\in I-H, a\in \mathbb{C}\}$  and by T. This is likely to be a general phenomenon.

For such u one can show that  $|w_H| \leq \dim \mathcal{B}_u$  (complex dimension); this is compatible with  $|w_H| = \dim \mathcal{B}_{u,>0}$  (real dimension).

**2.2.** In this subsection we assume that G, I are as in 2.1 and n = 2. In this case we can take V in 1.3 to be the adjoint representation of G. The canonical basis  $\beta$  of V can be denoted by  $X_{-12}, X_{-1}, X_{-2}, t_1, t_2, X_1, X_2, X_{12}$  and the action of  $x_i(a), y_i(a), i \in I, a \in \mathbb{C}$  is as follows:

```
x_{i}(a)X_{12} = X_{12}
x_{i}(a)X_{j} = X_{j} + aX_{12} \text{ if } i \neq j \in I
x_{i}(a)X_{j} = X_{j} \text{ if } i = j
x_{i}(a)X_{-j} = X_{-j} \text{ if } i \neq j \in I
x_{i}(a)X_{-j} = X_{-j} + at_{j} + a^{2}X_{j} \text{ if } i = j
x_{i}(a)X_{-12} = X_{-12} + aX_{-j} \text{ if } i \neq j \in I
x_{i}(a)t_{j} = t_{j} + aX_{i} \text{ if } i \neq j \in I
x_{i}(a)t_{j} = t_{j} + 2aX_{i} \text{ if } i = j
y_{i}(a)X_{12} = X_{12} + aX_{j} \text{ if } i \neq j \in I
y_{i}(a)X_{j} = X_{j} \text{ if } i \neq j \in I
y_{i}(a)X_{j} = X_{j} \text{ if } i \neq j \in I
y_{i}(a)X_{-j} = X_{-j} + aX_{-12} \text{ if } i \neq j \in I
y_{i}(a)X_{-j} = X_{-j} \text{ if } i = j
y_{i}(a)X_{-j} = X_{-j} \text{ if } i = j
y_{i}(a)X_{-12} = X_{-12}
```

$$y_i(a)t_j = t_j + aX_{-i}$$
 if  $i \neq j \in I$   
 $y_i(a)t_j = t_j + 2aX_{-i}$  if  $i = j$ .  
The set  $\mathcal{X}_{>0}$  consists of all

$$a_{-12}X_{-12} + a_{-1}X_{-1} + a_{-2}X_{-2} + c_1t_1 + c_2t_2 + a_1X_1 + a_2X_2 + a_{12}X_{12}$$

where  $a_{-12}, a_{-1}, a_{-2}, c_1, c_2, a_1, a_2, a_{12}$  are in  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$  (not all 0) such that

$$a_2a_{-12}=c_2a_{-1}, a_1a_{-12}=c_1a_{-2}, a_{-1}a_{12}=c_1a_2,$$
 
$$a_{-2}a_{12}=c_2a_1, a_{12}(c_1+c_2)=a_1a_2, a_{-12}(c_1+c_2)=a_{-1}a_{-2},$$
 (a) 
$$c_1c_2=a_{12}a_{-12}, c_1(c_1+c_2)=a_1a_{-1}, c_2(c_1+c_2)=a_2a_{-2}$$

modulo the homothety action of  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ .

 $c_1a_{12} = a_1a_2, a_{-1}a_{12} = c_1a_2$ ,

 $[121, 121]: \{a_{-12}X_{-12}\},\$ 

The subsets [v, w] of  $\mathcal{X}_{>0}$  can be described as follows (the coefficients

$$a_{-12}, a_{-1}, a_{-2}, c_1, c_2, a_1, a_2, a_{12}$$

are required to be in  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$  and are taken up to simultaneous multiplication by an element in  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ ):

```
[12, 12]: \{a_{-1}X_{-1}\},\
 [21,21]: \{a_{-2}X_{-2}\},\
 [2,2]: \{a_1X_1\},\
  [1,1]: \{a_2X_2\},\
   [-,-]: \{a_{12}X_{12}\},\
  [21, 121]: \{a_{-12}X_{-12} + a_{-2}X_{-2}\},\
  [12, 121]: \{a_{-12}X_{-12} + a_{-1}X_{-1}\},\
 [1,12]: \{a_{-1}X_{-1} + a_2X_2\},\
 [2,21]: \{a_{-2}X_{-2}+a_1X_1\},\
  [-,2]: \{a_1X_1 + a_{12}X_{12}\},\
  [-,1]: \{a_2X_2 + a_{12}X_{12}\},\
 \begin{array}{ll} [2,12]: \; \{a_{-1}X_{-1}+c_1t_1+a_1X_1; a_{-1}a_1=c_1^2\}, \\ [1,21]: \; \{a_{-2}X_{-2}+c_2t_2+a_2X_2; a_{-2}a_2=c_2^2\}, \end{array} 
            [2,121]: \{a_{-12}X_{-12} + a_{-1}X_{-1} + a_{-2}X_{-2} + c_1t_1 + a_1X_1, a_{-1}a_1 = c_1^2, a_{-1}x_1, a_
            c_1a_{-12} = a_{-1}a_{-2}, a_1a_{-12} = c_1a_{-2}
                                                          \{a_{-12}X_{-12} + a_{-1}X_{-1} + a_{-2}X_{-2} + c_2t_2 + a_2X_2; a_{-2}a_2 = c_2^2,
            c_2a_{-12} = a_{-1}a_{-2}, a_2a_{-12} = c_2a_{-1}
                           [-, 12]: \{a_{-1}X_{-1} + c_1t_1 + a_1X_1 + a_2X_2 + a_{12}X_{12}; a_{-1}a_1 = c_1^2,
```

$$:[-,21]:\{a_{-2}X_{-2}+c_2t_2+a_2X_2+a_1X_1+a_{12}X_{12};a_{-2}a_2=c_2^2,\\c_2a_{12}=a_1a_2,a_{-2}a_{12}=c_2a_1\},\\[-,121]:\\\{a_{-12}X_{-12}+a_{-1}X_{-1}+a_{-2}X_{-2}+c_1t_1+c_2t_2+a_1X_1+a_2X_2+a_{12}X_{12}\\\text{such that (a) holds}\}.$$

# **2.3.** We preserve the setup of 2.2.

(a) For any  $v \leq w$  in W there is a well defined subset [[v,w]] of  $\beta$  such that [v,w] consists of all lines in  $\mathcal{X}_{\geq 0}$  which contain some vector spanned by an  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ -linear combination of vectors in [[v,w]].

We have

$$\begin{split} &[[121,121]] = \{X_{-12}\}, \\ &[[21,21]] = \{X_{-2}\}, \\ &[[2,2]] = \{X_1\}, \\ &[[1,1]] = \{X_2\}, \\ &[[-,-]] = \{X_{12}\}, \\ &[[21,121]] = \{X_{-12},X_{-2}\}, \\ &[[12,121]] = \{X_{-12},X_{-1}\}, \\ &[[1,12]] = \{X_{-1},X_2\}, \\ &[[2,21]] = \{X_{-2},X_1\}, \\ &[[-,2]] = \{X_1,X_{12}\}, \\ &[[-,1]] = \{X_2,X_{12}\}, \\ &[[-,1]] = \{X_2,X_{12}\}, \\ &[[2,12]] = \{X_{-1},t_1,X_1\}, \\ &[[1,21]] = \{X_{-2},t_2,X_2\}, \\ &[[2,121]] = \{X_{-12},X_{-1},X_{-2},t_1,X_1\}, \\ &[[1,121] = \{X_{-12},X_{-1},X_{-2},t_2,X_2\}, \\ &[[-,12]] = \{X_{-1},t_1,X_1,X_2,X_{12}\}, \\ &[[-,21]] = \{X_{-2},t_2,X_2,X_1,X_{12}\}, \\ &[[-,21]] = \{X_{-12},X_{-1},X_{-2},t_1,t_2,X_1,X_2,X_{12}\}. \\ &\text{We have} \end{split}$$

(b)  $[[v, w]] = [[v, 121]] \cap [[-, w]]$  for any  $v \leq w$  in W.

(c) There is a well defined partition 
$$\beta = \sqcup_{z \in W} \beta_z^-$$
 such that

$$[[-,w]] = \sqcup_{z \in W; z \le w} \beta_z^-$$

for any  $w \in W$ . There is a well defined partition  $\beta = \sqcup_{z \in W} \beta_z^+$  such that

$$[[v, 121]] = \sqcup_{z \in W; v < z} \beta_z^+.$$

We have

$$\beta_{-}^{-} = \{X_{12}\}, \ \beta_{1}^{-} = \{X_{2}\}, \ \beta_{2}^{-} = \{X_{1}\}, \ \beta_{12}^{-} = \{X_{-1}, t_{1}\}, \ \beta_{21}^{-} = \{X_{-2}, t_{2}\}, \\ \beta_{121}^{-} = \{X_{-12}\}. \\ \beta_{-}^{+} = \{X_{12}\}, \ \beta_{1}^{+} = \{t_{2}, X_{2}\}, \ \beta_{2}^{+} = \{t_{1}, X_{1}\}, \ \beta_{21}^{+} = \{X_{-2}\}, \ \beta_{12}^{+} = \{X_{-1}\}, \\ \beta_{121}^{+} = \{X_{-12}\}.$$

**2.4.** We return to the general case. Now 2.3(a),(b),(c) make sense in the general case (in (b),(c) we replace 121 by  $w_I$  and - by the unit element of W); we expect that these statements hold in the general case. In particular  $\beta_z^- \subset \beta$  and  $\beta_z^+ \subset \beta$  are defined for  $z \in W$ .

Let  $\eta^-$  be the unique vector in  $\beta$  such that the stabilizer of  $\mathbb{C}\eta^-$  in G is  $B^-$ . We can regard V naturally as a module over the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra of G hence as a module over the universal enveloping algebra  $\mathfrak{U}^+$  of the Lie algebra of  $U^+$  and as a module over the universal enveloping algebra  $\mathfrak{U}^-$  of the Lie algebra of  $U^-$ . Now  $\mathfrak{U}^+$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{U}^-$ ) has a canonical basis  $\tilde{\beta}^+$  (resp.  $\tilde{\beta}^-$ ), see [L90]) and the map  $c^+: \tilde{b} \mapsto \tilde{b}\eta^-$  (resp.  $c^-: \tilde{b} \mapsto \tilde{b}\eta$ ) from  $\tilde{\beta}^+$  (resp.  $\tilde{\beta}^-$ ) to V has image  $\beta \cup \{0\}$ . From [L19, 10.2] we have a partition  $\tilde{\beta}^+ = \sqcup_{w \in W} \tilde{\beta}_w^+$ ; similarly we have a partition  $\tilde{\beta}^- = \sqcup_{w \in W} \tilde{\beta}_w^-$ . We expect that for  $z \in W$ ,  $\beta_z^-$  is equal to  $c^-(\tilde{\beta}_z^-)$  with 0 removed and that  $\beta_z^+$  is equal to  $c^+(\tilde{\beta}_{zw_I}^+)$  with 0 removed.

#### 3. Partial flag manifolds

- **3.1.** We fix  $H \subset I$ . Let  $W^H$  be the set of all  $w \in W$  such that w has minimal length in  $wW_H$ . Let  $P_H$  be the subgroup of G generated by  $\{x_i(a); i \in I, a \in \mathbf{C}\}$ ,  $\{y_i(a); i \in H, a \in \mathbf{C}\}$  and by T (a parabolic subgroup containing  $B^+$ ). Let  $\mathcal{P}_H$  be the variety whose points are the subgroups of G conjugate to  $P_H$ . (We have  $P_\emptyset = B^+, \mathcal{P}_\emptyset = \mathcal{B}$ .) Define  $\pi_H : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{P}_H$  by  $B \mapsto P$  where  $P \in \mathcal{P}_H$  contains B. As observed in [L98] to any  $P \in \mathcal{P}_H$  we can attach two Borel subgroups B', B'' of P such that  $pos(B^+, B') = b \in W^H$ ,  $pos(B^-, B'') = a' \in W^H$ ; moreover B', B'' (hence a', b) are uniquely determined by P. Let  $c = pos(B', B'') \in W_H$  and let  $a = w_I a'$ . We have  $a \leq bc$  (since  $B'' \in \mathcal{B}_{a,bc}$ ) and  $ac^{-1} \leq b$  (since  $B' \in \mathcal{B}_{ac^{-1},b}$ ). Conversely, if
- (a)  $(a, b, c) \in (w_I W^H) \times W^H \times W_H$  satisfy  $a \leq bc$  or equivalently  $ac^{-1} \leq b$ , then the set of all  $P \in \mathcal{P}_H$  which give rise as above to a, b, c is non-empty; we denote this set by  $\mathcal{P}_{H,a,b,c}$ . The subsets  $\mathcal{P}_{H,a,b,c}$  form a partition of  $\mathcal{P}_H$  indexed by the set  $\mathcal{P}_H(\{1\})$  of triples (a, b, c) as in (a).

Following [L98] we set  $\mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0} = \pi_H(\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}), \ \mathcal{P}_{H,>0} = \pi_H(\mathcal{B}_{>0}).$  For  $(a,b,c) \in \mathcal{P}_H(\{1\})$  we set

$$\mathcal{P}_{H,>0,a,b,c} := \mathcal{P}_{H,a,b,c} \cap \mathcal{P}_{H,>0}$$

so that we have

$$\mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0} = \sqcup_{(a,b,c)\in\mathcal{P}_H(\{1\})} \mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0,a,b,c}.$$

Let

 $\mathcal{Z} = \{(r,t) \in (w_I W^H) \times W; r \leq t\}, \ \mathcal{Z}' = \{(r',t') \in W \times W^H; r' \leq t'\}.$  We have bijections

 $\alpha: \mathcal{P}_H(\{1\}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Z}, (a,b,c) \mapsto (a,bc), \alpha': \mathcal{P}_H(\{1\}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Z}', (a,b,c) \mapsto (ac^{-1},b).$  We shall write  $r,t\mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0}$  instead of  $\mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0,a,b,c}$  where  $(r,t) \in \mathcal{Z}, (a,b,c) = \alpha^{-1}(r,t)$  and  $r',t'\mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0}$  instead of  $\mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0,a,b,c}$  where  $(r',t') \in \mathcal{Z}', (a,b,c) = \alpha'^{-1}(r',t')$ . Thus we have

$$\mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0} = \sqcup_{(r,t)\in\mathcal{Z}r,t} \mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0} = \sqcup_{(r',t')\in\mathcal{Z'}} r',t' \mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0}$$
 and

$$r_{t}\mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0} = r'_{t}\mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0} \text{ if } (r',t') = \alpha'\alpha^{-1}(r,t).$$

In [R98, p.50,51] it is shown that for  $(r,t) \in \mathcal{Z}$ ,  $\pi_H$  restricts to a bijection

(b)  $\tilde{\alpha}: \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0, r, t} \xrightarrow{\sim}_{r, t} \mathcal{P}_{H, \geq 0}$  and that for  $(r', t') \in \mathcal{Z}'$ ,  $\pi_H$  restricts to a bijection

(c)  $\tilde{\alpha}': \mathcal{B}_{>0,r',t'} \xrightarrow{\sim} {r',t'} \mathcal{P}_{H,>0}$ .

This implies (by 1.7(a)) that  $\mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0,a,b,c}$  is a cell for any  $(a,b,c)\in\mathcal{P}_H(\{1\})$ , so that the various  $\mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0,a,b,c}$  form a cell decomposition of  $\mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0}$ ; this justifies the notation  $\mathcal{P}_H(\{1\})$ .

From 1.5(d) we deduce:

- (d)  $g \in G_{>0}, P \in \mathcal{P}_{H,>0} \implies gPg^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{H,>0}.$ Let  $\mathcal{P} = \sqcup_{H \subset I} \mathcal{P}_H$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 0} = \sqcup_{H \subset I} \mathcal{P}_{H, \geq 0}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 0} = \sqcup_{H \subset I} \mathcal{P}_{H, \geq 0}$ .
- **3.2.** Let H be as in 3.1. Let  $V_H$  be an irreducible rational G-module over C such that  $\{g \in G; g\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}\} = P_H$  for some (necessarily unique) line  $\mathcal{L}$  in V; let  $\eta \in \mathcal{L} - \{0\}$ . Let  $\beta$  be the basis of  $V_H$  (containing  $\eta$ ) obtained by specializing at v=1 the canonical basis [L90] of the corresponding module over the corresponding quantized enveloping algebra. Let  $\mathcal{X}_H$  be the G-orbit of  $\mathcal{L}$  in the set of lines in  $V_H$ . We have a bijection
  - (a)  $\mathcal{P}_H \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}_H$

given by  $P \mapsto L_P$  where  $L_P$  is the unique P-stable line in  $V_H$ . Let

(b)  $V_{H+} = \sum_{b \in \beta} \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} b \subset V_H$ .

From [L98] we see that  $V_H$  above can be chosen so that:

(c) under the bijection (a),  $\mathcal{P}_{H,>0}$  corresponds to the set of lines in  $\mathcal{X}_H$  which meet  $V_{H+} - \{0\}$ .

In the sequel we assume that  $V_H$  has been chosen so that (c) holds.

- **3.3.** For  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0}$  let  $\mathcal{P}_{H,u,\geq 0} = \{P \in \mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0}; u \in P\}, V_{H+}^u = \{\xi \in V_{H+}; u\xi = \xi\}.$ The proof of (a),(b),(c) below is identical to that of 1.6(a),(b),(c).
  - (a) Let u, u', u'' in  $\mathcal{U}_{\geq 0}$  be such that u = u'u''. We have  $V_{H+}^u = V_{H+}^{u'} \cap V_{H+}^{u''}$ . (b) Let  $i \in I, a \in \mathbf{R}_{> 0}$ . We have  $V_{H+}^{x_i(a)} = V_{H+}^{x_i(1)}, V_{H+}^{y_i(a)} = V_{H+}^{y_i(1)}$ .
- (c) Under the bijection 3.2(c),  $\mathcal{P}_{H,u,>0}$  corresponds to the set of lines in  $\mathcal{X}_H$ which meet  $V_{H+}^u - \{0\}$ .
- **3.4.** For any  $h \in G$  let  $[h]_H : \mathcal{P}_H \to \mathcal{P}_H$  be the map  $P \mapsto hPh^{-1}$ .

Let  $i \in I, a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . Let  $(r,t) \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Now (a),(b),(c) below follow immediately from 1.10(a), (b), (c) using 3.1(b).

- (a) If  $r \leq s_i t \leq t$ , then  $[y_i(a)]_H : \mathcal{P}_H \to \mathcal{P}_H$  restricts to a map  $r_i \mathcal{P}_{H,>0} \to \mathcal{P}_H$  $_{r,t}\mathcal{P}_{H,>0}$  which is fixed point free.
- (b) If  $s_i t \leq t$ ,  $r \not\leq s_i t$ , then  $[y_i(a)]_H : \mathcal{P}_H \to \mathcal{P}_H$  restricts to the identity map  $_{r,t}\mathcal{P}_{H,>0} \to _{r,t}\mathcal{P}_{H,>0}.$
- (c) If  $t \leq s_i t$  then  $[y_i(a)]_H : \mathcal{P}_H \to \mathcal{P}_H$  restricts to a map  $r_{i,t} \mathcal{P}_{H,>0} \to r_{i,s_i t} \mathcal{P}_{H,>0}$ ; note that  $(r, s_i t) \in \mathcal{Z}$ .

- **3.5.** Let  $i \in I$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . Let  $(r', t') \in \mathcal{Z}'$ . Now (a),(b),(c) below follow immediately from 1.11(a),(b),(c) using 3.1(c).
- (a) If  $r' \leq s_i r' \leq t'$ , then  $[x_i(a)]_H : \mathcal{P}_H \to \mathcal{P}_H$  restricts to a map  $r', t' \mathcal{P}_{H, \geq 0} \to r', t' \mathcal{P}_{H, \geq 0}$  which is fixed point free.
- (b) If  $r' \leq s_i r'$ ,  $s_i r' \not\leq t'$ , then  $[x_i(a)]_H : \mathcal{P}_H \to \mathcal{P}_H$  restricts to the identity  $\max_{r',t'} \mathcal{P}_{H,>0} \to {}_{r',t'} \mathcal{P}_{H,>0}$ .
- (c) If  $s_i r' \leq r'$  then  $[x_i(a)]_H : \mathcal{P}_H \to \mathcal{P}_H$  restricts to a map  $r', t' \mathcal{P}_{H, \geq 0} \to s_i r', t' \mathcal{P}_{H, \geq 0}$ ; note that  $(s_i r', t') \in \mathcal{Z}'$ .
- **3.6.** Let  $i \in I, a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . From 3.4 we deduce:

(a) 
$$\{P \in \mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0}; y_i(a) \in P\} = \sqcup_{(r,t)\in\mathcal{Z}; s_i t \leq t, r \nleq s_i t} (r_{,t} \mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0}).$$

From 3.5 we deduce:

(b) 
$$\{P \in \mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0}; x_i(a) \in P\} = \sqcup_{(r',t')\in \mathcal{Z}'; r' < s_i r', s_i r' \not< t} r', t' \mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0}.$$

The proof of the following result is entirely similar to that of 1.14, using 3.3(a),(b) instead of 1.6(a),(b).

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $(z, z') \in (W \times W)_{disj}$  and let  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0, z, z'}$ , J = supp(z), J' = supp(z'). We have

$$\mathcal{P}_{H,u,\geq 0} = \cap_{i\in J} \mathcal{P}_{H,y_i(1),\geq 0} \cap \cap_{j\in J'} \mathcal{P}_{H,x_j(1),\geq 0}.$$

**3.8.** Let  $J \subset I, J' \subset I$  be such that  $J \cap J' = \emptyset$ . We set

$$Z_{H;J,J'} = \{((r,t),(r',t')) \in \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z}';$$
  
$$(r',t') = \alpha'\alpha^{-1}(r,t), s_it \leq t, r \nleq s_it \quad \forall i \in J; r' \leq s_ir', s_ir' \nleq t' \quad \forall j \in J'\}.$$

Combining 3.7 with 3.6(a),(b) we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.9. Let  $(z, z') \in (W \times W)_{disj}$  and let  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0, z, z'}$ , J = supp(z), J' = supp(z'). We have

$$\mathcal{P}_{H,u,\geq 0} = \cup_{((r,t),(r',t'))\in Z_{H:J,J'}} (r,t\mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0}).$$

Thus  $\mathcal{P}_{H,u,\geq 0}$  admits a canonical, explicit, cell decomposition in which each cell is part of the canonical cell decomposition of  $\mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0}$ . The zero dimensional cells of  $\mathcal{P}_{H,u,\geq 0}$  are indexed by

$$\{((r,r),(r',r'));r'\in W^H, r=r'w_H, s_ir\leq r \quad \forall i\in J, r'\leq s_ir' \quad \forall j\in J'\}.$$

The last set contains for example ((r, r), (r', r')) where

$$r' = w_J w_{J \cap H}, r = w_J w_{J \cap H} w_H.$$

**3.10.** From 3.4 and 3.5 we see that in the action of  $G_{\geq 0}$  on  $\mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0}$ , the result of applying a piece of  $G_{\geq 0}$  to a cell of  $\mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0}$  is contained in a single cell of  $\mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0}$ . It follows that the action of  $G_{\geq 0}$  on  $\mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0}$  induces an action of  $G(\{1\}) = W \times W$  on  $\mathcal{P}_{H}(\{1\})$ . We can identify  $\mathcal{P}_{H}(\{1\})$  with

$$\{((r,t),(r',t')) \in \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z}'; (r',t') = \alpha'\alpha^{-1}(r,t)\}.$$

Then the action of  $W \times W$  becomes:

$$(s_i, 1) : ((r, t), (r', t')) \mapsto ((r, s_i * t), \alpha' \alpha^{-1}(r, s_i * t)),$$
  
$$(1, s_i) : ((r, t), (r', t')) \mapsto (\alpha \alpha'^{-1}(s_i \circ r', t'), (s_i \circ r', t')),$$

(notation of 1.17).

**3.11.** Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0}$  and let  $\underline{P} = P \cap \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0}$ . This is a closed subset of P. We have  $P \in {}_{r,t}\mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0} = {}^{r',t'}\mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0}$  for a well defined  $((r,t),(r',t')) \in \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z}'$  such that  $(r',t') = \alpha'\alpha^{-1}(r,t)$ . We set

$$\Xi_{H,(r,t),(r',t')} = \{(z,z') \in (W \times W)_{disj}; s_i t \le t, r \not\le s_i t \quad \forall i \in \text{supp}(z); r' \le s_i r', s_i r' \not\le t' \quad \forall j \in \text{supp}(z')\}.$$

We show:

(a) 
$$\underline{P} = \sqcup_{(z,z') \in \Xi_{H,(r,t),(r',t')}} \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0,z,z'}.$$

Let E be the right hand side of (a). Let  $u \in \underline{P}$ . Let  $(z,z') \in (W \times W)_{disj}$  be such that  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0,z,z'}$ . Let  $J = \operatorname{supp}(z)$ ,  $J' = \operatorname{supp}(z')$ . We have  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{H,u,\geq 0}$  hence by 3.9 we have  $((r,t),(r',t')) \in Z_{H;J,J'}$  hence  $(z,z') \in \Xi_{H,(r,t),(r',t')}$ . Thus,  $u \in E$ . We see that  $\underline{P} \subset E$ . Conversely, assume that  $u \in E$ . We can find  $(z,z') \in \Xi_{H,(r,t),(r',t')}$  such that  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0,z,z'}$ . Let  $J = \operatorname{supp}(z)$ ,  $J' = \operatorname{supp}(z')$ . We have  $((r,t),(r',t')) \in Z_{H;J,J'}$ . Since  $P \in {}_{r,t}\mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0}$ , from 3.9 we see that  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{H,u,\geq 0}$  so that  $u \in P$  and  $u \in \underline{P}$ . We see that  $E \subset \underline{P}$ . This proves (a).

From (a) we see that  $\underline{P}$  has a canonical cell decomposition with cells indexed by  $\Xi_{H,(r,t),(r',t')}$ .

#### 4. A Conjecture and its consequences

- **4.1.** An  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ -positive structure on a set  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a finite collection  $f_e: \mathbf{R}_{>0}^m \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{X}$  of bijections  $(e \in E)$  with  $m \geq 0$  fixed, such that for any e, e' in E,  $f_e^{-1}f_{e'}: \mathbf{R}_{>0}^m \to \mathbf{R}_{>0}^m$  is admissible in the sense of [L19, 1.2]. If  $(\mathfrak{X}; f_e: \mathbf{R}_{>0}^m \to \mathfrak{X}, e \in E)$ ,  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}; \tilde{f}_{\tilde{e}}: \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\tilde{m}} \to \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}, \tilde{e} \in \tilde{E})$  are two sets with  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ -positive structure, a map  $\xi: \mathfrak{X} \to \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$  is said to be a morphism if for some (or equivalently any)  $e \in E, \tilde{e} \in \tilde{E}$ , the map  $\tilde{f}_{\tilde{e}}^{-1} \xi f_e: \mathbf{R}_{>0}^m \to \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\tilde{m}}$  is admissible in the sense of [L19, 1.2]. We say that  $\xi$  is an isomorphism if it is a bijective morphism and  $\xi^{-1}$  is a morphism.
- If  $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X}'$  have positive  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ -structures, then  $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X}'$  has a natural  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ -positive structure.

**4.2.** Let  $T_{>0} = T \cap G_{\geq 0}$ . For any basis  $\chi_* = (\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_n)$  of  $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^*, T)$  we have a bijection  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} T_{>0}$  given by  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto \chi_1(a_1) \dots \chi_n(a_n)$ . These bijections (for various  $\chi_*$ ) define an  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ -positive structure on  $T_{>0}$ .

Let  $v \leq w$  in W. From [R08] we see that the bijections  $\tau_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{|w|-|v|} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}$  (see 1.8(c)) with  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{I}_w$  form an  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ -positive structure on  $\mathcal{B}_{>0,v,w}$ .

From 1.10 we see that for  $i \in I$ ,

(a) the map  $\mathbf{R}_{>0} \times \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w} \to \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,s_i*w}$  given by  $(a,B) \mapsto y_i(a)By_i(a)^{-1}$  is a well defined morphism of sets with  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ -positive structure.

From the definitions, for any  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^*, T)$ ,

- (b) the map  $\mathbf{R}_{>0} \times \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w} \to \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}$  given by  $(a,B) \mapsto \chi(a)B\chi(a)^{-1}$  is a well defined morphism of sets with  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ -positive structure.
- **4.3.** Replacing in 4.2 v, w by  $w_I w, w_I v$ , we deduce that the bijections

$$\tau_{\mathbf{i}'}: \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{|w|-|v|} = \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{|w_Iv|-|w_Iw|} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0, w_Iw, w_Iv}$$

with  $\mathbf{i}' \in \mathcal{I}_{w_I v}$  form an  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ -positive structure on  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0, w_I w, w_I v}$  and that for  $i \in I$ , the map

$$\mathbf{R}_{>0} \times \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0, w_I w, w_I v} \to \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0, w_I w, s_i * (w_I v)}$$

given by  $(a, B) \mapsto y_i(a)By_i(a)^{-1}$  is a well defined morphism of sets with  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ positive structure. We state:

Conjecture 4.4. The bijection  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,ww_I,vw_I} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}$  defined by  $\phi$  (see 1.11(d)) is an isomorphism of  $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ -positive structures.

When  $v = 1, w = w_I$  this can be deduced from [L97, §3].

In the remainder of this section we assume that this conjecture holds.

- **4.5.** Let v, w be as in 4.2. Using 4.4 we can reformulate the last statement in 4.3 as follows. For  $i \in I$ ,
- (a) the map  $\mathbf{R}_{>0} \times \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w} \to \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,s_i \circ v,w}$  given by  $(a,B) \mapsto x_i(a)Bx_i(a)^{-1}$  is a well defined morphism of sets with  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ -positive structure.
- **4.6.** We consider a sequence of admissible maps (see [L19, 1.2]):

$$\Phi_1: \mathbf{R}_{>0} \times \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{n_0} \to \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{n_1}, \Phi_2: \mathbf{R}_{>0} \times \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{n_1} \to \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{n_2}, \dots, \Phi_{\sigma}: \mathbf{R}_{>0} \times \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{n_{\sigma-1}} \to \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{n_{\sigma}}$$

where  $n_0, n_1, \ldots, n_{\sigma}$  are in **N**. We define  $\Phi : \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{\sigma} \times \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{n_0} \to \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{n_{\sigma}}$  by

$$\Phi((a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{\sigma}), b) = \dots \Phi_3(a_3, \Phi_2(a_2, \Phi_1(a_1, b))) \dots)$$

where  $b \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}^{n_0}$ . Clearly,  $\Phi$  is admissible.

- 18
- **4.7.** Consider the inverse image  $G_{r,-s}$  of  $(r,s) \in W \times W$  under the map  $G_{\geq 0} \to W \times W$  in 1.17. Now  $G_{r,-s}$  has a natural  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ -positive structure (see [L19]). Let  $(i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_m) \in \mathcal{I}_r, (j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_l) \in \mathcal{I}_s$ . Let  $v \leq w$  be elements of W. Define  $v' \leq w'$  by  $v' = s_{i_1} \circ (\ldots s_{i_{m-1}} \circ (s_{i_m} \circ v)) \ldots), \ w' = s_{j_1} * (\ldots s_{j_{l-1}} * (s_{j_l} \circ w)) \ldots)$  (notation of 1.17). The  $G_{\geq 0}$ -action on  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$  restricts to a map  $G_{r,-s} \times \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w} \to \mathcal{B}_{>0,v',w'}$ .
- (a) This is a morphism of sets with  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ -positive structure. Indeed, our map can be identified with a  $\Phi$  in 4.6 where each of  $\Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_{\sigma}$  is a map as in 4.2(a),(b) or 4.5(a) hence is admissible.
- **4.8.** We now fix a semifield K. Let  $(\mathfrak{X}; f_e : \mathbf{R}^m_{>0} \to \mathfrak{X}, e \in E)$  be a set with an  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ -positive structure. For any e, e' in E the admissible bijection  $f_e^{-1}f_{e'} : \mathbf{R}^m_{>0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{R}^m_{>0}$  induces a bijection  $\underline{f}_{e,e'} : K^m \xrightarrow{\sim} K^m$ . (This is obtained by replacing the indeterminates which appear in the formula for  $f_e^{-1}f_{e'}$  by elements of K instead of elements of  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ .) There is a well defined set  $\mathfrak{X}(K)$  with bijections  $\underline{f}_e : K^m \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{X}(K)$  such that  $\underline{f}_{e,e'} = \underline{f}_e^{-1}\underline{f}_{e'}$  for any e,e' in E. If  $\xi : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}'$  is a morphism of sets with  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ -positive structure then  $\xi$  induces a map of sets  $\xi(K) : \mathfrak{X}(K) \to \mathfrak{X}'(K)$ .
- **4.9.** In the setup of 4.7, the sets  $G_{r,-s}(K)$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}(K)$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v',w'}(K)$  are defined as in 4.8 and by 4.8(a), the map  $G_{r,-s} \times \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w} \to \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v',w'}$  induces a map (a)  $G_{r,-s}(K) \times \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}(K) \to \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v',w'}(K)$ .

We set  $G(K) = \sqcup_{(r,s) \in W \times W} G_{r,-s}(K)$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(K) = \sqcup_{(v,w) \in W \times W; v \leq w} \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0,v,w}(K)$ . The maps (a) define a map  $G(K) \times \mathcal{B}(K) \to \mathcal{B}(K)$ . This is an action of G(K) (with the monoid structure induced from that of  $G_{\geq 0}$ ) on the set  $\mathcal{B}(K)$ .

**4.10.** Let  $H \subset I$ . For any  $(a, b, c) \in \mathcal{P}_H(\{1\})$ , the cell  $\mathcal{P}_{H, \geq 0, a, b, c}$  in  $\mathcal{P}_{H, \geq 0}$  has a  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ -positive structure via a bijection as in 3.1(a) or as in 3.1(b) (these two bijections define the same  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ -positive structure, as we see easily from the definitions). Thus the set  $\mathcal{P}_{H, >0, a, b, c}(K)$  is defined. We set

$$\mathcal{P}_H(K) = \sqcup_{(a,b,c) \in \mathcal{P}_H(\{1\})} \mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0,a,b,c}(K).$$

An argument similar to that in 4.9 shows that the  $G_{\geq 0}$ -action on  $\mathcal{P}_{H,\geq 0}$  (see 3.1(d)) induces an action of the monoid G(K) on  $\mathcal{P}_{H}(K)$ .

**4.11.** Let  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\geq 0}$ . Let z, z', J, J' be as in 1.16. We set

$$\mathcal{B}_u(K) = \bigcup_{(v,w) \in Z_{T,t'}} \mathcal{B}_{>0,v,w}(K),$$

$$\mathfrak{Z}(u)(K) = \bigcup_{(r,s) \in \mathfrak{Z}_u(\{1\})} G_{r,-s}(K).$$

(notation of 1.15, 1.17). Then the action of G(K) on  $\mathcal{B}(K)$  restricts to an action of  $\mathfrak{Z}(u)(K)$  (with the monoid structure induced from that of G(K)) on the set  $\mathcal{B}_u(K)$ .

5. The map 
$$g\mapsto P_g$$
 from  $G_{\geq 0}$  to  $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 0}$ 

- **5.1.** In this section we give a new, simpler, definition of the map  $g \mapsto B_g$  from  $G_{>0}$  to  $\mathcal{B}_{>0}$  in [L94, 8.9(c)] and we extend it to a map  $g \mapsto P_g$  from  $G_{>0}$  to  $\mathcal{P}_{>0}$ .
- **5.2.** For a closed subgroup G' of G we denote by  $\mathfrak{L}G'$  the Lie algebra of G'. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{L}G$ . Let  $g \in G_{\geq 0}$ . We associate to g a parabolic subgroup  $P = P_g$  of G containing g as follows. By [L19, 9.1(a)], we have  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}} \mathfrak{g}_a$  where  $\mathfrak{g}_a$  is the generalized a-eigenspace of  $Ad(g): \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ . It follows that we have  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{<1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{>1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{>1}$  where  $\mathfrak{g}_{<1} = \bigoplus_{a:0< a<1} \mathfrak{g}_a$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_{>1} = \bigoplus_{a:a>1} \mathfrak{g}_a$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\leq 1} = \mathfrak{g}_{<1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{>1}$  are opposed parabolic subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with common Levi subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ . Let  $P = P_g$  be the parabolic subgroup of P with  $P = \mathbb{g}_{\geq 1}$ . Let  $P = \mathbb{g}_{\geq 1}$  be the Levi subgroup of P with  $P = \mathbb{g}_1$ . Note that  $P = \mathbb{g}_1$  is the centralizer in  $P = \mathbb{g}_1$  of  $P = \mathbb{g}_1$ . In particular we have  $P = \mathbb{g}_1$  is a central element of  $P = \mathbb{g}_1$  times a unipotent element of  $P = \mathbb{g}_1$ . For example, if  $P = \mathbb{g}_1$  is unipotent then  $P = \mathbb{g}_2$ .
- **5.3.** We now assume that  $g \in G_{>0}$ . We show:
  - (a)  $B_q = P_q$ .

Let  $U_{>0}^+ \subset U^+, U_{>0}^- \subset U^-$  be as in [L94, 2.12]. Let  $T_{>0} \subset T$  be as in 4.7. By [L94, 8.10] we can find  $u \in U_{>0}^+, u' \in U_{>0}^-, t \in T_{>0}$  such that  $g = u'tuu'^{-1}$  and all eigenvalues of Ad(t) on  $\mathfrak{L}U^+$  are > 1. Then all eigenvalues of Ad(t) on  $\mathfrak{L}B^+$  are  $\geq 1$ . Now tu is  $U^+$ -conjugate to t hence all eigenvalues of Ad(tu) on  $\mathfrak{L}B^+$  are  $\geq 1$ . It follows that all eigenvalues of Ad(g) on  $\mathfrak{L}(u'B^+u'^{-1})$  are  $\geq 1$ , so that  $\mathfrak{L}(u'B^+u'^{-1}) \subset \mathfrak{L}P_g$ . Since t (and tu) is regular semisimple,  $L_g$  is a maximal torus of G so that  $P_g \in \mathcal{B}$ ; this implies that  $\mathfrak{L}(u'B^+u'^{-1}) = \mathfrak{L}P_g$ . From the definition of  $\mathcal{B}_{>0}$  we have  $u'B^+u'^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}_{>0}$ . Since  $g \in u'B^+u'^{-1}$ , we have  $u'B^+u'^{-1} = B_g$ . This proves (a).

# **5.4.** Let $g \in G_{>0}$ . We show:

(a)  $P_g \in \mathcal{P}_{\geq 0}$ .

By [L94, 4.4] we can find a sequence  $g_1, g_2, g_3, \ldots$  in  $G_{>0}$  such that  $g = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n$  in G. Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is compact, some subsequence of the sequence of Borel subgroups  $P_{g_n}$  converges in  $\mathcal{B}$  to a Borel subgroup  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . We can assume that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} P_{g_n} = B$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Since  $P_{g_n} \in \mathcal{B}_{>0} \subset \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$  is closed in  $\mathcal{B}$ , we have  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}_n = \mathfrak{L}P_{g_n}, n = 1, 2, \ldots, \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{L}P_g$ , and let  $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{L}B$ . We show:

(b)  $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ .

We have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathfrak{p}_n = \mathfrak{b}$  in the Grassmannian of dim  $\mathfrak{b}$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}_n$  is stable under  $\mathrm{Ad}(g_n)$  for  $n=1,2,\ldots$ , we see that  $\mathfrak{b}$  is stable under  $\mathrm{Ad}(g)$ . Moreover since all eigenvalues of  $\mathrm{Ad}(g_n):\mathfrak{p}_n\to\mathfrak{p}_n$  are  $\geq 1$ , we see that all eigenvalues of  $\mathrm{Ad}(g):\mathfrak{b}\to\mathfrak{b}$  are  $\geq 1$ . Hence (b) holds.

From (b) we deduce that  $B \subset P_g$ . Since  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$  we see that  $P_g \in \mathcal{P}_{\geq 0}$ ; this proves (a) and completes the verification of the statements in 5.1.

# **5.5.** Let $B \in \mathcal{B}_{>0}$ . We show:

(a)  $B \cap G_{>0}$  is non-empty.

We have  $B = uB^+u^{-1}$  for some  $u \in U_{>0}^-$ . Let  $\tilde{u} \in U_{>0}^+$ . By [L94, 7.2] we can

find  $t \in T_{>0}$  such that  $u\tilde{u}tu^{-1} \in G_{>0}$ . We have  $u\tilde{u}tu^{-1} \in uB^+u^{-1} = B$ . Thus  $u\tilde{u}tu^{-1} \in B \cap G_{>0}$  and (a) follows.

We conjecture that  $B \cap G_{>0}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^{\dim B}_{>0}$ . Consider for example the case where  $G = SL_2(\mathbf{C})$ . In this case there is a unique  $z \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$  such that B equals  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}; a, b, c, d \text{ in } \mathbf{C}, ad = bc + 1, c + dz = z(a + bz) \right\}$ . Then  $B \cap G_{>0}$  can be identified with the set  $\left\{ (a, b, c, d) \in \mathbf{R}^4_{>0}; ad = bc + 1, c + dz = z(a + bz) \right\}$  or (via the sustitution d = (bc + 1)/a), with the set  $X_z = \left\{ (a, b, c) \in \mathbf{R}^3_{>0}; c + z \frac{bc + 1}{a} = z(a + bz) \right\} = \left\{ (a, b, c) \in \mathbf{R}^3_{>0}; (az - c)(bz + a) = z \right\}$ . Setting  $\epsilon = a - cz^{-1}$  we can identify  $X_z$  with  $X_z' = \left\{ (b, c, \epsilon) \in \mathbf{R}^3_{>0}; \epsilon^2 + \epsilon(bz + cz^{-1}) - 1 = 0 \right\}$ . The map  $X_z' \to \mathbf{R}^2_{>0}$ ,  $(b, c, \epsilon) \mapsto (b, c)$  is a homeomorphism: for any  $(b, c) \in \mathbf{R}^2_{>0}$  there is a unique  $\epsilon \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$  such that  $\epsilon^2 + \epsilon(bz + cz^{-1}) - 1 = 0$ . This proves the conjecture in our case.

# **5.6.** We show:

(a) If  $g \in G_{>0}$  then  $P_g \in \mathcal{B}$ ; the image of the map  $g \mapsto P_g$  from  $G_{>0}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  is exactly  $\mathcal{B}_{>0}$ .

The fact that  $P_g \in \mathcal{B}_{>0}$  for  $g \in G_{>0}$  follows from 5.3(a). Let  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{>0}$ . Let  $g \in B \cap G_{>0}$  (see 5.5(a)). From [L94, 8.9(a)] we see that  $B = B_g$  hence by 5.3(a) we have  $B = P_g$ . This proves (a).

Now (a) provides a new definition of  $\mathcal{B}_{>0}$ ; it is the image of the map  $g \mapsto P_g$  from  $G_{>0}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Let  $G_{reg}$  be the set of regular elements in G and let  $G_{reg,\geq 0} = G_{reg} \cap G_{\geq 0}$ . We conjecture that the map  $g \mapsto P_g$  from  $G_{\geq 0}$  to  $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 0}$  is surjective and that, moreover, its restriction  $G_{reg,\geq 0} \to \mathcal{P}_{\geq 0}$  is surjective.

#### References

- [KL] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras, Invent.Math. 53 (1979), 165-184.
- [L90] G. Lusztig, Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1990), 447-498.
- [L94] G. Lusztig, *Total positivity in reductive groups*, Lie theory and geometry, Progr.in Math. 123, Birkhäuser Boston, 1994, pp. 531-568.
- [L97] G.Lusztig, *Total positivity and canonical bases*, Algebraic groups and Lie groups, ed. G.I.Lehrer, Cambridge U.Press, 1997, pp. 281-295.
- [L98] G.Lusztig, Total positivity in partial flag manifolds, Repres.Th. 2 (1998), 70-78.
- [L19] G. Lusztig, Total positivity in reductive groups, II, arxiv:1904.07198 (to appearBull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica).
- [MR] R. J. Marsh and K. Rietsch, *Parametrizations of flag varieties*, Repres.Th. 8 (2004), 212-242.
- [R98] K. Rietsch, Total positivity and real flag varieties, MIT Ph.D. thesis (1998).
- [R99] K. Rietsch, An algebraic cell decomposition of the nonnegative part of a flag manifold, J.Algebra 213 (1999), 144-154.
- [R08] K. Rietsch, A mirror symmetric construction of  $qH(T)^*(G/P)((q))$ , Adv.Math. **217** (2008), 2401-2442.