

Entanglement enhanced distinguishability of coherence-breaking channels

Long-Mei Yang,¹ Tao Li,² Shao-Ming Fei,^{1,3} and Zhi-Xi Wang¹

¹*School of Mathematical Sciences, Capital Normal University, Beijing 100048, China*

²*School of Science, Beijing Technology and Business University, Beijing 100048, China*

³*Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, Leipzig 04103, Germany*

Originated from the superposition principle in quantum mechanics, coherence has been extensively studied as a kind important resource in quantum information processing. We investigate the distinguishability of coherence-breaking channels with the help of quantum entanglement. By explicitly computing the minimal error probability of channel discrimination, it is shown that entanglement can enhance the capacity of coherence-breaking channel distinguishability with same types for some cases while cannot enhanced for some other cases. For coherence-breaking channels with different types, the channel distinguishability cannot be enhanced via entanglement.

Introduction Quantum coherence is a fundamental aspect of quantum physics, which encapsulates the defining features of the theory from the superposition principle to quantum correlations [1]. Quantum coherence is also an essential ingredient in quantum information processing [2]. It constitutes a powerful resource for quantum metrology [3, 4] and entanglement creation [5, 6], and plays a central role in the emergent fields such as nanoscale thermodynamics [7–10] and quantum biology [11, 12]. The quantification and implication of quantum coherence have been extensively studied recently [13, 14]. A related important problem is the transformation of quantum coherence under quantum channels. It is of particular significance to study the class of coherence-breaking channelstrace preserving completely positive maps for which the output state is always incoherence [15]. More precisely, a quantum channel Φ is called coherence breaking if $\Phi(\rho)$ is always incoherent for any density matrix ρ . And one of the key problems in quantum information-processing task is the channel discrimination. In [16–22] the optimal discrimination of quantum operations has been investigated. It has been shown that the distinguishability of entanglement-breaking channels can be enhanced. Comparing coherence with entanglement, one may naturally ask whether the entanglement can enhance the distinguishability of the coherence-breaking channel. In this paper, we study the relation between entanglement and coherence-breaking channels, and show that entanglement can indeed enhance the distinguishability of coherence-breaking channels with same types for some cases. For coherence-breaking channels with different types, entanglement cannot better enhance the channel distinguishability.

Preliminaries We first recapitulate some concepts required in presenting our main results. Let \mathcal{H} denote a discrete finite-dimensional complex vector space associated with a quantum system. A completely positive and trace-preserving (CPTP) map Φ on a state ρ can be expressed as $\Phi(\rho) = \sum_n K_n \rho K_n^\dagger$, where K_n are Kraus operators on \mathcal{H} satisfying $\sum_n K_n^\dagger K_n = I$ [23]. A qubit coherence-breaking channel is a CPTP map for which the Kraus operators K_n can be

only one of the following three types [24]:

$$E_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

$$E_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\xi} \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ e^{i\xi} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

or

$$E_{31} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\sin \phi & e^{i\xi} \cos \phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_{32} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & e^{i\xi} \sin \phi \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Given two quantum channels Φ_1 and Φ_2 with a prior probability p_1 and $p_2 = 1 - p_1$, respectively. The problem of optimal discrimination of Φ_1 and Φ_2 can be reformulated into the problem of finding out a state ρ such that the error probability in the discrimination of the output states $\Phi_1(\rho)$ and $\Phi_2(\rho)$ is minimal [16]. The minimal error probability is given by

$$p'_E = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \max_{\rho \in \mathcal{H}} \|p_1 \Phi_1(\rho) - p_2 \Phi_2(\rho)\|_1 \right), \quad (4)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_1$ denotes the trace norm, if no entanglement is taken into consideration. However, if we take in account of entanglement, i.e., the system is entangled with another system \mathcal{K} , then the minimal error probability is changed to be

$$p_E = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \max_{\rho \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}} \|p_1(\Phi_1 \otimes \mathcal{I})(\rho) - p_2(\Phi_2 \otimes \mathcal{I})(\rho)\|_1 \right). \quad (5)$$

Here the maximum in (4) and (5) are both achieved by pure states.

Denote $|A\rangle\rangle = \sum_{mn} \langle n|A|m\rangle |n\rangle \otimes |m\rangle = A \otimes I |I\rangle\rangle = I \otimes A^t |I\rangle\rangle$ [16]. Define a pure bipartite state $\rho = |\zeta\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\zeta|$ with $\text{Tr}\rho = \text{Tr}(\zeta^\dagger \zeta) = 1$, then p_E defined in Eq.(5) can be rewritten as

$$p_E = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \max_{\text{Tr}[\zeta^\dagger \zeta]} \|I \otimes \zeta^\dagger \Delta I \otimes \zeta^*\|_1 \right), \quad (6)$$

where $\Delta = p_1 \sum_n |K_n^{(1)}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle K_n^{(1)}| - p_2 \sum_m |K_m^{(2)}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle K_m^{(2)}|$, and $\{K_n^{(1)}\}$ and $\{K_m^{(2)}\}$ are the Kraus operators of quantum operations, respectively. Also, $p_E \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{d} \|\Delta\|_1 \right)$ with $d = \dim(\mathcal{H})$, where equality holds for quantum operations with the form of $\Phi_i(\rho) = \sum_n q_n^{(i)} U_n \rho U_n^\dagger$, and $\sum_n q_n^{(i)} = 1$. Here, $\{U_n\}$ is a set of orthogonal unitaries with $\text{Tr}(U_m^\dagger U_n) = d \delta_{n,m}$. In this case, $\Delta = \sum_n r_n |U_n\rangle\rangle\langle\langle U_n|$ with $r_n = p_1 q_n^{(1)} - p_2 q_n^{(2)}$. Then p_E can also be written in the form

$$p_E = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \max_{P \geq 0, \text{Tr}[P^2]=1} \|I \otimes P \Delta I \otimes P\|_1 \right), \quad (7)$$

where for qubit channels, P can be written as $P = \begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z^* & y \end{pmatrix}$ with $x, y \geq 0$, $xy \geq |z|^2$ and $x^2 + y^2 + 2|z|^2 = 1$. Particularly, one has $x + y = 1$ and $|z| = \sqrt{xy}$ if $\text{rank}(P) = 1$. Also, one finds that the entanglement is not needed for optimal discrimination if and only if the maximum in (6) can be achieved by an operator P with $\text{rank}(P) = 1$.

Coherence-breaking channels of the same type We first consider qubit coherence-breaking channels of the the same types given in (1), (2) and (3). Obviously the discrimination for two channels of the form either (1) or (2) is trivial. We only need to study the problem for two coherence-breaking channels that both are of the form (3). Let Φ_i , $i = 1, 2$, be two coherence-breaking channels with, respectively, $E_1^{(i)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\sin \phi_i & e^{i\xi_i} \cos \phi_i \end{pmatrix}$, $E_2^{(i)} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi_i & e^{i\xi_i} \sin \phi_i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= p_1(|E_1^{(1)}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle E_1^{(1)}| + |E_2^{(1)}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle E_2^{(1)}|) - p_2(|E_1^{(2)}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle E_1^{(2)}| + |E_2^{(2)}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle E_2^{(2)}|) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{11} & \Delta_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ \Delta_{12}^* & \Delta_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Delta_{22} & -\Delta_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & -\Delta_{12}^* & \Delta_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $\Delta_{11} = p_1 \cos^2 \phi_1 - p_2 \cos^2 \phi_2$, $\Delta_{12} = p_1 e^{-i\xi_1} \sin \phi_1 \cos \phi_1 - p_2 e^{-i\xi_2} \sin \phi_2 \cos \phi_2$ and $\Delta_{22} = p_1 \sin^2 \phi_1 - p_2 \sin^2 \phi_2$.

The singular values of Δ are

$$s_0(\Delta) = s_1(\Delta) = \frac{1}{2} |p_1 - p_2 + M|, \quad s_2(\Delta) = s_3(\Delta) = \frac{1}{2} |p_1 - p_2 - M|,$$

where

$$M = \sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2 - 2p_1 p_2 \cos 2\phi_1 \cos 2\phi_2 - p_1 p_2 (e^{i\xi_1 - i\xi_2} + e^{i\xi_2 - i\xi_1}) \sin 2\phi_1 \sin 2\phi_2}.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{i=0}^3 s_i(\Delta) = 2 \max \{|p_1 - p_2|, M\}. \quad (9)$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=0}^3 s_i(\Delta) = 2 \sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2 - 2p_1 p_2 \cos 2\phi_1 \cos 2\phi_2 - 2p_1 p_2 \cos(\xi_1 - \xi_2) \sin 2\phi_1 \sin 2\phi_2}, \quad (10)$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} & p_1^2 + p_2^2 - 2p_1 p_2 \cos 2\phi_1 \cos 2\phi_2 - p_1 p_2 (e^{i\xi_1 - i\xi_2} + e^{i\xi_2 - i\xi_1}) \sin 2\phi_1 \sin 2\phi_2 \\ &= p_1^2 + p_2^2 - 2p_1 p_2 \cos 2\phi_1 \cos 2\phi_2 - 2p_1 p_2 \cos(\xi_1 - \xi_2) \sin 2\phi_1 \sin 2\phi_2 \\ &\geq \min\{p_1^2 + p_2^2 - 2p_1 p_2 \cos 2(\phi_1 - \phi_2), p_1^2 + p_2^2 - 2p_1 p_2 \cos 2(\phi_1 + \phi_2)\} \\ &\geq (p_1 - p_2)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Note that for a matrix A , $\|A\|_1 = \text{Tr} \sqrt{A^\dagger A} = \max_U |\text{Tr}[UA]| = \sum_i s_i(A)$, where U is a unitary operator and $\{s_i(A)\}$ denote the singular values of A . For the strategy without entangled input, we get $p'_E = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \max_{P'} \|I \otimes P' \Delta I \otimes P'\|_1)$ with $P' = \begin{pmatrix} x & \sqrt{x(1-x)}e^{i\phi} \\ \sqrt{x(1-x)}e^{-i\phi} & 1-x \end{pmatrix}$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$. The singular values of $\Delta' = I \otimes P' \Delta I \otimes P'$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} s_0(\Delta') &= \left| (p_1 - p_2) - (p_1 \cos^2 \phi_1 - p_2 \cos^2 \phi_2)x + (p_1 \sin^2 \phi_1 - p_2 \sin^2 \phi_2)(1-x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (re^{i\phi} + r^*e^{-i\phi})\sqrt{x(1-x)} \right|, \\ s_1(\Delta') &= \left| (p_1 \cos^2 \phi_1 - p_2 \cos^2 \phi_2)x + (p_1 \sin^2 \phi_1 - p_2 \sin^2 \phi_2)(1-x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (re^{i\phi} + r^*e^{-i\phi})\sqrt{x(1-x)} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

and $s_2(\Delta') = s_3(\Delta') = 0$ with $r = p_1 e^{i\xi_1} \sin \phi_1 \cos \phi_1 - p_2 \sin \phi_2 \cos \phi_2$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^3 s_i(\Delta') &= \max \left\{ |p_1 - p_2|, \sqrt{(r e^{i\phi} + r^* e^{-i\phi})^2 + (p_1 \cos 2\phi_1 - p_2 \cos 2\phi_2)^2} \right\} \\ &= p_1^2 + p_2^2 - 2p_1 p_2 \cos 2\phi_1 \cos 2\phi_2 + 2p_1 p_2 \sin 2\phi_1 \sin 2\phi_2 \cos(\xi_1 - \xi_2). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Although the necessary and sufficient condition for $p_E < p'_E$ can be difficult to calculate explicitly in general, we can find that $p_E \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^3 s_i(\Delta)) < p'_E = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sum_{i=0}^3 s_i(\Delta'))$ for $\sin 2\phi_1 \sin 2\phi_2 \cos(\phi_1 - \phi_2) < 0$. Hence it is clear that the entanglement can indeed enhance the distinguishability of the coherence-breaking channels (3).

Example. Consider the case that the prior probability $p_1 = p_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, and Φ_1 and Φ_2 satisfy conditions $\sin 2\phi_1 = -\sin 2\phi_2 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$, $\cos 2\phi_1 = \cos 2\phi_2 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$, and $\xi_1 = \xi_2 = 0$. We have, $\sum_{i=0}^3 s_i(\Delta') = 0$ and $\sum_{i=0}^3 s_i(\Delta) = 1$. Then, $p_E \leq \frac{1}{4} < p'_E = \frac{1}{2}$.

Coherence-breaking channels of different types We study now coherence-breaking channels with different forms. We first assume Φ_1 and Φ_2 are defined by (1) and (2), respectively. In this case, it can be found that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= p_1(|E_1^{(1)}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle E_1^{(1)}| + |E_2^{(1)}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle E_2^{(1)}|) - p_2(|E_1^{(2)}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle E_1^{(2)}| + |E_2^{(2)}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle E_2^{(2)}|) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -p_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -p_2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The singular values of Δ are: $s_0(\Delta) = s_1(\Delta) = p_1$, $s_2(\Delta) = s_3(\Delta) = p_2$. Thus, $p_E = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^3 s_i(\Delta)) = 0$. That is to say, the entanglement can help to distinguish these two channels perfectly.

For the strategy without entanglement, we get $p'_E = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \max_{P'} \|I \otimes P' \Delta I \otimes P'\|_1)$, with P' defined as above. The singular values of $\Delta' = I \otimes P' \Delta I \otimes P'$ are given by: $s_0(\Delta') = p_1$, $s_1(\Delta') = p_2$ and $s_2(\Delta') = s_3(\Delta') = 0$. Hence we have $p'_E = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sum_{i=0}^3 s_i(\Delta')) = 0$, which shows that with or without the help of entanglement, the channel can always perfectly distinguished.

Now consider channels Φ_1 and Φ_2 defined by (1) and (3), respectively. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= p_1(|E_1^{(1)}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle E_1^{(1)}| + |E_2^{(1)}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle E_2^{(1)}|) - p_2(|E_1^{(2)}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle E_1^{(2)}| + |E_2^{(2)}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle E_2^{(2)}|) = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} p_1 - p_2 \cos^2 \phi_2 & -p_2 e^{-i\xi} \sin \phi_2 \cos \phi_2 & 0 & 0 \\ -p_2 e^{i\xi} \sin \phi_2 \cos \phi_2 & p_1 - p_2 \sin^2 \phi_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -p_2 \sin^2 \phi_2 & p_2 e^{-i\xi} \sin \phi_2 \cos \phi_2 \\ 0 & 0 & p_2 e^{i\xi} \sin \phi_2 \cos \phi_2 & -p_2 \cos^2 \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The singular values of Δ are: $s_0(\Delta) = p_1$, $s_1(\Delta) = p_2$, $s_2(\Delta) = |p_1 - p_2|$ and $s_3(\Delta) = 0$. Thus, $\sum_{i=0}^3 s_i(\Delta) = 1 + |p_1 - p_2|$. While for the case without entanglement, the singular values of $\Delta' = I \otimes P' \Delta I \otimes P'$ are given by $s_0(\Delta') = |(p_1 - p_2 \cos^2 \phi_2)x + (p_1 - p_2 \sin^2 \phi_2)(1-x) - (e^{i\xi+i\phi} + e^{-i\xi-i\phi})p_2 \sin \phi_2 \cos \phi_2 \sqrt{x(1-x)}|$, $s_1(\Delta') = |(e^{i\xi+i\phi} + e^{-i\xi-i\phi})p_2 \sin \phi_2 \cos \phi_2 \sqrt{x(1-x)} - p_2 x \sin^2 \phi_2 - p_2(1-x) \cos^2 \phi_2|$ and $s_2(\Delta') = s_3(\Delta') = 0$. Thus $\sum_{i=0}^3 s_i(\Delta') = \max \left\{ |p_1 - p_2|, \left| \cos(\xi + \phi) \sin 2\phi_2 \sqrt{x(1-x)} + x \cos^2 \phi_2 + (1-x) \sin^2 \phi_2 - 1 \right| \right\} = 1$. Namely, the entanglement cannot better enhance the distinguishability of the coherence-breaking channels, since $p'_E = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sum_{i=0}^3 s_i(\Delta')) = 0$ and

$$p_E \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^3 s_i(\Delta) \right) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4} |p_1 - p_2|.$$

One may also consider channels Φ_1 and Φ_2 given by (2) and (3) respectively, and obtain similar conclusions: entanglement cannot better enhance the ability of discrimination of two channels of this kind.

Conclusion and discussion We have investigated the discrimination of two coherence-breaking channels based on error probabilities. It has been shown that entanglement cannot better enhance the channel distinguishability of different types while entanglement can improve the channel discrimination of the coherence-breaking channels of type (3). However, there indeed exist coherence-breaking channels with same type whose distinguishability cannot be enhanced via entanglement. Let us consider two Pauli channels $\Phi_i(\rho) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^3 q_i^\alpha \sigma_\alpha \rho \sigma_\alpha$ with a prior probability p_1 and $p_2 = 1 - p_1$, where $\{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\} = \{I, \sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z\}$ and $\sum_{\alpha=0}^3 q_i^\alpha = 1$. Sacchi[16] shown that entanglement strictly improves the discrimination if and only if $\prod_{i=0}^3 r_\alpha < 0$, with $r_\alpha = p_1 q_1^\alpha - p_2 q_2^\alpha$. Assume Φ_1 and Φ_2 are both coherence-breaking Pauli channels. We have $q_i^{(0)} + q_i^{(1)} - q_i^{(2)} - q_i^{(3)} = q_i^{(0)} - q_i^{(1)} + q_i^{(2)} - q_i^{(3)} = 0$, $i = 1, 2$. Thus, $r_0 = r_3 = p_1 q_1^{(0)} - p_2 q_2^{(0)}$ and $r_1 = r_2 = p_1 q_1^{(1)} - p_2 q_2^{(1)}$. Hence, $\prod_{i=0}^3 r_\alpha \geq 0$, which implies that the entanglement cannot improve the channel discrimination in this case.

Acknowledgments This work is supported by the NSF of China under Grant No.11675113, Research Foundation for Youth Scholars of Beijing Technology and Business University QNJ2017-03 and Beijing Municipal Education Commission under Grant Nos.KM201810011009 and KZ201810028042.

-
- [1] A. J. Leggett, Macroscopic quantum systems and the quantum theory of measurement, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **69**, 80 (1980).
 - [2] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2000).
 - [3] V. Giovannetti, S. Lloyd, and L. Maccone, Quantum-enhanced measurements: beating the standard quantum limit, Science **306**, 1330 (2004).
 - [4] R. Demkowicz-Dobrzanski and L. Maccone, Using entanglement against noise in quantum metrology, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 250801 (2014).
 - [5] J. K. Asbóth, J. Calsamiglia, and H. Ritsch, Computable measure of nonclassicality for light, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 173602 (2005).
 - [6] A. Streltsov, U. Singh, H. S. Dhar, M. N. Bera, and G. Adesso, Measuring quantum coherence with entanglement, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 020403 (2015).
 - [7] J. Åberg, Catalytic Coherence, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 150402 (2014).
 - [8] P. Ćwikliński, M. Studziński, M. Horodecki, and J. Oppenheim, Limitations on the evolution of quantum coherences: Towards fully quantum second laws of thermodynamics, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 210403 (2015).
 - [9] M. Lostaglio, K. Korzekwa, D. Jennings, and T. Rudolph, Quantum coherence, time-translation symmetry, and thermodynamics, Phys. Rev. X **5**, 021001 (2015).
 - [10] O. Karlström, H. Linke, G. Karlström, and A. Wacker, Increasing thermoelectric performance using coherent transport, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 113415 (2011).
 - [11] P. Rebentrost, M. Mohseni, and A. Aspuru-Guzik, Role of quantum coherence and environmental fluctuations in chromophoric energy transport, J. Phys. Chem. B **113**, 9942 (2009).
 - [12] S. F. Huelga and M. B. Plenio, Vibrations, quanta and biology, Contemp. Phys. **54**, 181 (2013).
 - [13] K. F. Bu, U. Singh, S. M. Fei, A. K. Pati, and J. D. Wu, Maximum relative entropy of coherence: an operational coherence measure, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 150405 (2017).
 - [14] H. J. Zhu, Z. H. Ma, Z. Cao, S. M. Fei, and V. Vedral, Operational one-to-one mapping between coherence and entanglement measures, Phys. Rev. A **96**, 032316 (2017).

- [15] K. F. Bu, Swati, U. Singh and J. Wu, Coherence-breaking channels and coherence sudden death, *Phys. Rev. A* **94**, 052335 (2016).
- [16] M. F. Sacchi, Optimal discrimination of quantum operations, *Phys. Rev. A* **71**, 062340 (2005).
- [17] M. F. Sacchi, Entanglement can enhance the distinguishability of entanglement-breaking channels, *Phys. Rev. A* **72**, 014305 (2005).
- [18] G. Chiribella, Perfect discrimination of no-signalling channels via quantum superposition of causal structure, *Phys. Rev. A* **86**, 040301 (2012).
- [19] W. Matthews, M. Piani, and J. Watrous, Entanglement in channel discrimination with restricted measurements, *Phys. Rev. A* **82**, 032302 (2010).
- [20] M. S. Zhao, T. Qin, and Y. D. Zhang, On the discrimination of qubit channels, *Phys. Lett. A* **372**, 1583 (2008).
- [21] M. Caiaffa, and M. Piani, Channel discrimination power of bipartite quantum states, *Phys. Rev. A* **97**, 032334 (2018).
- [22] L. Z. Li, S. G. Zhang, H. Z. Situ, and D. W. Qiu, Capability of local operations and classical communication to distinguish bipartite unitary operations, *Phys. Rev. A* **96**, 052327 (2017).
- [23] K. Kraus, *States, Effects and Operations*, Lecture Notes in Physics Vol. 190 (Springer, Berlin, 1983).
- [24] L. M. Yang, B. Chen, T. Li, S. M. Fei, and Z. X. Wang, Amending coherence-breaking channels via unitary operations, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **57**, 3776 (2018).