arXiv:1909.01111v1 [math.RT] 3 Sep 2019

MANY ZEROS OF MANY CHARACTERS OF GL(n, q)

PATRICK X. GALLAGHER, MICHAEL J. LARSEN, AND ALEXANDER R. MILLER

Abstract. For $G = \mathrm{GL}(n, q)$, the proportion $P_{n,q}$ of pairs (χ, g) in $\mathrm{Irr}(G) \times G$ with $\chi(g) \neq 0$ satisfies $P_{n,q} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

1. Introduction

A few years ago, it was shown [7] that for $G = S_n$ the proportion P_n of pairs (χ, g) in $\mathrm{Irr}(G) \times G$ with $\chi(g) \neq 0$ satisfies

$$P_n \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{1}$$

Here we prove the analogous statement for $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$:

Theorem 1. *The proportion $P_{n,q}$, in $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}(n, q)) \times \mathrm{GL}(n, q)$, of pairs (χ, g) with $\chi(g) \neq 0$ satisfies*

$$\sup_q P_{n,q} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{2}$$

One of the two proofs of (1) in [7] is based on the special property of S_n, derived from estimates due to Erdős and Lehner [1] and Goncharoff [4], that for large n, a suitably chosen small proportion of $\mathrm{Cl}(S_n)$ covers all but a small proportion of S_n. For the proof of (2) for $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$, we use both conjugacy class sizes and character degrees. There is a general inequality, (3) below, proved in Section 3, and special properties (4), (5) of the degrees and sizes of almost all characters and classes of $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$, which are proved in Section 7.

To lighten the notation, for a finite group G we denote by d_χ the degree $\chi(1)$ of an (irreducible) character χ of G, by s_g the size $|g^G|$ of the conjugacy class g^G, and by (d_χ, s_g) the greatest common divisor of d_χ and s_g.

Lemma A. *For each finite group G and $\varepsilon > 0$, the proportion P, in $\mathrm{Irr}(G) \times G$, of pairs (χ, g) with $\chi(g) \neq 0$ satisfies*

$$P \leq Q(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon^2, \tag{3}$$

with $Q(\varepsilon)$ the proportion, in $\mathrm{Irr}(G) \times G$, of pairs (χ, g) with $(d_\chi, s_g)/d_\chi \geq \varepsilon$.

Lemma B. *For all $\delta, \varepsilon > 0$, there exists N such that if $n \geq N$, q is a prime power, and $G = \mathrm{GL}(n, q)$, then for (χ, g) in $\mathrm{Irr}(G) \times G$,*

$$\frac{(d_\chi, s_g)}{d_\chi} < \varepsilon, \tag{4}$$

except for (χ, g) in a subset $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathrm{Irr}(G) \times G$ such that

$$|\mathcal{R}| \leq \delta |\mathrm{Irr}(G) \times G|. \tag{5}$$

ML was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-1702152.

2. Proof of Theorem 1 using Lemmas A and B

For $G = \mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, Lemma A gives

$$P_{n,q} \leq Q_{n,q} + \varepsilon^2,$$

with $P_{n,q}$ the proportion of pairs (χ, g) with $\chi(g) \neq 0$ and $Q_{n,q}$ the proportion of pairs with $(d_\chi, s_g)/d_\chi \geq \varepsilon$. Lemma B gives $Q_{n,q} \leq \delta$ for $n \geq N$. Thus for n sufficiently large,

$$P_{n,q} \leq \delta + \varepsilon^2,$$

from which Theorem 1 follows. □

3. Proof of Lemma A by a device of Burnside

For each $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ and $g \in G$, both $\chi(g)$ and $s_g\chi(g)/d_\chi$ are algebraic integers, so for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, so is $(ad_\chi + bs_g)\chi(g)/d_\chi$. Choosing a and b so that $ad_\chi + bs_g$ is the greatest common divisor (d_χ, s_g) of d_χ and s_g, this gives

$$\chi(g) = \frac{d_\chi}{(d_\chi, s_g)}\alpha_{\chi,g}, \tag{6}$$

with $\alpha_{\chi,g}$ an algebraic integer in the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{|G|})$ with $\zeta_{|G|} = e^{2\pi i/|G|}$.

From (6), for each χ,

$$\sum_{g \in G} \Big(\frac{d_\chi}{(d_\chi, s_g)}\Big)^2 |\alpha_{\chi,g}|^2 = |G|. \tag{7}$$

To (7), apply elements σ of the Galois group $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{|G|})/\mathbb{Q})$, average over Γ, and use the fact, due to Burnside, that the average over Γ of $|\sigma(\alpha)|^2$ is ≥ 1 for each non-zero algebraic integer $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{|G|})$, [3, p. 359]. This gives, for each χ,

$$\sum_{g \in G}{}' \Big(\frac{d_\chi}{(d_\chi, s_g)}\Big)^2 \leq |G|, \tag{8}$$

the dash meaning that the sum is over those g with $\chi(g) \neq 0$. From (8),

$$\sum_{\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)} \sum_{g \in G}{}' \Big(\frac{d_\chi}{(d_\chi, s_g)}\Big)^2 \leq |\mathrm{Irr}(G)||G|. \tag{9}$$

From (9), the proportion, in $\mathrm{Irr}(G) \times G$, of pairs (χ, g) with both $\chi(g) \neq 0$ and $(d_\chi, s_g)/d_\chi \leq \varepsilon$ is at most ε^2, from which (3) follows. □

4. Number theoretic lemmas: partitions

We denote by $p(n)$ the number of partitions of a non-negative integer n.

Lemma 1. *For each positive integer n, $p(n) \leq 2^{n-1}$.*

Proof. The base case $n = 1$ is trivial. For $n > 1$, the number of partitions with smallest part m is at most $p(n - m)$, so

$$p(n) \leq 1 + p(1) + p(2) + \cdots + p(n-1) \leq 1 + 1 + 2 + \cdots + 2^{n-2} = 2^{n-1},$$

and the lemma follows by induction. □

Lemma 2. *Let $\phi := \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. Then $p(n) \leq \phi^n$ for all non-negative integers n.*

Proof. The partition function is non-decreasing since the number of partitions of $n+1$ with a part of size 1 is $p(n)$. The lemma holds for $n \in \{0, 1\}$. For $n \geq 2$, the pentagonal number theorem implies

$$p(n) = p(n-1) + p(n-2) - p(n-5) - p(n-7) + p(n-12) + \cdots, \tag{10}$$

with sign pattern $++--++--++--\cdots$ and where the sum on the right-hand side terminates at the last term $\pm p(n-m)$, where m is the largest generalized pentagonal number for which $n \geq m$. By monotonicity, the right-hand side of (10) is at most $p(n-1) + p(n-2)$, so the lemma follows by induction on n. □

Lemma 3. *There exists $\gamma < 1$ such that if $q \geq 2$ and a and b are positive integers such that $a(b-1) \geq N \geq 0$, then*

$$\frac{p(b)}{q^{a(b-1)}} < 2\gamma^N.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the lemma for $q = 2$. For $a = 1$, we have $b - 1 \geq N$, so Lemma 2 implies

$$\frac{p(b)}{2^{a(b-1)}} = \frac{p(b)}{2^{b-1}} < 2(\phi/2)^N.$$

For $a \geq 2$, $a(b-1) \leq 2(a-1)(b-1)$, so by Lemma 1,

$$\frac{p(b)}{2^{a(b-1)}} \leq 2^{-(a-1)(b-1)} \leq (1/\sqrt{2})^N < 2(1/\sqrt{2})^N.$$

Therefore, we may take $\gamma = \phi/2 > 1/\sqrt{2}$. □

5. Number theoretic lemmas: cyclotomic polynomials

For n a positive integer, let $\Phi_n(x)$ denote the minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$ of $e^{2\pi i/n}$. Thus

$$x^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(x), \tag{11}$$

so by Möbius inversion,

$$\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{d|n} (x^{n/d} - 1)^{\mu(d)}. \tag{12}$$

For any prime ℓ, let $\mathrm{ord}_\ell(x)$ denote the largest integer e such that ℓ^e divides x.

Lemma 4. *Let ℓ be a prime, e a positive integer, and n an integer such that $\mathrm{ord}_\ell(n-1) = e$.*

(i) *If k is a positive integer prime to ℓ, then $\mathrm{ord}_\ell(n^k - 1) = e$.*
(ii) *If ℓ is odd and $\mathrm{ord}_\ell(k) = 1$, then $\mathrm{ord}_\ell(n^k - 1) = e + 1$.*

Proof. Let $n = 1 + m\ell^e$, where $\ell \nmid m$. By the binomial theorem,

$$n^k \equiv 1 + km\ell^e \pmod{\ell^{2e}},$$

which implies claim (i). For claim (ii), using part (i), it suffices to treat the case $k = \ell$, for which we have

$$n^\ell \equiv 1 + m\ell^{e+1} + \frac{m^2(\ell-1)}{2}\ell^{2e+1} \pmod{\ell^{3e}}.$$ □

Lemma 5. *Suppose $n > 0$ and $a > 1$ are integers. We factor $\Phi_n(a)$ as $P_n(a)R_n(a)$, where $P_n(a)$ is relatively prime to n and $R_n(a)$ factors into prime divisors of n.*

(i) *Every prime divisor of* $P_n(a)$ *is* $\equiv 1 \pmod n$.
(ii) *If* $n \geq 3$, $R_n(a)$ *is a square-free divisor of* n.
(iii) *For* $n \geq 3$, $P_n(a) > 2^{\sqrt{n/2}-\log_2 n-2}$.
(iv) *If* $m\ell > n$ *and* ℓ *is a prime divisor of* $P_m(a)$, *then*

$$\operatorname{ord}_\ell(a^n-1) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{ord}_\ell P_m(a) & \text{if } m \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Fix any prime ℓ which divides $\Phi_n(a)$. As $\ell \mid a^n - 1$, a is not divisible by ℓ, so it represents a class in $\mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$. Let k be the order of this class. As $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod \ell$, $k \mid n$. Let s denote the largest square-free divisor of n/k. By (12),

$$\operatorname{ord}_\ell \Phi_n(a) = \operatorname{ord}_\ell \prod_{d \mid s} (a^{n/d}-1)^{\mu(d)}.$$

Now, if s can be written ps' for some prime $p \neq \ell$,

$$\prod_{d \mid s} (a^{n/d}-1)^{\mu(d)} = \prod_{d \mid s'} \Big(\frac{a^{n/d}-1}{a^{n/pd}-1}\Big)^{\mu(d)}. \tag{13}$$

Applying part (i) of Lemma 4 with $k = p$, the above formula implies $\operatorname{ord}_\ell \Phi_n(a) = 0$, contrary to assumption. Since s is square-free, it follows that it can only be 1 or ℓ.

If ℓ divides $P_n(a)$, then it does not divide n. That means $s = 1$, so the class of a has order n in a group of order $\ell - 1$. This implies part (i). Conversely, if ℓ does divide n, it cannot be 1 (mod n), so $s = \ell$.

If $s = \ell > 2$, then d square-free and $\operatorname{ord}_\ell(a^{n/d}-1) > 0$ implies $d \in \{1, \ell\}$. Therefore, part (ii) of Lemma 4 implies that the left-hand side of (13) has ord_ℓ equal to 1. If $s = \ell = 2$, then $k = 1$, so we need only consider the case that n is a power of 2. For $t \geq 2$, $\Phi_{2^t}(x) = (x^{2^{t-2}})^2 + 1$, so plugging in a, the result has at most one factor of 2. This gives claim (ii).

By (12),

$$\Phi_n(a) \geq a^{\deg \Phi_n} \prod_{i=1}^\infty (1 - a^{-1}) \geq a^{\phi(n)} \prod_{i=1}^\infty (1 - 2^{-1}) \geq \frac{2^{\phi(n)}}{4}. \tag{14}$$

As $\phi(p^e) \geq \sqrt{p^e}$ except when $p^e = 2$, the multiplicativity of ϕ implies $\phi(n) \geq \sqrt{n/2}$. By part (ii), $R_n(a) \leq n$, and claim (iii) follows.

If ℓ divides $P_m(a)$, then the image of a in $\mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$ is of order m, so ℓ divides $a^n - 1$ only if n is divisible by m. In that case, $P_m(a)$ divides $\Phi_m(a)$, which is a divisor of $a^m - 1$ and therefore $a^n - 1$. Moreover, ℓ does not divide m, so $\operatorname{ord}_\ell P_m(a) = \operatorname{ord}_\ell \Phi_m(a)$. To prove (iv), it remains to show that $a^n - 1$ has no additional factors of ℓ beyond those in $a^m - 1$. It suffices to prove that $\Phi_{n'}(a)$ is not divisible by ℓ if n' is a divisor of n and m is a proper divisor of n'. Indeed, ℓ does not divide $P_{n'}(a)$ because a is not of order exactly m' (mod ℓ). If it divides $\Phi_{n'}(a)$, it must divide $R_{n'}(a)$, so it must divide n'. It does not divide m, so it must divide $n'/m \leq m$. This is ruled out by (i). □

6. Irreducible characters of $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$

In what follows, $G = \mathrm{GL}(n, q)$. By [2, Proposition 3.5],

$$\frac{q^n}{2} \leq |\mathrm{Irr}(G)| = |\mathrm{Cl}(G)| \leq q^n. \tag{15}$$

Denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the set of all integer partitions λ (including the empty partition $\emptyset$) and by $\mathcal{F}$ the set of all non-constant monic irreducible polynomials $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ with non-zero constant term. We define the *degree* of ν as follows:

$$\deg(\nu) := \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \deg(f)|\nu(f)|.$$

By Jordan decomposition, there is a natural bijection between conjugacy classes in G and maps $\nu : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}$ of degree n. Green [5] introduced the set $\mathcal{G}$ of *simplices* and proved (Theorem 12) that $\mathrm{Irr}(G)$ has a parametrization by maps $\nu : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{P}$ satisfying

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{G}} \deg(f)|\nu(f)| = n.$$

By fixing in a compatible way multiplicative generators of finite fields, he gave a degree-preserving bijection between $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$. We will ignore the distinction between $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ henceforward. The same theorem of Green also gave a formula for the degree of the irreducible character χ associated to ν. It can be written

$$d_\chi = q^{N_\nu} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(q^i - 1)}{\prod_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \prod_{i=1}^{|\nu(f)|}(q^{h_{\nu(f),i} \deg(f)} - 1)}, \tag{16}$$

where N_ν is a certain non-negative integer, and the $h_{\lambda,i}$ are the hook lengths of the partition λ; in particular these are positive integers $\leq |\lambda|$.

By the *support of* ν, which we denote $\operatorname{supp}\nu$, we mean the set of $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\nu(f) \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 6. *Let γ be defined as in Lemma 3, and let N be a positive integer. Then the number of degree n functions $\nu\colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}$ satisfying $\deg(f)(|\nu(f)| - 1) \geq N$ for some f is less than $\frac{2N\gamma^N}{(1-\gamma)^2} q^n$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that for each m, the number of choices of ν of degree n such that for some $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $\deg(f)(|\nu(f)| - 1) = m$ is less than $2m\gamma^m q^n$. Since there are at most m ways of expressing m as $a(b-1)$ for positive integers a and b, it suffices to prove that there are less than $2\gamma^m q^n$ such ν of degree n for which $|\nu(f)| = b$ for some $f \in \mathcal{F}$ of degree a. Since there are fewer than q^a elements of $\mathcal{F}$ of degree a, it suffices to prove that for given $f \in \mathcal{F}$ of degree a, there are at most $2\gamma^m q^{n-a}$ possibilities for ν with $|\nu(f)| = b$. For each partition λ of b, the functions ν of degree n with $\nu(f) = \lambda$ can be put into bijective correspondence with ν' of degree $n - ab$ with $\nu'(f) = \emptyset$. By (15), the number of possibilities for ν' and therefore for ν is at most $q^{n-ab} = q^{n-m-a}$. Summing over the possibilities for λ, which by Lemma 3 number less than $2\gamma^m q^m$, we obtain less than $2\gamma^m q^{n-a}$ possibilities for ν with $|\nu(f)| = b$, as claimed. □

We define the *deficiency* of a character of G or of the associated $\nu\colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}$ to be the maximum of $\deg(f)(|\nu(f)|-1)$ over all $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Together, Lemma 6 and (15) imply that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an N such that for all n and q, the proportion of irreducible characters of $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ with deficiency $< N$ is at least $1 - \varepsilon$.

Lemma 7. *Let m be a positive integer and ℓ a prime such that $\ell m > n$ and $\mathrm{ord}_\ell P_m(q) = e > 0$. Let χ be a character whose deficiency is less than $m/2$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ord}_\ell d_\chi &= e\lfloor n/m \rfloor - e|\{f \in \operatorname{supp}\nu \mid \deg(f) \in m\mathbb{Z}\}| \\ &= \mathrm{ord}_\ell |G| - e|\{f \in \operatorname{supp}\nu \mid \deg(f) \in m\mathbb{Z}\}|.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. If f is in the support of ν and $\deg(f)|\nu(f)| < m$, then f does not contribute any factor of ℓ to the denominator of (16). So we need only consider the case $\deg(f)|\nu(f)| \geq m$, in which case $\deg(f)(|\nu(f)| - 1) \geq m/2$ if $|\nu(f)| \geq 2$. Since the deficiency of χ is less than $m/2$, this is impossible, which means that all f contributing factors of ℓ in (16) satisfy $\nu(f) = (1)$. Moreover, by Lemma 5, ℓ divides $q^k - 1$ if and only if m divides k, in which case $\operatorname{ord}_\ell(q^k - 1) = e$. Thus, the factors in (16) contributing to ord_ℓ are $q^m - 1, q^{2m} - 1, \ldots, q^{\lfloor n/m \rfloor m} - 1$, each of which contributes e, and $q^{\deg(f)} - 1$ for each $f \in \operatorname{supp} \nu$ of degree divisible by m, again each contributing e. □

Lemma 8. *For any positive integer m, the number of $\nu \colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}$ of degree n for which there exist $f \in \mathcal{F}$ of degree m with $\nu(f) = (1)$ is less than q^n/m.*

Proof. Any degree m element of $\mathcal{F}$ splits completely in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$, so there are less than q^m/m such elements. For each f, there is a bijective correspondence between ν of degree n with $\nu(f) = (1)$ and ν' of degree $n - m$ with $\nu'(f) = \emptyset$. By (15), there are at most q^{n-m} such ν', so the total number of ν is less than q^n/m. □

Lemma 9. *For all $\varepsilon > 0$, if n is sufficiently large in terms of ε, m is a sufficiently large positive integer, ℓ is a prime divisor of $P_m(q)$, and $\ell m > n$, then the probability is at least*

$$1 - \frac{2 + 2\log n - 2\log m}{m} - \varepsilon$$

that a random element χ chosen uniformly from $\operatorname{Irr}(G)$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{ord}_\ell d_\chi = \operatorname{ord}_\ell |G|. \tag{17}$$

Proof. Choose N in Lemma 6 such that $N\gamma^N < (1 - \gamma)^2\varepsilon/4$. By (15), the probability that χ has deficiency $\geq N$ is less than ε. We assume $m > 2N$, so with probability greater than $1 - \varepsilon$, the deficiency of a random $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ is less than $m/2$. By Lemma 7, this implies (17) provided that no element in the support of ν has degree a multiple of m. If $f \in \operatorname{supp} \nu$ has degree km, then the deficiency condition on ν implies $\nu(f) = (1)$. By Lemma 8, the probability that there exists an element in the support of ν of degree km is less than $2/km$, so the probability that there is an element in the support of ν with degree in $m\mathbb{Z}$ is less than

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/m \rfloor} \frac{2}{km} < \frac{2 + 2\log n - 2\log m}{m}.$$ □

Lemma 10. *For all $\delta > 0$, if n is sufficiently large in terms of δ, $m \geq \sqrt{n}$, and ℓ is any prime divisor of $P_m(q)$, then the probability of (17) is greater than $1 - \delta/2$.*

Proof. By part (i) of Lemma 5, $\ell > m$, so $\ell m > n$. Applying Lemma 9 for $\varepsilon = \delta/4$, the claim holds if

$$\frac{2 + 2\log n - 2\log m}{m} < \frac{\delta}{4}.$$

For $n \geq 8$ and $m \geq \sqrt{n}$, the left-hand side is less than $2n^{-1/2}\log n$, which goes to zero as n goes to ∞. □

7. Proof of Lemma B

Let Fact f denote the total number of factors in the decomposition of $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ into irreducibles. For each $g \in \mathrm{GL}(n, q)$, let $p_g(x)$ denote the characteristic polynomial of g.

Lemma 11. *There exist constants A and B such that for all m, n, and q, at most $An^B q^{-m}|\mathrm{GL}(n, q)|$ elements of $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ have a characteristic polynomial with a repeated irreducible factor of degree $\geq m$.*

Proof. By [6, Proposition 3.3], the number of elements of $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ with any given characteristic polynomial is at most $(A/8)n^B q^{n^2-n}$ for some absolute constants A and B. (Actually, the statement is proven only for "classical" groups, but the proof for $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ is identical.) For any given f of degree m, there are q^{n-2m} polynomials of degree $\leq n$ divisible by f^2, so there are less than q^{n-m} polynomials of degree n with a repeated irreducible factor of degree m and less than $q^{n-m} + q^{n-m-1} + \cdots < 2q^{n-m}$ polynomials with a repeated irreducible factor of degree $\geq m$. On the other hand, by the same argument as (14),

$$|\mathrm{GL}(n, q)| = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(q^n - q^i) > \frac{q^{n^2}}{4}.$$

The lemma follows. □

Proof of Lemma B. By [6, Proposition 3.4], for all $\delta > 0$ there exists k such that

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathrm{Fact}\, p_g > k \log n] < \frac{\delta}{4}, \tag{18}$$

where $\mathbf{P}$ denotes probability with respect to the uniform distribution on $G = \mathrm{GL}(n, q)$. (Actually, the cited reference proves the analogous claim for $\mathrm{SL}(n, q)$, but the proof goes through the $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ case.) Choose k so that this holds and assume that n is large enough that

(a) $\sqrt{n} > k \log n$,
(b) $An^B 2^{-\sqrt{n}} < \frac{\delta}{4}$, where A and B are defined as in Lemma 11,
(c) $\sqrt{m/2} > \log_2 m + 2$ for all $m \geq \sqrt{n}$,
(d) $m > 1/\varepsilon$ for all $m \geq \sqrt{n}$.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ denote the set of elements g for which $p_g(x)$ has $\leq k \log n$ irreducible factors and no repeated factor of degree $\geq \sqrt{n}$. By condition (a) on n, every p_g with $g \in \mathcal{X}$ has a simple irreducible factor of degree $\geq \sqrt{n}$. By equation (18) and condition (b), $|G \setminus \mathcal{X}| < (\delta/2)|G|$. For each $g \in \mathcal{X}$, fix an irreducible factor of degree $m_g \geq \sqrt{n}$ of p_g. By condition (c) and part (iii) of Lemma 5, $P_{m_g}(q) > 1$, so for each g, we may fix a prime divisor ℓ_g of $P_{m_g}(q)$. We define $\mathcal{R}$ to consist of all pairs (χ, g) where $g \notin \mathcal{X}$ or where $g \in \mathcal{X}$ but

$$\mathrm{ord}_{\ell_g} d_\chi \neq \mathrm{ord}_{\ell_g}|G|.$$

By Lemma 10, for each $g \in \mathcal{X}$, there are at most $(\delta/2)|\mathrm{Irr}(G)|$ pairs $(\chi, g) \in \mathcal{R}$. Thus, $\mathcal{R}$ satisfies equation (5).

For pairs $(\chi, g) \notin \mathcal{R}$, we have $g \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathrm{ord}_{\ell_g} d_\chi = \mathrm{ord}_{\ell_g}|G|$. As $p_g(x)$ has an irreducible factor of degree m_g which occurs with multiplicity 1, the centralizer of g has order divisible by $q^{m_g} - 1$ and therefore by ℓ_g. Therefore, $\mathrm{ord}_{\ell_g} s_g < \mathrm{ord}_{\ell_g}|G|$. This implies that ℓ_g is a divisor of the denominator of $(d_\chi, s_g)/d_\chi$. As $\ell_g \equiv 1$

(mod m_g), we have $\ell_g > m_g$. By condition (d) on n, $m_g \geq 1/\varepsilon$. Thus, equation (4) holds. □

References

1. P. Erdős and J. Lehner, The distribution of the number of summands in the partitions of a positive integer. *Duke Math. J.* **8** (1941) 335–345.
2. J. Fulman and R. Guralnick, Bounds on the number and sizes of conjugacy classes in finite Chevalley groups with applications to derangements. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **364** (2012) 3023–3070.
3. P. X. Gallagher, Degrees, class sizes and divisors of character values. *J. Group Theory* **15** (2012) 455–467.
4. V. L. Goncharoff, Sur la distribution des cycles dans les permutations. C. R. (Doklady) Acad. Sci. URSS (N.S.) **35** (1942) 267–269.
5. J. A. Green, The characters of the finite general linear groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80** (1955) 402–447.
6. M. Larsen and A. Shalev, On the distribution of values of certain word maps. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **368** (2016), no. 3, 1647–1661.
7. A. R. Miller, The probability that a character value is zero for the symmetric group. *Math. Z.* **277** (2014) 1011–1015.

Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA
E-mail address: pxg@math.columbia.edu

Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA
E-mail address: mjlarsen@indiana.edu

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Wien, Vienna, Austria
E-mail address: alexander.r.miller@univie.ac.at