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Abstract

We consider a quantum entangled state for two particles, each particle having two basis states, which includes an entangled pair of spin 1/2 particles. We show that, for any quantum entangled state vectors of such systems, one can always find a pair of observable operators \( X, Y \) with zero–correlations
\[
\langle \psi | X Y | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | X | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | Y | \psi \rangle = 0.
\]
At the same time, if we consider the analogous classical system of a “classically entangled” (statistically non-independent) pair of random variables taking two values, one can never have zero–correlations (zero covariance, \( E[XY] - E[X]E[Y] = 0 \)). We provide a general proof to illustrate a different nature of entanglements in classical and quantum theories.

1 Introduction

Entanglement is considered as a key concept in understanding quantum phenomena. In understanding entanglement, correlations based on expectation values of quantum observable operators for multi–particle systems have been investigated both theoretically and experimentally (e.g.,[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]). For example, among the research efforts for obtaining conditions for separabilities of density matrices(e.g.,[9, 10, 11, 12, 13]), a recent work by Fujikawa et. al.[13] have associated separability with zero–correlations and analyzed experimental results.

In this paper, we also study correlations to investigate entangled quantum systems. Our approach, however, differs from previous works of associating zero–correlations with separabilities. Rather, entanglements are connected with zero–correlations. In particular, we consider a system of two quantum particles, each taking two distinct states (2 \( \times \) 2 system), which includes systems such as a pair of spin 1/2 particles. Our main result on this system is a theorem stating that, for any entangled state vector, one can always find a pair of quantum observable operators with a zero–correlation.
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As well as providing a general proof on the quantum system, we also note that for analogous classical systems consisting of two stochastic variables, each taking on one of two possible values, there cannot be zero-correlation unless the variables are statistically independent. We may regard statistical dependence of classical variables as analogous to quantum entanglement. Thus, zero correlation in the latter case but not the former provides yet another example of illustrating the difference between classical and quantum probability theories.

2 Definitions and System Descriptions

2.1 Classical case

We consider two random variables $X$ and $Y$, such that they both take only two distinct finite values $(x_1, x_2)$ and $(y_1, y_2)$. The joint probability distribution for these variables is denoted as $P(X : Y)$, and given by

$$P(X = x_i : Y = y_j) = p_{ij}, \quad (i, j \in \{1, 2\})$$

The probability distributions $P(X)$ for $X$ and $P(Y)$ for $Y$ can be derived from above as.

$$P(X = x_1) = p_{11} + p_{12}, \quad P(Y = y_1) = p_{11} + p_{21}.$$  

By the requirement that both $X, Y$ take only two values,

$$p(x_1) + p(x_2) = p(y_1) + p(y_2) = 1.$$  

When the joint probability of $X$ and $Y$ can be decomposed as

$$P(X : Y) = P(X)P(Y),$$

these stochastic variables are called statistically independent. If such decomposition is not possible, $X$ and $Y$ are not statistically independent ("classically entangled")

Expectation values are defined as

$$E[X] = \sum_i p(x_i)x_i, \quad E[Y] = \sum_i p(y_i)y_i, \quad E[XY] = \sum_{i,j} p(x_i : y_j)x_iy_j.$$  

From these we define the covariance of $X$ and $Y$ as

$$Cov[X,Y] \equiv E[XY] - E[X]E[Y].$$

We define zero–correlation when this covariance is zero or equivalently,

$$E[XY] = E[X]E[Y].$$

We later refer in the main theorem that for this classical $2 \times 2$ system, statistical independence and zero-correlation is equivalent.
2.2 Quantum case

We consider the following. We have two quantum particles $A$ and $B$. The total normalized state vector $|\psi\rangle$ is given by

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i,j} \omega_{i,j} |a_i \rangle \otimes |b_j\rangle,$$

where $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$ describe the state of particles $A$ and $B$, and $\omega_{i,j}$ are quantum amplitudes given by complex scalars. This system is called a separable (product) state when the total state vector can be decomposed as a product of each normalized state of $A$ and $B$.

$$|\psi\rangle = |\psi_A\rangle \otimes |\psi_B\rangle$$

where

$$|\psi_A\rangle = \sum_i \nu_i |a_i \rangle \quad |\psi_B\rangle = \sum_j \tau_j |b_j\rangle.$$

We take a correspondence between the classical notion of statistical independence with this separability of state vectors. The state vectors which are not separable are called (quantum) entangled state vectors. Thus, quantum entanglement corresponds to “classical entanglement”, i.e., non-statistical independence of two stochastic variables, as presented in the previous subsection.

We now present the expectation values for the quantum system. For this, two operators $X$ and $Y$ are defined as $X = Q_A \otimes 1_B$ and $Y = 1_A \otimes R_B$. Here, $Q_A$ and $R_B$ are quantum observable operators for $A$ and $B$ respectively, and $1$ is the identity operator.

With these operators, we consider the relations between the expectation values of $\langle \psi | X Y | \psi \rangle$ and $\langle \psi | X | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | Y | \psi \rangle$. For separable states in general, it can be simply shown that they are always equal, that is zero–correlated.

We ask the same question for the case of entangled states by limiting ourselves to the case that particles $A$ and $B$ take only two distinctive states. In this case the most general quantum state vector is given as follows with $(i)^2 = -1$.

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha |a_1\rangle \otimes |b_1\rangle + \beta e^{i\phi} |a_1\rangle \otimes |b_2\rangle + \gamma e^{i\kappa} |a_2\rangle \otimes |b_1\rangle + \delta e^{i\lambda} |a_2\rangle \otimes |b_2\rangle \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \phi, \kappa, \lambda$ are real valued parameters with $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 + \delta^2 = 1$ and $0 \leq \phi, \kappa, \lambda \leq 2\pi$.

We note that this state vector is separable when $\alpha \delta = \beta \gamma$ and $\lambda = (\phi + \kappa) \text{mod } 2\pi$, but is quantum entangled otherwise.

Also, the most general observable operators are given by Hermitian matrices in the basis of $|a_{(1,2)}\rangle$ and $|b_{(1,2)}\rangle$ respectively,

$$Q_A = \begin{bmatrix} Q_+ & q e^{i\theta} \\ q e^{-i\theta} & Q_- \end{bmatrix}, \quad R_B = \begin{bmatrix} R_+ & r e^{i\vartheta} \\ r e^{-i\vartheta} & R_- \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

where $Q_\pm, R_\pm, q, r, s, v$ are real valued parameters with $0 \leq s, v \leq 2\pi$. 
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With the above set up, we will show in the next section that even for any entangled state vector in the form above, we can always find a pair of $Q_A, R_B$ which achieves zero-correlation, $\langle \psi | X Y | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | X | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | Y | \psi \rangle$ with $X = Q_A \otimes 1_B$ and $Y = 1_A \otimes R_B$.

3 Main Theorem and Proof

With the set up in the previous section for a dual particle system, we discuss the relation between entanglements and zero-correlations. For non-entangled systems, both classical (statistically independent) and quantum (separable) cases commonly lead to zero-correlations. For entangled systems, however, there is a clear difference between the classical and quantum systems, which is reiterated in the following theorem.

Theorem

Classical Case:
For any pair of random variables $X$ and $Y$ each taking two distinct finite values (for any values of $(p_{ij}, x_i, y_j), i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ set up above) that are not statistically independent (“classically entangled”), they can NEVER be zero-correlated.

Quantum Case:
For any quantum entangled pure state for a dual particle system each taking two distinct states as set up above, one can ALWAYS find a pair of observable operators $X = Q_A \otimes 1_B$ and $Y = 1_A \otimes R_B$ which are zero-correlated.

Proof

Classical Case:
We have established the equivalence of statistical independence and zero-correlation for such statistical variables $X$ and $Y$ in the previous work[14]. The statement here follows immediately. (In passing, we note that the classical $2 \times 2$ system is special and one can easily create examples showing that this equivalence does not hold in higher dimensions[15,16].)

Quantum Case:
We want to show that for any general dual particle state vector $|\psi\rangle$ in (1), we can always find a pair of observable operators $X, Y$ so that following zero-correlation relation holds.

$$\langle \psi | X Y | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | X | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | Y | \psi \rangle$$

(3)

with $X = Q_A \otimes 1_B$ and $Y = 1_A \otimes R_B$.

After tedious calculations, the above statement translates to the following.
Given any set of real valued parameters in (11): \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \phi, \kappa, \lambda \) with \( \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 + \delta^2 = 1 \) and \( 0 \leq \phi, \kappa, \lambda \leq 2\pi \), one can find a set of real valued parameters \( Q_\pm, R_\pm, q, r, s, v \) with \( 0 \leq s, v \leq 2\pi \) so that the following holds.

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha^2 Q_+ R_+ + \beta^2 Q_+ R_- + \gamma^2 Q_- R_+ + \delta^2 Q_- R_- \\
+ 2\alpha\beta \cos(\phi + v)Q_+ r + 2\alpha\gamma \cos(\kappa + s)qR_+ \\
+ 2\beta\delta \cos(\lambda - \phi + s)qR_- + 2\gamma\delta \cos(\lambda - \kappa + v)Q_- r \\
+ 2\alpha\delta \cos(\lambda + s + v)qr + 2\beta\gamma \cos(\kappa - \phi + s - v)qr \\
= & \left[ (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)Q_+ + (\gamma^2 + \delta^2)Q_- + 2\alpha\gamma \cos(\kappa + s)q + 2\beta\delta \cos(\lambda - \phi + s)q \right] \\
& \times \left[ (\alpha^2 + \gamma^2)R_+ + (\beta^2 + \delta^2)R_- + 2\alpha\beta \cos(\phi + v)r + 2\gamma\delta \cos(\lambda - \kappa + v)r \right]
\end{align*}
\]

(4)

Finding the general solution, i.e., all possible sets of parameters \( Q_\pm, R_\pm, q, r, s, v, \) is difficult. We can, however, find a set of parameters for which the above statement holds. For this we first set the phase parameters as

\[
s = \frac{1}{2}(-\kappa + \phi - \lambda), \quad v = \frac{1}{2}(\kappa - \phi - \lambda)
\]

(5)

Further, if we define \( \xi = \cos(\frac{1}{2}(\lambda - \phi - \kappa)) \), \( Q_\pm = Q_0 \pm \epsilon \) and \( R_\pm = R_0 \pm \eta \), (4) can be simplified as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
2(\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma)(\alpha\delta + \beta\gamma)\epsilon\eta + (\alpha\delta + \beta\gamma)qr \\
= & \quad 2(\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma)(\alpha\beta - \gamma\delta)\xi\eta + 2(\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma)(\alpha\gamma - \beta\delta)\xi v \\
& \quad + 2(\alpha\gamma + \beta\delta)(\alpha\beta + \gamma\delta)\xi^2 qr
\end{align*}
\]

(6)

(Note, \( Q_0, R_0, s, v \) do not appear in (5).)

Our aim now is to find \( \epsilon, \eta, q, r \) to satisfy (4) given any set of \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \xi \) with \( \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 + \delta^2 = 1 \) and \(-1 \leq \xi \leq 1 \). Let us also impose the conditions \( \epsilon^2 + q^2 \neq 0 \), and \( \eta^2 + r^2 \neq 0 \), so that the observable operators have two distinct eigenvalues.

We first note that for the separable case; \( \alpha\delta = \beta\gamma \) and \( \xi = 1 \), (5) holds for any set of \( \epsilon, \eta, q, r \) as expected by the fact that the separability of the state vector \( |\psi\rangle \) entails the zero-correlation.

Even for the entangled case, one can find the desired set of parameters by explicit constructions. We do this by considering different cases which, taken altogether, comprise all possible values of \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \xi \).

### 3.1 \( \alpha\delta - \beta\gamma \neq 0 \) and \( \xi \neq 0 \)

#### 3.1.1 \( \alpha\delta + \beta\gamma = 0 \)

\( (\epsilon, \eta, q, r) = (\epsilon, \eta, q = 0, r = 0) \).

No constraints on \( \epsilon, \eta \) other than \( \epsilon^2 + q^2 \neq 0 \), and \( \eta^2 + r^2 \neq 0 \). (Hereafter, the same convention is used; unless specified, no constraints other than \( \epsilon^2 + q^2 \neq 0 \), and \( \eta^2 + r^2 \neq 0 \).)
3.1.2  \( \alpha \delta + \beta \gamma \neq 0 \)

This case needs to be considered by further classifications.

(i) \( \alpha \beta - \gamma \delta \neq 0 \) and \( \alpha \gamma - \beta \delta \neq 0 \)
We can take either of the following two parameter settings.

- \( (\epsilon, \eta, q, r) = (\epsilon \neq 0, \eta \neq 0, q \neq 0, r = 0) \) such that \( (\alpha \delta + \beta \gamma) \epsilon = q \xi (\alpha \beta - \gamma \delta) \)
- \( (\epsilon, \eta, q, r) = (\epsilon \neq 0, \eta \neq 0, q = 0, r \neq 0) \) such that \( (\alpha \delta + \beta \gamma) \eta = r \xi (\alpha \gamma - \beta \delta) \)

(ii) \( \alpha \beta - \gamma \delta \neq 0 \) and \( \alpha \gamma - \beta \delta = 0 \)
\( (\epsilon, \eta, q, r) = (\epsilon \neq 0, \eta = 0, q = 0, r = 0) \)

(iii) \( \alpha \beta - \gamma \delta = 0 \) and \( \alpha \gamma - \beta \delta \neq 0 \)
\( (\epsilon, \eta, q, r) = (\epsilon = 0, \eta \neq 0, q \neq 0, r = 0) \)

(iv) \( \alpha \beta - \gamma \delta = 0 \) and \( \alpha \gamma - \beta \delta = 0 \)
There are three possibilities:
- (a) \( (\alpha = 0, \beta \neq 0, \gamma \neq 0, \delta = 0) \)
  \( (\epsilon, \eta, q, r) \) such that \( 2 \beta \gamma \epsilon \eta = qr \)
- (b) \( (\alpha \neq 0, \beta = 0, \gamma = 0, \delta \neq 0) \)
  \( (\epsilon, \eta, q, r) \) such that \( -2 \alpha \delta \epsilon \eta = qr \)
- (c) \( (\alpha = \delta \neq 0, \beta = \gamma \neq 0, \alpha \neq \beta) \)
  When \( 16 \alpha^2 \beta^2 \xi^2 - 1 \neq 0 \):
  \( (\epsilon, \eta, q, r) \) such that \( 2 (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \epsilon \eta = (16 \alpha^2 \beta^2 \xi^2 - 1) qr \)
  When \( 16 \alpha^2 \beta^2 \xi^2 - 1 = 0 \):
  \( (\epsilon, \eta, q, r) \) such that \( \epsilon \eta = 0 \)

3.2  \( \alpha \delta - \beta \gamma \neq 0 \) and \( \xi = 0 \)

3.2.1  \( \alpha \delta + \beta \gamma = 0 \)

No constraints on \( (\epsilon, \eta, q, r) \)

3.2.2  \( \alpha \delta + \beta \gamma \neq 0 \)
\( (\epsilon, \eta, q, r) \) such that \( 2 (\alpha \delta - \beta \gamma) \epsilon \eta = (\alpha \delta + \beta \gamma) qr \)

3.3  \( \alpha \delta - \beta \gamma = 0 \) and \( \xi = 0 \)

3.3.1  \( \beta \gamma = 0 \)

No constraints on \( (\epsilon, \eta, q, r) \)
3.3.2 $\beta\gamma \neq 0$

$(\epsilon, \eta, q, r)$ such that $qr = 0$

3.4 $\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma = 0$ and $\xi \neq 0$

3.4.1 $\xi = 1$

No constraints on $(\epsilon, \eta, q, r)$ (This is the separable case.)

3.4.2 $\xi \neq 1$

(i) $\beta\gamma - \xi^2(\alpha\gamma + \beta\delta)(\alpha\beta + \gamma\delta) = 0$

No constraints on $(\epsilon, \eta, q, r)$

(ii) $\beta\gamma - \xi^2(\alpha\gamma + \beta\delta)(\alpha\beta + \gamma\delta) \neq 0$

$(\epsilon, \eta, q, r)$ such that $qr = 0$

Q.E.D.

4 Example: Bell-States

A set of Bell state vectors is a representative example of entangled state vectors for the $2 \times 2$ quantum system. In our notation, it consists of the following four state vectors.

$$|\Phi^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|a_1\rangle \otimes |b_1\rangle \pm |a_2\rangle \otimes |b_2\rangle) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right]_A \otimes \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right]_B \pm \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]_A \otimes \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]_B), \quad (7)$$

$$|\Psi^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|a_1\rangle \otimes |b_2\rangle \pm |a_2\rangle \otimes |b_1\rangle) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right]_A \otimes \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]_B \pm \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]_A \otimes \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right]_B). \quad (8)$$

When we apply our theorem to these state vectors, we obtain that the following set of two observable operators leads to zero-correlation.

- Bell state vectors (7) corresponds to the classification 3.1.2(iv-b):
  $-2\alpha\delta\epsilon\eta = qr$.
- Bell state vectors (8) corresponds to the classification 3.1.2(iv-a):
  $2\beta\gamma\epsilon\eta = qr$.

For a very simple example, with $q = r = m(\neq 0)$, we can have the following pairs of operators yielding zero-correlations for the Bell states.
For \{\Phi^+, |\Psi^-angle\}: \quad Q_A = \begin{bmatrix} Q_0 - m & m \\ m & Q_0 + m \end{bmatrix} = Q_0 1 + m(\sigma_x - \sigma_z) \\
R_B = \begin{bmatrix} R_0 + m & m \\ m & R_0 - m \end{bmatrix} = R_0 1 + m(\sigma_x + \sigma_z)

For \{\Phi^-, |\Psi^+angle\}: \quad Q_A = \begin{bmatrix} Q_0 + m & m \\ m & Q_0 - m \end{bmatrix} = Q_0 1 + m(\sigma_x + \sigma_z) \\
R_B = \begin{bmatrix} R_0 + m & m \\ m & R_0 - m \end{bmatrix} = R_0 1 + m(\sigma_x + \sigma_z)

In above, we have used the identity operator and the Pauli matrices:

\[ \sigma_x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \]

5 Discussion

5.1 Quantum pigeonhole effect

On broader perspectives, the theorem presented here is only one example of intricate relations among quantum and classical probability concepts. Recently proposed the “quantum pigeonhole effect” by Aharonov et. al. [17] sheds light on the deeper characteristics of quantum entanglements even more by creating correlations from separable product states, which are normally considered as non-entangled.

Classically the pigeonhole principle states that if we have more pigeons placed in less number of holes, at least one hole must have multiple pigeons together. The analogous system is considered in quantum mechanics with three two-state quantum particles (pigeons), each in a superposition of two (hole) states (a quantum 3 × 2 system). Computing correlations with cleverly chosen different pre- and post-selected product states, surprisingly, show that no pair of particles can be in the same quantum (hole) state. This means that the pigeonhole principle in some cases breaks down in quantum mechanics. It also shows there are new aspects of quantum entanglement which is not apparent in product states. The experimental work with three single photons transmitted through two polarization channels indicates that this quantum pigeonhole effect is real [18].

In a way, our theorem and the pigeonhole effect point to the opposite directions: the former creates zero–correlations from entangled states, while the latter shows the existence of correlations from separable states. Both are, however, illuminating borders between classical and quantum systems.
5.2 Other issues

We would like to return to our theorem and discuss a couple of points.

We again note that our derivation of a zero–correlation condition is only one possibility and other choices are possible. For example, two options are derived in classification 3.1.2(i). Exploring and categorizing different types of solutions is left for the future.

In general, one does not have a priori knowledge of the quantum state vector, which makes the construction of observable operators with zero-correlations difficult. On the other hand, if one can infer or conjecture the quantum state of a $2 \times 2$ system, our construction scheme can help to design confirmation measurements.

We conjecture, by an inference from the classical probability, that the theorem holds for two particle systems with higher dimensional basis. We may also extend the theorem to more particle systems, and/or mixed quantum states. Proofs for such extensions are, however, yet to be explored.

Finally, it is well known in classical probability theories that statistical independence entails zero–correlation, but the converse is not true (e.g., [15]). In this sense, statistical independence is a tighter concept than zero–correlation. For the $2 \times 2$ system, however, they are equivalent classically; and our result shows that this “classical common knowledge” holds rather in quantum theory: the separability entails zero–correlation but the converse is not true. The $2 \times 2$ systems have been extensively studied in investigating foundations of quantum mechanics and quantum measurement theories (e.g., [19, 20, 21, 22, 23]). It is interesting that this familiar system provides our theorem that separates the classical and quantum theories in a peculiar manner.
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