

Local topology of a deformation of a function-germ with a one-dimensional critical set *

Hellen Santana

Abstract

The Brasselet number of a function f with nonisolated singularities describes numerically the topological information of its generalized Milnor fibre. In this work, we consider two function-germs $f, g : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ such that f has isolated singularity at the origin and g has a stratified one-dimensional critical set. We use the Brasselet number to study the local topology a deformation \tilde{g} of g defined by $\tilde{g} = g + f^N$, where $N \gg 1$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. As an application of this study, we present a new proof of the Lê-Iomdin formula for the Brasselet number.

Introduction

The Milnor number, defined in [16], is a very useful invariant associated to a complex function f with isolated singularity defined over an open neighborhood of the origin in \mathbb{C}^n . It gives numerical information about the local topology of the hypersurface $V(f)$ and compute the Euler characteristic of the Milnor fibre of f at the origin.

In the case where the function-germ has nonisolated singularity at the origin, the Milnor number is not well defined, but the Milnor fibre is, what led many authors ([8],[9],[3], [6], [14]) to study an extension for this number in more general settings. For example, if we consider a function with a one-dimensional critical set defined over an open subset of \mathbb{C}^n and a generic linear form l over \mathbb{C}^n , Iomdin gave an algebraic proof (Theorem 3.2), in [8], of a relation between the Euler characteristic of the Milnor fibre of f and the Euler characteristic of the Milnor fibre of $f + l^N$, $N \gg 1$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, using properties of algebraic sets with one-dimensional critical locus. In [9], Lê proved (Theorem 2.2.2) this same relation in a more geometric approach and with a way to obtain the Milnor fibre of f by attaching a certain number of n -cells to the Milnor fibre of $f|_{\{l=0\}}$.

In [14], Massey worked with a function f with critical locus of higher dimension defined over a nonsingular space and defined the Lê numbers and cycles, which provides a way to numerically describe the Milnor fibre of this function with nonisolated singularity. Massey compared (Theorem II.4.5), using appropriate coordinates, the Lê numbers of f and $f + l^N$, where l is a generic linear form over \mathbb{C}^n and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is sufficiently large, obtaining a Lê-Iomdin type relation between these numbers. He also gave (Theorem II.3.3) a handle decomposition of the Milnor fibre of f , where the number of attached cells is a certain Lê

*Research partially supported by FAPESP - Brazil, Grant 2015/25191-9 and 2017/18543-1.

Key-words: Brasselet number, Euler obstruction, Milnor fibre, Lê-Iomdin formulas

number. Massey extended the concept of Lê numbers to the case of functions with nonisolated singularities defined over complex analytic spaces, introducing the Lê-Vogel cycles, and proved the Lê-Iomdin-Vogel formulas: the generalization of the Lê-Iomdin formulas in this more general sense.

The Brasselet number, defined by Dutertre and Grulha in [6], also describes the local topological behavior of a function with nonisolated singularities defined over an arbitrarily singular analytic space: if $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is a function-germ and $\mathcal{V} = \{\{0\}, V_1, \dots, V_q\}$ is a good stratification of X relative to f (see Definition 3), the Brasselet number $B_{f,X}(0)$ is defined by

$$B_{f,X}(0) = \sum_{i=1}^q \chi(V_i \cap f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_\epsilon) Eu_X(V_i).$$

In [6], the authors proved several formulas about the local topology of the generalized Milnor fibre of a function germ f using the Brasselet number, like the Lê-Greuel type formula (Theorem 4.2 in [6]): $B_{f,X}(0) - B_{f,X^g}(0) = (-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} n}$, where n is the number of stratified Morse critical points of a Morsefication of $g|_{X \cap f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_\epsilon}$ on $V_q \cap f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_\epsilon$. In [5], Dalbello e Pereira provided formulas to compute the Brasselet number of a function defined over a toric variety and in [1], Ament, Nuño-Ballesteros, Oréface-Okamoto and Tomazella computed the Brasselet number of a function-germ with isolated singularity at the origin and defined over an isolated determinantal variety (IDS) and the Brasselet number of finite functions defined over a reduced curve. More recently, in [4], Dalbello and Hartmann calculated the Brasselet number of a function-germ defined over a toric variety using combinatorial properties of the Newton polygons. In the global study of the topology of a function germ, Dutertre and Grulha defined, in [7], the global Brasselet numbers and the Brasselet numbers at infinity. In that paper, the authors compared the global Brasselet numbers of a function-germ f with the global Euler obstruction of the fibres of f , defined by Seade, Tibăr and Verjovsky in [19]. They also related the number of critical points of a Morsefication of a polynomial function f on an algebraic set X to the global Brasselet numbers and the Brasselet numbers at infinity of f . Therefore, the Brasselet number has been a useful tool in the study of the topology of function-germs and it will be the main object in this work.

We consider analytic function-germs $f, g : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, a Whitney stratification \mathcal{W} of X , suppose that f has isolated singularity at the origin and g has a one-dimensional stratified critical set. Consider the good stratification of X induced by f , $\mathcal{V} = \{W_\lambda \setminus X^f, W_\lambda \cap X^f \setminus \{0\}, \{0\}, W_\lambda \in \mathcal{W}\}$ and suppose that g is tractable at the origin with respect to \mathcal{V} (see Definition 2.5). Let ϵ be sufficiently small such that the local Euler obstruction of X^g is constant on $b_j \cap B_\epsilon$. In this case, we denote by $Eu_{X^g}(b_j)$ the local Euler obstruction of X at a point of $b_j \cap B_\epsilon$ and by $B_{g,X \cap f^{-1}(\delta)}(b_j)$ the Brasselet number of $g|_{X \cap f^{-1}(\delta)}$ at a point of $b_j \cap B_\epsilon$. For a deformation of g , $\tilde{g} = g + f^N$, $N \gg 1$, we prove (Proposition 3.5)

$$B_{g,X^f}(0) = B_{\tilde{g},X^f}(0) = B_{f,X^{\tilde{g}}}(0).$$

and for $0 < |\delta| \ll \epsilon \ll 1$ (Proposition 3.9),

$$B_{f,X^g}(0) - B_{f,X^{\tilde{g}}}(0) = \sum_{j=1}^r m_{f,b_j} (Eu_{X^g}(b_j) - B_{g,X \cap f^{-1}(\delta)}(b_j)).$$

As an application of these results, we compare the Brasselet numbers $B_{g,X}(0)$ and $B_{\tilde{g},X}(0)$, and we obtain (Theorem 4.4) a topological proof of the Lê-Iomdin formula for the Brasselet

number,

$$B_{\tilde{g},X}(0) = B_{g,X}(0) + N \sum_{j=1}^r m_{f,b_j} Eu_{f,X \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha')}(b_j),$$

where $0 \ll |\alpha'| \ll 1$ is a regular value of \tilde{g} . This formula generalizes the Lê-Iomdin formula for the Euler characteristic of the Milnor fibre in the case of a function with isolated singularity. We note that an algebraic proof can be obtained using the description (see [3]) of the defect of a function-germ f in terms of the Euler characteristic of vanishing cycles and the Lê-Vogel numbers associated to f .

In [20], Tibăr provided a bouquet decomposition for the Milnor fibre of \tilde{g} and related it with the Milnor fibre of g . As a consequence of this strong result, Tibăr gave a Lê-Iomdin formula to compare the Euler characteristics of these Milnor fibres. In the last section of this work, we apply our results to give an alternative proof for this Lê-Iomdin formula (see Proposition 5.1):

$$\chi(X \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon) = \chi(X \cap g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon) + N \sum_{j=1}^r m_{b_j} (1 - \chi(F_j)),$$

where $F_j = X \cap g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap H_j \cap D_{x_t}$ is the local Milnor fibre of $g|_{\{l=\delta\}}$ at a point of the branch b_j and H_j denotes the generic hyperplane $l^{-1}(\delta)$ passing through $x_t \in b_j$, for $t \in \{i_1, \dots, i_{k(j)}\}$.

1 Local Euler obstruction and Euler obstruction of a function

In this section, we will see the definition of the local Euler obstruction, a singular invariant defined by MacPherson and used as one of the main tools in his proof of the Deligne-Grothendieck conjecture about the existence and uniqueness of Chern classes for singular varieties.

Let $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be an equidimensional reduced complex analytic germ of dimension d in a open set $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Consider a complex analytic Whitney stratification $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\lambda\}$ of U adapted to X such that $\{0\}$ is a stratum. We choose a small representative of $(X, 0)$, denoted by X , such that 0 belongs to the closure of all strata. We write $X = \cup_{i=0}^q V_i$, where $V_0 = \{0\}$ and $V_q = X_{reg}$, where X_{reg} is the regular part of X . We suppose that V_0, V_1, \dots, V_{q-1} are connected and that the analytic sets $\overline{V_0}, \overline{V_1}, \dots, \overline{V_q}$ are reduced. We write $d_i = \dim(V_i)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, q\}$. Note that $d_q = d$. Let $G(d, N)$ be the Grassmannian manifold, $x \in X_{reg}$ and consider the Gauss map $\phi : X_{reg} \rightarrow U \times G(d, N)$ given by $x \mapsto (x, T_x(X_{reg}))$.

Definition 1.1. The closure of the image of the Gauss map ϕ in $U \times G(d, N)$, denoted by \tilde{X} , is called **Nash modification** of X . It is a complex analytic space endowed with an analytic projection map $\nu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$.

Consider the extension of the tautological bundle \mathcal{T} over $U \times G(d, N)$. Since $\tilde{X} \subset U \times G(d, N)$, we consider \tilde{T} the restriction of \mathcal{T} to \tilde{X} , called the **Nash bundle**, and $\pi : \tilde{T} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ the projection of this bundle.

In this context, denoting by φ the natural projection of $U \times G(d, N)$ at U , we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{T} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{X} & \longrightarrow & U \times G(d, N) \\ \nu \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ X & \longrightarrow & U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^N \end{array}$$

Considering $\|z\| = \sqrt{z_1 \bar{z}_1 + \cdots + z_N \bar{z}_N}$, the 1-differential form $w = d\|z\|^2$ over \mathbb{C}^N defines a section in $T^*\mathbb{C}^N$ and its pullback φ^*w is a 1-form over $U \times G(d, N)$. Denote by \tilde{w} the restriction of φ^*w over \tilde{X} , which is a section of the dual bundle \tilde{T}^* .

Choose ϵ small enough for \tilde{w} be a nonzero section over $\nu^{-1}(z)$, $0 < \|z\| \leq \epsilon$, let B_ϵ be the closed ball with center at the origin with radius ϵ and denote by $Obs(\tilde{T}^*, \tilde{w}) \in \mathbb{H}^{2d}(\nu^{-1}(B_\epsilon), \nu^{-1}(S_\epsilon), \mathbb{Z})$ the obstruction for extending \tilde{w} from $\nu^{-1}(S_\epsilon)$ to $\nu^{-1}(B_\epsilon)$ and $O_{\nu^{-1}(B_\epsilon), \nu^{-1}(S_\epsilon)}$ the fundamental class in $\mathbb{H}_{2d}(\nu^{-1}(B_\epsilon), \nu^{-1}(S_\epsilon), \mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 1.2. The **local Euler obstruction** of X at 0, $Eu_X(0)$, is given by the evaluation

$$Eu_X(0) = \langle Obs(\tilde{T}^*, \tilde{w}), O_{\nu^{-1}(B_\epsilon), \nu^{-1}(S_\epsilon)} \rangle.$$

In [2], Brasselet, L   and Seade proved a formula to make the calculation of the Euler obstruction easier.

Theorem 1.3. (Theorem 3.1 of [2]) *Let $(X, 0)$ and \mathcal{V} be given as before, then for each generic linear form l , there exists ϵ_0 such that for any ϵ with $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$ and $\delta \neq 0$ sufficiently small, the Euler obstruction of $(X, 0)$ is equal to*

$$Eu_X(0) = \sum_{i=1}^q \chi(V_i \cap B_\epsilon \cap l^{-1}(\delta)) \cdot Eu_X(V_i),$$

where χ is the Euler characteristic, $Eu_X(V_i)$ is the Euler obstruction of X at a point of V_i , $i = 1, \dots, q$ and $0 < |\delta| \ll \epsilon \ll 1$.

Let us give the definition of another invariant introduced by Brasselet, Massey, Parameswaran and Seade in [3]. Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function with isolated singularity at the origin given by the restriction of a holomorphic function $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and denote by $\overline{\nabla}F(x)$ the conjugate of the gradient vector field of F in $x \in U$,

$$\overline{\nabla}F(x) := \left(\overline{\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}}, \dots, \overline{\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n}} \right).$$

Since f has an isolated singularity at the origin, for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$, the projection $\hat{\zeta}_i(x)$ of $\overline{\nabla}F(x)$ over $T_x(V_i(x))$ is nonzero, where $V_i(x)$ is a stratum containing x . Using this projection, the authors constructed, in [3], a stratified vector field over X , denoted by $\overline{\nabla}f(x)$. Let $\tilde{\zeta}$ be the lifting of $\overline{\nabla}f(x)$ as a section of the Nash bundle \tilde{T} over \tilde{X} , without singularity over $\nu^{-1}(X \cap S_\epsilon)$. Let $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\zeta}) \in \mathbb{H}^{2n}(\nu^{-1}(X \cap B_\epsilon), \nu^{-1}(X \cap S_\epsilon))$ be the obstruction cocycle for extending $\tilde{\zeta}$ as a nonzero section of \tilde{T} inside $\nu^{-1}(X \cap B_\epsilon)$.

Definition 1.4. The **local Euler obstruction of the function** f , $Eu_{f,X}(0)$ is the evaluation of $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\zeta})$ on the fundamental class $[\nu^{-1}(X \cap B_\epsilon), \nu^{-1}(X \cap S_\epsilon)]$.

The next theorem compares the Euler obstruction of a space X with the Euler obstruction of function defined over X .

Theorem 1.5. (Theorem 3.1 of [3]) Let $(X, 0)$ and \mathcal{V} be given as before and let $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a function with an isolated singularity at 0. For $0 < |\delta| \ll \epsilon \ll 1$, we have

$$Eu_{f,X}(0) = Eu_X(0) - \sum_{i=1}^q \chi(V_i \cap B_\epsilon \cap f^{-1}(\delta)) \cdot Eu_X(V_i).$$

Let us now see a definition we will need to define a generic point of a function-germ. Let $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\lambda\}$ be a stratification of a reduced complex analytic space X .

Definition 1.6. Let p be a point in a stratum V_β of \mathcal{V} . A **degenerate tangent plane of \mathcal{V} at p** is an element T of some Grassmanian manifold such that $T = \lim_{p_i \rightarrow p} T_{p_i} V_\alpha$, where $p_i \in V_\alpha$, $V_\alpha \neq V_\beta$.

Definition 1.7. Let $(X, 0) \subset (U, 0)$ be a germ of complex analytic space in \mathbb{C}^n equipped with a Whitney stratification and let $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be an analytic function, given by the restriction of an analytic function $F : (U, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$. Then 0 is said to be a **generic point** of f if the hyperplane $Ker(d_0 F)$ is transverse in \mathbb{C}^n to all degenerate tangent planes of the Whitney stratification at 0.

Now, let us see the definition of a Morsification of a function.

Definition 1.8. Let $\mathcal{W} = \{W_0, W_1, \dots, W_q\}$, with $0 \in W_0$, a Whitney stratification of the complex analytic space X . A function $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is said to be **Morse stratified** if $\dim W_0 \geq 1$, $f|_{W_0} : W_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has a Morse point at 0 and 0 is a generic point of f with respect to W_i , for all $i \neq 0$.

A **stratified Morsification** of a germ of analytic function $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is a deformation \tilde{f} of f such that \tilde{f} is Morse stratified.

In [18], Seade, Tibăr and Verjovsky proved that the Euler obstruction of a function f is also related to the number of Morse critical points of a stratified Morsification of f .

Proposition 1.9. (Proposition 2.3 of [18]) Let $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a germ of analytic function with isolated singularity at the origin. Then,

$$Eu_{f,X}(0) = (-1)^d n_{reg},$$

where n_{reg} is the number of Morse points in X_{reg} in a stratified Morsification of f .

2 Brasselet number

In this section, we present definitions and results needed in the development of the results of this work. The main reference for this section is [13].

Let X be a reduced complex analytic space (not necessarily equidimensional) of dimension d in an open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ and let $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be an analytic map. We write $V(f) = f^{-1}(0)$.

Definition 2.1. A **good stratification of X relative to f** is a stratification \mathcal{V} of X which is adapted to $V(f)$ such that $\{V_\lambda \in \mathcal{V}, V_\lambda \not\subseteq V(f)\}$ is a Whitney stratification of $X \setminus V(f)$ and such that for any pair (V_λ, V_γ) such that $V_\lambda \not\subseteq V(f)$ and $V_\gamma \subseteq V(f)$, the (a_f) -Thom condition is satisfied, that is, if $p \in V_\gamma$ and $p_i \in V_\lambda$ are such that $p_i \rightarrow p$ and $T_{p_i}V(f)|_{V_\lambda} - f|_{V_\lambda}(p_i)$ converges to some \mathcal{T} , then $T_p V_\gamma \subseteq \mathcal{T}$.

If $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has a stratified isolated critical point and \mathcal{V} is a Whitney stratification of X , then

$$\{V_\lambda \setminus X^f, V_\lambda \cap X^f \setminus \{0\}, \{0\}, V_\lambda \in \mathcal{V}\} \quad (1)$$

is a good stratification of X relative to f , called the good stratification induced by f .

Definition 2.2. The **critical locus of f relative to \mathcal{V}** , $\Sigma_{\mathcal{V}}f$, is given by the union

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{V}}f = \bigcup_{V_\lambda \in \mathcal{V}} \Sigma(f|_{V_\lambda}).$$

Definition 2.3. If $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\lambda\}$ is a stratification of X , the **symmetric relative polar variety of f and g with respect to \mathcal{V}** , $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V})$, is the union $\bigcup_\lambda \tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(V_\lambda)$, where $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(V_\lambda)$ denotes the closure in X of the critical locus of $(f, g)|_{V_\lambda \setminus (X^f \cup X^g)}$, $X^f = X \cap \{f = 0\}$ and $X^g = X \cap \{g = 0\}$.

Definition 2.4. Let \mathcal{V} be a good stratification of X relative to a function $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$. A function $g : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is **prepolar with respect to \mathcal{V} at the origin** if the origin is a stratified isolated critical point, that is, 0 is an isolated point of $\Sigma_{\mathcal{V}}g$.

Definition 2.5. A function $g : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is **tractable at the origin with respect to a good stratification \mathcal{V} of X relative to $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$** if $\dim_0 \tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}^1(\mathcal{V}) \leq 1$ and, for all strata $V_\alpha \subseteq X^f$, $g|_{V_\alpha}$ has no critical points in a neighbourhood of the origin except perhaps at the origin itself.

We present now the definition of the Brasselet number. Let $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a complex analytic function germ and let \mathcal{V} be a good stratification of X relative to f . We denote by V_1, \dots, V_q the strata of \mathcal{V} that are not contained in $\{f = 0\}$ and we assume that V_1, \dots, V_{q-1} are connected and that $V_q = X_{reg} \setminus \{f = 0\}$. Note that V_q could be not connected.

Definition 2.6. Suppose that X is equidimensional. Let \mathcal{V} be a good stratification of X relative to f . The **Brasselet number** of f at the origin, $B_{f,X}(0)$, is defined by

$$B_{f,X}(0) = \sum_{i=1}^q \chi(V_i \cap f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_\epsilon) Eu_X(V_i),$$

where $0 < |\delta| \ll \epsilon \ll 1$.

Remark: If V_q^i is a connected component of V_q , $Eu_X(V_q^i) = 1$.

Notice that if f has a stratified isolated singularity at the origin, then $B_{f,X}(0) = Eu_X(0) - Eu_{f,X}(0)$ (see Theorem 1.5).

3 Local topology of a deformation of a function-germ with one-dimensional critical set

We begin this section with a discussion about the singular locus of the function $\tilde{g} = g + f^N$ and a description of the appropriate stratification with which we can compute explicitly the Brasselet numbers we will use.

Let $f, g : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be complex analytic function-germs such that f has isolated singularity at the origin. Let \mathcal{W} be the Whitney stratification of X and \mathcal{V} be the good stratification of X induced by f . Suppose that $\Sigma_{\mathcal{W}}g$ is one-dimensional and that $\Sigma_{\mathcal{W}}g \cap \{f = 0\} = \{0\}$.

By Lemma 3.1 in [17], if \mathcal{V}^f denote the set of strata of \mathcal{V} contained in $\{f = 0\}$, $\mathcal{V}' = \{V_i \setminus \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}}g, V_i \cap \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}}g, V_i \in \mathcal{V}\} \cup \mathcal{V}^f$ is a good stratification of X relative to f , such that $\mathcal{V}'^{\{g=0\}}$ is a good stratification of X^g relative to $f|_{X^g}$, where

$$\mathcal{V}'^{\{g=0\}} = \left\{ V_i \cap \{g = 0\} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}}g, V_i \cap \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}}g, V_i \in \mathcal{V} \right\} \cup \mathcal{V}^f \cap \{g = 0\},$$

and $\mathcal{V}^f \cap \{g = 0\}$ denotes the collection of strata of type $V^f \cap \{g = 0\}$, with $V^f \in \mathcal{V}^f$. In this whole section, we will use this good stratification of X relative to f . Suppose that g is tractable at the origin with respect to \mathcal{V} and let $\tilde{g} : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be the function-germ given by $\tilde{g}(x) = g(x) + f^N(x)$, $N \gg 1$.

Proposition 3.1. *For a sufficiently large N , \tilde{g} has a stratified isolated singularity at the origin with respect to the Whitney stratification \mathcal{W} of X .*

Proof. Let x be a critical point of \tilde{g} , U_x be a neighborhood of x and G and F be analytic extensions of g and f to U_x , respectively. If $V(x)$ is a stratum of \mathcal{W} containing $x \neq 0$,

$$d_x \tilde{G}|_{V(x)} = 0 \Leftrightarrow d_x G|_{V(x)} + N(F(x))^{N-1} d_x F|_{V(x)} = 0$$

If $d_x G|_{V(x)} = 0$, then $N(F(x))^{N-1} d_x F|_{V(x)} = 0$, hence $x \in \{F = 0\}$. Then $x \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}}g \cap \{f = 0\} = \{0\}$. If $d_x G|_{V(x)} \neq 0$, we have $G \neq 0$. Since $d_x \tilde{G}|_{V(x)} = 0$, by Proposition 1.3 of [13], $\tilde{G} = 0$, which implies that $F \neq 0$. On the other hand, if $d_x G|_{V(x)} \neq 0$, $d_x G|_{V(x)} = -N(F(x))^{N-1} d_x F|_{V(x)}$, and then $x \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(V(x))$. Suppose that x is arbitrarily close to the origin. Since f has isolated singularity at the origin, we can define for the stratum $V(x)$, the function $\beta : (0, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$,

$$\beta(u) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\|d_z g|_{V(x)}\|}{\|d_z f|_{V(x)}\|}; z \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(V(x)) \cap \{|f|_{V(x)}(z)| = u, u \neq 0\} \right\},$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the operator norm, (defined, for each linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow W$ between normed vector fields, by $\sup_{v \in V, \|v\|=1} \|T(v)\|$). Notice that, for each stratum $W_i \in \mathcal{W}$, $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(W_i) = \tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(W_i \setminus \{f = 0\})$. Since g is tractable at the origin with respect to \mathcal{V} , $\dim_0 \tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V}) \leq 1$. Therefore, $\dim_0 \tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(W_i) = \dim_0 \tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(W_i \setminus \{f = 0\}) \leq 1$. Hence $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(V(x)) \cap \{|f| = u, u \neq 0\}$ is a finite number of points and β is well defined.

Since the function β is subanalytic, $\alpha(R) = \beta(1/R)$, for $R \gg 1$, is subanalytic. Then, by [10], there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{\alpha(R)} < R^{n_0}$, which implies $\beta(1/R) > (1/R)^{n_0}$, that is, $\beta(u) > u^{n_0}$. Hence, for $z \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(V(x)) \cap \{|f(z)| = u\}$, $u \ll 1$, we have

$$\frac{\|d_z g|_{V(x)}\|}{\|d_z f|_{V(x)}\|} \geq \beta(u) > u^{n_0}, \text{ which implies, } \|d_z g|_{V(x)}\| > |f|_{V(x)}(z)^{n_0} \|d_z f|_{V(x)}\|.$$

On the other hand, since N is sufficiently large, we can suppose $N > n_0$. Since $\tilde{g}(z) = g(z) + f^N(z)$, we obtain using previous inequality that, for the critical point x of \tilde{g} ,

$$N|f|_{V(x)}(x)^{N-1} \|d_x f|_{V(x)}\| = \|d_x g|_{V(x)}\| > |f|_{V(x)}(x)^{n_0} \|d_x f|_{V(x)}\|,$$

which implies that $N|f|_{V(x)}(x)^{N-1-n_0} > 1$. Since x was taken sufficiently close to the origin, $|f|_{V(x)}(x)$ is close to zero. Hence, $|f|_{V(x)}(x) \ll 1$, which implies that $N-1-n_0 < 0$. Therefore, $N \leq n_0$, what is contradiction. So, there is no x sufficiently close to the origin such that $d_x \tilde{g} = 0$. Therefore, \tilde{g} has isolated singularity at the origin. ■

We will now see how \tilde{g} behaves with respect to the good stratification \mathcal{V} of X induced by f .

Proposition 3.2. *If g is tractable at the origin with respect to the good stratification \mathcal{V} of X induced by f , then \tilde{g} is prepolar at the origin with respect to \mathcal{V} .*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, \tilde{g} is prepolar at the origin with respect to \mathcal{V} . So it is enough to verify that $\tilde{g}|_{V_i \cap \{f=0\}}$ is nonsingular or has isolated singularity at the origin, where V_i is a stratum from the Whitney stratification \mathcal{V} of X . Suppose that $x \in \Sigma \tilde{g}|_{V_i \cap \{f=0\}}$. Then $d_x \tilde{g} = d_x g + Nf(x)^{N-1} d_x f = 0$, which implies that $d_x g = 0$. But g has no critical point on $V_i \cap \{f=0\}$, since g is tractable at the origin with respect to \mathcal{V} . Therefore, \tilde{g} is prepolar at the origin with respect to \mathcal{V} . ■

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ be the good stratification of X induced by \tilde{g} . Then f is prepolar at the origin with respect to $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$.*

Proof. Use Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 6.1 of [6]. ■

Using the previous results, we can relate the relative symmetric polar varieties $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,\tilde{g}}(\mathcal{V})$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V})$.

Remark 3.4. Let us describe $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,\tilde{g}}(\mathcal{V})$. Let $\Sigma(\tilde{g}, f) = \{x \in X; rk(d_x \tilde{g}, d_x f) \leq 1\}$. Since f is prepolar at the origin with respect to the good stratification induced by \tilde{g} , $f|_{W_i \cap \{\tilde{g}=0\}}$ is nonsingular, for all $W_i \in \mathcal{W}, i \neq 0$. Also \tilde{g} is prepolar at the origin with respect to the good stratification induced by f , which implies that $\tilde{g}|_{W_i \cap \{f=0\}}$ is nonsingular, for all $W_i \in \mathcal{W}, i \neq 0$. Nevertheless, since f and \tilde{g} have stratified isolated singularity at the origin, $\Sigma_{\mathcal{W}} \tilde{g} \cup \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}} f = \{0\}$. Therefore, the map (f, g) has no singularities in $\{g=0\}$ or in $\{f=0\}$. Hence, $\Sigma(\tilde{g}, f) = \tilde{\Gamma}_{f,\tilde{g}}(\mathcal{V})$. So, it is sufficient to describe $\Sigma(\tilde{g}, f)$. Let $x \in \Sigma(\tilde{g}, f)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} rk(d_x \tilde{g}, d_x f) \leq 1 &\Leftrightarrow (d_x \tilde{g} = 0) \text{ or } (d_x f = 0) \text{ or } (d_x \tilde{g} = \lambda d_x f) \\ &\Leftrightarrow (d_x \tilde{g} = 0) \text{ or } (d_x f = 0) \text{ or } (d_x g = (-Nf(x)^{N-1} + \lambda) d_x f) \end{aligned}$$

Since $x \notin \{f=0\}$, $d_x f \neq 0$. And since \tilde{g} has isolated singularity at the origin, $d_x \tilde{g} \neq 0$. If $-Nf(x)^{N-1} + \lambda = 0$, then $d_x g = 0$, that is, $x \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}} g$. If $-Nf(x)^{N-1} + \lambda \neq 0$, then $d_x g$ is a nonzero multiple of $d_x f$, that is, $x \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V})$. Therefore,

$$\Sigma(\tilde{g}, f) \subseteq \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}} g \cup \tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V}).$$

On the other hand, if $x \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}} g$, then $d_x g = 0$, and

$$d_x \tilde{g} = d_x g + Nf(x)^{N-1} d_x f = Nf(x)^{N-1} d_x f.$$

So, $x \in \Sigma(\tilde{g}, f)$. If $x \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V})$, $d_x g = \lambda d_x f$, and

$$d_x \tilde{g} = d_x g + N f(x)^{N-1} d_x f = (\lambda + N) f(x)^{N-1} d_x f,$$

which implies $x \in \Sigma(\tilde{g}, f)$. Therefore, $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,\tilde{g}}(\mathcal{V}) = \Sigma(\tilde{g}, f) = \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}} g \cup \tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V})$.

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose that g is tractable at the origin with respect to the good stratification \mathcal{V} of X induced by f . Then, for $N \gg 1$,*

$$B_{g,X^f}(0) = B_{\tilde{g},X^f}(0) = B_{f,X^{\tilde{g}}}(0).$$

Proof. Since $\tilde{g} = g + f^N$, over $\{f = 0\}$, $\tilde{g} = g$. Therefore, $B_{g,X^f}(0) = B_{\tilde{g},X^f}(0)$. On the other hand, by Corollary 3.3, f is prepolar at the origin with respect to the good stratification $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ of X induced by \tilde{g} and so is \tilde{g} with respect to \mathcal{V} , by Proposition 3.2. Hence, by Corollary 6.3 of [6], $B_{f,X^{\tilde{g}}}(0) = B_{\tilde{g},X^f}(0)$. ■

Corollary 3.6. *Let l be a generic linear form in \mathbb{C}^n and denote $l^{-1}(0)$ by H . Then*

$$B_{g,X \cap H}(0) = B_{\tilde{g},X \cap H}(0) = Eu_{X^{\tilde{g}}}(0).$$

Proof. By [17], g is tractable at the origin with respect to the good stratification \mathcal{V} of X induced by l . Hence, the formula follows directly by Proposition 3.5, using the equality $B_{g,X^l}(0) = B_{\tilde{g},X^l}(0)$, and Corollary 6.6 of [6]. ■

Corollary 3.7. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be a sufficiently large number.*

1. *If d is even, $Eu_{X^{\tilde{g}}}(0) \geq Eu_{X^g}(0)$;*
2. *If d is odd, $Eu_{X^{\tilde{g}}}(0) \leq Eu_{X^g}(0)$.*

Proof. Use Corollary 4.11 of [17] and Corollary 3.6. ■

In order to compare the Brasselet numbers $B_{f,X^g}(0)$ and $B_{f,X^{\tilde{g}}}(0)$ we need to understand the stratified critical set of g . We use the description presented in [17]. Consider a decomposition of $\Sigma_{\mathcal{W}} g$ into branches b_j ,

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{W}} g = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^q \Sigma g|_{W_\alpha} \cup \{0\} = b_1 \cup \dots \cup b_r,$$

where $b_j \subseteq W_\alpha$, for some $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, q\}$. Notice that a stratum W_α can contain no branch and that a stratum V_j can contain more than one branch, but a branch can not be contained in two different strata. Let δ be a regular value of f , $0 < |\delta| \ll 1$, and let us write, for each $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, $f^{-1}(\delta) \cap b_j = \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{k(j)}}\}$. So, in this case, the local degree m_{f,b_j} of $f|_{b_j}$ is $k(j)$. Let ϵ be sufficiently small such that the local Euler obstruction of X and of X^g are constant on $b_j \cap B_\epsilon$. Denote by $Eu_X(b_j)$ (respectively, $Eu_{X^g}(b_j)$) the local Euler obstruction of X (respectively, X^g) at a point of $b_j \cap B_\epsilon$.

Remark 3.8. If ϵ is sufficiently small and $x_l \in b_j$, $l \in \{i_1, \dots, i_{k(j)}\}$, $B_{g,X \cap f^{-1}(\delta)}(x_l)$ is constant on $b_j \cap B_\epsilon$ (see Remark 4.5 of [17]). Then we denote $B_{g,X \cap f^{-1}(\delta)}(x_l)$ by $B_{g,X \cap f^{-1}(\delta)}(b_j)$. Since $B_{g,X \cap f^{-1}(\delta)}(x_l) = Eu_{X \cap f^{-1}(\delta)}(x_l) - Eu_{g,X \cap f^{-1}(\delta)}(x_l)$, we also denote $Eu_{g,X \cap f^{-1}(\delta)}(x_l)$ by $Eu_{g,X \cap f^{-1}(\delta)}(b_j)$.

Proposition 3.9. *Suppose that g is tractable at the origin with respect to the good stratification \mathcal{V} of X relative to f . Then, for $0 < |\delta| \ll \epsilon \ll 1$,*

$$B_{f,X^g}(0) - B_{f,X^{\tilde{g}}}(0) = \sum_{j=1}^r m_{f,b_j} (Eu_{X^g}(b_j) - B_{g,X \cap f^{-1}(\delta)}(b_j)).$$

Proof. Use Corollary 4.8 of [17] and Proposition 3.5. ■

Corollary 3.10. *For $0 < |\delta| \ll \epsilon \ll 1$,*

$$Eu_{X^g}(0) - Eu_{X^{\tilde{g}}}(0) = \sum_{j=1}^r m_{b_j} (Eu_{X^g}(b_j) - B_{g,X \cap l^{-1}(\delta)}(b_j)). \quad (2)$$

Proof. By [17], g is tractable at the origin with respect to the good stratification \mathcal{V} of X induced by a generic linear form l . Hence, the formula follows directly from Proposition 3.9, using that $B_{l,X^g}(0) = Eu_{X^g}(0)$ and that $B_{l,X^{\tilde{g}}}(0) = Eu_{X^{\tilde{g}}}(0)$. ■

Remark 3.11. Since l is a generic linear form over \mathbb{C}^n , $l^{-1}(\delta)$ intersects $X \cap \{g = 0\}$ transversely and using Corollary 6.6 of [6], we have $Eu_{X^g}(b_j) = Eu_{X^g \cap l^{-1}(\delta)}(b_j \cap l^{-1}(\delta)) = B_{g,X \cap l^{-1}(\delta) \cap L}(b_j \cap l^{-1}(\delta))$, where L is a generic hyperplane in \mathbb{C}^n passing through $x_l \in b_j \cap l^{-1}(\delta)$, $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $l \in \{i_1, \dots, i_{k(j)}\}$. Denoting $B_{g,X \cap l^{-1}(\delta) \cap L}(b_j \cap l^{-1}(\delta))$ by $B'_{g,X \cap l^{-1}(\delta)}(b_j)$, the formula obtained in Corollary 3.10 can be written as

$$Eu_{X^g}(0) - Eu_{X^{\tilde{g}}}(0) = \sum_{j=1}^r m_{b_j} (B'_{g,X \cap l^{-1}(\delta)}(b_j) - B_{g,X \cap l^{-1}(\delta)}(b_j)).$$

Let m be the number of stratified Morse points of a partial Morsefication of $g|_{X \cap f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_\epsilon}$ appearing on $X_{reg} \cap f^{-1}(\delta) \cap \{g \neq 0\} \cap B_\epsilon$ and \tilde{m} be the number of stratified Morse points of a Morsefication of $\tilde{g}|_{X \cap f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_\epsilon}$ appearing on $X_{reg} \cap f^{-1}(\delta) \cap \{\tilde{g} \neq 0\} \cap B_\epsilon$. The next lemma shows how to compare m and \tilde{m} . In the following we keep the same description of $\Sigma_{\mathcal{V}}g$.

Corollary 3.12. *Suppose that g is tractable at the origin with respect to the good stratification \mathcal{V} of X relative to f . Then*

$$\tilde{m} = (-1)^{d-1} \sum_{j=1}^r m_{f,b_j} Eu_{g,X \cap f^{-1}(\delta)}(b_j) + m.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.2 of [17],

$$B_{f,X}(0) - B_{f,X^g}(0) - \sum_{j=1}^r m_{f,b_j} (Eu_X(b_j) - Eu_{X^g}(b_j)) = (-1)^{d-1} m,$$

and by Proposition 3.2, \tilde{g} is prepolar at the origin with respect to \mathcal{V} , by Theorem 4.4 of [6],

$$B_{f,X}(0) - B_{f,X^{\tilde{g}}}(0) = (-1)^{d-1} \tilde{m}.$$

Using Proposition 3.9, we obtain that

$$\tilde{m} = m + (-1)^{d-1} \sum_{j=1}^r m_{f,b_j} (Eu_X(b_j) - B_{g,X \cap f^{-1}(\delta)}(b_j)).$$

Since f has isolated singularity at the origin, $f^{-1}(\delta)$ intersects each stratum out of $\{f = 0\}$ transversely. So, $Eu_X(V_i) = Eu_{X \cap f^{-1}(\delta)}(S)$, for each connected component of $V_i \cap f^{-1}(\delta)$. In particular, $Eu_X(b_j) = Eu_{X \cap f^{-1}(\delta)}(b_j)$. The formula holds by Theorem 1.5, $Eu_X(b_j) - B_{g,X \cap f^{-1}(\delta)}(b_j) = Eu_{g,X \cap f^{-1}(\delta)}(b_j)$. ■

Proposition 3.13. *Let $\tilde{\alpha}$ be a regular value of \tilde{g} and α_t a regular value of f , $0 \ll |\tilde{\alpha}| \ll |\alpha_t| \ll 1$. If g is tractable at the origin with respect to \mathcal{V} relative to f , then $B_{g,X \cap f^{-1}(\alpha_t)}(b_j) = B_{f,X \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\tilde{\alpha})}(b_j)$.*

Proof. Let $x_t \in \{f = \alpha_t\} \cap b_j$, D_{x_t} be the closed ball with center at x_t and radius r_t , $0 < |\alpha - \delta| \ll |\alpha_t| \ll r_t \ll 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{g,X \cap f^{-1}(\alpha_t)}(x_t) &= \sum \chi(W_i \cap f^{-1}(\alpha_t) \cap g^{-1}(\alpha - \delta) \cap D_{x_t}) Eu_{X \cap f^{-1}(\alpha_t)}(W_i \cap f^{-1}(\alpha_t)) \\ &= \sum \chi(W_i \cap f^{-1}(\alpha_t) \cap g^{-1}(\alpha - \delta) \cap D_{x_t}) Eu_X(W_i). \end{aligned}$$

Let $g(x_t) = \alpha$, $\tilde{g}(x_t) = \alpha'$ and $f(x_t) = \alpha_t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} p \in f^{-1}(\alpha_t) \cap g^{-1}(\alpha - \delta) &\Leftrightarrow g(p) = \alpha - \delta \text{ and } f(p) = \alpha_t \\ &\Leftrightarrow g(p) = g(x_t) - \delta \text{ and } f(p) = \alpha_t \\ &\Leftrightarrow g(p) + \alpha_t^N = \alpha + \alpha_t^N - \delta \text{ and } f(p) = \alpha_t \\ &\Leftrightarrow g(p) + f^N(p) = g(x_t) + f^N(x_t) - \delta \text{ and } f(p) = \alpha_t \\ &\Leftrightarrow \tilde{g}(p) = \tilde{g}(x_t) - \delta \text{ and } f(p) = \alpha_t \\ &\Leftrightarrow \tilde{g}(p) = \alpha' - \delta \text{ and } f(p) = \alpha_t. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, denoting $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha' - \delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{g,X \cap f^{-1}(\alpha_t)}(x_t) &= \sum \chi(W_i \cap f^{-1}(\alpha_t) \cap g^{-1}(\alpha - \delta) \cap D_{x_t}) Eu_X(W_i) \\ &= \sum \chi(W_i \cap f^{-1}(\alpha_t) \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\tilde{\alpha}) \cap D_{x_t}) Eu_{X \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\tilde{\alpha})}(W_i \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\tilde{\alpha})) \\ &= B_{f,X \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\tilde{\alpha})}(x_t). \end{aligned}$$

■

An immediate consequence of the last proposition is the following.

Corollary 3.14. *Let $\tilde{\alpha}$ be a regular value of \tilde{g} and α_t a regular value of f , $0 \ll |\tilde{\alpha}| \ll |\alpha_t| \ll 1$. If g is tractable at the origin with respect to \mathcal{V} , then $Eu_{g,X \cap f^{-1}(\alpha_t)}(b_j) = Eu_{f,X \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\tilde{\alpha})}(b_j)$.*

Proof. Let $x_t \in \{f = \alpha_t\} \cap b_j$, D_{x_t} be the closed ball with center at x_t and radius r_t , $0 < |\alpha'| \ll |\alpha_t| \ll r_t \ll 1$. The equality holds by Proposition 3.13. ■

4 Lê-Iomdin formula for the Brasselet number

Let $f, g : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be complex analytic function-germs such that f has isolated singularity at the origin. Let \mathcal{W} be the Whitney stratification of X and \mathcal{V} be the good stratification of X induced by f . Suppose that $\Sigma_{\mathcal{W}}g$ is one-dimensional and that $\Sigma_{\mathcal{W}}g \cap \{f = 0\} = \{0\}$.

Suppose that g is tractable at the origin with respect to \mathcal{V} . By [17],

$$\mathcal{V}' = \left\{ V_i \setminus \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}}g, V_i \cap \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}}g, V_i \in \mathcal{V} \right\} \cup \mathcal{V}^f \quad (3)$$

is a good stratification of X relative to f , where \mathcal{V}^f denotes the collection of strata of \mathcal{V} contained in $\{f = 0\}$ and

$$\mathcal{V}'' = \{V_i \setminus \{g = 0\}, V_i \cap \{g = 0\} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}}g, V_i \cap \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}}g, V_i \in \mathcal{V}\} \cup \{0\},$$

is a good stratification of X relative to g . Let us denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ the good stratification of X induced by $\tilde{g} = g + f^N$, $N \gg 1$.

Let α be a regular value of g , α' a regular value of \tilde{g} , $0 < |\alpha|, |\alpha'| \ll \epsilon \ll 1$, n be the number of stratified Morse points of a Morsefication of $f|_{X \cap g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon}$ appearing on $X_{reg} \cap g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap \{f \neq 0\} \cap B_\epsilon$ and \tilde{n} be the number of stratified Morse points of a Morsefication of $f|_{X \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon}$ appearing on $X_{reg} \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap \{f \neq 0\} \cap B_\epsilon$.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that g is tractable at the origin with respect to \mathcal{V} . Then,*

$$B_{g,X}(0) - B_{\tilde{g},X}(0) = (-1)^{d-1}(n - \tilde{n}).$$

Proof. By [17],

$$B_{g,X}(0) - B_{f,X}(0) = (-1)^{d-1}(n - m) - \sum_{j=1}^r m_{f,b_j}(Eu_X(b_j) - B_{g,X \cap \{f=\delta\}}(b_j)),$$

where m is the number of stratified Morse points of a Morsification of $g|_{X \cap f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_\epsilon}$ appearing on $X_{reg} \cap f^{-1}(\delta) \cap \{g \neq 0\} \cap B_\epsilon$, for $0 < |\delta| \ll \epsilon \ll 1$.

By Lemma 3.2, \tilde{g} is prepolar at the origin with respect to \mathcal{V} . So, by Corollary 6.5 of [6],

$$B_{\tilde{g},X}(0) - B_{f,X}(0) = (-1)^{d-1}(\tilde{n} - \tilde{m}),$$

where \tilde{m} is the number of stratified Morse points of a Morsification of $\tilde{g}|_{X \cap f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_\epsilon}$ appearing on $X_{reg} \cap f^{-1}(\delta) \cap \{\tilde{g} \neq 0\} \cap B_\epsilon$.

Using Corollary 3.12 and Theorem 1.5, we have the formula. ■

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that g is tractable at the origin with respect to \mathcal{V} relative to f . If $N \gg 1$ is bigger than the maximum gap ratio of all components of the symmetric relative polar curve $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V})$ and such that Proposition 3.1 is satisfied, then*

$$\left([\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V})] \cdot [V(g)] \right)_0 = \left([\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V})] \cdot [V(\tilde{g})] \right)_0.$$

Proof. Since g is tractable at the origin with respect to \mathcal{V} , $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V})$ is a curve. Let us write $[\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V})] = \sum_v m_v[v]$, where each component v of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V})$ is a reduced irreducible curve at the origin. Let $\alpha_v(t)$ be a parametrization of v such that $\alpha_v(0) = 0$. By page 974 [13], each component v intersects $V(g - g(p))$ at a point $p \in v, p \neq 0$, sufficiently close to the origin and such that $g(p) \neq 0$. So,

$$\text{codim}_X \{0\} = \text{codim}_X V(g) + \text{codim}_X v.$$

Also, each component (reduced irreducible curve at the origin) \tilde{v} of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,\tilde{g}}(\mathcal{V})$ intersects $V(\tilde{g} - \tilde{g}(p))$ at such point $p \in \tilde{v}, p \neq 0$ and $\tilde{g}(p) \neq 0$. But since $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,\tilde{g}}(\mathcal{V}) = \tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V}) \cup \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}g}$, we also have that v intersects $V(\tilde{g} - \tilde{g}(p))$ at the point p , so

$$\text{codim}_X \{0\} = \text{codim}_X V(\tilde{g}) + \text{codim}_X v.$$

Therefore, by A.9 of [14],

$$\begin{aligned} ([v] \cdot [V(g)])_0 &= \text{mult}_t g(\alpha_v(t)) \\ ([v] \cdot [V(\tilde{g})])_0 &= \min\{\text{mult}_t g(\alpha_v(t)), \text{mult}_t f^N(\alpha_v(t))\} \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\text{mult}_t f^N(\alpha_v(t)) = N ([v].[V(f)])_0 \text{ and } \text{mult}_t g(\alpha_v(t)) = ([v].[V(g)])_0.$$

The gap ratio of v at the origin for g with respect to f is the ratio of intersection numbers $\frac{([v].[V(g)])_0}{([v].[V(f)])_0}$. So, if $N > \frac{([v].[V(g)])_0}{([v].[V(f)])_0}$, then $\text{mult}_t f^N(\alpha_v(t)) > \text{mult}_t g(\alpha_v(t))$.

Making the same procedure over each component v of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V})$ and using that N is bigger then the maximum gap ratio of all components v of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V})$ and such that Proposition 3.1 is satisfied, we conclude that

$$\left([\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V})].[V(g)] \right)_0 = \left([\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V})].[V(\tilde{g})] \right)_0.$$

■

Our next goal is give another proof for the Lê-Iomdin formula for the Brasselet number. For that we need to compare n and \tilde{n} .

Lemma 4.3. *If N is bigger than the maximum gap ratio of all components of the symmetric relative polar curve $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V})$ and such that Proposition 3.1 is satisfied, if $0 < |\alpha|, |\alpha'| \ll \epsilon \ll 1$, then*

$$\tilde{n} = n + (-1)^{d-1} N \sum_{j=1}^r m_{f,b_j} \text{Eu}_{f,X \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha')}(b_j).$$

Proof. We start describing the critical points of $f|_{g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \Sigma f|_{g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon} &\Leftrightarrow x \in g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon \text{ and } \text{rk}(d_x g, d_x f) \leq 1 \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon \text{ and } (d_x g = 0) \text{ or } (d_x f = 0) \text{ or } (d_x g = \lambda d_x f, \lambda \neq 0). \end{aligned}$$

Since f has isolated singularity at the origin and, by Proposition 1.3 of [13], $\Sigma_{\mathcal{W}} g \subset \{g = 0\}$, we have that $\Sigma f|_{g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon} = g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon \cap \tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V})$. Therefore, n counts the number of Morse points of a Morsification of $f|_{g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon}$ coming from $g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon \cap \tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V})$.

Now, let us describe $\Sigma f|_{\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon}$.

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \Sigma f|_{\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon} &\Leftrightarrow x \in \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon \text{ and } \text{rk}(d_x \tilde{g}, d_x f) \leq 1 \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon \text{ and } (d_x \tilde{g} = 0) \text{ or } (d_x f = 0) \text{ or } (d_x \tilde{g} = \lambda' d_x f, \lambda' \neq 0). \end{aligned}$$

Since f and \tilde{g} has isolated singularity at the origin, we have that

$$\Sigma f|_{\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon} = \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon \cap \tilde{\Gamma}_{f,\tilde{g}}(\mathcal{V}).$$

Since $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,\tilde{g}}(\mathcal{V}) = \tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V}) \cup \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}} g$,

$$\Sigma f|_{\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon} = (\Sigma_{\mathcal{W}} g \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon) \cup (\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V}) \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon).$$

Notice that, since $\Sigma_{\mathcal{W}} g \cap \{f = 0\} = \{0\}$, $\Sigma_{\mathcal{W}} g \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon \subset \{f \neq 0\}$. Also, by definition, $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V}) \setminus \{0\} \subset \{f \neq 0\}$. Therefore, \tilde{n} counts the number of Morse points of a Morsification of $f|_{\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon}$ coming from $\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon \cap \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}} g \cap \{f \neq 0\} \cap \{g = 0\}$ and from $\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon \cap \tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V}) \cap \{f \neq 0\} \cap \{g \neq 0\}$.

By Lemma 4.2, the number of Morse points of a Morsification of $f|_{\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon}$ appearing on $\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon \cap \tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V}) \cap \{f \neq 0\} \cap \{g \neq 0\}$ is precisely n . Let us describe the number of

Morse points of a Morsification of $f|_{\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon}$ appearing on $\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon \cap \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}g} \cap \{f \neq 0\} \cap \{g = 0\}$. Using that $\Sigma_{\mathcal{W}g} \subset \{g = 0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon \cap \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}g} &\Leftrightarrow \tilde{g}(x) = \alpha' \text{ and } d_x g = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow g(x) + f(x)^N = \alpha' \text{ and } d_x g = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow f(x)^N = \alpha' \text{ and } d_x g = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow f(x) \in \{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{N-1}\} \text{ and } d_x g = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{N-1}\}$ are the N -th roots of α' . Therefore,

$$\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon \cap \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}g} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} f^{-1}(\alpha_i) \cap B_\epsilon \cap \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}g}.$$

Since $\Sigma_{\mathcal{W}g}$ is one-dimensional, $f^{-1}(\alpha_i) \cap \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}g}$ is a finite set of critical points of $f|_{\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon}$. Since $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,\tilde{g}}(\mathcal{V}) = \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}g} \cup \tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V})$, each branch b_j of $\Sigma_{\mathcal{W}g}$ is a component of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,\tilde{g}}(\mathcal{V})$. If $V_{i(j)}$ is the stratum of \mathcal{V}'' containing b_j , then $f|_{V_{i(j)} \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha')}$ has isolated singularity at each point $x_l \in b_j \cap f^{-1}(\alpha_i) \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha')$, $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $l \in \{i_1, \dots, i_{k(j)}\}$ (page 974, [13]). Using Proposition 1.9, we can count the number n_l of Morse points of a Morsification of $f|_{\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon}$ in a neighborhood of each x_l ,

$$Eu_{f,X \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha')}(x_l) = (-1)^{d-1} n_l.$$

Since the Euler obstruction of a function is constant on each branch b_j , so is the Euler obstruction of a function and we can denote $Eu_{f,X \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha')}(x_l)$ by $Eu_{f,X \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha')}(b_j)$, for all $x_l \in b_j \cap f^{-1}(\alpha_i) \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha')$. Therefore, if $b_j \cap f^{-1}(\alpha_i) \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') = \{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_{m_f, b_j}}\}$, the number of Morse points of a Morsification of $f|_{\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon}$ appearing on $(X_{reg} \setminus \{\tilde{g} = 0\}) \cap b_j \cap \{\tilde{g} = \alpha'\} \cap B_\epsilon \cap \{f = \alpha_i\}$ is

$$n_{j_1} + \dots + n_{j_{m_f, b_j}} = (-1)^{d-1} m_{f, b_j} Eu_{f,X \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha')}(x_l).$$

Making the same analysis over each $\alpha_i \in \sqrt[N]{\alpha'}$, the number of Morse points of a Morsification of $f|_{\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon}$ appearing in $X_{reg} \setminus \{\tilde{g} = 0\} \cap \{g = 0\} \cap \{\tilde{g} = \alpha'\} \cap B_\epsilon$ is

$$(-1)^{d-1} N \sum_{j=1}^r m_{f, b_j} Eu_{f,X \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha')}(b_j).$$

■

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose that g is tractable at the origin with respect to \mathcal{V} . If $0 < |\alpha|, |\alpha'| \ll \epsilon$ and N is bigger than the maximum gap ratio of each component of the symmetric relative polar curve $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V})$ and such that Proposition 3.1 is satisfied, then*

$$B_{\tilde{g},X}(0) = B_{g,X}(0) + N \sum_{j=1}^r m_{f, b_j} Eu_{f,X \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha')}(b_j).$$

Proof. It follows by Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.3. ■

This formula gives a way to compare the numerical data associated to the generalized Milnor fibre of a function g with a one-dimensional singular locus and to the generalized

Milnor fibre of the deformation $\tilde{g} = g + f^N$, for $N \gg 1$ sufficiently large. This is what Lê [9] and Iomdin [8] have done in the case where g is defined over a complete intersection in \mathbb{C}^n , g has a one-dimensional critical locus and f is a generic linear form over \mathbb{C}^n . Therefore, Theorem 4.4 generalizes this Lê-Iomdin formula.

For $X = \mathbb{C}^n$, let us consider $\mathcal{W} = \{\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}, \{0\}\}$ the Whitney stratification of \mathbb{C}^n . If f has isolated singularity at the origin, the good stratification \mathcal{V} of \mathbb{C}^n induced by f is given by $\mathcal{V} = \{\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{f = 0\}, \{f = 0\} \setminus \{0\}, \{0\}\}$.

Corollary 4.5. *Suppose that g is tractable at the origin with respect to \mathcal{V} relative to f . If α and α' are regular values of g and \tilde{g} , respectively, with $0 < |\alpha|, |\alpha'| \ll \epsilon$, then*

$$\chi(\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon) = \chi(g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon) + (-1)^{n-1} N \sum_{j=1}^r m_{f,b_j} \mu(g|_{f^{-1}(\delta_{j_i})}, b_j),$$

where $\mu(g|_{f^{-1}(\delta_{j_i})}, b_j)$ denotes the Milnor number of $g|_{X \cap f^{-1}(\delta_{j_i}) \cap B_\epsilon}$ at a point x_{j_i} of the branch b_j , with $f(x_{j_i}) = \delta_{j_i}$.

Proof. By [17], $\mathcal{V}' = \{\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{f = 0\} \cup \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}} g, \{f = 0\} \setminus \{0\}, \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}} g, \{0\}\}$ is a good stratification of \mathbb{C}^n relative to f . Also by [17], \mathcal{V}'' , given by

$$\{\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{f = 0\} \cup \{g = 0\}, \{f = 0\} \setminus \{g = 0\}, \{g = 0\} \setminus \{f = 0\} \cup \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}} g, \{f = 0\} \cap \{g = 0\} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}} g, \Sigma_{\mathcal{W}} g, \{0\}\},$$

is a good stratification of \mathbb{C}^n relative to g .

By definition of the Brasselet number, if $0 < |\alpha| \ll \epsilon \ll 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{g,X}(0) &= \sum_{V_i \in \mathcal{V}''} \chi(V_i \cap g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon) Eu_{\mathbb{C}^n}(V_i) \\ &= \chi((\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{f = 0\} \cup \{g = 0\}) \cap g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon) Eu_{\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{f = 0\} \cup \{g = 0\}) \\ &\quad + \chi((\{f = 0\} \setminus \{g = 0\}) \cap g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon) Eu_{\mathbb{C}^n}(\{f = 0\} \setminus \{g = 0\}) \\ &= \chi((\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{g = 0\}) \cap g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon) \\ &= \chi(g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

The good stratification of \mathbb{C}^n induced by \tilde{g} is $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} = \{\{\tilde{g} = 0\}, \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{\tilde{g} = 0\}, \{0\}\}$ and then, if $0 < |\alpha'| \ll \epsilon \ll 1$,

$$B_{\tilde{g},X}(0) = \chi(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{\tilde{g} = 0\} \cap g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon) Eu_{\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}) = \chi(\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon).$$

Since $f|_{\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon}$ is defined over \mathbb{C}^n and has isolated singularity at each $x_{j_i} \in b_j$, considering a small ball $B_\epsilon(x_{j_i})$ with radius ϵ and center at x_{j_i} , for $0 < |\delta| \ll \epsilon \ll 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} Eu_{f,\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha')}(x_{j_i}) &= (-1)^{n-1} \mu(f|_{\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha')}, x_{j_i}) \\ &= (-1)^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1} [\chi((f|_{\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha')})^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_\epsilon(x_{j_i})) - 1] \\ &= \chi(f^{-1}(\delta_{j_i} - \delta) \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon(x_{j_i})) - 1, f(x_{j_i}) = \delta_{j_i} \\ &\stackrel{*}{=} \chi(f^{-1}(\delta_{j_i}) \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha' - \delta) \cap B_\epsilon(x_{j_i})) - 1 \\ &= \chi(f^{-1}(\delta_{j_i}) \cap g^{-1}(\alpha' - \delta_{j_i}^N - \delta) \cap B_\epsilon(x_{j_i})) - 1, g(x_{j_i}) = \alpha' - \delta_{j_i}^N \\ &= \chi((g|_{f^{-1}(\delta_{j_i})})^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_\epsilon(x_{j_i})) - 1 \\ &= (-1)^{n-1} \mu(g|_{f^{-1}(\delta_{j_i})}, x_{j_i}), \end{aligned}$$

where the equality $(*)$ is justified by Proposition 6.2 of [6]. Therefore, applying Theorem 4.4, we obtain

$$\chi(\tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon) = \chi(g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon) + (-1)^{n-1} N \sum_{j=1}^r m_{f,b_j} \mu(g|_{f^{-1}(\delta_{j_i})}, b_j).$$

■

Another consequence of Theorem 4.4 is a different proof for the Lê-Iomdin formula proved by Massey in [14] in the case of a function with a one-dimensional singular locus. For that we will need the definition of the Lê-numbers. We present here the case for functions defined over a nonsingular subspace of \mathbb{C}^n , and we recommend Part I of [14] for the general case. Let $h : (U, 0) \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be an analytic function such that its critical locus Σh is a s -dimensional set. For $0 \leq k \leq n$, the **k -th relative polar variety** $\Gamma_{h,z}^k$ of h with respect to z is the scheme $V\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial z_k}, \dots, \frac{\partial h}{\partial z_n}\right) / \Sigma h$, where $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ are fixed local coordinates and the **k -th polar cycle** of h with respect to z is the analytic cycle $[\Gamma_{h,z}^k]$. The **k -th Lê cycle** $[\Lambda_{h,z}^k]$ of h with respect to z is the difference of cycles $\left[\Gamma_{h,z}^{k+1} \cap V\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial z_k}\right)\right] - [\Gamma_{h,z}^k]$.

Definition 4.6. The **k -th Lê number** of h in p with respect to z , $\lambda_{h,z}^k$, is the intersection number

$$(\Lambda_{h,z}^k \cdot V(z_0 - p_0, \dots, z_{k-1} - p_{k-1}))_p,$$

provided this intersection is purely zero-dimensional at p .

If this intersection is not purely zero-dimensional, the k -th Lê number of h at p with respect to z is said to be undefined.

Corollary 4.7. Let \mathcal{V} be the good stratification of an open set $(U, 0) \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ induced by a generic linear form l defined over \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . Let $N \geq 2$, $\mathbf{z} = (z_0, \dots, z_n)$ be a linear choice of coordinates such that $\lambda_{g,\mathbf{z}}^i(0)$ is defined for $i = 0, 1$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{z}} = (z_1, \dots, z_n, z_0)$ be the coordinates for $\tilde{g} = g + l^N$ such that $\lambda_{\tilde{g},\tilde{\mathbf{z}}}^0$ is defined. If N is greater than the maximum gap ratio of each component of the symmetric relative polar curve $\tilde{\Gamma}_{f,g}(\mathcal{V})$ and such that Proposition 3.1 is satisfied, then

$$\lambda_{\tilde{g},\tilde{\mathbf{z}}}^0(0) = \lambda_{g,\mathbf{z}}^0(0) + (N-1)\lambda_{g,\mathbf{z}}^1(0).$$

Proof. By [17], g is tractable at the origin with respect to the good stratification \mathcal{V} induced by l . Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $l = z_0$. Let $F_{g,0}$ be the Milnor fibre of g at the origin and $F_{\tilde{g},0}$ be the Milnor fibre of \tilde{g} at the origin. Since g has a one-dimensional critical set, the possibly nonzero Lê numbers are $\lambda_{g,\mathbf{z}}^0(0)$ and $\lambda_{g,\mathbf{z}}^1(0)$ and, since \tilde{g} has isolated singularity at the origin, the only possibly nonzero Lê number is $\lambda_{\tilde{g},\tilde{\mathbf{z}}}^0(0)$. By Theorem 4.3 of [15],

$$\chi(F_{g,0}) = 1 + (-1)^n \lambda_{g,\mathbf{z}}^0(0) + (-1)^{n-1} \lambda_{g,\mathbf{z}}^1(0)$$

and

$$\chi(F_{\tilde{g},0}) = 1 + (-1)^n \lambda_{\tilde{g},\tilde{\mathbf{z}}}^0(0).$$

In [14], on page 49, Massey remarked that for $0 < |\delta| \ll \epsilon \ll 1$,

$$\lambda_{g,\mathbf{z}}^1(0) = \sum_{j=1}^r m_{b_j} \mu(g|_{l^{-1}(\delta)}, b_j).$$

Therefore, the formula holds by Corollary 4.5. ■

5 Applications to generic linear forms

Let $g : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a complex analytic function-germ and l be a generic linear form in \mathbb{C}^n . Let $\mathcal{W} = \{\{0\}, W_1, \dots, W_q\}$ be a Whitney stratification of X and \mathcal{V} be the good stratification of X induced by l . Suppose that $\Sigma_{\mathcal{W}}g$ is one-dimensional.

Let \mathcal{V}' be the good stratification of X relative to l , \mathcal{V}'' be the good stratification of X relative to g and $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ be the good stratification of X induced by $\tilde{g} = g + l^N$, $N \gg 1$, taken as in Section 4.

Let α be a regular value of g , α' a regular value of \tilde{g} , $0 < |\alpha|, |\alpha'| \ll \epsilon \ll 1$, n be the number of stratified Morse points of a Morsification of $l|_{X \cap g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon}$ appearing on $X_{reg} \cap g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap \{l \neq 0\} \cap B_\epsilon$, n_i be the number of stratified Morse points of a Morsification of $l|_{W_i \setminus (\{g=0\} \cup \{l=0\}) \cap g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon}$ appearing on $W_i \cap g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap \{l \neq 0\} \cap B_\epsilon$, \tilde{n} be the number of stratified Morse points of a Morsification of $l|_{X \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon}$ appearing on $X_{reg} \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap \{l \neq 0\} \cap B_\epsilon$ and \tilde{n}_i be the number of stratified Morse points of a Morsification of $l|_{W_i \setminus \{\tilde{g}=0\} \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon}$ appearing on $W_i \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap \{l \neq 0\} \cap B_\epsilon$, for each $W_i \in \mathcal{W}$.

As before, we write $\Sigma_{\mathcal{W}}g$ as a union of branches $b_1 \cup \dots \cup b_r$ and we suppose that $\{l = \delta\} \cap b_j = \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{k(j)}}\}$. For each $t \in \{i_1, \dots, i_{k(j)}\}$, let D_{x_t} be the closed ball with center at x_t and radius r_t , $0 < |\alpha|, |\alpha'| \ll |\delta| \ll r_t \ll \epsilon \ll 1$, sufficiently small for the balls D_{x_t} be pairwise disjoint and the union of balls $D_j = D_{x_{i_1}} \cup \dots \cup D_{x_{i_{k(j)}}}$ be contained in B_ϵ and ϵ is sufficiently small such that the local Euler obstruction of X at a point of $b_j \cap B_\epsilon$ is constant.

In [20], Tibăr gave a bouquet decomposition to for the Milnor fibre of \tilde{g} in terms of the Milnor fibre of g . Let us denote by F_g the local Milnor fibre of g at the origin, $F_{\tilde{g}}$ the local Milnor fibre of \tilde{g} at the origin and F_j is the local Milnor fibre of $g|_{\{l=\delta\}}$ at a point of the branch b_j . Then there is a homotopy equivalence

$$F_{\tilde{g}} \xrightarrow{ht} (F_g \cup E) \bigvee_{j=1}^r \bigvee_{M_j} S(F_j),$$

where \bigvee denotes the wedge sum of topological spaces, $M_j = Nm_{b_j} - 1$, $S(F_j)$ denotes the topological suspension over F_j , $E := \bigcup_{j=1}^r \text{Cone}(F_j)$ and $F_g \cup E$ is the attaching to F_g of one cone over $F_j \subset F_g$ for each $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. As a consequence of this theorem, Tibăr proved a Lê-Iomdin formula for the Euler characteristic of these Milnor fibres.

In the following, we present a new proof for this formula using our previous results.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose that g is tractable at the origin with respect to \mathcal{V} . If $0 < |\alpha|, |\alpha'| \ll |\delta| \ll \epsilon \ll 1$, then*

$$\chi(X \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon) - \chi(X \cap g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon) = N \sum_{j=1}^r m_{b_j} (1 - \chi(F_j)),$$

where $F_j = X \cap g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap H_j \cap D_{x_t}$ is the local Milnor fibre of $g|_{\{l=\delta\}}$ at a point of the branch b_j and H_j denotes the generic hyperplane $l^{-1}(\delta)$ passing through $x_t \in b_j$, for $t \in \{i_1, \dots, i_{k(j)}\}$.

Proof. For a stratum $V_i = W_i \setminus (\{g = 0\} \cup \{l = 0\})$ in \mathcal{V}'' , $W_i \in \mathcal{W}$, let N_i be a normal slice to V_i at $x_t \in b_j$, for $t \in \{i_1, \dots, i_{k(j)}\}$ and D_{x_t} a closed ball of radius r_t centered at x_t .

Considering the constructible function $\mathbf{1}_X$, the normal Morse index along V_i is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(V_i, \mathbf{1}_X) &= \chi(W_i \setminus (\{g = 0\} \cup \{l = 0\}) \cap N_i \cap D_{x_t}) \\ &= \chi(W_i \setminus (\{g = 0\} \cup \{l = 0\}) \cap N_i \cap \{g = \alpha\} \cap D_{x_t}) \\ &= \chi(W_i \cap N_i \cap D_{x_t}) - \chi(W_i \cap N_i \cap \{g = \alpha\} \cap D_{x_t}) \\ &= 1 - \chi(l_{W_i}).\end{aligned}$$

For a stratum $\tilde{V}_i = W_i \setminus \{\tilde{g} = 0\} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$, $W_i \in \mathcal{W}$, let \tilde{N}_i be a normal slice to \tilde{V}_i at $x_t \in b_j$, for $t \in \{i_1, \dots, i_{k(j)}\}$. Considering the constructible function $\mathbf{1}_X$, the normal Morse index along \tilde{V}_i is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(\tilde{V}_i, \mathbf{1}_X) &= \chi((W_i \setminus \{\tilde{g} = 0\}) \cap \tilde{N}_i \cap D_{x_t}) - \chi((W_i \setminus \{\tilde{g} = 0\}) \cap \tilde{N}_i \cap \{\tilde{g} = \alpha'\} \cap D_{x_t}) \\ &= \chi(W_i \cap \tilde{N}_i \cap D_{x_t}) - \chi(W_i \cap \tilde{N}_i \cap \{\tilde{g} = \alpha'\} \cap D_{x_t}) \\ &= 1 - \chi(l_{W_i}).\end{aligned}$$

Then applying Theorem 4.2 of [6] for $\mathbf{1}_X$, we obtain that

$$\chi(X \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon) - \chi(X \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap l^{-1}(0) \cap B_\epsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^q (-1)^{d_i-1} \tilde{n}_i (1 - \chi(l_{W_i}))$$

and that

$$\chi(X \cap g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon) - \chi(X \cap g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap l^{-1}(0) \cap B_\epsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^q (-1)^{d_i-1} n_i (1 - \chi(l_{W_i})),$$

where $d_i = \dim W_i$.

Therefore, since $\chi(X \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap l^{-1}(0) \cap B_\epsilon) = \chi(X \cap g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap l^{-1}(0) \cap B_\epsilon)$,

$$\chi(X \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon) - \chi(X \cap g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^q (-1)^{d_i-1} (\tilde{n}_i - n_i) (1 - \chi(l_{W_i})).$$

Applying Lemma 4.3 and Corollary 3.14, we obtain, for each i ,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{n}_i &= n_i + (-1)^{d_i-1} N \sum_{j=1}^r m_{b_j} Eu_{l, \overline{W_i} \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha')}(b_j) \\ &= n_i + (-1)^{d_i-1} N \sum_{j=1}^r m_{b_j} Eu_{g, \overline{W_i} \cap H_j}(b_j),\end{aligned}$$

where H_j denotes the generic hyperplane $l^{-1}(\delta)$ passing through $x_t \in b_j$, for $t \in \{i_1, \dots, i_{k(j)}\}$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(X \cap \tilde{g}^{-1}(\alpha') \cap B_\epsilon) - \chi(X \cap g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon) &= N \sum_{i=1}^q \left(\sum_{j=1}^r m_{b_j} Eu_{g, \overline{W_i} \cap H_j}(b_j) \right) (1 - \chi(l_{W_i})) \\ &= N \sum_{j=1}^r m_{b_j} \left(1 - \chi(X \cap g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap H_j \cap D_{x_t}) \right) \\ &= N \sum_{j=1}^r m_{b_j} (1 - \chi(F_j)),\end{aligned}$$

for $t \in \{i_1, \dots, i_{k(j)}\}$. ■

References

- [1] AMENT, D. A. H.; NUÑO-BALLESTEROS, J. J. ; ORÉFICE-OKAMOTO, B. ; TOMAZELLA, J. N., *The Euler obstruction of a function on a determinantal variety and on a curve.*, Bulletin Brazilian Mathematical Society (Online) , v. 47 (2016), p. 955-970.
- [2] BRASSELET, J.P., LÊ, D.T., SEADE, J., *Euler obstruction and indices of vector fields*, Topology, v.39, (2000), p. 1193-1208.
- [3] BRASSELET, J-P., MASSEY, D., PARAMESWARAN, AJ. AND SEADE, J. *Euler obstruction and defects of functions on singular varieties*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society, Cambridge University Press, 70, n.1, (2004), p. 59-76.
- [4] DALBELO, T. M.; HARTMANN, L., *Brasselet number and Newton polygons*, MANUSCRIPTA MATHEMATICA. (2019)
- [5] DALBELO, T. M.; PEREIRA, M. S., *Multitoric surfaces and Euler obstruction of a function*, International Journal of Mathematics, v.27 (2016), p. 1650084.
- [6] DUTERTRE, N., GRULHA JR., N.G., *Lê-Greuel type formula for the Euler obstruction and applications*, Adv. Math. 251 (2014), p. 127-146.
- [7] DUTERTRE, N., GRULHA JR., N.G., *Global Euler obstruction, global Brasselet numbers and critical points* (2019).
- [8] IOMDIN, N. *Complex surfaces with a one-dimensional set of singularities*, Siberian Mathematical Journal, Springer, v. 15, n. 5, (1974), p. 748-762.
- [9] LÊ, D.T. *Ensembles analytiques complexes avec lieu singulier de dimension un (d'après Iomdine)*, Seminaire sur les Singularités, Publications Mathématiques de l'Université Paris VII, (1980), p. 87-95.
- [10] LOI, T. L. *Tame topology and Tarski-type systems*, Vietnam J. Math, 961, v. 31, n. 2, (2003), p.127-136.
- [11] LOJASIEWICZ, S., *Introduction to complex analytic geometry*, Birkhäuser (1991).
- [12] LOOIJENGA, E., *Isolated singular points on complete intersections*, Cambridge University Press, v. 77, (1984).
- [13] MASSEY, D., *Hypercohomology of Milnor Fibers*, Topology, 35 (1996), no. 4 p. 969-1003.
- [14] MASSEY, D. *Numerical control over complex analytic singularities*, American Mathematical Soc., (2003).
- [15] MASSEY, D. *The Lê-Ramanujam problem for hypersurfaces with one-dimensional singular sets*, Mathematische Annalen, Springer, v 282, n 1 (1988), p. 33-49.
- [16] MILNOR, W. J. , *Singular Points of Complex Hypersurfaces*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, **25**, New Jersey, (1968).

- [17] SANTANA, H. *Brasselet number and function-germs with a one-dimensional critical set*, arXiv:1909.00803 [math.GT], (2019).
- [18] SEADE, J., TIBĂR, M. AND VERJOVSKY, A. *Milnor numbers and Euler obstruction*, *Bulletin of the Brazilian Mathematical Society*, Springer, v. 36, n. 2, (2005), p. 275-283.
- [19] SEADE, J., TIBĂR, M. AND VERJOVSKY, A. *Global Euler obstruction and polar invariants*, *Math. Ann.*, 333 (2005), no. 2, p. 393-403.
- [20] TIBĂR, M. *Embedding nonisolated singularities into isolated singularities*, Springer, (1998), p.103-115.

(Hellen Monção de Carvalho Santana) Universidade de São Paulo, Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e de Computação - USP, Avenida Trabalhador São-Carlense, 400 - Centro, São Carlos, Brazil. *E-mail address:* hellenmcarvalho@hotmail.com