TRIMMED SUMS OF TWISTS AND THE AREA SIEGEL-VEECH CONSTANT.
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ABSTRACT. We relate trimmed sums of twists in cylinders along a typical Teichmüller geodesic to the area Siegel-Veech constant.

1. INTRODUCTION

The strong law in [10] relates trimmed sums of excursions of a random geodesic in the thin parts of moduli spaces of quadratic differentials to Siegel-Veech constants. This strong law is a generalisation of the Diamond-Vaa\i ler strong law [4] for continued fraction coefficients: for almost every $r \in [0, 1]$ the continued fraction coefficients of $r$ satisfy

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n - \max_{j<n} a_k}{n \log n} = \frac{1}{\log 2}.$$ 

By work of Series [16], continued fraction coefficients can be interpreted in terms of hyperbolic geodesic rays on the modular surface $X = \mathbb{H}/SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. In the upper half-space model, consider the (vertical) hyperbolic geodesic $\gamma$ converging to $r \in [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R} \cup \infty = \partial \mathbb{H}$. Since $r$ is irrational, the geodesic $\gamma$ passes through infinitely many horoballs in the Ford packing. As $\gamma$ enters and leaves the $k$-th horoball $H_k$, the distance along $\partial H_k$ between the entry and exit points is, up to a uniform additive constant, the same as the coefficient $a_k$ in the continued fraction expansion of $r$.

The generalisation then proceeds by two steps. Let $\Gamma$ be a non-uniform lattice in $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, such as $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. First, one proves a continuous time strong law for trimmed sums of excursions of hyperbolic geodesics in cusp neighbourhoods of $X = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma$. The limit is $(2/\pi)$ times the relative volume in $X$ of the cusp neighbourhoods. For $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, one can then invoke a well known asymptotic for the number $n$ of coefficients as a function of the time parameter $T$ along a random vertical geodesic on $X = \mathbb{H}/SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. This allows us to pass from the continuous time strong law to the discrete version of Diamond-Vaa\i ler. The proof of the continuous time strong law uses the exponential decay of correlations by Moore, Ratner [12], [15] for the geodesic flow on $T^1 X$. It combines the decay of co-relations with estimates coming from the cusps geometry of $X$.

From this point, the strong law generalises to cusp excursions of Teichmüller geodesics in the thin parts of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$-orbit closures. The exponential decay of correlations for the flow by Avila-Gouëzel-Yoccoz [2] and Avila-Resende [3] has been shown to generalise to orbit closures by Avila-Gouëzel [1]. The cusps geometry is significantly more involved because the thin parts can intersect in complicated ways. However, regularity of the (absolutely continuous) invariant measure proved by Dozier [5] and a counting result for short saddle connections by Eskin-Masur [7] imply that the higher rank contributions to the estimates are asymptotically negligible. Analogous to the Fuchsian situation, the limit in the strong law is a fixed multiple of the Siegel-Veech constant associated to the thin part.
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In this note, we state a particular version of a trimmed sum strong law not set out explicitly in [10]. We prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $S$ be an oriented surface of finite type. Let $\mu$ be a regular $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$-invariant measure in the Lebesgue class on an affine invariant manifold $\mathcal{N}$ in a stratum $\mathcal{Q}(\alpha)$ of quadratic differentials on $S$. For $\mu$-almost every $\alpha \in \mathcal{N}$, let $\phi_j(q)$ be the Teichmüller geodesic ray given by $q$. Suppose that till time $T > 0$ the ray $\phi_t$ has excursions in the thin parts of cylinders $\{\text{Cyl}_1, \ldots, \text{Cyl}_{N(T)}\}$, ordered by time. Let $\alpha_j$ be the core curve of $\text{Cyl}_j$. Then

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{j < N(T)} \text{tw}(\alpha_j) - \max_{j < N(T)} \text{tw}(\alpha_j)}{T \log T} = 4c_{\text{area}}(\mathcal{N}),$$

where $c_{\text{area}}$ is the area Siegel-Veech constant for $\mathcal{N}$ and $\text{tw}(\alpha_k)$ is the number of twists in $\alpha$ that $\phi_t(q)$ has during its excursion in the thin part of $\text{Cyl}_j$.

The Teichmüller flow can be coded symbolically in a number of ways. Theorem 1.1 thus sets up the intriguing prospect of a direct computer verification/computation of area Siegel-Veech constants. These constants are important for their relationship with other dynamical quantities such as the Lyapunov spectrum for the Teichmüller flow [6, Theorem 1].

**1.2. Acknowledgements:** I thank V. Delecroix and S. Schleimer for their suggestion to formulate Theorem 1.1 relating trimmed sums of cylinder twists to area Siegel-Veech constants.

### 2. Preliminaries

#### 2.1. Quadratic differentials: Let $S$ be an oriented surface of finite type. The Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(S)$ is the space of marked conformal structures on $S$. The mapping class group $\text{Mod}(S)$ is the group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of $S$. It acts on $\mathcal{T}(S)$ by changing the marking. The quotient $\mathcal{M}(S) = \mathcal{T}(S)/\text{Mod}(S)$ is the moduli space of Riemann surfaces $X$ of type $S$.

For a Riemann surface $X$, let $\mathcal{Q}(X)$ be the set of meromorphic quadratic differentials on $X$ with simple poles at the punctures. If $(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r)$ are the multiplicities of the zeros then $k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_r = 2g - 2 + n$, where $n$ is the number of punctures. A quadratic differential is equivalent to a half-translation structure on $S$, i.e. it defines charts from $S$ to $\mathbb{C}$ with transition functions of the form $z \to \pm z + c$. The resulting flat metric has a cone singularity with angle $(k + 2)\pi$ at a $k$-order zero (or with $k = -1$ for a simple pole). A quadratic differential is unit area if the corresponding singular flat metric has area 1. The space $\mathcal{Q}$ is stratified by the multiplicity of its zeros: we denote the stratum with multiplicities $\alpha = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r)$ by $\mathcal{Q}(\alpha)$. Each stratum is $\text{Mod}(S)$ invariant. We will continue to denote the moduli space by $\mathcal{Q}(\alpha)$.

The action of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$ preserves the form of the transitions, that is, a half-translation is taken to a half-translation. Hence, it descends to an action of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ on $\mathcal{Q}(\alpha)$. The diagonal action gives the Teichmüller flow on $\mathcal{Q}(\alpha)$.

The compact part $\text{SO}(2, \mathbb{R})$ leaves the conformal structure unchanged. As a result, one gets isometric embeddings of $\mathbb{H} = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})/\text{SO}(2, \mathbb{R})$ in $\mathcal{T}(S)$. These are called Teichmüller discs. We denote the Teichmüller disc determined by a quadratic differential $q$ as $\mathbb{H}(q)$.

#### 2.2. Orbit closures: Let $\alpha$ be a homology class in the first homology of $S$ relative to the singularities. The period/holonomy for $\alpha$ is the complex number given by integrating a square root of $q$ along a contour representing $\alpha$. For a quadratic differential that is not a square of an abelian differential, the holonomy is only defined up to sign. The periods/holonomies of a fixed basis for the homology of $S$ relative to the singularities, give local co-ordinates on
Q(α). Eskin-Mirzakhani-Mohammadi [29] showed that \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \)-orbit closures are affine submanifolds in the period co-ordinates. Eskin-Mirzakhani [8] showed that ergodic \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \)-invariant measures are Lebesgue measures supported on such affine submanifolds.

2.3. Siegel-Veech formula: Let \( V \) be a \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \)-invariant loci of vectors (with multiplicities) in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\} \), that is, for each \( q \in \mathcal{N} \), the subset \( V(q) \) is a discrete subset of (weighted) non-zero vectors in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and the assignment \( q \to V(q) \) is \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \)-equivariant. The Siegel-Veech transform given by an \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \)-invariant locus \( V \) takes compactly supported functions on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) to a function on \( \mathcal{N} \). For a compactly supported function \( f \) on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), it is defined as

\[
\hat{f}(q) = \sum_{v \in V(q)} f(v)
\]

Under some additional assumptions on the locus \( V \) (see [7] Section 2) one gets the Siegel-Veech formula

\[
\int_{\mathcal{N}} \hat{f} \, d\mu = c(V, \mu) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f \, d\text{Leb}
\]

where \( c(V, \mu) \) is a non-negative constant that is zero if and only \( \hat{f} \) is zero \( \mu \)-almost everywhere for all compactly supported functions \( f \). See [7] Section 2 for the precise details.

2.4. Thin parts: Let \( V \) be an \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \)-invariant locus. Let \( \epsilon > 0 \) be a fixed constant. The \( \epsilon \)-thin part of \( \mathcal{N} \) given by \( V \) is the set of all \( q \in \mathcal{N} \) such that the subset \( V(q) \) contains a (possibly weighted) vector \( v \) satisfying \( \|v\|^2 \leq \epsilon \). To get a sensible definition, we have to assume that \( \epsilon > 0 \) is small enough depending only on \( \mathcal{N} \) and \( V \). With this assumption, we will suppress \( \epsilon \) in the notation hereafter. So we will call the \( \epsilon \)-thin part of \( \mathcal{N} \) given by \( V \) simply the \( V \)-thin part of \( \mathcal{N} \), We will denote it by \( \mathcal{N}_{V, \epsilon} \).

By applying the Siegel-Veech formula to the characteristic function of a ball of radius \( \epsilon / R \) about the origin in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and then letting \( R \to \infty \) one derives the asymptotic

\[
\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{\mu(\mathcal{N}_{V, \epsilon} / R)}{\pi \epsilon / R} = c(V, \mu).
\]

The details can be found in [7].

3. THE AREA SIEGEL-VEECH CONSTANT AND EXCURSIONS IN CYLINDER THIN PARTS

3.1. Area Siegel-Veech constants: A saddle connection on a half-translation surface \((S, q)\) is a straight line segment in the flat metric embedded on its interior such that a singularity/ pole of \( q \) is a point of the saddle connection if and only if it is its endpoint. A metric cylinder \( \text{Cyl} \) in \( q \) is an embedded cylinder that is a union of freely homotopic closed trajectories of \( q \). For our purposes, we will always consider maximal cylinders, that is, the boundary components are a concatenation of saddle connections. We will drop the adjective maximal from now as it is implicitly assumed.

Associated to cylinders, there are natural \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \)-invariant locus \( V \) that use holonomies (periods) of core curves of cylinders in \( q \). As an example, the holonomy of a cylinder \( \text{Cyl}_\alpha \) with core curve \( \alpha \) can be weighted by Area(\( \text{Cyl}_\alpha \)). Since the \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \) action preserves area we get an \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \)-invariant locus which we denote by \( V_{\text{area}} \). By the work of Veech [17] and Vorobets [18], the locus \( V_{\text{area}} \) satisfies the assumptions required for the derivation of the Siegel-Veech formula. The Siegel-Veech constant \( c_{\text{area}}(\mathcal{N}) \) in this case is called the area Siegel-Veech constant. See [6] Section 1.6] for more details.

Let \( \text{Cyl}_\alpha \) be a cylinder in the half-translation surface \((S, q)\) with core curve \( \alpha \). The flat length \( \ell_q(\alpha) \) of \( \alpha \) is exactly \( \|v(\alpha)\| \), where \( v(\alpha) \) is the holonomy of \( \alpha \). We fix an \( \epsilon > 0 \) small enough so that there is an open set in \( \mathcal{N} \) such that for any \( q \) in this open set \( \ell_q(\alpha)^2 > \epsilon \) for
every cylinder $\text{Cyl}_a$ in $(S, q)$. The cylinder thin part of $\mathcal{N}$ is then the subset of those $q$ such that $\ell_q(\alpha)^2 \leq c$ for a core curve $\alpha$ of some cylinder. The intersection of the cylinder thin part of $\mathcal{N}$ with a typical Teichmüller disc $\mathcal{H}(q)$ is a collection of horoballs. Given a cylinder $\text{Cyl}_a$, the subset of $\mathcal{H}(q)$ where $a$ is short is a horoball $H_a$ whose point at infinity (in $S^1 = \partial \mathcal{H}(q)$) is given by the direction $\theta$ in which the cylinder given by $a$ is vertical.

3.2. **Excursions:** Let $H$ be a horoball in a Teichmüller disc $\mathcal{H}(q)$. Let $\pi : H \to \partial H$ be the closest point projection. For a finite geodesic segment $\gamma$ that enters $H$ we define the excursion $E(\gamma, H)$ as

$$ E(\gamma, H) = \ell_{\partial H}(\pi_H(\gamma)) $$

where the length on the right is measured by using the path metric on the boundary $\partial H$ of the horoball $H$.

When a horoball $H$ is part of a collection arising from an $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$-invariant locus $V$, then there might be a weight $A \neq 1$ assigned by $V$. For example, a cylinder horoball could be weighted by the flat area of the cylinder. In such cases, we let the excursion $E_V(\gamma, H)$ be $A$ times the length along $\partial H$ of $\pi(\gamma \cap H)$. In other words, $E_V(\gamma, H) = AE(\gamma, H)$.

For a geodesic ray $\gamma$ let $N(T)$ be the cylinder horoballs encountered by $\gamma$ till time $T$. Let us number these horoballs as $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_{N(T)}$ in the order of increasing time. Let $E_V(\gamma, T)$ be the sum

$$ E_V(\gamma, T) = \sum_{j \leq N(T)} E_V(\gamma, H_j). $$

The strong law in [10] states that for $\mu$-almost every $q$ in $\mathcal{N}$ we have

$$ \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{E_V(\gamma, T) - \max_{j \leq N(T)} E_V(\gamma, H_j)}{T \log T} = 2\epsilon c(V, \mu). $$

The original statement of the strong law in [10] was conditioned on $\mu$ being a regular measure, that is, $\mu$ is required to satisfy a particular quantitative version of independence for multiple (non-parallel) saddle connections being short. See [10] Section 4.3 for the precise description. In subsequent work, Dozier proved that all ergodic $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$-invariant measures in the Lebesgue class are regular. Hence, the regularity condition can now be dropped from the statement of the strong law. In the special case that $V = V_{\text{area}}$ the area Siegel-Veech constant shows up in the limit.

Suppose that the weights assigned by $V$ are bounded above, as for example in $V_{\text{area}}$. We will now show that the final excursion $E_V(\gamma, H_{N(T)})$ can be excluded from the trimmed sum without changing the limit. By [10] Corollary 3.5, for any constant $c > 1/2$ if $E_V(\gamma, H_{N(T)}) > T(\log T)^c$ then there is no other $k < N(T)$ such that $E_V(\gamma, H_k) > T(\log T)^c$. Thus $E_V(\gamma, H_{N(T)})$ gets trimmed if it is larger than $T(\log T)^c$. Otherwise $E_V(\gamma, H_{N(T)})$ is bounded above by $T(\log T)^c$ and hence $E_V(\gamma, H_{N(T)})/(T \log T) \to 0$ as $T \to \infty$. Thus we may exclude the final excursion to conclude that for $\mu$-almost every $q$ in $\mathcal{N}$

$$ \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{j \leq N(T)} E_{V_{\text{area}}}(\gamma, H_j) - \max_{j \leq N(T)} E_{V_{\text{area}}}(\gamma, H_j)}{T \log T} = 2\epsilon c_{\text{area}}(\mathcal{N}). $$

For times $T$ such that $\gamma_T$ is inside some horoball $H$, the excursion $E_V(\gamma, H_{N(T)})$ is only partial. The above version of the strong law allows us to restrict to trim sums of complete excursions excluding the final partial excursion if any.
3.4. Twists: We now recall from [11] Section 2.5] estimates relating excursions to twists in the core curves of the cylinders. As pointed out in [11], the derivation of this goes back to the work of Rafi [13, 14]. Here, we are interested in tracking the relationship of excursion to twists more carefully than it is done in [11].

Let us fix the Poincare disc model for $\mathbb{H}(q)$. In this model, the (unweighted by area) excursion $E(\gamma, H)$ can be interpreted as the "relative visual size" of the set of geodesic rays that go deeper than $\gamma$ in $H$. To be precise, suppose $\gamma$ is parameterised so that $\gamma(0) = X_0 \in \mathbb{H}(q)$. Let $\gamma_H$ be the geodesic ray from $X_0$ that goes straight to the cusp at infinity for the horoball $H$. Similarly, let $\gamma'_H$ be the geodesic ray from $X_0$ that is tangent to $H$ and on the same side of $\gamma_H$ as $\gamma$. Let $\phi_{\text{max}}$ be the angle between $\gamma_H$ and $\gamma'_H$ and $\phi$ be the angle between $\gamma_H$ and $\gamma$. See Figure 3.4.

By basic hyperbolic geometry, the excursion in $H$, unweighted by area, is up to uniform additive error given by

$$E(\gamma, H) = \frac{\phi_{\text{max}}}{\phi}.$$  

We leave the straightforward details of this to the reader.

Let $F^\pm$ be the vertical and horizontal measured foliations for $\gamma$. In the flat metric of $\gamma_t$, let $\beta_t$ be a segment that is perpendicular to a core curve $\alpha$ of the cylinder. The twist parameter $\text{tw}^{-}(\alpha)$ is the highest intersection number of a leaf of $F^-$ with $\beta_t$. Let $t_1$ and $t_2 \geq t_1$ be the times of entry and exit respectively of $\gamma$ in $H$. The twists $\text{tw}(\alpha)$ in $\alpha$ are defined as $\text{tw}^{-}(\alpha) - \text{tw}^{+}(\alpha)$. It does not matter that we use the horizontal foliation $F^-$. If we use the vertical foliation $F^+$, we get the same answer for $\text{tw}(\alpha)$ up to a uniform additive constant. By [11] Proposition 2.7], up to a uniform additive constant

$$\text{tw}(\alpha) = \text{tw}^{-}(\alpha) - \text{tw}^{+}(\alpha) = \frac{2A}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{\sin \phi_{\text{max}}}{\sin \phi} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\sin^2 \phi}{\sin^2 \phi_{\text{max}}}} \right),$$

where $A$ is the flat area of the cylinder.

Let $\xi > 1$. It is elementary to verity there is a constant $\phi_{\xi} > 0$ such that if $\phi_{\text{max}} < \phi_{\xi}$ then

$$\frac{\phi_{\text{max}}}{\xi \phi} < \frac{\sin \phi_{\text{max}}}{\sin \phi} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\sin^2 \phi}{\sin^2 \phi_{\text{max}}}} < \frac{\xi \phi_{\text{max}}}{\phi}.$$
By relating $\phi_{\text{max}}$ to time along $\gamma_H$ and hence $\gamma$, it follows that for any $\xi > 1$ there exists $s_\xi > 0$ such that if the entry time $t_1$ of $\gamma$ in $H$ satisfies $t_1 \geq s_\xi$ then
\[
\frac{2A}{e\xi^2} \left( \phi_{\text{max}} / \phi \right) < \tw(\alpha) < \frac{2A\xi}{e} \left( \phi_{\text{max}} / \phi \right).
\]
By \eqref{eq:3.6} the above bounds are equivalent to
\[
\tag{3.8}
\frac{2A}{e\xi^2} E(\gamma, H) < \tw(\alpha) < \frac{2A\xi}{e} E(\gamma, H).
\]

3.9. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let $\xi > 1$ and consider \eqref{eq:3.8}. If we weight by area, that is consider $V_{\text{area}}$, then the relationship between twists and excursions becomes
\[
\frac{2}{e\xi^2} E_{V_{\text{area}}}(\gamma, H) < \tw(\alpha) < \frac{2\xi}{e} E_{V_{\text{area}}}(\gamma, H).
\]
We use the relationship above in the excursion strong law \eqref{eq:3.3}. As $T \to \infty$, for all but finitely many initial excursions the entry times $t_j$ in the respective horoballs $H_j$ satisfy $t_j \geq s\xi$. We use this information in the strong law for excursions to conclude that for any $\xi > 1$ there exists $T\xi$ large enough depending on $\xi$ and the geodesic $\gamma$ such that
\[
\left( \frac{2}{e\xi^2} \right) 2e c_{\text{area}}(\mathcal{N}) < \frac{\sum_{j < N(T)} \tw(\alpha_j) - \max_{j < N(T)} \tw(\alpha_j)}{T \log T} < \left( \frac{2\xi}{e} \right) 2e c_{\text{area}}(\mathcal{N}).
\]
As $\xi \to 1$, this implies
\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{j < N(T)} \tw(\alpha_j) - \max_{j < N(T)} \tw(\alpha_j)}{T \log T} = 4c_{\text{area}}
\]
finishing the proof of Theorem 1.1.
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