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Abstract

We introduce the Hochschild extensions of dg algebras, which are $A_{\infty}$-algebras. We show that all exact Hochschild extensions are symmetric Hochschild extensions, more precisely, every exact Hochschild extension of a finite dimensional complete typical dg algebra is a symmetric $A_{\infty}$-algebra. Moreover, we prove that the Koszul dual of trivial extension is Calabi-Yau completion and the Koszul dual of exact Hochschild extension is deformed Calabi-Yau completion, more precisely, the Koszul dual of the trivial extension of a finite dimensional complete dg algebra is the Calabi-Yau completion of its Koszul dual, and the Koszul dual of an exact Hochschild extension of a finite dimensional complete typical dg algebra is the deformed Calabi-Yau completion of its Koszul dual.
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1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, $k$ is a field and $K = k^t$ for a positive integer $t$.

Symmetric algebras introduced by Brauer and Nesbitt [6] is an important class of finite dimensional algebras (see [38, 45] and the references therein). For every finite dimensional algebra $A$, one can construct its trivial extension $T(A) := A \ltimes A^\vee$ with $A^\vee = \text{Hom}_k(A, k)$, which is always symmetric [3]. It means that symmetric algebras are as many as finite dimensional algebras. For each Hochschild 2-cocycle $\alpha$ of $A$ with coefficients in $A^\vee$, one can construct its Hochschild extension $T(A, \alpha)$. In general, $T(A, \alpha)$ is possibly not a symmetric algebra. In 1999, Ohnuki, Takeda and Yamagata gave a sufficient condition for $T(A, \alpha)$ to be symmetric [35]. Recently, Itagaki provided a weaker sufficient condition [20].

Calabi-Yau algebras were introduced by Ginzburg [10]. Their bounded derived categories are Calabi-Yau triangulated categories [24]. There exist Van den Bergh dualities between their Hochschild homologies and Hochschild cohomologies [43]. Furthermore, their Hochschild cohomologies are Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras [10, 1]. For a homologically smooth dg algebra $A$, Keller introduced its Calabi-Yau completion $\Pi_n(A)$ which is an exact Calabi-Yau dg algebra [25, 26]. More general, for a Hochschild class $[\alpha] \in HH_n(A)$, he introduced its deformed Calabi-Yau completion $\Pi_n(A, \alpha)$ called derived preprojective algebra as well [25]. If $[\alpha] \in HH_n(A)$ is an almost exact Hochschild homology class, i.e., it is the image of a negative cyclic homology class, then $\Pi_n(A, \alpha)$ is an almost exact Calabi-Yau algebra [46]. Therefore, Ginzburg dg algebras associated to quivers with potential are Calabi-Yau dg algebras.

Koszul algebras were introduced by Priddy [36]. They admit Koszul resolutions which are simpler than bar resolutions. Koszul duality was introduced by Beilinson, Ginzburg and Schechtman [4], and further developed by Beilinson, Ginzburg and Soergel [5]. There exist Koszul dualities on three levels — algebras, module categories and derived categories. Heretofore, Koszul duality has been built for dg categories [21], operads [11], props [12], $A_{\infty}$-algebras [13], curved props [19]. For an augmented dg algebra $A$, there are two ways to define its Koszul dual. One is $(BA)^\vee$, the graded dual of the bar construction $BA$ of $A$. The other is $A^\dagger := \Omega(A^\vee)$, the cobar construction of the graded dual $A^\vee$ of $A$, in the case that $A$ is a locally finite, bounded above or bounded below, augmented dg algebra. In practice, $\Omega(A^\vee)$ is more feasible than $(BA)^\vee$. Once $A$ is a typical dg algebra, then the Koszul dual $A^\dagger = \Omega(A^\vee) \cong (BA)^\vee$ (see [15]). Koszul dual $(-)^\dagger$ sets up the relationship between finite dimensional dg
algebras and homologically smooth dg algebras [13, 15], and the relationship between symmetric dg algebras and Calabi-Yau dg algebras [14, 17, 15]. Moreover, the Hochschild (co)homologies of dg algebras and their Koszul duals are closely related [16, 15].

The higher trivial extensions of algebras have already been studied in the works of Keller [23], Amiot [2] and L.Y. Guo [14] during introducing generalized cluster categories. Recently, Guo, Grant and Iyama found some connections between higher trivial extensions and higher preprojective algebras of algebras under Koszul duality [13, 12]. Nonetheless, many trivial extensions, more general, Hochschild extensions of dg algebras, have not been studied yet.

In this paper, firstly, we will introduce the Hochschild extension $T(A, M, \alpha)$ of an augmented dg $K$-algebra $A$ by a dg $A$-bimodule $M$ satisfying $M_{-2} = 0$ and a Hochschild 2-cocycle $\alpha : A \otimes^2 \rightarrow M$. It is an augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra (Theorem [1]). Secondly, we focus on the Hochschild extension $T_n(A, \alpha) := T(A, A^\vee[-n], \alpha)$ of a finite dimensional complete typical dg $K$-algebra $A$ by the shift $A^\vee[-n]$ of the graded dual dg $A$-bimodule $A^\vee$ of $A$ satisfying $A_{2-n} = 0$ and a Hochschild 2-cocycle $\alpha : A \otimes^2 \rightarrow A^\vee[-n]$. An augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra $T$ is said to be $n$-symmetric if $T \cong T^\vee[-n]$ as $A_{\infty}$-$T$-bimodules. A Hochschild extension $T_n(A, \alpha)$ is said to be symmetric if $T_n(A, \alpha)$ is a symmetric $A_{\infty}$-algebra. In general, a Hochschild extension $T_n(A, \alpha)$ might not be symmetric. We will give a cohomological criterion for a Hochschild extension $T_n(A, \alpha)$ to be symmetric. A Hochschild extension $T_n(A, \alpha)$ is said to be exact if the second Hochschild cohomology class $[\alpha] \in H^2(A, A^\vee[-n]) = HH_{2-n}(A)^\vee$ is exact, i.e., in the image of the natural map $I_{2-n} : HC_{2-n}(A)^\vee \rightarrow HH_{2-n}(A)^\vee$. We will show that exact Hochschild extensions of finite dimensional complete typical dg $K$-algebras are symmetric (Theorem [2]). It is a cohomological generalization of Ohnuki, Takeda and Yamagata’s result [35, Theorem 2.2].

Thirdly, utilizing the relation between the Hochschild homologies of a complete typical dg $K$-algebra $A$ and its Koszul dual $A^!$, i.e., the isomorphism $HH_n(A)^\vee \cong HH_{-n}(A^!)$ (Theorem [4]), we define the Koszul dual of a Hochschild cohomology class $[\beta] \in H^n(A, A^\vee) = HH_n(A)^\vee$ to be its image $[\beta^!] \in HH_{-n}(A^!)$ under the isomorphism $HH_n(A)^\vee \cong HH_{-n}(A^!)$.

Employing the relations between the Hochschild (co)homologies and (negative) cyclic homologies of a complete typical dg $K$-algebra and its Koszul dual, i.e., the isomorphisms $HH_n(A)^\vee \cong HH_{-n}(A^!)$ and $HC_n(A)^\vee \cong HH_{-n}(A^!)$ (Proposition [2]), we show that a Hochschild cohomology class $[\beta] \in H^n(A, A^\vee) = HH_n(A)^\vee$ is exact if and only if its Koszul dual $[\beta^!] \in HH_{-n}(A^!)$ is almost exact, i.e., in the image of the natural map $HN_{-n}(A^!) \rightarrow HH_{-n}(A^!)$ (Proposition [3]).

Fourthly, the n-trivial extension $T_n(A)$ of a finite dimensional complete dg $K$-algebra $A$, i.e., the augmented dg $K$-algebra $A \oplus A^\vee[-n]$ with the product given by $(a, s^{-n}f) \cdot (a', s^{-n}f') := (aa', s^{-n}((-1)^{|a||a'|}af + fa'))$, is obviously an $n$-symmetric dg $K$-algebra. Since the Koszul dual $A^!$ of $A$ is a homologically smooth dg $K$-algebra [15, Theorem 7], we can construct its $n$-Calabi-Yau completion $\Pi_n(A^!)$. We will prove that $\Pi_n(A^!) \cong T_n(A)^!$ and they are both exact $n$-Calabi-Yau dg algebras (Theorem [4]). This isomorphism implies that the Koszul dual of trivial extension is Calabi-Yau completion, which...
could be illustrated as the following:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \rightarrow & T_n(A) \\
\Downarrow \text{trivial extension} & & \\
\text{Koszul dual} & & \text{Koszul dual} \\
A^\dagger & \rightarrow & \Pi_n(A^\dagger) \xrightarrow{\cong} T_n(A)^\dagger.
\end{array}
\]

It will be applied to recover a result of J.Y. Guo in [13], and could be viewed as the dg lift of the isomorphism in [13, Theorem 5.3]. Last but not least, for a finite dimensional complete typical dg $K$-algebra $A$ and an exact Hochschild cohomology class $[\alpha] \in H^2(A, A^\vee[-n]) = HH_{2-n}(A)^\vee$, on one hand, we can construct the Koszul dual $T_n(A, \alpha)^\dagger$ of the exact Hochschild extension $T_n(A, \alpha)$ of $A$ by $A^\vee[-n]$ and $\alpha$. On the other hand, since the Koszul dual $A^\dagger$ of $A$ is homologically smooth, we can construct the deformed Calabi-Yau completion $\Pi_n(A^\dagger, \alpha^\dagger)$ of $A^\dagger$ by the almost exact Hochschild homology class $[\alpha^\dagger] \in HH_{n-2}(A^\dagger)$, i.e., the Koszul dual of $[\alpha]$. We will show that $T_n(A, \alpha)^\dagger \cong \Pi_n(A^\dagger, \alpha^\dagger)$ and they are both almost exact $n$-Calabi-Yau dg algebras (Theorem 7). This isomorphism implies that the Koszul dual of exact Hochschild extension is deformed Calabi-Yau completion, which could be illustrated as the following:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \rightarrow & T_n(A, \alpha) \\
\Downarrow \text{exact Hochschild extension} & & \\
\text{Koszul dual} & & \text{Koszul dual} \\
A^\dagger & \rightarrow & \Pi_n(A^\dagger, \alpha^\dagger) \xrightarrow{\cong} T_n(A, \alpha)^\dagger.
\end{array}
\]

Throughout, $\otimes := \otimes_K$ and $(-)^\vee = \text{Hom}_k(-, k)$ the graded $k$-dual. Denote by $\mathbb{N}$ the set of positive integers, and by $\mathbb{N}_0$ the set of nonnegative integers. By convention, in a complex, an element of lower degree $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ is of upper degree $-i$.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we fix some terminologies and notations on (co)coaugmented dg $K$-(co)algebras and (co)augmented $A_\infty$-(co)algebras, some of which are quite not consistent in existing literatures.

### 2.1 (Co)Augmented dg $K$-(co)algebras

We mainly refer to [28, 31, 15] for some knowledge on dg $K$-(co)algebras.

**Augmented dg $K$-algebras.** An augmented dg $K$-algebra $A = (A, d, \mu, \eta, \varepsilon)$ is a $K$-bimodule complex $(A, d)$ equipped with three $K$-bimodule complex morphisms $\mu : A \otimes A \to A$ called product, $\eta : K \to A$ called unit, and $\varepsilon : A \to K$
called *augmentation*, satisfying associativity \( \mu \circ (\mu \otimes \text{id}_A) = \mu \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes \mu) \), unitality \( \mu \circ (\eta \otimes \text{id}_A) = \text{id}_A = \mu \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes \eta) \), and \( \varepsilon \circ \eta = \text{id}_K \). If \( A \) is an augmented dg \( K \)-algebra then \( A = K1_A \oplus \overline{A} \) where \( 1_A = \eta(1_K) \) and \( \overline{A} = \text{Ker} \varepsilon \) called the augmentation ideal of \( A \). We always identify \( K1_A \) with \( K \).

A *morphism* from an augmented dg \( K \)-algebra \( (A, d_A, \mu_A, \eta_A, \varepsilon_A) \) to an augmented dg \( K \)-algebra \( (A', d_{A'}, \mu_{A'}, \eta_{A'}, \varepsilon_{A'}) \) is a \( K \)-bimodule complex morphism \( f : A \to A' \) satisfying \( f \circ \mu_A = \mu_{A'} \circ (f \otimes f) \), \( f \circ \eta_A = \eta_{A'} \) and \( \varepsilon_{A'} \circ f = \varepsilon_A \).

An augmented dg \( K \)-algebra \( A \) is *complete* if \( \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{A}^n = 0 \). Obviously, a finite dimensional augmented dg \( K \)-algebra \( A \) is complete if and only if its augmentation ideal \( \overline{A} \) is nilpotent, i.e., there is \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \overline{A}^n = 0 \).

**Coaugmented dg \( K \)-coalgebras.** A coaugmented dg \( K \)-coalgebra \( C = (C, d, \Delta, \varepsilon, \eta) \) is a \( K \)-bimodule complex \( (C, d) \) equipped with three \( K \)-bimodule complex morphisms \( \Delta : C \to C \otimes C \) called *coproduct*, \( \varepsilon : C \to K \) called *counit*, and \( \eta : K \to C \) called *coaugmentation*, satisfying coassociativity \( (\Delta \otimes \text{id}_C) \circ \Delta = (\text{id}_C \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta \), counitality \( (\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}_C) \circ \Delta = \text{id}_C = (\text{id}_C \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta \), and \( \varepsilon \circ \eta = \text{id}_K \). If \( C \) is a coaugmented dg \( K \)-coalgebra then \( C = K1_C \oplus \overline{C} \) where \( 1_C = \varepsilon(1_K) \) and \( \overline{C} = \text{Ker} \varepsilon \) called the coaugmentation coideal of \( C \). We always identify \( K1_C \) with \( K \).

A *morphism* from a coaugmented dg \( K \)-coalgebra \( (C, dc, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C, \eta_C) \) to a coaugmented dg \( K \)-coalgebra \( (C', dc', \Delta_C', \varepsilon_C', \eta_C') \) is a \( K \)-bimodule complex morphism \( f : C \to C' \) satisfying \( \Delta_C' \circ f = (f \otimes f) \circ \Delta_C \), \( \varepsilon_C' \circ f = \varepsilon_C \) and \( f \circ \eta_C = \eta_C' \).

Let \( C \) be a coaugmented dg \( K \)-coalgebra. Define \( \overline{\Delta} : \overline{C} \to \overline{C} \otimes \overline{C} \) by \( \overline{\Delta}(c) = \Delta(c) - 1 \otimes c - c \otimes 1 \) for all \( c \in \overline{C} \), and further \( \overline{\Delta}^{(n)} : \overline{C} \to \overline{C} \otimes \overline{C}^{\otimes n+1} \) by \( \overline{\Delta}^{(0)} = \text{id}_{\overline{C}} \), \( \overline{\Delta}^{(1)} = \overline{\Delta} \), and \( \overline{\Delta}^{(n)} = (\overline{\Delta} \otimes \text{id}_{\overline{C}^{\otimes n-1}}) \circ \overline{\Delta}^{(n-1)} \) for all \( n \geq 2 \).

Let \( F_0C := K \) and \( F_nC := K \oplus \text{Ker} \overline{\Delta}^{(n)} \) for \( n \geq 1 \). Then \( F_nC \) is a dg \( C \)-bicomodule for all \( n \geq 0 \). The series \( F_0C \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F_nC \subseteq \cdots \) is called the *coradical series* of \( C \). A coaugmented dg \( K \)-coalgebra \( C \) is *cocomplete* or *conilpotent* if \( C = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} F_nC \). Clearly, a locally finite, bounded above or below, augmented dg \( K \)-algebra \( A \) is complete if and only if its graded dual \( A^\vee \) is a cocomplete coaugmented dg \( K \)-coalgebra.

**Bar construction.** Let \( A = K \oplus \overline{A} \) be an augmented dg \( K \)-algebra and

\[
T(sA) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} (sA)^{\otimes n} = K \oplus sA \oplus (sA)^{\otimes 2} \oplus \cdots
\]

the tensor graded \( K \)-coalgebra, where \( s \) is the suspension functor which is also denoted by \([1]\) sometimes. Write \( [a_1a_2 \cdots a_n] \) for the homogeneous element \( sa_1 \otimes sa_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes sa_n \in (sA)^{\otimes n} \subset T(sA) \). Let

\[
d_0([a_1 \cdots a_n]) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{|a_1|+\cdots+|a_{i-1}|+i} [a_1 \cdots |d_A(a_i)| \cdots a_n],
\]

\[
d_1([a_1 \cdots a_n]) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{|a_1|+\cdots+|a_{i-1}|+i+1} [a_1 |a_ia_{i+1}| \cdots a_n].
\]
Then \( d := d_0 + d_1 \) is a differential of \( T(sA) \). The cocomplete coaugmented dg \( K \)-coalgebra \( BA := (T(sA), d) \) is called the bar construction of \( A \).

**Cobar construction.** Let \( C = K \oplus \overline{C} \) be a cocomplete coaugmented dg \( K \)-coalgebra and

\[
T(s^{-1}C) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} (s^{-1}C)^{\otimes n} = K \oplus s^{-1}C \oplus (s^{-1}C)^{\otimes 2} \oplus \cdots
\]

the tensor graded \( K \)-algebra. Write \( \langle c_1|c_2| \cdots |c_n \rangle \) for the homogeneous element \( s^{-1}c_1 \otimes s^{-1}c_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes s^{-1}c_n \in (s^{-1}C)^{\otimes n} \subset T(s^{-1}C) \). Let

\[
d_0(\langle c_1| \cdots |c_n \rangle) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{|c_1| + \cdots + |c_{i-1}| + i} \langle c_1| \cdots |dC(c_i)| \cdots |c_n \rangle,
\]

\[
d_1(\langle c_1| \cdots |c_n \rangle) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{|c_1| + \cdots + |c_{i-1}| + |c_i| + i} \langle c_1| \cdots |c_{i-1}|c_i|c_{i+1}| \cdots |c_n \rangle,
\]

where \( \overline{C}(c_i) = c_1 \otimes c_2 \). Note that we always omit \( \sum \) and brackets in Sweedler’s notation \( \Delta(c) = \sum c(1) \otimes c(2) \). Then \( d := d_0 + d_1 \) is a differential of \( T(s^{-1}C) \). The augmented dg \( K \)-algebra \( \Omega C := (T(s^{-1}C), d) \) is called the cobar construction of \( C \).

**Two-sided bar resolutions.** Let \( A \) be an augmented dg \( K \)-algebra. Define a differential \( d \) on the graded \( K \)-bimodule \( A \otimes BA \otimes A \) by \( d := d_{A \otimes BA \otimes A} + \text{id}_A \otimes d' - d' \otimes \text{id}_A \), where \( d_{A \otimes BA \otimes A} \) is the differential of the tensor product \( A \otimes BA \otimes A \) of dg \( K \)-bimodules, \( d' : BA \otimes A \to BA \otimes A \) is the composition \( (\text{id}_{BA} \circ \mu) \circ (\text{id}_{BA} \otimes \pi \otimes \text{id}_A) \circ (\Delta \otimes \text{id}_A) \), \( d' : A \otimes BA \to A \otimes BA \) is the composition \( (\mu \otimes \text{id}_{BA}) \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes \pi \otimes \text{id}_{BA}) \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes \Delta) \). Here, \( \pi : BA \to A \) is the universal twisting morphism, i.e., the composition \( BA \xrightarrow{s \otimes 1} T \Rightarrow A \). Then \( (A \otimes BA \otimes A, d) \) is a semi-projective resolution of the dg \( A \)-bimodule \( A \), i.e., \( (A \otimes BA \otimes A, d) \) is a semi-projective dg \( A \)-bimodule and the composition

\[
\tilde{\mu} : A \otimes BA \otimes A \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \epsilon \otimes \text{id}} A \otimes K \otimes A = A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\mu} A
\]

is a \( A \)-bimodule quasi-isomorphism, called the two-sided bar resolution of \( A \).

**Typical dg \( K \)-algebras.** A typical dg \( K \)-algebra is a locally finite augmented dg \( K \)-algebra \( A \) which is either non-negative or non-positive simply connected (i.e., \( A_0 = K \) and \( A_{-1} = 0 \)). The typicality of a dg \( K \)-algebra \( A \) ensures that both the bar construction \( BA \) and its graded dual \( (BA)^\vee \) are locally finite. Furthermore, \( (BA)^\vee \cong \Omega(A^\vee) \) as dg \( K \)-algebras.

### 2.2 (Co)Augmented \( A_\infty \)-(co)algebras

\( A_\infty \)-algebras (\( A_\infty \)-algebras (co)algebras) were introduced by Stasheff in 1963 as the algebraic counterpart of his theory of \( H \)-spaces [39]. We mainly refer to [19, 22, 29, 31, 18] for some knowledge on \( A_\infty \)-(co)algebras.
Augmented $A_\infty$-algebras. An augmented $A_\infty$-algebra $A = (A, \eta_A, \varepsilon_A, d)$ is a graded $K$-bimodule together with two graded $K$-bimodule morphisms of degree zero $\eta_A : K \to A$ called unit and $\varepsilon_A : A \to K$ called augmentation such that $\varepsilon_A \circ \eta_A = \text{id}_K$, and a graded $K$-derivation $d$ of degree $-1$ on the coaugmented tensor graded $K$-coalgebra $T(s\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} (s\mathcal{A})^\otimes n$ where $\mathcal{A} := \text{Ker}(\varepsilon_A)$, such that $d \circ \eta_{T(s\mathcal{A})} = 0$ and $d^2 = 0$. Let $1_A := \eta_A(1_K)$. Then $A = K1_A \oplus \mathcal{A}$ as graded $K$-bimodules. We always identify $1_A$ with $K$. The bar construction $BA$ of $A$ is the coaugmented dg $K$-coalgebra $T(s\mathcal{A})$ with differential $d$. Since $BA$ is a cocomplete cofree coaugmented graded $K$-coalgebra, the graded $K$-derivation $d$ is uniquely determined by $d = p_nDF$ where $p_n : BA \to s\mathcal{A}$ is the canonical projection. Write $d = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d_n$ where $d_n : (s\mathcal{A})^\otimes n \to s\mathcal{A}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\overline{m}_n = (-1)^n s^{-1} \circ d_n \circ s^\otimes n : \mathcal{A}^\otimes n \to \mathcal{A}$, and extend it to the graded $K$-bimodule morphism $m_n : A^\otimes n \to A$ which is the composition $i \circ \overline{m}_n \circ p^\otimes n$ if $n \neq 2$, where $i : \mathcal{A} \to A$ and $p : A \to \mathcal{A}$ are the canonical inclusion and projection respectively, and which is given by $m_2(A^\otimes 2) = \overline{m}_2$ and $m_2(\eta_A \circ \text{id}_A) = \text{id}_A = m_2(\text{id}_A \otimes \eta_A)$ if $n = 2$. An equivalent definition of augmented $A_\infty$-algebra is given by the data $(A, \{m_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \eta_A, \varepsilon_A)$ satisfying appropriate properties [31].

A morphism or $A_\infty$-morphism $f : A \to A'$ between two augmented $A_\infty$-algebras $A$ and $A'$ is a coaugmented dg $K$-coalgebra morphism $BF : BA \to BA'$. Since $BA'$ is a coaugmented tensor graded $K$-coalgebra, the morphism $BF$ is uniquely determined by its canonical projection $\tilde{p}_A'B : BA' \to s\mathcal{A}'$. Write $F := \tilde{p}_A'B \circ BF = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$, where $F_n : (s\mathcal{A})^\otimes n \to s\mathcal{A}'$.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $f_n = (-1)^n s^{-1} \circ F_n \circ s^\otimes n : \mathcal{A}^\otimes n \to \mathcal{A}'$, and extend it to the map $f_n : A^\otimes n \to A'$ which is the composition $i' \circ f_n \circ p^\otimes n$ if $n \geq 2$, where $i' : \mathcal{A} \to A'$ and $p : A \to \mathcal{A}$ are the canonical inclusion and projection respectively, and which is given by $f_1|_A = \text{id}_A$ and $f_1|_{\mathcal{A}} = \tilde{f}_1$ if $n = 1$. An equivalent definition of augmented $A_\infty$-algebra morphism is given by the family of maps $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying appropriate properties [31].

Note that $f_1$ is a dg $K$-bimodule morphism from $(A, m_1)$ to $(A', m'_1)$. An augmented $A_\infty$-algebra morphism $f : A \to A'$ is strict if $f_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$, and it is a quasi-isomorphism if $f_1 : (A, m_1) \to (A', m'_1)$ is a dg $K$-bimodule quasi-isomorphism. The identity morphism is the strict morphism $f$ with $f_1 = \text{id}$.

Coaugmented $A_\infty$-coalgebras. A coaugmented $A_\infty$-coalgebra $C = (C, \varepsilon_C, \eta_C, d)$ is a graded $K$-bimodule $C$ together with two graded $K$-bimodule morphisms of degree zero $\varepsilon_C : C \to K$ called counit and $\eta_C : K \to C$ called coaugmentation such that $\varepsilon_C \circ \eta_C = \text{id}_K$, and a graded $K$-derivation $d$ of degree $-1$ on the augmented tensor graded $K$-coalgebra $T(s^{-1}C)$ where $C := \text{Ker}(\varepsilon_C)$ such that $\varepsilon_{T(s^{-1}C)} \circ d = 0$ and $d^2 = 0$. Let $1_C = \eta_C(1_K)$. Then $C = K1_C \oplus \mathcal{C}$ as graded $K$-bimodules. We always identify $K1_C$ with $K$. The cobar construction $\Omega C$ of $C$ is the augmented dg $K$-coalgebra $T(s^{-1}C)$ with differential $d$. Since $\Omega C$ is a free augmented graded $K$-coalgebra, $d$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to $s^{-1}C$, which is denoted by $d = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d_n$ where $d_n : s^{-1}C \to (s^{-1}C)^\otimes n$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\overline{\Delta}_n = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} s^{-1} \circ d_n \circ s^{-1} : C \to C^\otimes n$, and extend it
to the $K$-bimodule morphism $\Delta_n : C \to C^{\otimes n}$ which is the composition of the canonical projection $C \to C$, $\Delta_n$ and the injection $C^{\otimes n} \to C^{\otimes n}$ if $n \neq 2$, and which is given by $\Delta_2(1_C) = 1_C \otimes 1_C$ and $\Delta_2(\bar{c}) = \bar{\Delta}_2(c) + 1_C \otimes \bar{c} \otimes \bar{c} \otimes 1_C$ for all $\bar{c} \in \bar{C}$ if $n = 2$. An equivalent definition of coaugmented $A_\infty$-coalgebra is given by the data $(C, \{\Delta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \varepsilon, \eta_C, \zeta)$ satisfying appropriate properties [18].

A morphism or $A_\infty$-morphism $f : C \to C'$ between two coaugmented $A_\infty$-coalgebras $C$ and $C'$ is a morphism of augmented dg $K$-algebras $\Omega f : \Omega C \to \Omega C'$. Since $\Omega C$ is a free augmented graded $K$-algebra, the morphism $\Omega f$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to $s^{-1} \bar{C}$, which we denote by $F = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$, where $F_n : s^{-1} \bar{C} \to (s^{-1} \bar{C})^{\otimes n}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $F_n = (-1)^{\frac{m(n)}{2}} s^{\otimes n} \circ F_n \circ s^{-1} : \bar{C} \to \bar{C}^{\otimes n}$, and extend to the map $f_n : C \to (C')^{\otimes n}$ which is the composition of the canonical projection $C \to \bar{C}$, $f_n$, and the injection $\bar{C}^{\otimes n} \to (C')^{\otimes n}$ if $n \geq 2$, and which is given by $f_1|_K = id_K$ and $f_1|_{\bar{C}} = f_1$ if $n = 1$. An equivalent definition of coaugmented $A_\infty$-coalgebra morphism is given by the family of maps $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying appropriate properties [18]. Note that $f_1$ is a dg $K$-bimodule morphism from $(C, \Delta_1)$ to $(C', \Delta'_1)$. A coaugmented $A_\infty$-coalgebra morphism $f : C \to C'$ is strict if $F_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$, and it is a quasi-isomorphism if $f_1 : (C, \Delta_1) \to (C', \Delta'_1)$ is a dg $K$-bimodule quasi-isomorphism.

$A_\infty$-bimodules. Let $A$ be an augmented $A_\infty$-algebra. An $A_\infty$-bimodule over $A$ or $A_\infty$-bimodule $M = (M, d)$ is a graded $K$-bimodule $M$ and a graded $K$-bicoderivation $d$ of degree $-1$ on the graded $BA$-bicomodule $BA \otimes M \otimes BA$ compatible with the differential of $BA$ such that $d^2 = 0$. Denote by $\bar{M}$ the dg $BA$-bicomodule $BA \otimes M \otimes BA$ with differential $d$. Since $\bar{M}$ is a cofree graded bicomodule, the graded $K$-bicoderivation $d$ is uniquely determined by its composition with $\varepsilon_{BA} \otimes id_M \otimes \varepsilon_{BA}$, which is denoted by $d = \sum_{p,q \in \mathbb{N}_0} d_{p,q}$ where $\tilde{d}_{p,q} : (s\bar{A})^{\otimes p} \otimes M \otimes (s\bar{A})^{\otimes q} \to M$ for all $p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Define $m_{p,q} : A^{\otimes p} \otimes M \otimes A^{\otimes q} \to M$ which is the composition of the canonical projection $A^{\otimes p} \otimes M \otimes A^{\otimes q} \to \bar{A}^{\otimes p} \otimes M \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes q}$ and $(-1)^{\eta} d_{p,q} \circ (s\bar{A})^{\otimes p} \otimes id_M \otimes (s\bar{A})^{\otimes q}$ if $p + q \neq 1$, and which is given by $m_{0,1} \circ (id_M \otimes \eta_A) = id_M \circ \eta_A \otimes id_M$, $m_{1,0} \circ (id_M \otimes i_A) = -d_{0,1} \circ (id_M \otimes s)$ and $m_{0,1} \circ (i_A \otimes id_M) = d_{1,0} \circ (s \otimes id_M)$ if $p + q = 1$, where $i_A : \bar{A} \to A$ is the canonical inclusion. An equivalent definition of $A_\infty$-bimodule is given by the data $(M, \{m_{p,q} \}_{p,q \in \mathbb{N}_0})$ satisfying appropriate properties.

Let $A$ be an augmented $A_\infty$-algebra defined by operators $\{m_n : A^{\otimes n} \to A\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then $A$ itself is an $A_\infty$-bimodule defined by the operators $\{m_{p,q} : A^{\otimes p} \otimes A^{\otimes q} \to A\}_{p,q \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ given by $m_{p,q}(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_p \otimes a_{p+1} \otimes a_{p+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{p+q+1}) := m_{p,q+1}(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{p+q+1})$.

A morphism of $A_\infty$-bimodules $f : M \to N$ between two $A_\infty$-bimodules $M$ and $N$ is a morphism of dg $BA$-bimodules $\bar{f} : \bar{M} \to \bar{N}$. Since $\bar{N}$ is a cofree graded bicomodule, $\bar{f}$ is uniquely determined by its composition with $\varepsilon_{BA} \otimes id_N \otimes \varepsilon_{BA}$, which is written as $F = \sum_{p,q \in \mathbb{N}_0} F_{p,q}$ where $F_{p,q} : (s\bar{A})^{\otimes p} \otimes M \otimes (s\bar{A})^{\otimes q} \to N$. Define $f_{p,q} : A^{\otimes p} \otimes M \otimes A^{\otimes q} \to N$ as the composition of the canonical projection $A^{\otimes p} \otimes M \otimes A^{\otimes q} \to \bar{A}^{\otimes p} \otimes M \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes q}$ and $(-1)^{\eta} F_{p,q} \circ (s\bar{A})^{\otimes p} \otimes M \otimes (s\bar{A})^{\otimes q} \to N$.
Thus we can construct the family of graded $K$-bimodules. The family $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ of the dg $p,q$ for all $(p,q)$ and it is compatible with the differential naturally. Therefore, $f$ is a graded $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$-bicomodule morphism if and only if $f_{0,0}$ is an isomorphism of dg $K$-bimodules.

**Lemma 1.** Let $A$ be an augmented $A_\infty$-algebra and $f : M \to N$ an $A_\infty$-$A$-bimodule morphism. Then $\hat{f} : \hat{M} \to \hat{N}$ is a dg $BA$-bicomodule isomorphism if and only if $f_{0,0}$ is an isomorphism of dg $K$-bimodules.

**Proof.** Necessity. Assume that $g : N \to M$ is an $A_\infty$-$A$-bimodule morphism such that $\hat{g} : \hat{N} \to \hat{M}$ is the inverse of $\hat{f}$. Then $\hat{f} \circ \hat{g} = \text{id}_{\hat{M}}$ and $\hat{g} \circ \hat{f} = \text{id}_{\hat{M}}$.

The families $\{F_{p,q}\}_{p,q \geq 0}$ and $\{G_{p,q}\}_{p,q \geq 0}$ satisfy $F_{0,0} \circ G_{0,0} = \text{id}_M$, and

$$\sum_{0 \leq i \leq p, 0 \leq j \leq q} F_{i,j} \circ (\text{id}^{\otimes i} \otimes G_{p-i,q-j} \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes j}) = 0$$

for all $(p,q) \neq (0,0)$, $G_{0,0} \circ F_{0,0} = \text{id}_M$, and

$$\sum_{0 \leq i \leq p, 0 \leq j \leq q} G_{i,j} \circ (\text{id}^{\otimes i} \otimes F_{p-i,q-j} \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes j}) = 0$$

for all $(p,q) \neq (0,0)$. In particular, $f_{0,0} = F_{0,0}$ is an isomorphism of dg $K$-bimodules.

Sufficiency. Assume that $f_{0,0}$ is an isomorphism of dg $K$-bimodules and $g_{0,0} : N \to M$ is its inverse. Let $G_{0,0} = g_{0,0}$. By the equation

$$F_{0,0} \circ G_{p,q} + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p, 0 \leq j \leq q, i+j>0} F_{i,j} \circ (\text{id}^{\otimes i} \otimes G_{p-i,q-j} \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes j}) = 0,$$

we have

$$G_{p,q} = -G_{0,0} \circ (\sum_{0 \leq i \leq p, 0 \leq j \leq q, i+j>0} F_{i,j} \circ (\text{id}^{\otimes i} \otimes G_{p-i,q-j} \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes j})).$$

Thus we can construct the family of graded $K$-bimodules $\{G_{p,q}\}_{p,q \geq 0}$ inductively. The family $\{G_{p,q}\}_{p,q \geq 0}$ gives a graded $BA$-bicomodule morphism $\hat{g} : \hat{N} \to \hat{M}$ and it satisfies $\hat{f} \circ \hat{g} = \text{id}_{\hat{N}}$. Similarly, we can construct a graded $BA$-bicomodule morphism $\hat{g} : \hat{N} \to \hat{M}$ such that $\hat{g} \circ \hat{f} = \text{id}_{\hat{M}}$, and we have $\hat{g} = \hat{g} \circ \hat{f} \circ \hat{g} = \hat{g}$. Thus $\hat{g}$ is the inverse of the graded $BA$-bicomodule morphism $f$ and it is compatible with the differential naturally. Therefore, $\hat{g}$ is the inverse of the dg $BA$-bicomodule morphism $f$. \qed

**Two-sided bar complexes.** Let $A$ be an augmented $A_\infty$-algebra. Then $\tilde{A} := A \otimes BA \otimes A$ is an $A_\infty$-$A$-bimodule which is defined by the differential $d$ on the graded $BA$-bicomodule $BA \otimes A \otimes BA = BA \otimes A \otimes BA \otimes A \otimes BA$

$$d := \begin{align*}
&d_{BA} \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes 4} + \text{id}^{\otimes 2} \otimes d_{BA} \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes 2} + \text{id}^{\otimes 4} \otimes d_{BA} \\
&+ (\text{id}_{BA} \otimes d \otimes d_{BA} \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes \text{id}_{BA}) \circ (\Delta_{BA} \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes \Delta_{BA} \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes \text{id}_{BA}) \\
&+ (\text{id}_{BA} \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes \text{id}_{BA} \otimes \bar{d} \otimes \text{id}_{BA}) \circ (\text{id}_{BA} \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes \Delta_{BA} \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes \Delta_{BA}).
\end{align*}$$
Moreover, there is an $A_{\infty}$-$A$-bimodule quasi-isomorphism $\tilde{\mu} : \tilde{A} \to A$ defined by the maps $\{\tilde{\mu}_{p,q,r,s} : A^{\otimes p} \otimes A^{\otimes q} \to A\}_{p,q,r,s}$, where the restriction of $\tilde{\mu}_{p,q}$ on $A^{\otimes p} \otimes A \otimes (s;A)^{\otimes r} \otimes A^{\otimes q}$ is given by $(-1)^{pr} m^{r+q}_{p+q+2} \circ (id^{\otimes p+1} \otimes (s^{-1})^{\otimes r} \otimes id^{\otimes q+1})$. For this, we need to show that the $K$-bimodule complex morphism $\tilde{\mu}_{0,0} : (\tilde{A}, m^{A}_{0,0} = m_{1})$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Define a $k$-module complex morphism $\nu : A \to \tilde{A}$ by $\nu(a) := 1_{A} \otimes 1_{BA} \otimes a$, and a graded $k$-module morphism of degree one $s : \tilde{A} \to A$ by $s(a_{0} \otimes [a_{1}] \cdots [a_{n}] \otimes a_{n+1}) := 1_{A} \otimes [a_{0}]a_{1} \cdots [a_{n}] \otimes a_{n+1}$. Then one can check that $\tilde{\mu}_{0,0} \circ \nu = id_{A}$ and $\nu \circ \tilde{\mu}_{0,0} = m^{A}_{0,0} \circ s + s \circ m^{A}_{0,0}$, i.e., $\tilde{\mu}_{0,0}$ is a $k$-module complex, and thus a $K$-bimodule complex, quasi-isomorphism.

**Suspensions.** (17.2.3.2) Let $M$ be an $A_{\infty}$-bimodule over an augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra $A$. The suspension $sM$ or $M[1]$ of $M$ is the $A_{\infty}$-$A$-bimodule which is uniquely determined by the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
BA \otimes M \otimes BA & \xrightarrow{d_{\emptyset}} & BA \otimes M \otimes BA \\
\downarrow \text{id}_{BA} \otimes \emptyset \otimes \text{id}_{BA} & & \downarrow s \\
BA \otimes sM \otimes BA & \xrightarrow{-d} & BA \otimes sM \otimes BA \\
\end{array}
\]

**Graded duals.** (cf. 17.2.3.2) Let $M$ be an $A_{\infty}$-bimodule over an augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra $A$. Then the graded dual $M^{\vee}$ of $M$ is the $A_{\infty}$-$A$-bimodule which is uniquely determined by the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
M^{\vee} \otimes BA \otimes M \otimes BA & \xrightarrow{id_{M^{\vee}} \otimes d_{\emptyset}} & M^{\vee} \otimes BA \otimes M \otimes BA \\
\downarrow S_{1,1,2,3} & & \downarrow S_{1,1,2,3} \\
BA \otimes M^{\vee} \otimes BA \otimes M \otimes BA & \xrightarrow{-d \otimes \text{id}_{M^{\vee}}} & BA \otimes M^{\vee} \otimes BA \otimes M \otimes BA \\
\end{array}
\]

where $\text{ev}_{M} : M^{\vee} \otimes M \to k$ is the usual evaluation map.

**Koszul duals.** An $A$-finite $A_{\infty}$-algebra $A$ is a locally finite, bounded below or bounded above, augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra $A$ of finite products, i.e., $m_{n} = 0$ for $n \gg 0$, or equivalently, the composition $d := p_{A} \otimes d_{BA} : BA \xrightarrow{d} BA \xrightarrow{p_{A}^{-1}} BA$ satisfies $d_{n} = 0$ for $n \gg 0$. An $A$-finite $A_{\infty}$-coalgebra $C$ is a locally finite, bounded above or bounded below, coaugmented $A_{\infty}$-coalgebra $C$ of finite coproducts, i.e., $\Delta_{n} = 0$ for $n \gg 0$, or equivalently, the composition $\tilde{d} := d_{\Omega C} \circ i_{\Omega C} : s^{-1}C \xrightarrow{i_{\Omega C}^{-1}} \Omega C \xrightarrow{d_{\Omega C}} \Omega C$ satisfies $\tilde{d}_{n} = 0$ for $n \gg 0$.

Let $(A, \{m_{n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \eta_{A}, \varepsilon_{A})$ be an $A$-finite augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra. Then we have graded $K$-bimodule isomorphism $(A^{\otimes n})^{\vee} \cong (A^{\vee})^{\otimes n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Thus
the graded dual \((A^\vee, \{\Delta_n\}, \varepsilon_{A^\vee}, \eta_{A^\vee})\) of \(A\) is an \(A\)-finite \(A_\infty\)-coalgebra where

\[
\Delta_n = (-1)^n m_n', \quad \eta_{A^\vee} = (\varepsilon_A)^\vee \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_{A^\vee} = \eta_A'.
\]

The Koszul dual of an \(A\)-finite \(A_\infty\)-algebra \(A\) is \(A^! := \Omega(A^\vee)\).

**Remark 1.** Let \(A\) be an augmented \(A_\infty\)-algebra. The *Koszul dual* of \(A\) is usually defined to be the augmented dg \(K\)-algebra \((BA)^\vee\) (see \(\text{[32]}\)). This definition of Koszul dual coincides with ours in many important situations. An augmented \(A_\infty\)-algebra \(A\) is *typical* if \(A\) is a locally finite augmented \(A_\infty\)-algebra which is either non-negative or non-positive simply connected. If \(A\) is a typical \(A_\infty\)-algebra of finite products then \(A^\vee\) is a coaugmented \(A_\infty\)-coalgebra and \((BA)^\vee \cong \Omega(A^\vee)\).

## 3 Hochschild extensions

In this section, we introduce the Hochschild extensions of an augmented dg \(K\)-algebra by a dg bimodule and a Hochschild 2-cocycle. Furthermore, we focus on the Hochschild extensions of an augmented dg \(K\)-algebra by a shift of its graded dual dg bimodule and a Hochschild 2-cocycles, and show that exact Hochschild extensions are symmetric Hochschild extensions.

### 3.1 Hochschild (co)homology

Hochschild (co)homology is necessary for studying Hochschild extensions.

**Hochschild cohomology of dg \(K\)-algebras.** Let \(A\) be an augmented dg \(K\)-algebra and \(M\) a dg \(A\)-bimodule. The Hochschild cochain complex of \(A\) with coefficients in \(M\) is \(C^*(A, M) := \text{Hom}_{K^*}(BA, M) \cong \text{Hom}_A(A \otimes BA \otimes A, M) \cong \text{RHom}_A(A, M)\). Its cohomology \(H^*(A, M)\) is called the Hochschild cohomology of \(A\) with coefficients in \(M\). Note that the bullet \(\bullet\) indicates weight in \(C^*(A, M)\) and degree in \(H^*(A, M)\). More precisely, \(C^*(A, M) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} C^n(A, M)\) where \(C^n(A, M) := \text{Hom}_{K^*}((sA)^\otimes n, M)\), and the differential of \(C^*(A, M)\) is \(\delta = \delta_0 + \delta_1\) where \(\delta_0\) is the inner differential given by

\[
\delta_0(f)[a_1, \ldots, a_n] := df[a_1, \ldots, a_n] + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{n-i+|f|} f[a_1, \ldots, [d_a a_i], \ldots, a_n],
\]

and \(\delta_1\) is the external differential given by

\[
\delta_1(f)[a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1}] := (-1)^{|f|(|a_1|+1)} a_1 f[a_2, \ldots, a_{n+1}]
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{|f|+\varepsilon_i} f[a_1, \ldots, [a_i a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}]
\]

\[
+ (-1)^{|f|+\varepsilon_{n+1}} f[a_1, \ldots, [a_n a_{n+1}]
\]

for all \(f \in C^n(A, M)\). Here \(\varepsilon_i := \sum_{j=1}^i \langle a_j \rangle + 1\).

In the case of \(M = A\), \(C^*(A, A)\) is called the *Hochschild cochain complex* of \(A\) and denoted by \(C^*(A)\). Its cohomology \(H^*(A, A)\) is called the *Hochschild cohomology of \(A\)*, denoted by \(HH^*(A)\).
Hochschild homology of dg $K$-algebras. Let $A$ be an augmented dg $K$-algebra and $M$ a dg $A$-bimodule. The Hochschild chain complex of $A$ with coefficients in $M$ is $C_\bullet(A,M) := M \otimes_{K^e} BA \cong M \otimes_A (A \otimes BA \otimes A) \cong M \otimes_{A^e} A$. Its homology $H_\bullet(A,M)$ is called the Hochschild homology of $A$ with coefficients in $M$. Note that the bullet $\bullet$ indicates weight in $C_\bullet(A,M)$ and degree in $H_\bullet(A,M)$. More precisely, $C_\bullet(A,M) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} C_n(A,M)$ with $C_n(A,M) := M \otimes_{K^e} (sA)^{\otimes n}$, and the differential of $C_\bullet(A,M)$ is $b := b_0 + b_1$ where $b_0$ is the inner differential given by
\[
b_0(m \otimes [a_1] \cdots [a_n]) := d_M m \otimes [a_1] \cdots [a_n] + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{\eta_i} m \otimes [a_1] \cdots [a_i a_{i+1}] \cdots [a_n],
\]
and $b_1$ is the external differential given by:
\[
b_1(m \otimes [a_1] \cdots [a_n]) := (-1)^{|m|+1} ma_1 \otimes [a_2] \cdots [a_n] + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{|a_i|} m \otimes [a_1] \cdots [a_i a_{i+1}] \cdots [a_n] + (-1)^{|a_n-1+1|(|a_n|+1)} a_n m \otimes [a_1] \cdots [a_{n-1}],
\]
where $\eta_i := |m| + 1 + \sum_{j=1}^i (|a_j| + 1)$.

In the case of $M = A$, $C_\bullet(A,A)$ is called the Hochschild chain complex of $A$ and denoted by $C_\bullet(A)$. Its cohomology $H^\bullet(A,A)$ is called the Hochschild homology of $A$, denoted by $HH^\bullet(A)$.

Hochschild homology of $A_\infty$-algebras. Let $A$ be an augmented $A_\infty$-algebra and $M$ an $A_\infty$-$A$-bimodule. The Hochschild chain complex of $A$ with coefficients in $M$ is the complex $C_\bullet(A,M) := M \otimes_{K^e} BA$ endowed with differential $d = d_1 + d_2$, where $d_1 = id_M \otimes d_B$ and $d_2$ is the composition $M \otimes_{K^e} BA \overset{id_M \otimes \Delta(2)}{\longrightarrow} M \otimes_{K^e} (BA)^{\otimes 3} \overset{S_{1123}}{\longrightarrow} M \otimes_{K^e} BA \overset{d \otimes id_A}{\longrightarrow} M \otimes_{K^e} BA$ where $d = (\varepsilon_B \otimes id_M \otimes \varepsilon_B A) \circ d_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $S_{1123} : V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3 \otimes V_4 \rightarrow V_4 \otimes V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3$, $v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes v_4 \mapsto (-1)^{|v_3||v_4|} (v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes v_4) + (-1)^{|v_3||v_4|+1} (v_4 \otimes v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3)$. Its homology $H_\bullet(A,M)$ is called the Hochschild homology of $A$ with coefficients in $M$. In the case of $M = A$, $C_\bullet(A,A)$ is called the Hochschild chain complex of the $A_\infty$-algebra $A$ and denoted by $C_\bullet(A)$. Its homology $H^\bullet(A,A)$ is called the Hochschild homology of $A$ and denoted by $HH^\bullet(A)$.

An $A_\infty$-$A$-bimodule morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ induces a dg $k$-module morphism $C_\bullet(f) : C_\bullet(A,M) \rightarrow C_\bullet(A,N)$ which is the composition $M \otimes_{K^e} BA \overset{id_M \otimes \Delta(2)}{\longrightarrow} M \otimes_{K^e} (BA)^{\otimes 3} \overset{S_{1123}}{\longrightarrow} M \otimes_{K^e} BA \overset{\varepsilon_B A \otimes id_N \otimes \varepsilon_B A \otimes id_A}{\longrightarrow} N \otimes_{K^e} BA$.

By the same proof as [31 Theorem 2.10], we can obtain the following result:

**Lemma 2.** ([9 Lemma 5.3] and [31 Theorem 2.10]) Let $A$ be an augmented $A_\infty$-algebra and $f : M \rightarrow N$ an $A_\infty$-$A$-bimodule morphism. If the $K$-bimodule complex morphism $f_{0,0} : (M,m_{0,0}) \rightarrow (N,m_{0,0})$ is a quasi-isomorphism, then
the induced $k$-module complex morphism $C_\bullet(A,f) : C_\bullet(A,M) \to C_\bullet(A,N)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

Let $A$ be an augmented $A_\infty$-algebra. Then we have an $A_\infty$-bimodule quasi-isomorphism $\tilde{\mu} : \tilde{A} \to A$. It follows from Lemma 2 that $k$-module complex morphism $C_\bullet(A,\tilde{\mu}) : C_\bullet(A,\tilde{A}) \to C_\bullet(A)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Here, $C_\bullet(A,\tilde{A})$ is the complex $A \otimes_{K^+} \tilde{B}A$. When $A$ is an augmented dg $K$-algebra, $C_\bullet(A,\tilde{A})$ is just $\tilde{A} \otimes_{A^+} \tilde{A}$, the double replacement of $A \otimes_{K^+} A$ with two-sided bar resolution. Moreover, $C_\bullet(A,\tilde{\mu})$ has a quasi-inverse $\delta : A \otimes_{K^+} \tilde{B}A \to \tilde{A} \otimes_{K^+} \tilde{B}A$ which is given by

$$\delta(a \otimes [a_1], \cdots, [a_n]) = \sum_{i=0}^n a \otimes [a_1] \cdots [a_i] \otimes 1_A \otimes [a_{i+1}] \cdots [a_n]$$

and satisfies $C_\bullet(A,\tilde{\mu}) \circ \delta = \text{id}_{C_\bullet(A,\tilde{A})}$.

**Lemma 3.** Let $A$ be an augmented $A_\infty$-algebra. Then there is a dg $k$-module isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{(BA)^+}(\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}^\vee) \cong C_\bullet(A,\tilde{A})^\vee.$$

**Proof.** It is clear that $	ext{Hom}_{(BA)^+}(\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}^\vee) \cong \text{Hom}_{K^+}(\tilde{A}, A^\vee) \cong (A \otimes_{K^+} \tilde{A})^\vee \cong ((A \otimes BA \otimes A) \otimes_{K^+} BA)^\vee = C_\bullet(A,\tilde{A})^\vee$ as graded $k$-modules. We can check that the composition is not only a graded $k$-module isomorphism but also compatible with differentials, and thus a dg $k$-module isomorphism. \hfill \Box

**Connes operators.** Let $A$ be an augmented $A_\infty$-algebra. The Connes operator $B$ on the Hochschild chain complex $C_\bullet(A) = A \otimes_{K^+} BA$ is defined by

$$B(a_0 \otimes [a_1], \cdots, [a_n]) := \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{i(n_i - n_{i-1})} \otimes [a_{i+1}] \cdots [a_n] [a_0] \cdots [a_i],$$

where $n_i := \sum_{j=0}^i (|a_j| + 1)$ (see [9]). It satisfies $B^2 = 0$ and $Bb + bB = 0$. Therefore, $C_\bullet(A)$ is a mixed complex. Let $A$ be the dg algebra $k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$ with $|\varepsilon| = 1$ and differential zero. Then $C_\bullet(A)$ becomes a dg $\Lambda$-module with $\varepsilon$ acting on $C_\bullet(A)$ by the Connes operator $B$.

The Connes operator $B : A \otimes_{K^+} BA \to A \otimes_{K^+} BA$ can be lifted to an operator

$$\tilde{B} : \tilde{A} \otimes_{K^+} \tilde{B}A \to A \otimes_{K^+} BA$$

mapping $a \otimes [a_1], \cdots, [a_n] \otimes |a_1| \cdots |a_m|$ to $(-1)^{r_n + |a_1|} a \otimes |a_1| \cdots |a_n| |a_1| \cdots |a_m|$ and

$$\varepsilon_m = \sum_{i=1}^m (|a_i| + 1).$$

Moreover, the following diagram is commutative:

$$
\begin{array}{c}
A \otimes_{K^+} BA \ar[d]_{\tilde{B}} \ar[r]^\delta & \tilde{A} \otimes_{K^+} BA \ar[d]_{\tilde{B}} \\
A \otimes_{K^+} BA & A \otimes_{K^+} BA. \\
\end{array}
$$
3.2 Cyclic homology

Cyclic homology is indispensable for studying exact Hochschild extensions.

**Cyclic homology.** Let $A$ be an augmented dg $K$-algebra and $C_\bullet(A)$ the Hochschild chain complex of $A$ which is a mixed complex with Hochschild boundary operator $b$ of degree $-1$ and Connes operator $B$ of degree $1$. Let $u$ be an indeterminant of degree $-2$, $k[[u]]$ the formal series algebra in $u$ which is a pseudo-compact graded algebra $[2]$, and $k((u))$ the fraction field of $k[[u]]$ or equivalently the Laurent series algebra $k[u,u^{-1}]$ in $u$. The negative cyclic complex of $A$ is $CN_\bullet(A) := C_\bullet(A)[[u]]$, the periodic cyclic complex of $A$ is $CP_\bullet(A) := C_\bullet(A)[[u]] \hat{\otimes}_{k[[u]]} k((u))$, and the cyclic complex of $A$ is $CC_\bullet(A) := C_\bullet(A)[[u]] \hat{\otimes}_{k[[u]]} (k((u))/uk[[u]])$, all with differential $b + uB$; where "\( \hat{\otimes} \)" stands for complete tensor product. The homologies of $CN_\bullet(A), CP_\bullet(A)$ and $CC_\bullet(A)$ are negative cyclic homology $HN_\bullet(A)$, periodic cyclic homology $HP_\bullet(A)$ and cyclic homology $HC_\bullet(A)$, of $A$ respectively.

Acting the functor $C_\bullet(A)[[u]] \hat{\otimes}_{k[[u]]} -$ on the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
0 \\ 0
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\rightarrow k[[u]] \\ \rightarrow k - k((u)) - k((u))/k[[u]] - 0
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\rightarrow k((u))/uk[[u]] \\ \rightarrow k((u))/k[[u]] - 0
\end{array}
\]

of $k[[u]]$-modules, where $k \cong k[[u]]/uk[[u]]$ and two rows are short exact sequences, we get the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
0 \\ 0
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\rightarrow CC_\bullet(A) \\ \rightarrow CC_\bullet(A)
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\rightarrow CP_\bullet(A) \\ \rightarrow CP_\bullet(A)
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\rightarrow CC_\bullet(A)[2] \\ \rightarrow CC_\bullet(A)[2]
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\rightarrow 0 \\ \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
\]

with exact rows. By taking homology, we obtain the following result:

**Lemma 4.** Let $A$ be an augmented dg $K$-algebra. Then the following diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\cdots \rightarrow HC_{n+1}(A) \\ \cdots \rightarrow HC_{n-1}(A)
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\rightarrow B_{n+1} \\ \rightarrow B_n
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\rightarrow HN_n(A) \\ \rightarrow HN_{n-1}(A)
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\rightarrow I_n \\ \rightarrow I_{n-1}
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\rightarrow HP_n(A) \\ \rightarrow HP_{n-1}(A)
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\rightarrow S_n \\ \rightarrow S_{n-1}
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\rightarrow HC_{n-2}(A) \\ \rightarrow HC_{n-3}(A)
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\cdots \\ \cdots
\end{array}
\]

is commutative and with exact rows.


**Definition 1.** Let $A$ be an augmented dg $K$-algebra. A Hochschild homology class $[\alpha] \in HH_n(A)$ is exact if $[\alpha] \in \text{Im} B_{n+1} = \text{Ker} I_n$, and almost exact if $[\alpha] \in \text{Im} P_n$. 
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Exact Hochschild cohomology classes. Some special Hochschild cohomology classes will play an important role in symmetric Hochschild extension theory. Let $A$ be an augmented dg $K$-algebra. Acting the exact functor $(-)^\vee = \text{Hom}_K(-, k)$ on the Connes’ long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow HH_n(A) \xrightarrow{I_n} HC_n(A) \xrightarrow{S_n} HC_{n-2}(A) \xrightarrow{B_n} HH_{n-1}(A) \rightarrow \cdots$$

we get the following long exact sequence:

$$\cdots \xleftarrow{I_{n+1}^\vee} HC_{n-1}(A)^\vee \xrightarrow{B_n^\vee} HH_n(A)^\vee \xleftarrow{I_n^\vee} HC_n(A)^\vee \xrightarrow{I_{n-1}^\vee} HC_{n-2}(A)^\vee \rightarrow \cdots$$

Due to isomorphisms $H^n(A, A^\vee) = H^nR\text{Hom}_{A^\vee}(A, A^\vee) \cong H^n((A \otimes_M A)^\vee) \cong (H_n(A \otimes_M A))^\vee$, we can identify $H^n(A, A^\vee)$ with $HH_n(A)^\vee$.

**Definition 2.** Let $A$ be an augmented dg $K$-algebra. A Hochschild cohomology class $[\alpha] \in H^n(A, A^\vee)$ is exact if $[\alpha] \in \text{Im} I_n^\vee = \text{Ker} B_n^\vee$.

### 3.3 Hochschild extensions

In the classical case, for an ordinary algebra $A$, an $A$-bimodule $M$ and a Hochschild 2-cocycle $\alpha : A \otimes A \rightarrow M$, the Hochschild extension $T(A, M, \alpha)$ of $A$ by $M$ and $\alpha$ is still an ordinary algebra (see for example [29, 1.5.3]). In the dg case, for a dg $K$-algebra $A$ and a dg $A$-bimodule $M$, the trivial extension $\overline{T}(A, M)$ of $A$ by $M$, i.e., $A \oplus M$ with the product given by $(a, m) \cdot (a', m') = (aa', am' + ma')$ for all $a, a' \in A$, $m, m' \in M$, is still a dg $K$-algebra. However, for a Hochschild 2-cocycle $\alpha$ of $A$ with coefficients in $M$, the Hochschild extension $\overline{T}(A, M, \alpha)$ of $A$ by $M$ and $\alpha$ is an $A_{\infty}$-algebra in general.

Hochschild extensions. Let $A$ be an augmented dg $K$-algebra, $M$ a dg $A$-bimodule with degree $-2$ component zero, i.e., $M_{-2} = 0$, and $\alpha \in C^2(A, M)_{-2} = \text{Hom}_K(\text{BA}, M)_{-2}$ a Hochschild cochain of degree $-2$. To define an augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra structure on $A \oplus M$ is equivalent to define a graded $K$-coderivation $d$ on graded $K$-coalgebra $\overline{T}(sA \oplus sM)$ of degree $-1$ such that $d \circ \eta_{\overline{T}(sA \oplus sM)} = 0$ and $d^2 = 0$, and further equivalent to define a graded $K$-bimodule morphism $d : \overline{T}(sA \oplus sM) \rightarrow sA \oplus sM$ of degree $-1$. Note that graded $K$-bimodule $\overline{T}(sA \oplus sM) = BA \oplus (BA \otimes sM \otimes BA) \oplus (BA \otimes sM \otimes BA) \otimes \cdots$. We define $d$ to be the composition

$$\overline{T}(sA \oplus sM) \rightarrow BA \oplus BA \otimes sM \otimes BA \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} p_{sA} \circ d_{BA} & 0 \\ -s \circ \alpha & p_{sM} \circ d_{BA \otimes sM \otimes BA} \end{bmatrix}} sA \oplus sM$$

where $T(sA \oplus sM) = BA \oplus BA \otimes sM \otimes BA$, $p_{sA} : BA \rightarrow sA$ and $p_{sM} = \varepsilon_{BA} \otimes \text{id}_{sM} \otimes \varepsilon_{BA} : BA \otimes sM \otimes BA \rightarrow sM$ are natural projections. Then $d$ is a differential on $\overline{T}(sA \oplus sM)$, i.e., a coderivation on $\overline{T}(sA \oplus sM)$ of degree $-1$ such that $d^2 = 0$, if and only if $\alpha$ is a Hochschild 2-cocycle of $A$ with coefficients in $M$. 
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Now assume that $\alpha$ is a Hochschild 2-cocycle of $A$ with coefficients in $M$. Then $d$ is a differential on $T(s\Lambda \oplus sM)$. Thus $T(s\Lambda \oplus sM)$ is a coaugmented dg $K$-coalgebra, and $A \oplus M$ is an augmented $A_\infty$-algebra with unit $\eta : K \xrightarrow{\eta A} A \hookrightarrow A \oplus M$ and augmentation $\varepsilon : A \oplus M \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon A} K$. Here, $A \hookrightarrow A \oplus M$ and $A \oplus M \rightarrow A$ are the natural inclusion and projection. We denote this $A_\infty$-algebra $A \oplus M$ by $T(A, M, \alpha)$, and call it the Hochschild extension of $A$ by $M$ and $\alpha$. Obviously, the natural projection $T(A, M, \alpha) \rightarrow A$ is a strict augmented $A_\infty$-algebra morphism.

Next, we show that two equivalent Hochschild 2-cocycles define isomorphic Hochschild extensions. Let $\alpha, \alpha' \in C^\bullet(A, M)_{-2}$ be two equivalent Hochschild 2-cocycles, i.e., there exists $\beta \in C^\bullet(A, M)_{-1}$ such that $d(\beta) = \alpha - \alpha'$. Then $\beta$ defines an augmented $A_\infty$-algebra isomorphism from $T(A, M, \alpha)$ to $T(A, M, \alpha')$, or equivalently, a coaugmented dg $K$-coalgebra isomorphism

$$f_\beta : B\mathcal{T}(A, M, \alpha) \rightarrow B\mathcal{T}(A, M, \alpha').$$

Indeed, let $f_\beta : B\mathcal{T}(A, M, \alpha) \rightarrow B\mathcal{T}(A, M, \alpha')$ be the coaugmented dg $K$-coalgebra morphism uniquely determined by the dg $K$-bimodule morphism

$$B\mathcal{T}(A, M, \alpha) = T(s\Lambda \oplus sM) \rightarrow BA \oplus BA \otimes sM \otimes BA \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} p_{s\Lambda} & 0 \\ p_{sM} & p_{sBA} \end{bmatrix}} s\Lambda \oplus sM,$$ and $g_\beta : B\mathcal{T}(A, M, \alpha') \rightarrow B\mathcal{T}(A, M, \alpha)$ the coaugmented dg $K$-coalgebra morphism uniquely determined by the dg $K$-bimodule morphism

$$B\mathcal{T}(A, M, \alpha') = T(s\Lambda \oplus sM) \rightarrow BA \oplus BA \otimes sM \otimes BA \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} p_{s\Lambda} & 0 \\ -s_{sM} & p_{sBA} \end{bmatrix}} s\Lambda \oplus sM.$$

The condition $d(\beta) = \alpha - \alpha'$ ensures that both $f_\beta$ and $g_\beta$ are coaugmented dg $K$-coalgebra morphisms. It is not difficult to check that $f_\beta$ and $g_\beta$ are inverse to each other. So far we have proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** Let $A$ be an augmented dg $K$-algebra, $M$ a dg $A$-bimodule with degree $-2$ component zero, i.e., $M_{-2} = 0$, and $\alpha, \alpha' \in C^\bullet(A, M)_{-2}$ two Hochschild cochains of degree $-2$. Then $T(A, M, \alpha)$ is an augmented $A_\infty$-algebra if and only if $\alpha$ is a Hochschild 2-cocycle. Moreover, $T(A, M, \alpha) \cong T(A, M, \alpha')$ if $[\alpha] = [\alpha'] \in H^2(A, M)$.

**Remark 2.** In Theorem 1 the assumption $M_{-2} = 0$ is necessary. Otherwise, it is possible that $d_{B\mathcal{T}(A, M, \alpha)}(1_{B\mathcal{T}(A, M, \alpha)}) \neq -so(1_{BA}) \in (sM)_{-1} = M_{-2}$ is nonzero, which leads to a curved $A_\infty$-algebra $T(A, M, \alpha)$.

**Exact Hochschild extensions.** A special kind of Hochschild extensions of augmented dg $K$-algebras, called **symmetric Hochschild extensions**, are symmetric $A_\infty$-algebras.

**Definition 3.** An augmented $A_\infty$-algebra $A$ is $n$-symmetric if $A \cong A^\vee[-n]$ as $A_\infty$-$A$-bimodules.
**Remark 3.** There are other three closely related concepts: \( \infty \)-Poincaré duality structure \( [40] \), \( A_{\infty} \)-cyclic structure \( [43] \) and pre-Calabi-Yau algebra \( [41, 37, 27] \).

The following result implies that *exact Hochschild extensions*, namely, the Hochschild extensions defined by Hochschild 2-cocycles in exact Hochschild cohomology classes, are always symmetric Hochschild extensions.

**Theorem 2.** Let \( A \) be a finite dimensional complete typical dg \( K \)-algebra, \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) satisfying \( A_{2-n} = 0 \), and \( [\alpha] \in H^2(A,A^\vee[-n]) \) exact. Then \( T_n(A,\alpha) := T(A,A^\vee[-n],\alpha) \) is an \( n \)-symmetric \( A_{\infty} \)-algebra.

**Proof.** For simplicity, we denote the exact Hochschild extension \( T_n(A,\alpha) \) by \( T \), which is an augmented \( A_{\infty} \)-algebra by Theorem 1. We need to define an \( A_{\infty} \)-\( T \)-bimodule isomorphism between \( T \) and \( T^\vee[-n] \), or equivalently, a dg \( B T \)-bimodule isomorphism between \( BT \otimes T \otimes BT \) and \( BT \otimes T^\vee[-n] \otimes BT \). By Lemma 3 we have dg \( k \)-module isomorphisms

\[
\text{Hom}(BT^\vee)(BT \otimes T \otimes BT, BT \otimes T^\vee[-n] \otimes BT) \\
\cong s^{-n}C^*(T, T \otimes BT \otimes T)^\vee \\
= s^{-n}((T \otimes BT \otimes T) \otimes K^* BT)^\vee \\
= s^{-n}(T \otimes K^* (BT \otimes T \otimes BT))^\vee.
\]

We will define a 0-cycle in \( s^{-n}(T \otimes K^* (BT \otimes T \otimes BT))^\vee \) which corresponds to a dg \( B T \)-bimodule isomorphism from \( BT \otimes T \otimes BT \) to \( BT \otimes T^\vee[-n] \otimes BT \).

According to the graded \( k \)-module isomorphism

\[
\text{Hom}_{(BA)^\vee}(BA \otimes A^\vee \otimes BA, BA \otimes A^\vee \otimes BA) \cong (A \otimes K^* (BA \otimes A^\vee \otimes BA))^\vee,
\]

we can endow the graded \( k \)-module \( (A \otimes K^* (BA \otimes A^\vee \otimes BA))^\vee \) with the differential induced from that of the dg \( k \)-module \( \text{Hom}_{(BA)^\vee}(BA \otimes A^\vee \otimes BA, BA \otimes A^\vee \otimes BA) \). The identity morphism on \( BA \otimes A^\vee \otimes BA \) corresponds to the 0-cycle

\[
\theta = \sum_{i \in I} (e_i \otimes 1 \otimes e_i^\vee \otimes 1) + \sum_{a \in S} (-1)^{|a|}(a \otimes 1 \otimes a^\vee \otimes 1) \\
\in (A \otimes K^* (BA \otimes A^\vee \otimes BA))^\vee
\]

where \( I = \{e_1, \ldots, e_t\} \) is a complete set of primitive idempotents of \( K = k^l \), \( S = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq t} S_{ij} \) is a \( k \)-basis of \( e_i A e_j \) for all \( 1 \leq i, j \leq t \), and \( I^\vee = \{e_i^\vee, \ldots, e_t^\vee\} \)
and \( S^\vee = \{a^\vee \mid a \in S\} \) are the dual bases of \( I \) and \( S \) respectively. Then we have an \((n-1)\)-cycle

\[
s^{-1}\theta = \sum_{i \in I} (e_i \otimes 1 \otimes s^{1-n}e_i^\vee \otimes 1) + \sum_{a \in S} (-1)^{|a|}(a \otimes 1 \otimes s^{1-n}a^\vee \otimes 1) \\
\in (A \otimes K^* (BA \otimes s^{1-n}A^\vee \otimes BA))^\vee.
\]

The canonical projections \( T = A \otimes s^{-n}A^\vee \rightarrow A \) and \( BT = T(sT \otimes s^{1-n}A^\vee) \rightarrow BA \otimes s^{1-n}A^\vee \otimes BA \) define a projection \( p : T \otimes K^* BT \rightarrow A \otimes K^* (BA \otimes s^{1-n}A^\vee \otimes BA) \), which is a surjective graded \( k \)-module morphism. Its dual

\[
p^\vee : (A \otimes K^* (BA \otimes s^{1-n}A^\vee \otimes BA))^\vee \rightarrow (T \otimes K^* BT)^\vee,
\]

is the desired isomorphism.
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is an injective graded $k$-module morphism. Thus

$$p^\vee(s^{n-1}\theta) = \sum_{e_i \in I} (e_i \otimes s^{1-n}e_i^\vee)^\vee + \sum_{a \in S} (-1)^{|a|} (a \otimes s^{1-n}a^\vee)^\vee$$

$$\in (T \otimes K^\vee BT)^\vee$$

is of degree $n - 1$. Let

$$\tilde{\theta} := (-1)^{n-1}p^\vee(s^{n-1}\theta) \in (T \otimes K^\vee BT)^\vee$$

which is of degree $n - 1$ as well.

The dual $\tilde{B}_T^\vee : (T \otimes K^\vee BT)^\vee \to (T \otimes K^\vee (BT \otimes T \otimes BT))^\vee$ of the lift $\tilde{B}_T : T \otimes K^\vee (BT \otimes T \otimes BT) \to T \otimes K^\vee BT$ of Connes operator $B_T : T \otimes K^\vee BT \to T \otimes K^\vee BT$ maps $\tilde{\theta}$ to

$$\tilde{B}_T^\vee(\tilde{\theta}) = \sum_{e_i \in I} ((e_i \otimes 1 \otimes s^{-n}e_i^\vee \otimes 1)^\vee + (s^{-n}e_i^\vee \otimes 1 \otimes e_i \otimes 1)^\vee)$$

$$+ \sum_{a \in S} ((-1)^{|a|+|a|}(a \otimes 1 \otimes s^{-n}a^\vee \otimes 1)^\vee + (s^{-n}a^\vee \otimes 1 \otimes a \otimes 1)^\vee)$$

$$\in (T \otimes K^\vee (BT \otimes T \otimes BT))^\vee$$

which is of degree $n$.

The natural projection $T = A \otimes s^{-n}A^\vee \to A$ is a strict augmented $A_\infty$-algebra morphism. It induces a surjective $k$-module complex morphism

$$\pi : T \otimes K^\vee BT \to A \otimes K^\vee BA$$

between the Hochschild chain complexes of $T$ and $A$, and further an injective $k$-module complex morphism

$$\pi^\vee : (A \otimes K^\vee BA)^\vee \to (T \otimes K^\vee BT)^\vee.$$
\[ T \otimes K^\ast (BT \otimes T \otimes BT) \rightarrow A \otimes K^\ast (BA \otimes A \otimes BA) \text{ induced by the natural projection } q : T \rightarrow A. \]

Keep in mind the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(A \otimes K^\ast BA)^\vee & \xrightarrow{B^\vee_A} & (A \otimes K^\ast (BA \otimes A \otimes BA))^\vee \\
\downarrow{s^\vee} & & \downarrow{B^\vee_A} \\
(T \otimes K^\ast BT)^\vee & \xrightarrow{\delta^\vee_A} & (T \otimes K^\ast (BT \otimes T \otimes BT))^\vee
\end{array}
\]

From \( B^\vee_A(\eta^0) + b^\vee_A(\eta^1) = 0 \), we know \( B^\vee_A(\eta^0) \in (A \otimes K^\ast BA)^\vee \) is a boundary. Since \( B^\vee_A(\eta^0) = \delta^\vee_A B^\vee_A(\eta^0) \) and \( \delta^\vee_A : (A \otimes K^\ast (BA \otimes A \otimes BA))^\vee \rightarrow (A \otimes K^\ast BA)^\vee \) is a surjective quasi-isomorphism, \( B^\vee_A(\eta^0) \in (A \otimes K^\ast (BA \otimes A \otimes BA))^\vee \) is also a boundary.

Thus there exists \( \tilde{\eta}^1 \in (A \otimes K^\ast (BA \otimes A \otimes BA))^\vee \) such that \( \tilde{B}^\vee_A(\eta^0) = -\tilde{\delta}^\vee_A(\tilde{\eta}^1) \) where \( \tilde{B}^\vee_A \) is the differential of \( A \otimes K^\ast (BA \otimes A \otimes BA) \).

Since \( \delta^\vee_A B_A(\eta^0) = -\delta^\vee_A(\delta^\vee_A(\tilde{\eta}^1)) \), we have \( B^\vee_A(\eta^0) = -b^\vee_A(\delta^\vee_A(\tilde{\eta}^1)) \). On the other hand, \( B^\vee_A(\eta^0) = b^\vee_A(\eta^1) \), so we have \( b^\vee_A(\delta^\vee_A(\tilde{\eta}^1)) = b^\vee_A(\eta^1) \), which implies that \( \delta^\vee_A(\tilde{\eta}^1) = \eta^1 \) is a surjective quasi-isomorphism. Since \( \delta^\vee_A \) is a surjective quasi-isomorphism, it induces a surjection on cycles:

\[ \delta^\vee_A : Z_\ast(A \otimes K^\ast (BA \otimes A \otimes BA))^\vee \rightarrow Z_\ast(A \otimes K^\ast BA)^\vee. \]

Thus there exists \( \tilde{\tilde{\eta}}^n \in Z_n(A \otimes K^\ast (BA \otimes A \otimes BA))^\vee \), which implies \( \tilde{b}^\vee_A(\tilde{\tilde{\eta}}^n) = 0 \), such that \( \delta^\vee_A(-\tilde{\tilde{\eta}}^n) = \delta^\vee_A(\tilde{\tilde{\eta}}^n) - \eta^1 \), i.e., \( \delta^\vee_A(\tilde{\tilde{\eta}}^n + \tilde{\eta}^1) = \eta^1 \). Let \( \tilde{\tilde{\eta}}^n = \tilde{\tilde{\eta}}^n + \tilde{\eta}^n \). Then \( \delta^\vee_A(\tilde{\eta}^n) = \eta^1 \).

Since \( \tilde{\tilde{b}}^\vee_A(\tilde{\tilde{B}}^\vee_A(\tilde{\tilde{\eta}}^n) + \tilde{\tilde{q}}^\vee(\tilde{\eta}^n)) = -\tilde{\tilde{B}}^\vee_A(\tilde{\tilde{\eta}}^n) + \tilde{\tilde{B}}^\vee_A(\tilde{\tilde{\eta}}^n) = \tilde{\tilde{B}}^\vee_A(\tilde{\tilde{\eta}}^n) + \tilde{\tilde{B}}^\vee_A(\tilde{\tilde{\eta}}^n) = \tilde{B}^\vee_A(\eta^0) + b^\vee_A(\eta^1) = b^\vee_A(\eta^1) \).

The element \( s^{-n}(\tilde{\tilde{B}}^\vee_A(\tilde{\tilde{\eta}}^n) + \tilde{\tilde{q}}^\vee(\tilde{\eta}^n)) \in s^{-n}(T \otimes K^\ast (BT \otimes T \otimes BT))^\vee \) is a 0-cycle. It corresponds to a dg \( BT \)-bi-module isomorphism \( \phi : BT \otimes T \otimes BT \rightarrow BT \otimes s^{-n}T^\vee \otimes BT \).

Indeed, by Lemma 11 it is enough to show that \( \phi_{0,0} : K \otimes T \otimes K \rightarrow K \otimes s^{-n}T^\vee \otimes K \) is a dg \( K \)-bi-module isomorphism. Since \( s^{-n}T^\vee = s^{-n}(A \oplus s^{-n}A^\vee)^\vee \cong s^{-n}A^\vee \otimes A = T \), we identify \( s^{-n}A^\vee \) with \( s^{-n}A^\vee \).

The following cohomological criterion of symmetric Hochschild extension generalizes [35] Theorem 1.
Proposition 1. Let $A$ be a finite dimensional elementary $k$-algebra and $[\alpha] \in H^2(A, A^\vee)$ exact. Then $\mathcal{T}(A, \alpha)$ is a symmetric algebra.

Proof. Since $[\alpha] \in H^2(A, A^\vee)$ is exact, it follows from Theorem 2 that $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(A, \alpha)$ is a 0-symmetric $A_\infty$-algebra. Thus there is a dg $B\mathcal{T}$-bicomodule isomorphism $\phi: B\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T} \otimes B\mathcal{T} \to B\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}^\vee \otimes B\mathcal{T}$. Since $\mathcal{T}$ is concentrated in degree 0, we have $\text{Hom}_{K^e}(B\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T} \otimes B\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^\vee) \cong \text{Hom}_{K^e}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^\vee)$. Thus $\phi$ must be given by a map $\phi_{0,0}: \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}^\vee$. The compatibility of $\phi$ with differential implies that $\phi_{0,0}$ is a $\mathcal{T}$-bimodule morphism. Since $\phi$ is a bijection, $\phi_{0,0}$ is a bijection too. Thus $\phi$ is a $\mathcal{T}$-bimodule isomorphism. So $\mathcal{T}$ is a symmetric algebra.

4 Koszul duality

In this section, utilizing the relation between the Hochschild homologies of a dg $K$-algebra and its Koszul dual, we introduce the Koszul dual of a Hochschild cohomology class. Employing the relations between the Hochschild (co)homologies and (negative) cyclic homologies of a dg $K$-algebra and its Koszul dual, we set up the correspondence between the exact Hochschild cohomology classes of a dg $K$-algebra and the almost exact Hochschild homology classes of its Koszul dual, which is crucial for studying the Koszul duals of exact Hochschild extensions.

4.1 Hochschild (co)homology and Koszul duality

The relations between the Hochschild (co)homologies of a dg $K$-algebra and its Koszul dual were clarified in [15].

Theorem 3. ([15, Theorem 8]) Let $A$ be a complete typical dg $K$-algebra. Then

1. there is an isomorphism $h^*: HH^\bullet(A) \to HH^\bullet(A^\dagger)$ of Gerstenhaber algebras;
2. there is an isomorphism $h_\bullet : HH_\bullet(A^\vee) \to HH_\bullet(A^\dagger)$ of graded $k$-modules such that the following diagram is commutative:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
HH_\bullet(A^\vee) & \xrightarrow{B^\vee} & HH_\bullet(A^\vee) \\
\downarrow h_\bullet & & \downarrow h_\bullet \\
HH_\bullet(A^\dagger) & \xrightarrow{B} & HH_\bullet(A^\dagger).
\end{array}
\]

Thanks to Theorem 3, we have the following concept which is crucial for studying the Koszul duals of exact Hochschild extensions.

Definition 4. Let $A$ be a complete typical dg $K$-algebra and $[\alpha] \in H^\bullet(A, A^\vee) = HH^\bullet(A)^\vee$. The Koszul dual of the Hochschild cohomology class $[\alpha]$ is the Hochschild homology class $[\alpha^\dagger] := h_\bullet([\alpha]) \in HH_\bullet(A^\dagger)$.

4.2 Cyclic homology and Koszul duality

Now we clarify the relation between the cyclic homologies of a complete typical dg $K$-algebra $A$ and its Koszul dual $A^\dagger$. 
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Let $C_\bullet(A)^\vee$ be the graded dual of the Hochschild complex $C_\bullet(A)$ of $A$. Then $C_\bullet(A)^\vee$ is a dg $\Lambda$-module with the action of $\epsilon$ given by the operator $B^\vee$. By the proof of [15, Theorem 8], we have the following commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{-\bullet}(A^\dagger) & \xrightarrow{B^\dagger_A} & C_{-\bullet}(A^\dagger) \\
\downarrow{\varepsilon} & & \downarrow{\varepsilon} \\
C_\bullet(A)^\vee & \xrightarrow{B^\vee_A} & C_\bullet(A)^\vee
\end{array}
$$

where $\varrho := \omega_{A,B^\dagger} \circ (\psi \otimes \text{id}) \circ \hat{\rho}$. It implies that the map $\varrho$ is a quasi-isomorphism of dg $\Lambda$-modules.

Acting the functors $C_\bullet(A^\dagger)[[u]] \otimes_{k[[u]]} -$ and $C_\bullet(A)^\vee[[u]] \otimes_{k[[u]]} -$ on the the short exact sequence

$$
0 \to uk[[u]] \to k[[u]] \to k[[u]]/uk[[u]] \to 0
$$
of $k[[u]]$-modules, we get the following commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \to & CN_{-\bullet}(A^\dagger)[-2] & \to & CN_{-\bullet}(A^\dagger) & \to & C_{-\bullet}(A^\dagger) & \to & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \to & C C_\bullet(A)^\vee[-2] & \to & C C_\bullet(A)^\vee & \to & C_\bullet(A)^\vee & \to & 0
\end{array}
$$

with exact rows and quasi-isomorphic columns. Indeed, the right column is just the quasi-isomorphism $\varrho : C_{-\bullet}(A^\dagger) \to C_\bullet(A)^\vee$, the middle column is the quasi-isomorphism $CN_{-\bullet}(A^\dagger) = C_{-\bullet}(A^\dagger)[[u]] \xrightarrow{\varrho[[u]]} C_\bullet(A)^\vee[[u]] \cong CC_\bullet(A)^\vee$ induced by $\varrho$, and the left column is the shift of the middle one.

By taking homology, we obtain the following result:

**Proposition 2.** Let $A$ be a complete typical dg $K$-algebra and $A^\dagger$ its Koszul dual. Then there exists a graded $k$-vector space isomorphism $c_\bullet : HC_\bullet(A)^\vee \to H N_{-\bullet}(A^\dagger)$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\cdots & \xrightarrow{\sim} & HH_{n-1}(A)^\vee & \xrightarrow{B^\vee_n} & HC_{n-2}(A)^\vee & \xrightarrow{S^\vee_n} & HC_n(A)^\vee & \xrightarrow{P^\vee_n} & HH_n(A)^\vee & \cdots \\
\cong & \cong & h_{n-1} & \cong & c_{n-2} & \cong & c_n & \cong & h_n
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\cdots & \xrightarrow{\sim} & HH_{-n+1}(A^\dagger) & \xrightarrow{B^\vee_{-n+1}} & HN_{-n+2}(A^\dagger) & \xrightarrow{S^\vee_{-n}} & HN_{-n}(A^\dagger) & \xrightarrow{P^\vee_{-n}} & HH_{-n}(A^\dagger) & \cdots
\end{array}
$$

Applying Proposition 2, we can obtain the correspondence between the exact Hochschild cohomology classes of $A$ with coefficients in $A^\vee$ and the almost exact Hochschild classes of $A^\dagger$.

**Proposition 3.** Let $A$ be a complete typical dg $K$-algebra. Then the Hochschild cohomology class $[\alpha] \in H^n(A,A^\vee) = HH_n(A)^\vee$ is exact if and only if its Koszul dual Hochschild homology class $[\alpha^\dagger] \in HH_{-n}(A^\dagger)$ is almost exact.
Proof. By Proposition 2, we have the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
HC_n(A)^\vee \xrightarrow{H_n} HH_n(A)^\vee \xrightarrow{H_n} H^n(A, A^\vee) \\
\cong \xrightarrow{c_n} \cong \xrightarrow{h_n} H^\infty_n(A, A^\vee) \\
HN_{-n}(A^\vee) \xrightarrow{P_{-n}} HH_{-n}(A^\vee)
\end{array}
\]

Thus \([\alpha]\) is exact, i.e., \([\alpha] \in \text{Im} I_n^\vee\), if and only if \([\alpha^\dagger] = h_n([\alpha]) \in \text{Im}(h_n \circ I_n^\vee) = \text{Im}(P_{-n} \circ c_n) = \text{Im} P_{-n}^\vee\), if and only if \([\alpha^\dagger]\) is almost exact. □

5 Deformed Calabi-Yau completions

In this section, we show that the Koszul dual of trivial extension is Calabi-Yau completion, and the Koszul dual of exact Hochschild extension is deformed Calabi-Yau completion.

5.1 Calabi-Yau dg algebras

Let \(A\) be a homologically smooth dg \(K\)-algebra and \(A^\vee = A^\text{op} \otimes_k A\) its enveloping dg algebra. Then the derived Hom-functor \(\text{RHom}_{A^\vee}(\cdot, A^\vee)\) induces a dual on the perfect derived category \(\text{per}(A^\vee)\) of \(A^\vee\). Thus we have isomorphisms

\[
\text{RHom}_{A^\vee}(\text{RHom}_{A^\vee}(A, A^\vee)[n], A) \cong A \otimes_{A^\vee} A^n \otimes_{A^\vee} A[-n].
\]

Therefore, the morphisms in \(\text{Hom}_{D(A^\vee)}(\text{RHom}_{A^\vee}(A, A^\vee)[n], A)\) correspond bijectively to the Hochschild homology classes in \(HH_n(A)\), where \(D(A^\vee)\) is the unbounded derived category of \(A^\vee\) (see [21]).

Definition 5. ([10, 44, 17, 8]) A homologically smooth dg \(K\)-algebra \(A\) is Calabi-Yau of dimension \(n\) or \(n\)-Calabi-Yau if there is an isomorphism

\[
\xi : \text{RHom}_{A^\vee}(A, A^\vee)[n] \to A
\]

in the derived category \(D(A^\vee)\) of \(A\)-bimodules. An \(n\)-Calabi-Yau dg algebra \(A\) is almost exact if \([\xi] \in HH_n(A)\) is almost exact, and exact if \([\xi] \in HH_n(A)\) is exact.

Obviously, exact Calabi-Yau dg algebras are almost exact. It is a common feeling that almost exact Calabi-Yau dg algebra should be the “correct” definition of Calabi-Yau dg algebra (see [8] Page 1264).

Definition 6. ([25]) Let \(A\) be a homologically smooth dg \(K\)-algebra. The \(n\)-Calabi-Yau completion or derived \(n\)-preprojective dg algebra \(\Pi_n(A)\) of \(A\) is the tensor dg \(K\)-algebra \(T_A(\theta) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^\otimes A^i = A \oplus \theta \oplus (\theta \otimes A \theta) \oplus \cdots\), where \(\theta = s^{n+1} \Theta\) and the inverse dualizing complex \(\Theta\) is the cofibrant resolution of the dg \(A\)-bimodule \(\text{RHom}_{A^\vee}(A, A^\vee)\).

For a Hochschild \((n-2)\)-cycle \(\alpha\) of \(A\), the deformed Calabi-Yau completion \(\Pi_n(A, \alpha)\) of \(A\) by \(\alpha\) is the tensor dg \(K\)-algebra \(T_A(\theta)\) with differential \(\tilde{d} = d + d_\alpha\),
where $d$ is the differential of the tensor dg $K$-algebra $T_A(\theta)$ and $d_\alpha$ is induced by $\alpha$ as follows: Since $A \otimes A^\vee \cong \text{RHom}_{A^e}(\text{RHom}_{A}(A, A^e)[n-2], A) \cong \text{Hom}_{A^e}(\theta[1], A)$, $\alpha$ determines a closed $A$-bimodule morphism $c_\alpha : \theta \to A$ of degree $-1$. The derivation $d_\alpha$ of $T_A(\theta)$ is induced by the composition $\theta \xrightarrow{\alpha} A \hookrightarrow T_A(\theta)$.

**Theorem 4.** ([25] Theorem 4.8 and [26] Theorem 1.1) Let $A$ be a homologically smooth dg $K$-algebra. Then the Calabi-Yau completion $\Pi_n(A)$ of $A$ is an exact $n$-Calabi-Yau dg algebra.

**Theorem 5.** ([16] Theorem 3.17]) Let $A$ be a homologically smooth dg algebra and $[\alpha] \in HH_n(A)$ almost exact. Then the deformed Calabi-Yau completion $\Pi_n(A, \alpha)$ of $A$ by $\alpha$ is an almost exact $n$-Calabi-Yau dg algebra.

### 5.2 Trivial extensions and Calabi-Yau completions

Now we show that the Koszul dual of trivial extension is Calabi-Yau completion.

Let $A$ be a finite dimensional complete dg $K$-algebra. The $n$-trivial extension $\mathbb{T}_n(A)$ of $A$ is the augmented dg $K$-algebra $A \oplus A^\vee[-n]$ with the product given by $(a, f) \cdot (a', f') := (aa', af + fa')$ for all $a, a' \in A$ and $f, f' \in A^\vee[-n]$.

**Theorem 6.** Let $A$ be a finite dimensional complete dg $K$-algebra. Then

1. the $n$-trivial extension $\mathbb{T}_n(A)$ of $A$ is an $n$-symmetric dg $K$-algebra,
2. the Koszul dual $A^!$ of $A$ is a homologically smooth dg $K$-algebra,
3. the $n$-Calabi-Yau completion $\Pi_n(A^!)$ is $\mathbb{T}_n(A)^!$, and they are both exact $n$-Calabi-Yau dg algebras.

**Proof.** (1) It is easy to see that $\mathbb{T}_n(A) = A \oplus A^\vee[-n] \cong (A \oplus A^\vee[-n])^\vee[-n] = \mathbb{T}_n(A)^\vee[-n]$ as dg $\mathbb{T}_n(A)$-bimodules, i.e., $\mathbb{T}_n(A)$ is strictly $n$-symmetric [15].

(2) It is just [15] Theorem 7.

(3) It follows from [15] Proposition 6] that the dg $A^!$-bimodule $A^!$ admits a semi-projective resolution $A^! \otimes A^\vee \otimes A^!$. By [15] Lemma 12, we have isomorphisms $\text{RHom}_{A^!}(A^!, A^!^\vee) \cong \text{Hom}_{A^!(\cdot)}(A^! \otimes A^\vee \otimes A^!, A^!^\vee) \cong A^! \otimes A^\vee \otimes A^!$ which is semi-projective. Thus $\Theta = A^! \otimes A \otimes A^!$ and $\theta = s^{n-1}\Theta \cong A^! \otimes s^{n-1}A \otimes A^!$, and further $\Pi_n(A^!) = T_{A^!}(\theta) = A^! \oplus (A^! \otimes s^{n-1}A \otimes A^!) \oplus (A^! \otimes s^{n-1}A \otimes A^! \otimes s^{n-1}A \otimes A^!) \oplus \cdots$.

The $n$-trivial extension $\mathbb{T}_n(A)$ of $A$ is the dg $K$-algebra $A \oplus s^{-n}A^\vee$ with product $(a, s^{-n}f) \cdot (b, s^{-n}g) = (ab, s^{-n}fb + (-1)^{|a|}s^{-n}ag)$. Its Koszul dual $\mathbb{T}_n(A)^! = \Omega(\mathbb{T}_n(A)^\vee) = T(s^{-1}\mathbb{T}_n(A)^\vee) = T(s^{-1}(A^! \oplus s^{-n}A^\vee)^\vee) = T(s^{-1}(A^! \oplus s^{-n}A^\vee)^\vee) = T(s^{-1}(A^! \oplus s^{-1}A) \oplus (s^{-1}A^\vee \oplus s^{-1}A^!)) = T(s^{-1}(A^! \oplus s^{-1}A) \oplus (s^{-1}A^\vee \oplus s^{-1}A^!)) \oplus \cdots$.

Due to $A^! = \Omega(A^\vee) = T(s^{-1}A^\vee) = K \oplus s^{-1}A^\vee \oplus (s^{-1}A^\vee)^\otimes 2 \oplus \cdots$, we can further decompose both $\Pi_n(A^!)$ and $\mathbb{T}_n(A)^!$ such that their direct summands equal correspondingly. So we obtain a natural bijection $\Phi : \mathbb{T}_n(A)^! \to \Pi_n(A^!)$. It is a graded $K$-algebra isomorphism and compatible with differentials. Thus, $\Phi$ is a dg $K$-algebra isomorphism.

By Theorem 4 i.e., [26] Theorem 1.1, or the proof of [16] Theorem 3.30, we know both $\Pi_n(A^!)$ and $\mathbb{T}_n(A)^!$ are exact Calabi-Yau algebras. 

\[\square\]
As an application of Theorem 5, we can recover Theorem 5.3.

**Corollary 1.** (Theorem 5.3) Let $A = kQ/I$ be a Koszul $n$-homogeneous bound quiver algebra, and the twisted trivial extension $A \ltimes (\nu A)^\nu$ be quadratic. Then the higher preprojective algebra $\Pi(A^!)$ is isomorphic to $(A \ltimes (\nu A)^\nu)^1$. Here $\nu$ is the graded automorphism of $A$ sending $a \in Q_1$ to $(-1)^n a$ and $\nu A$ is the twisted $A$-bimodule given by $a \cdot b \cdot c := \nu(a)bc$ for all $a, b, c \in A$.

**Proof.** First of all, for a coaugmented dg $K$-coalgebra $C$ with differential zero, we can define a new degree, called the *syzygy degree*, on the cobar construction $\Omega C$ of $C$ by $\omega(|c_1| \ldots |c_n|) := \sum_i (|c_i| + 1)$ (see [30], 3.3.2)). Then $\Omega C$ is still a dg $K$-algebra with respect to the syzygy degree but the differential is of degree 1.

Write $A = K \oplus A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n$. It is an augmented dg $K$-algebra with grading by length of path and differential zero. Since $A$ is Koszul, analogous to [30], Proposition 3.3.2], we have a dg $K$-algebra quasi-isomorphism $\phi : A^! \to A^!$ and $A^! \cong H^n(\Pi(A^!))$ with respect to the syzygy degree on $A^! = \Omega(A^!)$. Now $A^!$ is an ordinary algebra, i.e., it is concentrated on degree 0.

By Theorem 5, we have a dg $K$-algebra isomorphism $\Pi_{-n-1}(A^!) \cong T_{-n-1}(A)^!$, which is still a dg $K$-algebra isomorphism with respect to the syzygy degrees on $A^!$ and $T_{-n-1}(A)^!$. Taking the 0-th cohomologies with respect to the syzygy degree on two sides of the isomorphism $\Pi_{-n-1}(A^!) \cong T_{-n-1}(A)^!$, we will obtain $\Pi(A^!) \cong (A \ltimes (\nu A)^\nu)^1$.

Note that each $a_i \in A_i$ left acts on the dg $A$-bimodule $s^{-n-2}A \subset s^{-1}T^! \oplus s^{-1}(s^n+1A^!\nu) = s^{-1}T_{-n-1}(A)^! \subset T_{-n-1}(A)^!$ will create a sign $(-1)^n$. Taking the 0-th cohomology of $T_{-n-1}(A)^!$ with respect to the syzygy degree, we obtain $H^0(T_{-n-1}(A)^!) \cong (A \ltimes (\nu A)^\nu)^1$.

Next, we compute the 0-th cohomology of $\Pi_{-n-1}(A^!)$ with respect to syzygy degree. By definition, $\Pi_{-n-1}(A^!) = \bigwedge A^! \otimes s^{-n-2}A \otimes A^! = A^! \otimes (\bigwedge A^! \otimes s^{-n-2}A \otimes A^! \otimes A^! \otimes \cdots)$. Note that $s^{-n-2}A$ is equal to $s^{-1}(s^n+1A\nu)^\nu$ in $T_{-n-1}(A)^! = \Omega(T_{-n-1}(A)^!)$. By the definition of syzygy degree on the cobar construction, we have $\omega(s^{-n-2}a_i) = [(s^n+1)^\nu | + 1 = i - n$ for all $a_i \in A_i$. In particular, $\omega(s^{-n-2}a_n) = 0$. The dg $K$-algebra quasi-isomorphism $\phi : A^! \to A^!$ induces a quasi-isomorphism $\psi : A^! \otimes s^{-n-2}A \otimes A^! \to A^! \otimes s^{-n-2}A \otimes A^!$, where $A^! \otimes s^{-n-2}A \otimes A^!$ is the cochain complex

$$0 \to A^! \otimes K \otimes A^! \to A^! \otimes A_1 \otimes A^! \to \cdots \to A^! \otimes A_n \otimes A^! \to 0$$

with degree $i - n$ component $A^! \otimes A_i \otimes A^!$. On the other hand, we consider the Koszul resolution $K(A^!)$ of the $A^!$-bimodule $A^!$

$$0 \to A^! \otimes A_n^! \otimes A^! \to A^! \otimes A_{n-1}^! \otimes A^! \to \cdots \to A^! \otimes A^! \to 0.$$
5.3 Exact Hochschild extensions and deformed Calabi-Yau completions

Now we show that the Koszul dual of exact Hochschild extension is deformed Calabi-Yau completion.

**Theorem 7.** Let \( A \) be a finite dimensional complete typical dg \( K \)-algebra, \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) satisfying \( A_{2-n} = 0 \), and \([\alpha] \in H^2(A, A^\vee [-n])\) exact. Then

1. the Hochschild extension \( T_n(A, \alpha) \) of \( A \) by \( \alpha \) is an \( n \)-symmetric \( A_\infty \)-algebra,
2. the Koszul dual \([\alpha]^\dagger \) \( \in HH_{n-2}(A^\dagger) \) of \( \alpha \) is almost exact,
3. the deformed Calabi-Yau completion \( \Pi_n(A^\dagger, \alpha^\dagger) \cong T_n(A, \alpha)^\dagger \), and they are both almost exact \( n \)-Calabi-Yau dg algebras.

**Proof.** (1) This is just Theorem 2.

(2) This is just Proposition 3.

(3) For simplicity, we denote \( T_n(A, \alpha) \) by \( T \) and \( \Pi_n(A^\dagger, \alpha^\dagger) \) by \( \Pi \).

Since \( A \) is finite dimensional typical and \( |\alpha| = -2 \), we have \( \alpha((sA)^{\otimes i}) = 0 \) for \( i \gg 0 \). Thus the graded dual \( T^\vee \) of \( T \) is a coaugmented \( A_\infty \)-coalgebra. Then we have \( T^\dagger = \Omega(T^\vee) = T(s^{-1}A^\vee \oplus s^{-1}(s^{-n}A^\vee)^\vee) = T(s^{-1}A \oplus s^{-1}A) \).

The deformed Calabi-Yau completion of \( A^\dagger \) is \( \Pi = T_{A^\dagger}(A^\dagger \otimes s^{-n}A \otimes A^\dagger) \) with differential \( \tilde{d} = d + d_{\alpha} \), where \( d \) is the differential of the tensor dg \( K \)-algebra \( T_{A^\dagger}(A^\dagger \otimes s^{-n}A \otimes A^\dagger) \) and \( d_{\alpha} \) is the differential determined by the following map:

\[
A^\dagger \otimes s^{-n}A \otimes A^\dagger \rightarrow A^\dagger \leftrightarrow T_{A^\dagger}(A^\dagger \otimes s^{-n}A \otimes A^\dagger), \quad 1 \otimes s^{-n}a \otimes 1 \mapsto \alpha^\vee(s^n a).
\]

As in the proof of Theorem 3, we can decompose both \( T^\dagger \) and \( \Pi \) such that their direct summands equal correspondingly. So we obtain a bijection \( \Phi : T^\dagger \rightarrow \Pi \), which is a graded \( K \)-algebra morphism and compatible with differentials, i.e., a dg \( K \)-algebra isomorphism. By Theorem 5 i.e., [16] Theorem 3.17, \( \Pi_n(A^\dagger, \alpha^\dagger) \), and thus \( T_n(A, \alpha)^\dagger \), is an almost exact \( n \)-Calabi-Yau dg algebras.

\[\square\]
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