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Abstract

A sequence of homology groups as an object in a monoidal homotopy category is discussed. For a morphism which has a fixed object (a sequence of chain complexes) as a domain in a monoidal homotopy category, we consider a mapping cone of the morphism with a proper boundary operator. It is shown that if the mapping cone is homotopy equivalent to zero, then a codomain of the morphism is given as a sequence of homology groups of the fixed object. From the condition that the cone is homotopic to zero, it is found that the codomain of the morphism is a special case of the scalar object in the categorical diagonalization (B.Elias and M. Hogancamp arXiv:1801.00191v1). The scalar object plays the role of an eigenvalue in the sense of the linear algebra. We describe the relation between the categorical scalar object and the sequence of homology groups.

1 Introduction

We give a perspective of a sequence of homology groups by using the categorical diagonalization[1, 2, 3]. This categorical sequence of homology groups is given as a categorical eigenvalue of another sequence of chain complexes. We denote the categorical eigenvalue by $\lambda \in \text{ob}(A)$ that satisfies

$$\lambda \otimes V \simeq F \otimes V$$ \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

for $F \in \text{ob}(A)$ and $V \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{Y})$. Here $\mathcal{Y}$ is an additive category and $A$ is a monoidal homotopy category which acts on $\mathcal{Y}$. The additive category means that a pre-additive category equipped with a biproduct and a zero object, and the monoidal category means that a category equipped with “tensor product” (See [4] for details). $\lambda$ is a scalar object. The scalar object is an object that behaves symmetrically or commutatively for the “tensor product” (See Section 6.1 of [2] for details).

We summarize preliminaries for this paper. (See for example [5] and references therein.)

Definition 1.1. For an additive category $\mathcal{Y}$ and its category of chain complexes $C(\mathcal{Y})$ , let $X = \{X^i, d_X^i\}$ and $Y = \{Y^i, d_Y^i\}$ be (co)chain complexes in $C(\mathcal{Y})$ and $f$ and $g$ be morphisms of (co)chain complex in $C(\mathcal{Y})(X, Y)$. A homotopy $\Psi$ from $f$ to $g$ is a sequence of morphisms $\Psi = \{\Psi^i : X^i \to Y^i\}$ that satisfies

$$f^i - g^i = d_Y^{i-1} \circ \Psi^i + \Psi^{i+1} \circ d_X^i$$
for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If there is a homotopy from $f$ to $g$, it is represented by $f \sim g$ or $f \sim g$.

For this homotopy, homotopy equivalent is defined as follows.

**Definition 1.2.** If (co)chain complexes $X$ and $Y \in \text{ob}(C(\mathcal{C}))$ are isomorphic in a homotopy category $K(C)$, then $X$ and $Y$ are said to be homotopy equivalent, denoted by $X \simeq Y$. That is, these exist homotopies $\Psi$ and $\Phi$ for $f \in C(\mathcal{C})(X,Y)$ and $g \in C(\mathcal{C})(Y,X)$ which satisfy the condition

$$g \circ f \sim \Psi \circ \text{id}_X, \quad f \circ g \sim \Phi \circ \text{id}_Y.$$

See Chapter 3 of [5] for details on these definitions. In this paper, the symbol $\simeq$ is used to mean homotopy equivalent.

The goal of this article is to show if $V$ is a commutative ring $R$ then $\lambda$ is the sequence of the homology groups of $R$ coefficients of a chain complex $F$ in (1.1).

## 2 Homology Group and Mapping Cone

In this section, we make the shortest bridge between the (Co)homology theory and a mapping cone by elementary ways.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a monoidal homotopy category. Fix a (co)chain complex $F \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$ with homomorphisms $\tilde{d}_F^n$ as

$$F = (\cdots \rightarrow F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{d}_F^{n-1}} F_n \xrightarrow{\tilde{d}_F^n} F_{n+1} \rightarrow \cdots), \quad \tilde{d}_F^n \tilde{d}_F^{n-1} = 0$$

for all $n$. A (co)chain complex $\lambda \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$ is given by

$$\lambda = (\cdots \rightarrow \lambda_{n-1} \xrightarrow{0} \lambda_n \xrightarrow{0} \lambda_{n+1} \rightarrow \cdots),$$

where 0 is a trivial homomorphism which maps every (co)chain to zero. For all $n$, under the direct decomposition of

$$F_n = \text{Im } \tilde{d}_F^{n-1} \oplus (\text{Im } \tilde{d}_F^{n-1})^\perp,$$

we consider a morphism $\alpha := \{f_n : \lambda_n \rightarrow (\text{Im } \tilde{d}_F^{n-1})^\perp \subset F_n\} \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda, F)$ and $Z := \text{Cone}(\alpha) = \lambda[1] \oplus F$ with morphisms $\{d_n^Z : Z_n \rightarrow Z_{n+1}\}$ such that

$$d_n^Z = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ f_{n+1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_n^F & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{n+1} \\ (\text{Im } \tilde{d}_F^{n-1})^\perp \\ \text{Im } \tilde{d}_F^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{n+2} \\ (\text{Im } \tilde{d}_F^{n-1})^\perp \\ \text{Im } \tilde{d}_F^{n} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $d_n^Z : (\text{Im } \tilde{d}_F^{n-1})^\perp \rightarrow \text{Im } \tilde{d}_F^{n}$. If $\text{Cone}(\alpha) \simeq 0$, then $\lambda_n$ and a homology group are isomorphic:

$$\lambda_n = \frac{\ker \tilde{d}_F^n}{\text{Im } \tilde{d}_F^{n-1}} = H^n(F)$$

for all $n$. 

---

2
In this paper, a shift functor \([1]\) means \((A[1])_n = A_{n+1}\) for \(A \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})\), where \(\mathcal{C}\) is a category of chain complexes in an additive category \(\mathcal{A}\), and the symbol \(\perp\) means the orthogonal complement.

**Proof.** The condition \(\text{Cone}(\alpha) \cong 0\) means that there exist homotopy \(\Psi^n\) for all \(n\) in the following diagram.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
\cdots & \lambda_n & \oplus & F_{n-1} & d^{n-1}_n & \lambda_{n+1} & \oplus & F_n & d^n_n & \lambda_{n+2} & \oplus & F_{n+1} & \cdots \\
\downarrow & & & \downarrow & \Psi^n & & & & & & & & \\
\cdots & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & & & & & & \Psi^{n+1} & \cdots \\
\downarrow & id & & & & & \downarrow & & & & & & & \\
\cdots & \lambda_n & \oplus & F_{n-1} & d^{n-1}_n & \lambda_{n+1} & \oplus & F_n & d^n_n & \lambda_{n+2} & \oplus & F_{n+1} & \cdots \\
\end{array}
\]

In order to satisfy

\[
d_n^2 = d_{n-1}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ d_n^F f_n & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0,
\]

\(\text{Im} f_n\) must be \(\ker d_n^F\). Let us denote \(\Psi^n\) as

\[
\Psi^n = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{11}^n & \psi_{12}^n & \psi_{13}^n \\ \psi_{21}^n & \psi_{22}^n & \psi_{23}^n \\ \psi_{31}^n & \psi_{32}^n & \psi_{33}^n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{n+1} \\ (\text{Im} \ d_{n-1}^F)^\perp \\ \text{Im} \ d_{n-1}^F \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_n \\ (\text{Im} \ d_{n-2}^F)^\perp \\ \text{Im} \ d_{n-2}^F \end{bmatrix}.
\]

From Definition \([1,2]\) this homotopy \(\Psi\) satisfies

\[
-d = d_{n-1}^2 \Psi^n + \Psi^{n+1} d_n^Z
\]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ f_n \psi_{11}^n & f_n \psi_{12}^n & f_n \psi_{13}^n \\ d_n^F \psi_{21}^n & d_n^F \psi_{22}^n & d_n^F \psi_{23}^n \\ d_n^F \psi_{31}^n & d_n^F \psi_{32}^n & d_n^F \psi_{33}^n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{12}^{n+1} & \psi_{13}^{n+1} & d_n^F \\ \psi_{22}^{n+1} & \psi_{23}^{n+1} & d_n^F \\ \psi_{32}^{n+1} & \psi_{33}^{n+1} & d_n^F \end{bmatrix} = 0.
\]

(2.1)

It follows from (2.1) for diagonal entries that

\[
\psi_{12}^{n+1} f_n^{n+1} = -id \lambda_{n+1},
\]

(2.2)

\[
f_n \psi_{32}^n + \psi_{23}^{n+1} d_n^F = -id (\text{Im} \ d_{n-1}^F)^\perp,
\]

(2.3)

\[
d_n^F \psi_{23}^{n+1} = -id (\text{Im} \ d_{n-1}^F)^\perp.
\]

(2.4)

By (2.2) and (2.4),

\[
\psi_{12}^{n+1} = \begin{cases} -f_{n+1}^{-1} & \text{for } \text{Im} \ f_{n+1} \\ g_{n+1} & \text{for } (\text{Im} \ f_{n+1})^\perp \end{cases},
\]

\[
\psi_{23}^{n} = -(d_{n-1}^F)^{-1} + c_{n-1},
\]

where

\[
\text{Im} \ c_{n-1} \in \ker d_{n-1}^F / \text{Im} d_{n-2}^F, \quad g_{n+1} : (\text{Im} \ f_{n+1})^\perp \rightarrow \lambda_{n+1},
\]

and \((d_{n-1}^F)^{-1}\) is determined as \(\text{Im} \ (d_{n-1}^F)^{-1} \subseteq (\ker d_{n-1}^F)^\perp\). In other words, \((d_{n-1}^F)^{-1}\) is an isomorphism between \(\text{Im} d_{n-1}^F\) and \((\text{Im} d_{n-2}^F)^\perp - \ker d_{n-1}^F\). From (2.3),

\[
\begin{cases} c_n - id \text{Im} f_n = -id \ker d_n^F & \text{for } \text{Im} f_n \\ c_n - f_n g_n = -id \ker d_n^F & \text{for } (\text{Im} f_n)^\perp
\end{cases}
\]
because \( \psi_{23}^{n+1}d_n^F = -id_{\text{Im } d_{n-1}^F} \circ \ker d_n^F + c_n \). Since \( \text{Im } c_n \in \ker d_n^F / \text{Im } d_{n-1}^F \) is an arbitrary element and \( f_n g_n : (\text{Im } f_n)^+ \to \text{Im } f_n \), these must be

\[
\begin{align*}
c_n &= 0, \\
f_n g_n &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Thus,

\[
-id_{\text{Im } f_n} = -id_{\ker d_n^F}
\]

(2.5)

for an arbitrary element of \( \lambda_n \in (\text{Im } d_{n-1}^P)^+ \). From (2.2) and (2.5), \( f_n \) is an isomorphism between \( \lambda_n \) and \( \ker d_n^P \subset (\text{Im } d_{n-1}^P)^+ \).

The converse proposition holds true.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a monoidal homotopy category. Fix a \((co)\)chain complex \( F \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A}) \) with homomorphisms \( d_n^F \) as

\[
F = (\cdots \to F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}^F} F_n \xrightarrow{d_n^F} F_{n+1} \to \cdots), \quad \text{and } d_n^F d_{n-1}^F = 0
\]

for all \( n \). A \((co)\)chain complex \( \lambda \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A}) \) is given by

\[
\lambda = (\cdots \to \lambda_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\phi n} \lambda_n \xrightarrow{\phi n+1} \lambda_{n+1} \to \cdots)
\]

\[
= \left( \cdots \to \frac{\ker d_{n-1}^F}{\text{Im } d_{n-1}^F} \xrightarrow{\phi n} \frac{\ker d_n^P}{\text{Im } d_n^P} \xrightarrow{\phi n+1} \frac{\ker d_{n+1}^P}{\text{Im } d_{n+1}^P} \to \cdots \right).
\]

For all \( n \), under the direct decomposition of

\[
F_n = \text{Im } d_{n-1}^P \oplus (\text{Im } d_{n-1}^P)^+,
\]

we consider a morphism \( \alpha := \{ f_n : \lambda_n \to (\text{Im } d_{n-1}^P)^+ \subset F_n \} \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda, F) \) and \( Z := \text{Cone}(\alpha) = \lambda[1] \oplus F \) with morphisms \( \{ d_n^Z : Z_n \to Z_{n+1} \} \) such that

\[
d_n^Z = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda_{n+1} & (\text{Im } d_{n-1}^P)^+ \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \text{Im } d_{n-1}^P & \text{Im } d_n^P \end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{n+2} & (\text{Im } d_{n-1}^P)^+ \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \text{Im } d_{n-1}^P & \text{Im } d_n^P \end{bmatrix},
\]

(2.6)

where \( d_n^Z : (\text{Im } d_{n-1}^P)^+ \to \text{Im } d_n^P \) and \( f_n \) is an isomorphism between \( \lambda_n \) and \( \ker d_n^P \subset (\text{Im } d_{n-1}^P)^+ \). Then a condition \( \text{Cone}(\alpha) \simeq 0 \) is satisfied.

**Proof.** We assume a homotopy \( \Psi^n \) as

\[
\Psi^n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \psi_{12}^n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \psi_{23}^n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

(2.7)

where

\[
\psi_{12}^n = \begin{cases} -f_n^{-1} & \text{for } \text{Im } f_n \\ 0 & \text{for } (\text{Im } f_n)^+ \end{cases}, \quad \psi_{23}^n = -(d_{n-1}^F)^{-1},
\]

(2.8)

and \( \text{Im } \psi_{23}^n \) is determined as \( \text{Im } \psi_{23}^n \subset (\ker d_{n-1}^P)^+ \). In other words, \( \psi_{23}^n \) is an isomorphism between \( \text{Im } d_{n-1}^F \) and \( (\text{Im } d_{n-2}^F)^- \). Then homotopy equivalent:

\[
d_{n-1}^F \Psi^n + \Psi^{n+1}d_n^F = -id
\]

is verified. This means that \( \text{Cone}(\alpha) \simeq 0 \).
Note that (2.7) and (2.8) is the explicit formula to construct a homotopy for $\text{Cone}(\alpha)$ with (2.6).

From Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 the following theorem is obtained.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a monoidal homotopy category. Fix a (co)chain complex $F \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$ with boundary operators $d^{F}_n$ as

$$F = (\cdots \rightarrow F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^{F}_{n-1}} F_n \xrightarrow{d^{F}_n} F_{n+1} \rightarrow \cdots), \quad d^{F}_n d^{F}_{n-1} = 0$$

for all $n$. A (co)chain complex $\lambda \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$ is given by

$$\lambda = (\cdots \rightarrow \lambda_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \lambda_n \xrightarrow{\alpha} \lambda_{n+1} \rightarrow \cdots).$$

For all $n$, under the direct decomposition of

$$F_n = \text{Im} \, \tilde{d}^{F}_{n-1} \oplus (\text{Im} \, \tilde{d}^{F}_{n-1})^\perp,$$

we consider a morphism $\alpha := \{f_n : \lambda_n \rightarrow (\text{Im} \, \tilde{d}^{F}_{n-1})^\perp \subset F_n\} \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda, F)$ and $Z := \text{Cone}(\alpha) = \lambda[1] \oplus F$ with morphisms $\{d^{Z}_n : Z_n \rightarrow Z_{n+1}\}$ such that

$$d^{Z}_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ f_{n+1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d^{Z}_{n} & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{n+1} \\ (\text{Im} \, \tilde{d}^{Z}_{n-1})^\perp \\ \text{Im} \, \tilde{d}^{Z}_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{n+2} \\ (\text{Im} \, \tilde{d}^{Z}_{n})^\perp \\ \text{Im} \, \tilde{d}^{Z}_{n} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $d^{Z}_n : (\text{Im} \, \tilde{d}^{Z}_{n-1})^\perp \rightarrow \text{Im} \, \tilde{d}^{Z}_{n}$ and $f_n$ is an isomorphism between $\lambda_n$ and $\ker \tilde{d}^{Z}_n \subset (\text{Im} \, \tilde{d}^{Z}_{n-1})^\perp$. A condition $\text{Cone}(\alpha) \simeq 0$ is satisfied if and only if $\lambda_n$ and a homology group are isomorphic:

$$\lambda_n = \frac{\ker \tilde{d}^{Z}_n}{\text{Im} \, \tilde{d}^{Z}_{n-1}} = H_n(F).$$

**Proof.** This theorem follows from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2

---

### 3 Example

We give a description of Theorem 2.3 by terminologies of homology to avoid confusion caused by the difference of indexes.

**Corollary 3.1.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a monoidal homotopy category. Fix a chain complex $F \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$ with boundary operators $\partial_n$ as

$$F = (\cdots \rightarrow C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial^{C}_{n+1}} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial^{C}_n} C_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots), \quad \partial_n \partial_{n+1} = 0.$$

A chain complex $\lambda \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$ is given by

$$\lambda = (\cdots \rightarrow \lambda_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \lambda_n \xrightarrow{\partial} \lambda_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots).$$

Under the direct decomposition of

$$C_n = \text{Im} \, \tilde{\partial}_{n+1} \oplus (\text{Im} \, \tilde{\partial}_{n+1})^\perp,$$

we consider a morphism $\alpha := \{f_n : \lambda_n \rightarrow (\text{Im} \, \tilde{\partial}_{n+1})^\perp \subset C_n\} \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda, F)$ and $Z := \text{Cone}(\alpha) = \lambda[-1] \oplus F$ with morphisms $\{d^{Z}_n : Z_n \rightarrow Z_{n+1}\}$ such that

$$d^{Z}_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ f_{n+1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial^{Z}_{n} & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{n-1} \\ (\text{Im} \, \tilde{d}^{Z}_{n+1})^\perp \\ \text{Im} \, \tilde{d}^{Z}_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{n+2} \\ (\text{Im} \, \tilde{d}^{Z}_{n})^\perp \\ \text{Im} \, \tilde{d}^{Z}_{n} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\partial_n : (\text{Im} \, \tilde{\partial}_{n+1})^\perp \rightarrow \text{Im} \, \tilde{\partial}_n$ and $f_n$ is an isomorphism between $\lambda_n$ and $\ker \tilde{\partial}_n \subset (\text{Im} \, \tilde{\partial}_{n+1})^\perp$. A condition $\text{Cone}(\alpha) \simeq 0$ is satisfied if and only if $\lambda$ is a sequence of homology groups

$$\lambda = (\cdots \rightarrow H_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial} H_n \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots).$$
Example 3.2. Fix a chain complex $F \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$ as a chain complex of $S^1$:

$$F = (0 \rightarrow C_1(S^1) \rightarrow C_0(S^1) \rightarrow 0).$$

Then a sequence of homology groups $\lambda \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$ in Corollary 3.1 is given as

$$\lambda = (0 \rightarrow H_1(S^1) \rightarrow H_0(S^1) \rightarrow 0) = (0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0).$$

We show that $\text{Cone}(\alpha)$ and 0 are homotopy equivalent for a morphism $\alpha := \{ \iota_n : H_n(S^1) \rightarrow C_n(S^1) \} \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda, F)$ as a set of immersion functions. Suppose that the basis of $C_1(S^1)$ are given by three edges of a triangle $\Delta ABC$ and the basis of $C_0(S^1)$ are given by three vertices in a triangulation of $S^1$. For the following diagram,

A basis of $C_0(S^1)$ is chosen as $[B] - [A]$, $[C] - [B]$, and $[A]$. Then morphisms and homotopies are given by

$$d_0^Z : n \mapsto (0, n, n, n),$$
$$d_1^Z : (l, k, m, n) \mapsto (l - n, m - n, k),$$
$$\Psi^1 : (k, l, m, n) \mapsto -n,$$
$$\Psi^2 : (l, m, n) \mapsto (-n - l, -m, 0),$$

for $k, l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, that is,

$$d_0^Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$d_1^Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The homotopy equivalent conditions are checked from the following calculation.

$$\Psi^1 \circ d_0^Z = -1,$$
$$\Psi^2 \circ d_1^Z + d_0^Z \circ \Psi^1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$d_1^Z \circ \Psi^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
4 Sequence of Homology Groups as Eigenvalue

We describe the relation between Theorem 2.3 and categorical eigenvalues.

Definition 4.1 ([2, 1]). Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category, $\mathcal{A}'$ be a monoidal homotopy category acting on $\mathcal{A}$, and $\lambda$ be a scalar object. Fix a complex $F \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$. For a morphism $\alpha: \lambda \to F$ in $\mathcal{A}$, if

$$\alpha \otimes \text{id}_V : \lambda \otimes V \to F \otimes V$$

gives homotopy equivalent $\lambda \otimes V \simeq F \otimes V$ ($0 \neq V \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$) in $\mathcal{A}$, then $V$ is called an eigenobject with eigenmap $\alpha$ or simply eigenobject.

In this paper, the scalar object $\lambda$ satisfying (1.1) is called the categorical eigenvalue. The condition of eigenobject is expressed using $\text{Cone}(\alpha)$ from the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2 ([2, 1]). Let $F, \lambda, A, V, \alpha$ be those appearing in Definition 4.1. Then $V \in \text{ob}(V)$ is an eigenobject if and only if $\text{Cone}(\alpha) \otimes V \simeq 0$ ($V \neq 0$).

From this proposition, Theorem 2.3 can be interpreted as follows.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a monoidal homotopy category. For all $n$, consider a chain complex $F \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$ with boundary operators $\tilde{\partial}_n$:

$$F = (\cdots \to C_{n+1} \overset{\partial_{n+1}}{\longrightarrow} C_n \overset{\partial_n}{\longrightarrow} C_{n-1} \to \cdots), \quad \text{where } C_n \text{ is } R\text{-module for a commutative ring } R.$$

A scalar object $\lambda \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$ is given by

$$\lambda = (\cdots \to \lambda_{n+1} \overset{0}{\longrightarrow} \lambda_n \overset{0}{\longrightarrow} \lambda_{n-1} \to \cdots).$$

For all $n$, under the direct decomposition of

$$C_n = \text{Im } \tilde{\partial}_{n+1} \oplus (\text{Im } \tilde{\partial}_{n+1})^\perp,$$

we consider a morphism $\alpha := \{f_n: \lambda_n \to (\text{Im } \tilde{\partial}_{n+1})^\perp \subset C_n\} \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda, F)$ and $Z := \text{Cone}(\alpha) = \lambda[-1] \oplus F$ with morphisms $\{d_n^Z: Z_n \to Z_{n+1}\}$ such that

$$d_n^Z = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ f_{n-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{n-1} \\ (\text{Im } \tilde{\partial}_{n+1})^\perp \\ \text{Im } \tilde{\partial}_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{n-2} \\ (\text{Im } \tilde{\partial}_{n})^\perp \\ \text{Im } \tilde{\partial}_{n} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\partial_n : (\text{Im } \tilde{\partial}_{n+1})^\perp \to \text{Im } \tilde{\partial}_n$ and $f_n$ is an isomorphism between $\lambda_n$ and $\ker \partial_n^F \subset (\text{Im } \tilde{\partial}_{n+1})^\perp$. If an eigenobject $V$ is commutative ring $R$, then its eigenvalue is a sequence of homology groups of $R$ coefficients:

$$\lambda = \left( \cdots \to H_{n+1}(F) \overset{0}{\longrightarrow} H_n(F) \overset{0}{\longrightarrow} H_{n-1}(F) \to \cdots \right).$$

Proof. This theorem follows from $\text{Cone}(\alpha) \otimes R \simeq \text{Cone}(\alpha)$ and Theorem 2.3.
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