Magnetic field reveals zero Hall response in the normal state of stripe-ordered cuprates

Zhenzhong Shi,1† P. G. Baity,1,2†† T. Sasagawa,3 Dragana Popović1,2*

1National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32310, USA
2Department of Physics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA
3Materials and Structures Laboratory, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Kanagawa 226-8503, Japan

† Present address: Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA
†† Present address: James Watt School of Engineering, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, Scotland, United Kingdom
*To whom correspondence should be addressed; E-mail: dragana@magnet.fsu.edu

The origin of the weakly insulatinglike behavior revealed when magnetic fields (\(H\)) suppress superconductivity in underdoped cuprates has been a longtime mystery. Surprisingly, similar behavior observed recently in La-214 cuprates with “striped” spin and charge orders is consistent with a metallic, as opposed to insulating, high-field normal state. Here we report a striking finding of the vanishing of the Hall coefficient (\(R_H\)) in this field-revealed normal state for all \(T < (2 - 6)T_c^0\), where \(T_c^0\) is the zero-field superconducting transition temperature. In standard models, \(R_H\) can only vanish accidentally,
and thus $R_H = 0$ observed over a wide range of $T$ and $H$ has to imply that charge conjugation (i.e. particle-hole) symmetry is dynamically generated. This is a robust, new fundamental property of the normal state of cuprates with intertwined orders.

The central issue for understanding the high-temperature superconductivity in cuprates is the nature of the ground state that would have appeared had superconductivity not intervened. Therefore, magnetic fields have been commonly used to suppress superconductivity and expose the properties of the normal state. Ever since early measurements (1, 2) revealed unusual, insulatinglike behavior in underdoped cuprates, characterized by approximately $\ln(1/T)$ resistivity setting in at fairly high $T$, its origin has been a puzzle (3–7). Meanwhile, it has been discovered that the normal state of underdoped cuprates is unstable towards a variety of ordering phenomena, including charge and spin orders, and a spatially modulated superconducting (SC) state referred to as a pair-density wave (PDW) (8). The interplay or intertwining of these orders with superconductivity at high $H$ has thus become one of the key open questions (9–11).

La$_{2-x-y}$Sr$_x$(Nd, Eu)$_y$CuO$_4$ compounds are ideal candidates for addressing these issues because, for doping levels near $x = 1/8$, they exhibit both spin and charge orders with the strongest correlations and lowest $T_c^0$ at $H = 0$. In particular, in each CuO$_2$ plane, charge order appears in the form of static stripes that are separated by charge-poor regions of oppositely phased antiferromagnetism (10), i.e. spin stripes, with the onset temperatures $T_{CO} > T_{SO} > T_c^0$. The low values of $T_c^0$ have made it possible to determine the in-plane $T$–$H$ vortex phase diagram (12) using both linear and nonlinear transport over an unprecedented range of $T$ and perpendicular $H$ (i.e. $H \perp$ CuO$_2$ layers), and to probe deep into the high-field normal state. The most intriguing question, indeed, is what happens after the superconductivity is suppressed by $H$, i.e. for fields greater
than the quantum melting field of the vortex solid where $T_c(H) \to 0$. It turns out that a wide regime of vortex liquid-like behavior, i.e. strong SC phase fluctuations, persists in two-dimensional (2D) CuO$_2$ layers, all the way up to the upper critical field $H_{c2}$. It is in this regime that recent electrical transport measurements have also revealed (13) the signatures of the PDW SC state. The normal state, found at $H > H_{c2}$, is highly anomalous (12): it is characterized by a weak, insulatinglike $T$-dependence of the in-plane longitudinal resistivity, $\rho_{xx} \propto \ln(1/T)$, without any sign of saturation down to at least $T/T_c^0 \sim 10^{-2}$, and the negative magnetoresistance (MR). In particular, the $\ln(1/T)$ behavior is suppressed by $H$, strongly suggesting that $\rho_{xx}$ becomes independent of $T$, i.e. metallic, at experimentally inaccessible fields ($H > 70$ T). This behavior is in contrast to the $H$-independent $\ln(1/T)$ reported (1, 4) in the absence of stripes (14, 15), where the high-$H$ normal state appears to be an insulator (1, 2). In either case, the origin of such a weak, insulatinglike behavior is not understood, but it is clear that the presence of stripes seems to affect the nature of the normal state.

In cuprates, the Hall effect has been a powerful probe of the $T = 0$ field-revealed normal state (e.g. (16–21) and refs. therein). In the high-field limit as $T \to 0$, the Hall coefficient $R_H$, obtained from the Hall resistivity $\rho_{xy}(H) = R_H H$, can be used to determine the sign and the density ($n$) of charge carriers; in a single-band metal, for example, $n = n_H$, where the Hall number $n_H = 1/\epsilon R_H$ and $\epsilon$ is the electron charge ($R_H > 0$ for holes, $R_H < 0$ for electrons). A drop of $R_H$ from positive to negative values with decreasing $T$, observed in underdoped cuprates for dopings where charge orders are present (11) at high $H$, has been attributed (16, 21–23) to the existence of an electron pocket in the Fermi surface of a hole-doped cuprate. In general, however, the interpretation of the Hall effect has been a challenge, because $R_H$ can depend on both $T$ and $H$, and it can be affected by the presence of SC correlations. Furthermore, recent studies of the La$_{2-x-y}$Sr$_x$(Nd, Eu)$_y$CuO$_4$
compounds have demonstrated (12, 13) that reliable extrapolations to the $T \to 0$ normal state can be made only by tracking the evolution of SC correlations down to $T \ll T_c^{0}$ and $H/T_c^{0} \gg 1$. However, there have been no studies of the Hall effect in stripe-ordered cuprates that extend to that regime of $T$ and $H$.

Therefore, to explore the nature of the high-field normal state in the presence of stripes further, we measure Hall effect on La$_{1.7}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.1}$CuO$_4$ and La$_{1.48}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_{0.12}$CuO$_4$ (24) over the entire in-plane $T$-$H$ vortex phase diagram previously established (12, 13) for $T$ down to $T/T_c^{0} \lesssim 0.003$ and fields up to $H/T_c^{0} \sim 10$ T/K, and deep into the normal state (see also Supplementary Text). Combining the results of several techniques allows us to achieve an unambiguous interpretation of the Hall data for $H < H_{c2}$, and reveal novel properties of the normal state. Our main results are summarized in the $T$-$H$ phase diagrams shown in Fig. 3. The key finding is that, in the high-field limit, the positive $R_H$ decreases to zero at $T = T_0(H) \sim (2 - 6)T_c^{0}$ upon cooling, and it remains zero all the way down to the lowest measured $T$, despite the absence of any observable signs of superconductivity. The drop of $R_H$ at $T > T_0$ does not depend on $H$, while $T_0(H)$ is very weakly dependent on $H$ (Fig. 3), almost constant, suggesting that $R_H = 0$, i.e. particle-hole symmetry, is characteristic of the zero-field (normal) ground state in the presence of stripes.

Figure 2 shows the field dependence of $R_H = \rho_{xy}(H)/H$ for various $T$ in both materials (see Fig. S1 for the $\rho_{xy}(H)$ data at different $T$). At relatively high $T > T_0 > T_c^{0}$ in the pseudogap regime, the positive $R_H$ is independent of $H$ (Figs. 2A and 2C), as observed in conventional metals, although the in-plane transport is already weakly insulatinglike, i.e. $d\rho_{xx}/dT < 0$ (Figs. 3A and 3C, also Fig. S3). Upon cooling, $R_H$ decreases to zero at $T = T_0(H)$, and then becomes negative in the regime of lower fields. The field dependence remains weak at all $T$, similar to the observations (26) in striped La$_{1.905}$Ba$_{0.095}$CuO$_4$. The
most striking, novel finding is that, at the highest fields ($H > H_{\text{peak}}$), $R_H$ remains zero for $T < T_0$, down to the lowest measured $T$ (Figs. 2B and 2D). In other words, for a fixed $T < T_0(H)$, $R_H < 0$ at low $H$, but it becomes zero and remains zero with increasing field. In Fig. 3, we compare $R_H(T)$ and $\rho_{xx}(T)$ for various fields. The drop of $R_H$ observed at
Figure 2: Field dependence of the Hall coefficient $R_H$ at various temperatures. Higher- and lower-$T$ data for La$_{1.7}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.1}$CuO$_4$ are shown in A and B, respectively, i.e. in C and D for La$_{1.48}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_{0.12}$CuO$_4$. Different symbols, corresponding to the data taken in different magnet systems, show good agreement between the runs. The data points represent $R_H$ values averaged over 1 T bins (Fig. S2), while error bars correspond to 1 SD of the data points within each bin. Relatively large error bars at low $T$ result from the use of extremely small excitation currents $I$ (24) necessary to ensure that the measurements are taken in the $I \rightarrow 0$ limit, because of the strongly nonlinear (i.e. non-Ohmic) transport in the presence of vortices (12). At high $T$, $R_H$ is independent of $H$, but it decreases to zero at $T = T_0(H)$ upon cooling. As $T$ is reduced further, $R_H$ becomes negative for lower $H$, within the VL regime [$H < H_{peak}(T)$]. In the normal state [$H > H_{peak}(T)$], however, $R_H$ remains zero down to the lowest $T$.

$T > T_0$ does not depend on $H$ (Figs. 3B and 3D), similar to earlier studies of the striped La-214 family (26–29) and other cuprates (22); the drop in $R_H$ was thus attributed (22)
In both materials, $R_H$ decreases upon cooling, and reaches zero at $T = T_0(H)$. For $H < H_{c2} \sim H_{peak}$, $R_H$ becomes negative at even lower $T$, then goes through a minimum, and eventually reaches zero again at $T = T_0'(H)$, as shown; $R_H$ remains zero down to 0.019 K (B and D insets). For $H > H_{c2}$, $R_H = 0$ for all $H$ and $T < T_0(H)$. All dashed lines guide the eye.
to the properties of the zero-field pseudogap regime. We define $T_0(H)$ as the temperature at which $R_H$ becomes zero or negative, and it is apparent that it has a very weak, almost negligible field dependence. $T_0$ is comparable to the temperature at which $\rho_{xx}(T)$ curves in both materials split into either metallic-like (i.e. SC-like) or insulating-like, an effect that is manifested only in the presence of stripes \cite{29}. We find that, interestingly, this occurs (Figs. 3A and 3C) when the normal state sheet resistance $R_{\square/layer} \approx R_Q$, where $R_Q = h/(2e)^2$ is the quantum resistance for Cooper pairs.

Previous studies have found \cite{12, 13} that, for $H < H_{peak}$, the “$h/4e^2$” line seems to form an upper limit for the presence of vortices (Fig. S3). Indeed, in this field range, $R_H$ is negative for $T'_0 < T < T_0$ (beige area in Fig. 3) and it exhibits a minimum, which is suppressed by increasing $H$ (Figs. 3B and 3D). This behavior is found to result from the vortex motion (Supplementary Text, Fig. S4). Similarly, the suppression of the negative $R_H$ with decreasing $T$, resulting in zero $R_H$ at $T < T'_0$ (green area in Fig. 3) down to the lowest measured $T = 0.019$ K (Figs. 3B and 3D insets), is attributed to the slowing down and freezing of the vortex motion with decreasing $T$. This is a new observation in cuprates, and we note that some of the properties of this region, $\rho_{xx}(T > 0) > 0$ and $R_H = 0$, are the same as in the anomalous metallic phase, also known as a “failed superconductor”, which is observed in a field-driven SC transition in 2D films of some conventional superconductors \cite{30}. However, while $\rho_{xx}(T \rightarrow 0) \neq 0$ in a failed superconductor \cite{31}, here in the viscous VL region, $\rho_{xx}(T \rightarrow 0) = 0$, just like in highly underdoped La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ \cite{32}.

The remaining, most intriguing question is the origin of the vanishing Hall coefficient in the normal state ($H > H_{peak}$) of both materials at all $T < T_0$. A zero Hall coefficient was reported \cite{33} recently in La$_{2-x}$Ba$_x$CuO$_4$ with $x = 1/8$ in the so-called “ultraquantum” metal regime and, similar to a failed superconductor, it was attributed \cite{33} to the presence of Cooper pairs, which survive within the charge stripes after the inter-stripe
SC phase coherence has been destroyed by $H$ (see also Supplementary Text). However, the situation in La$_{1.7}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.1}$CuO$_4$ and La$_{1.48}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_{0.12}$CuO$_4$ is very different: the non-Ohmic transport (12), indicative of the vortex motion, extends at most up to $T_{\text{peak}}(H)$ (Fig. 3), i.e. $H_{\text{peak}}$ at low $T$. Furthermore, an extensive study of the anisotropy between the out-of-plane and the in-plane transport in these samples has demonstrated (13) the absence of any observable signs of superconductivity, including the PDW, for $H > H_{\text{peak}}$. In that regime, the anisotropy no longer depends on a magnetic field, neither $H \parallel c$ nor $H \perp c$, and it reaches its high-$T$, normal-state value. Our measurements also extend to fields much higher than $H_{c2}$ (i.e. $H_{\text{peak}}$), deep inside the normal state, in contrast to the La$_{1.875}$Ba$_{0.125}$CuO$_4$ study (33) in which SC correlations are still important. Therefore, we conclude that superconductivity is not responsible for the zero Hall coefficient in the field-revealed normal state of La$_{1.7}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.1}$CuO$_4$ and La$_{1.48}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_{0.12}$CuO$_4$.

Since $d\rho_{xx}/dT < 0$ in the normal state, one could also speculate whether $R_H$ vanishes (i.e. $\rho_{xy} = 0$, or conductivity $\sigma_{xy} = 0$) because of some kind of quasiparticle localization. However, our results are the opposite of those in lightly-doped (19), i.e. insulating cuprates with a diverging $\rho_{xx}(T \to 0)$, or in highly underdoped (18) cuprates, both of which seem to show a diverging $R_H$ at low $T$. If $n = n_H = 1/({eR_H})$ holds, this is indeed consistent with a depletion of carriers, whereas in our case it would indicate a diverging number of carriers. In addition, although $d\rho_{xx}/dT < 0$ in the normal state of La$_{1.7}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.1}$CuO$_4$ and La$_{1.48}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_{0.12}$CuO$_4$, this $\rho_{xx}(T)$ is very weak, it becomes even weaker with increasing $H$, and at the same time, the absolute value of $\rho_{xx}$ remains relatively low and comparable to that at $T > T_0$ (Figs. 3A and 3C). Likewise, as the system goes from the VL to the normal state with $H$ at a fixed, relatively high $T < T_0$, the $H$-dependence of $\rho_{xx}$ is negligible (12) (e.g. at $\sim 4$ K in Fig. 3A), while $R_H$ changes qualitatively from a finite negative value to zero (Fig. 3B). Hence, simple localization
mechanisms cannot explain $R_H = 0$ observed over a wide range of $T$ and $H$ in the normal state of striped cuprates.

The experimental results thus lead us to conclude that $R_H = 0$ is a manifestation of a charge conjugation (i.e. particle-hole) symmetry that is extremely robust, as it is observed in an entire phase, as well as in cuprates with different doping or strength of stripe correlations. Such a particle-hole symmetry arises in a model (34) for a PDW that also predicts a metallic high-$H$ normal state, and in which electron- and hole-like pockets of the Fermi surface have an equal number of electrons and holes; this gives a zero Hall response below the Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless transition. Our results do show that the positive $R_H$ drops to zero at $T = T_0$ that corresponds to the onset of phase fluctuations (Fig. 3) at zero field (12) $[T_0 \sim (2-3)T_c^0$ in La$_{1.7}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.1}$CuO$_4$ and $T_0 \sim 6T_c^0$ in La$_{1.48}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_{0.12}$CuO$_4$], and evidence for a PDW has been found in both materials (13). The model, however, requires (34) that some pairs still survive within the charge stripes, and thus it may be an adequate description of the findings (33) in La$_{1.875}$Ba$_{0.125}$CuO$_4$. In contrast, there is no evidence of any observable remnants of superconductivity, including Cooper pairs, in the vast regime of $T$ and $H$ where $R_H = 0$, as discussed above, and the normal state is strange, as it is neither a true insulator nor a metal: although $\rho_{xx}(T)$ is insulatinglike, it weakens with increasing $H$, suggesting a metallic state at extremely high fields (12). Therefore, a microscopic description of such a state remains an open question.

Our central result is that the normal state of La$_{2-x-y}$Sr$_x$(Nd, Eu)$_y$CuO$_4$ cuprates with static spin and charge stripes is characterized by a zero Hall coefficient. If the drop of the positive $R_H$ to zero, observed at $T > T_0$, implies the appearance of electron pockets in the Fermi surface similar to that in other underdoped cuprate families (16, 17), then our findings strongly suggest that high-temperature superconductivity and stripes conspire to make the number of electrons and holes equal. In standard models, however, $R_H$
can only vanish accidentally, and thus $R_H = 0$ observed over a wide range of $T$ and $H$ has to be dynamically generated. Holographic models, which feature emergent charge conjugation symmetry, might provide, for example, a more suitable description of such strongly correlated matter (35, 36). In any case, by revealing the existence of such a robust property of the strange, normal state of stripe-ordered cuprates, our results provide a qualitatively new insight for further theoretical investigations of the cuprate pseudogap regime, and also call for additional experiments under extremely high magnetic fields.
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Materials and Methods

Samples. Several single crystal samples of La$_{1.8-x}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ with a nominal $x = 0.10$ and La$_{1.6-x}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ with a nominal $x = 0.12$ were grown by the traveling-solvent floating-zone technique (37). The high quality of the crystals was confirmed by several techniques, as discussed in detail elsewhere (12,13). The samples were shaped as rectangular bars suitable for direct measurements of the longitudinal and transverse (Hall) resistance, $R_{xx}$ and $R_{xy}$, respectively. Detailed measurements of $R_{xx}$ and $R_{xy}$ were performed on La$_{1.7}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.1}$CuO$_4$ sample “B” with dimensions $3.06 \times 0.53 \times 0.37$ mm$^3$ ($a \times b \times c$, i.e. length $\times$ width $\times$ thickness) and a La$_{1.48}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_{0.12}$CuO$_4$ crystal with dimensions $3.82 \times 1.19 \times 0.49$ mm$^3$. Gold contacts were evaporated on polished crystal surfaces, and annealed in air at 700°C. The current contacts were made by covering the whole area of the two opposing sides with gold to ensure uniform current flow, and the voltage contacts were made narrow to minimize the uncertainty in the absolute values of the resistance. Multiple voltage contacts on opposite sides of the crystals were prepared, and the results did not depend on the position of the contacts. Gold leads ($\approx 25 \mu$m thick) were attached to the samples using the Dupont 6838 silver paste, followed by the heat treatment at 450°C in the flow of oxygen for 15 minutes. The resulting contact resistances were less than 0.1 Ω for La$_{1.7}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.1}$CuO$_4$ (0.5 Ω for La$_{1.48}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_{0.12}$CuO$_4$) at room temperature.

$T^0_c$, defined as the temperature at which the linear resistivity becomes zero, is $T^0_c = (5.7 \pm 0.3)$ K for La$_{1.7}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.1}$CuO$_4$ and $T^0_c = (3.6 \pm 0.4)$ K for La$_{1.48}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_{0.12}$CuO$_4$. In La$_{1.7}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.1}$CuO$_4$, the onsets of spin and charge orders are $T_{SO} \sim 15$ K and $T_{CO} \sim 40$ K, respectively (38); in La$_{1.48}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_{0.12}$CuO$_4$, $T_{SO} \sim 50$ K, $T_{CO} \sim 70$ K (39).
Measurements. The standard ac lock-in techniques (∼ 13 Hz) were used for measurements of \( R_{xx} \) and \( R_{xy} \) with the magnetic field parallel and anti-parallel to the \( c \) axis. The Hall resistance was extracted from the transverse voltage by extracting the component antisymmetric in the magnetic field. The Hall coefficient \( R_H = R_{xy} d/H = \rho_{xy}/H \), where \( d \) is the sample thickness. The \( \rho_{xx} \) data measured simultaneously with \( \rho_{xy} \) agree well with the previously reported results of magnetoresistance measurements \((12,13)\). The resistance per square per CuO\(_2\) layer \( R_{\square}/\text{layer} = \rho_{xx}/l \), where \( l = 6.6 \ \text{Å} \) is the thickness of each layer.

Depending on the temperature, the excitation current (density) of 10 µA to 316 µA (\( \sim 5 \times 10^{-3} \ \text{A cm}^{-2} \) to \( \sim 1.6 \times 10^{-1} \ \text{A cm}^{-2} \) for La\(_{1.7}\)Eu\(_{0.2}\)Sr\(_{0.1}\)CuO\(_4\), and \( \sim 2 \times 10^{-3} \ \text{A cm}^{-2} \) to \( \sim 6.3 \times 10^{-2} \ \text{A cm}^{-2} \) for La\(_{1.48}\)Nd\(_{0.4}\)Sr\(_{0.12}\)CuO\(_4\)) was used. The excitation current was low enough to avoid Joule heating. Traces with different excitation currents were also compared to ensure that the reported results are in the linear response regime. A 1 kΩ resistor in series with a \( \pi \) filter [5 dB (60 dB) noise reduction at 10 MHz (1 GHz)] was placed in each wire at the room temperature end of the cryostat to reduce the noise and heating by radiation in all measurements.

Several different magnets at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory were used, including a dilution refrigerator (0.016 K ≤ T ≤ 0.7 K) and a \(^3\)He system (0.3 K ≤ T ≤ 35 K) in superconducting magnets (\( H \) up to 18 T), using 0.1 – 0.2 T/min sweep rates, and a \(^3\)He system (0.3 K ≤ T ≤ 20 K) in a 31 T resistive magnet, using 1 – 2 T/min sweep rates. Some of the measurements were performed in a variable-temperature insert (1.7 K ≤ T ≤ 200 K) with a 12 T superconducting magnet. The fields were swept at constant temperatures, and the sweep rates were low enough to avoid eddy current heating.
of the samples. The results obtained in different magnets and cryostats agree well.

**Supplementary Text**

**Vortex phase diagram in Fig. 1.** The measurements of the in-plane magnetoresistance $\rho_{xx}(H)$ at different $T$ were used to determine $(12,13) T_c(H)$, the melting temperature of the vortex solid in which $\rho_{xx} = 0$. Although the quantum melting fields of the vortex solid are relatively low ($\sim 5.5$ T and $\sim 4$ T, respectively, for La$_{1.7}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.1}$CuO$_4$ and La$_{1.48}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_{0.12}$CuO$_4$), the regime of strong 2D SC phase fluctuations (vortex liquid) extends up to much higher fields $(12,13) H_{\text{peak}}(T) \sim H_{c2}(T)$ (Fig. 1), where $H_{\text{peak}}(T)$ is the position of the peak in $\rho_{xx}(H)$. For $T \to 0$, $H_{c2} \sim 20$ T for La$_{1.7}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.1}$CuO$_4$, i.e. $\sim 25$ T for La$_{1.48}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_{0.12}$CuO$_4$.

**Hall coefficient in the vortex liquid regime.** The suppression of $R_H$ by the field, similar to that shown in Figs. 3B and 3D, is generally understood $(16,28,29)$ to result from the vortex contribution to the Hall resistivity. The minimum is less pronounced for $x \approx 1/8$ (Fig. 3D) than for $x = 0.10$ (Fig. 3B), consistent with prior observations $(28,29)$, as well as with the recent evidence $(13)$ of a more robust SC PDW state at $x \approx 1/8$. We find that, indeed, the range of $T$ and $H$ in which $R_H < 0$ (Fig. 1 and Fig. S3) corresponds to the vortex liquid regime $(H < H_{\text{peak}})$ already established based on other transport studies $(12,13)$. Additional evidence for the presence of vortices in that regime comes from scaling of $\rho_{xx}^2/\rho_{xy} \propto H$ (Fig. S4), which indicates a state of dissipating vortex motion $(40)$. Therefore, the agreement of the results of different techniques allows an unambiguous interpretation of the negative $R_H$ as arising from the motion of vortices. Incidentally, it also reveals that the origin of $R_H \approx 0$ observed $(28)$ in La$_{1.48}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_{0.12}$CuO$_4$ for $T \lesssim 5$ K at 9 T is due to the onset of freezing of the vortex motion (Fig. 1 and Fig. S3). It should be
noted that the behavior of $R_H$ in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_y$ is different (16) from that of stripe-ordered La-214, e.g. the negative $R_H$ increases with increasing $H$, suggesting that it is not caused by vortices. In La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ near and at $x = 1/8$ (corresponding $T_c^0 > 20$ K), it was found (21) that the positive $R_H$ drops below $\sim 50$ K and becomes negative below $\sim 20$ K, but the measurements were performed only at $H = 16$ T and down to $T \sim 10$ K. Finally, we note that the observations of a field-independent $T_0$, at which $R_H$ changes sign, have been used to argue (16,23) that $R_H < 0$ can not be caused by vortices. However, our results (Fig. 1) demonstrate that this is not necessarily the case.

As discussed in the main text, for $H < H_{peak}$, the negative $R_H$ is also suppressed with decreasing $T$, and it reaches zero at $T = T_0^0(H)$ (Figs. 3B and 3D). Upon further cooling, $R_H$ remains zero down to the lowest measured $T = 0.019$ K (Figs. 3B and 3D insets). Previous linear and nonlinear transport studies (12) have already identified that regime as the viscous vortex liquid, characterized by non-Ohmic transport and the metalliclike, $d\rho_{xx}/dT > 0$ behavior (see Fig. S3) with the zero freezing temperature, i.e. $T_c = 0$. Therefore, the vanishing of $R_H$ in this regime (green area in Fig. 1) is attributed to the slowing down and freezing of the vortex motion with decreasing $T$.

In La$_{2-x}$Ba$_x$CuO$_4$ with $x = 1/8$, the nonlinear (i.e. non-Ohmic) transport provided some of the evidence for the presence of Cooper pairs and SC phase fluctuations in the “ultraquantum” metal regime where $R_H$ vanishes (33). In La$_{1.7}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.1}$CuO$_4$ and La$_{1.48}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_{0.12}$CuO$_4$, the non-Ohmic transport (12) extends up to $T_{peak}(H)$ (Fig. 1), i.e. $H_{peak}$ at low $T$. Indeed, the “ultraquantum” regime (33) seems to be analogous to the vortex liquid regime in Fig. 1, in which the negative $R_H$ arising from the vortex motion decreases towards zero as the doping approaches $x = 1/8$ (Fig. 3B and Fig. 3D), consistent with early observations (28,29).
Figure S1: Hall resistance $R_{xy}$ vs $H$ || c. A and C, $R_{xy}$ of La$_{1.7}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.1}$CuO$_4$, measured in 12 T and 18 T magnets, respectively, for several temperatures. At high $T$, $R_{xy} \propto H$, as expected in conventional metals. In the vortex solid phase where $\rho_{xx} = 0$, the Hall resistivity $\rho_{xy} = 0$, as expected for a superconductor (see, e.g., the 2.01 K trace in A, for which Fig. 1 shows that the vortex solid melting field is $\approx 0.7$ T). B, $R_{xy} = 0$ measured in La$_{1.7}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.1}$CuO$_4$ and La$_{1.48}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_{0.12}$CuO$_4$ in an 18 T magnet at the lowest $T = 0.019$ K, at which fields between the quantum melting field of the vortex solid ($\sim 5.5$ T and $\sim 4$ T, respectively, for La$_{1.7}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.1}$CuO$_4$ and La$_{1.48}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_{0.12}$CuO$_4$) and 18 T correspond to the viscous vortex liquid regime. D, $R_{xy}$ of La$_{1.48}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_{0.12}$CuO$_4$ measured in an 18 T magnet for several temperatures. Dashed lines mark $R_{xy} = 0$ in all panels.
Figure S2: Field dependence of the Hall coefficient $R_H$ at several temperatures. A-F, La$_{1.7}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.1}$CuO$_4$; G-L, La$_{1.48}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_{0.12}$CuO$_4$. In all panels, black and grey traces represent the raw data obtained in two different runs with fields up to 18 T and 31 T, respectively. The signals are relatively noisy because extremely small excitation currents $I$ are used to ensure that the measurements are taken in the $I \to 0$ limit, since prior work has demonstrated strongly nonlinear (i.e. non-Ohmic) transport in the presence of vortices. The same $R_H$ data, averaged over 1 T bins, are shown by red and magenta symbols that correspond to the black and grey traces, respectively. Error bars correspond to 1 SD of the data points within each bin. Dashed lines mark $R_H = 0$. 

Figure S3: In-plane Hall coefficient $R_H$ across the $T$–$H$ phase diagram of striped cuprates. The phase diagram from Fig. 1, but here the color map shows slopes $d\rho_{xx}/dT$. The temperature dependence in the strange normal state is weakly insulating-like, i.e. $\rho_{xx} \propto \ln(1/T)$. 
Figure S4: Electrical transport in the vortex liquid regime. A and B, Hall resistance $R_{xy}$ (left axis) and longitudinal resistance $R_{xx}$ (right axis) of La$_{1.7}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.1}$CuO$_4$ and La$_{1.48}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_{0.12}$CuO$_4$, respectively, vs magnetic field at several temperatures within the vortex liquid regime. C and D, Scaling of the longitudinal and Hall resistance, $\rho_{xx}^2/\rho_{xy} \propto H$, for La$_{1.7}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.1}$CuO$_4$ and La$_{1.48}$Nd$_{0.4}$Sr$_{0.12}$CuO$_4$, respectively, at the same temperatures shown in A and B. $R_{xx}$ and $R_{xy}$ were averaged over 0.5 T bins before $\rho_{xx}^2/\rho_{xy}$ was calculated. Error bars correspond to 1 SD of the data points within each bin. Solid lines are linear fits going through the origin.