Quantum fluctuations close to an acoustic horizon in a Bose-Einstein condensate
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We study the quantum fluctuations on top of a one dimensional Bose-Einstein density profile realizing an analogous acoustic black hole. The taking into account of evanescent channels and of zero modes makes it possible to accurately reproduce the recent experimental measurements of the density correlation function by [J. R. M. de Nova, K. Golubkov, V. I. Kolobov, and J. Steinhauer, Nature 569, 688 (2019)]. We also discuss the procedure making it possible to determine the Hawking temperature from the knowledge of non-local correlations.

Hawking radiation [1] being of kinematic origin can be transposed to analogous systems, as first understood by Unruh [2]. In such settings, the gravitational black hole is mimicked by a transonic flow which is upstream subsonic, and downstream supersonic. Among the various platforms which have been proposed for observing induced or spontaneous analogous Hawking radiation and related phenomena, the ones for which the experimental activity is currently the most intense are surface water waves [3–8], nonlinear light [9–15], excitonic polaritons [16] and Bose-Einstein condensed atomic vapors [17–20].

Because of their low temperature, of their intrinsic quantum nature, and of the high experimental control achieved in these systems, Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) seem particularly suitable for studying analogous Hawking radiation. Steinhauer and colleagues have undertaken several studies of quasi-unidimensional configurations making it possible to realized analogous black hole horizons in BEC systems, and made claims of observation of Hawking radiation [15, 20]. Their results have triggered the interest of the community [21–31], and generated a vivid debate [32, 33]. One of the goals of the present Letter is to contribute to this debate, and to partially close it, at least in what concerns density correlations around an analogous black hole horizon. A definite theoretical answer can be obtained thanks to a simple remark which had been overlooked in previous works: one needs to develop the quantum particle operator on a complete basis set for properly describing the density fluctuations. Once this has been achieved, one can compare safely grounded theoretical predictions with the experimental results: this is what is realized in a first part of this Letter. In a second part we discuss the determination of the Hawking temperature obtained thanks to the information encoded in the density correlation function.

We consider a one dimensional configuration in which the quantum field $\hat{\Psi}(x,t)$ is solution of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation

$$i\hbar \partial_t \hat{\Psi} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_x^2 \hat{\Psi} + [g \hat{n} + U(x)] \hat{\Psi}. \quad (1)$$

In this equation $m$ is the mass of the atoms, $\hat{n} = \hat{\Psi}^\dagger \hat{\Psi}$ and the term $g \hat{n}$ describes the effective repulsive atomic interaction ($g > 0$). We have considered several external potentials $U(x)$ making it possible to engineer a sonic horizon, but we only present here the results for a step function: $U(x) = -U_0 \Theta(-x)$ with $U_0 > 0$. The reason for this choice is twofold: (i) this potential has been realized experimentally in Refs. [19, 20], (ii) from the three configurations analyzed in Ref. [29], this is the one which leads to the signal of quantum non-separability which is the largest and the most resilient to temperature effects.

In the spirit of Bogoliubov’s approach, we write the quantum field as

$$\hat{\Psi}(x,t) = \Phi(x) + \hat{\psi}(x,t), \quad (2)$$

where $\Phi(x)$ is a classical field describing the stationary condensate and $\hat{\psi}(x,t)$ accounts for small quantum fluctuations. Although such a separation is not quite legitimate in 1D, it has been argued in Ref. [29] that it constitutes a valid approximation over a large range of one-dimensional densities. In the case we consider, $\Phi$ is a solution of the classical Gross-Pitaevskii equation describing a sonic horizon: the $x < 0$ profile is half a dark soliton [34], with $\Phi(x \to -\infty) = \sqrt{n_u} \exp(ik_u x)$, where $n_u$ and $V_u = mk_u/\hbar$ ($> 0$) are the upstream asymptotic density and velocity respectively. The downstream ($x > 0$) flow of the condensate corresponds to a plane wave: $\Phi(x > 0) = \sqrt{n_d} \exp(ik_d x - i\pi/2)$. The asymptotic upstream and downstream sound velocities are $c_u = \sqrt{g m(n_u,d)/m}$. The analogous black hole configuration corresponds to a flow which is asymptotically upstream subsonic ($V_u < c_u$) and downstream supersonic ($\hbar k_d/m = V_d > c_d$).

We describe the quantum fluctuations on top of this classical field within a linearized approach. The relevant modes are identified by using the asymptotic ingoing (i.e. directed towards the acoustic horizon) and outgoing channels, far from the horizon. As discussed in previous references [35, 38] and recalled in [39], the Bogoliubov dispersion relation combined with the asymptotic subsonic upstream and supersonic downstream flow supports a decomposition of $\hat{\psi}$ onto three incoming modes which we denote as $U$, $D1$ and $D2$. For instance the $U$ mode is seeded by an upstream incoming channel which we denote as $u|in$, which propagates towards the horizon with a long wavelength group velocity $V_u + c_u$. It is scattered onto two outgoing transmitted channels (propagating in
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the analog black hole away from the horizon) which we denote as \( d1out \) and \( d2out \) with respective long wavelength group velocities \( V_d + c_d \) and \( V_d - c_d \) (both positive) and one outgoing reflected channel (propagating away from the horizon, outside of the analog black hole) with long wavelength group velocity \( V_u - c_u < 0 \). The corresponding three scattering coefficients are denoted as \( S_{d1,u}, S_{d2,u} \) and \( S_{u,u} \). There is also an upstream evanescent wave (\( ueva \)) which carries no current, does not contribute to the \( S \)-matrix, but is important for fulfilling the continuity relations at \( x = 0 \). The situation is schematically depicted in Fig. 1.

The frequency-dependent boson operators associated to the three incoming modes \( U, D1 \) and \( D2 \) are denoted as \( b_{ui}, b_{d1} \), \( b_{d2} \), they obey the commutation relations \( \left[ b_{Li}(\omega), b_{Lj}^\dagger(\omega') \right] = \delta_{i,j} \delta(\omega - \omega') \). In addition, Bose-Einstein condensation is associated to a spontaneously broken \( U(1) \) symmetry which implies the existence of additional zero modes of the linearized version of \( \hat{L} \). As discussed in Ref. [40], one is lead to introduce two new operators \( \hat{\mathcal{P}} \) and \( \hat{\mathcal{Q}} \) accounting for the global phase degree of freedom, and the correct expansion of the quantum fluctuation field reads

\[
\hat{\psi}(x,t) = i\Phi(x)\hat{\mathcal{Q}} + iq(x)\hat{\mathcal{P}} + \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{L \in \{U, D1\}} \left[ u_L(x,\omega)e^{-i\omega t}\hat{b}_L(\omega) + v_L^*(x,\omega)e^{i\omega t}\hat{b}_L^\dagger(\omega) \right] \\
+ \int_0^\Omega \frac{d\omega}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[ u_{D2}(x,\omega)e^{-i\omega t}\hat{b}_{D2}(\omega) + v_{D2}^*(x,\omega)e^{i\omega t}\hat{b}_{D2}^\dagger(\omega) \right].
\]

In this expression the \( u_L \)'s and \( v_L \)'s are the usual Bogoliubov coefficients (their explicit form is given for instance in Ref. [37]), and the quantization of the \( D2 \) mode is atypical, as discussed in several previous references [35, 36, 41]. The function \( q(x) \) is one of the components of

the zero eigenmodes, see [39]. Omitting the contribution of the zero mode operators \( \hat{\mathcal{P}} \) and \( \hat{\mathcal{Q}} \) would correspond to the use of an incomplete basis set for the expansion of the quantum fluctuations; in other words, their contribution is essential for verifying the correct commutation relation \( [\hat{\psi}(x,t),\hat{\psi}^\dagger(y,t)] = \delta(x - y) \). The operator \( \hat{\mathcal{Q}} \) is associated to the global phase of the condensate, \( \hat{\mathcal{P}} \) is the canonical conjugate operator \( [\hat{\mathcal{P}},\hat{\mathcal{Q}}] = i \) which typically appears in the quadratic Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}_{\text{quad}} \) describing the dynamics of the quantum fluctuations with a \( \hat{\mathcal{Q}}^2 \) contribution, while \( \hat{\mathcal{P}} \) does not [40, 42, 43]. This means that the degree of liberty associated to the broken symmetry has no restoring force – as expected on physical grounds – and that the zero excitation quantum state \( \ket{BH} \) describing the analogous black hole configuration verifies \( \hat{\mathcal{P}}\ket{BH} = 0 \) and \( \hat{b}_L(\omega)\ket{BH} = 0 \) for \( L \in \{U, D1, D2\} \).

Once the appropriate expansion (3) has been performed, and the correct quantum state \( \ket{BH} \) has been identified, one can compute the density correlation function

\[
G_2(x,y) = \langle \hat{n}(x,t)\hat{n}(y,t) \rangle - \langle \hat{n}(x,t) \rangle \langle \hat{n}(y,t) \rangle \\
\simeq \Phi(x)\Phi^*(y)\langle \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x,t)\hat{\psi}(y,t) \rangle \\
+ \Phi(x)\Phi(y)\langle \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x,t)\hat{\psi}^\dagger(y,t) \rangle + \text{c.c.}
\]

In this equation, the symbol \( \langle \cdots \rangle \) denotes normal ordering and the final expression is the Bogoliubov evaluation of \( G_2 \), encompassing the effects of quantum fluctuations at leading order. At zero temperature, the average \( \langle \cdots \rangle \) in Eq. (4) is taken over the state \( \ket{BH} \). Although this state is thermodynamically unstable and cannot support a thermal distribution, finite temperature effects can still be included as explained for instance in Refs. [29, 33, 36].

In 2008 a collaboration between teams from Bologna and Trento [44, 45] pointed out that, in the presence of an horizon, \( G_2 \) should exhibit non-local features resulting from correlations between the different outgoing channels, in particular between the Hawking quantum and its partner (\( u\text{out} \) or \( d2\text{out} \) correlation in our terminology). The importance of this remark lies in the fact that, due to the weak Hawking temperature \( T_H \) (at least one fourth of the chemical potential \( \Delta \)), the direct Hawking radiation is expected to be hidden by thermal fluctuations, whereas density correlations should survive temperature effects in typical settings [39]. This idea has been used to analyze the Hawking signal in Ref. [40], where a stationary correlation pattern was measured in the vicinity of the horizon. In this region, it is important for a theoretical treatment to account for the position-dependence of the background density and to include the contribution of the evanescent channels in the expansion (3), but also, it is essential to take into account the contribution of the zero modes to obtain a correct description of the quantum density fluctuations. The corresponding theoretical 2D plot of the density correlation pattern is represented
in Fig. 2. The plot of $G_2$ has been performed at zero temperature, for $V_d/c_d = 2.90$, which imposes $V_u/c_u = 0.59$ \cite{37,39}. These values are chosen to reproduce the experimental configuration studied in Ref. \cite{20}. The dotted line in the upper left quadrant of Fig. 2 marks the anti-correlation curve which results from the Hawking-partner ($u\text{out} - d2\text{out}$) and Hawking-companion ($u\text{out} - d1\text{out}$) correlations which are the signatures of analogous Hawking radiation. We find that these correlation lines, which separate at large distance from the horizon (see Refs. \cite{38,47,48}), merge close to the horizon, as also observed experimentally. Similarly, although the corresponding signal has not been analyzed in Ref. \cite{20}, but is visible in the published measurements, our results indicate that the $d1\text{out} - d2\text{out}$ correlation line also appears in the upper right quadrant of Fig. 2.

A precise comparison of our results with experiment can be achieved by following the procedure used in Ref. \cite{20}, which consists in averaging $G_2$ over the region inside the green rectangle represented in Fig. 2. One defines a local coordinate $x''$ which is orthogonal to the locus of the minima of $G_2$, and one plots the averaged $G_2$ (denoted as $G_2''$) as a function of the variable $x''$. This is done in Fig. 3. As one can see, the agreement between our theoretical approach and the experimental results is quite good. We insist that such a good agreement can only be achieved through a correct description of the quantum fluctuations – Eq. (3) – including the contribution of zero modes and of evanescent channels.

It has been noticed by Steinhauer \cite{46} that the determination of $G_2(x,x')$ in the upper left (or lower right) quadrant of the $(x,x')$-plane makes it possible to evaluate the Hawking temperature thanks to the relation

$$G_2''(x,x') = \langle \hat{c}_u(\omega)^* \hat{c}_{d2}(\omega) \rangle = \frac{S_{d2,d2}(\omega)}{S^{-1}_0} \int_{-L_u}^{L_u} dx \int_{-L_d}^{L_d} dx' e^{-i(k_Hx + k_Px')} G_2(x,x').$$

In this expression $S$ is the matrix which describes the scattering of the different channels onto each others, and $S_0 = (u_{k_H} + v_{k_H})(u_{k_P} + v_{k_P})$ is the static structure factor, where the $u_k$’s and the $v_k$’s are the standard Bogoliubov amplitudes of excitations of momentum $k$ (see, e.g., Refs. \cite{47,48}). The $\hat{c}_i$’s are outgoing modes related to the incoming ones by the $S$-matrix \cite{36}

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{c}_u \\ \hat{c}_{d1} \\ \hat{c}_{d2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{u,u} & S_{u,d1} & S_{u,d2} \\ S_{d1,u} & S_{d1,d1} & S_{d1,d2} \\ S_{d2,u} & S_{d2,d1} & S_{d2,d2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{b}_u \\ \hat{b}_{d1} \\ \hat{b}_{d2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Relation (6) is the BEC analogous of the $2 \times 2$ Bogoliubov transformation originally considered by Hawking \cite{11}. The Fourier transform of $G_2$ in Eq. (6) is performed at fixed $\omega$, for wavevectors $k_H(\omega)$ and $k_P(\omega)$ which are the momenta relative to the condensate of a Hawking quantum and its partner ($u$-polyt and $d2$-out channels in our terminology) having an energy $\omega_{H}$ in the laboratory frame. The integration region $[-L_u,0] \times [0,L_d]$ lies in the upper left quadrant of Fig. 2 and should be adapted for each value of $\omega$ \cite{39,49} in such a way that $L_u/[V_{g,H}] = L_d/[V_{g,P}]$, where $V_{g,H}(\omega)$ [or $V_{g,P}(\omega)$] is the group velocity of
The red solid curve is the theoretical result obtained by neglecting dispersive effects in Eq. (5) and discarding the contribution of the companion d1\[alpha\] channel (see the text).
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