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Abstract. Let \(X_t\) denote a stationary first-order autoregressive process. Consider \(n\) contiguous observations (in time \(t\)) of the series (e.g., \(X_1, \ldots, X_n\)). Let its mean be zero and its lag-one serial correlation be \(\rho\), which satisfies \(|\rho| < 1\). Rice (1945) proved that \((n - 1) \arccos(\rho)/\pi\) is the expected number of sign changes. A corresponding formula for higher-order moments was proposed by Nyberg, Lizana & Ambjörnsson (2018), based on an independent interval approximation. We focus on the variance only, for small \(n\), and see a promising fit between theory and model.

Given
\[
X_t = \rho X_{t-1} + \sqrt{1-\rho^2} \cdot \varepsilon_t, \quad -\infty < t < \infty, \quad |\rho| < 1
\]
where \(\varepsilon_t\) is \(N(0,1)\) white noise, the segment \((X_1, \ldots, X_n)\) is Gaussian with vector mean and covariance matrix
\[
R = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \rho & \rho^2 & \ldots & \rho^{n-3} & \rho^{n-2} & \rho^{n-1} \\
\rho & 1 & \rho & \ldots & \rho^{n-3} & \rho^{n-2} & \\
\rho^2 & \rho & 1 & \ldots & \rho^{n-3} & & \\
& \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\rho^{n-3} & & & \ldots & 1 & \rho & \rho^2 \\
\rho^{n-2} & \rho^{n-3} & & \ldots & \rho & 1 & \rho \\
\rho^{n-1} & \rho^{n-2} & \rho^{n-3} & \ldots & \rho^2 & \rho & 1 
\end{pmatrix}
\]
In particular, all variances are one and the correlation between \(X_i\) and \(X_j\) is \(\rho^{|j-i|}\). Define \(S_n = \#\{i : 1 \leq i < n \text{ and } X_i X_{i+1} < 0\}\), the number of sign changes, and
\[
p_e(R) = \mathbb{P}\{(-1)^{e_1}X_1 < 0, (-1)^{e_2}X_2 < 0, \ldots, (-1)^{e_{n-1}}X_{n-1} < 0 \text{ and } (-1)^{e_n}X_n < 0\}
\]
for any vector \(e\) of \(n\) bits. It is well known that \([1] [2] [3] [4] [5]\)
\[
p_{11} = \mathbb{P}\{X_1 > 0 \text{ and } X_2 > 0\}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \arcsin(\rho)
\]
\[
= \mathbb{P}\{X_1 < 0 \text{ and } X_2 < 0\} = p_{00}
\]
and

\[ p_{111} = \mathbb{P} \{ X_1 > 0, X_2 > 0 \text{ and } X_3 > 0 \} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[ \arcsin(\rho) + \arcsin(\rho^2) + \arcsin(\rho) \right] \]
\[ = \mathbb{P} \{ X_1 < 0, X_2 < 0 \text{ and } X_3 < 0 \} = p_{000}. \]

Because

\[ \text{Cov} \left( \begin{array}{c} -X_1 \\ X_2 \\ X_3 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & -\rho & -\rho^2 \\ -\rho & 1 & \rho \\ -\rho^2 & \rho & 1 \end{array} \right), \]

we have

\[ p_{10} = \mathbb{P} \{ X_1 > 0 \text{ and } X_2 < 0 \} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \arcsin(\rho) \]
\[ = \mathbb{P} \{ X_1 < 0 \text{ and } X_2 > 0 \} = p_{01} \]

and hence

\[ \mathbb{E}(S_2) = 2p_{10} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi} \arcsin(\rho) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} - \arcsin(\rho) \right] = \frac{-\arccos(\rho)}{\pi}, \]

\[ \mathbb{V}(S_2) = 2p_{10} - (2p_{10})^2 = 2p_{10} (1 - 2p_{10}) = \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi} \arcsin(\rho) \right] \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \arcsin(\rho) \right] \]
\[ = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{\pi^2} \arcsin(\rho)^2. \]

Because

\[ \text{Cov} \left( \begin{array}{c} -X_1 \\ X_2 \\ X_3 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \rho & -\rho^2 \\ \rho & 1 & -\rho \\ -\rho^2 & -\rho & 1 \end{array} \right) \]

and

\[ \text{Cov} \left( \begin{array}{c} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ -X_3 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \rho & -\rho^2 \\ \rho & 1 & -\rho \\ -\rho^2 & -\rho & 1 \end{array} \right) \]

we have

\[ p_{100} = p_{011} = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[ -\arcsin(\rho) - \arcsin(\rho^2) + \arcsin(\rho) \right] \]
\[ = p_{001} = p_{110}; \]
because
\[
\text{Cov} \left( \begin{array}{c} X_1 \\ -X_2 \\ X_3 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & -\rho & \rho^2 \\ -\rho & 1 & -\rho \\ \rho^2 & -\rho & 1 \end{array} \right)
\]
we have
\[
p_{010} = p_{101} = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[-\arcsin(\rho) + \arcsin(\rho^2) - \arcsin(\rho)\right];
\]
thus
\[
E(S_3) = 4p_{100} + 2 \cdot 2p_{010}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi} \arcsin(\rho^2) + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \left[-2\arcsin(\rho) + \arcsin(\rho^2)\right]
\]
\[
= \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\frac{\pi}{2} - \arcsin(\rho)\right] = \frac{2 \arccos(\rho)}{\pi},
\]
\[
\mathbb{V}(S_3) = 4p_{100} + 2 \cdot 4p_{010} - (4p_{100} + 2 \cdot 2p_{010})^2
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi} \arcsin(\rho^2) + 1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \left[-2\arcsin(\rho) + \arcsin(\rho^2)\right] - \left[1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin(\rho)\right]^2
\]
\[
= \frac{3}{2} - \frac{4}{\pi} \arcsin(\rho) + \frac{1}{\pi} \arcsin(\rho^2) - 1 + \frac{4}{\pi} \arcsin(\rho) - \frac{4}{\pi^2} \arcsin(\rho)^2
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{\pi^2} \arcsin(\rho)^2 + \frac{1}{\pi} \arcsin(\rho^2).
\]
These formulas are consistent with a distributional result
\[
S_n \sim \text{Binomial}(n - 1, 1/2)
\]
valid when observations are independent; in particular,
\[
E(S_n) = \frac{n - 1}{2}, \quad \mathbb{V}(S_n) = \frac{n - 1}{4}
\]
for \( \rho = 0 \). The case \( n = 4 \) for \( \rho \neq 0 \) is more difficult and will be covered in the next section. Closed-form variance expressions become impossible for \( n \geq 5 \) (see the appendix) and a certain approximative model shall occupy us for the remainder of this paper.

1. **Dilogarithm Formula**

Cheng [6][7][8][9] evaluated the following integral:
\[
I(h, x) = \int_0^x \arcsin \left( \frac{1 - h^2}{h^2 - t^2} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} dt
\]
to be:

\[-\frac{1}{2} \arcsin(x)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left[ -\left( \frac{h^2 - \sqrt{h^4 - x^2}}{x} \right)^2 \right] + \text{Li}_2 \left[ \frac{(x - i\sqrt{1 - x^2})(h^2 - \sqrt{h^4 - x^2})}{x} \right] + \text{Li}_2 \left[ \frac{(x + i\sqrt{1 - x^2})(h^2 - \sqrt{h^4 - x^2})}{x} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left[ \frac{(h^2 - i\sqrt{1 - h^2})^2(h^2 - \sqrt{h^4 - x^2})}{x^2} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left[ \frac{(h^2 + i\sqrt{1 - h^2})^2(h^2 - \sqrt{h^4 - x^2})}{x^2} \right] \]

where $0 < x < h^2 < 1$ and \text{Li}_2[z] is the complex dilogarithm function. Associated with covariance matrix

\[
R^+ = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & a & ab & a^2b \\
a & 1 & b & ab \\
ab & b & 1 & a \\
a^2b & ab & a & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

is orthant probability

\[
p_{1111}(R^+) = \frac{1}{16} + \frac{2\arcsin(a) + \arcsin(b) + 2\arcsin(ab) + \arcsin(a^2b) + \arcsin(a)^2 + I(a, a^2b)}{8\pi} + \frac{\arcsin(a)^2 + I(a, a^2b)}{4\pi^2};
\]
call this $f(a, b)$. Associated with covariance matrix

\[
R^- = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -a & -ab & -a^2b \\
-a & 1 & b & ab \\
-ab & b & 1 & a \\
-a^2b & ab & a & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

is orthant probability

\[
p_{1111}(R^-) = \frac{1}{16} + \frac{\arcsin(b) - \arcsin(a^2b)}{8\pi} - \frac{\arcsin(a)^2 + I(a, a^2b)}{4\pi^2};
\]
call this $g(a, b)$. We assume that $|a| < 1$ and $|b| < 1$. Note that the matrix elements $R^+_{12}$ and $R^+_{34}$ are identical, whereas $R^-_{12}$ and $R^-_{34}$ are of opposite sign. Let us now return to our original $4 \times 4$ matrix $R$. Clearly

\[
p_{1111} = \mathbb{P}\{X_1 > 0, X_2 > 0, X_3 > 0 \text{ and } X_4 > 0\} = f(\rho, \rho) = \mathbb{P}\{X_1 < 0, X_2 < 0, X_3 < 0 \text{ and } X_4 < 0\} = p_{0000}.
\]
Because

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-X_1 \\
X_2 \\
X_3 \\
X_4
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -\rho & -\rho^2 & -\rho^3 \\
-\rho & 1 & \rho & \rho^2 \\
-\rho^2 & \rho & 1 & \rho \\
-\rho^3 & \rho^2 & \rho & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
X_1 \\
X_2 \\
X_3 \\
-X_4
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \rho & \rho^2 & -\rho^3 \\
\rho & 1 & \rho & -\rho^2 \\
\rho^2 & \rho & 1 & \rho \\
-\rho^3 & -\rho^2 & -\rho & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

we have

\[p_{1000} = p_{0111} = g(\rho, \rho) = g(-\rho, \rho) = p_{0001} = p_{1110};\]

because

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
X_1 \\
-X_2 \\
X_3 \\
X_4
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -\rho & \rho^2 & \rho^3 \\
-\rho & 1 & \rho & -\rho^2 \\
\rho^2 & \rho & 1 & \rho \\
\rho^3 & -\rho^2 & \rho & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
X_1 \\
X_2 \\
-X_3 \\
X_4
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \rho & -\rho^2 & \rho^3 \\
\rho & 1 & \rho & \rho^2 \\
-\rho^2 & -\rho & 1 & -\rho \\
\rho^3 & \rho^2 & -\rho & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

we have

\[p_{0100} = p_{1011} = g(\rho, -\rho) = g(-\rho, -\rho) = p_{0010} = p_{1101}.\]

Because

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-X_1 \\
-X_2 \\
X_3 \\
X_4
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \rho & -\rho^2 & -\rho^3 \\
\rho & 1 & \rho & -\rho^2 \\
-\rho^2 & -\rho & 1 & \rho \\
-\rho^3 & -\rho^2 & \rho & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

we have

\[p_{1100} = p_{0011} = f(\rho, -\rho);\]
because
\[
\text{Cov} \left( \begin{array}{c}
X_1 \\
-X_2 \\
-X_3 \\
X_4
\end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -\rho & -\rho^2 & \rho^3 \\
-\rho & 1 & \rho & -\rho^2 \\
-\rho^2 & \rho & 1 & -\rho \\
\rho^3 & -\rho^2 & -\rho & 1
\end{array} \right)
\]
we have
\[
p_{0110} = p_{1001} = f(-\rho, \rho);
\]
because
\[
\text{Cov} \left( \begin{array}{c}
-X_1 \\
X_2 \\
-X_3 \\
X_4
\end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -\rho & \rho^2 & -\rho^3 \\
-\rho & 1 & -\rho & \rho^2 \\
\rho^2 & -\rho & 1 & -\rho \\
-\rho^3 & \rho^2 & -\rho & 1
\end{array} \right)
\]
we have
\[
p_{1010} = p_{0101} = f(-\rho, -\rho).
\]
Thus
\[
\mathbb{E}(S_4) = 4p_{1000} + 2p_{1100} + 4 \cdot 2p_{0100} + 2 \cdot 2p_{0110} + 2 \cdot 3p_{1010}
\]
\[
= 4g(\rho,\rho) + 2f(\rho,-\rho) + 8g(\rho,-\rho) + 4f(-\rho,\rho) + 6f(-\rho,-\rho)
\]
\[
= \frac{3 \arccos(\rho)}{\pi},
\]
\[
\mathbb{V}(S_4) = 4p_{1000} + 2p_{1100} + 4 \cdot 4p_{0100} + 2 \cdot 4p_{0110} + 2 \cdot 9p_{1010} - (3 \arccos(\rho)/\pi)^2
\]
\[
= 4g(\rho,\rho) + 2f(\rho,-\rho) + 16g(\rho,-\rho) + 8f(-\rho,\rho) + 18f(-\rho,-\rho) - 9 \left[1/2 - \arcsin(\rho)/\pi\right]^2.
\]
Unlike the mean, our expression for the variance does not simplify appreciably. A plot of \(\mathbb{V}(S_4)\) falls off symmetrically from both sides of the maximum value \(3/4\) at \(\rho = 0\). For specificity’s sake, we indicate numerical values at \(\rho = 1/2\):
\[
\begin{align*}
f(1/2, 1/2) &= 0.1576625817544825416159596..., \\
g(1/2, 1/2) &= 0.0707784073926423526601112..., \\
f(1/2, -1/2) &= 0.0658073315415406956707081..., \\
g(1/2, -1/2) &= 0.039085012644677433865542..., \\
f(-1/2, 1/2) &= 0.034113936793560863971512..., \\
f(-1/2, -1/2) &= 0.0226893098357904842228499..., \\
\mathbb{V}(S_4) &= 0.7214075663610921033552384... < 3/4
\end{align*}
\]
and, of course,
\[
2f(\rho, \rho) + 4g(\rho, \rho) + 2f(\rho, -\rho) + 4g(\rho, -\rho) + 2f(-\rho, \rho) + 2f(-\rho, -\rho) = 1
\]
always.
2. **Independent Interval Approximation**

Our instinct (based on small samples) that the following should be true:

\[
\mathbb{E}(S_n) = \frac{(n-1) \arccos(\rho)}{\pi} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 2
\]

is, in fact, a discrete-time analog of a classical theorem due to Rice \[10\] \[11\] \[12\] \[13\].

The variance offers a more interesting situation. No pattern is evident from our work and the case \(n = 5\) is beyond us. One tactic is to introduce a modeling assumption that interval lengths between sign changes are independently distributed. This idea apparently originated with Siegert \[14\] and McFadden \[15\] in the context of zero-crossings of continuous-time processes, and suitably generalized in \[16\]. We make no claim that the assumption is valid for most (or even some) processes. It provides remarkably accurate estimates in many scenarios and our setting is no exception.

Nyberg, Lizana & Ambjörnsson \[17\] obtained, within the independent interval approximation (IIA) framework, a recursive formula

\[
c_n = \frac{\arccos(\rho)}{6\pi} (n-1)n(n+1) - \frac{\pi}{\arccos(\rho)} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\arcsin \left( \rho^{n-k+1} \right)}{\pi} \right] c_k, \quad c_1 = 0
\]

which is worthy of study. The quantity \(c_n\) is the IIA-based estimate of \(\mathbb{E}(S^2_n)\). We calculate

\[
c_2 = \frac{\arccos(\rho)}{\pi}, \quad c_3 = \frac{4 \arccos(\rho)}{\pi} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\arcsin(\rho^2)}{\pi}
\]

and

\[
c_2 - \mathbb{E}(S_2)^2 = \frac{\arccos(\rho)}{\pi} \left[ 1 - \frac{\arccos(\rho)}{\pi} \right] = \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\arcsin(\rho)}{\pi} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\arcsin(\rho)}{\pi} \right] = \mathbb{V}(S_2)
\]

\[
c_3 - \mathbb{E}(S_3)^2 = \frac{2 \arccos(\rho)}{\pi} \left[ 2 - \frac{2 \arccos(\rho)}{\pi} \right] - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\arcsin(\rho^2)}{\pi}
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{2} + \left[ 1 - \frac{2 \arcsin(\rho)}{\pi} \right] \left[ 1 + \frac{2 \arcsin(\rho)}{\pi} \right] + \frac{\arcsin(\rho^2)}{\pi} = \mathbb{V}(S_3).
\]

That is, the model-based predictions of \(\mathbb{V}(S_2)\) and \(\mathbb{V}(S_3)\) are exactly the same as theory! We also calculate

\[
c_4 = \frac{10 \arccos(\rho)}{\pi} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\arcsin(\rho^3)}{\pi} - \frac{\pi}{\arccos(\rho)} \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\arcsin(\rho^2)}{\pi} \right] \left[ \frac{4 \arccos(\rho)}{\pi} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\arcsin(\rho^2)}{\pi} \right]
\]

and here model \(c_4 - \mathbb{E}(S_4)^2\) and theory \(\mathbb{V}(S_4)\) are not identical. The fit, however, is promising (see Figure 1). The separation is largest (\(\approx 0.002\)) for positive \(\rho\) when \(\rho \approx 0.763\); the separation is largest (\(\approx 0.036\)) for negative \(\rho\) when \(\rho \approx -0.897\).
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Figure 1: The red curve is an IIA-based model prediction of variance, while the blue curve is our theoretical expression for variance. The blue curve is symmetric with respect to the vertical axis; the red curve is not.

The pronounced asymmetry in the model is inexplicable. We wonder if, in the midst of elaborate IIA-based derivations, a positive correlation was hypothesized (supported partly by the authors’ decision \[17\] to restrict their test simulations to \(0 < \rho < 1\)). Conceivably we are intended to replace \(\rho\) everywhere by \(|\rho|\) in the formula for \(c_n\). This would force symmetry to occur and improve the fit. But we are not certain of the intent.\[1\]

Higher-order moments were further discussed in \[17\]. The recursive formula involving IIA-based estimates of \(E(S_n^3)\) is more complicated than that for \(c_n\). It would be good someday to implement this and to perform model-to-theory comparisons at the third-order level, keeping the unresolved issue of negative correlation in mind.

\[1\]Reasons underlying the hypothesis \(0 < \rho < 1\) may have to do more with historical context (in the physics literature) than with any other factor.
3. Appendix

With regard to \( n = 5 \), David [18, 19] demonstrated how the inclusion-exclusion principle can be applied to compute \( p_{11111} = q_{12345} \). Twenty-eight of the thirty terms in her expansion:

\[
q_{12345} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - q_1 - q_2 - q_3 - q_4 - q_5 + q_{12} + q_{13} + q_{14} + q_{15} + q_{23} + q_{24} + q_{25} + q_{34} + q_{35} + q_{45} \\
- q_{123} - q_{124} - q_{125} - q_{134} - q_{135} - q_{145} - q_{234} - q_{235} - q_{245} - q_{345} \\
+ q_{1234} + q_{1235} + q_{1245} + q_{1345} + q_{2345})
\]

can be easily evaluated. For example,

\[
q_{1245} = \mathbb{P}\{X_1 > 0, X_2 > 0, X_4 > 0 \text{ and } X_5 > 0\}
\]

possesses a closed-form expression because

\[
\text{Cov} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ X_4 \\ X_5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho & \rho^3 & \rho^4 \\ \rho & 1 & \rho^2 & \rho^3 \\ \rho^2 & \rho & 1 & \rho^2 \\ \rho^4 & \rho^3 & \rho^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

and this is of the form \( R^+ \) with \( a = \rho, b = \rho^2 \). The orthant probability is

\[
\frac{1}{16} + \frac{2 \arcsin(\rho) + \arcsin(\rho^2) + 2 \arcsin(\rho^3) + \arcsin(\rho^4)}{8\pi} + \frac{\arcsin(\rho^2) + I(\rho, \rho^4)}{4\pi^2}
\]

which is 0.1337768212694702494423619... when \( \rho = 1/2 \).

The two outlying terms:

\[
\mathbb{P}\{X_1 > 0, X_2 > 0, X_3 > 0 \text{ and } X_5 > 0\}, \quad \mathbb{P}\{X_1 > 0, X_3 > 0, X_4 > 0 \text{ and } X_5 > 0\}
\]

are associated with matrices

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho & \rho^2 & \rho^4 \\ \rho & 1 & \rho & \rho^3 \\ \rho^2 & \rho & 1 & \rho^2 \\ \rho^4 & \rho^3 & \rho^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho^2 & \rho^3 & \rho^4 \\ \rho^2 & 1 & \rho & \rho^2 \\ \rho^3 & \rho & 1 & \rho \\ \rho^4 & \rho^2 & \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

of a type so far unseen. The integral:

\[
J(h, k, x) = \int_{0}^{x} \arcsin \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 - h^2} \sqrt{1 - k^2} t}{\sqrt{h^2 - t^2} \sqrt{k^2 - t^2}} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} dt
\]
resists symbolic attack if \( h \neq k \), but is nevertheless accessible to very high-precision numerics. The two orthant probabilities are both equal to

\[
\frac{1}{16} + \frac{2 \arcsin(\rho) + 2 \arcsin(\rho^2) + \arcsin(\rho^3) + \arcsin(\rho^4)}{8\pi} + \frac{\arcsin(\rho) \arcsin(\rho^2) + J(\rho, \rho^2, \rho^4)}{4\pi^2}
\]

which is 0.1354451520661386999235683... when \( \rho = 1/2 \).

We close with two comments. First, our dilogarithm formula for \( I(h, x) \) differs in appearance from Cheng’s formula [6] since he employed \( \text{Li}_2[r, \theta] \) to represent the real part of \( \text{Li}_2(r \cdot e^{i\theta}) \), whereas we use

\[
\text{Li}_2(z) + \text{Li}_2(\overline{z}) = 2 \text{Re}[\text{Li}_2(z)] \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (1, \infty)
\]

to avoid this complication. Finally, given \( 0 < k < 1 \), in an artificial construct when

\[
h = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \quad x = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - k^2}}{2}}
\]

the integral \( J(h, k, x) \) can be found [8]:

\[
\frac{\pi^2}{8} - \frac{\pi}{6} \arcsin(\ell) + \frac{1}{6} \arcsin(\ell)^2 - \frac{\pi}{2} \arcsin\left(\sqrt{\frac{1 - \ell}{2}}\right) - \frac{1}{3} \text{Li}_2[-m^2] - \frac{2}{3} \text{Li}_2[(\ell + ik)m] - \frac{2}{3} \text{Li}_2[(\ell - ik)m] + \frac{1}{3} \text{Li}_2[(\ell + im^2] + \frac{1}{3} \text{Li}_2[(\ell - im^2]
\]

where

\[
\ell = \sqrt{1 - k^2}, \quad m = \frac{1 + \ell - \sqrt{1 + 3\ell \sqrt{1 - \ell}}}{2\ell}.
\]

This is a tantalizing hint that perhaps \( J(\rho, \rho^2, \rho^4) \) is within grasp if \( \rho = \sqrt{3}/2 \). Such a breakthrough will occur only if \( x \) can be unlocked from its current fixed location and allowed to wander free.
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After the writing of this paper was completed, I learned of [22, 23], which utilize similar techniques in answering somewhat different questions. More aspects of AR(1) are covered in [24, 25].

The cadence in much of Section 1 follows Emily Dickinson’s lines “Because I could not stop for Death – He kindly stopped for me”. This paper is dedicated to the memory of my parents.
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