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Abstract

We defined two type B-sequences of Riordan arrays and present the A-sequence characterization and Z-sequence characterization of the Riordan matrices with two type B-sequences. The subgroups characterized by A-sequences and Z-sequences are studied. The application of the sequence characterization to the RNA type matrices is discussed. Finally, we investigate the A-, Z-, and B-sequences of the Pascal like Riordan matrices.
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1 Introduction

Riordan matrices are infinite, lower triangular matrices defined by the generating function of their columns. They form a group, called the Riordan group (see Shapiro, Getu, W. J. Woan and L. Woodson [16]).

More formally, let us consider the set of formal power series \( \mathcal{F} = K[t] \), where \( K \) is the field of \( \mathbb{R} \) or \( \mathbb{C} \). The order of \( f(t) \in \mathcal{F} \), \( f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k t^k \) (\( f_k \in K \)), is the minimum number \( r \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( f_r \neq 0 \); \( \mathcal{F}_r \) is the set of formal power series of order \( r \). Let \( g(t) \in \mathcal{F}_0 \) and \( f(t) \in \mathcal{F}_1 \); the pair \((g, f)\) defines the (proper) Riordan matrix \( D = (d_{n,k})_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}} = (g, f) \) having

\[
d_{n,k} = [t^n]g(t)f(t)^k
\]

or, in other words, having \( gf^k \) as the generating function of the \( k \)th column of \((g, f)\). The first fundamental theorem of Riordan matrices means the action of the proper Riordan matrices on the formal power series presented by

\[(g(t), f(t))h(t) = g(t)(h \circ f)(t),\]
which can be simplified to \((g, f) h = gh(f)\). Thus we immediately see that the usual row-by-column product of two Riordan matrices is also a Riordan matrix:

\[
(g_1, f_1)(g_2, f_2) = (g_1 g_2(f_1), f_2(f_1)).
\]

The Riordan matrix \(I = (1, t)\) is the identity matrix because its entries are \(d_{n,k} = \delta_{n,k}\). Let \((g(t), f(t))\) be a Riordan matrix. Then its inverse is

\[
(g(t), f(t))^{-1} = \left(\frac{1}{g(f(t))}, \overline{f(t)}\right),
\]

where \(\overline{f(t)}\) is the compositional inverse of \(f(t)\), i.e., \((f \circ \overline{f})(t) = (\overline{f} \circ f)(t) = t\). In this way, the set \(R\) of all proper Riordan matrices forms a group (see [16]) called the Riordan group.

Here is a list of six important subgroups of the Riordan group (see [17]).

- The Appell subgroup \(\{(g(t), t) : g(t) \in \mathcal{F}_0\}\).
- The Lagrange (associated) subgroup \(\{(1, f(t)) : f(t) \in \mathcal{F}_1\}\).
- The Bell subgroup \(\{(g(t), tg(t)) : g(t) \in \mathcal{F}_0\}\).
- The hitting-time subgroup \(\{(tf'(t)/f(t), f(t)) : f(t) \in \mathcal{F}_1\}\).
- The derivative subgroup \(\{(f'(t), f(t)) : f(t) \in \mathcal{F}_1\}\).
- The checkerboard subgroup \(\{(g(t), f(t)) : g(t) \in \mathcal{F}_0\text{ is even and }f(t) \in \mathcal{F}_1\text{ is odd}\}\).

An infinite lower triangular matrix \([d_{n,k}]_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}\) is a Riordan matrix if and only if a unique sequence \(A = (a_0 \neq 0, a_1, a_2, \ldots)\) exists such that for every \(n, k \in \mathbb{N}\)

\[
d_{n+1,k+1} = a_0 d_{n,k} + a_1 d_{n,k+1} + \cdots + a_n d_{n,n}.
\]

This is equivalent to

\[
f(t) = tA(f(t)) \quad \text{or} \quad t = \overline{f(t)}A(t).
\]

Here, \(A(t)\) is the generating function of the \(A\)-sequence. The above first formula is also called the second fundamental theorem of Riordan matrices. Moreover, there exists a unique sequence \(Z = (z_0, z_1, z_2, \ldots)\) such that every element in column 0 can be expressed as the linear combination

\[
d_{n+1,0} = z_0 d_{n,0} + z_1 d_{n,1} + \cdots + z_n d_{n,n},
\]

or equivalently,

\[
g(t) = \frac{1}{1 - tZ(f(t))},
\]

in which and throughly we always assume \(g(0) = g_0 = 1\), a usual hypothesis for proper Riordan matrices. From (16), we may obtain

\[
Z(t) = \frac{g(f(t)) - 1}{f(t)g(f(t))}.
\]
A- and Z-sequence characterizations of Riordan matrices were introduced, developed, and/or studied in Merlini, Rogers, Sprugnoli, and Verri [9], Roger [11], Sprugnoli and the author [7], [6], etc. In [7] the expressions of the A- and Z-sequences of the product depend on the analogous sequences of the two factors are given. More precisely, considering two proper Riordan matrices \( D_1 = (g_1, f_1) \) and \( D_2 = (g_2, f_2) \) and their product,

\[
D_3 = D_1 D_2 = (g_1 g_2(f_1), f_2(f_1))
\]

Denote by \( A_i(t) \) and \( Z_i(t) \), \( i = 1, 2, \) and 3, the generating functions of A-sequences and Z-sequences of \( D_i \), \( i = 1, 2, \) and 3, respectively. Then

\[
A_3(t) = A_2(t)A_1 \left( \frac{t}{A_2(t)} \right)
\]

and

\[
Z_3(t) = \left( 1 - \frac{t}{A_2(t)} Z_2(t) \right) Z_1 \left( \frac{t}{A_2(t)} \right) + A_1 \left( \frac{t}{A_2(t)} \right) Z_2(t).
\]

Let \( A(t) \) and \( Z(t) \) be the generating functions of the A- and Z-sequences of a Riordan matrix \( D = (g, f) \) and let us denote by \( g^*(t) \), \( f^*(t) \), \( A^*(t) \) and \( Z^*(t) \) the corresponding power series for the inverse \( D^{-1} = (g^*, f^*) \) and its A-sequence and Z-sequence. We immediately observe that \( f^*(t) = \overline{f}(t) \). Now we have (see [7]) that the A-sequence and Z-sequence of the inverse Riordan matrix \( D^{-1} \) are, respectively,

\[
A^* \left( \frac{t}{A(t)} \right) = \frac{1}{A(t)}
\]

and

\[
Z^* \left( \frac{t}{A(t)} \right) = \frac{Z(t)}{t Z(t) - A(t)}.
\]

Since a Riordan matrix arising in a combinatorial context has non-negative entries, it can not be an involution. Hence, we consider the set of the pseudo-involutions of Riordan group \( \mathcal{R} \), which means the set of all \( D \in \mathcal{R} \) such that \( MD \) (and \( DM \)) is an involution, where \( M = (1, -t) \).

Cheon, Jin, Kim, and Shapiro [3] (see also in Burlachenko [1] and Phulara and Shapiro [12]) shows that a Riordan matrix \((g, f)\) is a Bell type pseudo-involution, i.e., \( f = zg \) and \((g, -f)^2 = (1, t)\), if and only if there exists a B-sequence, \( \hat{B} = (\hat{b}_0, \hat{b}_1, \hat{b}_2, \ldots) \), characterizing all entries of a Riordan matrix, which is defined by

\[
d_{n+1,k} = d_{n,k-1} + \sum_{j \geq 0} \hat{b}_j d_{n-j,k+j}
\]

for \( k \geq 0 \), where \( d_{n,-1} = 0 \), \( n \geq 0 \). However, for non-Bell type Riordan matrices there might exist two type B-sequences \( B = (b_0, b_1, b_2, \ldots) \) and \( \hat{B} = (\hat{b}_0, \hat{b}_1, \hat{b}_2, \ldots) \) defined by

\[
d_{n+1,k} = d_{n,k-1} + \sum_{j \geq 0} b_j d_{n-j,k+j}
\]
for $k \geq 1$, and
\[ d_{n+1,0} = \sum_{j \geq 0} \hat{b}_j d_{n-j,k+j}, \quad (14) \]
respectively. The $B$-sequence defined for all entries, $d_{n,k}$, $k \geq 1$, of a Riordan matrix not in the first column is called the type-I $B$-sequence. The $B$-sequence defined for all entries, $d_{n,0}$, of the first column is called the type-II $B$-sequence. We will show that for a Bell type Riordan matrix, it either has no $B$-sequence or has two type $B$-sequences and they are the same. However, there exist non-Bell type Riordan matrices which may have only one type $B$-sequences, which existence and construction are determined by their $A$-sequences and $Z$-sequence, respectively. More precisely, the existence and construction of their type-I $B$-sequences are characterized by the $A$-sequences of the Riordan matrices, while the existence and construction of the type-II $B$-sequences are characterized by the $Z$-sequences of the Riordan matrices.

This paper is devoted to the $A$-sequence and $Z$-sequence characterization of a Riordan matrix possessing $B$-sequence and the $A$-sequence and $Z$-sequence characterization of some subgroups of $R$. In next section, we discuss $A$-sequence characterization of the Riordan matrices possessing type-I $B$-sequences. Section 3 presents $Z$-sequence characterization of the Riordan matrices possessing type-II $B$-sequences. In Section 4, we show some subgroups characterized by $A$-sequences, $Z$-sequence, and/or $B$-sequences. In the last section, Section 5, we investigate the $A$-, $Z$-, and $B$-sequences of the Pascal like Riordan matrices.

### 2 A-sequences and type-I $B$-sequences of Riordan matrices

We now consider the $A$-sequence characterization of the existence of the type-I $B$-sequence for a Riordan matrix which may not be a Bell type Riordan matrix. Here, the type-I $B$-sequence is defined by (13).

**Proposition 2.1** Let $(g, f) = (d_{n,k})_{n,k \geq 0}$ be a Riordan matrix with a type-I $B$-sequence satisfying (13), and let $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ be the generating functions of the $A$-sequence and the $B$-sequence of the Riordan matrix, respectively. Then we have the following equivalent formulas:

\[ f = t + tf B(tf), \quad (15) \]
\[ t = \tilde{f} + t\tilde{f} B(t\tilde{f}), \quad (16) \]
\[ A(t) = 1 + tB(t^2/A(t)). \quad (17) \]

**Proof.** Equation (13) can be written as
\[ [t^{n+1}]gf^k = [t^n]gf^{k-1} + \sum_{j \geq 0} b_j [t^{n-j}]gf^{k+j}, \]
which implies (15). Substituting $t = \tilde{f}$, the compositional inverse of $f$ into (15), we obtain (16). The second fundamental theorem of Riordan matrix gives $\tilde{f} = t/A$, which can be used to re-write equation (16) as (17).
From Proposition 2.1, we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.2** Let \( A = \sum_{j \geq 0} a_j t^j \) be the generating function of the \( A \)-sequence, \((a_j)_{j \geq 0}\), of a Riordan matrix \((g, f)\) that possesses a type-I \( B \)-sequence \((b_0, b_1, b_2, \ldots)\) defined by (13), and let \( f(t) = \sum_{j \geq 0} f_j t^j \). Then \( a_0 = 1 \) and \( a_2 = 0 \), or equivalently, \( f_1 = 1 \) and \( f_3 = f_2^2 \).

**Proof.** From (17) and noting \( a_0 \neq 0 \), it is easy to get
\[
a_0 = 1.
\]

Denote \( 1/A(t) \) by \( \hat{C}(t) \). Since
\[
\hat{C}(t) = \frac{1}{A(t)} = c_0 + \sum_{j \geq 1} c_j t^j,
\]
where \( c_0 = 1 \) and for \( j \geq 1 \)
\[
c_j = -\sum_{k \geq 1} a_k c_{j-k}.
\] (18)

Thus, we may solve \( c_j \) from the above equations and substitute them to \( \hat{C}(t) \) to obtain
\[
\hat{C}(t) = \frac{1}{A(t)} = 1 - a_1 t + (a_1^2 - a_2) t^2 + (2a_1 a_2 - a_1^3 - a_3) t^3 + \cdots .
\] (19)

Comparing the coefficients of the powers of \( t \) on the both sides of (17),
\[
1 + \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j t^j = 1 + b_0 t + b_1 t^3 \hat{C}(t) + b_2 t^5 \hat{C}(t)^2 + b_3 t^7 \hat{C}(t)^3 + \cdots ,
\] (20)

we obtain the following system
\[
\begin{align*}
a_1 &= b_0, \\
a_2 &= 0, \\
a_3 &= b_1, \\
a_4 &= b_1 c_1 = -a_1 b_1, \\
\ldots .
\end{align*}
\]

On the other hand, from the second fundamental theorem of Riordan matrices, \( f = tA(f) \), we have
\[
f_1 t + f_2 t^2 + f_3 t^3 + \cdots
= a_0 t + a_1 t (f_1 t + f_2 t^2 + f_3 t^3 + \cdots) + a_2 t^2 (f_1 t + f_2 t^2 + f_3 t^3 + \cdots)^2 + \cdots .
\]

Thus,
\[
f_1 = a_0 = 1, f_2 = a_1 f_1, f_3 = a_1 f_2, \ldots ,
\]
which imply \( f_1 = 1 \) and \( f_3 = f_2^2 \).
Theorem 2.2 gives a necessary condition for the existence of type-I $B$-sequence of a Riordan matrix. Now we establish a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of type-I $B$-sequence of a Riordan matrix and its computation. Denote by $D_{n,m,k}$ the set of
\[ D_{n,m,k} = \{ i = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k) : i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_k = n, i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \neq 0 \} \] (21) for $1 \leq k \leq m \leq n$, where $k$ is the length of $i$. Then the set
\[ D_{n,m} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} D_{n,m,k}. \] (22) is the set of compositions of $n$ with the number of parts $k = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. If $m = n$, we write $D_{n,n}$ as $D_n$, namely,
\[ D_n = \{ i = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k) : 1 \leq k \leq n, i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_k = n, i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \neq 0 \}. \] (23)

**Theorem 2.3** Let $(g, f)$ be a Riordan matrix, and let $A(t) = \sum_{j \geq 0} a_j t^j$ be the generating function of the $A$-sequence of $(g, f)$. Denote $\tilde{c}_j = c_{j-2}$ for $j \geq 2$, where $c_j$ for $j \geq 0$ are shown in (18), $\tilde{c}_0 = 0$, and $\tilde{c}_1 = 0$. Then $(g, f)$ has a $B$-sequence $(b_j)_{j \geq 0}$ defined by (13), if and only if $A$-sequence of $(g, f)$ satisfies $a_0 = 1, a_2 = 0$ and for $\ell \geq 2$
\[ a_{2\ell} = \sum_{i \in D_{2\ell-1,\ell-1}} b_k \tilde{c}_{i_1} \tilde{c}_{i_2} \cdots \tilde{c}_{i_k}, \] (24) where the index set, following the notation (21), is
\[ D_{2\ell-1,\ell-1} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\ell-1} D_{2\ell-1,\ell-1,k}, \] where $D_{2\ell-1,\ell-1,k}$ are defined by (21). The right-hand side of equation (24) is a function of $b_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$ and $\tilde{c}_j$ for $0 \leq j \leq 2\ell - 1$ (or equivalently, $a_j$ for $0 \leq j \leq 2\ell - 3$). Here, for $\ell \geq 0$
\[ b_{\ell} = a_{2\ell+1} - \sum_{i \in D_{2\ell,\ell-1}} b_k \tilde{c}_{i_1} \tilde{c}_{i_2} \cdots \tilde{c}_{i_k}, \] (25) where $D_{0,-1} = D_{2,0} = \phi$, and for $\ell \geq 2$
\[ D_{2\ell,\ell-1} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\ell-1} D_{2\ell,\ell-1,k}. \] The summation of the right-hand side of (25) is a function of $b_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$ and $\tilde{c}_j$ for $0 \leq j \leq 2\ell$ (or equivalently, $a_j$ for $0 \leq j \leq 2\ell - 2$).

Furthermore, $B$-sequence $(b_1, b_2, b_3, \ldots)$ can be evaluated by using (25), where $a_{2\ell+1}, \ell \geq 1$, are arbitrary. Thus, we have
\[ a_0 = 1, \quad b_0 = a_1, \quad a_2 = 0, \quad b_1 = a_3, \]
\[ a_4 = b_1 c_1 = -b_1 a_1 = -a_1 a_3, \]
\[ b_2 = a_5 - b_1 c_2 = a_5 - b_1 (a_1^2 - a_2), \]

etc.

**Proof.** Consider the second term of the right-hand side of (17). Let \( B(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n \), and let \( t^2 \hat{C}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_n x^n \) be the formal power series with \( \tilde{c}_0 = 0 \), \( \tilde{c}_1 = 0 \) and \( \tilde{c}_j = c_{j-2} \) for \( j \geq 2 \). Then the composition \( B \circ (t^2 \hat{C}) \) is again a formal power series, which can be written as, by using the Faà di Bruno’s formula,

\[ B(t^2 \hat{C}(t)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n t^n, \]  

where \( d_0 = b_0 \) and the other coefficient \( d_n \) for \( n \geq 1 \) can be expressed as a sum over compositions of \( n \) or as an equivalent sum over partitions of \( n \). More precisely,

\[ d_n = \sum_{i \in D_n} b_k \tilde{c}_1 \tilde{c}_2 \ldots \tilde{c}_k, \]  

where \( D_n \) is defined by (23).

We now apply (26) to (17) and compare the coefficients of the same power terms on the both sides of (17) to obtain

\[ a_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_n = d_{n-1} \]  

for \( n \geq 1 \), where \( d_n \) are presented by (27). Thus, we have \( a_0 = 1 \) and \( a_n = d_n \). It is clearly, \( a_1 = b_0, \quad a_2 = d_1 = b_1 \tilde{c}_1 = 0, \quad a_3 = d_2 = b_1 \tilde{c}_2 = b_1, \)

\[ a_4 = d_3 = b_1 \tilde{c}_3 = b_1 c_1 = -a_1 b_1, \quad a_5 = d_4 = b_1 \tilde{c}_4 + b_2 \tilde{c}_2^2 = b_1 (a_1^2 - a_2) + b_2. \]

In general, if \( n = 2\ell + 1, \quad \ell \geq 2 \), there is

\[ a_{2\ell+1} = d_{2\ell} = \sum_{i \in D_{2\ell}} b_k \tilde{c}_1 \tilde{c}_2 \ldots \tilde{c}_k, \]

where \( D_{2\ell} \) is defined by (23) for \( n = 2\ell \).

By using the pigeonhole principle, for every \( \ell + 1 \leq k \leq 2\ell \), \( (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k) \) contains at least one component to be 1, which implies that \( \tilde{c}_1 \tilde{c}_2 \ldots \tilde{c}_k = 0 \). Thus, the summation over the index set \( D_{2\ell} \) can be reduced to the summation over the index set

\[ D_{2\ell, \ell} = \{ i = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k) : 1 \leq k \leq \ell, \quad i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_k = 2\ell, \quad i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \neq 0 \}. \]

Noting that the Faà di Bruno formula can be considered as an application of the first fundamental theorem of Riordan matrices from Comtet [4], Roman [13] and [14] and Roman and Rota [15].
and consequently,

\[ a_{2\ell+1} = d_{2\ell} = b_\ell \tilde{c}_2 + \sum_{i \in D_{2\ell-1}} b_k \tilde{c}_{i_1} \tilde{c}_{i_2} \cdots \tilde{c}_{i_k}, \]

which implies (25) because \( \tilde{c}_2 = c_0 = 1/a_0 = 1 \).

If \( n = 2\ell \), then from (17) and (27) we obtain

\[ a_{2\ell} = d_{2\ell-1} = \sum_{i \in D_{2\ell-1}} b_k \tilde{c}_{i_1} \tilde{c}_{i_2} \cdots \tilde{c}_{i_k}. \]

By using pigeonhole principle, the above summation over the index set \( D_{2\ell-1} \) is reduced to the summation over the index set

\[ D_{2\ell-1,\ell-1} = \{ i = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k) : 1 \leq k \leq \ell-1, \ i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_k = 2\ell-1, i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \neq 0 \}, \]

which proves that (24) is true.

Conversely, if (24) and (25) hold, one may immediately derive (17), i.e., the riordan matrix \((g, f)\) possessing the \(A\)-sequence has the \(B\)-sequence that can be constructed by using (25).

**Example 2.1** Considering the matrix \( R = ((1-t)g(t)/(1-tg(t)), tg(t)) \) (see Cameron and Nkwanta [2]), where

\[ g(t) = \frac{1-t+t^2-\sqrt{1-2t-t^2-2t^3+t^4}}{2t^2}. \]  

(29)

We may write its first few entries as

\[
R = \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
1 & 1 \\
2 & 2 & 1 \\
5 & 4 & 3 & 1 \\
12 & 10 & 7 & 4 & 1 \\
29 & 25 & 18 & 11 & 5 & 1 \\
71 & 62 & 47 & 30 & 16 & 6 & 1 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \n\end{bmatrix}.
\]

We call \( R \) an RNA type matrix because it is related to the RNA matrix \( R^* \) shown in Example 2.2. It is easy to find that the \(A\)-sequence and type-I \(B\)-sequence of \( R \) are

\[ A = (1, 1, 0, 1, -1, \ldots) \quad \text{and} \quad B = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, \ldots), \]

respectively, which satisfy

\[ a_0 = 1, b_0 = a_1 = 1, a_2 = 0, b_1 = a_3 = 1, a_4 = -a_1 a_3 = -1, \ldots. \]

From the second fundamental theorem of Riordan matrices, we have
A-, Z-, and B-sequence of Riordan matrices

Thus, from (17) we obtain that the generating function of the B-sequence of \( R \) is

\[ B(t) = \frac{1}{1-t}. \]

On the other hand, we have \( A(t) = t^2 g(-t) \) or \( \tilde{f}(t) = -f(-t) = tg(-t) \) because \( f(t) = tg(t) \) and \( A(t) = t \tilde{f}(t) \). Moreover, noticing (17) and

\[ \frac{A(t) - 1}{t} = \frac{1}{1-t^2/A(t)}, \]

we can also explain why \( B(t) = 1/(1-t) \). In addition, from (15) and \( B(t) = 1/(1-t) \) we obtain an identity for \( g(t) \)

\[ g(t) = 1 + \frac{tg(t)}{1-t^2g(t)}, \]

or equivalently,

\[ (1 - t + t^2)g(t) = 1 + t^2g(t)^2. \quad (30) \]

**Remark 2.1** An alternative way to present \( d_n \) shown in the proof of Theorem 2.3 is

\[ d_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_{n,k}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k} \tilde{c}_{i_1}^{\pi_{i_1}} \tilde{c}_{i_2}^{\pi_{i_2}} \cdots \tilde{c}_{i_n}^{\pi_{i_n}} \right), \quad (31) \]

where

\[ \mathcal{P}_{n,k} = \{ (\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_n) : \pi_1 + \pi_2 + \cdots + \pi_n = k, \pi_1 \cdot 1 + \pi_2 \cdot 2 + \cdots + \pi_n \cdot n = n \} \]

is the set of partitions of \( n \) into \( k \) parts in frequency-of-parts form.

The first form shown in (27) is obtained by picking out the coefficient of \( t^n \) in \( (\tilde{c}_1 t + \tilde{c}_2 t^2 + \cdots)^k \) by inspection, and the second form (31) is then obtained by collecting like terms, or alternatively, by applying the multinomial theorem.

Theorem 2.3 has an analogy based on the expression (15).

**Theorem 2.4** Let \((g, f)\) be a Riordan matrix, and let \( f(t) = \sum_{j \geq 1} f_j t^j \). Denote \( \tilde{f}_j = f_{j-1} \) for \( j \geq 1 \), where \( f_{-1} = 0 \), and \( \tilde{b}_j = b_{j-1} \) for \( j \geq 1 \), where \( b_{-1} = 0 \). Then \((g, f)\) has a type-I B-sequence defined by (13) if and only if \( f_1 = 1 \), \( f_3 = f_2^2 \) and for \( \ell \geq 2 \) there are

\[ f_{2\ell+1} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{D}_{2\ell+1}} \tilde{b}_k \tilde{f}_{i_1} \tilde{f}_{i_2} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_k} = f_2 f_{2\ell} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{D}_{2\ell+1}} \tilde{b}_k \tilde{f}_{i_1} \tilde{f}_{i_2} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_k}, \quad (32) \]

where the index sets are

\[ \mathcal{D}_{2\ell+1} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{2\ell+1} \mathcal{D}_{2\ell+1,2\ell+1,k}. \]
and
\[ D'_{2\ell+1,\ell} = \bigcup_{k=2}^{\ell} D_{2\ell+1,\ell,k}. \]

The summation on the leftmost-hand side of equation (32) is a function of \( b_j \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq \ell - 1 \) and \( f_j \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq 2\ell - 1 \). Here, for \( \ell \geq 1 \),
\[ b_{\ell-1} = f_{2\ell} - \sum_{i \in D_{2\ell,\ell-1}} \tilde{b}_k \tilde{f}_{i_1} \tilde{f}_{i_2} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_k}, \]
(33)
where \( C_{2,0} = \phi \) and for \( \ell \geq 2 \),
\[ D_{2\ell,\ell-1} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\ell-1} D_{2\ell,\ell-1,k}. \]
(34)

The summation of the right-hand side of (25) is a function of \( b_j \) for \( 0 \leq j \leq \ell - 2 \) and \( f_j \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq 2\ell - 1 \).

Furthermore, \( B \)-sequence \((b_1, b_2, b_3, \ldots)\) can be evaluated by using (33), where \( f_{2\ell}, \ell \geq 1 \), are arbitrary. Thus, we have
\[ f_0 = 0, \quad f_1 = 1, \quad b_0 = f_2, \quad f_3 = b_0 f_2 = b_0^2, \quad b_1 = f_4 - b_0^3, \]
\[ f_5 = b_0 f_4 + b_1 (2 f_1 f_3) = b_0^2 + 3b_0 b_1, \]
\[ b_2 = f_6 - b_0 f_5 - b_1 (2 f_1 f_3 + f_2^2) = f_6 - b_0^3 - 5b_0^2 b_1, \]

etc.

**Proof.** From (15) we have
\[ f = t + tf B(tf) = t + \sum_{j \geq 0} b_j (tf)^{j+1} + \sum_{j \geq 0} \tilde{b}_j (tf)^j, \]
(35)
where \( \tilde{b}_j = b_{j-1} \) and \( \tilde{b}_0 = b_{-1} = 0 \), and we may write
\[ tf = \sum_{j \geq 1} \tilde{f}_j t^j. \]

By using the Faà di Bruno’s formula we have
\[ \sum_{j \geq 0} \tilde{b}_j (tf)^j = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^n, \]
(36)
where \( c_0 = \tilde{b}_0 = 0 \) and the other coefficient \( c_n \) for \( n \geq 1 \) can be expressed as a sum over compositions of \( n \) or as an equivalent sum over partitions of \( n \). More precisely,
\[ c_n = \sum_{i \in D_n} b_k \tilde{f}_{i_1} \tilde{f}_{i_2} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_k}, \]
(37)
where \( D_n \) is defined by (23). Particularly, when \( n = 2\ell \), in the index set \( D_{2\ell} \), if \( \ell + 1 \leq k \leq 2\ell \), then there is at least one component of \((i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k)\) to be 1. Thus,
the corresponding \( \tilde{f}_{i_1}, \tilde{f}_{i_2} \ldots \tilde{f}_{i_k} = 0 \). Consequently, the summation in (37) over the index set \( D_{2\ell} \) is reduced to the summation over the index set

\[
D_{2\ell, \ell} = \{ i = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k) : 1 \leq k \leq \ell, i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_k = 2\ell, i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \neq 0 \}.
\]

Then combining (35) and (36) yields

\[
f_{2\ell} = c_{2\ell} = \sum_{i \in D_{2\ell}} \tilde{b}_k \tilde{f}_{i_1} \tilde{f}_{i_2} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_k} = \tilde{b}_\ell (\tilde{f}_2)^\ell + \sum_{i \in D_{2\ell, \ell-1}} \tilde{b}_k \tilde{f}_{i_1} \tilde{f}_{i_2} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_k}, \tag{38}
\]

where \( D_{2\ell, \ell-1} \) is shown in (34), which implies (33).

If \( n = 2\ell + 1 \), then (23) becomes

\[
D_{2\ell+1} = \{ i = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k) : 1 \leq k \leq 2\ell+1, i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_k = 2\ell+1, i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \neq 0 \}.
\]

In the above index set, if \( k = 1 \), then \((i_1) = (2\ell+1)\). Meanwhile for \( \ell + 1 \leq k \leq 2\ell+1, (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k) \) contains at least one component to be 1, which devotes \( \tilde{f}_{i_1}, \tilde{f}_{i_2} \ldots \tilde{f}_{i_k} = 0 \). Thus, the summation in

\[
f_{2\ell+1} = c_{2\ell+1} = \sum_{i \in D_{2\ell+1}} \tilde{b}_k \tilde{f}_{i_1} \tilde{f}_{i_2} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_k} \tag{39}
\]

over the index set \( D_{2\ell+1} \) can be reduced to the summation over the index set

\[
D_{2\ell+1, \ell} = \{ i = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k) : 1 \leq k \leq \ell, i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_k = 2\ell+1, i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \neq 0 \}.
\]

Consequently, from (39) we obtain

\[
f_{2\ell+1} = \sum_{i \in D_{2\ell+1, \ell}} \tilde{b}_k \tilde{f}_{i_1} \tilde{f}_{i_2} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_k} = \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{f}_{2\ell+1} + \sum_{i \in D_{2\ell+1, \ell}} \tilde{b}_k \tilde{f}_{i_1} \tilde{f}_{i_2} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_k} = b_0 f_{2\ell} + \sum_{i \in D_{2\ell+1, \ell}} \tilde{b}_k \tilde{f}_{i_1} \tilde{f}_{i_2} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_k},
\]

where \( b_0 = f_2 \) based on (35). Thus if \((g, f)\) has a \( B \)-sequence, then we must have (32).

Conversely, if (32) and (33) hold, then the Riordan matrix \((g, f)\) possesses a \( B \)-sequence, which can be evaluated by using (33).

\[\text{Example 2.2}\] Consider RNA matrix (see Nkwanta [10] and Cheon, Jin, Kim, and Shapiro [3])

\[
R^* = (g, f) = (g(t), tg(t)),
\]

where \( g(t) \) is given by (29). Cameron and Nkwanta [2] show that
\[ R^* = C_0^{-1} P C_0, \]

where
\[ C_0 = (C(t^2), tC(t^2)) = \left( \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4t^2}}{2t^2}, \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4t^2}}{2t} \right), \]

and
\[ P = \left( \frac{1}{1 - t}, \frac{t}{1 - t} \right). \]

Hence,
\[ C_0^{-1} = \left( \frac{1}{1 + t^2}, \frac{t}{1 + t^2} \right). \]

In addition, the RNA matrix \( R \) shown in Example 2.1 is related to \( R^* \) in the sense
\[ R = A_0^{-1} R^* A = A_0^{-1} C_0^{-1} P C_0 A, \]

where
\[ A_0 = \left( \frac{1}{1 - t}, t \right), \quad \text{and} \quad A_0^{-1} = (1 - t, t). \]

The RNA matrix \( R^* \) begins
\[
R^* = \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
1 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 1 \\
2 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\
4 & 6 & 6 & 4 & 1 \\
8 & 13 & 13 & 10 & 5 & 1 \\
17 & 28 & 30 & 24 & 15 & 6 & 1 \\
& & & & \cdots
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The elements in the leftmost column are the number of possible RNA secondary structures on a chain of length \( n \), while other elements of the matrix count such chain with \( k \) vertices designated as the start of a yet to be complete link. It is easy to check that \( a_0 = 1 \) and \( a_2 = 0 \), and, as in Example 2.1, we have the \( B \)-sequence \( B = (1, 1, 1, \ldots) \).

Consider another RNA type matrix
\[ R^{**} = (d(t), h(t)) = (d(t), tg(t)), \]

where \( g(t) \) is defined before, \( h = tg \), and
\[
d(t) = \frac{g(t) - 1}{t} = \frac{1 - t - t^2 - \sqrt{1 - 2t - t^2 - 2t^3 + t^4}}{2t^3}.
\]
We call $R^{**}$ an RNA type matrix because the elements in the left hand column are the number of possible RNA secondary structures on a chain of length $n$ except $n = 0$. The matrix $R^{**}$ begins (see Nkwanta [10])

\[ R^{**} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
2 & 2 & 1 \\
4 & 4 & 3 & 1 \\
8 & 9 & 7 & 4 & 1 \\
17 & 20 & 17 & 11 & 5 & 1 \\
37 & 45 & 41 & 29 & 16 & 6 & 1 \\
\vdots
\end{bmatrix} \]

It is easy to check that $a_0 = 1$ and $a_2 = 0$, and it has a $B$-sequence $B = (1, 1, 1, \ldots)$ for all elements except those in the first column. The $Z$-sequence of $R^{**}$ is $Z = (1, 1, 0, \ldots)$, which presents

\[ d_{n+1,0} = d_{n,0} + d_{n,1}, \]

where $d_{n,0}$ is the number of secondary structure for $n$ points, and

\[ d_{n,1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} d_{k,0} d_{n-k-1}. \]

The above equation has an analogue of Catalan matrix (see, for example, Stanley [18] and [5]). Hence, $R^{**}$ has type-I $B$-sequence, but no type-II $B$-sequence.

3 \hspace{1em} $Z$-sequence and type-II $B$-sequence of Riordan matrices

We say the sequence \( \hat{B} = (\hat{b}_0, \hat{b}_1, \hat{b}_2, \ldots) \) is a type-II $B$-sequence of a Riordan matrix \( (g, f) = (d_{n,k})_{n,k\geq 0} \) if it satisfies

\[ d_{n+1,0} = \sum_{j \geq 0} \hat{b}_j d_{n-j,j} \quad (40) \]

for $n \geq 0$, i.e., for all entries except the first one in the first column of $(g, f)$. Obviously, a Riordan matrix may have no any type $B$-sequence, or has only one type $B$-sequence, or has both type $B$-sequences which are different. For instance, RNA matrix $R$ shown in Example 2.1 has type-I $B$-sequence $(1, 1, 1, \ldots)$, but no type-II $B$-sequence. From the definition of type-II $B$-sequence, we immediately learn that its existence can be characterized by the $Z$-sequence of the Riordan matrix. Here are some equivalent forms of (40) related to the $Z$-sequence of the Riordan matrix possessing type-II $B$-sequence.

**Proposition 3.1.** If a Riordan matrix $(g, f)$ possesses a type-II $B$-sequence defined by (40), then we have
where $\hat{B}(t)$ is the generating function of the type-II $B$-sequence $\hat{B} = (\hat{b}_0, \hat{b}_1, \hat{b}_2, \ldots)$.

Proof. From (40), we have
\[ [t^{n+1}]g = \sum_{j \geq 0} \hat{b}_j [t^{n-j}]g f^j = [t^{n+1}]t g \sum_{j \geq 0} \hat{b}_j (tf)^j \]
for $n \geq 0$. Hence, (41) holds. From (41) and (7) and noticing $g_0 = 1$, we obtain
\[ \hat{B}(tf) = \frac{g - 1}{tg} = \frac{1 - 1/g}{t} = Z(f), \]
or expression (42). Equation (43) follows after a substitution $t = \bar{f}$ applied in (42).

From the definition of Bell type Riordan matrices $(g, f) = (g, tg)$, it seems that its two type $B$-sequences, if exist, should have certain relationship. It is indeed true. More precisely, we will have the following result.

**Proposition 3.2** Let $(g, f)$ be a Riordan matrix. Then it is a Bell type Riordan matrix, i.e., $f = tg$, if and only if it either has the same type-I and type-II $B$-sequence or has no $B$-sequence.

Proof. The proposition statement can be written as the following equivalent form, which provides a possible way to prove the proposition: Let $(g, f)$ be a Riordan matrix with a type-I (or type-II) $B$-sequence, $B = (b_0, b_1, \ldots)$ (or $\hat{B} = (\hat{b}_0, \hat{b}_1, \ldots)$), defined by (13) (or (40)). Then $(g, f)$ is a Bell type Riordan matrix, i.e., $f = tg$, if and only if $(g, f)$ possesses a type-II (or type-I) $B$-sequence $B$ (or $\hat{B}$) defined by (40) (or (13)) with $B = \hat{B}$. It is sufficient to prove the last statement by considering type-I $B$-sequence. The case of type-II $B$-sequence can be proved with a similar argument. Let $(g, f) = (g, tg)$ has a type-I $B$-sequence. Then from (15), $f = t + tfB(tf)$, and $f = tg$. Thus,
\[ g = 1 + tgB(t^2g). \]
From (44), we obtain $g(0) = 1$, and from Proposition 3.1, we know type-II $B$-sequence $\hat{B}$ exists and $\hat{B} = B$. Since the two type $B$-sequences are the same, the $B$-sequence characterizes all the entries of the Riordan matrix $(g, tg)$.

Conversely, suppose a Riordan matrix $(g, f)$ has a $B$-sequence satisfying (40) for its first column entries and (13) for its other entries. Then from (15) and (41) and noticing $B = \hat{B}$, we must have
\[ B(tf) = \frac{f - t}{tf} = \frac{g - 1}{tg}, \]
which implies $f = tg$, i.e., $(g, f)$ is a Bell Riordan matrix.
Example 3.1 The RNA type matrices and the RNA matrix presented in Examples 2.1 and 2.2 have the same type-I \(B\)-sequence \((1,1,1,\ldots)\), while \(R\) and \(R^{**}\) have no type-II \(B\)-sequence and \(R^*\) has type-II \(B\)-sequence \((1,1,1,\ldots)\). It is easy to see that the Riordan matrix \((1/(1-2t), t/(1-t))\) has two different types \(B\)-sequences, \(B = (1,1,1,\ldots)\) and \(\hat{B} = (2,1,0,\ldots)\). The Riordan matrix \((1/(1-2f-t^2f), f)\), \(f = \frac{1-t-\sqrt{1-4t^2}}{2t^2},\)

has the type-I \(B\)-sequence \(B = (1,0,0,\ldots)\) and the type-II \(B\)-sequence \(\hat{B} = (2,1,0,\ldots)\).

As recalled in the Introduction, a Riordan matrix is determined by its \(A\)-sequence and \(Z\)-sequence. If a Riordan matrix has a type-I \(B\)-sequence, then the Riordan matrix is determined by the type-I \(B\)-sequence and the \(Z\)-sequence. We now find the \(Z\)-sequence characterization for type-II \(B\)-sequences of Riordan matrices, including the existence and computation of type-II \(B\)-sequences.

Proposition 3.3 Let \((g, f)\) with \(g(0) = 1\) be a Riordan matrix \((g, f)\) possessing a type-II \(B\)-sequence defined by (40), and let \(Z(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} z_n t^n\) be the generating function of the \(Z\)-sequence of \((g, f)\). Then \(Z'(0) = 0\), i.e., \(z_1 = 0\), or equivalently, \(g_1^2 = g_0 g_2\).

Proof. From (42), \((g, f)\) has a type-II \(B\)-sequence defined by (40) implies that \(z_1 = 0\), or equivalently,

\[Z'(0) = z_1 = 0\]

because comparing the coefficient of \(t\) on the both sides of (42) yields \(z_1 f_1 = 0\) and \(f_1 \neq 0\). From (7), we have

\[Z(f) = \frac{g - 1}{tg} = \frac{1}{t} \left(1 - \frac{1}{g}\right)\].

Hence,

\[z_0 + z_1 f + z_2 f^2 + \cdots = t^{-1} \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{g_0} - \frac{g_1}{g_0} t + \left(\frac{g_1^2}{g_0^2} - \frac{g_2}{g_0^2}\right) t^2 + \cdots\right)\right)\].

Then \(z_1 = 0\) is equivalent to

\[\frac{g_1^2}{g_0^2} - \frac{g_2}{g_0^2} = 0\],

which is \(g_1^2 = g_0 g_2\).

Theorem 3.4 Let \(Z = \sum_{j \geq 0} z_j t^j\) be the generating function of the \(Z\)-sequence, \((z_j)_{j \geq 0}\), of a Riordan matrix \((g, f)\), and let \(f = \sum_{j \geq 1} f_j t^j\) be the compositional inverse of \(f\). Then \((g, f)\) possesses a type-II \(B\)-sequence \(\hat{B} = (\hat{b}_0, \hat{b}_1, \ldots)\) defined by (40) if and only if \(\hat{b}_0 = z_0\), \(z_1 = 0\), and for \(\ell \geq 1\)
\[ z_{2\ell + 1} = \sum_{i \in D_{2\ell + 1, \ell}} \hat{b}_k f_{i_1} f_{i_2} \cdots f_{i_k - 1}, \quad (45) \]

where the index set is

\[ D_{2\ell + 1, \ell} = \{ i = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k) : 1 \leq k \leq \ell, i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_k = 2\ell + 1, i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \neq 0 \}, \]

and the summation on the left-hand side of equation (45) is a function of \( \hat{b}_j \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq \ell - 1 \) and \( f_j \) for \( 0 \leq j \leq 2\ell \). Here for \( \ell \geq 1 \) \( \hat{b}_\ell \) satisfy

\[ \hat{b}_\ell = f_1^\ell \left( z_{2\ell} - \sum_{i \in D_{2\ell, \ell - 1}} \hat{b}_k f_{i_1} f_{i_2} \cdots f_{i_k - 1} \right), \quad (46) \]

where \( D_{2,0} = \phi \) and for \( \ell \geq 2 \),

\[ D_{2\ell, \ell - 1} = \{ i = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k) : 1 \leq k \leq \ell - 1, i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_k = 2\ell, i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \neq 0 \}. \]

The summation of the right-hand side of (25) is a function of \( \hat{b}_j \) for \( 0 \leq j \leq \ell - 1 \) and \( f_j \) for \( 0 \leq j \leq 2\ell - 1 \).

Furthermore, the type -II B-sequence \( \hat{B} = (\hat{b}_1, \hat{b}_2, \hat{b}_3, \ldots) \) can be evaluated by using (46), where \( z_{2\ell}, \ell \geq 1 \), are arbitrary. Thus, we have

\[ \hat{b}_0 = z_0, \quad z_1 = 0, \quad \hat{b}_1 = f_1 z_2, \]
\[ z_3 = \hat{b}_1 f_2, \quad \hat{b}_2 = f_1^2 \left( z_4 - \hat{b}_1 f_3 \right), \]
\[ z_5 = \hat{b}_1 f_4 + 2\hat{b}_2 f_1 f_2, \]

etc.

**Proof.** From (43), \( Z(t) = B(t\bar{f}) \), we use the Faà di Bruno formula to its right-hand side and compare the coefficients on its both sides. Thus

\[ z_n = \sum_{i \in D_n} \hat{b}_k f_{i_1} f_{i_2} \cdots f_{i_k - 1} = \sum_{i \in E_n} \hat{b}_k \hat{f}_{i_1} \hat{f}_{i_2} \cdots \hat{f}_{i_k}, \quad (47) \]

where \( \hat{f}_j = \bar{f}_{j-1}, \hat{f}_0 = \bar{f}_{-1} = 0, \hat{f}_1 = f_0 = 0, \hat{f}_n = [t^n]\bar{f} \), and \( D_n \) is defined by (23). It is clear that \( z_0 = \hat{b}_0 \),

\[ z_1 = \hat{b}_1 f_1 = 0, \]

and

\[ z_2 = \hat{b}_1 f_2 + \hat{b}_2 f_1^2 = \hat{b}_1 \bar{f}_1, \]

which yields

\[ \hat{b}_1 = \frac{z_2}{f_1} = f_1 z_2 \]
because $1/\hat{f}_1 = f_1$. In general, for $n = 2\ell + 1$ and $\ell \geq 1$, we have

$$z_{2\ell + 1} = \sum_{i \in D_{2\ell + 1}} \hat{b}_k \hat{f}_{i_1} \hat{f}_{i_2} \cdots \hat{f}_{i_k} = \sum_{i \in D_{2\ell + 1, \ell}} \hat{b}_k \hat{f}_{i_1} \hat{f}_{i_2} \cdots \hat{f}_{i_k},$$

where the last equation is due to the fact of $\hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_{i_2}, \cdots, \hat{f}_{i_k}$ contains at least one factor of $\hat{f}_1 = 0$ for all $\ell + 1 \leq k \leq 2\ell + 1$. Hence, we obtain (45). To determine $\hat{b}_j$, we substitute $n = 2\ell$, $\ell \geq 1$, into (47) to have

$$z_{2\ell} = \sum_{i \in D_{2\ell}} \hat{b}_k \hat{f}_{i_1} \hat{f}_{i_2} \cdots \hat{f}_{i_k} = \hat{b}_\ell \hat{f}_{2\ell} + \sum_{i \in D_{2\ell, \ell-1}} \hat{b}_k \hat{f}_{i_1} \hat{f}_{i_2} \cdots \hat{f}_{i_k},$$

where the last equation is due to the fact of $\hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_{i_2}, \cdots, \hat{f}_{i_k}$ contains at least one factor of $\hat{f}_1 = 0$ for all $\ell + 1 \leq k \leq 2\ell$. From the last expression about $z_{2\ell}$ and noticing $\hat{f}_{2\ell} = \hat{f}_1 = 1/f_1$, we obtain (46).

Conversely, if (45) and (46) hold, one may derive (43), i.e., the Riordan matrix $(g, f)$ possessing the $Z$-sequence has a type-II $B$-sequence, where the type-II $B$-sequence can be constructed by using (40).

\section{Subgroups of Riordan group characterized by $A$- and $Z$-sequences}

We now discuss the subgroups of the Riordan group defined by the $A$-sequence and $Z$-sequence of Riordan matrices.

**Theorem 4.1** The set of the Riordan matrices with $A$-sequences of the form $(1, a_1, 0, a_3, \ldots)$, denoted by $R_{0,2}$, is a subgroup of the Riordan group.

**Proof.** If $D_1$ and $D_2$ are in $R_{0,2}$, then the generating functions of their $A$-sequences are

$$A_1(t) = a_{1,0} + a_{1,1}t + a_{1,3}t^3 + \cdots$$

and

$$A_2(t) = a_{2,0} + a_{2,1}t + a_{2,3}t^3 + \cdots.$$

From (19), and noting $a_{2,2} = 0$, we have

$$\frac{t}{A_2(t)} = t\hat{C}(t) = t(1 - a_{2,1}t + a_{2,1}^2t^2 - (a_{2,1}^3 + a_{2,3})t^3 + \cdots).$$

Thus the generating function $A_3(t)$ of the $A$-sequence of $D_3 = D_1D_2$ is
there exists an integer $r$ and $(R)$

\[ A_3(t) = A_2(t)A_1 \left( \frac{t}{A_2(t)} \right) \]

\[ = (a_{2,0} + a_{2,1}t + a_{2,3}t^3 + \cdots)(a_{1,0} + a_{1,1}t(1 - a_{2,1}t + a_{2,3}t^2 - (a_{2,1}^3 + a_{2,3})t^3 + \cdots) \]

\[ + a_{1,3}t^3(1 - a_{2,1}t + a_{2,2}^2t^2 - (a_{2,1}^3 + a_{2,3})t^3 + \cdots)^3 \cdots \]

\[ = a_{1,0}a_{2,0} + a_{1,0}a_{2,1}t + a_{1,1}(a_{2,0} + a_{2,1}t)(-a_{2,1}t + a_{2,1}^2t^2 + ct^3 + \cdots) \]

\[ = 1 + a_{2,1}t + a_{1,1}(1 + a_{2,1}t)(-a_{2,1}t + a_{2,1}^2t^2 + ct^3 + \cdots) \]

which implies that $D_3 \in R_{0,2}$.

If $D \in R_{0,2}$, then the generating function, $A^*(t)$ of the $A$-sequence of the inverse $D^*$ of $D$ is

\[ A^* = \frac{t}{f(t)} = \frac{t}{t + f_2t^2 + f_3t^3 + \cdots} = 1 - f_2t + c^t^3 + \cdots, \]

which refers to that $D^* \in R_{0,2}$. The proof is complete.

**Remark 4.1** Luzón, Morón, and Prieto-Martinez [8] show that all Riordan matrices with $A$-sequence $(a_0, a_1, 0, a_3, \ldots)$ form a subgroup of the Riordan group. Hence, $R_{0,2}$ is a subgroup of their subgroup.

**Remark 4.2** Here is an alternative proof of Theorem 4.1, which is based on a concept of truncation class of formal power series.

More precisely, let $f = \sum_{j \geq 0} f_j t^j$ and $h = \sum_{j \geq 0} h_j t^j$ be two power series. If there exists an integer $r \geq 0$ such that the $r$-th truncations of $f|_r = \sum_{j=0}^r f_j t^j$ and $h|_r = \sum_{j=0}^r h_j t^j$ satisfying $f|_r = ch|_r$ for some non-zero constant $c$ and $f_{r+1} \neq ch_{r+1}$ for any constant $c \neq 0$, then we say $f$ and $h$ have the same truncation of order $r$. For a fixed power series $f$ and an integer $r \geq 0$, the collection of all power series that possess the same truncation of order $r$ is called a truncation class of order $r$ with respect to $f$. This class is denoted by $T_r(f)$.

Firstly, let $(g, f)$ be a Riordan matrix, where $f = \sum_{j \geq 1} f_j t^j$, $f_1 \neq 0$, and let $(a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots)$ be the $A$-sequence of $(g, f)$. Then, $a_2 = 0$ and $a_3 \neq 0$ if and only if

\[ f_2^2 = f_1f_3, \quad (48) \]

or equivalently, the truncation of the first 3 terms of $f$ can be written as

\[ f|_r = a_0 t \frac{1 - (a_1 t)^2}{1 - a_1 t}, \quad (49) \]

i.e., $f$ is in $T_2(t/(1-a_1 t))$. In fact, from the second fundamental theorem of Riordan matrices, $f = tA(f)$, where $f = \sum_{j \geq 1} f_j t^j$ with $f_1 \neq 0$ and $A(t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_it^i$, we have

\[ \sum_{j \geq 0} f_j t^j = a_0 t + a_3 t \sum_{j \geq 1} f_j t^j + a_2 t \left( \sum_{j \geq 1} f_j t^j \right)^2 + a_3 t \left( \sum_{j \geq 1} f_j t^j \right)^3 + \cdots (50) \]
Thus,
\[ a_0 = f_1 \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_1 = \frac{f_2}{f_1}. \]
If \( a_2 = 0 \), then
\[ f_3 = a_1 f_2. \]
Consequently,
\[ \frac{f_3}{f_2} = \frac{f_2}{f_1} = a_1, \]
which implies \( f_1 f_3 = f_2^2 \), \( f_3 = f_2^2/f_1 \), and
\[ f_3 = a_2^2 f_1. \]
Hence, the first 3 terms of \( f \) is
\[ \sum_{j=1}^{2} f_j t^j = f_1 t \sum_{j=0}^{1} a_j^j t^j = f_1 t \frac{1 - (a_1 t)^2}{1 - a_1 t}. \]
In other word, \( f \) is in \( T_2(t/(1 - a_1 t)) \) because
\[ f|\_2 = a_0 \frac{t}{1 - a_1 t}|\_2. \]
Conversely, if (49), or equivalently, (48) hold for \( j = 2 \), then from (50) we have
\[ a_1 f_2 = f_3 = a_1 f_2 + a_2 f_1, \]
which implies \( a_2 = 0 \) due to \( f_1 \neq 0 \). Secondly, let \((g, f)\) be a Riordan matrix with \( A \)-sequence \((a_0, a_1, \ldots)\), and let \( f|\_2 = a_0 t/(1 - a_1 t)|\_2 \). Then the compositional inverse of \( f, \bar{f} \), has the truncation of order 2 of the form
\[ \bar{f}|\_2 = \frac{t}{a_0 + a_1 t}|\_2. \tag{51} \]
If \( f|\_2 = a_0 t/(1 - a_1 t)|\_2 \), then \( \bar{f} \) is the compositional inverse of \( f \) if and only if \( \bar{f}|\_2 \) can be presented by (51) and \( \bar{f} = t/(a_0 + a_1 t) \). In fact, \( f \circ \bar{f} = t \) implies \( (f \circ \bar{f})|\_2 = t \). If \( f \) has the truncation of the first 2 terms presented by \( f|\_2 = a_0 t/(1 - a_1 t)|\_2 \), then a straightforward process can be applied to solve \( \bar{f}|\_2 \) shown in (51) from the equation \( (f \circ \bar{f})|\_2 = t \). If \( f|\_2 = a_0 t/(1 - a_1 t)|\_2 \), then \( \bar{f} \) possesses the truncation of order 2 shown in (51). Thus \( \bar{f} = t/(a_0 + a_1 t) \). Conversely, if \( \bar{f} \) satisfies (51), i.e., \( \bar{f} = t/(a_0 + a_1 t) \), then \( f = a_0 t/(1 - a_1 t) \), which implies \( f|\_2 = a_0 t/(1 - a_1 t)|\_2 \).
Finally, we show that the set of Riordan matrices, denoted by \( R_{0,2} \) with \( A \)-sequences, \((a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots)\), satisfying \( a_0 = 1 \) and \( a_2 = 0 \) forms a subgroup of the Riordan group.
Let \((g_1, f_1)\) and \((g_2, f_2)\) be two Riordan matrices with \( A \)-sequences \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \), and let \((g_3, f_3) = (g_1, f_1)(g_2, f_2)\) with \( A \)-sequence \( A_3 \). From the Second Fundamental Theorem of Riordan Arrays, \( f = tA(f) \), we have \( t = \bar{f}A \). Thus we may rewrite (8) as
If \((g_1, f_1)\) and \((g_2, f_2)\) are in \(R_{0,2}\), then

\[ A_i(t) = a_{i,0} + a_{i,1}t + a_{i,2}t^3 + \cdots = a_{i,0} + a_{i,1}t + O(t^3) \]

for \(i = 1\) and \(2\). Hence,

\[ f_2|_2 = \frac{a_{2,0} t}{1 - a_{2,1} t} \bigg|_2, \]

which implies

\[ f_2|_2 = \frac{t}{a_{2,0} + a_{2,1} t} \bigg|_2. \]

Combining the above equations yields

\[
A_3(t) = A_2(t) + A_1(t) + O(t^3)
\]

where we use the obvious result

\[ a_{3,0} = A_3(0) = A_1(0)A_2(0) = 1. \]

**Remark 4.3** We now give a more direct way to prove Theorem 4.1. If \(D_1\) and \(D_2\) are in \(R_{0,2}\), then the generating functions of their \(A\)-sequences satisfy

\[ A_1(0) = A_2(0) = 1 \text{ and } A_1''(0) = A_2''(0) = 0. \]

From (8), we have

\[ A_3(0) = A_2(0)A_1(0) = 1 \]

and

\[
A_3''(t) = A_2''(t)A_1 + 2A_2' (t)A_1' \left( \frac{t}{A_2(t)} \right) + A_2(t) \left( \frac{t}{A_2(t)} \right) \left( \frac{t}{A_2(t)} \right) ' + A_1'(t) \left( \frac{t}{A_2(t)} \right) \left( \frac{t}{A_2(t)} \right) ' + A_2(t) \left( \frac{t}{A_2(t)} \right) \left( \frac{t}{A_2(t)} \right) '
\]

which implies
$$A''_2(0) = 2A'_2(0)A'_1(0)\frac{A_2(0)}{A_2^2(0)} + A_2(0)A''_1(0)^2 \left(\frac{A_2(0)}{A_2^2(0)}\right)$$

$$+ A_2(0)A'_1(0)(-2)A^{-2}_2(0)A_2(0) = 0$$

Hence, $R_{0,2}$ is closed under the Riordan multiplication. Similarly, we may use (10) to prove that the inverse, $D^{-1}$, of any element $D \in R_{0,2}$ with the $A$-sequence $(1, a_1, 0, a_3, \ldots)$ has the $A^*$-sequence $(1, a_1^*, 0, a_3^*, \ldots)$. Thus $D^{-1} \in R_{0,2}$. More precisely, from (10),

$$A^*(0) = \frac{1}{A(0)} = 1,$$

which implies $a_0^* = a_0 = 1$. Taking derivatives on the both sides of (10) yields

$$\left(\frac{t}{A(t)}\right) A(t) - tA'(t) = -\frac{A'(t)}{A(t)^2}.$$ 

Substituting $t = 0$ and noting $A(0) = 1$, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{t}{A(t)}\right) A(t) - tA'(t) = -A'(0).$$

Taking second derivatives on the both sides of (10), we have

$$\left(\frac{t}{A(t)}\right)^2 \left(\frac{A(t) - tA'(t)}{A(t)^2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{t}{A(t)}\right)^2 - \frac{2A(t)A'(t)(A(t) - tA'(t))}{A(t)^4} = \frac{2(A'(t))^2}{A(t)^3} - \frac{A''(t)}{A(t)^2}.$$ 

When $t = 0$, one can derive

$$(A^*''(0) - 2A'0)(A^*)'(0) = 2A'(0)^2 - A''(0).$$

Since $(A^*)'(0) = -A'(0)$, the above equation can be reduced to

$$(A^*''(0) = -A''(0) = 0,$$

which yields $a_2^* = 0$.

**Proposition 4.2** Let two proper Riordan matrices $D_1 = (g_1, f_1)$ and $D_2 = (g_2, f_2)$ have type-I $B$-sequences $B_1$ and $B_2$, respectively, then the product of $D_1$ and $D_2$,

$$D_3 = D_1D_2 = (g_1g_2, f_2(f_1)),$$

has type-I $B$-sequence $B_3$ with its generating function

$$B_3\left(\frac{t^2}{A_3(t)}\right) = B_2\left(\frac{t^2}{A_2(t)}\right) + \frac{1}{A_2(t)}B_1\left(\frac{t^2}{A_2(t)A_3(t)}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{t}{A_2(t)}B_2\left(\frac{t^2}{A_2(t)}\right)B_1\left(\frac{t^2}{A_2(t)A_3(t)}\right).$$
Proof. Substituting (17) into (8), one may obtain the result.

Combining Theorems 2.2 and 4.1 together, we immediately have the following result.

**Theorem 4.3** If a Riordan matrix \((g, f)\) has a type-I \(B\)-sequence, then it is in the subgroup \(R_{0,2}\).

**Theorem 4.4** The set of Riordan matrices, denoted by \(R_{1,1,1}\), with \(A\)-sequences of the form \((1, a_1, a_2, \ldots)\) and \(Z\)-sequences of the form \((z_0 = a_1, 0, z_2, \ldots)\) forms a subgroup of the Riordan group.

**Proof.** Let \((g_1, f_1)\) and \((g_2, f_2)\) \(\in \ R_{1,1,1}\), and let \((g_3, f_3) = (g_1, f_1)(g_2, f_2)\), where the \(A\)-sequences \((a_{i,j})_{j=0,1,\ldots}\) and the \(Z\)-sequences \((z_{i,j})_{j=0,1,\ldots}\) of \((g_i, f_i)\), \(i = 1\) and 2, satisfy the conditions

\[
a_{i,0} = 1, \quad a_{i,1} = z_{i,0}, \quad \text{and} \quad z_{i,1} = 0,
\]

for \(i = 1\) and 2. Then from (8) we have the generating function of the \(A\)-sequence of \((g_3, f_3)\)

\[
A_3(t) = A_2(t)A_1 \left( \frac{t}{A_2(t)} \right).
\]

Hence from \(A_i(0) = a_{i,0} = 1\), the constant term of \(A_3(t)\) is

\[
A_3(0) = A_2(0)A_1(0) = 1,
\]

i.e., \(a_{3,0} = 1\). Furthermore, (52) also implies

\[
a_{3,1} = A_3'(0) = A_2'(0)A_1(0) + A_2(0)A_1'(0) = a_{1,1} + a_{2,1}.
\]

From (9), we obtain the generating function of the \(Z\)-sequence of \((g_3, f_3)\)

\[
Z_3(t) = \left( 1 - \frac{t}{A_2(t)} Z_2(t) \right) Z_1 \left( \frac{t}{A_2(t)} \right) + A_1 \left( \frac{t}{A_2(t)} \right) Z_2(t).
\]

Therefore, the constant term of \(Z_3(t)\) is

\[
Z_3(0) = Z_1(0) + A_1(0)Z_2(0) = a_{1,1} + a_{2,1} = A_3'(0),
\]

or equivalently,

\[
z_{3,0} = a_{3,1}.
\]

In addition, (53) gives

\[
Z_3'(0) = (-Z_2(0))Z_1(0) + A_1'(0)Z_2(0) + A_1(0)Z_2'(0) = -z_{1,0}z_{2,0} + a_{1,1}z_{2,0} = -z_{1,0}z_{2,0} + z_{1,0}z_{2,0} = 0.
\]
Let \((g, f) \in R_{1,1,1}\), and let \((g^*, f^*) = (g, f)^{-1}\), i.e., \(g^* = 1/\left( g \circ \bar{f} \right)\) and \(f^* = \bar{f}\), where the \(A\)-sequences \((a_j)_{j=0,1,...}\) and the \(Z\)-sequences \((z_j)_{j=0,1,...}\) of \((g, f)\) satisfy the conditions

\[ a_0 = 1, \quad a_1 = z_0, \quad \text{and} \quad z_1 = 0. \]

Then from (8) and (9), we have

\[
A^*(t) \frac{t}{A(t)} = 1 = A(t)
\]

and

\[
Z^*(t) \frac{t}{A(t)} = \frac{Z(t)}{tZ(t) - A(t)},
\]

respectively. Denote the \(A\)-sequence and the \(Z\)-sequence of \((g, f)^{-1}\) by \((a_j^*)_{j=0,1,...}\) and \((Z_j^*)_{j=0,1,...}\). Then (54) yields

\[ a_0^* = A^*(0) = \frac{1}{A(0)} = 1, \]

and

\[ a_1^* = (A^*(t))'_{\mid t=0} = -\frac{1}{A^2(0)}A'(0) = -a_1. \]

Meanwhile, using (55) we obtain

\[ Z_0^* = Z^*(0) = -Z(0) = -z_0 = -a_1 = a_1^*. \]

Finally, we have

\[
Z_1^* = (Z^*(t))'_{\mid t=0} = -\frac{Z'(0)A(0) - Z(0)(A'(0) - Z(0))}{A(0)^2} = \frac{-Z(0)^2 - A'(0)Z(0)}{A(0)^2} = a_1 z_0 - z_0^2 = 0
\]

because \(a_1 = z_0\). This complete the proof of the theorem.

\[\text{Example 4.1} \quad \text{The Riordan matrix } \left(1/(1 - kt), t/(1 - kt)\right) \text{ begins} \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
k & 2k & 1 \\
k^2 & 3k^2 & 3k & 1 \\
k^3 & 4k^3 & 6k^2 & 4k & 1 \\
k^4 & 5k^4 & 10k^3 & 10k^2 & 5k & 1 \\
\cdots & & & & & \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Then its \(A\), \(Z\), and \(B\)-sequences are \((1, k, 0, \ldots)\), \((k, 0, \ldots)\), and \((k, 0, \ldots)\), respectively, where \(B\)-sequence is defined for all entries of the matrix.
Let \((g, f)\) be a Riordan matrix, where \(g = \sum_{j \geq 0} g_j t^j\) and \(f = \sum_{j \geq 1} f_j t^j\) with \(g_0 = 1\) and \(f_1 \neq 0\). Equation (5) shows that the generating function \(A(t)\) of the \(A\)-sequence, \((a_j)_{j=0,1,\ldots}\), of \((g, f)\) satisfies

\[ f(t) = t(a_0 + a_1 f + a_2 f^2 + \cdots), \]

which implies

\[ f_1 = a_0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_2 = a_1 f_1 = a_0 a_1. \tag{56} \]

Equation (7) shows that the generating function \(Z(t)\) of the \(Z\)-sequence, \((z_j)_{j=0,1,\ldots}\), of \((g, f)\),

\[ g(\bar{f}) = \frac{1}{1 - fZ}. \]

The above equation can be written as

\[ Z = \frac{g(\bar{f}) - 1}{fg(\bar{f})} = \frac{g_1 + g_2(\bar{f}) + g_3(\bar{f})^2 + \cdots}{g_0 + g_1(\bar{f}) + g_2(\bar{f})^2 + \cdots}. \]

Thus,

\[ z_0 = Z(0) = g_1/g_0 = g_1, \tag{57} \]

and by noting \(\bar{f}'(0) = 1/f'(0) = 1/f_1\),

\[ z_1 = Z'(0) = \frac{g_0 g_2 \bar{f}'(0) - g_1^2 \bar{f}'(0)}{g_0^2} = \frac{g_0 g_2 - g_1^2}{f_1 g_0^2}. \tag{58} \]

And we have the following result.

**Corollary 4.5** Let \((g, f)\) be a Riordan matrix, where \(g = \sum_{j \geq 0} g_j z^j\) and \(f = \sum_{j \geq 1} f_j t^j\) with \(g_0 = 1\) and \(f_1 \neq 0\). Then \((g, f)\) is in \(R_{1,1,1}\) if and only if \(f_1 = 1\), \(f_2 = g_1\), and \(g_2 = g_1^2\), or equivalently, \(f_1 = 1\), \(f_2 = g_1\), and \(g_2 = f_2^2\).

**Proof.** One may use (56) - (58) to transfer the sufficient and necessary conditions, \(a_0 = 1\), \(z_0 = a_1\) and \(z_1 = 0\), for \((g, f)\) is in \(R_{1,1,1}\) to be

\[ f_1 = 1, \quad g_1 = f_2, \quad \text{and} \quad g_0 g_2 = g_1^2, \]

which proves the corollary.

## 5 A- and B- sequences of Pascal-like Riordan matrices

We shall call a lower-triangular matrix \((a_{n,k})\) is Pascal-like if

1. \(a_{n,k} = a_{n,n-k}\) and
2. \(a_{n,0} = a_{n,n} = 1\).
A-, Z-, and B-sequence of Riordan matrices

It is clear that not all Pascal-like matrices are Riordan matrices. If a Pascal-like matrix is also a Riordan matrix, for example, the Pascal matrix, then it is called a Pascal-like Riordan matrix.

A Pascal-like matrix will then be the coefficient matrix of a family of monic reciprocal polynomials. Here, a polynomial $P_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{n,k} x^k$ of degree $n$ is said to be reciprocal if

$$P_n(x) = x^n P_n(1/x).$$

Hence, we have

$$[x^k]P_n(x) = [x^k]x^n P_n(1/x) = [x^k] \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{n,j} x^{n-j},$$

which implies

$$a_{n,k} = a_{n,n-k}.$$

**Theorem 5.1** Let $(a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots)$ be the A-sequence of a Pascal-like Riordan matrix $P = (p_{n,k})_{n \geq k \geq 0}$. Then

$$a_1(1 - a_1) | a_j$$

for $j \geq 2$, or equivalently, $a_2 | a_j$ for all $j \geq 2$ due to $a_2 = a_1(1 - a_1)$. Furthermore, we have recursive formula for $a_j$ as

$$a_j = (j - 2)a_1(1 - a_1) - a_2 p_{j-1,2} - \cdots - a_{j-1} p_{j-1,j-2}. \quad (60)$$

**Proof.** We prove (59) by using induction. Let $P$ has A-sequence $(a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots)$. Then, it is easy to see that $a_0 = 1$ and

$$p_{n,n-1} = 1 + (n-1)a_1 \quad (61)$$

for $n \geq 1$. More precisely, we have $p_{1,0} = 1$ and $p_{2,1} = 1 + a_1 p_{1,1} = 1 + a_1$. If $p_{n-1,n-2} = 1 + (n-2)a_1$, then

$$p_{n,n-1} = p_{n-1,n-2} + a_1 p_{n-1,n-1} = 1 + (n-1)a_1.$$

Since

$$p_{3,1} = 1 + a_1 p_{2,1} + a_2$$

and $p_{3,1} = p_{3,2}$, from (61) we have

$$1 + a_1 p_{2,1} + a_2 = 1 + 2a_1,$$

or equivalently,

$$a_1(1 + a_1) + a_2 = 2a_1,$$

which shows that $a_2 = a_1(1 - a_1)$. 


Assume that \( a_1(1 - a_1) | a_j \) for all \( 3 \leq j \leq k \), then from the definition of Pascal-like Riordan matrix: \( p_{k+1,1} = p_{k+1,k} \), we have

\[
p_{k+1,1} = 1 + a_1p_{k,1} + a_2p_{k,2} + \cdots + a_kp_{k,k-1} + a_{k+1} = 1 + ka_1 = p_{k+1,k}.
\]

Thus,

\[
a_{k+1} = ka_1 - a_1p_{k,1} - a_2p_{k,2} - \cdots - a_kp_{k,k-1}
\]

\[
= ka_1 - a_1p_{k,k-1} - a_2p_{k,2} - \cdots - a_kp_{k,k-1}
\]

\[
= ka_1 - a_1(1 + (k - 1)a_1) - a_2p_{k,2} - \cdots - a_kp_{k,k-1}
\]

\[
= (k - 1)a_1(1 - a_1) - a_2p_{k,2} - \cdots - a_kp_{k,k-1},
\]

which implies \( a_1(1 - a_1) | a_{k+1} \) from the induction assumption. The rightmost expression of the above equations imply (60). Since \( a_2 = a_1(1 - a_1) \), we have \( a_2 | a_j \) for all \( j \geq 2 \).

**Corollary 5.2** All Pascal-like Riordan matrices have no \( B \)-sequence except the matrix \((1/(1-t), t)\) and Pascal matrix \((1/(1-t), t/(1-t))\). Here, the type-I \( B \)-sequence of \((1/(1-t), t)\) is \((0, 0, 0, \ldots)\) while its type-II \( B \)-sequence is \((1, 0, 0, \ldots)\). Both type-I and type-II \( B \)-sequences of \((1/(1-t), t/(1-t))\) are \((1, 0, 0, \ldots)\).

**Proof.** A Pascal-like matrix has a \( B \)-sequence if and only if its \( A \)-sequence possesses sequence element \( a_2 = a_1(1 - a_1) = 0 \), i.e., \( a_1 = 0 \) or \( a_1 = 1 \), or equivalently, \( P = (1/(1-t), t) \) or \( P = (1/(1-t), t/(1-t)) \).
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