

# Inter-relations between additive shape invariant superpotentials

Jeffrey V. Mallow,<sup>\*</sup> Asim Gangopadhyaya,<sup>†</sup> Jonathan Bougie,<sup>‡</sup> and Constantin Rasinariu<sup>§</sup>

*Department of Physics, Loyola University Chicago, Chicago, IL 60660, U.S.A*

(Dated: March 18, 2022)

All known additive shape invariant superpotentials in nonrelativistic quantum mechanics belong to one of two categories: superpotentials that do not explicitly depend on  $\hbar$ , and their  $\hbar$ -dependent extensions. The former group themselves into two disjoint classes, depending on whether the corresponding Schrödinger equation can be reduced to a hypergeometric equation (type-I) or a confluent hypergeometric equation (type-II). All the superpotentials within each class are connected via point canonical transformations. Previous work [19] showed that type-I superpotentials produce type-II via limiting procedures. In this paper we develop a method to generate a type I superpotential from type II, thus providing a pathway to interconnect all known additive shape invariant superpotentials.

Keywords: Supersymmetric quantum mechanics; Shape invariance; Exactly solvable systems; Extended potentials; Point canonical transformations; Isospectral deformation

## I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

### A. Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics

Supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SUSYQM) is a generalization of the Dirac-Föck ladder method for the harmonic oscillator [9, 36, 38]. In SUSYQM, a general hamiltonian  $H_-$  is written in terms of ladder-operators  $\mathcal{A}^+ \equiv -\frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2m}} \frac{d}{dx} + W(x, a)$  and  $\mathcal{A}^- \equiv \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2m}} \frac{d}{dx} + W(x, a)$ , where the function  $W(x, a)$ , a real function of  $x$  and a parameter  $a$ , is known as

---

<sup>\*</sup> jmallow@luc.edu

<sup>†</sup> agangop@luc.edu

<sup>‡</sup> jbougie@luc.edu

<sup>§</sup> crasinariu@luc.edu

the superpotential. Henceforth, we set  $2m = 1$ . The hamiltonian  $H_-$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_- = \mathcal{A}^+ \mathcal{A}^- &= \left( -\hbar \frac{d}{dx} + W(x, a) \right) \left( \hbar \frac{d}{dx} + W(x, a) \right) \\ &= -\hbar^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + W^2(x, a) - \hbar \frac{dW(x, a)}{dx} \\ &= -\hbar^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_-(x, a), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $V_-(x, a) = W^2(x, a) - \hbar dW/dx$ . The product of operators  $\mathcal{A}^- \mathcal{A}^+$  generates another hamiltonian  $H_+ = -\hbar^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_+(x, a)$  with  $V_+(x, a) = W^2(x, a) + \hbar dW/dx$ . These two hamiltonians are related by  $\mathcal{A}^+ H_+ = H_- \mathcal{A}^+$  and  $\mathcal{A}^- H_- = H_+ \mathcal{A}^-$ , which leads to the following isospectrality relationships among their eigenvalues and eigenfunctions for all integer  $n \geq 0$ :

$$E_{n+1}^- = E_n^+; \quad \frac{\mathcal{A}^-}{\sqrt{E_n^+}} \psi_{n+1}^{(-)} = \psi_n^{(+)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\mathcal{A}^+}{\sqrt{E_n^+}} \psi_n^{(+)} = \psi_{n+1}^{(-)}. \quad (2)$$

Thus, if we knew the eigenvalues and eigenstates of the hamiltonian  $H_-$ ,<sup>1</sup> we would automatically know the same for the hamiltonian  $H_+$ , and vice-versa. For unbroken SUSY, if a superpotential  $W(x, a_i)$  obeys a particular constraint known as “shape invariance”, then the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions for both hamiltonians can be determined separately. In this manuscript, we will consider only unbroken SUSY.

## B. Shape Invariance

Let us consider a set of parameters  $a_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , with  $a_0 = a$ , and  $a_{i+1} = f(a_i)$ , where  $f$  is a function of  $a_i$ . A superpotential  $W(x, a_i)$  is shape invariant if it obeys the following condition [20–22, 24]:

$$W^2(x, a_i) + \hbar \frac{dW(x, a_i)}{dx} + g(a_i) = W^2(x, a_{i+1}) - \hbar \frac{dW(x, a_{i+1})}{dx} + g(a_{i+1}). \quad (3)$$

The eigenvalues and eigenfunctions are given by [12, 15]

$$E_n^{(-)}(a_0) = g(a_n) - g(a_0) \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,$$

and

$$\psi_n^{(-)}(x, a_0) \sim \mathcal{A}^+(a_0) \mathcal{A}^+(a_1) \cdots \mathcal{A}^+(a_{n-1}) \psi_0^{(-)}(x, a_n),$$

---

<sup>1</sup> Since  $H_-$  is a semi-positive-definite operator, its ground state energy  $E_0$  is either zero or positive. When  $E_0 = 0$ , supersymmetry is said to be unbroken.

where  $\psi_0^{(-)}(x, a_n) \sim \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\hbar} \int^x W(y, a_n) dy \right\}$ . This solvability of all additive shape invariant systems, which stems from Eq. (3), can be related to underlying potential algebras of the systems [1, 2, 8, 17, 18].

Hereafter, we consider the case of translational or additive shape invariance:  $a_{i+1} = a_i + \hbar$ .

## II. SHAPE INVARIANT SUPERPOTENTIALS

Shape invariant systems are of great importance in quantum mechanics due to their exact solvability; hence, it is desirable to determine as many shape invariant superpotentials (SISs) as possible. All SISs obey Eq. (3), which is a non-linear difference-differential equation. Several investigators have found solutions to this equation [10, 14, 20, 22]. The authors of Ref. [4, 5, 16] reduced Eq. (3) to two local partial differential equations (PDEs) and proved that the list of SISs listed in [10, 14, 20, 22] is complete, under the assumption that  $W(x, a)$  does not depend explicitly on  $\hbar$ . The set of superpotentials generated by solving the two PDEs was called “conventional”.

In 2008, two additional shape invariant superpotentials were discovered [30, 31] that were not included in previous lists of conventional superpotentials. These superpotentials were then generalized in Ref.[26–29, 32, 33, 37], and some of their properties have been further studied [34, 35]. Since these superpotentials could not be generated from the two PDEs, they must contain explicit  $\hbar$ -dependence. In Ref. [4, 5], the authors showed that these superpotentials obey an infinite set of PDEs. In this section, we will describe how to generate these shape invariant systems from the PDEs.

### A. Conventional Superpotentials

We begin with conventional superpotentials, for which  $W(x, a_i)$  has no explicit dependence on  $\hbar$ ; i.e., any dependence on  $\hbar$  enters only through the linear combination  $a_{i+1} = a_i + \hbar$ . Since Eq. (3) must hold for an arbitrary value of  $\hbar$ , we can expand the equation in powers of  $\hbar$ , and require that the coefficient of each power vanishes, leading to the following two independent equations [4, 5] :

$$W \frac{\partial W}{\partial a} - \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{dg(a)}{da} = 0 \quad (4)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^3}{\partial a^2 \partial x} W(x, a) = 0 . \quad (5)$$

The general solution to Eq. (5) is

$$W(x, a) = a \cdot \chi_1(x) + \chi_2(x) + u(a) . \quad (6)$$

When combined with Eq. (4), this solution reproduces the complete family of conventional superpotentials, as shown in Table (I) [4, 5].

These conventional superpotentials generate special cases of the Natanzon potentials [12, 15, 25]. They fall into one of two categories, depending on whether the corresponding Schrödinger equation can be reduced to a hypergeometric equation (type-I) or a confluent hypergeometric equation (type-II) [13, 19].

	Name	Superpotential	Type
1	Scarf (Hyperbolic)	$A \tanh x + B \operatorname{sech} x$	I
2	Gen. Pöschl-Teller	$A \coth r - B \operatorname{cosech} r$	I
3	Scarf (Trigonometric)	$A \tan x - B \sec x$	I
4	Rosen-Morse I	$-A \cot x - \frac{B}{A}$	I
5	Rosen-Morse II	$A \tanh x + \frac{B}{A}$	I
6	Eckart	$-A \coth r + \frac{B}{A}$	I
a	Morse	$A - B e^{-x}$	II
b	3-D oscillator	$\frac{1}{2}\omega r - \frac{\ell}{r}$	II
c	Coulomb	$\frac{e^2}{2\ell} - \frac{\ell}{r}$	II
d	Harmonic Oscillator	$\frac{1}{2}\omega x$	II

TABLE I. The complete family of  $\hbar$ -independent additive shape-invariant superpotentials.

## B. Extended Superpotentials

Table (I) lists the exhaustive set of superpotentials that are  $\hbar$ -independent. However, this list does not include the  $\hbar$ -dependent shape invariant superpotentials reported in [30, 31], or their generalizations [26–29, 37]. All known  $\hbar$ -dependent superpotentials can be written

as  $W(x, a, \hbar) = W_0(x, a) + W_h(a, x, \hbar)$ , where the kernel  $W_0$  is one of the conventional superpotentials listed in Table I, and  $W_h$  is an explicitly  $\hbar$ -dependent extension of that kernel. For example, one superpotential found in [30] can be written as

$$W(r, \ell, \hbar) = \frac{1}{2} \omega r - \frac{\ell}{r} + \left( \frac{2\omega r \hbar}{\omega r^2 + 2\ell - \hbar} - \frac{2\omega r \hbar}{\omega r^2 + 2\ell + \hbar} \right), \quad (7)$$

where  $W_0 = \frac{1}{2} \omega r - \frac{\ell}{r}$  is the conventional superpotential of the 3-D oscillator, and the term in parenthesis is  $W_h$ , the  $\hbar$ -dependent extension.

Because extended superpotentials depend explicitly on  $\hbar$ , we can expand them in powers of  $\hbar$ :

$$W(x, a, \hbar) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \hbar^j W_j(x, a). \quad (8)$$

Since  $a_0 = a$  and  $a_1 = a + \hbar$ , we also have

$$W(x, a_1, \hbar) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{\hbar^j}{k!} \frac{\partial^k W_{j-k}}{\partial a^k},$$

which we then substitute back into Eq. (3). Since Eq. (3) must hold for any value of  $\hbar$ , we set the coefficients of the series for each power of  $\hbar$  equal to zero. This gives, for  $j = 1$

$$2 \frac{\partial W_0}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial a} (W_0^2 + g) = 0, \quad (9)$$

and for  $j \geq 2$

$$2 \frac{\partial W_{j-1}}{\partial x} - \sum_{s=1}^{j-1} \sum_{k=0}^s \frac{1}{(j-s)!} \frac{\partial^{j-s}}{\partial a^{j-s}} W_k W_{s-k} + \sum_{k=2}^{j-1} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \frac{\partial^k W_{j-k}}{\partial a^{k-1} \partial x} = 0. \quad (10)$$

In Refs. [4] and [5] the authors explicitly generated the extended superpotential (7) from these partial differential equations.

### III. KNOWN RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN SHAPE-INVARIANT SUPERPOTENTIALS

In this section we discuss the known connections between SISs. They are: point canonical transformations, projections, and isospectral extensions.

### A. Point Canonical Transformations

We begin with the relationships between the various conventional superpotentials that are connected via point canonical transformations (PCTs). A PCT comprises a change of the independent variable  $x$  and an associated multiplicative transformation of the wavefunction in a Schrödinger equation, such that it generates a new Schrödinger equation [3, 13].

For a change of variable from  $x \rightarrow z$ , where  $x = u(z)$  and a corresponding change in wave function that relates the new wave function  $\tilde{\psi}$  to the old by  $\psi(x) = \tilde{\psi}(z) \sqrt{du/dz}$ , the Schrödinger equation

$$-\frac{d^2\psi(x)}{dx^2} + V(x, a_i) \psi(x) = E(a_i)\psi(x) \quad (11)$$

transforms into:

$$\left[ -\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + \left\{ \dot{u}^2 [V(u(z), a_i) - E(a_i)] + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{3\ddot{u}^2}{2\dot{u}^2} - \frac{\ddot{u}}{\dot{u}} \right) \right\} \right] \tilde{\psi}(z) = 0. \quad (12)$$

where  $\dot{u} = du/dz$ , etc. For Eq. (12) to be a Schrödinger equation, an energy term must emerge from the expression  $\dot{u}^2 [V(u(z), a_i) - E(a_i)]$ ; i.e., it must have a term that is independent of  $z$ . This condition constrains the choices for the function  $u(z)$ .

The six type-I superpotentials are characterized by corresponding Schrödinger equations that can be transformed into a hypergeometric equation. If we consider the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator to be a simplified case of the 3-D oscillator ( $\ell = 0$ )<sup>2</sup>, we have three type-II superpotentials, which correspond to the confluent hypergeometric equation. Each type-I superpotential can be mapped to each other type-I superpotential via PCTs, and each type-II superpotential can be similarly mapped to each other type-II superpotential [11, 13, 23]. The corresponding PCTs, illustrated in Figure 1, are given by  $T_{12} : \{x \rightarrow r + i\pi/2\}$ ,  $T_{23} : \{r \rightarrow ix + i\pi/2\}$ ,  $T_{34} : \{x \rightarrow \cos^{-1}(\text{cosec } x)\}$ ,  $T_{45} : \{x \rightarrow \pi/2 + ix\}$ ,  $T_{56} : \{x \rightarrow -r + i\pi/2\}$ ,  $T_{61} : \{r \rightarrow \coth^{-1}(i \sinh x)\}$ ,  $T_{ab} : \{x \rightarrow -2 \ln r\}$ ,  $T_{bc} : \{r \rightarrow \sqrt{z}\}$ , and  $T_{ca} : \{r \rightarrow \exp(-x)\}$ .

---

<sup>2</sup> Note that setting  $\ell = 0$  removes the singularity at the origin and hence enlarges the domain to the entire real axis.

## B. Projections

PCTs cannot transform type-I to type-II or vice-versa. The hypergeometric differential equation, corresponding to type-I superpotentials, has three regular singular points. With suitable limits, two of the singularities merge, and the equation reduces to a confluent hypergeometric equation, connected to the type-II superpotential. Thus, these limiting procedures generate “projections” from type-I to type-II superpotentials, making it possible to move from one type to another, albeit in only one direction, as shown in Table II, and in Figure 1.

## C. Isospectral Extensions

For extended superpotentials, the energy spectrum is given entirely by the  $\hbar$ –independent kernel  $W_0$  [5], and every known  $\hbar$ –dependent SIS contains a conventional SIS as its kernel. These extended superpotentials can therefore be obtained from conventional superpotentials through an isospectral process that adds an  $\hbar$ –dependent term to the conventional superpotential while maintaining shape-invariance. In the limit  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ , each reduces to its corresponding conventional counterpart.

## IV. GENERATING A PATHWAY FROM TYPE-II TO TYPE-I SUPERPOTENTIALS

We have seen that the six SISs of type-I are interconnected via PCTs; so are the three type-II SISs. Furthermore, type-I SISs reduce to type-II via projections. Graphically, these interrelations are illustrated in Figure 1. Additionally, the extended SISs are obtained from conventional SISs by isospectral extension and they reduce to the conventional ones when  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ .

However, we have no connection yet that will take us from a type-II to a type-I superpotential. So far, the connections between the SISs have been of three types: (i) PCTs, (ii) projections, and (iii) isospectral extensions. We now ask whether we can employ any of these three mechanisms to go from type-II to type-I.

Of these three mechanisms, PCTs map superpotentials within a given type (I or II), but do not move between types. Projections reduce the hypergeometric equation to the confluent

Type-I Superpotential	Projection	Type-II Superpotential
<b>1) Scarf (Hyperbolic)</b> $W(x) = A \tanh(x + \beta) + B \operatorname{sech}(x + \beta)$ $-\infty < x < \infty, A > 0$ $E_n = A^2 - (A - n\hbar)^2$	$P_{1a} :$ $A \rightarrow A$ $B \rightarrow -B \frac{e^\beta}{2}$ $\beta \rightarrow \infty$	<b>a) Morse</b> $W(x) = A - B e^{-x}$ $-\infty < x < \infty$ $E_n = A^2 - (A - n\hbar)^2$
<b>2) Generalized Pöschl-Teller</b> $W(r) = A \coth(\alpha r + \beta) - B \operatorname{cosech}(\alpha r + \beta)$  $-\frac{\beta}{\alpha} < r < \infty$ $E_n = A^2 - (A - n\alpha\hbar)^2$ $A < B$	$P_{2a} :$ $A \rightarrow A$ $B \rightarrow B \frac{e^\beta}{2}, \alpha \rightarrow 1$ $\beta \rightarrow \infty, r \rightarrow x$	<b>a) Morse</b> $W(x) = A - B e^{-x}$ $-\infty < x < \infty$ $E_n = A^2 - (A - n\hbar)^2$
	$P_{2b} :$ $A \rightarrow \left(\frac{\omega}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha\ell}{2}\right)$ $B \rightarrow \left(\frac{\omega}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha\ell}{2}\right)$ $\beta \rightarrow 0, \alpha \rightarrow 0$	<b>b) 3-D Oscillator</b> $W(r) = \frac{1}{2}\omega r - \frac{\ell}{r}$ $0 < r < \infty$ $E_n = 2n\omega\hbar$
<b>3) Scarf (Trigonometric)</b> $W(x) = A \tan(\alpha x) - B \sec(\alpha x)$ $-\frac{\pi}{2\alpha} < x < \frac{\pi}{2\alpha}, A > B$ $E_n = (A + n\alpha\hbar)^2 - A^2$	$P_{3b} :$ $A \rightarrow \left(\frac{\omega}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha\ell}{2}\right)$ $B \rightarrow \left(\frac{\omega}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha\ell}{2}\right)$ $x \rightarrow r + \frac{\pi}{2\alpha}, \alpha \rightarrow 0$	<b>b) 3-D Oscillator</b> $W(r) = \frac{1}{2}\omega r - \frac{\ell}{r}$ $0 < r < \infty$ $E_n = 2n\omega\hbar$
<b>4) Rosen-Morse I</b> $W(x) = -A \cot(\alpha x) - \frac{B}{A}$ $0 < x < \frac{\pi}{\alpha}$ $E_n = -A^2 + (A + n\alpha\hbar)^2 + \frac{B^2}{A^2} - \frac{B^2}{(A + n\alpha\hbar)^2}$	$P_{4c} :$ $A \rightarrow \alpha\ell$ $B \rightarrow -\frac{\alpha}{2}e^2$ $\alpha \rightarrow 0, x \rightarrow r$	<b>c) Coulomb</b> $W(r) = \frac{e^2}{2\ell} - \frac{\ell}{r}$ $0 < r < \infty$ $E_n = \frac{e^4}{4\hbar^2} \left( \frac{1}{l^2} - \frac{1}{(n+l)^2} \right)$
<b>5) Rosen-Morse II</b> $W(x) = A \tanh(x) + \frac{B}{A}$ $-\infty < x < \infty, B < A^2$ $E_n = A^2 - (A - n\hbar)^2 - \frac{B^2}{(A - n\hbar)^2} + \frac{B^2}{A^2}$	—	—
<b>6) Eckart</b> $W(r) = -A \coth(\alpha r) + \frac{B}{A}$ $0 < r < \infty, B > A^2, A > 0$ $E_n = A^2 - (A + n\alpha\hbar)^2 + \frac{B^2}{A^2} - \frac{B^2}{(A + n\alpha\hbar)^2}$	$P_{6c} :$ $A \rightarrow \alpha\ell$ $B \rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{2}e^2$ $\alpha \rightarrow 0$	<b>c) Coulomb</b> $W(r) = \frac{e^2}{2\ell} - \frac{\ell}{r}$ $0 < r < \infty$ $E_n = \frac{e^4}{4\hbar^2} \left( \frac{1}{l^2} - \frac{1}{(n+l)^2} \right)$

TABLE II. Limiting procedures and redefinition of parameters relating type-I to type-II superpotentials. For projections, in each cell the order of operators should be carried out from top to bottom.






FIG. 1. Inter-relations among conventional superpotentials. PCTs are represented by plain lines with arrows while the projections from type-I to type-II are represented by dashed lines with double arrows; the projection corresponding to each label is given in Table II.  $R_{a1}$  will be discussed below.

hypergeometric equation, but do not do the reverse. This leaves the isospectral extension, which allows for the addition of terms to an initial kernel  $W_0$ . We choose this kernel to be Morse, because it is the only type-II SIS that is isospectral with type-I SISs. Therefore, if such a reverse path, denoted  $R_{a1}$  in Figure 1, exists, then it should start from Morse.

We proceed to construct an extension using Eq. (10). In Ref. [33], the author generated a quasi-exactly solvable extension of Morse and showed that it was not shape invariant. The strength of the isospectral extension method is that we can employ Eq. (10) term-by-term in order to generate a manifestly shape-invariant solution. The Morse superpotential is

$$W_0 = -a - e^{-x},$$

where, without loss of generality, we set  $a \equiv -A < 0$ , and  $B = 1^3$ . Choosing  $W_1 = 0$ , the equation for  $W_2$  reads

$$\frac{\partial W_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial W_0 W_2}{\partial a} = 0 .$$

A solution is

$$W_2(x, a) = e^{-x} (2P + Qe^{-2x} + 2a Qe^{-x}) ,$$

where  $P$  and  $Q$  are constant parameters. Choosing  $W_3$  to be zero, the equation for  $W_4$  is

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \frac{\partial W_4}{\partial x} - 2 \frac{\partial (W_0 W_4 + \frac{1}{2} W_2^2)}{\partial a} - \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left( \frac{\partial W_2}{\partial a} \frac{\partial W_0}{\partial a} \right) \\ - \frac{1}{3} \left( W_2 \frac{\partial^3 W_0}{\partial a^3} + W_0 \frac{\partial^3 W_2}{\partial a^3} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3 W_2}{\partial x \partial a^2} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The above equation is solved by

$$W_4(x, a) = -Qe^{-3x} (2P + Qe^{-2x} + 2a Qe^{-x}) .$$

Generalizing this process yields  $W_{2k-1} = 0$  and

$$W_{2k} = (-Q)^{k-1} e^{-(2k-1)x} (2P + Qe^{-2x} + 2a Qe^{-x})$$

for all positive integers  $k$ . Computing the infinite sum  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \hbar^j W_j(x, a)$ , we obtain

$$W(x, a, \hbar) = -a - e^{-x} + \frac{\hbar^2 (2Pe^x + 2aQ + Qe^{-x})}{e^{2x} + Q\hbar^2} . \quad (13)$$

The shape invariance of this superpotential can be directly checked. Substituting the above expression into Eq. (3) yields

$$W^2(x, a) - W^2(x, a + \hbar) + \hbar \frac{d}{dx} (W(x, a) + W(x, a + \hbar)) = -\hbar(2a + \hbar), \quad (14)$$

which can be brought into the form of Eq. (3) by choosing  $g(a) = -a^2$ . This leads to the energy eigenvalues  $E_n^{(-)} = g(a + n\hbar) - g(a) = a^2 - (a + n\hbar)^2$ . As expected, these values are the same as those of the Morse potential. Note that as  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ , we recover the starting kernel, which is the Morse superpotential.

The superpotential Eq. (13) was initially reported in Ref.[6] as a new  $\hbar$ -dependent extension of the Morse superpotential. However, here we show that it is in fact equivalent to

---

<sup>3</sup> Note that  $B \neq 1$  amounts to a simple translation in  $x$ .

the conventional Scarf hyperbolic superpotential. To do so, we absorb  $\hbar$  in Eq. (13) into another set of parameters via the following transformations:

$$\hbar^2 P \rightarrow P', \quad \hbar^2 Q \rightarrow e^{2\beta}, \quad (2P' - 1) e^{-\beta} \rightarrow 2B, \quad -a \rightarrow A, \quad x - \beta \rightarrow x. \quad (15)$$

These transformations effectively map the “extended” superpotential (13) into the Scarf hyperbolic, a conventional type-I superpotential<sup>4</sup>:

$$-a - e^{-x} + \frac{\hbar^2 (2P e^x + 2a Q + Q e^{-x})}{e^{2x} + Q \hbar^2} \rightarrow W_{Scarf} = A \tanh x + B \operatorname{sech} x. \quad (16)$$

Thus, in this case, rather than producing a new superpotential, this technique created a “restricted extension”  $R_{a1}$ , from a type-II to a type-I superpotential.  $R_{a1}$  was the missing link in the quest to provide a connection between all known additive shape invariant superpotentials. Now, we have a bidirectional way to connect any pair of known additive shape-invariant superpotentials, via a combination of PCTs, projections, and isospectral extensions.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

In this manuscript, we have shown via an explicit construction that the Morse superpotential can be isospectrally deformed via the extension mechanism into the Scarf hypergeometric superpotential. As a result, we have demonstrated that there exists a path from a type-II to a type-I superpotential; thus, all known additive shape-invariant superpotentials are inter-related through a combination of PCTs, projections, and isospectral extensions.

- 
- [1] A. Balantekin, M. Candido Ribeiro, and A. Aleixo. Algebraic Nature of Shape-Invariant and Self-Similar Potentials. *J. Phys. A*, 32:2785 – 2790, 1999.
  - [2] A. B. Balantekin. Algebraic Approach to Shape Invariance. *Phys. Rev. A*, 57:4188 – 4191, 1998.
  - [3] A. Bhattacharjie and E.C.G. Sudarshan. A Class of Solvable Potentials. *Nuovo Cimento*, 25:864–879, 1962.

---

<sup>4</sup> A similar reduction could be obtained using the formalism in [7] via a suitable choice of parameters.

- [4] J. Bougie, A. Gangopadhyaya, and J. V. Mallow. Generation of a Complete Set of Additive Shape-Invariant Potentials From an Euler Equation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 105:210402, 2010.
- [5] J. Bougie, A. Gangopadhyaya, J. V. Mallow, and C. Rasinariu. Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics and Solvable Models. *Symmetry*, 4:452–473, 2012.
- [6] J. Bougie, A. Gangopadhyaya, J. V. Mallow, and C. Rasinariu. Generation of a Novel Exactly Solvable Potential. *Physics Letters A*, 379(37):2180 – 2183, 2015.
- [7] José F. Cariñena and Arturo Ramos. Riccati equation, factorization method and shape invariance. *Reviews in Mathematical Physics*, 12(10):1279–1304, 2000.
- [8] S. Chaturvedi, R. Dutt, A. Gangopadhyaya, P. Panigrahi, C. Rasinariu, and U. Sukhatme. Algebraic Shape Invariant Models. *Phys. Lett.*, A248:109 – 113, 1998.
- [9] F. Cooper and B. Freedman. Aspects of Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics. *Ann. Phys.*, 146:262–288, 1983.
- [10] F. Cooper, J. N. Ginocchio, and A. Khare. Relationship Between Supersymmetry and Solvable Potentials. *Phys. Rev. D*, 36:2458–2473, 1987.
- [11] F. Cooper, J. N. Ginocchio, and A. Wipf. Supersymmetry, Operator Transformations and Exactly Solvable Potentials. *A. Math. Gen.*, 22:3707 – 3716, 1989.
- [12] F. Cooper, A. Khare, and U. Sukhatme. *Supersymmetry in Quantum Mechanics*. World Scientific, Singapore, 2001.
- [13] R. De, R. Dutt, and U. Sukhatme. Mapping of Shape Invariant Potentials Under Point Canonical Transformations. *J. Phys A. Math. Gen.*, 22:L843, 1992.
- [14] R. Dutt, A. Khare, and U. Sukhatme. Exactness of Supersymmetry WKB Spectra for Shape-Invariant Potentials. *Phys. Lett. B*, 181:295–298, 1986.
- [15] A. Gangopadhyaya, J. Mallow, and C. Rasinariu. *Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics: An Introduction*. World Scientific, Singapore, 2017.
- [16] A. Gangopadhyaya and J. V. Mallow. Generating Shape Invariant Potentials. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, 23:4959 – 4978, 2008.
- [17] A. Gangopadhyaya, J. V. Mallow, and U. P. Sukhatme. Shape invariance and its connection to potential algebra. In H. Aratyn, T. D. Imbo, W.-Y. Keung, and U. Sukhatme, editors, *Supersymmetry and Integrable Models: Proceedings of Workshop on Supersymmetry and Integrable Models*. Springer-Verlag: Berlin, Germany, 1997.

- [18] A. Gangopadhyaya, J. V. Mallow, and U. P. Sukhatme. Translational Shape Invariance and the Inherent Potential Algebra. *Phys. Rev. A*, 58:4287 – 4292, 1998.
- [19] A. Gangopadhyaya, P.K. Panigrahi, and U. P. Sukhatme. Inter-Relations of Solvable Potentials. *Helv. Phys. Acta*, 67:363 – 368, 1994.
- [20] L. E. Gendenshtein. Derivation of Exact Spectra of the Schrödinger Equation by Means of Supersymmetry. *JETP Lett.*, 38:356–359, 1983.
- [21] L. E. Gendenshtein and I. V. Krive. Supersymmetry in Quantum Mechanics. *Sov. Phys. Usp.*, 28:645–666, 1985.
- [22] L. Infeld and T. E. Hull. The Factorization Method. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 23:21–68, 1951.
- [23] G. Lévai. Solvable Potentials Derived from Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics. In H. V. von Geramb, editor, *Quantum Inversion Theory and Applications*, pages 107–126, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1994. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [24] W. Miller. *Lie Theory and Special Functions*. Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [25] G. A. Nathanzon. Study of the one-dimensional schroödinger equation generated from the hypergeometric equation. *Vestnik Leningradskoyo Universiteta*, pages 22–28, 1971.
- [26] S. Odake and R. Sasaki. Infinitely Many Shape Invariant Discrete Quantum Mechanical Systems and New Exceptional Orthogonal Polynomials Related to the Wilson and Askey-Wilson Polynomials. *Phys. Lett. B*, 682:130–136, 2009.
- [27] S. Odake and R. Sasaki. Another Set of Infinitely Many Exceptional ( $X_\ell$ ) Laguerre Polynomials. *Phys. Lett. B*, 684:173–176, 2010.
- [28] S. Odake and R. Sasaki. Exactly Solvable Quantum Mechanics and Infinite Families of Multi-Indexed Orthogonal Polynomials. *Phys. Lett. B*, 702:164–170, 2011.
- [29] S. Odake and R. Sasaki. Extensions of Solvable Potentials with Finitely Many Discrete Eigenstates. *J. Phys. A*, 46:235205, 2013.
- [30] C. Quesne. Exceptional Orthogonal Polynomials, Exactly Solvable Potentials and Supersymmetry. *J. Phys. A*, 41:392001, 2008.
- [31] C. Quesne. Solvable Rational Potentials and Exceptional Orthogonal Polynomials in Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics. *Sigma*, 5:084, 2009.
- [32] C. Quesne. Novel Enlarged Shape Invariance Property and Exactly Solvable Rational Extensions of the Rosen-Morse II and Eckart Potentials. *Sigma*, 8:080, 2012.

- [33] C. Quesne. Revisiting (Quasi-)Exactly Solvable Rational Extensions of the Morse Potential. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, 27:1250073, 2012.
- [34] Arturo Ramos. On the new translational shape-invariant potentials. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 44(34):342001, aug 2011.
- [35] S. S. Ranjani, P. K. Panigrahi, A. K. Kapoor, A. Khare, and A. Gangopadhyaya. Exceptional Orthogonal Polynomials, QHJ Formalism and SWKB Quantization. *J. Phys. A*, 45:055210, 2012.
- [36] P. Solomonson and J. W. Van Holten. Fermionic Coordinates and Supersymmetry in Quantum Mechanics. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 196:509–531, 1982.
- [37] T. Tanaka. N-Fold Supersymmetry and Quasi-Solvability Associated With X-2-Laguerre Polynomials. *J. Math. Phys.*, 51:032101, 2010.
- [38] E. Witten. Dynamical Breaking of Supersymmetry. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 185:513–554, 1981.