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Abstract. Let \( F \) be a non-archimedean local field with residue characteristic \( p \). Let \( W(k) \) denote the Witt vectors of an algebraically closed field \( k \) of characteristic \( \ell \neq p \), and let \( Z \) be the spherical Hecke algebra for \( GL_n(F) \) over \( W(k) \). Given a Hecke character \( \lambda : Z \to R \), where \( R \) is an arbitrary \( W(k) \)-algebra, we introduce the universal unramified module \( M_{\lambda,R} \). We show \( M_{\lambda,R} \) embeds in its Whittaker space and is flat over \( R \), resolving a conjecture of Lazarus. It follows that \( M_{\lambda,k} \) has the same semisimplification as any unramified principle series with Hecke character \( \lambda \).

In [CHT08], Clozel, Harris, and Taylor formulate a conjectural analogue of Ihara’s lemma in the setting of mod-\( \ell \) automorphic forms. It predicts that every irreducible submodule of a certain cyclic module \( V \) of mod-\( \ell \) automorphic forms is generic. Using our result on \( M_{\lambda,k} \) we reduce the Ihara conjecture to the statement that \( V \) is generic.

1. The universal unramified module

Let \( F \) be a non-archimedean local field with residue field of order \( q \), a power of \( p \), and ring of integers \( \mathcal{O}_F \). Let \( G := GL_n(F) \) and let \( K := GL_n(\mathcal{O}_F) \). Let \( \ell \neq p \) be a prime, let \( k \) be an algebraically closed field of characteristic \( \ell \) or zero, and let \( W(k) \) denote the Witt vectors of \( k \).

Given a \( W(k)[G] \)-module \( V \) (always presumed to be smooth), the spherical Hecke algebra \( \mathcal{Z} := W(k)[K\backslash G/K] \) acts on the submodule \( V^K \) of \( K \)-fixed vectors via double-coset operators. Denote this action by \(*\).

Let \( \mathcal{M} := W(k)[G/K] \) be the module of finitely supported functions on the set \( G/K \), with \( G \) acting by left-translation. Then \( \mathcal{M} \) admits a natural action of \( \mathcal{Z} \) and, in fact, the map \( \mathcal{Z} \to \text{End}_{W(k)[G]}(\mathcal{M}) \) is an isomorphism ([Laz98, Prop 1.16]).

Let \( R \) be a \( W(k) \)-algebra and \( \lambda : \mathcal{Z} \to R \) a homomorphism. We define the universal unramified module for \( \lambda \):

\[
\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,R} := W(k)[G/K] \otimes_{\mathcal{Z},\lambda} R.
\]

It is universal in the following sense. For any \( R[G] \)-module \( V \), denote

\[
V^{K,\lambda} := \{v \in V^K : z \ast v = \lambda(z)v \text{ for all } z \in \mathcal{Z}\}.
\]

There is an isomorphism \( \text{Hom}_{R[G]}(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,R}, V) \cong V^{K,\lambda} \), where the map \( 1_K \otimes 1 \mapsto v \) corresponds to \( v \in V^{K,\lambda} \).

The module \( \mathcal{M}_{\lambda,R} \) has been studied in [Kat81, SL96, Laz98, BO03, CHT08, Gro14]. It was applied in [SL96, CHT08] in the global setting of mod-\( \ell \) automorphic representations. In this article we will examine the Whittaker model of \( \mathcal{M}_{\lambda,R} \) and
apply our findings toward several outstanding questions in both the local and global
settings.
Fix a nontrivial additive character $\psi : F \to W(k)^\times$, and let $\psi$ also denote the
usual extension of $\psi$ to a nondegenerate character $U \to W(k)^\times$, where $U$ is the
subgroup of unipotent upper-triangular matrices. If $E$ is any $W(k)$-module, let $\psi_E$
denote the module $E$ with an action of $U$ via $\psi$. The set of $E$-valued Whittaker
functions,
$$\text{Ind}_U^G \psi_E := \{ W : G \to E : W(ug) = \psi(u)W(g), \ u \in U, \ g \in G \},$$
has the property that $\text{Ind}_U^G \psi_E \cong (\text{Ind}_U^G \psi_{W(k)}) \otimes_{W(k)} E$.
Suppose $E$ is an $R$-module. The Shintani formula for spherical Whittaker func-
tions ([Shi76]) implies that the map
$$\text{ev}_1 : \text{Ind}_U^G \psi_E \to E$$
$$W \mapsto W(1)$$
induces an isomorphism $(\text{Ind}_U^G \psi_E)^{K,\lambda} \cong E$ (see Section 2 for details). For each $e \in E$ we let $W^0_{\lambda,e}$ denote the unique element of $(\text{Ind}_U^G \psi_E)^{K,\lambda}$ such that $W^0_{\lambda,e}(1) = e$.
Using the universal property of $M$, we obtain a canonical morphism
$$\Lambda_E : M_{\lambda,R} \otimes_R E \to \text{Ind}_U^G \psi_E$$
given by mapping $(1_K \otimes 1) \otimes e$ to $W^0_{\lambda,e}$. Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $\lambda : Z \to R$ be a homomorphism of $W(k)$-algebras, and let $E$
be a finitely generated $R$-module. The natural map $\Lambda_E : M_{\lambda,R} \otimes_R E \to \text{Ind}_U^G \psi_E$ is injective.

When $n = 1$, $M$ can be identified with the universal unramified character of $F^\times$ with coefficients in $Z$, and the result is immediate. When $n = 2$ and $R = k$
the structure of $M_{\lambda,k}$ was described by Serre using Bruhat–Tits theory ([SL96])
and Theorem 1.1 can be easily deduced from Serre’s description using the fact that
irreducible representations of $GL_2(F)$ are generic if and only if they are infinite-
dimensional. When $n = 3$, the structure of $M_{\lambda,R}$ was studied in [BO03, Gro14].
Theorem 1.1 was tentatively conjectured by Clozel, Harris, and Taylor, when $E = R = k$
([CHT08, p.140, bottom]).

In the next three subsections we describe some striking consequences of Theo-
rem 1.1 when $E = R = k$.

1.1. **Representations generated by a spherical Hecke eigenvector.** We say a $k[G]$-module is *generic* if it admits a nonzero homomorphism to $\text{Ind}_U^G \psi_k$.

**Definition 1.2 ([EH12]).** A $k[G]$-module $\pi$ is essentially absolutely irreducible
generic (essentially AIG for short) if $\pi$ is admissible, if $\dim \text{Hom}(\pi, \text{Ind}_U^G \psi_k) = 1$,
and if $\pi$ embeds in $\text{Ind}_U^G \psi_k$.

Essentially AIG representations were studied in [EH12, §3.2] in the context of
formulating the local Langlands correspondence in families. They satisfy Schur’s
lemma, are indecomposable, and have finite length (c.f. [Hel16b, Cor 5.5]). By
combining Theorem 1.1 with Corollary 3.1 and Proposition 4.1 below, we deduce
the following.

**Corollary 1.3.** For any homomorphism $\lambda : Z \to k$, the $k[G]$-module $M_{\lambda,k}$ is
essentially AIG.
The universal property of $M_{\lambda,k}$ realizes any cyclic representation generated by a $\mathbb{Z}$-eigenvector as a quotient of $M_{\lambda,k}$. Indeed, if $V$ has a cyclic generator $v$ in $V^{K,\lambda}$, it is necessarily a quotient of $M_{\lambda,k}$ by the canonical surjection

$$p_v : M_{\lambda,k} \to V$$

$$1_K \otimes 1 \mapsto v,$$

and conversely.

**Corollary 1.4.** Suppose $V$ is a smooth $k[G]$-module such that

1. $V$ has a cyclic generator $v$ in $V^{K,\lambda}$ for some homomorphism $\lambda : \mathbb{Z} \to k$, and
2. $V$ is generic.

Then the canonical surjection $p_v : M_{\lambda,k} \to V$ is an isomorphism (in particular, $V$ has finite length).

**Proof.** Exactly one irreducible constituent of $M_{\lambda,k}$ is generic, and it is also the unique irreducible submodule. Thus $M_{\lambda,k}$ has no generic quotients, other than itself. □

1.2. Application to unramified principle series. Let $T$ be the diagonal torus and $B$ the standard Borel subgroup. Any map $\lambda : \mathbb{Z} \to k$ corresponds to a Weyl orbit of unramified characters $\chi : T \to k^\times$, and we can ask about the connection between $M_{\lambda,k}$ and the unramified principle series representations $i_G^B \chi$ as $\chi$ varies within the Weyl orbit. Each $i_G^B \chi$ has a unique generic constituent.

When the characteristic of $k$ is zero, every such orbit contains a $\chi$ such that $i_G^B \chi$ has an irreducible generic socle (it is the $\chi$ satisfying the “does-not-proceed” condition on segments [EH12 4.3.2]). This happens to be the same $\chi$ such that $i_G^B \chi$ is generated by its spherical vector ([Laz98 Prop 5.1]). Thus, in characteristic zero, Theorem 1.1 amounts to a precise statement about the structure of $i_G^B \chi$ as $\chi$ varies within a Weyl orbit.

However, when $k$ has positive characteristic, and when $i_G^B \chi$ is reducible, there may not be a character $\chi$ in the Weyl orbit such that $i_G^B \chi$ has an irreducible generic socle. For example, in the limit case $\ell > n$ and $q = 1 \mod \ell$, Vignéras has shown that $i_G^B \chi$ is always semisimple ([CHT08 Appendix B, Thm 1 (7)]), so $i_G^B \chi$ exhibits the essentially AIG structure of $M_{\lambda,k}$ if and only if it is irreducible.

Nonetheless, it was conjectured by Lazarus [Laz98 §2, Remarque] that there is an equality of Jordan–Holder suites:

$$JH(M_{\lambda,k}) = JH(i_G^B \chi).$$

This was already known in many cases: it appears in [Laz98] when the characteristic $\ell$ is banal for $G$, in [CHT08 Lemma 5.1.4] when $\ell$ is quasi-banal, and without restriction on the characteristic when $n = 3$ in [BO03] by using Bruhat–Tits theory. In Section 8 we deduce Lazarus’ conjecture from Theorem 1.1.

Actually, a stronger conjecture was made by Lazarus in 1999: for any $W(k)$-algebra $R$, the $R$-module $M_{\lambda,R}$ is flat (c.f. [BO03 §1.3]). Flatness of $M_{\lambda,R}$ is demonstrated when $n = 3$ in [BO03 Gro14], using Bruhat–Tits theory. In Section 7 we show how the flatness of $M_{\lambda,R}$ is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1.

**Corollary 1.5.** For any unramified character $\chi : T \to k^\times$, the following are equivalent:

- $\nu(H(M_{\lambda,k})) = \nu(H(i_G^B \chi))$.

This was already known in many cases: it appears in [Laz98] when the characteristic $\ell$ is banal for $G$, in [CHT08 Lemma 5.1.4] when $\ell$ is quasi-banal, and without restriction on the characteristic when $n = 3$ in [BO03] by using Bruhat–Tits theory. In Section 8 we deduce Lazarus’ conjecture from Theorem 1.1.

Actually, a stronger conjecture was made by Lazarus in 1999: for any $W(k)$-algebra $R$, the $R$-module $M_{\lambda,R}$ is flat (c.f. [BO03 §1.3]). Flatness of $M_{\lambda,R}$ is demonstrated when $n = 3$ in [BO03 Gro14], using Bruhat–Tits theory. In Section 7 we show how the flatness of $M_{\lambda,R}$ is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1.
(1) $i_G^B \chi$ is cyclic, generated by a spherical vector,
(2) the unique generic constituent of $i_G^B \chi$ occurs as a submodule,
(3) $i_G^B \chi$ is isomorphic to $M_\lambda$ where $\lambda: \mathbb{Z} \to k$ is the spherical Hecke character associated to $\chi$.

Proof. Take $v \in (i_G^B \chi)^K$, and let $p_v : M_{\lambda,k} \to i_G^B \chi$ be the map $1_K \otimes 1 \mapsto v$. Then:

$v$ is a cyclic generator for $i_G^B \chi \iff p_v$ is surjective
$\iff p_v$ is injective (since $JH(M_\lambda) = JH(i_G^B \chi)$)
$\iff$ the socle of $i_G^B \chi$ is irreducible generic.

Note that, when the characteristic of $k$ is positive, there may not exist a $\chi$ satisfying the equivalent conditions of Corollary 1.3.

$\mathcal{M}$ is precisely the compact induction $c$-Ind$_G^K \rho$, where $\rho$ is the trivial representation of $K$. It would be interesting to investigate whether the methods presented in this article could be extended to general reductive $p$-adic groups $G$, and to nontrivial $\rho$ (c.f. [Gro14]). This will be the subject of future work.

1.3. Application to Ihara’s lemma. In the global setting of mod-$\ell$ automorphic forms of [CHT08], Clozel, Harris, and Taylor formulate a conjecture known as “Ihara’s lemma” ([CHT08 Conjecture I]). It is easy to prove when $n = 2$, but is open for $n > 2$. Assuming the truth of Ihara’s lemma, the authors give a proof of a non-minimal $R = \mathbb{T}$ theorem. The weaker statement $R^{\text{red}} = \mathbb{T}$ where $R^{\text{red}}$ is the reduced quotient of $R$, was later obtained unconditionally using Taylor’s “Ihara avoidance” method ([Tay08]), and was enough for applications to the Sato–Tate conjecture. However, knowing the full $R = \mathbb{T}$ theorem would be more satisfying from a philosophical perspective, is predicted to have applications to special values of the adjoint $L$-function, and would imply that $R$ is a complete intersection. Ihara’s lemma itself is a conspicuous missing piece in the study of algebraic automorphic forms on unitary groups.

In Section 10 we apply Corollary 1.4 to reduce Ihara’s lemma to an easier statement. For the sake of this introduction, we give an informal summary of the punchline, postponing the detailed discussion until Section 10.

In this subsection, let $F_{w_0}$ be the completion at a place $w_0$ of the CM field $F$ appearing in the setting of [CHT08] (or Section 9 of this paper). Given a mod-$\ell$ automorphic form $f$ (as in [CHT08, 3.4]), having level $K = GL_n(O_{F,w_0})$ at the place $w_0$, one can form the cyclic $k[GL_n(F_{w_0})]$-submodule

$$\langle GL_n(F_{w_0}) \cdot f \rangle$$

inside the space of mod-$\ell$ automorphic forms having arbitrary level at $w_0$. If $f$ is an eigenform for a “non-Eisenstein” maximal ideal $m$ of a certain global Hecke algebra away from $w_0$, the Ihara conjecture predicts that all irreducible submodules of $\langle GL_n(F_{w_0}) \cdot f \rangle$ are generic (see Conjecture 10.1 below for the precise statement).

Corollary 1.4 gives two reformulations of the Ihara conjecture.

Corollary 1.6. The following are equivalent:

(1) $\langle GL_n(F_{w_0}) \cdot f \rangle$ has a unique irreducible submodule, which is generic, and has no other generic constituents,
(2) all irreducible submodules of \(<GL_n(F_{w_0}) \cdot f>\) are generic (i.e. the Ihara conjecture is true),
(3) \(<GL_n(F_{w_0}) \cdot f>\) is generic.

The implications (1) \(\implies\) (2) \(\implies\) (3) are immediate; the main point is (3) \(\implies\) (1). If \(f\) is an eigenform for a non-Eisenstein maximal ideal \(m\) of the Hecke algebra at split places away from \(w_0\), it turns out (by looking at the associated Galois representation and using Chebotarev) that \(f\) must also be an eigenvector for the action of the spherical Hecke algebra at \(w_0\) (this is shown in [CHT08]—see Theorem 10.2 below). In particular, there is a homomorphism
\[
Z := k[GL_n(O_{F,w_0}) \backslash GL_n(F_{w_0})/GL_n(O_{F,w_0})] \to k,
\]
depending on \(m\), such that \(z \ast f = \lambda(z)f\) for \(z \in Z\). Therefore, the representation<br>
\(<GL_n(F_{w_0}) \cdot f>\) satisfies conditions (1) and (2) of Corollary 1.4.

For the application of Ihara’s lemma to the \(R = T\) theorem in [CHT08] it suffices to know the truth of Ihara’s lemma in the quasi-banal setting: \(q \equiv 1 \mod \ell\) and \(\ell > n\), or \(\ell\) banal (c.f. [CHT08, Prop 5.3.5]). In the quasi-banal setting we give a sufficient condition for the genericity of \(<GL_n(F_{w_0}) \cdot f>\) in terms of the dimension of the span of the images of \(f\) under certain Iwahori–Hecke operators at \(w_0\) (c.f. Corollary 11.4).
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2. Local theory for \(GL_n(F)\): Whittaker functions of spherical Hecke eigenvectors

Let \(Z := W(k)[K\backslash G/K]\) be the spherical Hecke algebra over \(W(k)\), and let \(T^{(j)}\) denote the element of \(Z\) given by the \(K\)-double coset operator
\[
[\text{diag}(\varpi, \ldots, \varpi, 1, \ldots, 1)],
\]
\[j\text{ times}\]

Let \(E\) be a \(W(k)\)-module with a \(Z\)-module structure \(\lambda : Z \to \text{End}_{W(k)}(E)\). Given any \(n\)-tuple \(\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n\), we let \(\varpi^\mu\) denote the matrix \(\text{diag}(\varpi^{\mu_1}, \ldots, \varpi^{\mu_n})\). If \(W : G \to E\) is an element of \((\text{Ind}_U^G \psi_E)^K\), the Iwasawa decomposition shows it is entirely determined by its values on the set \(\{\varpi^\mu : \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}\).

Given a partition \(\mu\) of length \(n\), we define the Schur polynomial
\[
S_\mu(X_1, \ldots, X_n) := \frac{|(X_j^{\mu_i+n-i})_{i,j}|}{\prod_{i<j}(X_i - X_j)},
\]
It is a symmetric function in the variables \(X_1, \ldots, X_n\). If we let \(T_1, \ldots, T_n\) denote the elementary symmetric functions in the variables \(X_1, \ldots, X_n\), then \(T_1, \ldots, T_n\) generate the ring of symmetric functions, and thus we may write \(S_\mu\) as a polynomial in \(T_1, \ldots, T_n\) (this dictionary is given explicitly by the Jacobi–Trudi identities in combinatorics). We will let \(S_\mu(T_1, \ldots, T_n)\) denote the Schur polynomial \(S_\mu\) expressed as a polynomial in the \(T_i\)’s.
The following proposition is a generalization of the main result of [Shi76], and the proof is nearly identical.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $E$ be a $\mathcal{Z}$-module via $\lambda : \mathcal{Z} \to \text{End}_{W(k)}(E)$, let $W$ be an element of $(\text{Ind}_{G}^{G} \psi_{E})^{K}$. Suppose that for each $T^{(j)} \in W(k)[K \backslash G/K]$,

$$T^{(j)} \ast W = \lambda(T^{(j)})W.$$  

Then

$$W(\varpi^{\mu}) = q^{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(i-n)\mu_{i}}S_{\mu}(\lambda(T^{(1)}), \ldots, q^{i(i-1)/2}\lambda(T^{(2)}), \ldots, q^{n(n-1)/2}\lambda(T^{(n)})) \cdot W(1).$$

**Proof.** We will abbreviate $W(\mu) := W(\varpi^{\mu})$. Set $\tilde{W}(\mu) = q^{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(i-n)\mu_{i}}W(\mu)$. Let

$$I(j) := \{\epsilon \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} : \epsilon_{i} \in \{0, 1\} \text{ and } \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} = j\}.$$  

As a function $\mathbb{Z}^{n} \to E$, we claim that $\tilde{W}$ satisfies the following conditions:

1. $\tilde{W}((0, \ldots, 0)) = W((0, \ldots, 0))$
2. $\tilde{W}(\mu) = 0$ if $\mu$ is non-dominant,
3. $q^{(i-1)/2}\lambda(T^{(j)})\tilde{W}(\mu) = \sum_{\epsilon \in I(j)} \tilde{W}(\mu + \epsilon)$ if $\mu$ is dominant, for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

The first condition is obvious, and the second follows from the conductor of $\psi$ being 0.

For the third condition, set $N_{0} := N \cap K$ and $N_{0, e} := N_{0}/(N_{0} \cap \varpi^{e}K \varpi^{-e})$. Then by [Shi76 Sublemma], we have the following decomposition into single cosets:

$$K \varpi^{1}K = \bigcup_{i \in I(j)} \bigcup_{x \in N_{0}/N_{0, e}} x \varpi^{e}K.$$  

Since $T^{(j)} \ast W = \lambda(T^{(j)})W$, the third condition follows after computing the order of $N_{0}/N_{0, e}$ (cf. [Shi76 p.181]).

As in [Shi76 p.182] (or by an easy induction argument), a function $\tilde{W} : \mathbb{Z}^{n} \to E$ satisfying conditions (1), (2), and (3) is uniquely determined. Since the function

$$\mu \mapsto S_{\mu}(\lambda(T^{(1)}), \ldots, q^{i(i-1)/2}\lambda(T^{(2)}), \ldots, q^{n(n-1)/2}\lambda(T^{(n)})) \cdot W((0, \ldots, 0))$$

also satisfies (1), (2), and (3), we have proved the result. \qed

**Corollary 2.2.** Let $E$ be a $\mathcal{Z}$-module via a homomorphism $\lambda : \mathcal{Z} \to \text{End}_{W(k)}(E)$ and let $(\text{Ind}_{G}^{G} \psi_{E})^{K, \lambda}$ denote the subspace of $(\text{Ind}_{G}^{G} \psi_{E})^{K}$ consisting of functions $W$ such that $z \ast W = \lambda(z)W$ for all $z \in \mathcal{Z}$. Then the map $W \mapsto W(1)$ defines an isomorphism $(\text{Ind}_{G}^{G} \psi_{E})^{K, \lambda} \cong E$.

**Proof.** The injectivity is Proposition 2.1. The surjectivity is simply observing that, for any $e$ in $E$, the Whittaker function defined by the equation

$$W^{0}_{\lambda, e}(\varpi^{\mu}) := q^{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(i-n)\mu_{i}}S_{\mu}(\lambda(T^{(1)}), q\lambda(T^{(2)}), \ldots, q^{n(n-1)/2}\lambda(T^{(n)})) \cdot e$$

is a preimage of $e$ in the map $W \mapsto W(1)$. \qed

Given a $\mathcal{Z}$-module structure $\lambda : \mathcal{Z} \to \text{End}_{W(k)}(E)$, we can set $W(1) = e \in E$ and define the canonical element $W^{0}_{\lambda, e} : G \to E$ in $(\text{Ind}_{G}^{G} \psi_{E})^{K, \lambda}$ by specifying:

$$W^{0}_{\lambda, e}(\varpi^{\mu}) := q^{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(i-n)\mu_{i}}S_{\mu}(\lambda(T^{(1)}), q\lambda(T^{(2)}), \ldots, q^{n(n-1)/2}\lambda(T^{(n)})) \cdot e.$$  

As part of an induction argument below, we will require a version of Corollary 2.2 for Levi subgroups. Let $P = MN$ be a proper parabolic subgroup of $G$ with Levi

---
Let $\mathcal{Z}_M := K \cap M$ and denote by $\mathcal{Z}_M$ the ring $W(k)[K_M \backslash G/K_M]$. If $V$ is a smooth $W(k)[M]$-module, $Z_M$ acts via double-coset operators on the $K_M$-invariants $V^{K_M}$. Given $z \in \mathcal{Z}_M$, we denote this action by $z \ast v$, for $v \in V^{K_M}$. There is a natural inclusion $\iota: Z \to \mathcal{Z}_M$, which can be realized as the inclusion $W(k)[X_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, X_n^{\pm 1}]^{S_n} \hookrightarrow W(k)[X_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, X_n^{\pm 1}]^{W_M}$, where $W_M$ is the subgroup of the Weyl group $W_G \cong S_n$, corresponding to the Levi $M$.

For a $\mathcal{Z}_M$-module $\lambda: \mathcal{Z}_M \to \text{End}_{W(k)}(E')$, we will consider the space

$$(\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{U}_M}^M \psi_{E'})_{\lambda, \lambda} := \{W \in (\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{U}_M}^M \psi_{E'})^{\mathcal{Z}_M} : z \ast W = \lambda(z)W \text{ for all } z \in \mathcal{Z}_M\}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** The map $W \mapsto W(1)$ defines an isomorphism

$$(\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{U}_M}^M \psi_{E'})_{\lambda, \lambda} \cong E'.
$$

**Proof.** By the Iwasawa decomposition applied to $M$, any element $W \in (\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{U}_M}^M \psi_{E'})^{\mathcal{Z}_M}$ is determined by its values on weights which are dominant in each Levi component. If $M = GL_{n_1} \times \cdots \times GL_{n_r}$, we can identify $\mathcal{Z}_M = \mathcal{Z}_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{Z}_{n_r}$, where $\mathcal{Z}_{n_i}$ is the spherical Hecke algebra for $GL_{n_i}(F)$. The result then follows from the same argument as in Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 2.2, applied to each Levi factor. □

Let $\lambda: \mathcal{Z} \to R$ be a homomorphism, define $R' := \mathcal{Z}_M \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} R$, and let $\lambda': \mathcal{Z}_M \to R'$ be the induced homomorphism. If $E$ is an $R$-module, define $E' := E \otimes_R R'$. Given $e' \in E'$, let $W_{\lambda, e'}^{(0, M)}$ denote the preimage of $e'$ in the isomorphism of Equation 1.

### 3. Derivative of the universal module

Given a $W(k)$-algebra $R$, we define the functor $(-)^{(n)}: R[G]-\text{Mod} \to R-\text{Mod}$ to be the $U, \psi$-coinvariants,

$$V^{(n)} := V_{U, \psi} := V/V(U, \psi).$$

This is the $n$'th “derivative” of the Bernstein–Zelevinsky formalism introduced in [BZ77].

The derivative is exact and well-behaved with respect to change of scalars. Given any $W(k)$-module $E$, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\text{(Ind}_{U}^G \psi_{W(k)}) \otimes_{W(k)} E \cong \text{Ind}_{U}^G \psi_{E},$$

given by sending $W \otimes e$ to $W \cdot e$. The functor $(-)^{(n)}$ satisfies $(V \otimes_{W(k)} E)^{(n)} \cong V^{(n)} \otimes_{W(k)} E$.

Considering $W(k)[G/K]$ as a $Z[G]$-module, we can compute its $n$’th derivative.

**Corollary 3.1.** The $Z$-module $(W(k)[G/K])^{(n)}$ is free of rank one. In particular, $(M_{\lambda, R})^{(n)} \cong R$, and if $E$ is a $Z$-module, then $(W(k)[G/K] \otimes_Z E)^{(n)} \cong E$.

**Proof.** Let $\text{id}: Z \to Z$ be the identity map. By Corollary 2.2, the space $Z \cdot W_{\text{id}}^{(0, 1)} = (\text{Ind}_{U}^G \psi_Z)^{Z, \text{id}}$ is a free $Z$-module of rank 1. On the other hand, by the universal property of the universal unramified module $W(k)[G/K]$, we have

$$\text{(Ind}_{U}^G \psi_Z)^{Z, \text{id}} \cong \text{Hom}_Z(W(k)[G/K], \text{Ind}_{U}^G \psi_Z) = \text{Hom}_Z(W(k)[G/K]^{(n)}, Z)$$

It follows that $W(k)[G/K]^{(n)}$ is free of rank one as a $Z$-module. □
4. Admissibility of the universal module

Recall that \( Z \rightarrow \text{End}_{W(k)[G]}(W(k)[G/K]) \) is an isomorphism ([Laz98, Prop 1.16]).

**Proposition 4.1.** \( M_{\lambda,R} \) is admissible as an \( R[G] \)-module for any \( R \).

**Proof.** We only need to prove it when \( R = Z \) since admissibility is preserved by extension of scalars.

This follows from the results of [Hel10a]. More precisely, it is proven in loc. cit. that there exists a faithfully projective object \( \mathcal{P}_{[L,\pi]} \) in each block \( \text{Rep}_{W(k)}(G) \) of smooth \( W(k)[G] \)-modules. Moreover, \( \mathcal{P}_{[L,\pi]} \) is admissible over the categorical center \( A_{[L,\pi]} \) of the block. Any object in \( \text{Rep}_{W(k)}(G) \) that is finitely generated as a \( W(k)[G] \)-module must live in a finite collection of blocks, and the summand in each block is again finitely generated. The summand in the block \( \text{Rep}_{W(k)}(G)_{[L,\pi]} \) thus admits a presentation as a quotient of a finite direct sum of copies of \( \mathcal{P}_{[L,\pi]} \), hence is admissible over \( A_{[L,\pi]} \). It follows that any finitely generated \( W(k)[G] \)-module is admissible as an \( A[G] \)-module, where \( A \) is the center of the category \( \text{Rep}_{W(k)}(G) \).

In particular, since \( W(k)[G/K] \) is a cyclic \( W(k)[G] \)-module, it is admissible as an \( A[G] \)-module.

Since the map \( Z \rightarrow \text{End}_{W(k)[G]}(W(k)[G/K]) \) is an isomorphism, the action of \( A \) on \( W(k)[G/K] \) factors through a ring homomorphism \( A \rightarrow Z \). If \( H \) is any compact open subgroup and \( v_1, \ldots, v_r \) is a set of generators for \( W(k)[G/K]^H \) as an \( A \)-module, then \( v_1, \ldots, v_r \) is also a set of generators as a \( Z \)-module. \( \square \)

5. A Jacquet module calculation

Let \( P = MN \) be a proper parabolic subgroup of \( G \), with Levi component \( M \) and unipotent radical \( N \). If \( R \) is a \( W(k) \)-algebra, let \( r_P^G : R[G]-\text{Mod} \rightarrow R[M]-\text{Mod} \) be the un-normalized parabolic restriction functor, and for any \( V \in R[G]-\text{Mod} \), we let \( p_N : V \rightarrow r_P^G V \) denote the canonical quotient map of \( R[M]-\text{modules} \).

**Lemma 5.1** ([Vig96] II.3.1). If \( I \) is the Iwahori subgroup of \( K \), and \( I_M := I \cap M \), then for any \( R[G]-\text{module} \) \( V \), the map \( p_N \) induces an injection \( V^I \hookrightarrow (r_P^G V)^I \).

**Lemma 5.2.**

1. There is a map \( \Phi : W(k)[G/K] \rightarrow W(k)[M/K_M] \) which is surjective and induces an isomorphism of \( Z[M]-\text{modules} \)

\[ r_P^G(W(k)[G/K]) \cong W(k)[M/K_M]. \]

Moreover, \( \Phi(1_K) = 1_{K_M} \).

2. Given any \( W(k) \)-algebra \( R \), there is a map \( \Phi_P^G : \text{Ind}_{U}^G \psi_R \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{U_M}^M \psi_R \) which is surjective and induces an isomorphism of \( R[M]-\text{modules} \)

\[ r_P^G(\text{Ind}_{U}^G \psi_R) \cong \text{Ind}_{U_M}^M \psi_R. \]

3. There exist morphisms \( \Phi_P^G \) as in (2) that commute with arbitrary extension of scalars, i.e. given a homomorphism \( \lambda : R \rightarrow R' \), the following diagram
commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Ind}^G_U \psi_R \xrightarrow{\Phi'_R} \text{Ind}^M_{U,M} \psi_R \\
\bigg\downarrow \lambda_0(-) \quad \bigg\downarrow \lambda_0(-)
\end{array}
\]

(4) Let \( \lambda : R \to R' \) be any homomorphism of commutative \( W(k) \)-algebras, and let \( W^0 \) be an element of \( \text{Ind}^G_U \psi_R \) satisfying \( W^0(1) = 1 \). If we consider \( \Phi'_R(W^0) \in \text{Ind}^M_{U,M} \psi_R \) as a function \( M \to R, \) then \( \lambda \circ \Phi'_R(W^0) \) is nonzero.

Proof. For part (1) we turn to [BK98, §10], or [Kat81, 2.3] for the normalized version. First, note that the map given in [BK98, Lemma 10.3] by

\[
(\Phi f)(m) = \delta_N(m) \int_N f(mn) \, dn, \quad \text{for } m \in M
\]

makes sense over the base ring \( W(k) \), since \( W(k) \) contains a square root of \( q \). Its \( Z \)-equivariance is immediate. The proof that it induces an isomorphism

\[
r^G_U(W(k)[G/K]) \cong W(k)[M/K_M]
\]

exactly follows the proof of [BK98, Lemma 10.3] except it is simpler because we are in the special case where the representation of \( K \) under consideration is the trivial character on \( W(k) \). The fact that \( \Phi(1_K) = 1_{K_M} \) follows directly from the explicit description of the map \( \Phi \).

Part (2) is essentially Equation (2.4.2) in [BH03], but several things must be verified in our more general setting. To start, we would like to establish the existence of \( \Phi_W(k) : \text{Ind}^G_U \psi_W(k) \to \text{Ind}^M_{U,M} \psi_W(k) \). First, we note that the method of [BZ77] §5 carries over verbatim when the coefficient field \( \mathbb{C} \) is replaced with the ring \( W(k) \). Therefore, the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [BH03] remains valid over \( W(k) \). To go from [BH03 Thm 2.2] to an isomorphism \( r^G_U(\text{Ind}^G_U \psi_W(k)) \cong \text{Ind}^M_{U,M} \psi_W(k) \), the only remaining ingredient is Bernstein’s second adjointness theorem, which is known in the setting of \( W(k)[G]-\text{modules} \) for example by [He16a Thm 11.17].

Given a morphism of \( W(k) \)-algebras \( R \to R' \) and an \( R[G]-\text{module} \), it follows immediately from the definitions that \( (r^G_U V) \otimes_R R' = r^G_U (V \otimes_R R') \), \( \text{Ind}^G_U \psi_R \) and \( \text{Ind}^M_{U,M} \psi_R \) satisfy a similar compatibility by Equation (2). Thus we can tensor the maps of \( W(k)[M]-\text{modules} \)

\[
\text{Ind}^G_U \psi_W(k) \to r^G_U(\text{Ind}^G_U \psi_W(k)) \cong \text{Ind}^M_{U,M} \psi_W(k)
\]

with \( R \) to define \( \Phi'_R \) as the composition \( \text{Ind}^G_U \psi_R \to r^G_U(\text{Ind}^G_U \psi_R) \cong \text{Ind}^M_{U,M} \psi_R \).

For part (3), we note that \( \Phi'_R \) and \( \Phi'_{R'} \) have been constructed so that the following diagram commutes,

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Ind}^G_U \psi_R \xrightarrow{\Phi'_R} \text{Ind}^M_{U,M} \psi_R \\
\bigg\downarrow \xrightarrow{\Phi'_R \otimes \text{id}} \\
(\text{Ind}^G_U \psi_R) \otimes_R R' \xrightarrow{\Phi'_{R'} \otimes \text{id}} (\text{Ind}^M_{U,M} \psi_R) \otimes_R R' \\
\bigg\downarrow \cong, \text{Eq. (2)} \\
\text{Ind}^G_U \psi_R \xrightarrow{\Phi'_{R'}} \text{Ind}^M_{U,M} \psi_R',
\end{array}
\]

as desired.
and the composition of the two vertical arrows is given by $W \mapsto \Lambda \circ W$.

For part (4), we have $(\lambda \circ W^0)(1) = \Lambda(1) = 1$. Hence $\lambda \circ W^0$ is a nonzero element of $(\text{Ind}^E_U \psi^0_R)^I$. By Lemma 5.1, $\Phi'_{R'}(\lambda \circ W^0)$ is nonzero. On the other hand, $\Phi'_k(\lambda \circ W^0) = \lambda \circ \Phi'_k(W^0)$ by Lemma 5.2 (3). □

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, $\lambda : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a homomorphism of $W(k)$-algebras, and $E$ is a finitely generated $R$-module. We use the notation

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,E} := \mathcal{M}_{\lambda,R} \otimes_R E = W(k)[G/K] \otimes \mathbb{E} E.$$ 

Our goal is to prove the injectivity of the natural map of $R[G]$-modules defined in Section 2.

$$\Lambda_E : \mathcal{M}_{\lambda,E} \to \text{Ind}^E_U \psi_E$$

$$1_k \otimes e \mapsto W^0_{\lambda,e}.$$ 

The strategy for proving Theorem 1.1 will be to use induction, combined with the results of Section 5. The largest technical obstacle is showing that $\Lambda_E$ is compatible with parabolic restriction, in the following sense. On one hand, there is the map $\Psi$ such that the following diagram of $\mathbb{Z}[M]$-modules commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
W(k)[G/K] & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_E} & \text{Ind}^E_U \psi_E \\
| & \Phi \downarrow & | \\
W(k)[M/K_M] & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \text{Ind}^M_{U_M} \psi_Z,
\end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are given by Lemma 5.2. Thus $\Psi$ is the composition

$$W(k)[M/K_M] \cong r^G_P(W(k)[G/K]) \xrightarrow{r^G_P \Lambda_E} r^G_P(\text{Ind}^G_U \psi_E) \cong \text{Ind}^M_{U_M} \psi_Z.$$ 

In particular, we have $\Psi(1_{K_M}) = \Phi'_0(W^0_{1_{1,1}})$.

On the other hand, there is the canonical map

$$\Lambda^M_{Z_M} : W(k)[M/K_M] \to \text{Ind}^G_U \psi_{Z_M}$$

$$1_{K_M} \mapsto W^0_{1_{id,1}},$$

where $id : Z_M \to Z_M$ is the identity map and $W^0_{1_{id,1}}$ is the canonical element of $(\text{Ind}^M_{U_M} \psi_{Z_M})^K_{id,1}$ satisfying $W^0_{1_{id,1}}(1) = 1$, given by Corollary 2.3. The two maps agree in the following sense.

**Proposition 6.1.** The composition $W(k)[M/K_M] \xrightarrow{\Psi} \text{Ind}^M_{U_M} \psi_Z \xrightarrow{\Lambda^M_{Z_M}} \text{Ind}^M_{U_M} \psi_{Z_M}$ differs from $\Lambda^M_{Z_M}$ by multiplication by a unit in $Z_M$.

Before proving Proposition 6.1 we require some preparation. Let $\kappa := \text{Frac}(\mathbb{Z})$ denote the fraction field of $\mathbb{Z}$. We will make repeated use of the fact that $\text{Frac}(Z_M) = Z_M \otimes \mathbb{Z} \kappa$. In particular, if a $Z_M$-module is torsion-free when restricted to $\mathbb{Z}$, it is also torsion-free as a $Z_M$-module.

Denote

$$(\text{Ind}^M_{U_M} \psi_{\kappa})^{K,M}_{(Z,\kappa)=(Z,\kappa)} := \{ v \in (\text{Ind}^M_{U_M} \psi_{\kappa})^{K,M} : z \cdot v = z \cdot v, \ z \in \mathbb{Z} \}.$$ 

Let $id : Z \to Z$ denote the identity map, and let $W^0$ denote the canonical element of $(\text{Ind}^G_U \psi_Z)^{K,\text{id}}$ satisfying $W^0(1) = 1$ given by Lemma 2.1.
Lemma 6.2. If we consider $W^0$ as an element of $\text{Ind}_{U}^{G} \psi_{\kappa}$ via the inclusion $Z \hookrightarrow \kappa$, then

$$(\text{Ind}_{U}^{M} \psi_{\kappa})^{1M}(Z,*)=(Z,*)=(Z_{M} \ast W^0|_{M}) \otimes_{Z} \kappa.$$  

Proof. First, we will prove that the larger space $(\text{Ind}_{U}^{M} \psi_{\kappa})^{I_{M},(Z,*)=(Z,*)}$ is equivalent to $(Z_{T} \ast W^0|_{M}) \otimes_{Z} \kappa$, where $T$ is the maximal torus.

We have $I_{T} = K_{T} = T \cap K$. An element of $(\text{Ind}_{T}^{T} \psi_{\kappa})^{K_{T}}$ is a smooth function on the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{*n}$. It is proved in [Laz98, Thm 3.2] that adding the additional condition that the actions $(Z,*)$ and $(Z,\cdot)$ be equivalent cuts out a space of dimension $n!$ over $\kappa$.

Over $\kappa$, the injection of Lemma 5.1 is an isomorphism of $\kappa$-vector spaces, which is equivariant with respect to the action of $Z_{M}$ via $\ast$. Combined with Lemma 5.2(2), this shows that

$$(\text{Ind}_{U}^{M} \psi_{\kappa})^{I_{M}}=(\text{Ind}_{T}^{I_{1}} \psi_{\kappa})^{I_{T}}$$

as $\kappa$-vector spaces and as $Z_{M}$-modules via $\ast$. Viewing $Z$ as a subring of $Z_{M}$ we have

$$(\text{Ind}_{U}^{M} \psi_{\kappa})^{I_{M},(Z,*)=(Z,*)}=(\text{Ind}_{T}^{I_{1}} \psi_{\kappa})^{I_{T},(Z,*)=(Z,*)}=(\text{Ind}_{T}^{I_{1}} \psi_{\kappa})^{K_{T},(Z,*)=(Z,*)},$$

so the space $(\text{Ind}_{U}^{M} \psi_{\kappa})^{I_{M},(Z,*)=(Z,*)}$ has dimension $n!$ over $\kappa$.

On the other hand, we already have an element $W^0|_{M}$ of

$$(\text{Ind}_{U}^{M} \psi_{Z})^{K_{M},(Z,*)=(Z,*)}.$$  

We can form the cyclic $Z_{T}$-submodule $Z_{T} \ast (W^0|_{M})$ of $(\text{Ind}_{U}^{M} \psi_{Z})^{I_{M},(Z,*)=(Z,*)}$ generated by $W^0|_{M}$. Since the action of $Z$ on $(\text{Ind}_{U}^{M} \psi_{Z})^{I_{M},(Z,*)=(Z,*)}$ via $\ast$ is torsion-free, so is the action of $Z$ via $\ast$. Since Frac($Z_{T}$) = Frac($Z$) $\otimes_{Z} Z_{T}$, it follows that the action of $Z_{T}$ on $(\text{Ind}_{U}^{M} \psi_{Z})^{I_{M},(Z,*)=(Z,*)}$ via $\ast$ is also torsion-free. Hence the cyclic module $Z_{T} \ast (W^0|_{M})$ is free of rank one over $Z_{T}$. We have

$$(\text{Ind}_{U}^{M} \psi_{Z})^{I_{M},(Z,*)=(Z,*)} \otimes_{Z} \kappa = (\text{Ind}_{U}^{M} \psi_{\kappa})^{I_{M},(Z,*)=(Z,*)},$$

and in particular

$$(Z_{T} \ast W^0|_{M}) \otimes_{Z} \kappa \cong Z_{T} \otimes_{Z} \kappa \cong \text{Frac}(Z_{T}),$$

which has dimension $n!$ over $\kappa$. We conclude that

$$(\text{Ind}_{U}^{M} \psi_{\kappa})^{I_{M},(Z,*)=(Z,*)}=(Z_{T} \ast W^0|_{M}) \otimes_{Z} \kappa \cong Z_{T} \otimes_{Z} \kappa = Z_{T} \otimes_{Z_{M}} \kappa.$$  

It remains to prove the following:

Claim: The intersection of the module $(Z_{T} \ast W^0|_{M}) \otimes_{Z} \kappa \cong Z_{T} \otimes_{Z} \kappa$ with $(\text{Ind}_{U}^{G} \psi_{\kappa})^{K_{M}}$ is the sub-($Z_{M} \otimes \kappa$)-module given by $(Z_{M} \ast W^0|_{M}) \otimes_{Z} \kappa \cong Z_{M} \otimes_{Z} \kappa$.

Recall that $\kappa$ is the fraction field of $W(k)$, and we have

$$Z_{T} \otimes_{Z} \kappa = (Z_{T} \otimes_{W(k)} \kappa) \otimes_{Z} \otimes_{W(k)} \kappa.$$  

For the rest of the proof we will ease notation by writing $Z$ and $Z_{T}$ when we actually mean $Z \otimes_{W(k)} \kappa$ and $Z_{T} \otimes_{W(k)} \kappa$.

The Iwahori-Hecke algebra $H(M, I_{M})_{\kappa} := H(M, I_{M}) \otimes_{W(k)} \kappa$ is the group ring $\kappa[(T/T(O_{F})) \rtimes W_{M}]$, where $W_{M}$ acts on $T/T(O_{F})$ according to the Bernstein relations. The center of $H(M, I_{M})$ is $Z \cong \kappa[T/T(O_{F})]^{W_{G}}$ and $H(M, I_{M})$ is given as a $(Z_{T}, H_{W_{M}})$-bimodule by $Z_{T} \otimes_{Z} H_{W_{M}}$. 
Thus the cyclic $\mathcal{H}(M, I_M)_{\kappa}$-module generated by $W^0|_M$ is given by $(Z_T \otimes \mathcal{H}(M, I_M)_{\kappa} \otimes \mathcal{H}(M, I_M)_{\kappa}) \mathcal{H}(M, I_M)_{\kappa}$ acts trivially on $W^0|_M$. Hence we have the following isomorphisms of $\mathcal{H}(M, I_M)_{\kappa}$-modules:

$$(\mathcal{H}(M, I_M)_{\kappa} \otimes W^0|_M = Z_T \otimes W^0|_M \cong \mathcal{H}(M, I_M)_{\kappa} \otimes \mathcal{H}(M, I_M)_{\kappa} \otimes \mathcal{H}(M, I_M)_{\kappa} \text{triv},$$

where triv denotes the trivial character $\mathcal{H}(M, I_M)_{\kappa} \to \kappa : w \mapsto q^{I(w)}$ of $\mathcal{H}(M, I_M)_{\kappa}$.

On the other hand, $\mathcal{K}[I_M \setminus M/K_M]$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{K}[I_M \setminus M/K_M]$, the latter of which is isomorphic as an $\mathcal{H}(M, I_M)_{\kappa}$-module to $\mathcal{H}(M, I_M)_{\kappa}$. In this way, $\mathcal{K}[I_M \setminus M/K_M]$ inherits the structure of a cyclic module over $\mathcal{H}(M, I_M)_{\kappa}$, generated by $1_{K_M}$ (c.f. Prop 11.2 below). It follows that $\mathcal{K}[I_M \setminus M/K_M] \cong \mathcal{H}(M, I_M)_{\kappa} \otimes \mathcal{H}(M, I_M)_{\kappa} \otimes Z_T \otimes W^0|_M$

as $\mathcal{H}(M, I_M)_{\kappa}$-modules, with $1_{K_M}$ being sent to $W^0|_M$.

Now we extend scalars from $Z$ to $\kappa$ and compute the $K_M$-fixed vectors. We have $\mathcal{K}[I_M \setminus M/K_M] \otimes Z \kappa = (\mathcal{K}[I_M \setminus M/K_M] \otimes Z \kappa)^{K_M}$

c.f. the proof of [CHT08] (Lemma 5.1.4), we conclude that $\mathcal{K}[I_M \setminus M/K_M] \otimes Z \kappa \cong (\mathcal{K}[I_M \setminus M/K_M] \otimes Z \kappa)^{K_M}$

$\cong \mathcal{H}(M, K_M)_{\kappa} \otimes Z \kappa \subset \mathcal{H}(M, I_M)_{\kappa} \otimes Z \kappa$.

It follows that $((Z_T \otimes W^0|_M) \otimes Z \kappa)^{K_M} = (Z_M \otimes W^0|_M) \otimes Z \kappa$.

\[\square\]

**Proof of Proposition 6.1.** Since $\Psi$ is a map of $\mathcal{Z}[M]$-modules, $\Psi(1_{K_M})$ defines an element of the space 

$$(\text{Ind}_{U_M}^M \psi_{Z_M})^{K_M, (Z, \ast) = (Z, \ast)}$$

defined in Lemma 5.2. By the conclusion of Lemma 5.2 there is an element $z$ of $Z_M$ such that $\Psi(1_{K_M}) = z \ast W^0|_M$. If we consider $W^0|_M$ as an element of $\text{Ind}_{U_M}^M \psi_{Z_M}$ via the inclusion $Z \to Z_M$, we have $\iota(\Psi(1_{K_M})) = z \ast W^0|_M$. Since $\iota(\Psi(1_{K_M})) = \Phi(z)(W^0|_{\text{id}_{1,1}})$, Lemma 5.2(4) tells us that $z$ is nonzero.

On the other hand, the canonical element element $W^0, M_{\text{id}_{1,1}} \in (\text{Ind}_{U_M}^M \psi_{Z_M})^{K_M, (Z_M, \ast) = (Z_M, \ast)}$

also lies in the bigger space

$$(\text{Ind}_{U_M}^M \psi_{Z_M})^{K_M, (Z, \ast) = (Z, \ast)}_{\text{id}_{1,1}}$$

hence there is some $z' \in Z_M$ such that $W^0, M_{\text{id}_{1,1}} = (z') \ast W^0|_M$. Since $W^0, M_{\text{id}_{1,1}}(1) = 1$, $z'$ is nonzero.

Since $\text{Frac}(Z_M) = Z_M \otimes Z \text{Frac}(Z)$, we can write $z(z')^{-1} = z''(z_0)^{-1}$ in $\text{Frac}(Z_M)$, where $z'' \in Z_M$ and $z_0 \in Z$. We have

$\iota(\Psi(1_{K_M})) = z''(z_0)^{-1} \ast W^0, M_{\text{id}_{1,1}} = z''(z_0)^{-1} \ast W^0, M_{\text{id}_{1,1}}$ in $(\text{Ind}_{U_M}^M \psi_{\text{Frac}(Z_M)})^{K_M}$.

In other words,

$$z_0(\iota(\Psi(1_{K_M}))) = z'' \ast W^0, M_{\text{id}_{1,1}}.$$ 

By $Z$-torsion free-ness, it follows that $z \ast \iota(\Psi(1_{K_M})) = z(\iota(\Psi(1_{K_M})))$ for all $z \in Z_M$, i.e. that $\iota(\Psi(1_{K_M}))$ is in $(\text{Ind}_{U_M}^M \psi_{Z_M})^{K_M, \text{id}} = Z_M \ast W^0, M_{\text{id}_{1,1}}$. By Lemma 5.2(4),
we find that \( \iota(\Psi(1_{K_M})) = \Phi'_{Z_M}(W_{id,1}^n) \) is nonzero modulo every maximal ideal of \( Z_M \), hence the same must be true of \( \iota(\Psi(1_{K_M}))(1) \) by Lemma 2.3. In particular \( \iota(\Psi(1_{K_M}))(1) \) is a unit in \( Z_M \). But by Lemma 2.3 \( \iota(\Psi(1_{K_M})) \) differs from \( W_{id,1}^0 \) by multiplication by \( \iota(\Psi(1_{K_M}))(1) \).

Since \( \iota(\Psi(1_{K_M})) \) is equivalent to \( \Lambda_M^M(1_{K_M}) \) after multiplying by a unit in \( Z_M \), and the maps \( \iota \circ \Psi \) and \( \Lambda_M^M \) are \( W(k)[M] \)-equivariant, the result follows from the fact that \( W(k)[M/K_M] \) is generated as a \( W(k)[M] \)-module by \( 1_{K_M} \). □

We emphasize that the map
\[ \iota \circ \Psi : W(k)[M/K_M] \to \text{Ind}^M_{U_M} \psi_{Z_M} \]
was a priori only \( Z[M] \)-equivariant, but we have now established that it is in fact \( Z_M[M] \)-equivariant, as it coincides with \( \Lambda_M^M \) up to a unit. We also emphasize that the scalar in \( Z_M \) by which \( \Psi \) differs from \( \Lambda_M^M \) depends on the choice of isomorphism \( r^G_U(\text{Ind}^G_U \psi) \cong \text{Ind}^M_{U_M} \psi \) made in the definition of \( \Phi' \) (hence of \( \Psi \)) in Lemma 5.2(2).

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We proceed by induction.

Let \( n = 1 \). Then
\[ Z = W(k)[F^\times/O_F^\times] \cong W(k)[\varpi^{\pm 1}] \cong W(k)[X_1^{\pm 1}] \]
The module \( W(k)[G/K] = Z \) is free of rank 1 over \( Z \). Therefore \( \mathcal{M}_{\lambda,R} \cong R \) with the action of \( F^\times \) given by the unramified character \( \lambda \), and \( \mathcal{M}_{\lambda,E} \) is its tensor product with \( E \). Since \( U = \{1\} \), we have \( \text{Ind}^G_U \psi_E = C^\infty(F^\times, E) \), and
\[ W^0_{\lambda,e}(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda(X_1^{v_F(x)})e & \text{if } v_F(x) \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]
In this case, the \( F^\times \)-equivariant map \( \Lambda_E : E \to C^\infty(F^\times, E) \) given by \( e \mapsto W^0_{\lambda,e} \) is certainly injective since \( W^0_{\lambda,e}(1) = e \).

Now let \( n \) be arbitrary. Choose a proper parabolic subgroup with Levi decomposition \( P = MN \) and consider the diagram of Eqn (4). Without loss of generality, we may assume in what follows that the scalar in \( Z_M^\times \) given by Proposition 6.1 equals 1, so that \( \iota \circ \Psi = \Lambda_M^M \).

Let \( E' \) be the \( Z_M \)-module \( E \otimes Z \). After making the identification \( W(k)[M/K_M] \otimes_Z E \cong (W(k)[M/K_M] \otimes_{Z_M} Z_M) \otimes_Z E \cong W(k)[M/K_M] \otimes_Z E' \), we obtain the following diagram by tensoring with \( E' \).

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
W(k)[G/K] \otimes_Z E & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_E} & \text{Ind}^G_U \psi_E \\
\downarrow{\Phi \otimes \text{id}} & & \downarrow{\Phi'_{Z_M} \otimes \text{id}} \\
W(k)[M/K_M] \otimes_{Z_M} E' & \xrightarrow{\Lambda^M_{E'}} & \text{Ind}^G_U \psi_{E'}.
\end{array}
\]

Because the diagram is commutative by Proposition 6.1 we have
\[ (\Lambda^M_{E'} \circ (\Phi \otimes \text{id})) \circ (\text{ker} \Lambda_E) = 0. \]
But \( M \) is a proper Levi subgroup, so it is a product of groups \( GL_{n_i}(F) \) along the diagonal, for \( n_i < n \). Since \( E \) is finitely generated over \( R \), \( E' \) is finitely generated over \( R' := Z_M \otimes_Z R \), and we can apply the induction hypothesis
to $W(k)[M/K_M] \otimes_{Z_M} E'$ to conclude that the map $\Lambda^M_E$ is injective. Therefore
$(\Phi \otimes \text{id})(\ker \Lambda_E) = 0$. Since the map $\Phi \otimes \text{id}$ factors as the composition
$$p_N : M_{\lambda,E} \otimes E \to r^G_P(M_{\lambda,R} \otimes E)$$
with the isomorphism $r^G_P(M_{\lambda,R} \otimes E) \cong W(k)[M/K_M] \otimes E$, we conclude that
$p_N(\ker \Lambda_E) = 0$, and hence $r^G_P(\ker \Lambda_E) = 0$ for all proper parabolic subgroups $P$.
Since $E$ is finitely generated and $M_{\lambda,R}$ is admissible over $R$ by Proposition 4.1,
$M_{\lambda,E}$ is also admissible over $R$. Thus the following lemma tells us that either
$\ker \Lambda_E = 0$ or $(\ker \Lambda_E)^{(n)} \neq 0$.

Lemma 6.3. Let $R$ be any $W(k)$-algebra, let $V$ be an admissible $R[G]$-module such that
$r^G_P V = 0$ for all proper parabolic subgroups $P$. Then either $V = 0$ or $V^{(n)} \neq 0$.

Proof. If $m$ is a maximal ideal then $r^G_P(V_m) = (r^G_P V)_m$ and $(V^{(n)})_m = V_m^{(n)}$, so it
suffices to prove the result after assuming $R$ is a local ring.

If the result holds for all finitely generated submodules of $V$, it also holds for $V$ itself, thus without loss of generality we may replace $V$ by a submodule that is finitely generated over $R[G]$. In particular, $V \otimes \kappa(m)$ is admissible and finitely generated, hence of finite length.

Since $r^G_P V$ is zero, so is $r^G_P (V \otimes_R \kappa(m))$ for all proper parabolics $P$. Hence the
socle $S$ of $V \otimes \kappa(m)$ satisfies $r^G_P S = 0$ for all proper parabolics. Therefore $S$
is either zero, or a finite direct sum of irreducible cuspidal $\kappa(m)[G]$-modules. Since
cuspidals are generic, we have $S = 0$ or $S^{(n)} \subset (V \otimes \kappa(m))^{(n)}$ is nonzero. If we are
in the case where $S = 0$, then $V \otimes \kappa(m)$ must also be zero, in which case $V = 0$ by
EM12 2.1.7. If we are in the case where $V^{(n)} \otimes_R \kappa(m) \cong (V \otimes_R \kappa(m))^{(n)} \neq 0$,
then $V^{(n)}$ cannot be zero.

We conclude the proof of Theorem 4.1 by showing that $(\ker \Lambda_E)^{(n)} = 0$. Indeed,
the sequence
$$0 \to \ker \Lambda_E \to M_{\lambda,E} \to \text{Ind}^G_U \psi_E$$
is exact, hence so is
$$0 \to (\ker \Lambda_E)^{(n)} \to (M_{\lambda,E})^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\Lambda_E^{(n)}} (\text{Ind}^G_U \psi_E)^{(n)}.$$
If we let $\text{ev}_1 : \text{Ind}^G_U \psi_E \to E$ denote the map $W \mapsto W(1)$, then $\text{ev}_1 \circ \Lambda_E$
factors through the composition
$$M_{\lambda,E} \to (M_{\lambda,E})^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\Lambda_E^{(n)}} (\text{Ind}^G_U \psi_E)^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_1^{(n)}} E.$$ 
But $\text{ev}_1 \circ \Lambda_E$ is precisely the map inducing the isomorphism
$$(\text{ev}_1 \circ \Lambda_E)^{(n)} : (M_{\lambda,E})^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\sim} E$$
of Corollary 4.1. In particular, $\Lambda_E^{(n)}$ is injective, and $(\ker \Lambda_E)^{(n)}$ is zero.
\hfill \Box

7. Flatness of the universal module

In this section we prove, for general linear groups, a conjecture of Lazarus that
$M_{\lambda,R}$ is flat over $R$ (c.f. BO03 Laz98).

Corollary 7.1. For any $W(k)$-algebra $R$ and any homomorphism $\lambda : Z \to R$, the
module $M_{\lambda,R}$ is flat over $R$. 

Proof. It suffices to prove that for any injection $E \hookrightarrow E'$ of finitely generated $R$-modules, the map $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,R} \otimes E \to \mathcal{M}_{\lambda,R} \otimes E'$ is injective. But we have a commutative diagram
\[ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda,R} \otimes E & \rightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\lambda,R} \otimes E' \\ \downarrow \lambda_E & & \downarrow \lambda_{E'} \\ \text{Ind}^G_U \psi_E & \rightarrow & \text{Ind}^G_U \psi_{E'}, \end{array} \]
in which the vertical maps are injective by Theorem \[\ref{thm:main} \] Since $\text{Ind}^G_U \psi_{W(k)}$ is flat over $W(k)$ and $\text{Ind}^G_U \psi_E \cong (\text{Ind}^G_U \psi_{W(k)}) \otimes_{W(k)} E$, the bottom map is injective. Therefore $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,R} \otimes E \to \mathcal{M}_{\lambda,R} \otimes E'$ is injective. \qed

Let $R$ be a $W(k)$-algebra. There exists a decreasing sequence $\{H_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ of congruence subgroups of $K$ whose pro-order is invertible in $R$, and which forms a neighborhood base of the identity. Following [EH12 \S 2.1], for any $R[G]$-module $V$ we can form the submodules $V_i = \ker(\pi_i) \cap V^{H_i}$, where $\pi_i$ is the idempotent projector $V \to V^{H_i}$ described in loc. cit., and $V$ decomposes as a direct sum $V = \bigoplus V_i$. $V$ is admissible if and only if each $V_i$ is finitely generated ([EH12 2.1.5 Lemma]). Since $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,R}$ is admissible, each $(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,R})_i$ is finitely generated. Since direct summands of flat modules are flat, each $(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,R})_i$ is also flat over $R$.

Corollary 7.2. For any homomorphism $\lambda : Z \to W(k)$, $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,W(k)}$ is free as a $W(k)$-module.

Proof. $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,W(k)}$ is the direct sum of the submodules $(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,W(k)})_i$ constructed above. By admissibility, each $(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,W(k)})_i$ is finitely generated over $W(k)$. Since it is flat (either by Corollary \ref{cor:flatmodules} or directly by Theorem \[\ref{thm:main} \]), it is free. \qed

8. Connection of $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,k}$ with the unramified principle series

In this section we record some results about unramified principle series that follow from Theorem \[\ref{thm:main} \].

We can deduce the equality of $JH(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,k})$ and $JH(i^G_B \check{\chi})$ in arbitrary characteristic, and for all $n$, from Corollary \[\ref{cor:flatmodules} \].

Corollary 8.1. For any $\chi$ in the Weyl orbit corresponding to $\lambda$, $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,k}$ and $i^G_B \check{\chi}$ have the same Jordan–Holder suites.

Proof. Let $W(k)$ denote the Witt vectors and $k$ its fraction field. Choose a lift $\check{\chi} : T \to W(k)^\times$ and a corresponding lift $\check{\lambda} : \hat{Z} \to W(k)$, where $\hat{Z} := Z \otimes_k W(k)$. Without loss of generality, suppose $\chi$ and its lift $\check{\chi}$ are chosen in the Weyl orbit in such a way that $i^G_B \check{\chi} \otimes_{W(k)} \overline{k}$ has all of its subrepresentations generic (this is the does-not-proceed condition of [EH12 4.3.2]). Then $W(k)[G/K] \otimes_{\hat{Z}, \check{\lambda}} \overline{k}$ embeds in $i^G_B \check{\chi} \otimes_{W(k)} \overline{k}$ by Theorem \[\ref{thm:main} \] in characteristic 0 (c.f. [EH12 Lemma 3.2.2(4)]). On the other hand, the map $W(k)[G/K] \otimes_{\hat{Z}, \check{\lambda}} \overline{k} \to i^G_B \check{\chi} \otimes_{W(k)} \overline{k}$ is also a surjection because for our choice of $\chi$, $i^G_B \check{\chi} \otimes_{W(k)} \overline{k}$ is also generated by its spherical vector by [Laz98 Prop 5.1]. (Alternatively, one could apply the result we are currently proving, since it is already known in characteristic zero). Hence
\[ W(k)[G/K] \otimes_{\hat{Z}, \check{\lambda}} \overline{k} \cong i^G_B \check{\chi} \otimes_{W(k)} \overline{k}. \]
By Corollary 7.2 \( W(k)[G/K] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}, \lambda} W(k) \) is an integral structure. On the other hand, \( \hat{H} \) is an integral structure since it is admissible and torsion-free over \( W(k) \) (hence free). Now apply the Brauer–Nesbitt theorem (\[Vig04\]) to the two \( W(k) \)-lattices \( W(k)[G/K] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}, \lambda} W(k) \) and \( \hat{H} \), and conclude that their mod-\( \ell \) reductions have the same semisimplifications. \( \square \)

9. The global setup of the Ihara conjecture

We follow the notation of [CHT08]. Fix a totally real number field \( F \) and an imaginary quadratic extension \( F' = EF \) that is unramified everywhere. Let \( \ell > n > 1 \) be a prime that splits in \( F/F' \) and let \( S_\ell \) be the set of places of \( F' \) above \( \ell \). Choose a nonempty finite set of places \( S(B) \) that split in \( F/F' \), that are not in \( S_\ell \), and such that, in the case when \( n \) is even, \( #S(B) \) has the same parity as \( \frac{1}{2}[F^+ : \mathbb{Q}] \). Then there exists a central division \( F \)-algebra \( B \) of dimension \( n^2 \) over \( F \) that is non-split exactly at the places lying over \( S(B) \), and such that \( B_{opp} \cong B \otimes_{E,c} E \). Here, \( c \) denotes the conjugation in \( \text{Gal}(F/F^+) \).

We can endow \( B \) with an \( F^+ \)-linear anti-involution \( * \) such that \( *|_F = c \) and let \( G = U(B, *)_{/F^+} \) be the associated unitary group. The pair \( (B, *) \) may be chosen so that

- \( G(F^+) \cong U(n) \) for all \( v \in S_\infty \) (i.e. \( G \) is compact at all the infinite places),
- at all the finite places \( v \notin S(B) \) that are non-split in the quadratic extension \( F/F' \), \( G(F^+) \) becomes the quasi-split unitary group over \( F^+ \).

In this section only, let \( k \) be an arbitrary algebraic extension of \( \mathbb{F}_\ell \), let \( W(k) \) denote the Witt vectors and let \( \mathcal{K} = \text{Frac}(W(k)) \) or a “sufficiently large” finite extension of \( \text{Frac}(W(k)) \). Let \( \mathcal{O} \) denote the ring of integers in \( \mathcal{K} \). Fix an isomorphism \( \iota: \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{C} \).

Given a subset \( S \) of places, a superscript \( X^S \) will always denote \( \prod_{v \in S} X_v \) and and a subscript \( X_S \) will denote \( \prod_{v \in S} X_v \). If \( S = \{v_0\} \) is a singleton we will write \( X^{v_0} \) in place of \( X^{(v_0)} \) and the same for subscripts.

We will now choose a compact open subgroup \( U = \prod_v U_v \subset G(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) \) by fixing various sets of places and requiring that \( U_v \) satisfy certain conditions for \( v \) in those sets.

We would like \( U \) to be sufficiently small, which means some \( U_v \) contains no non-trivial elements of finite order. Fix a finite nonempty set \( S_\alpha \) of finite places, each of which is split in \( F/F' \), such that \( S_\alpha \) is disjoint from \( S_\ell \cup S(B) \) and such that, if \( v|p \), then \( |F(\zeta_p) : F| > n \). We assume that \( U_v \cong I + \varpi_v M_n(\mathcal{O}_v) \) for \( v \in S_\alpha \), and this guarantees that \( U \) is sufficiently small.

For any \( \mathcal{O} \)-algebra \( A \), let \( S(U, A) \) be the set of functions

\[ \{ f : G(F^+) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) \to A, \quad f(\ell u) = f(u) \} \]

for all \( u \in U \). When \( U \) is sufficiently small this is a finite free \( A \)-module. It is a space of \( \ell \)-integral automorphic forms in the sense that

\[
S(U, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{K} \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \text{ finite}} m_{\pi}( \prod_{v \text{ finite}} \pi_v^{U_v} ) \\
\quad f \mapsto [\phi : g \mapsto f(\ell g^\infty)]
\]

where \( \pi \) runs over all automorphic representations of \( G(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}) \) such that \( \pi_\infty \) is the trivial representation.
From [Gro99] Prop 9.2, we have the following compatibility with reduction mod-$\ell$:

$$S(U, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k = S(U, k)$$

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(S(U, \mathcal{O})) \otimes k \cong \text{End}_k(S(U, k))$$

Let $T$ be a finite set of finite places containing $S_\ell \cup S_B \cup S_a$, all of which split in $F/F^+$. Suppose that $U_v \cong G(\mathcal{O}_{F_v^+})$ for all split places $v \not\in T$, and that $U_v$ is hyperspecial for all non-split places $v \not\in T$. For each of the two divisors $w|v$ there are Hecke operators $T_w^{(j)}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$ defined as the double coset operators

$$T_w^{(j)} = [GL_n(\mathcal{O}_{F_w}) \left( \prod_{j=1}^n T^n_{f_{n-j}} \right) GL_n(\mathcal{O}_{F_w})].$$

Define

$$\mathcal{T}^T(U) := \mathcal{O} \left[ T_w^{(1)}, \ldots, T_w^{(n)}, (T_w^{(n)})^{-1} : w|v \not\in T, \ v \text{ split} \right]$$

to be the $\mathcal{O}$-subalgebra of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(S(U, \mathcal{O}))$ generated by the operators

$$T_w^{(1)}, \ldots, T_w^{(n)}, (T_w^{(n)})^{-1},$$

for $w|v$ where $v$ ranges over split places not in $T$. Then $\mathcal{T}^T(U)$ is a reduced commutative ring which is finite free as a $\mathcal{O}$-module.

For each maximal ideal $m \subset \mathcal{T}^T(U)$ there is an associated continuous semisimple Galois representation

$$\overline{r}_m : \Gamma_F \to GL_n(\mathcal{T}^T(U)/m) = GL_n(k).$$

If $\overline{r}_m$ is absolutely irreducible, it has a natural continuous lifting $r_m$ to the localization $\mathcal{T}^T(U)_m$. We say $m$ is non-Eisenstein if $\overline{r}_m$ is absolutely irreducible.

**Proposition 9.1** ([CHT08] Cor 3.4.5). *Suppose $m$ is non-Eisenstein and $v_0 \in T - (S_\ell \cup S(B))$ and $U_{v_0} = G(\mathcal{O}_{F_{v_0}^+})$. If $w$ is a prime of $F$ above $v_0$ then there exist $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in T^T(U)_m$ such that

$$T^{(j)}_w f = t_j f, \quad \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, n$$

for any $f$ in $S(U, \mathcal{O})_m$.***

10. **APPLICATION OF THEOREM [13] TO IHARA**

The $R = \mathbb{T}$ theorem of [CHT08] is proven conditionally on a conjecture, known as Ihara’s lemma. As explained in the introduction, we can apply Theorem [13] to reduce this conjecture to an easier statement. We now give more details.

From this section onward, we reinstate our assumption that $k$ is algebraically closed.

**Conjecture 10.1** ([CHT08] §5.3: weak Ihara’s lemma). *Let $U \subset G(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$ be a sufficiently small open subgroup. Suppose

- $v_0 \in T - (S_\ell \cup S(B) \cup S_a)$ is a place where $U_{v_0} \cong G(\mathcal{O}_{F_{v_0}^+})$,
- $m \subset T^T(U)$ is a non-Eisenstein maximal ideal,
- $f$ is an element of $S(U, k)[m]$.

If $\pi$ is an irreducible $k[G(F_{v_0}^+)]$-submodule of

$$\langle G(F_{v_0}^+), f \rangle \subset S(U_{v_0}, k),$$

then $\pi$ is generic.
Toward the goal of Conjecture 10.1 we have the following.

**Theorem 10.2 ([CHT08]).** For $U$, $v_0$, and $m$ as in Conjecture 10.1, $S(U, k)[m]$ is a spherical Hecke eigenspace at $v_0$. More precisely if $w|v_0$, there is a homomorphism
\[ \lambda : k[GL_n(O_{F, w})]\langle GL_n(F_{w_0})/GL_n(O_{F, w_0}) \rangle \to k \]
such that
\[ T_w^{(j)}\overline{\theta} = \lambda(T_w^{(j)})\overline{\theta} \quad j = 1, \ldots, n, \]
for all $\overline{\theta} \in S(U, k)[m]$.

**Proof.** Take $U$, $v_0$, and $m$ as in Conjecture 10.1.

Since $\mathbb{T}^T(U)$ is finite free over the complete DVR $O$, it is semi-local, and we can write $\mathbb{T}^T(U) = \prod_{m'} \mathbb{T}^T(U)_{m'}$, a product over the localizations at each maximal ideal. Then $S(U, O)$ and $S(U, k)$ decompose as the product of their localizations $\prod_{m'} S(U, O)_{m'}$ and $\prod_{m'} S(U, k)_{m'}$, respectively. In particular, $S(U, k)[m] = S(U, k)[m]$.

We also have $S(U, O)_{m} \otimes_O k = S(U, k)_{m}$, and there is a natural map

\[ \text{End}_O(S(U, O)_{m}) \to \text{End}_k(S(U, k)_{m}). \]

The image of each Hecke operator $T_w^{(j)}$ in this map is the Hecke operator $T_w^{(j)}$, by definition.

Thus we conclude that the action of the Hecke operator $T_w^{(j)}$ on $S(U, k)_{m}$ is given by the reduction mod-$\ell$ of the scalar $t_j \in \mathbb{T}^T(U)_m$ appearing in Proposition 9.1.

The action of $\mathbb{T}^T(U)_m \otimes_O k$ on $S(U, k)_{m}$ factors through the residue field $\mathbb{T}^T(U)_m/m = k$. We conclude that there are scalars $t_j \in k$ such that the action of $T_w^{(j)}$ on $S(U, k)_{m}$ is given by multiplication by $t_j$. The result follows. \qed

We now state a weaker conjecture:

**Conjecture 10.3.** Assume the setup of Conjecture 10.1. The $k[G(F_{v_0}^+)]$-module $\langle G(F_{v_0}^+)f \rangle$ is generic.

As a corollary of Theorem 10.1, we obtain the following.

**Corollary 10.4.** Conjecture 10.3 and Conjecture 10.1 are equivalent, and both imply that $\langle G(F_{v_0}^+)f \rangle$ has exactly one irreducible generic Jordan–Holder constituent.

**Proof.** Theorem 10.2 shows that the $k[G(F_{v_0}^+)]$-module $\langle G(F_{v_0}^+)f \rangle$ satisfies hypotheses (1) and (2) of Corollary 1.4. Thus the result is given by the conclusion of Corollary 1.4 combined with the conclusion of Theorem 1.1. \qed

11. Further results in the quasi-banal setting

In this entire section, we assume that $\ell$ is quasi-banal, which means:

- $\ell$ is banal for $GL_n(F)$

or

- $\ell > n$ and $q \equiv 1 \mod \ell$.

For its applications to $R = T$ theorems, it suffices to know the truth of Conjecture 10.1 in the quasi-banal setting (c.f. [CHT08 Prop 5.3.5]).

Let $I \subset K$ be the Iwahori subgroup and let $\mathcal{H}(G, I) = k[I\backslash G/I]$ denote the Iwahori–Hecke algebra. Vignéras proves the following result in Appendix B of [CHT08].
Proposition 11.1. Let $\ell$ be quasi-banal. The functor $V \mapsto V^I$ defines an equivalence of categories from the abelian subcategory of smooth $k[G]$-modules generated by their $I$-fixed vectors to the category of $\mathcal{H}(G,I)$-modules.

Convolution gives $\mathcal{H}(G,I)$ the structure of a $k$-algebra, and it possesses two subrings, $\mathcal{A} := k[I\backslash G/K]$, which is abelian, and $\mathcal{H}_W := k[K\backslash I/K]$, which is not. Its center is the subring $Z := k[K\backslash G/K]$. Using the Bernstein presentation of $\mathcal{H}(G,I)$, we obtain an isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \cong k[X_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, X_n^{\pm 1}]$ which is compatible with the Satake isomorphism $Z \cong k[X_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, X_n^{\pm 1}]^{W_G}$ ([Laz98 Prop 1.12]).

The algebra structure of $\mathcal{H}(G,I)$ is given by generators $S_1, \ldots, S_{n-1}$ of $\mathcal{H}_W$, $X_1, X_1^{-1}$, $\ldots$, $X_n, X_n^{-1}$ of $\mathcal{A}$, with relations

$$(S_i + 1)(S_i - q) = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m - 1,$$

$S_i S_j = S_j S_i, \quad |i - j| \geq 2,$

$S_i S_{i+1} S_i = S_{i+1} S_i S_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m - 2,$

$X_i X_j = X_j X_i, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, m,$

$X_j S_i = S_i X_j, \quad i \neq j, j - 1,$

$S_i X_i S_i = X_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m - 1.$

If $s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}$ are representatives in $N_G(T)$ for the transpositions generating $N_G(T)/T = W_G \cong S_n$, each $S_i$ is the characteristic function $1_{I,s_i}$ supported on $I$-double coset $Is_iI$. The generator $X_i$ is the characteristic function $1_{Ii-1,i}$ where $t_i = \text{diag}(1, \ldots, 1, \varpi, 1, \ldots, 1)$, with $\varpi$ in the $i$th spot.

Proposition 11.2. Let $\ell$ be quasi-banal. Let $1$ denote the trivial character $k : S_i \to q$. As $\mathcal{H}(G,I)$-modules, we have an isomorphism

$$k[G/K]^I \cong \mathcal{H}(G,I) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_W} 1.$$ 

Proof. The module $k[G/I]$ has $I$-fixed vectors $k[I\backslash G/I]$, which is cyclic as an $\mathcal{H}(G,I)$-module, generated by $1_I$. There is a surjection $k[G/I]^I \to k[G/K]^I$ given by

$$\phi(x) \mapsto \frac{1}{(K : I)} \sum_{g \in K/I} f(Gx).$$

The map is well-defined and surjective because $(K : I)$ is invertible in $k$ as a result of the quasi-banal hypothesis. It follows that $k[G/K]^I$ is a cyclic $\mathcal{H}(G,I)$-module, generated by the characteristic function $1_K$.

Since $1_K$ is fixed by $K$, the action of $\mathcal{H}_W$ on $1_K$ is trivial. Thus $1 \mapsto 1_K$ defines a morphism of $\mathcal{H}_W$-modules $1 \to k[G/K]^I$. By the adjunction

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(G,I)}(\mathcal{H}(G,I) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_W} 1, k[G/K]^I) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_W}(1, k[G/K]^I),$$

we get a morphism of $\mathcal{H}(G,I)$-modules $\Phi' : \mathcal{H}(G,I) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_W} 1 \to k[G/K]^I$ given by sending $h \otimes 1$ to $h \cdot 1_K$. Since $1_K$ is a cyclic generator of $k[G/K]^I$, the map $\Phi'$ is surjective. However, since $\mathcal{A}$ is free of rank $n$ over $Z$, both $\mathcal{H}(G,I) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_W} 1$ and $k[G/K]^I$ are free of rank $n$ as $Z$-modules. It follows that $\Phi'$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

Corollary 11.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an $\mathcal{H}(G,I)$ module via

$$\mathcal{H}(G,I) \to \mathcal{H}(G,I) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_W} 1 \cong \mathcal{A}.$$
Then $\mathcal{M}^I_{\lambda,k}$ is isomorphic as an $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$-module to the $n!$-dimensional $k$-algebra $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}, \lambda} k$.

Proof. Using the proof of [CHT08, Lemma 5.1.4], we find that

$$\mathcal{M}^I_{\lambda,k} := (k[G/K] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}, \lambda} k)^I = (k[G/K]^I) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}, \lambda} k.$$ 

Since $k[G/K]^I \cong \mathcal{H}(G, I) \otimes_{\mathbb{H}_W} 1$ is isomorphic to $A$ as an $A$-module, the result follows. □

In the global notation of Conjecture 10.1, let $I_{v_0}$ be the Iwahori subgroup of $G(F_{v_0}) \cong GL_n(F_{v_0})$, let $\mathcal{H}(G(F_{v_0}), I_{v_0})$ be the local Iwahori–Hecke algebra at $v_0$, and let $A_{v_0}$ be the subalgebra $k[I_{v_0}]G(F_{v_0})/G(\mathcal{O}_{F_{v_0}})$.

Corollary 11.4. Let $v_0$, $f$, $U$ be as in Conjecture 10.1, suppose $\ell$ is quasi-banal for $\#(v_0)$, and let $\tilde{U} := (\prod_{v \neq v_0} U_v) \times I_{v_0}$. Then Conjecture 10.1 is equivalent to the following statement: the cyclic $A_{v_0}$-submodule of $S(\tilde{U}, k)$ generated by $f$ has dimension $n!$.

Proof. By Theorem 10.1 there is some $\lambda : \mathbb{Z} \to k$ and a map $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,k} \to \langle G(F_{v_0})f \rangle$ whose image contains $f$. Thus $\langle G(F_{v_0}^+)f \rangle$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,k}$, hence $(G(F_{v_0}^+)f)^I$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{M}^I_{\lambda,k}$. Thus $\langle G(F_{v_0}^+)f \rangle^I$ cyclic as an $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$-module. Since $\mathcal{H}_W$ acts trivially on $f$, we have that $\langle G(F_{v_0}^+)f \rangle^I \cong A \cdot f$ inside $S(\tilde{U}, k)$. Thus, if $A \cdot f$ had dimension $n!$, $\langle G(F_{v_0}^+)f \rangle^I$ would be isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}^I_{\lambda,k}$. Thus $\langle G(F_{v_0}^+)f \rangle$ would be isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,k}$. The other direction is immediate, since we have already established that Conjecture 10.1 is equivalent to the map $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,k} \to \langle G(F_{v_0}^+)f \rangle$ being an isomorphism, and $\mathcal{M}^I_{\lambda,k}$ has dimension $n!$. □
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