Pseudo-chemotaxis of active Brownian particles competing for food
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Using Brownian dynamics simulations, the motion of active Brownian particles (ABPs) in the presence of fuel (or ‘food’) sources is studied. It is an established fact that within confined stationary systems, the activity of ABPs generates density profiles that are enhanced in regions of low activity, which is generally referred to as ‘anti-chemotaxis’. We demonstrate that – contrary to common beliefs – in non-stationary setups, emerging here as a result of short fuel bursts, our model ABPs do instead exhibit signatures of chemotactic behavior. In direct competition with inactive, but otherwise identical Brownian particles (BPs), the ABPs are shown to fetch a larger amount of food. From a biological perspective, the ability to turn active would, despite of the absence of sensoric devices, encompass an evolutionary advantage.

I. INTRODUCTION

The ability to move is among the common features of living systems. Mobility opens the opportunity to escape from dangerous environments and to search for food sources to increase the intake of energy-rich substances, which enhance the metabolism, grant survival and eventually the chance for reproduction – the central theme of the game of evolution. It is not surprising that mobile lifeforms are abundant on all scales including the simplest microscopic organisms. Prominent examples are white blood cells chasing intruders [1], sperm cells rushing toward the oocyte [2] or bacteria such as Escherichia coli searching for food [3].

Such a motion involves a delicate apparatus of chemical sensors, information processing and direction control and is commonly called chemotaxis [4]. The question then arises how primitive organisms came to ‘learn’ the mechanisms required for chemotaxis during early stages of evolution. In this context, recent theoretical and simulation studies of transport phenomena in systems with active Brownian particles (ABPs) [5, 6], i.e. lifeless self-propelling micro- or nanomotors, can provide valuable insights: It has been demonstrated that these ABPs are capable of running up a spatial activity gradient under certain, non-stationary conditions [7–9]. Since this phenomenon does not involve any mechanism of sensing or data processing, the term pseudo-chemotaxis has been coined to contrast the same from ordinary chemotaxis, which, in addition to space-dependent activity, also requires a coupling between the direction of the fuel gradient and the orientation of the particle [10, 11]. Even though such a coupling has been shown to exist for torque-free spherical ABPs and to affect their motion in activity gradients [12, 13], the involved orientation bias is generally very low and actually directed against the activity gradient [9], i.e. reducing the impact of pseudo-chemotaxis. The fundamental mechanism behind pseudo-chemotaxis has been described in terms of a dynamical reflection of the particle trajectory on the boundary between regions of different activity levels and shown to be vastly enhanced if the particle is allowed to store a single bit of memory [14].

In the laboratory, synthetic self-propelling agents, notably Janus-particles of spherical shape, are designed and employed to study the features of simple self-propelling motors. These particles are driven by catalytic reactions with a supplement to the solvent such as hydrogen peroxide [15] or hydrazine [16], or driven by thermal forces that are induced with intense light [11]. The smallest Janus-particles are of dimensions of only 30nm and thus of the same order as some of the proteins found in living systems [17]. As a matter of fact, catalytic enzymes have been reported to exhibit enhanced diffusion during catalytic activity [18–20], and the enhanced mobility has recently been claimed to originate from a (so far unknown) mechanism of self-propulsion [21]. This would imply that there exist biological systems, far simpler than living cells or bacteria, which feature self-propelled motion on a similarly low level of complexity as synthetic Janus-particles.

In the present work, we pick up previous studies of ABPs in activity gradients [9] and – motivated by biological evolution – attempt to approach the phenomenon of pseudo-chemotaxis from another angle: While transport properties such as first passage times and target hit probabilities have previously been studied in the presence of external activity fields, the present work interprets activity as a result of the intake of explicit food (or fuel) particles of limited resources in a competitive environment. Two species, ABPs, which temporarily turn active after food intake, and identical Brownian particles (BPs), which consume food but remain inactive, are competing for the food available in the system. In Sec. II we describe the numerical model that was implemented for the following Brownian dynamics simulations. Section III.A describes stationary confined systems of ABPs and BPs into which food is injected continuously. We show that in such a setup the activity leads to an anti-chemotaxis
as commonly reported for ABPs. In Sec. III B the systems are open and food is injected in terms of a short burst that emerges at a given distance to the particles. Here, pseudo-chemotaxis is observed and the ABPs exhibit an increased food consumption when compared to the passive BPs. Section III C investigates different food distributions and demonstrates that gradients in the food concentration are necessary for the ABPs to gain a significant advantage over their passive competitors. Our findings are summarized and discussed in Sec. IV.

II. NUMERICAL MODEL

Two types of particles exist (see Fig. 1): First, the standard Brownian particles (BPs) and, second, self-propelling active Brownian particles (ABPs), activated for a given time-period, called boost time \( \tau_B \), after consuming a dimensionless (point-like) food particle. The spherical particles have diameters of \( d = 30 \text{nm} \) and are dispersed in an implicit solvent of temperature \( T = 298 \text{K} \) and dynamic viscosity \( \eta = 0.89 \text{mPa·s} \) (corresponding to water at 298K). The resulting passive (i.e. in absence of self-propulsion) translational diffusion coefficient amounts to \( D_t = 0.016 \text{nm}^2/\text{ns} \), and the rotational relaxation time is of the order of \( \tau_r = 9.2 \cdot 10^3 \text{ns} \).

ABPs – when active – are driven with a force of \( f = 1 \text{pN} \), directed along the axis of their current orientation. This driving force generates an additional contribution to the translational diffusion of \( D_a = 0.048 \text{nm}^2/\text{ns} \), thrice the value of their passive diffusion. The driving force of 1pN is of the same order as recently proposed forces acting on catalytic enzymes of similar sizes [21]. We assume that the activity does not affect the rotational diffusion of the ABP. The point-like food particles are assumed to move with a translational diffusion coefficient that is larger by a factor five when compared to the BPs. A food particle is ‘consumed’, i.e. removed from the system, as soon as it occupies the space taken by another particle.

Both BPs and ABPs may consume an unlimited number of food particles at any time (being active or not). After consumption of each food particle, the ABPs remain active only for a fixed time period, called boost time \( \tau_B \), i.e. no accumulation and storage of energy beyond a single quantity is taken into account.

Since we are interested in the dynamics of single particles, pair-interactions between particles as well as hydrodynamic interactions are absent. In confined systems, all particles (including food) interact with the confining walls via a standard repulsive Weeks-Chandler-Andersen-potential [22]. The time integration is carried out using a standard second-order Brownian dynamics algorithm [23] with a time step of \( dt = 10 \text{ ns} \). The system parameters are summarized in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( d = 3 )</td>
<td>dimension of space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b = 30 \text{ nm} )</td>
<td>particle diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m = 14.14 \text{ ag} )</td>
<td>particle mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( dt = 10 \text{ ns} )</td>
<td>simulation timestep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T = 298 \text{ K} )</td>
<td>system temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f = 1 \text{ ag·nm/ns}^2 )</td>
<td>frictional drag coeff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \eta = 0.981 \text{ mPa·s} )</td>
<td>viscosity (solvent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \zeta = 3\pi \eta b = 251.9 \text{ ag/ns} )</td>
<td>rotational relax. time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tau_m = m/\zeta = 0.0561 \text{ ns} )</td>
<td>momentum relax. time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_t = k_B T/\zeta = 0.0163 \text{ nm}^2/\text{ns} )</td>
<td>passive diffusion coeff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_f = 3D_t/b^2 = 5.45 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ nm}^2/\text{ns}^{-1} )</td>
<td>rot. diffusion coeff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tau_r = 1/(2D_r) = 9180 \text{ ns} )</td>
<td>rot. relaxation time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( v = f/\zeta = 3.97 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ nm/\text{ns}} )</td>
<td>final velocity (ABP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_a = v^2 \tau_r/d = 0.0482 \text{ nm}^2/\text{ns} )</td>
<td>activated diffusion coeff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_a = \zeta D_a/k_B = 880 \text{ K} )</td>
<td>activated temperature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I. Simulation parameters and derived quantities.

III. RESULTS

A. Confined and stationary systems

In a first set of simulations, a total of 200 particles (100 of each species, BPs and ABPs) were placed into spherical confinements of radii \( R \in \{200, 300, 400\} \text{ nm} \). At the center, food particles were randomly generated at an average rate of 50 particles/\( \mu \text{s} \). After a sufficiently long simulation time, all particle distributions turned stationary and are displayed in Fig. 2.

The stationary distributions of fuel-activated ABPs are non-uniform, being reduced in regions in which the food concentration is high (i.e. close to the center of the confinement). This is a consequence of the self-propulsion that comes along with their food consumption: It is well known that the stationary concentration of an ABP is inversely proportional to its average driven velocity and thus highest in regions of diminishing activity [24, 25]. In our simulations, this is visible at all system sizes, though the quantity of the ABP-depletion is highest in the small system (\( R = 200 \text{ nm} \), solid black curve, note the logarithmic scale), while the distributions of BPs naturally remain unaffected by the food concentrations. The phenomenon observable in these simulations is thus the well known anti-chemotaxis of ABPs in stationary systems with activity gradients.

Figure 3 displays the average food consumption of each species in systems of different radii: In each case, the passive BPs are able to fetch food at a higher rate when compared to the ABPs, as a result of the reduced presence of ABPs close to the food source. We have repeated the simulations with different parameter settings, varying boost times \( \tau_B \) and food production rates, arriving at the same qualitative conclusions: Anti-chemotaxis reflects itself in the average food consumption of the active particle species, and any degree of activity may be regarded a disadvantage as soon as food is needed for the metabolism of...
FIG. 1. Schematic of particle characteristics, left panel: The system contains passive BPs (blue), ABPs (grey) and food particles (green). If an ABP runs into a food particle, it temporarily turns active and exhibits a persistent motion (right panel). The BP consumes food, too, but remains a passive Brownian walker. Which strategy is superior?

FIG. 2. Particle concentration as a function of (relative) distance to the center, for different sizes $R$ of the reactor. Close to the food source, ABPs are active most of the time, leading to a significant depletion of their concentration. Close to the outer wall (right edge of the graphic), particle concentrations drop due to steric repulsion. Food-production rate: $50/\mu s$; number of particles: 100 (of each species, ABPs and BPs); simulation time: 2s; boost time of ABPs: $\tau_B = 2\tau_r \approx 18 \mu s$.

FIG. 3. Food consumption rates of particles for different sizes of the reactor. As a result of the bias of their density distributions, ABPs consume less food than BPs, exhibiting anti-chemotaxis. With increasing size of the reactor, the ratio of activated to inactive APBs is diminishing and their food consumption rates are approaching those of the BPs.

a fictitious proto-lifeform. However, the validity of this conclusion is restricted to stationary systems, achieved by a continuous and infinite supply of food, as is going to be demonstrated in the following section.

B. Open and non-stationary systems

In the following set of simulations, we mimic a situation which may occur frequently in natural environments such as open bodies of water, e.g. ponds or lakes: A temporary food source emerges and several species in its vicinity start to compete for food. The system is unconfined (on relevant length-scales) and the distributions of different species are non-stationary. Once again, we compare the average food consumption of passive BPs and ABPs.

Sets of 100 representatives of each species are initially randomly distributed on a shell of distance $r_{\text{ini}}$ to a food source, which emits a given number of (here: 5000) food particles in an instant. These food particles subsequently diffuse into all directions, run into the surrounding particles and are eventually consumed. The ABPs are activated for a boost time of $\tau_B = 2\tau_r \approx 18 \mu s$ upon con-
FIG. 4. Time evolution of the density distributions of BPs (black) and ABPs (red), as well as food-particles (green, scaled down), for different time intervals. Initially, BPs and ABPs start at the distance \( r_{\text{ini}} = 200\text{nm} \) to the center, boundaries are absent. A burst of food particles occurs at the center at \( t = 0 \). Upon food consumption, the density distribution of ABPs advances rapidly toward the food source. Boost time of an ABP after consumption of a food-particle: \( \tau_B \approx 18\mu\text{s} \).

sumption of a food particle, and this activity affects their transient concentration profiles.

The time evolution of these profiles is displayed in Fig. 4 for the case of \( r_{\text{ini}} = 200\text{nm} \), for different time intervals (chosen to keep the statistical noise low). The initial \( \delta \)-distributions of both particle species begin to spread and to run into the expanding distribution of food particles (panel a). Since the ABPs begin to propagate at an enhanced speed, the tail of the corresponding concentration profile (red) reaches out toward the center of the food source at which food concentration is highest (panels b-d). When compared to the passive BPs (black profiles), the ABPs reach regions of high food concentration at an earlier time. This advantage is reflected in the rates of food consumption as shown in Fig. 5 for three different initial distances of the particles: In particular at short distance to the food (black curves), the ABPs (solid curve) are able to fetch a larger number of food particles than the BPs (dashed curve).

The differences diminish with increasing initial distances of the particles (\( r_{\text{ini}} = 300\text{nm} \), red curves, \( r_{\text{ini}} = 400\text{mm} \), green curves), since here the food dilutes to a degree that the ABPs remain increasingly deactivated. Once the boost time \( \tau_B \) is increased so that an ABP profits from elongated periods of activation, its advantage over the BP increases even in the case of larger initial distances to the food source (data not shown).

In a non-stationary setup, ABPs are therefore exhibiting a kind of chemotaxis which enables them to consume a larger amount of food than their non-active competitors. We call this phenomenon \textit{pseudo-chemotaxis} because it does not involve any sensing of food gradients on the part of the active particle. In fact, no orientation-bias is required for pseudo-chemotaxis, as was already shown in a previous work [9]: While ABPs do even exhibit a small degree of orientation-bias inside activity gradients, this bias is pointing down the gradient and thus working against chemotaxis, yet remaining sufficiently weak to be negligible in the systems considered here.

C. The impact of food/fuel gradients

In order to analyze the importance of food concentration gradients, two test setups with immobile food particles are compared: First, the food has a Gaussian concentration: being allowed to diffuse from the location of their production for a short time and subsequently immobilized. In the second setup, food is distributed uniformly within a spherical volume of radius \( r = 100\text{nm} \). Both setups contain 5000 food particles, while the remaining particle species start at \( r_{\text{ini}} = 200\text{nm} \) outside the food sources, and the systems are unbounded.

While the particle distributions spread, a certain fraction enters the food-reservoirs and begins to consume food. ABPs are then turning active and once again this activity affects the dynamics of their concentration profiles. Figure 6 displays typical snapshots of the concentration profiles, averaged over the time interval of 0.1 < \( t < 0.15\text{ms} \) after setoff. The system that features a food gradient (left panel) once again exhibits an increased concentration of ABPs close to the food source at which the food density is at its maximum. Contrary to that, the (initially) uniform food distribution leads to a different situation (right panel): Although close to the center...
FIG. 6. Time evolution of the density distributions of BPs (black) and ABPs (red), as well as food-particles (green, scaled down), averaged over the time interval of $0.1 < t < 0.15\text{ms}$. Initial distance of the particles to the food source: $r_{\text{ini}} = 200\text{nm}$. Left panel: initial food profile is Gaussian, right panel: Initial food profile is a step-function. Food particles are immobile.

FIG. 7. Consumed food under the condition that the food concentration profile is a step function (green) or a Gaussian function (red). In the latter case, the ABPs experience an advantage over the passive BPs in terms of the amount of consumable food.

of the food source, there exists an enrichment of ABPs compared to BPs, the situation is the opposite closer to the periphery of the food reservoir, at which BPs are more abundant than ABPs. Since the food distribution is at this moment still fairly uniform, the enrichment at the center does not encompass any significant advantage in terms of food intake.

Figure 7 exhibits that both setups in fact lead to entirely different outcomes in terms of the food consumption: In the presence of a food gradient, the ABPs are able to fetch a higher number of food particles than passive BPs (red curves). Starting with a uniform food distribution does not lead to any systematic advantage for the ABPs (green curves). We have varied system parameters such as $r_{\text{ini}}$ or the boost time $\tau_B$ of ABPs without arriving at qualitatively different outcomes: Whenever a gradient existed in the food concentration, the ABPs were able to take profit and gained a larger amount of food, while in the absence of such a gradient both BPs and ABPs received close to identical results. The phenomenon of pseudo-chemotaxis of ABPs in open, non-stationary systems is thus greatly supported by gradients which lead to high food/fuel concentrations.

IV. CONCLUSION

In the present work, we have approached the phenomenon of pseudo-chemotaxis of ABPs – recently discussed in the framework of transport properties such as first passage times and target hit probabilities [8, 9] – from another angle that is motivated by evolutionary considerations. The question that had to be addressed was: Does pseudo-chemotaxis actually lead to an advantage for a particle that is capable of food-induced motion, but unable to sense food gradients?

In our simulation study, two particle species had to compete for limited resources of food, the latter being simulated in terms of explicit food particles that could be consumed to trigger a temporary state of self-propulsion (in case of ABPs). The simulation parameters were chosen to be of similar dimensions as observed in real systems such as Janus particles of 30nm diameter [17] or catalytic enzymes, which appear to turn active during enzymatic activity [21].

The simulations confirm the well known fact that within a confined system and after reaching stationary, the ABPs preferably occupy regions of low activity. Consequently, our fuel-activated ABPs do also consume a smaller amount of food than (otherwise identical) passive BPs (Sec. III A). This is an unmistakable signature of anti-chemotaxis that has frequently been reported for ABPs in systems with activity gradients.

Non-stationary setups however can lead to the opposite situation in which ABPs exhibit an advantage over BPs (Sec. III B). The term pseudo-chemotaxis has been coined because – contrary to ordinary chemotaxis – it does not involve any features related to sensing or information processing. The ABPs are running up activity gradients as a result of a purely statistical effect, related to their enhanced mobility in these regions, and the present work demonstrates that the observed bias in their transient concentration profile does in fact lead to an advantage over non-active competitors in terms of food intake. In Sec. III C we further demonstrated that not only the ability to turn active, but also the presence of a food concentration gradient was necessary for the ABPs to surpass the food consumption of their non-active competitors.

From a biological point of view it is certainly tempting to speculate about a potential relevance of these insights for the evolution of early proto-lifeforms, which were sufficiently complex to feature a mechanism for self-propulsion, but yet unable to perform any kind of sensing or data processing. It would be astonishing if the fea-
ture of pseudo-chemotaxis would not have made it into the toolkits of early evolution. Catalytic enzymes may be modern examples for complex molecules which exhibit such a combination of features. Pseudo-chemotaxis might thus have been a vehicle – based entirely on non-equilibrium physical processes – which enabled similar entities to enter the game of evolution, to compete for fuel or food, the potential to enhance their metabolism, and eventually their reproduction rate.

As a next level of realism, food consumption could be coupled to the reproduction rates of species, while the energy required for self-propulsion could be accounted for. Pair-potentials between different species and food particles could be implemented to generate correlated dynamics and mimic predator-prey interactions, which might also include a minimalist model for information processing.