CYCLIC PERMUTATIONS: DEGREES AND COMBINATORIAL TYPES

SAEED ZAKERI

ABSTRACT. This note will give elementary counts for the number of $n$-cycles in the permutation group $S_n$ with a given degree (a variant of the descent number) and studies similar counting problems for the conjugacy classes of $n$-cycles under the action of the rotation subgroup of $S_n$. This is achieved by relating such cycles to periodic orbits of an associated dynamical system acting on the circle. It is also shown that the distribution of degree on $n$-cycles is asymptotically normal as $n \to \infty$.
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1. Preliminaries

Fix an integer $n \geq 2$. We denote by $S_n$ the group of all permutations of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and by $C_n$ the collection of all $n$-cycles in $S_n$. Following the tradition of group theory, we represent $\nu \in C_n$ by the symbol

$$ (1 \ \nu(1) \ \nu^2(1) \ \cdots \ \nu^{n-1}(1)). $$

The rotation group $R_n$ is the cyclic subgroup of $S_n$ generated by the $n$-cycle

$$ \rho := (1 \ 2 \ \cdots \ n). $$

Elements of $R_n \cap C_n$ are called rotation cycles. Thus, $\nu \in C_n$ is a rotation cycle if and only if $\nu = \rho^m$ for some integer $1 \leq m < n$ with $\gcd(m, n) = 1$. The reduced fraction $m/n$ is called the rotation number of $\rho^m$. 

Date: July 31, 2019.
The rotation group $\mathcal{R}_n$ acts on $\mathcal{C}_n$ by conjugation. We refer to each orbit of this action as a **combinatorial type** in $\mathcal{C}_n$. The combinatorial type of an $n$-cycle $\nu$ is denoted by $[\nu]$. It is easy to see that $\nu$ is a rotation cycle if and only if $[\nu]$ consists of $\nu$ only. In fact, if $\rho \nu \rho^{-1} = \nu$, then $\nu = \rho^m$ where $m = \nu(n).

1.1. **The symmetry order.** The combinatorial type of $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_n$ can be explicitly described as follows. Let $G_\nu := \{\rho^i : \rho^i \nu \rho^{-i} = \nu\}$ be the stabilizer group of $\nu$ under the action of $\mathcal{R}_n$. We call the order of $G_\nu$ the **symmetry order** of $\nu$ and denote it by $\text{sym}(\nu)$. If $r := n / \text{sym}(\nu)$, it follows that $G_\nu$ is generated by the power $\rho^r$ and the combinatorial type of $\nu$ is the $r$-element set $[\nu] = \{\nu, \rho \nu \rho^{-1}, \ldots, \rho^{r-1} \nu \rho^{-r+1}\}$.

Since $\text{sym}(\rho \nu \rho^{-1}) = \text{sym}(\nu)$, we can define the symmetry order of a combinatorial type unambiguously as that of any cycle representing it: $\text{sym}([\nu]) := \text{sym}(\nu)$.

Evidently there are no 2- or 3-cycles of symmetry order 1, and there is no 4-cycle of symmetry order 2. By contrast, it is not hard to see that for every $n \geq 5$ and every divisor $s$ of $n$ there is a $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_n$ with $\text{sym}(\nu) = s$.

Of the $(n-1)!$ elements of $\mathcal{C}_n$, precisely $\varphi(n)$ are rotation cycles. Here $\varphi$ is Euler’s totient function defined by $\varphi(n) := \# \{m \in \mathbb{Z} : 1 \leq m \leq n \text{ and } \gcd(m,n) = 1\}$.

If $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_T$ are representatives of the distinct combinatorial types in $\mathcal{C}_n$, then

$$(n-1)! = \sum_{\nu_i \in \mathcal{R}_n} \# [\nu_i] + \sum_{\nu_i \notin \mathcal{R}_n} \# [\nu_i] = \varphi(n) + \sum_{\nu_i \notin \mathcal{R}_n} \# [\nu_i].$$

When $n$ is a prime number, we have $\varphi(n) = n - 1$ and each $\# [\nu_i]$ in the far right sum is $n$. In this case the number of distinct combinatorial types in $\mathcal{C}_n$ is given by

$$(1.1) \quad T = (n-1) + \frac{(n-1)! - (n-1)}{n} = \frac{(n-1)! + (n-1)^2}{n}.$$ 

Observe that $T$ being an integer gives a simple proof of Wilson’s theorem according to which $(n-1)! = -1 \pmod{n}$ whenever $n$ is prime.
**Cyclic Permutations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$C^5_{5,1}$</th>
<th><img src="image1.png" alt="Diagram" /></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C^1_{5,2}$</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C^1_{5,3}$</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** The decomposition of $C_5$ into subsets $C^s_{5,d}$ of cycles with degree $d$ and symmetry order $s$, where the only admissible pairs are $(d, s) = (1, 5), (2, 1), (3, 1)$. See Examples 1.1 and 1.2.

**Example 1.1.** The $4! = 24$ cycles in $C_5$ fall into $(4! + 4^2)/5 = 8$ distinct combinatorial types. The 4 rotation cycles

- $\rho = (1 2 3 4 5)$
- $\rho^2 = (1 3 5 2 4)$
- $\rho^3 = (1 4 2 5 3)$
- $\rho^4 = (1 5 4 3 2)$

(of rotation numbers $1/5, 2/5, 3/5, 4/5$) form 4 distinct combinatorial types. The remaining 20 cycles have symmetry order 1, so they fall into 4 combinatorial types each containing 5 elements. These types are represented by

- $\pi = (1 2 3 5 4)$
- $\pi^{-1} = (1 4 5 3 2)$
- $\nu = (1 2 4 5 3)$
- $\nu^{-1} = (1 3 5 4 2)$.

Compare Fig. 1.

1.2. **Descent number vs. degree.** A permutation $\nu \in S_n$ has a descent at $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ if $\nu(i) > \nu(i+1)$. The total number of such $i$ is called the descent number of $\nu$ and is denoted by $\text{des}(\nu)$:

$$\text{des}(\nu) := \# \{1 \leq i \leq n-1 : \nu(i) > \nu(i+1)\}$$
Note that \(0 \leq \text{des}(\nu) \leq n - 1\). The descent number is a basic tool in enumerative combinatorics (see for example [SI]).

In this paper we will be working with a rotationally invariant version of the descent number called \textit{degree} \footnote{What we define as the “degree” in this paper is called the “descent number” in [PZ].} It simply amounts to counting \(i = n\) as a descent if \(\nu(n) > \nu(1)\):

\[
\text{deg}(\nu) := \begin{cases} 
\text{des}(\nu) & \text{if } \nu(n) < \nu(1) \\
\text{des}(\nu) + 1 & \text{if } \nu(n) > \nu(1).
\end{cases}
\]

The terminology comes from the following topological characterization (see [M] and [PZ]): Take any set \(\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}\) of distinct points on the circle in positive cyclic order. Then \(\text{deg}(\nu)\) is the minimum degree of a continuous covering map \(f : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\) which acts on this set as the permutation \(\nu\) in the sense that \(f(x_i) = x_{\nu(i)}\) for all \(i\).

\textbf{Example 1.2.} The cycle \(\nu = (1 \ 2 \ 4 \ 5 \ 3) \in C_5\) has descents at \(i = 2, i = 4\) and \(i = 5\), so \(\text{deg}(\nu) = 3\). The eight representative cycles in \(C_5\) described in Example 1.1 have the following degrees:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{deg}(\rho) &= \text{deg}(\rho^2) = \text{deg}(\rho^3) = \text{deg}(\rho^4) = 1, \\
\text{deg}(\pi) &= \text{deg}(\pi^{-1}) = 2, \\
\text{deg}(\nu) &= \text{deg}(\nu^{-1}) = 3.
\end{align*}
\]

Compare Fig. 1.

The following statement summarizes the basic properties of the degree for cycles. For a proof, see [PZ].

\textbf{Theorem 1.3.} Let \(\nu \in C_n\) with \(\text{sym}(\nu) = s\) and \(\text{deg}(\nu) = d\).

\begin{enumerate}
\item \(1 \leq d \leq n - 2\) if \(n \geq 3\).
\item \(d = 1 \iff s = n \iff \nu\) is a rotation cycle.
\item \(s\) is a divisor of \(d - 1\).
\item \(\text{deg}(\nu \rho) = \text{deg}(\nu \rho) = \text{deg}(\nu \rho^{-1}) = d\).
\end{enumerate}

By (iv), the degree of a combinatorial type is well-defined:

\[
\text{deg}([\nu]) := \text{deg}(\nu).
\]
1.3. **Decompositions of** $C_n$. Fix $n \geq 3$ and consider the following cross sections of $C_n$ by the symmetry order and degree:
\[
C_n^s := \{ \nu \in C_n : \text{sym}(\nu) = s \} \\
C_{n,d} := \{ \nu \in C_n : \text{deg}(\nu) = d \} \\
C_{n,d}^s := C_n^s \cap C_{n,d}.
\]

Observe that in our notation the symmetry order always appears as a superscript and the degree as a subscript after $n$. By Theorem 1.3,
\[
C_n^n = C_{n,1} = C_{n,1}^n = C_n \cap R_n
\]
and we have the decompositions
\[
C_n = \bigcup_{s \mid n} C_n^s = \bigcup_{d=1}^{n-2} C_{n,d} \\
C_n^s = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\left[(n-3)/s\right]} C_{n,js+1}^s \quad \text{if } s \mid n, \ s < n \\
C_{n,d} = \bigcup_{s \mid \text{gcd}(n,d-1)} C_{n,d}^s \quad \text{if } 2 \leq d \leq n - 2.
\]

Hence the cardinalities
\[
N_n^s := \# C_n^s \\
N_{n,d} := \# C_{n,d} \\
N_{n,d}^s := \# C_{n,d}^s
\]
satisfy the following relations:
\[
N_n^n = N_{n,1} = N_{n,1}^n = \varphi(n) \\
(n-1)! = \sum_{s \mid n} N_n^s = \sum_{d=1}^{n-2} N_{n,d} \\
(1.2) \quad N_n^s = \sum_{j=1}^{\left[(n-3)/s\right]} N_{n,js+1}^s \quad \text{if } s \mid n, \ s < n \\
(1.3) \quad N_{n,d} = \sum_{s \mid \text{gcd}(n,d-1)} N_{n,d}^s \quad \text{if } 2 \leq d \leq n - 2.
\]
Let us also consider the counts for the corresponding combinatorial types

\[ T_n := \# \{ \nu : \nu \in \mathcal{C}_n \} \]
\[ T_n^s := \# \{ \nu : \nu \in \mathcal{C}_n^s \} \]
\[ T_{n,d} := \# \{ \nu : \nu \in \mathcal{C}_{n,d} \} \]
\[ T_{n,d}^s := \# \{ \nu : \nu \in \mathcal{C}_{n,d}^s \}. \]

Evidently

\[ T_{n,d}^s = \frac{s}{n} N_{n,d}^s \quad \text{and} \quad T_n^s = \frac{s}{n} N_n^s \]

and we have the following relations:

\[ T_n^s \]
\[ = T_{n,1} = T_{n,1}^s = \varphi(n) \]

(1.4)
\[ T_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s|n} s N_n^s \]
\[ T_{n,d} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s \mid \text{gcd}(n,d-1)} s N_{n,d}^s \quad \text{if} \ 2 \leq d \leq n - 2. \]

Of course knowing the joint distribution \( N_{n,d}^s \) would allow us to count all the \( N \)'s and \( T \)'s. However, finding an closed formula for \( N_{n,d}^s \) seems to be difficult (a sample computation can be found in §3.3). In §2.1 we derive a formula for \( N_n^s \) by a direct count which in turn leads to a formula for \( T_n \) (see Theorems 2.1 and 2.3). In §3.2 we find a formula for \( N_{n,d} \) indirectly by relating cycles in \( \mathcal{C}_{n,d} \) to periodic orbits of an associated dynamical system acting on the circle (see Theorem 3.5).

2. The symmetry order counts

2.1. The numbers \( N_n^s \). We begin with the simplest of our counting problems, that is, finding a formula for \( N_n^s \). We will make use of the Möbius inversion formula

(2.1) \[ g(m) = \sum_{k|m} f(k) \quad \iff \quad f(m) = \sum_{k|m} \mu(k) g\left(\frac{m}{k}\right) \]

on a pair of arithmetical functions \( f, g \). Here \( \mu \) is the Möbius function uniquely determined by the conditions \( \mu(1) := 1 \) and \( \sum_{k|m} \mu(k) = 0 \) for \( m > 1 \). Applying (2.1) to the relation

\[ m = \sum_{k|m} \varphi(k) \]
Theorem 2.1. For every $n \geq 2$ and every divisor $s$ of $n$,
\begin{equation}
N_n^s = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j \mid s} \mu(j) \varphi(sj) \left( \frac{n}{sj} \right)!.
\end{equation}

When $s = n$ the formula reduces to $N_n^n = (1/n)\mu(1)\varphi(n)n = \varphi(n)$ which agrees with our earlier count.

Proof. Set $r := n/s$. We have $\rho^r \nu \rho^{-r} = \nu$ if and only if $\text{sym}(\nu)$ is a multiple of $s$ if and only if $\nu \in C_{n/j}$ for some $j \mid r$. Denoting $\nu$ by $(\nu_1 \nu_2 \cdots \nu_n)$, this condition can be written as
\begin{equation}
(\rho^r(\nu_1) \rho^r(\nu_2) \cdots \rho^r(\nu_n)) = (\nu_1 \nu_2 \cdots \nu_n),
\end{equation}
which holds if and only if there is an integer $m$ such that
\begin{equation}
\rho^r(\nu_i) = \nu_{\rho^m(i)} \quad \text{for all } i.
\end{equation}
The rotations $\rho^r : i \mapsto i + r$ and $\rho^m : i \mapsto i + m \pmod{n}$ have orders $n/\gcd(r, n) = n/r$ and $n/\gcd(m, n)$ respectively. By (2.4), these orders are equal, hence
\[ r = \gcd(m, n). \]
Setting $t := m/r$ gives $\gcd(t, s) = 1$, so there are at most $\varphi(s)$ possibilities for $t$ and therefore for $m$. The action of the rotation $\rho^m$ partitions $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ into $r$ disjoint orbits each consisting of $s$ elements and these $r$ orbits are represented by $1, \ldots, r$. In fact, if
\[ i + \ell m = i' + \ell' m \pmod{n} \]
for some $1 \leq i, i' \leq r$ and $1 \leq \ell, \ell' \leq s$, then $i - i' = m(\ell' - \ell) \pmod{n}$ so $i = i' \pmod{s}$ which gives $i = i'$. Moreover, $\ell m = \ell' m \pmod{n}$ so $\ell t = \ell' t \pmod{s}$. Since $\gcd(t, s) = 1$, this implies $\ell = \ell' \pmod{s}$ which shows $\ell = \ell'$.

Now (2.4) shows that for each of the $\varphi(s)$ choices of $m$, the cycle $\nu$ is completely determined by the integers $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_r$, and different choices of $m$ lead to different cycles. We may always assume $\nu_1 = 1$. This leaves $n - s$ choices for $\nu_2$ (corresponding to the elements of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ that are not in the orbit of $\nu_1 = 1$ under $\rho^m$), $n - 2s$ choices for $\nu_3, \ldots$ and $n - (r - 1)s = s$ choices for $\nu_r$. Thus, the total number of choices for $\nu$ is
\begin{equation}
\varphi(s)(n - s)(n - 2s)\cdots s = \varphi(s) s^{r-1} (r - 1)! = \frac{1}{n} \varphi(s) s^r r!.
\end{equation}
Table 1 shows the values of $N_s^n$ for $2 \leq n \leq 15$. Notice that $N_1^n = N_3^n = 0$ but all other values are positive. Moreover, as $n$ gets larger the distribution $N_s^n$ appears to be overwhelmingly concentrated at $s = 1$. This is quantified in the following

**Theorem 2.2.** $N_1^n \sim (n - 1)!$ as $n \to \infty$.

This justifies the intuition that the chance of a randomly chosen $n$-cycle having any non-trivial rotational symmetry tends to zero as $n \to \infty$.

**Proof.** The formula (2.3) with $s = 1$ gives

$$nN_1^n = n! + \mu(n)\varphi(n)n + \sum_j \mu(j)\varphi(j) j^2 \left(\frac{n}{j}\right)!$$
or
\[
\frac{N_n^1}{(n-1)!} = 1 + \frac{\mu(n)\varphi(n)}{(n-1)!} + \frac{1}{n!} \sum_j \mu(j) \varphi(j) j_\mathbb{N}^1(n/j)! 
\]
where the sums are taken over all divisors \( j \) of \( n \) with \( 1 < j < n \). We need only check that the term on the far right tends to 0 as \( n \to \infty \). If \( j|n \) and \( 1 < j < n \), then \( j \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \) and \( n/j \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \). Hence,
\[
(2.5) \quad \varphi(j) j_\mathbb{N}^1\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)! \leq j_\mathbb{N}^{2+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)! \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor! 
\]
The Stirling formula \( k! \sim \sqrt{2\pi k} \, k^k e^{-k} \) gives the elementary estimate
\[
k^k k! \leq \text{const.} \left(\frac{e}{4}\right)^k \cdot 
\]
Applying this to (2.5) for \( k = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \), we obtain
\[
\frac{1}{n!} \varphi(j) j_\mathbb{N}^1\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)! \leq \text{const.} n \left(\frac{e}{4}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}. 
\]
Thus,
\[
\frac{1}{n!} \left| \sum_j \mu(j) \varphi(j) j_\mathbb{N}^1\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)! \right| \leq \frac{1}{n!} \sum_j \varphi(j) j_\mathbb{N}^1\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)! \leq \text{const.} n^2 \left(\frac{e}{4}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}, 
\]
which tends to 0 as \( n \to \infty \). \( \square \)

2.2. The numbers \( T_n \). The count (2.3) leads to the following formula for the number of distinct combinatorial types of \( n \)-cycles. It turns out that this formula is not new: It appears in the On-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences as the number of 2-colored patterns of an \( n \times n \) chessboard [Sl].

Theorem 2.3. For every \( n \geq 2 \),
\[
(2.6) \quad T_n = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j|n} (\varphi(j))^2 j_\mathbb{N}^2\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)! 
\]

Observe that for prime \( n \) the formula reduces to
\[
T_n = \frac{1}{n^2} ((\varphi(1))^2 n! + (\varphi(n))^2 n!) = \frac{1}{n} ((n-1)! + (n-1)^2) 
\]
which agrees with our derivation in (1.1). Table 2 shows the values of \( T_n \) for \( 2 \leq n \leq 20 \).

Proof. By (1.4) and (2.3),
\[
T_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s|n} s N_n^s = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{s|n} \sum_{j|s} s \mu(j) \varphi(sj) (sj_\mathbb{N}^2\left(\frac{n}{sj}\right)!) 
\]
Table 2. The values of $T_n$ for $2 \leq n \leq 20$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$T_n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>36336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>329900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3326788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>36846288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>5811886656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>81729688428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1230752346368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>19760413251956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>336967037143596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>6082255029733168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sum interchange formula

$$\sum_{s|n} \sum_{j|n} f(j, s) = \sum_{j|n} \sum_{s|j} f\left(\frac{j}{s}, s\right)$$

then gives

$$T_n = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j|n} \sum_{s|j} s \mu\left(\frac{j}{s}\right) \varphi(j) j^\frac{n}{j} \left(\frac{n}{j}\right)!$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j|n} \left( \sum_{s|j} s \mu\left(\frac{j}{s}\right) \right) \varphi(j) j^\frac{n}{j} \left(\frac{n}{j}\right)!$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j|n} (\varphi(j))^2 j^\frac{n}{j} \left(\frac{n}{j}\right)!$$

(by (2.2)),

as required. □

It is evident from Table 2 that the sequence $\{T_n\}$ grows rapidly as $n \to \infty$.

Theorem 2.4. $T_n \sim \frac{n!}{n^2} \sim (n-2)!$ as $n \to \infty$. 

Proof. This is easy to verify. By (2.6),
\[
\frac{n^2 T_n}{n!} = 1 + \frac{(\varphi(n))^2}{(n-1)!} + \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{j}(\varphi(j))^2 j^2 \left(\frac{n}{j}\right)!
\]
where the sum is taken over all divisors \( j \) of \( n \) with \( 1 < j < n \). The same estimate as in the proof of Theorem [2.2] shows that for such \( j \),
\[
\frac{1}{n!} (\varphi(j))^2 j^2 \left(\frac{n}{j}\right)! \leq \text{const}. n^2 \left(\frac{e}{4}\right)^{\frac{n}{j}}.
\]
Thus,
\[
\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{j}(\varphi(j))^2 j^2 \left(\frac{n}{j}\right)! \leq \text{const}. n^3 \left(\frac{e}{4}\right)^{\frac{n}{j}}
\]
which tends to 0 as \( n \to \infty \). \( \square \)

Remark 2.5. The ratio \( n^2 T_n/n! \) tends to 1 at a much faster rate than geometric. In fact, a slightly more careful estimate gives the improved (but not optimal) bound
\[
\frac{n^2 T_n}{n!} = 1 + O\left(\left(\frac{3}{n}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}\right) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.
\]

3. The degree counts

We now turn to the problem of counting \( n \)-cycles with a given degree, using the dynamics of a family of covering endomorphisms of the circle.

Conventions 3.1. (i) It will be convenient to extend the definition of \( N_{n,d} \) to all \( d \geq 1 \) by setting \( N_{n,d} = 0 \) if \( d \geq n - 1 \).

(ii) We follow the customary practice of setting
\[
\binom{a}{b} = 0 \quad \text{if } b < 0 \text{ or } 0 < a < b.
\]

3.1. The circle endomorphisms \( m_k \). For each integer \( k \geq 2 \), consider the multiplication-by-\( k \) map of the circle \( \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \) defined by
\[
m_k(x) := kx \quad \text{(mod } \mathbb{Z}).
\]
Let \( O = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \) be a period \( n \) orbit of \( m_k \), where the representatives are labeled so that \( 0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n < 1 \). We say that \( O \) realizes the cycle \( \nu \in \mathcal{C}_n \) if
\[
m_k(x_i) = x_{\nu(i)} \quad \text{for all } i.
\]
We say that $O$ realizes a combinatorial type $[\nu]$ in $C_n$ if it realizes the cycle $\rho^i\nu\rho^{-1}$ for some $i$. For example, the periodic orbit
\[
\{x_1 = \frac{16}{242}, x_2 = \frac{48}{242}, x_3 = \frac{86}{242}, x_4 = \frac{144}{242}, x_5 = \frac{190}{242}\}
\]
of the tripling map $m_3$ realizes $\nu = (1\ 2\ 4\ 5\ 3) \in C_5$ and therefore it realizes the combinatorial type $\{\nu, \rho\nu\rho^{-1}, \rho^2\nu\rho^{-2}, \rho^3\nu\rho^{-3}, \rho^4\nu\rho^{-4}\}$.

It follows from the topological interpretation of the degree in §1.2 that if an orbit of $m_k$ realizes $\nu \in C_{n,d}$, then necessarily $k \geq d$. Conversely, if $\nu \in C_{n,d}$ and $k \geq \max\{d, 2\}$, there are always period $n$ orbits of $m_k$ that realize the combinatorial type $[\nu]$. In fact, by translating the realization problem to finding the steady-state of a regular Markov chain, the following result is proved in [PZ]:

**Theorem 3.2.** If $\nu \in C_{n,d}$ and $k \geq \max\{d, 2\}$, there are precisely
\[
\frac{k - 1}{s} \left(\frac{n + k - d - 1}{n - 1}\right)
\]
period $n$ orbits of $m_k$ that realize the combinatorial type $[\nu]$.

The following corollary is immediate:

**Corollary 3.3.** For every $k \geq 2$ and $d \geq 1$, the number of period $n$ orbits of $m_k$ that realize some $\nu \in C_{n,d}$ is
\[
\frac{k - 1}{n} \left(\frac{n + k - d - 1}{n - 1}\right) N_{n,d}.
\]

**Proof.** The claim is trivial if $d > k$ since in this case the number of such orbits and the binomial coefficient $\binom{n+k-d-1}{n-1}$ are both 0. If $2 \leq d \leq k$, then by Theorem 3.2 for each divisor $s$ of $\gcd(n,d-1)$ there are
\[
\frac{k - 1}{s} \left(\frac{n + k - d - 1}{n - 1}\right) T_{s,n,d} = \frac{k - 1}{n} \left(\frac{n + k - d - 1}{n - 1}\right) N_{n,d}
\]
period $n$ orbits of $m_k$ that realize some $\nu \in C_{n,d}$. The result then follows from (1.3) by summing over all such $s$. Finally, since $C_{n,1} = C_{n,1}$, Theorem 3.2 shows that there are
\[
\frac{k - 1}{n} \left(\frac{n + k - 2}{n - 1}\right) T_{n,1} = \frac{k - 1}{n} \left(\frac{n + k - 2}{n - 1}\right) N_{n,1}
\]
period $n$ orbits of $m_k$ that realize some $\nu \in C_{n,1}$. □
3.2. The numbers $N_{n,d}$. For $k \geq 2$ let $P_n(k)$ denote the number of periodic points of $m_k$ of period $n$. The periodic points of $m_k$ whose period is a divisor of $n$ are precisely the $k^n - 1$ solutions of the equation $k^n x = x \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$. Thus,

(3.1) \[ \sum_{r|n} P_r(k) = k^n - 1 \]

and the Möbius inversion formula gives

(3.2) \[ P_n(k) = \sum_{r|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{r}\right) (k^r - 1). \]

Introduce the integer-valued quantity

\[
\Delta_n(k) := \begin{cases} 
    \frac{P_n(k)}{k-1} & \text{if } k \geq 2 \\
    \varphi(n) & \text{if } k = 1.
\end{cases}
\]

By (3.2), for every $k \geq 2$,

\[
\Delta_n(k) = \sum_{r|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{r}\right) \frac{k^r - 1}{k - 1} = \sum_{r|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{r}\right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} k^j\right).
\]

If $k = 1$, the sum on the far right reduces to $\sum_{r|n} r \mu(n/r)$ which is equal to $\varphi(n)$ by (2.2). It follows that

(3.3) \[ \Delta_n(k) = \sum_{r|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{r}\right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} k^j\right) \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1. \]

Since $m_k$ has $P_n(k)/n$ period $n$ orbits altogether, Corollary 3.3 shows that for every $k \geq 2$,

\[
\frac{k-1}{n} \sum_{d=1}^{n-2} \binom{n+k-d-1}{n-1} N_{n,d} = \frac{P_n(k)}{n}
\]

or

(3.4) \[ \sum_{d=1}^{n-2} \binom{n+k-d-1}{n-1} N_{n,d} = \Delta_n(k). \]

This is in fact true for every $k \geq 1$ (the case $k = 1$ reduces to $N_{n,1} = \Delta_n(1) = \varphi(n)$).

Remark 3.4. Since the summand in (3.4) is zero unless $1 \leq d \leq \min(n-2, k)$, we can replace the upper bound of the sum by $k$. 
Table 3. The distributions $N_{n,d}$ for $2 \leq n \leq 12$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>1432</td>
<td>2336</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>5508</td>
<td>16548</td>
<td>14238</td>
<td>3402</td>
<td>168</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>19680</td>
<td>99250</td>
<td>153860</td>
<td>77466</td>
<td>11320</td>
<td>350</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td>66616</td>
<td>534688</td>
<td>1365100</td>
<td>1233760</td>
<td>389224</td>
<td>36784</td>
<td>682</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3972</td>
<td>217344</td>
<td>2671560</td>
<td>10568280</td>
<td>15569376</td>
<td>8893248</td>
<td>1851096</td>
<td>116580</td>
<td>1340</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Theorem 3.5. For every $d \geq 1$,

\[(3.5) \quad N_{n,d} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} (-1)^{d-i} \binom{n}{d-i} \Delta_n(i).\]

In particular, the theorem claims vanishing of the sum if $d \geq n - 1$. Table 3 shows the values of $N_{n,d}$ for $2 \leq n \leq 12$.

Proof. This is a form of inversion for binomial coefficients. Use (3.4) to write

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{d} (-1)^{d-i} \binom{n}{d-i} \Delta_n(i)
= \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (-1)^{d-i} \binom{n}{d-i} \binom{n+i-j-1}{n-1} N_{n,j}
= \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{i} (-1)^{d-i} \binom{n}{d-i} \binom{n+i-j-1}{n-1} N_{n,j} \quad \text{(by Remark 3.4)}
= \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left( \sum_{i=j}^{d} (-1)^{d-i} \binom{n}{d-i} \binom{n+i-j-1}{n-1} \right) N_{n,j}.
\]

Thus, (3.5) is proved once we check that

\[(3.6) \quad \sum_{i=j}^{d} (-1)^{d-i} \binom{n}{d-i} \binom{n+i-j-1}{n-1} = 0 \quad \text{for } j < d.\]
Introduce the new variables $a := i - j$ and $b := d - j > 0$ so (3.6) takes the form

\[(3.7) \quad \sum_{a=0}^{b} (-1)^a \binom{n}{b-a} \binom{n+a-1}{n-1} = 0.\]

The identity \[\binom{n}{b-a} \binom{n+a-1}{n-1} = \frac{n}{b} \binom{n+a-1}{b-1} \binom{b}{a}\]
shows that (3.7) is in turn equivalent to

\[(3.8) \quad \sum_{a=0}^{b} (-1)^a \binom{n+a-1}{b-1} \binom{b}{a} = 0.\]

To prove (3.8), consider the binomial expansion

\[P(x) := x^{n-1}(x + 1)^b = \sum_{a=0}^{b} \binom{b}{a} x^{n+a-1}\]
and differentiate it $b - 1$ times with respect to $x$ to get

\[P^{(b-1)}(x) = (b-1)! \sum_{a=0}^{b} \binom{n+a-1}{b-1} \binom{b}{a} x^{n+a-b}.\]

Since $P$ has a zero of order $b$ at $x = -1$, we have $P^{(b-1)}(-1) = 0$ and (3.8) follows.

As an application of Theorem 3.5, we record the following result which will be invoked in §4:

**Theorem 3.6.** The generating function $G_n(x) := \sum_{d=1}^{n-2} N_{n,d} x^d$ has the expansion

\[(3.9) \quad G_n(x) = (1 - x)^n \sum_{i \geq 1} \Delta_n(i) x^i.\]

This should be viewed as an equality between formal power series. It is a true equality for $|x| < 1$ where the series on the right converges absolutely.\(^2\)

**Proof.** For each $d \geq 1$ the coefficient of $x^d$ in the product

\[(1 - x)^n \sum_{i \geq 1} \Delta_n(i) x^i = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} x^j \cdot \sum_{i \geq 1} \Delta_n(i) x^i\]
is $\sum_{i=1}^{d} (-1)^{d-i} \binom{n}{d-i} \Delta_n(i)$. This is $N_{n,d}$ by (3.5). \(\square \)

\(^2\)This is because $\Delta_n(i)$ grows like $i^{n-1}$ for fixed $n$ as $i \to \infty$; compare Lemma 4.5.
3.3. The numbers $T_{n,d}$. Our counts for the numbers $N_n^s$ and $N_{n,d}$ lead to the system of linear equations (1.2) and (1.3) on the $N_{n,d}^s$, but such systems are typically under-determined. Thus, additional information is needed to find the $N_{n,d}^s$ and therefore $T_{n,d}$. The following example serves to illustrate this point, where we use the dynamics of $m_k$ to obtain this additional information.

Example 3.7. For $n = 8$ there are nine admissible pairs

$$(d, s) = (1, 8), (2, 1), (3, 1), (3, 2), (4, 1), (5, 1), (5, 2), (5, 4), (6, 1).$$

We record the values of $N_{8,d}^s$ on a grid as shown in Fig. 2. By (1.2) and (1.3), the values along the $s$-th row add up to $N_{8}^s$ and those along the $d$-th column add up to $N_{8,d}^s$, both available from Tables 1 and 3. This immediately gives five of the required nine values:

$N_{8,1}^8 = 4, \quad N_{8,2}^1 = 208, \quad N_{8,4}^1 = 2336, \quad N_{8,5}^4 = 4, \quad N_{8,6}^1 = 80.$

Moreover, it leads to the system of linear equations

$$\begin{align*}
N_{8,3}^1 + N_{8,3}^2 &= 1432 \\
N_{8,5}^1 + N_{8,5}^2 &= 976 \\
N_{8,3}^1 + N_{8,5}^1 &= 2368 \\
N_{8,3}^2 + N_{8,5}^2 &= 40
\end{align*}$$

on the remaining four unknowns which has rank 3 and therefore does not determine the solution uniquely. An additional piece of information can be obtained by considering the period 8 orbits of $m_3$ which realize cycles in $C_{8,3}^2$. 

**Figure 2. Computation of the joint distribution $N_{8,d}^s$ in Example 3.7.**
(see [PZ], especially Theorem 6.6, for the results supporting the following claims). Every such orbit is self-antipodal in the sense that it is invariant under the $180^\circ$ rotation $x \mapsto x + 1/2$ of the circle $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $x$ belongs to such orbit if and only if it satisfies
\[
3^4 x = x + \frac{1}{2} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.
\]
This is equivalent to $x$ being rational of the form
\[
x = \frac{2j - 1}{160} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}} \quad \text{for some } 1 \leq j \leq 80.
\]
Of the 10 orbits of $m_3$ thus determined, 4 realize rotation cycles in $C_{8,1}$ and the remaining 6 realize cycles in $C_{8,3}$. Moreover, by Theorem 3.2 every combinatorial type in $C_{8,3}$ is realized by a unique orbit of $m_3$. It follows that $N_{8,3}^2 = 4T_{8,3}^2 = 24$. Now from 3.10 we obtain
\[
N_{8,3}^1 = 1408, \quad N_{8,3}^2 = 24, \quad N_{8,5}^1 = 960, \quad N_{8,5}^2 = 16
\]
and therefore
\[
T_{8,1} = 4, \quad T_{8,2} = 26, \quad T_{8,3} = 182, \quad T_{8,4} = 292, \quad T_{8,5} = 126, \quad T_{8,6} = 10.
\]
Observe that $T_8 = \sum_{d=1}^6 T_{8,d} = 640$, in agreement with the value in Table 2 coming from formula (2.6).

Table 4 shows the result of similar but often more complicated dynamical arguments to determine $T_{n,d}$ for $n$ up to 12. It would be desirable to develop a general method (and perhaps a closed formula) to compute these numbers for arbitrary $n$.

4. A STATISTICAL VIEW OF THE DEGREE

4.1. Classical Eulerian numbers. The numbers $N_{n,d}$ are the analogs of the Eulerian numbers $A_{n,d}$ which tally the permutations of descent number $d$ in the full symmetric group $S_n$.

\[
A_{n,d} := \# \{ \nu \in S_n : \des(\nu) = d \}.
\]

For each $n$ the index $d$ now runs from 0 to $n - 1$, with $A_{n,0} = A_{n,n-1} = 1$. The Eulerian numbers occur in many contexts, including areas outside of combinatorics, and have been studied extensively (for an excellent account, see [Pe]). Here are a few of their basic properties:

- **Symmetry:**
  \[
  A_{n,d} = A_{n,n-d-1}.
  \]

---

\[3\]The numbers $A_{n,d}$ are denoted by \( \langle n \rangle_d \) in [GKP] and by $A(n, d + 1)$ in [St].
Table 4. The distributions $T_{n,d}$ for $2 \leq n \leq 12$. The entries in red cannot be obtained from the sole knowledge of the $N_n$ and $N_{n,d}$ in Tables 1 and 3.

- **Linear recurrence relation:**
  \[ A_{n,d} = (d + 1)A_{n-1,d} + (n - d)A_{n-1,d-1}. \]

- **Worpitzky’s identity:**
  \[ \sum_{d=0}^{n-1} \binom{n + k - d - 1}{n} A_{n,d} = k^n \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1 \]

- **Alternating sum formula:**
  \[ A_{n,d} = \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} (-1)^{d-i+1} \binom{n+1}{d-i+1} i^n. \]

- **Carlitz’s identity:** The generating function $A_n(x) := \sum_{d=0}^{n-1} A_{n,d} x^d$ (also known as the $n$-th “Eulerian polynomial”) satisfies
  \[ A_n(x) = (1 - x)^{n+1} \sum_{i \geq 1} t^n x^{i-1}. \]

The last three formulas reveal a remarkable similarity between the sequences $N_{n,d}$ and $A_{n-1,d-1}$. In fact, (3.4) is the analog of Worpitzky’s identity (4.1) for $A_{n-1,d-1}$ once $\Delta_n(k)$ is replaced with $k^{n-1}$. Similarly, (3.5) is the analog of the alternating sum formula (4.2) for $A_{n-1,d-1}$ when we replace $\Delta_n(i)$ with $i^{n-1}$. Finally, (3.9) is the analog of Carlitz’s identity (4.3) for $\sum_{d=1}^{n-1} A_{n-1,d-1} x^d = x A_{n-1}(x)$, again replacing $\Delta_n(i)$ with $i^{n-1}$. 
There is also an analogy between the $N_{n,d}$ and the restricted Eulerian numbers

\[(4.4) \quad B_{n,d} := \# \{ \nu \in C_n : \text{des}(\nu) = d \}.\]

In the beautiful paper [DMP] which is motivated by the problem of riffle shuffles of a deck of cards, the authors obtain exact formulas for the distribution of descents in a given conjugacy class of $S_n$. As a special case, their formulas show that

\[
B_{n,d} = \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} (-1)^{d-i+1} \binom{n+1}{d-i+1} f_n(i),
\]

where

\[
f_n(i) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{r}\right) i^r
\]

is the number of aperiodic circular words of length $n$ from an alphabet of $i$ letters. One cannot help but notice the similarity between the above formula for $B_{n-1,d-1}$ and (3.5), and between $f_n(i)$ and $\Delta_n(i)$ in (3.3).

4.2. **Asymptotic normality.** The statistical behavior of classical Eulerian numbers is well understood. For example, it is known that the distribution \(\{A_{n,d}\}_{0 \leq d \leq n-1}\) is unimodal with a peak at $d = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. Moreover, the descent number of a randomly chosen permutation in $S_n$ (with respect to the uniform measure) has the mean and variance

\[
\bar{\mu}_n := \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{d=0}^{n-1} dA_{n,d} = \frac{n - 1}{2},
\]

\[
\bar{\sigma}^2_n := \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{d=0}^{n-1} (d - \bar{\mu}_n)^2 A_{n,d} = \frac{n + 1}{12}.
\]

These computations can be expressed in terms of the generating functions $A_n$ introduced in §4.1:

\[(4.5) \quad \frac{A'_n(1)}{n!} = \frac{n - 1}{2},\]

\[(4.6) \quad \frac{A''_n(1)}{n!} + \frac{A'_n(1)}{n!} - \left(\frac{A'_n(1)}{n!}\right)^2 = \frac{n + 1}{12}.\]

When rescaled by its mean and variance, the distribution \(\{A_{n,d}\}_{0 \leq d \leq n-1}\) converges to the standard normal distribution as $n \to \infty$ (see [B], [H], [Pi]).
This is the central limit theorem for Eulerian numbers. In fact, we have the error bound

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{d \leq \bigtriangleup n x + \hat{\rho}_n} A_{n,d} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-t^2/2} dt \right| = O(n^{-1/2}).$$

Similar results hold for the restricted Eulerian numbers $B_{n,d}$ defined in (4.4). In [F], Fulman shows that the mean and variance of $\text{des}(\nu)$ for a randomly chosen $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_n$ are also $(n - 1)/2$ and $(n + 1)/12$ respectively. More generally, he shows that the $k$-th moment of $\text{des}(\nu)$ for $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_n$ provided that $n \geq 2k$. From this result one can immediately conclude that the rescaled distribution $B_{n,d}$ also converges to normal as $n \to \infty$.

Below we will prove corresponding results for the distribution of degree for randomly chosen $n$-cycles.

**Theorem 4.1.** The mean and variance of $\text{deg}(\nu)$ for a randomly chosen $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_n$ (with respect to the uniform measure) are

$$\mu_n := \frac{1}{(n - 1)!} \sum_{i \geq 1} d N_{n,d} = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{n - 1} \quad (n \geq 3),$$

$$\sigma^2_n := \frac{1}{(n - 1)!} \sum_{i \geq 1} (d - \mu_n)^2 N_{n,d} = \frac{n}{12} + \frac{n}{(n - 1)(n - 2)} \quad (n \geq 5).$$

**Proof.** The argument is inspired by the method of [F, Theorem 2]. We begin by using the formula (3.3) for $\Delta_n(i)$ in the equation (3.9) to express the generating function $G_n$ in terms of the Eulerian polynomials $A_j$ in (4.3):

$$G_n(x) = (1 - x)^n \sum_{i \geq 1} \sum_{r \mid n} \sum_{j = 0}^{r - 1} \mu \left( \frac{n}{r} \right) i^j x^i$$

$$\quad = (1 - x)^n \sum_{i \geq 1} \sum_{j = 0}^{i - 1} i^j x^i + (1 - x)^n \sum_{i \geq 1} \sum_{r \mid n} \sum_{j = 0}^{r - 1} \mu \left( \frac{n}{r} \right) i^j x^i$$

$$\quad = \sum_{j = 0}^{n - 1} x(1 - x)^{n - j - 1} A_j(x) + \sum_{r \mid n} \sum_{j = 0}^{r - 1} \mu \left( \frac{n}{r} \right) x(1 - x)^{n - j - 1} A_j(x).$$

(4.7)

If $n \geq 3$, every index $j$ in the double sum in (4.7) is $\leq n - 3$, so the polynomial in $x$ defined by this double sum has $(1 - x)^2$ as a factor. It follows that for $n \geq 3$,

$$G_n(x) = x A_{n - 1}(x) + x(1 - x) A_{n - 2}(x) + O((1 - x)^2)$$
as \( x \to 1 \). This gives
\[
G'_n(1) = A'_{n-1}(1) + A_{n-1}(1) - A_{n-2}(1),
\]
so by (4.5)
\[
\mu_n = \frac{G'_n(1)}{(n-1)!} = \frac{n-2}{2} + 1 - \frac{1}{n-1} = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{n-1}.
\]
Similarly, if \( n \geq 5 \), every index \( j \) in the double sum in (4.7) is \( \leq n-4 \), so the polynomial defined by this double sum has \((1-x)^3\) as a factor. It follows that for \( n \geq 5 \),
\[
G_n(x) = xA_{n-1}(x) + x(1-x)A_{n-2}(x) + x(1-x)^2A_{n-3}(x) + O((1-x)^3)
\]
as \( x \to 1 \). This gives
\[
G''_n(1) = A''_{n-1}(1) + 2A'_{n-1}(1) - 2A'_{n-2}(1) - 2A_{n-2}(1) + 2A_{n-3}(1).
\]
A straightforward computation using (4.5) and (4.6) then shows that
\[
\sigma^2_n = \frac{G''_n(1)}{(n-1)!} + \frac{G'_n(1)}{(n-1)!} - \left( \frac{G'_n(1)}{(n-1)!} \right)^2 = \frac{n}{12} + \frac{n}{(n-1)^2(n-2)},
\]
as required. \( \square \)

**Remark 4.2.** More generally, the expression (4.7) shows that for fixed \( k \) and large enough \( n \),
\[
G_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} x(1-x)^j A_{n-j-1}(x) + O((1-x)^{k+1})
\]
as \( x \to 1 \). Differentiating this \( k \) times and evaluating at \( x = 1 \), we obtain the relation
\[
G^{(k)}_n(1) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} j! A^{(k-j)}_{n-j-1}(1) + \binom{k}{j+1} (j+1)! A^{(k-j-1)}_{n-j-1}(1)
\]
which in theory links the moments of \( \deg(\nu) \) for \( \nu \in \mathcal{C}_n \) to the moments of \( \text{des}(\nu) \) for \( \nu \in \mathcal{S}_j \) for \( n-k \leq j \leq n-1 \).

Numerical evidence suggest that the distribution \( \{N_{n,d}\}_{1 \leq d \leq n-2} \) is also unimodal and reaches a peak at \( d = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \). Theorem 4.3 below asserts that when rescaled by its mean and variance, the distribution \( \{N_{n,d}\}_{1 \leq d \leq n-2} \) converges to normal as \( n \to \infty \). In particular, the asymmetry of the numbers \( N_{n,d} \) relative to \( d \) will asymptotically disappear. These facts are illustrated in Fig. 3.
Figure 3. The combined distributions \( \{ N_{n,d} \}_{n \leq 100} \) (top) and the distribution \( N_{200,d} \) (bottom), rescaled by their mean and variance. The continuous curve in yellow is the standard normal distribution.

Consider the sequence of normalized random variables

\[
X_n := \frac{1}{\sigma_n} \left( \text{deg} |c_n| - \mu_n \right).
\]

Let \( \mathcal{N}(0,1) \) denote the normally distributed random variable with the mean 0 and variance 1.

**Theorem 4.3.** \( X_n \to \mathcal{N}(0,1) \) in distribution as \( n \to \infty \).

The proof follows the strategy of [FKL] and makes use of the following recent result of [KL] which is a variant of a classical theorem of Curtiss. Recall that the moment generating function \( M_X \) of a random variable \( X \) is the expected value of \( e^{sX} \):

\[
M_X(s) := \mathbb{E}(e^{sX}) \quad (s \in \mathbb{R}).
\]
Lemma 4.4 ([KL]). Let \( \{X_n\}_{n \geq 1} \) and \( Y \) be random variables and assume that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} M_{X_n}(s) = M_Y(s) \) for all \( s \) in some non-empty open interval in \( \mathbb{R} \). Then \( X_n \to Y \) in distribution as \( n \to \infty \).

The proof of Theorem 4.3 via Lemma 4.4 will depend on two preliminary estimates.

Lemma 4.5. For every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there are constants \( n(\varepsilon) \), \( i(\varepsilon) > 0 \) such that

\[
\Delta_n(i) \begin{cases} 
(1 + \varepsilon) i^{n-1} & \text{if } n \geq 2 \text{ and } i \geq i(\varepsilon) \\
(1 - \varepsilon) i^{n-1} & \text{if } n \geq n(\varepsilon) \text{ and } i \geq 2.
\end{cases}
\]

Proof. By (3.1),

\[
\Delta_n(i) \leq \sum_{r \mid n} \Delta_r(i) = \frac{i^n - 1}{i - 1}.
\]

The upper bound follows since \( (i^n - 1)/(i - 1) < (1 + \varepsilon)i^{n-1} \) for all \( n \) if \( i \) is large enough depending on \( \varepsilon \).

For the lower bound, first note that the inequality \( (i^r - 1)/(i - 1) \leq 2i^{r-1} \) holds for all \( r \geq 1 \) and all \( i \geq 2 \). Thus, by (3.3), we can estimate

\[
\Delta_n(i) \geq \frac{i^n - 1}{i - 1} - \sum_{r \mid n, r < n} \frac{i^r - 1}{i - 1} \geq i^{n-1} - \sum_{r \mid n, r < n} 2i^{r-1}
\]

\[
\geq i^{n-1} - \sum_{r=1}^{[n/2]} i^{r-1} \geq i^{n-1} - 2 \frac{i^{n/2} - 1}{i - 1}
\]

\[
\geq i^{n-1} - 4i^{n/2 - 1}.
\]

The last term is bounded below by \( (1 - \varepsilon)i^{n-1} \) for all \( i \) if \( n \) is large enough depending on \( \varepsilon \). \( \square \)

Lemma 4.6 ([FKL]). For every \( 0 < x < 1 \) and \( n \geq 1 \),

\[
\frac{(n-1)!}{(\log(1/x))^n} \leq \sum_{i \geq 2} i^{n-1} x^i \leq \frac{(n-1)!}{x(\log(1/x))^n}.
\]

Proof. By elementary calculus,

\[
\sum_{i \geq 2} i^{n-1} x^i \leq \int_0^\infty u^{n-1} u^{n-1} du = \frac{(n-1)!}{x(\log(1/x))^n}.
\]

and

\[
\sum_{i \geq 2} i^{n-1} x^i \geq \int_0^\infty u^{n-1} u^{n-1} du = \frac{(n-1)!}{x(\log(1/x))^n}.
\]

\( \square \)
Proof of Theorem 4.3. By Lemma 4.4 it suffices to show that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} M_{X_n}(s) = M_{N(0,1)}(s) = e^{s^2/2} \) for all negative values of \( s \). Fix an \( s < 0 \) and set \( 0 < x := e^{s/\sigma_n} < 1 \) (we will think of \( x \) as a function of \( n \), with \( \lim_{n \to \infty} x = 1 \)). Notice that by Theorem 4.1

\[
\mu_n = \frac{n}{2} + O(n^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_n^2 = \frac{n}{12} + O(n^{-2}) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.
\]

Using (3.9), we can write

\[
M_{X_n}(s) = \mathbb{E}(e^{sX_n}) = \frac{e^{-\mu_n/\sigma_n}}{(n-1)!} G_n(e^{s/\sigma_n}) = \frac{x^{-\mu_n}}{(n-1)!} G_n(x)
\]

\[
= \frac{x^{1-\mu_n}(1-x)n\varphi(n)}{(n-1)!} + \frac{x^{-\mu_n}(1-x)^n}{(n-1)!} \sum_{i \geq 2} \Delta_n(i)x^i.
\]

As the first term is easily seen to tend to zero, it suffices to show that

\[
H_n := \frac{x^{-\mu_n}(1-x)^n}{(n-1)!} \sum_{i \geq 2} \Delta_n(i)x^i \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} e^{s^2/2}.
\]

By (4.8) we have the estimate

\[
1 - x = -\frac{s}{\sigma_n} - \frac{s^2}{2\sigma_n^2} + O(n^{-3/2}).
\]

This, combined with the basic expansion

\[
\log \left( \frac{1 - x}{\log(1/x)} \right) = -\frac{1}{2}(1-x) - \frac{5}{24}(1-x)^2 + O((1-x)^3),
\]

shows that

\[
\left( \frac{1 - x}{\log(1/x)} \right)^n = \exp \left( \frac{ns}{2\sigma_n} + \frac{ns^2}{24\sigma_n^2} + O(n^{-1/2}) \right).
\]

Take any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and find \( n(\varepsilon) \) from Lemma 4.5. Then, if \( n \geq n(\varepsilon) \),

\[
H_n \geq \frac{x^{-\mu_n}(1-x)^n}{(n-1)!} (1 - \varepsilon) \sum_{i \geq 2} i^{n-1}x^i
\]

\[
\geq (1 - \varepsilon)x^{1-\mu_n} \left( \frac{1 - x}{\log(1/x)} \right)^n \quad \text{(by Lemma 4.6)}
\]

\[
= (1 - \varepsilon) \exp \left( \frac{s(1 - \mu_n)}{\sigma_n} + \frac{ns}{2\sigma_n} + \frac{ns^2}{24\sigma_n^2} + O(n^{-1/2}) \right) \quad \text{(by (4.10))}
\]

\[
= (1 - \varepsilon) \exp \left( \frac{s(1 + O(n^{-1}))}{\sigma_n} + \frac{s^2}{2 + O(n^{-3})} + O(n^{-1/2}) \right) \quad \text{(by (4.8))}.
\]
Taking the lim inf as \( n \to \infty \) and then letting \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), we obtain
\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} H_n \geq e^{s^2/2}.
\]
Similarly, take any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), find \( i(\varepsilon) \) from Lemma 4.5 and use the basic inequality \( \Delta_n(i) \leq (i^n - 1)/(i - 1) \leq 2i^{n-1} \) for all \( n, i \geq 2 \) to estimate
\[
H_n = \frac{x^{-\mu_n}(1-x)^n}{(n-1)!} \left( \sum_{2 \leq i < i(\varepsilon)} + \sum_{i \geq i(\varepsilon)} \right) \Delta_n(i)x^i
\leq \frac{2x^{-\mu_n}(1-x)^n}{(n-1)!} \sum_{2 \leq i < i(\varepsilon)} i^{n-1}x^i + \frac{(1+\varepsilon)x^{-\mu_n}(1-x)^n}{(n-1)!} \sum_{i \geq i(\varepsilon)} i^{n-1}x^i.
\]
The first term is a polynomial in \( x \) and is easily seen to tend to zero as \( n \to \infty \). The second term is bounded above by
\[
(1+\varepsilon)x^{-1-\mu_n} \left( \frac{1-x}{\log(1/x)} \right)^n (\text{by Lemma 4.6})
\]
\[
=(1+\varepsilon) \exp \left( \frac{s(-1-\mu_n)}{\sigma_n} + \frac{ns}{2\sigma_n} + \frac{ns^2}{24\sigma_n^2} + O(n^{-1/2}) \right) (\text{by (4.10)})
\]
\[
=(1+\varepsilon) \exp \left( \frac{s(-1+O(n^{-1}))}{\sigma_n} + \frac{s^2}{2 + O(n^{-3})} + O(n^{-1/2}) \right) (\text{by (4.8)})
\]
Taking the lim sup as \( n \to \infty \) and then letting \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), we obtain
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} H_n \leq e^{s^2/2}.
\]
This verifies (4.9) and completes the proof. \( \square \)
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