GAUSSIAN SCROLLS, GAUSSIAN FLAGS AND DUALITY

ZIV RAN

ABSTRACT. A projective variety whose Gauss map has positive dimensional fibres corresponds to a special kind of scroll called *Gaussian*. A Gaussian scroll is a member of a canonical derived *Gaussian flag*. We introduce a duality in the class of Gaussian scrolls and flags and study its consequences. In particular, a Gaussian scroll is dual to the derived or tangent developable scroll of a Gaussian scroll in the dual projective space, and is the 'leading edge' or antiderived scroll of its derived stationary scroll.

1. Introduction: Gauss-deficient varieties

1.1. **Setup: degenerate Gauss maps as stationary scrolls.** Let X be an irreducible closed n-dimensional subvariety of \mathbb{P}^N (always over \mathbb{C}). In what follows we shall assume- without loss of interesting generality- that X is nondegenerate and not a cone The Gauss map

$$\gamma_X: X_{\mathrm{sm}} \to \mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}(n, N)$$

is the morphism (rational map on X) that maps a smooth point $x \in X$ to the embedded tangent space $\tilde{T}_x X$, which is an n-plane in \mathbb{P}^N . By a well-known theorem of Zak [15], this map is finite whenever X is smooth. However there exist many examples of singular varieties X for which γ_X is not generically finite to its image. These include cones, joins, tangent developables and more, see [6], [2], [3], [13] and below. In this case X is said to have a *degenerate* Gauss mapping and $g = \dim(\gamma_X(X))$ is called the *Gauss dimension* of X while the difference $\dim(X) - g$ is called the *Gauss deficiency* of X and X is said to be *Gauss-deficient* if its Gauss deficiency is X0. In fact, the fibres of X1 are known (see op. cit. or below) to be (open subsets of) linear spaces. Accordingly, a Gauss-deficient variety determines a particular kind of (singular) scroll which we will call *stationary scroll*, where stationary means that the Gauss map is constant on rulings. The stationary scrolls arising from Gauss maps are called *Gaussian*.

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce a scroll-centric viewpoint on Gauss-deficient varieties. Namely, a Gauss-deficient variety determines, besides its Gaussian

Date: March 29, 2024.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 14n05.

Key words and phrases. Gauss map, scrolls, duality.

arxiv.org 1909.03307; Rendiconti Circolo Mat. Palermo.

scroll, a canonical maximal *Gaussian flag* of Gaussian scrolls, in which every member except the first is the tangent developable of the preceding, and every member except the last is the 'leading edge' of the succeeding; moreover, there is a duality in the class of Gaussian flags, with 'developable' dual to 'leading edge' (see Theorem 17). We elaborate below.

- 1.2. **Known results.** In [6], Griffiths and Harris state a structure theorem for Gauss-deficient varieties. They assert somewhat vaguely that such varieties are 'built up from' (actually foliated by) cones and developable varieties. ([6], p. 392). In the case n = 2 (more generally, g = 1) they show that any stationary scroll is in fact a cone or a developable. Varieties with Gauss dimension g = 2 were classified by Piontkowski [12]. In [2] ¹, Akivis, Goldberg and Landsberg present some examples, especially "unions of conjugate spaces", which are neither cones nor developables. Subsequently Akivis and Goldberg [1] refined the Griffiths-Harris analysis to show that stationary scrolls are actually built up from, i.e. foliated by, certain 3 basic types. Further results are due to E. Mezzetti and O. Tommasi [10] and [11]. See also [15], [9] and [3] for comprehensive accounts of results on Gauss maps and various types of degeneracy. Still, the question of construction and classification of all stationary scrolls appears to be an open problem.
- 1.3. **Contents of the paper.** In §2 we define some notions related to the sort of scrolls that appear in the structural study of Gauss maps. This allows us to give an informal statement of our main duality result (see §2.3). In §3 and §4 we review and slightly extend some known constructions and properties for Gauss-deficient varieties and some related notions such as second fundamental form (derivative of the Gauss map). In §5 we state and prove 7our main result, establishing a duality for Gaussian flags. Finally in §6 we give some results on varieties with small Gauss dimension.

Acknowledgment. We thank Professor E. Mezzetti and Professor O. Tommasi for helpful comments and references.

2. Gaussian scrolls and flags

2.1. **Stationary and Gaussian scrolls: definitions.** Considering that stationary scrolls are necessarily singular, it will be convenient to adopt a slightly more general viewpoint and talk about *parametric scrolls*:

Definition 1. A parametric scroll consists of a

- (a) \mathbb{P}^k -bundle X/B;
- (b) a generically finite morphism $f: X \to \mathbb{P}^N$, called the spreading map, that restricts to a linear isomorphism of a general fibre of X/B with a linear \mathbb{P}^k in \mathbb{P}^N .

¹We thank Dr. L. Song for bringing the paper [2] to our attention.

We allow the trivial case k = 0.

For $x \in X$ we denote by $\tilde{T}_x X$ the unique linear subspace of \mathbb{P}^N containing f(x) which has tangent space $df_x(T_x X)$. This is called the projective tangent space.

To a parametric scroll is associated its classifying morphism

$$\phi: B \to \mathbb{G}(k, N)$$

(a priori the classifying map is just a rational map but as our viewpoint is essentially local over *B* no information is lost by base-changing it to a morphism).

Note that ϕ is generically finite to its image hence, by char. 0, generically unramified. Next we define stationary scrolls:

Definition 2. A parametric scroll (X/B, f) is stationary if either one of the following two equivalent conditions hold:

- (a) for general $b \in B$ with fibre X_b and general $x \in X_b$, the projective tangent space $\tilde{T}_x X$, depends only on b;
 - (b) the Gauss (rational) map

$$\gamma_X: X \cdots \to \mathbb{G}(n, N),$$

$$x \mapsto \tilde{T}_x X$$

factors through a map

(1)
$$\bar{\gamma}_X: B \to \mathbb{G}(n, N).$$

Remark 3. (i) All parametric scrolls with k = 0 are stationary; the stationary scrolls with k > 0 yield varieties with degenerate Gauss map.

(ii) the map $\bar{\gamma}_X$ need not be generically finite to its image even if the classifying map is (Remark 7).

For a stationary scroll (X_B, f) as above, the image $\bar{X} = f(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ of the spreading map is a variety with the property that the fibre through a general point of its Gauss map $\gamma_{\bar{X}}$, which itself a \mathbb{P}^m , contains the ruling $f(\mathbb{P}^k_b)$, for all b in the corresponding fibre of $\bar{\gamma}_X$.

Definition 4. The stationary scroll (X_B, f) is said to be Gaussian if k = m, i.e. if $X \to B$ is, up to generically finite base-change, the Gauss map of a variety $\bar{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^N$.

Note that given a stationary scroll (X_B, f) , there is an associated Gaussian scroll $(X_{B^+}^+, f^+)$, namely a suitable resolution of the Gauss map of f(X). This is called the *saturation* of (X_B, f) and (X_B, f) is said to be *saturated* if $X_{B^+}^+ = X_B$ (in particular, $B^+ = B$). Note the diagram

$$(2) X_B \rightarrow X_{B^+}^+ \downarrow \qquad \downarrow B \rightarrow B^+ 3$$

with $X_B \to X_{B^+}^+$ injective and $B \to B^+$ surjective and $f(X) = f^+(X^+)$.

For example if X_B is the trivial scroll (fibre dimension 0, B = X), its saturation is the Gauss map $\gamma_X : X \to \gamma_X(X)$, viewed as a scroll.

2.2. Derived scroll; Gaussian flags.

Definition 5. Given a stationary scroll X_B as above, its tangential or derived scroll X'_B or $X_B^{(1)}$ is the scroll corresponding to the map $\bar{\gamma}_X$ above (1).

Now given a stationary scroll X_B , a general point of X_B' has the form $q = p + v, v \in T_p X$. Then $T_q X_B'$ is generated mod $T_p X$ by elements of the form $d^2/dt^2|_{t=0}(\alpha(t))$ where $\alpha(t)$ is an arc in X with $\alpha(0) = p, d/dt|_{t=0}(\alpha(t) = v)$. Modulo $T_p X$, this is independent of $q \in T_p X$. Thus, the derived scroll $X_{B^{(1)}}^{(1)}$ is itself a stationary scroll. In fact, if if X_B is a Gaussian scroll then so is X_B' : if we denote the fibres of X_B by \mathbb{P}^k_b and tangent spaces by \mathbb{P}^n_b , and pick $\alpha(t)$ is a parametric arc through a general point b in B, and we set $\mathbb{P}^k(t) = \mathbb{P}^k_{\alpha(t)}$ then we have

$$(d/dt)|_{t=0}(\mathbb{P}^k(t)) \neq 0 \mod \mathbb{P}^k(0)$$

and similarly, unless $(d/td)^i|_{t=0}(\mathbb{P}^k(t)) = \mathbb{P}^N$, we have

$$(d/dt)_{t=0}^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}^k(t)) \neq 0 \mod (d/td)^i|_{t=0}(\mathbb{P}^k(t)).$$

Indeed if the *i*th and (i+1)st derivatives agree then inductively all the higher derivatives agree as well- but these ultimately must fill up \mathbb{P}^N . This implies that is X_B is Gaussian, then so is X'_B .

This allows us to define higher derived scrolls and Gaussian flags:

Definition 6. (i) Let X_B be a stationary scroll and let i > 0 be such that $X_B^{(i)}$ is not filling, i.e. does not map onto \mathbb{P}^N . Then the (i + 1)-st derived scroll is defined as the derived scroll of $X_B^{(i)}$:

(3)
$$X_R^{(i+1)} = (X_R^{(i)})^{(1)}.$$

The flag $X_B^{(\bullet)}$ is called the osculating flag of scrolls associated to X_B .

(ii) A flag of scrolls X_B^{\bullet} is said to be Gaussian if each X_B^i is a Gaussian scroll and $(X_B^i)^{(1)} = X_B^{(i+1)}$

Thus a nondegenerate Gaussian scroll can be extended upwards to a Gaussian flag terminating in a filling scroll (whose spreading map is surjective). Note that the osculating flags (of scrolls) defined above include the (plain) osculating flags where X/B is a trivial scroll (fibre dimension 0), so $X_B^{(i)}$ is just its ordinary ith osculating scroll of X.

Remark 7. Even if X_B is effective (but not Gaussian), its derived scroll X_B' may not be effective. Indeed start with any stationary \mathbb{P}^k -scroll $Y_{D_1}^1$ and in a general $\mathbb{P}_{d_1}^k$ fibre choose a 1-parameter family \mathbb{P}_{d,d_1}^{k-1} so that together these constitute a \mathbb{P}^{k-1} -scroll with 2-dimensional base, say Y_D so that D fibres over D_1 . Then we get the base-changed scroll Y_D^1 and clearly $T_yY_D = T_yY_D^1$ at a general point so $Y_D' = Y_D^1$ and Y_D^1 is not effective even though Y_D is.

2.3. **Duality:** a preview. For a subspace $A \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, we denote by A^{\perp} the dual subspace of the dual projective space $\check{\mathbb{P}}^N$ (sometimes called 'linear dual' do avoid confusion with dual variety). Then our main result here can be stated informally as follows (see Theorem 17 for a precise statement).

Theorem. The operation $A \mapsto A^{\perp}$ is a duality between the collections of Gaussian flags in \mathbb{P}^N and in $\check{\mathbb{P}}^N$.

Of course the dual of $(X_B^{(\bullet)})$ is $(X_B^{(\bullet)\perp})$ (in reverse order), mapping to the dual projective space $\check{\mathbb{P}}^N$. Here X_B^\perp is the scroll over B with fibres X_b^\perp and likewise for $X_B^{(i)\perp}$. As the terminology implies, the linear duality relationship is symmetric.

Now given a Gaussian pair

$$X_B \subset X_B^{(1)}$$
,

its dual

$$(X_B^{(1)})^{\perp} \subset X_B^{\perp},$$

isn't obviously Gaussian but rather 'co-Gaussian', in the sense that

$$(X_B^{(1)})^{\perp} = (X_B^{\perp})^{\perp (1) \perp}.$$

For any stationary scroll Y_B , we call the subscroll $Y_B^{\perp(1)\perp}$ its *antiderived* or leading edge scroll and denote it by $Y_B^{(-1)}$ (see §5.2). Thus, a big part of the content of the duality theorem is that a Gaussian scroll is the derived of its antiderived (when the latter is nonempty:

$$Y_B = (Y_B^{(-1)})^{(1)}.$$

If Y_B is not a cone, which we generally assume, formation of antiderived scroll can be iterated until the empty scroll is reached. A Gaussian scroll can thus be extended both up and down to a uniquely determined maximal (in both directions) Gaussian flag, whose top member is a filling scroll and. bottom member is dual to a filling scroll. This makes the given Gaussian scroll X_B itself an i-th osculating scroll, $i \ge 0$, to the dual of a filling scroll. Thus, every nondegenerate, non-cone Gaussian scroll belongs to a uniquely determined such Gaussian flag. In particular, we obtain a simple recipe for all Gaussian scrolls, namely:

- (F) start with a Filling scroll,
- (D) Dualize,
- (d^i) <u>derive</u> i times.
 - 3. Some known constructions for stationary scrolls

This is mainly from [2]), slightly extended.

3.1. **Joins.** Let $Y_0, ..., Y_r \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ and set

$$X = \bigcup \{ < p_0, ..., p_r > : (p_0, ..., p_r) \in Y_0 \times ... \times Y_r \}$$

This is clearly a Gaussian scroll as $\tilde{T}_{X,x}$ doesn't change as x moves generally in a fixed span $< p_0, ..., p_r >$. Note that cones are a special case of joins and joins are foliated by cones.

- 3.2. **Tangential (developable) and osculating varieties.** For a variety $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, let X be the closure of the union of its embedded tangent spaces at smooth points. Then X determines a Gaussian scroll because $\tilde{T}_{X,x}$ doesn't change as x varies generally in a fixed $\tilde{T}_{Y,y}$ and in fact equals the second-order tangent space $T_{Y,y}^{(2)}$ (see §2.1). Thus the Gauss deficiency of X is at least dim(Y). We can similarly construct Gaussian scrolls as $\tilde{T}_{Y}^{(k)}$, the k-th osculating variety to Y, union of the k-th osculating space $\tilde{T}_{Y,y}^{(k)}$. The tangent space to $\tilde{T}_{Y}^{(k)}$ at a general point of $\tilde{T}_{Y,y}^{(k)}$ is $\tilde{T}_{Y,y}^{(k+1)}$.
- 3.3. Inflation. This is a slight generalization of the 'band' construction in [2]. Let

$$X_0 = \bigcup_{b \in B} \mathbb{P}_b^k$$

be a stationary scroll with Gauss deficiency k and suppose for each $b \in B$ we are given an inclusion $\mathbb{P}^k_b \subset \mathbb{P}^\ell_b$ i.e. a lifting of the classifying map of X_0 to the appropriate flag variety parametrizing pairs $\mathbb{P}^k \subset \mathbb{P}^\ell$ in \mathbb{P}^N . Let

$$X = \bigcup_{b \in B} \mathbb{P}_b^{\ell}$$

and assume for simplicity X is a scroll, which will be the case for general choices provided $\ell - k < N - \dim(X_0)$. X is called an *inflation* of X_0 . I claim that X also has degenerate Gauss map, hence has the structure of a stationary scroll (though not necessarily for the given map $X \to B$). Indeed consider a general open $\mathbb{A}^N \subset \mathbb{P}^N$. Consider ℓ general sections $p_1, ..., p_\ell$ of $X \cap \mathbb{A}^N$ over B such that $p_1, ..., p_k \in X_0$. For $x \in \mathbb{A}^\ell_b = \mathbb{P}^\ell_b \cap \mathbb{A}^N$, we can write

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \alpha_i p_i, \quad p_1, ..., p_k \in \mathbb{A}_b^k = \mathbb{P}_b^k \cap \mathbb{A}^N$$

where by fixing the p_i and varying the α_i , x fills up \mathbb{A}_b^ℓ while $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i p_i$ fills up \mathbb{A}_b^k . Then $\tilde{T}_{X,x}$ is generated $\operatorname{mod} \mathbb{P}_b^l$ by $\partial p_i / \partial t_j$, $i = 1, ..., \ell$, $j = 1, ..., \dim(B)$, where the t_j are coordinates on B, and this remains constant if $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_k$ are varied, thanks to X_0 being stationary. Note that the Gauss deficiency of X is at least equal to that of X_0 , i.e. k. X is called an *inflation*

of X_0 . In general, the stationary scroll corresponding to X will have base B' of dimension $\geq \dim(B)$ and fibre dimension $k', k \leq k' \leq \ell$. For the 'generic' inflation, we have k' = k.

- 3.4. **Base-change.** If X/B is a stationary scroll and $B' \to B$ is any morphism then the base-changed scroll $X_{B'}/B'$ is also a stationary scroll. In particular, if $B' \subset B$ is a curve then $X_{B'}$ is a cone or developable by Griffiths-Harris (reproved below in Proposition 37). Consequently X can be foliated by such.
- 3.5. **Linearity of the fibres.** For completeness we give a short proof of the classical result that the general fibre component of a Gauss map is a linear space.

Proposition 8. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be an irreducible closed subvariety such that the (rational) Gauss map $\gamma: Y \cdots \to B$ has pointive-dimensional general fibre. Then a general fibre component of γ is a linear subspace of \mathbb{P}^N .

Proof. Filling up B by curves such as 1-dimensional linear space sections B_1 , replacing Y by $\gamma^{-1}(B_1)$, and using 3.4 above we may assume B is a smooth curve. Let $Y' \to Y$ be the normalization. Omitting a subset of codimension 2 we may assume Y' is smooth and the natural map $\gamma': Y' \to B$ is a nonconstant morphism. For $b \in B$ general $F = (\gamma')^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ are $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ are $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ are $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ are $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ are $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ are $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ are $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ are $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ are $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ are $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ are $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ are $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ are $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ are $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ are $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ are $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ are $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ are $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ are $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ are $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-1}(b)$ and $F = (T_0)^{-$

$$n = \dim(F)$$
,

we have

$$n + 1 = \dim(Y) = \dim(L)$$

We have an injection and generic isomorphism of rank-1 sheaves

$$O_F = N_{F/Y} \rightarrow N_{F/L}$$
.

If the inclusion $F \to L$ does not go to a linear space (i.e. a hyperplane) then the family of tangent spaces $\{T_zF: z \in F\}$ fills up L. But this means that a general $v \in L$ is actually tangent to F somewhere so γ' is infinitesimally constant in the direction v, hence γ' is constant, which is a contradiction.

4. Properties of Gauss maps and their fibres: A review

4.1. **Gauss maps and their fibres.** In this section we review some basic and well-known facts on Gauss maps. Till further notice- which will come- X denotes a closed subvariety of \mathbb{P}^N and $\gamma_X: X_{\mathrm{sm}} \to \mathbb{G}(n,N)$ is its Gauss map. With no loss or generality X may be assumed nondegenerate and 'dually', not a cone. Note that a global vector field δ on \mathbb{P}^N is induced by the action of the general linear group, which extends to an action on the Grassmannian \mathbb{G} compatible with the Plücker emebedding $\mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{P}^M$. Thus we may view δ as a vector field on $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{G}$ that extends to a vector field on $\mathbb{P}^N \times \mathbb{P}^M$.

The Plücker line bundle on \mathbb{G} is by definition the pullback of $O_{\mathbb{P}^M}(1)$ by the Plücker embedding, i.e. in the linear Grassmannian version $\mathbb{G}(n, N) = G(n + 1, N + 1)$,

$$(A \subset \mathbb{C}^{N+1}) \mapsto (\wedge^{n+1} A \subset \wedge^{n+1} \mathbb{C}^N).$$

A Plücker coordinate on \mathbb{G} is the restriction of a linear (local) coordinate on \mathbb{P}^M .

Now let $F \subset X_{sm}$ be a positive-dimensional component of the fibre of γ_X over $[A] \in \mathbb{G}$, A being an n-dimensional linear subspace in \mathbb{P}^N . If $a \in A$ then a extends (non-uniquely) to a global vector field δ_a on \mathbb{P}^N , thought of as a derivation, which is everywhere tangent to X along F. At the same time δ also determines a tangent vector to the Gauss image $\gamma_X(X_{sm})$ at [A]. We can trivialize the Plücker line bundle L in a neighborhood of [A], hence trivialize $\gamma^*(L)$ in a neighborhood of F. I claim next that F is not a multiple fibre of γ_X ; equivalently, for a general Plücker coordinate ϕ vanishing at [A], $\gamma_X^*(\phi)$ vanishes to order 1 along F. Indeed let

$$\mu = \min\{\operatorname{ord}_F(\gamma_X^*(\phi)) : \phi([A]) = 0\}$$

(minimum among Plücker coordinates). If $\mu > 1$ then for general $a \in A$,

$$\operatorname{ord}_F(\delta_a(\gamma_X^*(\phi))) = \operatorname{ord}_F(\gamma_X^*(\delta_a(\phi))) = \operatorname{ord}_F(\phi) - 1.$$

However $\delta_a(\phi)$ is also a Plücker coordinate, so this is a contradiction.

As we have seen in Proposition 8 below and has been well known at least since [6], a general fibre F of γ_X is an open subset of a linear space (see also [9], §5 or for another proof). Now I claim that for an *arbitrary* fibre F, not contained in the singular locus of X, the closure of F must meet the singular locus in codimension 1. Suppose not. Then F would contain a complete positive-dimensional subvariety C disjoint from the singular locus and the tangent sheaf to X is globally generated in a neighborhood of C. Integrating suitable vector fields, we get a family of translates of C filling up an analytic neighborhood of C in C0 in C1, and each of these translates must be contained in some fibre of C2. It follows that a general fibre of C3 must contain a translate of C5 owe may as well assume C6 is general. Now if C7 is globally generated, which is a contradiction if C8 is complete. We have thus proven the following slight refinement of Proposition 8:

Proposition 9. A general fibre of γ_X is an open subset in a linear space and the closure of an arbitrary fibre meets the singular locus of X in codimension 1.

Example 10 (due to Mezzetti-Tommasi). It is possible for γ_X to be generically finite but have isolated positive-dimensional fibres not contained in the singular locus. Moreover these need no be linear spaces. In fact E. Mezzetti and O. Tommasi (pers. comm.) point out the quartic surface in \mathbb{P}^3 with equation

$$(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + 3w^2)^2 - 16(x^2 + y^2)w^2 = 0$$

with singular locus w = 0. The surface meets the plane z = w in a double conic, which is a fibre of the (generically finite) Gauss map.

Example 11. In the \mathbb{P}^5 of plane conics, let X be the hypersurface consisting of line-pairs, whose singular locus is the Veronese surface V of double lines. At a point $L_1 + L_2 \in X \setminus V$, the tangent hyperplane to X is the set of conics through $L_1 \cap L_2$. Hence a fibre of the Gauss map is the set of all pairs of distinct lines through a fixed point, which is a \mathbb{P}^2 minus a conic.

4.2. **Second fundamental form.** See [6] for a detailed presentation. We recall that given a smooth point p on an n-dimensional variety $X \to \mathbb{P}^N$, the second fundamental form at p, denoted II or II_p or $II_{p,X}$ is the derivative at p of the Gauss map $\gamma_X : X \to \mathbb{G} := \mathbb{G}(n,N)$. As such, it is a map $II : T_pX \to T_{\tilde{T}_pX}(\mathbb{G})$. Identifying \mathbb{P}^N as $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{N+1})$ and denoting by $\hat{T} \subset \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ the linear subspace corresponding to \tilde{T}_pX , we can view II as a map

$$\mathrm{II}: T_pX \to \mathrm{Hom}(\hat{T}, \mathbb{C}^{N+1}/\hat{T}) = \mathrm{Hom}(T_pX, T_p\mathbb{P}^N/T_pX).$$

Now $T_p \mathbb{P}^N / T_p X = N_p X$ is just the normal space at p, so we can view II as a bilinear form

$$II: T_pX \times T_pX \to N_pX$$

It is well known (cf. [6], 1(b)) that this form is symmetric, i.e. a quadratic form, called the second fundamental form of X at p. If we represent X locally as a graph with equations

$$y_{n+i} = f_i(x_1, ..., x_n), i = 1, ..., N - n, f_i \in \mathfrak{m}_p^2,$$

then II_p is represented by the multi-matrix (vector of symmetric martices)

$$(\frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial x_i \partial x_i}, ..., \frac{\partial^2 f_{N-n}}{\partial x_i \partial x_i}).$$

4.3. **Gaussian scrolls: antiderived scroll.** Consider as in §2.1 as Gaussian (stationary) scroll (X_B, f) with classifying map $\phi : B \to \mathbb{G}(k, N)$ with associated derived scroll $X_B^{(1)}$. For example, if k = N - 1, X_B just corresponds to a generically finite map to its image in the dual projective space:

$$\phi: B \to \phi(B) \subset \mathbb{G}(N-1,N) = \check{\mathbb{P}}^N.$$

In that case, the tangent developable to $\phi(B)$ (as 'plain' projective variety) corresponds in \mathbb{P}^N to the 'leading edge' or 'cuspidal edge' or 'antiderived scroll', denoted L(X/B) or $X_B^{(-1)}$.

We now extend this definition to the case of general fibre dimension k. Informally, denoting the fibres of X/B by \mathbb{P}^k_b , $L(X/B) = X_B^{(-1)}$ is the-possibly empty (!)- scroll over B

with fibre at a general point $b \in B$ equal to

$$X_b^{(-1)} = \mathbb{P}_b^k \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^g \partial \mathbb{P}_b^k / \partial t_i$$

where $t_1, ..., t_g$ are local coordinates at b, $g = \dim(B)$. An equivalent, more formal definition is the following.

Definition 12. With the above notations, set

$$\mathbb{P}^k_b = \mathbb{P}(T), N = N_{P^k_b/X,x} = T_{X,x}/T_{\mathbb{P}^k_b,x}, x \in \mathbb{P}^k_b$$

(independent of x), and let

$$(5) v: T \to \operatorname{Hom}(T_b B, N)))$$

be the normal map. The the leading edge or antiderived scroll of X/B is the sub-scroll $X_B^{(-1)} = L(X/B)$ with fibres

$$X_h^{(-1)} = \mathbb{P}(\ker(\nu)).$$

A natural way to describe the antiderived scroll is via the dual projective space: if we denote by X_B^{\perp} the dual \mathbb{P}^{N-k-1} scroll in the dual projective space $\check{\mathbb{P}}^N$, then

(6)
$$X_B^{(-1)} = (X_B^{\perp})^{(1)\perp}.$$

Remark 13. When k = N - 1, it is clear e.g. by duality (see §5 below) that the fibre dimension of L(X/B) is N - 1 - g which is the expected, but in general the fibre dimension can exceed the expected (though it is always < k provided g > 0, thanks to c being generically finite).

Example 14. Given a nondegenerate curve $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, one has the associated osculating scrolls $X_B^{(i)}$, B = X, i < N which form a Gaussian flag. We have $L(X_B^{(i)}) = (X_B^{(i)})^{(-1)} = X_B^{(i-1)}$.

A scroll X/B is said to be *filling* if the spreading map $f: X \to \mathbb{P}^N$ is surjective. Since char. = 0 this is equivalent to the condition that at a general point $x \in X$ the derivative $d_x f$ is surjective. The filling scrolls are exactly those whose dual X_B^{\perp} has *empty* leading edge (see §5).

For future reference it is convenient to note the following, probably well-known fact.

Lemma 15. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a variety with Gauss deficiency k. Then a general hyperplane section of X has Gauss deficiency k-1.

Proof. According to Griffiths-Harris [6], (2.6) p. 387, the Gauss deficiency is characterized as the dimension of the kernel of the second fundamental form II_X at a general point. Now for a general hyperplane H and a general point $p \in X \cap H$, we have

$$II_{X\cap H,p} = II_X|_{T_p(X\cap H)}.$$

From this the Lemma follows easily.

Note that in our definition, the spreading map $f: X \to \mathbb{P}^N$ of a stationary scroll is not assumed generically finite to its image, and in that case $X \to B$ may not be the actual Gauss map of the image. Such scrolls, however, can be easily classified:

Proposition 16. (i) Let X/B be a stationary scroll such that the spreading map $f: X \to \mathbb{P}^N$ is not generically finite to its image. Then there is a stationary scroll X'/B' over a lower-dimensional base, together with a map $B \to B'$, such that for general $b' \in B'$, $X_{f^{-1}(b')}/f^{-1}(b')$ is a filling scroll of the fibre projective space $X'_{b'}$.

(ii) Conversely given a stationary scroll X'/B' and a non-generically finite map $B \to B'$ together with a filling scroll $X/f^{-1}(b')$ for general $b' \in B'$, X/B is a stationary scroll whose spreading map is not generically finite to its image.

Proof. By assumption the (well-defined) map $b \mapsto \operatorname{im}(df_x)$, $x \in f^{-1}(b)$ factors through a lower-dimensional image B' of B, which yields the scroll X'/B'. This scroll is stationary as it is just a descent via $B \to B'$ of the tangential scroll $X_B^{(1)}$. The converse is obvious. \square

5. Gaussian flags: duality

5.1. **Derived scrolls; Gaussian flags.** We recall from §2.2 that a Gaussian flag in \mathbb{P}^N has the form

$$(7) X_B \subset X_B^{(1)} \subset \ldots \subset X_B^{(\ell)},$$

together with a spreading map $f: X_B^{(\ell)} \to \mathbb{P}^N$ which we assume does not map onto \mathbb{P}^N or into any proper linear subspace. In this case it follows that the inclusions above are proper. Thus any Gaussian scroll can be extended 'upwards' to a (uniquely determined) maximal Gaussian flag containing X_B . This is called the osculating Gaussian flag associated to X_B .

5.2. **Antiderived scrolls.** Recall that we have defined the (possibly empty) antiderived scroll of a Gaussian scroll X_B as

$$(X_B)^{(-1)} = (X_B^{\perp})^{(1)\perp}.$$

This again is Gaussian- this follows from the fact it is proven in the Duality Theorem below that $(X_B^{(-1)})^{(1)} = X_B$. Therefore $X_B^{(-1)}$ may be further antiderived etc., yielding what might be called the anti-osculating flag of of X_B :

(8)
$$X_B \supseteq X_R^{(-1)} \supseteq \dots \supseteq X_R^{(-m)}$$

where $X_B^{(-m-1)} = \emptyset$. Now the Duality Theorem 17 below will show that the anti-osculating flag is in fact a Gaussian flag, hence the flags (7) and (8) may be spliced together to yield

the (uniquely determined) *maximal Gaussian flag*, extending the given stationary scroll X_B both upwards and downwards. m may be called the (osculating) *index* of X_B . Note that X_B - or for that matter any $X_B^{(-i)}$ - is a cone iff the bottom member $X_B^{(-m)}$ is a linear subspace.

5.3. **Duality.** Now in general a Gaussian flag $X_B^{(0)} \subseteq ... \subseteq X_B^{(\ell)}$ corresponds to a classifying map to a flag variety

$$c: B \to \mathbb{F}(k_0, ..., k_\ell, N).$$

Using the identification

$$\mathbb{F}(k_{\bullet}, N) \simeq \mathbb{F}(N - k_{\bullet} - 1, N),$$

a Gaussian flag $(X_B^{(\bullet)})$ corresponds to a flag of scrolls in the dual projective space $\check{\mathbb{P}}^N$ that we call the *linear dual*² flag and denote by

$$(X_B^{(\bullet)\perp}): \ X_B^{(\ell)\perp} \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq X_B^{(0)\perp} = X_B^\perp.$$

This has the property that

$$(X^{(i)\perp})_{R}^{(-1)} = X_{R}^{(i-1)}.$$

It is not a priori clear that the dual flag is Gaussian, but this is a consequence of the Duality Theorem that we now state and prove:

Theorem 17 (Duality). Assumptions as above, assume also that one (or equivalently every) $X_B^{(i)}$ is nondegenerate and not a cone. Then $(X_B^{(\bullet)\perp})$ is a Gaussian flag and its linear dual is $(X_B^{(\bullet)})$.

Remark 18. A trivial- but not entirely un-representative case of the duality theorem is: start with a variety X with generically finite Gauss map and take $x \in X$ general and let $N_1(x)$ be the first infinitesimal neighborhood of x in X. Then

$$\bigcap_{x' \in N_1(x)} \tilde{T}_{x'} X = \{x\}.$$

This is easy to check directly and will be proven in a more general form below (without the hypothesis of generically finite Gauss map).

Proof of Duality Theorem. The fact that the linear double dual coincides with the original (i.e. $X_B^{\perp\perp} = X_B$) is just the simple linear algebra fact that $(Y^{\perp})^{\perp} = Y$ for any subspace Y. The point is that $(X_B^{(\bullet)\perp})$ is Gaussian, i.e. that

$$((X_B^{(j+1)\perp})^{(1)} = (X_B^{(j)})^{\perp}.$$

This is an assertion about the stationary scroll $X_B^{(j)}$ so to simplify notation set $Y_B = X_B^{(j)}$. Then Then we have short flags

$$Y_B \subset Y_B^{(1)},$$

²The terminology is chosen to avoid confusion with dual variety.

$$Y_B^{(1)\perp(1)}\supset Y_B^{(1)\perp},$$

and the assertion becomes that these are mutually dual, i.e. that, for any stationary scroll Y_B , we have

$$Y_B = Y_B^{(1)\perp(1)\perp}$$

or equivalently

(9)
$$(Y_B^{(1)\perp(1)} = Y_B^{\perp}.$$

Applying \perp to (9), an equivalent form is

$$Y_B = (Y_R^{(1)})^{(-1)}.$$

In other words, the leading edge of $Y_B^{(1)}$ is Y_B . Substituting Y_B^{\perp} for Y in (9), yet another equivalent form is

(10)
$$Y_B = (Y_B^{(-1)})^{(1)}.$$

In other words, $Y_B^{(-1)}$ - provided it is nonempty- is Gaussian with derived scroll Y_B . Note that because Y_B is effective (10)6 implies that $Y_B^{(-1)}$ is actually Gaussian.

Now as for (9), note that the inclusion

$$(11) (Y_R^{(1)\perp})^{(1)} \subseteq Y_R^{\perp}$$

or equivalently

$$(12) (Y_B^{(1)\perp})^{(1)\perp} \supseteq Y_B$$

is obvious from the definitions. Indeed pick a general point $y \in Y$ and let $N_1(y)$ be its first-order neighborhood in Y and consider the intersection of the tangent spaces $\tilde{T}_{y'}Y$ as y' ranges over $N_1(y)$, i.e. $\bigcap_{y' \in N_1(y)} \tilde{T}_{y'}Y \subset T_yY$ or, what is the same $\bigcap_v (\tilde{T}_yY \cap (\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\tilde{T}_{y'}Y))$ where v

ranges over $T_y Y$ or any basis thereof. What is obvious is that if F denotes the fibre of Y/B through y, which is itself a \mathbb{P}^k , then the latter intersection contains $\tilde{T}_y F = F$, i.e.

$$F \subseteq \bigcap_{y' \in N_1(y)} \tilde{T}_{y'} Y$$

(this is just (12)). The point in (9) is that the latter inclusion is an equality. Of course $\tilde{T}_{y'}Y$ depends only on the factored Gauss map $\bar{\gamma}$, so the equality in question becomes

(13)
$$F = \bigcap_{b' \in N_1(b)} \bar{\gamma}(b').$$

Now the question is local at a general point $y \in F$, where locally $Y \to B$ is just the Gauss map of the spread of Y in \mathbb{P}^N , which may identified with Y. Note that at the level of tangent spaces at y, the RHS of (13) is just the kernel of the second fundamental form

 II_Y , viewed and a map $T_yY \to \text{Hom}(T_yY, N_yY)$. As II_Y is the derivative of the Gauss map γ and y is general, the kernel in question coincides with the tangent space to the fibre of γ , i.e. F. Therefore (13) holds.

Remark 19. Another- actually not much different from that in [6]- proof of duality may be given as follows. First, using Lemma 15, we may assume that X is a variety with generically finite Gauss map, hence nondegenerate second fundmental form II_X . What has to be proven is that the leading edge of $X^{(1)}$ coincided with X. Generically projecting, we may assume X is a hypersurface, so $X^{(1)\perp}$ is just a subvariety in the dual projective space $\check{\mathbb{P}}^N$. Working locally and writing a general point of X parametrically as p(t), a point of $X^{(1)\perp}$ can be written parametrically as

$$p'(t) = \langle p \wedge (\partial p / \partial t_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (\partial p / \partial t_n) \rangle \in \check{\mathbb{P}}^N.$$

We may assume the tangent vectors $\partial / \partial t_i$ are eigenvectors for the (nondegenerate, scalar-valued) second fundamental form II_X . Then

$$\partial p' / \partial t_i = \langle p \wedge (\partial p / \partial t_1) \wedge ... \partial \widehat{p / \partial p_i} ... \wedge (\partial p / \partial t_n) \rangle \partial^2 p / \partial t_i^2,$$

where $\partial^2 p / \partial t_i^2$ is a nonzero scalar by nondegeneracy of II_X . This yields a nonzero linear form, i.e. hyperplane in $\tilde{T}_p X$ and because $p, \partial p / \partial t_1, ..., \partial p / \partial t_n$ are a basis for $\tilde{T}_p X$, the intersection of these for 1 = 1, ..., n is just p, which proves our assertion.

Example 20. Consider the *d*-uple Veronese surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, N = (d+1)(d+2)/2 - 1, of degree d^2 , which may be considered a trivial stationary scroll (fibre dimension 0). The tangent developable $X^{(1)} = \bigcup_{p \in X} \tilde{T}_p X$ is a stationary scroll of fibre dimension 2 with leading

edge X. Then $X^{(1)}$ is dual to the discriminant hypersurface $Y = \bigcup_{p \in X} \tilde{T}_p X^{\perp}$ of nodal plane

cubics, of degree $3(d-1)^2$, which is a stationary scroll over X with fibre dimension N-3, and the tangent developable $Y^{(1)}$ is just dual to the original Veronese X. This is well known and illustrates the duality between tangent developable and leading edge.

Similar constructions can be made for any surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$.

5.4. **Consequences of duality.** Now for a stationary scroll X/B with osculating flag $X_B^{(\bullet)}$ let n_i be the dimension of the image of $X_B^{(i)}$ in \mathbb{P}^N , which is also the fibre dimension of $X_B^{(i+1)}$ over B, so that $\dim(X_B^{(i+1)}) = g + n_i$. We call (n_{\bullet}) the *oculating dimension sequence* of the stationary scroll X_B .

Corollary 21. The assignment

$$\begin{array}{c} X_B \leftrightarrow X_B^\perp \\ 14 \end{array}$$

yields an idempotent bijection between Gaussian scrolls with with fibre dimension $k \mathbb{P}^N$ and Gussian scrolls with fibre dimension N-1-k in $\check{\mathbb{P}}^N$. Under this bijection $X_B^{(1)}$ corresponds to $(X_B^{\perp})^{(-1)}$.

Corollary 22. Let X be a Gussian scroll of Gauss dimension g such that X (resp. the i-th osculating scroll of X) is a hypersurface. Then X is linearly dual to a tangential scroll (resp. (i + 1)-st osculating scroll) of a g-dimensional variety.

Example 23 (Elaboration). Let $\bar{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a hypersurface with g -dimensional Gauss image. It corresponds to a stationary scroll $X \to B$ with $\dim(B) = g$ and fibre \mathbb{P}^{N-g-1} . The derived scroll $X^{(1)} \to B$ has fibre \mathbb{P}^{N-1} and corresponds to a map $\phi : B \to \check{\mathbb{P}}^N$ and X is dual to the developable scroll $\phi(B)^{(1)}$. Conversely given a map $\phi : B \to \check{\mathbb{P}}^N$ (say generically finite to its nondegenerate image), $(\phi(B)^{(1)})^{\perp} \to \mathbb{P}^N$ will map to a hypersurface with $\dim(B)$ -dimensional Gauss image.

More generally,

Corollary 24. Let X be a Gaussian scroll of Gauss dimension g in \mathbb{P}^N such that the i-th osculating scroll of X has dimension g + m < N. Then X is linearly dual to the ith osculating of a stationary scroll of dimension g + N - m - 1 and Gauss dimension $\leq g$.

Coming back to Corollary 22, a general Gaussian scroll of Gauss dimension g and dimension n in \mathbb{P}^N may be projected generically to a Gaussian hypersurface scroll $\pi(X)$ of Gauss dimension g in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} . Then that tangent scroll $\pi(X)^{(1)}$ is linearly dual to a g-dimensional subvariety of $\check{\mathbb{P}}^{n+1}$ and $\pi(X)^{\perp}$ is the tangent scroll of the latter. Since projection is linearly dual to taking linear space section, we conclude

Corollary 25. If $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is an n-dimensional Gaussian scroll of Gauss dimension g then the section of X^{\perp} by a general (n + 1)-dimensional linear subspace in $\check{\mathbb{P}}^N$ is a tangent scroll of a variety of dimension g.

That is a sense in which a variety with degenerate Gauss map is 'built up from cones and tangent developables' (per Griffiths and Harris) (the cones are exactly those X so that $X^{(1)\perp}$ is degenerate).

Corollary 26. Let X_B be a nondegenerate, non-filling Gaussian scroll which is not a cone and whose antiderived scroll is nonempty. Then X is a developable scroll.

Proof. Our assumptions imply that the linear dual X_B^{\perp} is non-filling and nondegenerate. The antiderived $X_B^{(-1)}$ is just the dual of $X_B^{\perp(1)}$. Therefore by duality, $X_B = (X_B^{(-1)})_B^{(+1)}$.

Corollary 27. Let X_B be a nondegenerate Gaussian scroll whose antiderived is empty. Then X_B is dual to a filling scroll that is not a cone. Conversely the dual to a filling scroll that is not a cone is a nondegenerate stationary scroll with empty antiderived.

Corollary 28. A nondegenerate, non-filling Gaussian scroll is dual to a tangent developable scroll

Proof. We may assume our nondegenerate non-filling Gaussian scroll X_B is not a cone in which case X_B^{\perp} is nondegenerate, not a cone and has nonempty antiderived (namely $X_B^{(1)\perp}$); the derived scroll of the latter is X_B^{\perp} itself.

Iterating the conclusion of the last Corollary, we conclude:

Corollary 29. A Gaussian scroll that is not a cone is for some $i \ge 0$ the i-th osculating scroll to the dual of a filling scroll.

This yields a way to construct all stationary scrolls: start with a filling scroll, dualize, then take some osculating scroll.

Example 30. In $\mathbb{P}^5 = \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathbb{C}^3))$, i.e. the space of conics in \mathbb{P}^2 , let X be the Segre cubic primal consisting of all reducibles (line-pairs). It has Gauss dimension 2, and is linearly dual to the tangent scroll of the Veronese (2-uple embedding of \mathbb{P}^2). X is also the tangent scroll of the dual Veronese of double lines. As in Example 20, the tangent scroll to X is dual to the Veronese itself.

Example 31. Consider the example appearing in [2], IIIB, Class 1a. The is a 3-fold in \mathbb{P}^4 of Gauss dimension 2 that is a union of tangent lines in (one of two) eigendirections for the second fundamental form of a general surface S (assuming these eigendirections are distinct). Thus X is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a surface B which can be locally identified with S. $X_B^{(1)}$ is a \mathbb{P}^3 -bundle which fills up \mathbb{P}^4 and corresponds to a surface $X_B^{(1)\perp} \to \check{\mathbb{P}}^4$. The tangent developable of this surface is just X_B^{\perp} , a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle which surjects to $\check{\mathbb{P}}^4$. The dual to $X_B^{\perp(1)}$ is empty.

Remark 32. The dual to the 'inflation' construction of 3.3 may be called a 'deflation'. Thus, for a stationary scroll of fibre dimension k, a deflation, i.e. subscroll of codimension $\ell \le k$ is a staionary scroll of fibre dimension $k - \ell$ (which may be 0, so not correspond to a variety with degenerare Gauss map, as noted in [2]).

6. Varieties with small Gauss dimension

Lemma 33. *Suppose given the following:*

U, *V*, finite-dimensional vector spaces,

L, a line bundle on an irreducible projective variety X,

 $i: U \otimes O_X \to V \otimes L$ an injection whose degeneracy locus is a hypersurface numerically equivalent to rL + A where r = dim(U) and A is nef.

Then A is numerically trivial and the saturation of of im(i) is a constant subbundle, i.e. has the form $V_0 \otimes L$ for some subspace $V_0 \subset V$. Conversely if i is an injection such that the saturation of im(i) is a constant subbundle then the degeneracy locus of i is numerically equivalent to dim(U)L.

Proof. Note to begin with that a rank-r subsheaf of $V \otimes L$ that is generically contained in $V_0 \otimes L$ where dim $(V_0) = r$ saturates to $V_0 \otimes L$. Consequently we may cut X down and assume it is a curve, then normalize and assume it is nonsingular. Then the saturation of im(i) has the form $E \otimes L$ where E is a rank-r subbundle of the trivial bundle $V \otimes O_X$. Now E corresponds to a morphism f of X to the Grassmannian G(r, V) and $-\det(E)$ is the pullback of the ample Plücker line bundle, while the drop-rank locus of i, i.e. the zero-locus of $\wedge^r i$, must be numerically equivalent to $\det(E \otimes L) = \det(E) + rL$. Thus

$$\det(E) + rL \equiv_{\text{num}} rL + A,$$

i.e. det(E) is nef. Consequently det(E) is numerically trivial so f is constant and $E \otimes L =$ $V_0 \otimes L$ as claimed. The converse is trivial.

Classically, the *focal locus* of a scroll (originally due to Kummer [8]; see also [14], [4], [5]) $\bigcup_{b\in P} \mathbb{P}_b^k$ is the union of the intersections of fibres \mathbb{P}_b^k with their 'consecutive', i.e. the ramification locus of the natural map

$$\coprod_{b\in B} \mathbb{P}_b^k \to \bigcup_{b\in B} \mathbb{P}_b^k,$$

or, in the notation of (5), the projectivization of the inverse image under v of the discriminant hypersurface in $Hom(T_bB, N)$. More formally, the derivative of the classifying map of the scroll is a map

$$T_h B \to \operatorname{Hom}(U, V/U)$$

where U, V are the vector spaces corresponding to \mathbb{P}^k_b resp. \mathbb{P}^N . Transposing, we get a map

$$\psi: U \to \operatorname{Hom}(T_bB, V/U).$$

The focal locus is just the closure of the projectivization $\mathbb{P}(\ker(\psi))$, spread out as a projective bundle over some open subset of *B*.

Then the Lemma yields the following characterization of stationary scrools among all scrolls in terms of the size of the focal locus:

Corollary 34. For a scroll of fibre dimension k, if the focal locus meets each fibre in a hypersurface then the degree of the hypersurface is at most k with equality iff the scroll is stationary.

Theorem 35. Let X be a stationary scroll of dimension n and Gauss dimension g with $g(g+1) \le$ *n*. Then *X* is an inflated cone or inflated tangent scroll.

Proof. Let $L = \mathbb{P}_b^{n-g}$ be a general fibre of the Gauss map. The normal map

$$\nu: T_b B \otimes O_L \to N_{L/\mathbb{P}^N}$$

factors through a constant subbundle $V \otimes O_L(1)$ where $\dim(V) = g$. Hence ν can be viewed as a g^2 -tuple of sections of $O_L(1)$. Since $g^2 \le n - g$ by assumption, there is a point $p \in L$ where ν vanishes. Viewing p = p(t) as describing a section S over B as t varies, we get that $\partial p / \partial t_i \in L$, $\forall i$ where the t_i are local coordinates on B (the partials are well defined mod p). This means L contains $\tilde{T}_p S$, so X is an inflated cone (if p(t) is constant) or tangential variety (otherwise).

Corollary 36. Let X_B be a nondegenerate stationary scroll of Gauss dimension g and codimension c in \mathbb{P}^N , such that g(g-1) < c. Then X_B is a subscroll of the linear dual to a tangent scroll of a variety of dimension g or less.

Proof. Note that if the scroll $X/B \to \mathbb{P}^N$ has fibre dimension n and base dimension g, hence fibre dimension n-g, then X^\perp has dimension g+(N-1-n+g)=2g+c-1. Then our conclusion follows by duality from Theorem 35 by noting that the linear dual of a nondegenerate variety cannot be a cone.

In case g = 1, Theorem 35 can be easily sharpened, a well-known result due to Griffiths and Harris [7]. Actually varieties of Gauss dimension g = 2 are also classified by results of Piontkowski [12].

Proposition 37 (Griffiths-Harris). A variety with 1-dimensional Gauss image is an osculating variety to a curve or a cone over such.

Proof. The proof is by induction on n. Using notation as above, the zero-set of ν is a hyperplane $L_0 \subset L = \mathbb{P}_b^{n-1}$. If L_0 is fixed independent of $b \in B$ then our variety X is a cone with vertex $L_0 = \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ and our assertion holds, so assume this is not the case. Let X_0 be the n-1-fold swept out by these hyperplanes as b varies. Then for $x \in L_0$ general, clearly $\tilde{T}_{X_0,x} = L$ so X_0 is again a stationary scroll (or a curve, if n = 2). So by induction we can write

$$L_0 = \langle p(b), p'(b), ..., p^{(k)}(b), c_1, ..., c_{n-2-k} \rangle,$$

for a variable point p and constant points c_i (possibly k = -1, so the point p is not there and L_0 is constant). Hence

$$L = \langle p(b), p'(b), ..., p^{(k+1)}(b), c_1, ..., c_{n-2-k} \rangle,$$

or possibly

$$L = \langle p(b), p'(b), ..., p^{(k)}(b), c_1, ..., c_{n-2-k}, c_{n-1-k} \rangle$$

completing the induction.

Remark 38. Curiously, it follows from the above that the linear dual of an osculating scroll to a curve is also an osculating scroll to a curve: however this is well known and follows from the existence of a (classical) dual (not linear dual) curve $\check{X} \subset \check{\mathbb{P}}^N$ which for X nondegenerate is just the locus of (N-1)st osculating hyperplanes, given parametrically by $p \wedge p' \wedge ... \wedge p^{(N-1)}$.

Remark 39. The 'conjugate spaces' construction in [2] shows that for general g analogues of Theorem 35 or Proposition 37 are not available. However varieties with Gauss dimension g = 2 are classified by Piontkowski [12].

Remark 40. Note that for any Gaussian scroll X/B, the pullback of X by any curve $C \to B$ through a general point of B is a variety X_C/C to which Proposition 37 applies. Consequently X is in many ways a union of cones over osculating varieties to curves.

REFERENCES

- 1. M. A. Akivis and V. V. Goldberg, *On the structure of submanifolds with degenerate Gauss maps*, Geom. Dedicata **86** (2001), 205–226, arxiv.math/0002093 [math.DG].
- 2. M. A. Akivis, V. V. Goldberg, and J. M. Landsberg, On the Griffiths-Harris conjecture on varieties with degenerate gauss mappings, arXiv:math (1999), no. 9908079.
- 3. Maks A. Akivis and Vladislav V. Goldberg, *Projective differential geometry of submanifolds*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993.
- 4. E. Arrondo, M. Bertolini, and C. Turrini, A focus on focal surfaces, Asian J. Math. 5 (2001), no. 3, 535–560.
- 5. P. de Poi, Congruences of lines with one-dimensional focal locus, Port. Math (N. S.) 61 (2004), no. 3, 329–338.
- 6. P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Algebraic geometry and local differential geometry*, Annales Scientifiques École Normale Superiéure 4e série **12** (1979), 355–452.
- 7. Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Pure and applied mathematics, a Wiley-Interscience series of texts, monographs and tracts, John Wiley and sons, 1978.
- 8. E. E. Kummer, Über die algebraischen strahlensysteme, insbesondere über die der ersten und zweiten ordnung, Abh. K. Preuss. Akad. (1866).
- 9. J. M. Landsberg, *Algebraic geometry and projective differential geometry*, arxiv.math (1998), Seoul National University concentrated lecture series 1997, arxiv.math/9809184.
- 10. E. Mezzetti and O. Tommasi, *On projective varieties of dimension n+k covered by k-spaces*, Ill. J. Math **46** (2002), no. 2, 443–465.
- 11. _____, Some remarks on varieties with degenerate Gauss image, Pac. J. Math. 213 (2004), no. 1, 79–88.
- 12. J. Piontkowski, Developable varieties of Gauss rank 2, Internat. J. Math. 13 (2002), no. 1, 93-110.
- 13. Z. Ran, The structure of Gauss-like maps, Compositio Math. 52 (1984), 171–177.
- 14. J. G. Semple and L. Roth, Introduction to algebraic geometry, Oxford University Press, 1949.
- 15. F.L. Zak, *Tangents and secants of algebraic varieties*, Transl. Math. Monog., vol. 127, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.

UCR MATH DEPT.
SKYE SURGE FACILITY, ABERDEEN-INVERNESS ROAD
RIVERSIDE CA 92521 US
ZIV.RAN @ UCR.EDU