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Abstract. In this paper, we classify del Pezzo foliations on projective manifolds of rank at least 3 and with log canonical singularities in the sense of McQuillan.

1. Introduction

A foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a normal complex variety $X$ is defined by a subsheaf $T_{\mathcal{F}} \subset T_X$ which is saturated in $T_X$ and closed under the Lie bracket. The anti-canonical class $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is the divisor class associated to the determinant $\det(T_{\mathcal{F}})$, and thus generalizes the anti-canonical class $-K_X$ of $X$. By analogy with the classical case, one tries to infer geometric information about $\mathcal{F}$ via numerical properties of $K_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Conjecturally, the Minimal Model Program (MMP) says that each complex manifold is birational to a complex variety $X$ with mild singularities, such that either $K_X$ is nef (numerically effective), or $X$ is a fibration with Fano fibers $F$ (i.e. $-K_F$ is ample). In particular, the study of Fano varieties is important in the context of classification of algebraic varieties.

Therefore, if one expects a similar classification in the theory of foliations, then one is led to the concept of Fano foliations. They are the foliations $\mathcal{F}$ such that $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$ is ample. Fano foliations were introduced and studied by Araujo and Druel in [AD13] and [AD16]. We remark that, although we do not have, at the moment, a conjecture similar to the classical MMP to foliations in all dimensions, there is a foliated MMP for dimension at most 3 (see [Spi17] and [CS18]). In this foliated MMP, one must restrict the singularities of the foliations involved to the class of $F$-dlt singularities, which is analogous to the dlt singularities in the case of varieties. The class of log canonical singularities in the sense of McQuillan is the largest class of singularities for which one can still make sense of the steps of the MMP (see definition 2.1).

The index $\iota_X$ of a Fano manifold is the largest integer dividing $-K_X$ in $\text{Pic}(X)$. By a theorem of Kobayashi-Ochiai, we always have $\iota_X \leq \dim(X) + 1$, and moreover equality holds if, and only if, $X$ is a projective space. The index $\iota_{\mathcal{F}}$ of a Fano foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is defined in an analogous way, and it turns out that there is a similar result for Fano foliations:

Theorem 1.1 ([ADK08 Theorem 1.1]). Let $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ be a Fano foliation of rank $r$ on a complex projective manifold $X$. Then $\iota_{\mathcal{F}} \leq r$, and equality holds only if $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$.

The study of foliations on $\mathbb{P}^n$ is classical. Foliations of codimension 1 on $\mathbb{P}^n$ are given by a global homogeneous 1-form $\omega$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$ such that $\text{codim}(\text{sing}(\omega)) \geq 2$,
\( \omega \wedge d\omega = 0 \), and \( \iota_R \omega = 0 \), where \( R = \sum_i x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \) is the radial vector field in \( \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \). Suppose \( \omega \) has degree \( \nu \). Then \( K_F = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\nu - 1 - n) \). In particular, the index of \( F \) is \( \iota_F = n + 1 - \nu \). The degree of a foliation in \( \mathbb{P}^n \) can be defined for arbitrary rank, and we still have an explicit formula for its index. In the case of maximal index (which corresponds, in codimension 1, to forms of degree 2) and arbitrary rank \( r \), the foliation is given by a linear projection \( \mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^{n-r} \) (see [DC06, Chapitre 3]).

The next case to consider is when \( \iota_F = r - 1 \). These are called del Pezzo foliations. Their study was initiated by Araujo and Druel also in papers [AD13] and [AD16]. One important property of del Pezzo foliations proved by them is that, in most cases, they are algebraically integrable:

**Theorem 1.2 ([AD13] Theorem 1.1).** Let \( F \) be a del Pezzo foliation on a complex projective manifold \( X \neq \mathbb{P}^n \). Then \( F \) is algebraically integrable, and its general leaves are rationally connected.

In [AD13], the authors also classify del Pezzo foliations under restrictions on the singularities. More precisely, they prove that if an algebraically integrable del Pezzo foliation \( F \) with rank \( r \geq 3 \) over a complex projective manifold \( X \) has log canonical singularities along a general leaf and \( T_F \) is locally free along the closure of a general leaf, then \( X \) is either a \( \mathbb{P}^k \)-bundle over \( \mathbb{P}^m \), or \( \rho(X) = 1 \).

In this paper we remove the locally freeness assumption and extend this classification. This assumption is not natural in the classical theory of foliations, and moreover it is hard to check in practice. Furthermore, there are explicit examples of del Pezzo foliations that do not satisfy this property. For instance, consider \( X = \mathbb{P}^N \), with \( N \geq 3 \) and \( F \) the foliation on \( X \) given by a pencil of singular quadrics, generated by a double hyperplane and a quadric cone with vertex on this plane. Then one sees that \( F \) is a del Pezzo foliation which is log canonical along a general leaf. Here the general leaf \( Q \) is a quadric cone with vertex \( V \). If \( T_F \) were locally free along \( Q \), then \( T_Q \) and \( (T_F)_Q \) are two reflexive sheaves which are isomorphic in \( Q \setminus \{V\} \), and therefore they are isomorphic if \( \dim(Q) \geq 2 \). But this would imply that \( Q \) is regular. Thus \( T_F \) is not locally free along \( Q \).

We have the following classification theorem:

**Theorem 1.3 (Theorems 3.2, 3.3 and 3.5).** Let \( X \) be a complex projective manifold of dimension \( n \) and let \( F \) be a del Pezzo foliation of rank \( r \geq 3 \) on \( X \) having log canonical singularities in the sense of McQuillan. Then

- Either \( X \) is a \( \mathbb{P}^m \)-bundle over \( \mathbb{P}^k \);
- Or \( X \) is a projective space or a smooth quadric hypersurface.

The main new ingredient used in the proof of the above theorem is the classification of log leaves of del Pezzo foliations, given firstly in [AD16] for log canonical singularities along a general leaf, and secondly in [Ara19], where it is shown that one can remove the log canonicity hypothesis. We also remark that the classification is incomplete: it remains to consider the case of del Pezzo foliations of rank 2.

Finally, as done in [AD13] and [AD16], one can classify the possible del Pezzo foliations in the ambient spaces appearing in Theorem 1.3. By a classification of Loray, Pereira and Touzet ([LPT13 Theorem 6.2]), we know all del Pezzo foliations in the projective spaces, and by a classification of Araujo and Druel ([AD13 Theorem 9.6] and [AD16 Proposition 3.18]), we know all del Pezzo foliations in projective space bundles and in quadric hypersurfaces.
Throughout the paper, we work over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Carolina Araujo for several ideas contained in this paper and also for reading the first draft; Stéphane Druel for reading the second draft, for finding a gap and for suggesting corrections; and Jorge Vitório Pereira for useful advice on foliations. During the writing of this paper, the author received scholarship from CNPq, process number: 140605/2017-7, and from CAPES, process number: 88887.321610/2019-00.

2. General background

In this section, we define and discuss some properties of foliations on normal varieties. More precisely, we consider del Pezzo foliations, and we state some of their main properties, as proved in papers [AD13], [AD16] and [AD17a]. We also discuss families of rational curves, and del Pezzo manifolds with Picard number 1.

Definition 2.1. Let $X$ be a normal variety. A foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on $X$ is a saturated coherent subsheaf $T\mathcal{F}$ of $T_X$ that is closed under the Lie bracket.

Remark 2.2. In the classical setting, a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is given by a decomposition of the variety by a union of leaves (see remark 2.10), and to this foliation we associate a tangent sheaf $T\mathcal{F}$, which satisfies the properties of the above definition. Notationally it allows us to distinguish the pullbacks $f^*(\mathcal{F})$ and $f^*(T\mathcal{F})$, for $f : Y \to X$ a morphism. The pullback $f^*(\mathcal{F})$ is a foliation on $Y$ and in general $T_f^*(\mathcal{F}) \neq f^*T\mathcal{F}$.

Definition 2.3. Let $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ be a dominant rational map between normal varieties, with connected fibers. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation on $Y$. Let $X^0 \subset X$ and $Y^0 \subset Y$ be smooth open subsets such that $\varphi_{|X^0} : X^0 \to Y^0$ is a smooth morphism. The pullback $\varphi^*\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ under $\varphi$ is defined as the foliation on $X$ such that $T_{\varphi^*\mathcal{F}}|_{X^0} = d\varphi_{|X^0}^{-1}(T\mathcal{F}|_{Y^0})$.

We now give the definition of the rank of a foliation and its singular set.

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation on a normal variety $X$. The rank $r$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is defined as the rank of the coherent sheaf $T\mathcal{F}$, and the codimension $\text{codim}(\mathcal{F})$ as $\dim(X) - r$.

Definition 2.5 ([AD13, Definition 2.4]). Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of rank $r$ on a normal variety $X$. The inclusion $T\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow T_X$ induces a map $\varphi : (\Omega^r_X \otimes \det(T\mathcal{F}))^{**} \to \mathcal{O}_X$. We define the singular locus of $\mathcal{F}$, denoted by sing$(\mathcal{F})$, as the subscheme of $X$ with ideal sheaf given by the image of $\varphi$.

Remark 2.6. By definition, sing$(\mathcal{F})$ is a closed subscheme of $X$. Since $T\mathcal{F}$ is saturated in $T_X$, sing$(\mathcal{F})$ has codimension at least 2 in $X$.

Remark 2.7. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of rank $r$ on a normal variety $X$ of dimension $n$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is given by an injective homomorphism of sheaves $T\mathcal{F} \to T_X$. Define the normal bundle of $\mathcal{F}$ as $N_{\mathcal{F}} := (T_X/T\mathcal{F})^{**}$. There is a short exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow T\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow T_X \longrightarrow T_X/T\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0.$$
and we see that \( \det(N_F) \cong \det(T_X) \otimes \det(T_F)^* \). Moreover, dualizing gives a homomorphism of sheaves \( N_F^* \to (\Omega_X)^* \). Taking wedge product, we get a non-zero section of \( (\Omega_X^q \otimes \det(N_F))^* \), where \( q = n - r \). In particular we see that if \( h^0(X, (\Omega_X^q \otimes \det(N_F))^*) = 0 \), then \( X \) does not admit a foliation of rank \( r \) and normal bundle \( N_F \).

The next theorem is classical and the name “foliation” is derived from it. It is used to define the leaves of a foliation.

**Theorem 2.8 (Frobenius).** Let \( X \) be a manifold of dimension \( n \) and \( F \) a foliation on \( X \) of rank \( r \). If \( x \notin \text{sing}(F) \), then there exists an analytic neighborhood \( U \) of \( x \) in \( X \), with coordinates \( (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \), such that

\[
(T_F|_U) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{O}_U^{m_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.
\]

**Definition 2.9.** Let \( X \) be a normal variety and \( F \) a foliation on \( X \) of rank \( r \). A leaf of \( F \) is the image of an injective morphism of analytic varieties \( \varphi: F \to X \setminus (\text{sing}(F) \cup \text{sing}(X)) \) such that

- \( F \) is connected and has dimension \( r \);
- \( D\varphi: T_F \to T_X \) is injective;
- \( \text{im}(D\varphi_p) = (T_F)_p \) for every \( p \in F \).

**Remark 2.10.** Let \( X \) be a normal variety and let \( x \in X \setminus (\text{sing}(X) \cup \text{sing}(F)) \). Then, by Frobenius’s theorem, there is an analytic neighborhood \( U \) of \( x \) with coordinates \( (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \), such that \( (T_F)|_U = \ker(\pi) \), where \( \pi: U \to V \) is the projection \( \pi(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_n) \). Thus, by taking an open cover \( \{U_i\} \) of \( X \setminus (\text{sing}(X) \cup \text{sing}(F)) \), and gluing the fibers of the \( \pi_i: U_i \to V_i \) giving \( F \), we see that for each \( x \in X \setminus (\text{sing}(X) \cup \text{sing}(F)) \), there is a leaf of \( F \) through \( x \).

**Definition 2.11.** Let \( X \) be a normal variety and \( F \) a foliation on \( X \). Let \( Y \subset X \) be an analytic subvariety such that \( Y \not\subset \text{sing}(X) \cup \text{sing}(F) \). We say that \( Y \) is tangent to \( F \) if the homomorphism \( T_Y \to T_{X|Y} \) factors through \( T_{F|Y} \to T_{X|Y} \); we say that \( Y \) is invariant by \( F \), if \( T_{F|Y} \to T_{X|Y} \) factors through \( T_Y \to T_{X|Y} \).

One special class of foliations are those whose leaves are algebraic varieties.

**Definition 2.12.** Let \( X \) be a normal variety and \( F \) a foliation on \( X \). We say that \( F \) is algebraically integrable if every leaf of \( F \) is algebraic (i.e., it is open in its Zariski closure).

We can define the canonical divisor of a foliation in the same way that it is done in the classical case of varieties. We will see that several geometric properties of a foliation are translated into properties of its canonical divisor.

**Definition 2.13.** Let \( X \) be a normal variety and \( F \) a foliation on \( X \). The canonical class of the foliation \( F \) is the linear equivalence class of Weil divisors \( K_F \) on \( X \) such that \( \mathcal{O}_X(-K_F) \cong \det(T_F) \), and we call any divisor in this class a canonical divisor of \( F \).

To study the birational geometry of foliations, one defines notions of singularities of \( F \) analogous to singularities of pairs used in modern study of birational geometry.
**Definition 2.14** ([McQ08, Definition I.1.5]). Let $X$ be a normal variety and $\mathcal{F}$ a foliation on $X$ such that $K_{\mathcal{F}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Let $\pi: \tilde{X} \to X$ be a proper birational morphism, and denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ the pullback of $\mathcal{F}$ along $\pi$. Write

$$K_{\mathcal{F}} = \pi^* K_F + \sum a(E_i, \mathcal{F})E_i,$$

where the $E_i$'s are the exceptional divisor of $\pi$. We say that $\mathcal{F}$ is terminal (resp. canonical, resp. log terminal, resp. log canonical) in the sense of McQuillan if $a(E_i, \mathcal{F}) > 0$ (resp. $\geq 0$, resp. $> -\epsilon(E_i)$, resp. $\geq -\epsilon(E_i)$), for all $\pi$ and all $E_i$, where $\epsilon(D) = 0$ if $D$ is invariant under $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, and $\epsilon(D) = 1$ otherwise.

Concerning the case when $\mathcal{F}$ is algebraically integrable, we can relate the singularities of $\mathcal{F}$ with the singularities of the closure of a general leaf, made precise in the following definition and remark.

**Definition 2.15** ([AD12, Definition 3.11]). Let $X$ be a normal variety and $\mathcal{F}$ an algebraically integrable foliation on $X$ such that $K_{\mathcal{F}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Let $i: F \to X$ be the normalization of the closure of a general leaf of $\mathcal{F}$. Then there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $\Delta$ on $F$ such that $K_F + \Delta \sim i^* K_{\mathcal{F}}$. The pair $(F, \Delta)$ is called general log leaf of $\mathcal{F}$.

**Remark 2.16** ([AD13, Proposition 3.11]). If $\mathcal{F}$ is algebraically integrable and has singularities of a given type as in definition 2.14 then the general log leaf $(F, \Delta)$ also has singularities of the same type, seen as a pair.

The particularity of algebraically integrable Fano foliations with log canonical singularities is that there is a common point in the closure of the general leaf. More precisely, there is a common log canonical center of the log leaf, concept which we introduce in the following definition.

**Definition 2.17** ([KM08, Definition 2.24]). Let $(X, D)$ be a pair. A subvariety $W \subset X$ is called a log canonical center for $(X, D)$ if there exists a proper birational morphism from a normal variety $\mu: Y \to X$ and a prime divisor $E$ on $Y$ with discrepancy $a(E, X, D) \leq -1$ such that $\mu(E) = W$.

**Proposition 2.18** ([AD16, Proposition 3.14]). Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial projective variety and $\mathcal{F}$ an algebraically integrable Fano foliation on $X$. If the general log leaf $(F, \Delta)$ of $\mathcal{F}$ has log canonical singularities, then there is a closed irreducible subset $T \subset X$ satisfying the following property. There exists a log canonical center $S$ of $(F, \Delta)$ whose image in $X$ is $T$.

Our proof of the classification of del Pezzo foliations mainly uses two tools: Fujita’s classification of del Pezzo manifolds and the theory of families of rational curves on varieties. In the following theorem, we state Fujita’s classification, considering only the cases of Picard number 1, which are the cases we will be interested in our proof.

**Theorem 2.19** ([Fuj80] and [Fuj81]). Let $X$ be a Fano manifold with $\iota_X = \dim(X) - 1$ and $\rho(X) = 1$. Then $X$ is isomorphic to one of the following:

1. A cubic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$.
2. An intersection of two quadric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^{n+2}$.
3. A linear section of the Grassmannian $G(2, 5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ under the Plücker embedding.
(4) A hypersurface of degree 4 in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(2, 1, \ldots, 1)$.

(5) A hypersurface of degree 6 in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(3, 2, 1, \ldots, 1)$.

The following two lemmas show that the manifolds given in theorem 2.19 do not admit del Pezzo foliations. More precisely, if $X$ is a Fano manifold of Picard number 1 with $ι_X = n - 1$, then $-K_X = (n - 1)A$, where $n = \dim(X)$ and $A$ is the ample generator of $\text{Pic}(X)$. If $X$ admits a del Pezzo foliation $\mathcal{F}$, then, since $-K_F = (r - 1)A$, we have by remark 2.17 that $\mathcal{F}$ induces a non-zero element of $h^0(X, \Omega_X^{-r}(n - r))$ (notice that $\text{det}(\mathcal{N}_F) = (n - 1)A - (r - 1)A = (n - r)A$).

Lemma 2.20 ([ACM18, Lemma 5.17]). Let $X$ be a smooth complete intersection in a weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(a_0, \ldots, a_N)$ of dimension $n \geq 3$ defined by homogeneous polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_c$ of degrees $2 \leq d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_c$. Suppose that $X_i := \{f_1 = \cdots = f_i = 0\}$ is smooth for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, c\}$. Then $h^0(X, \Omega_X^{d_i}(q)) = 0$ for each $1 \leq q \leq n - 1$.

Lemma 2.21. Let $G = G(2, 5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ be the Grassmannian of planes in $\mathbb{C}^5$. Denote by $G_i$ a general linear section of $G$ of codimension $i$. Then, for $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, $G_i$ does not admit a del Pezzo foliation of rank $r \geq 3$.

Proof. The result follows from [ACM18, Lemma 6.1] for codimension 1, from [AD17b, page 205, number (3)] for codimension 2 and from [PW95, Lemma 0.1] for codimension 3. \qed

We now consider the concept of families of rational curves.

Definition 2.22 ([Ko99, Definition IV.2.1]). Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety. By a family of rational curves $H$ on $X$ we mean an irreducible component of the normalized scheme $\text{RatCurves}^n(X)$ parametrizing rational curves on $X$. We denote by $\text{Locus}(H)$ the locus of $X$ swept by the curves of $H$. We say that $H$ is unsplit if it is proper, and minimal if, for a general point $x \in \text{Locus}(H)$, the closed subset $H_x$ of $H$ parametrizing curves through $x$ is proper. We say that $H$ is dominating if $\text{Locus}(H) = X$.

One important property of families of rational curves is the existence of quotients:

Definition 2.23 ([Ko99, Definition IV.3.2]). Let $H$ be a family of rational curves on $X$. Let $\overline{H}$ denote the closure of $H$ in $\text{Chow}(X)$. Two points $x, y \in X$ are said to be $H$-equivalent if they can be connected by a chain of 1-cycles from $\overline{H}$.

Theorem 2.24 ([Cam92], [KMM92]). The above relation is an equivalence relation on $X$. Moreover there exists a proper surjective equidimensional morphism $π_0 : X_0 \to T_0$ from a dense open subset of $X$ onto a normal variety whose fibers are $H$-equivalence classes. We call this map the $H$-rationally connected quotient of $X$.

Finally, the following lemma related to families of rational curves will be used in the proof of the classification theorem. For the notion of free curve, see [Ko99, Definition II.3.1].

Lemma 2.25 ([AD16, Lemma 2.6]). Suppose that $X$ is a Fano manifold with $ρ(X) = 1$. Suppose that there is an $m$-dimensional family $V$ of rational curves of degree $d = -K_X \cdot V$ on $X$ such that:

- all curves from $V$ pass through some fixed point $x \in X$; and
some curve from $V$ is free (for this to happen, it suffices that some curve from $V$ passes through a general point of $X$).

Then $\iota_X \geq \frac{m+2}{d}$.

3. Statement and proof of results

In this section we prove our results, as stated in the introduction. By theorem 1.2 if $X$ admits a del Pezzo foliation, then $X$ is uniruled. Thus, we can consider minimal dominating families of rational curves on $X$, and consequently, take the rationally connected quotients associated to these families. We consider two cases:

- For some minimal dominating family $H$ with quotient $\pi_0 : X_0 \to Y_0$, the foliation $F$ satisfies $T_F|_{X_0} \not\subseteq T_{X_0/Y_0}$;
- For every minimal dominating family $H$ with quotient $\pi_0 : X_0 \to Y_0$, the foliation $F$ satisfies $T_F|_{X_0} \subseteq T_{X_0/Y_0}$.

Notice that the first condition means that for some $H$, the general leaf of $F$ is not contained in the general fiber of $\pi$, while the second one means that, for every $H$, the general leaf of $F$ is contained in the general fiber of $\pi$. We will use the classification of leaves of del Pezzo foliations, stated below, to conclude that either $X$ is a $\mathbb{P}^m$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^{m-1}$ in the first case, or that $\rho(X) = 1$ in the second case when $r \geq 3$.

Proposition 3.1 ([AD16, Theorem 2.15] and [AD17a, Corollary 2.13]). Let $F$ be an algebraically integrable del Pezzo foliation of rank $r \geq 2$ on a smooth projective variety $X$, with general log leaf $(F, \Delta)$ having log canonical singularities. Let $L$ be an ample divisor on $X$ such that $-K_F \sim (r-1)L$. Then $(F, \Delta, L_{|F})$ satisfies one of the following conditions.

1. $(F, O_F(\Delta), O_F(L_{|F})) \cong (\mathbb{P}^r, O_{\mathbb{P}^r}(2), O_{\mathbb{P}^r}(1))$.
2. $(F, O_F(\Delta), O_F(L_{|F})) \cong (Q^r, O_Q(1), O_Q(1))$, where $Q^r$ is a smooth quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{r+1}$.
3. $(F, \Delta)$ is a cone over $(Q^m, H)$, where $Q^m$ is a smooth quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{m+1}$ for some $2 \leq m < r$, $H \in |O_{Q^m}(1)|$, and $L_{|F}$ is a hyperplane under this embedding.
4. $(F, O_F(\Delta), O_F(L_{|F})) \cong (\mathbb{P}^2, O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1), O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$.
5. $(F, O_F(L_{|F})) \cong (\mathbb{P}^2(\mathcal{E}), O_{\mathbb{P}^2(\mathcal{E})}(1))$, and one of the following holds:
   - $\mathcal{E} = O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \oplus O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d)$ for some $d \geq 2$, and $\Delta \sim_\mathbb{Z} \sigma + f$, where $\sigma$ is the minimal section and $f$ a fiber of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.
   - $\mathcal{E} = O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2) \oplus O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d)$ for some $d \geq 2$, and $\Delta$ is a minimal section.
   - $\mathcal{E} = O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \oplus O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \oplus O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d)$ for some $d \geq 1$, and $\Delta = \mathbb{P}^2 \langle O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \oplus O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \rangle$.
6. $(F, \Delta)$ is a cone over $(C_d, B)$, where $C_d$ is the rational normal curve of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{P}^d$ for some $d \geq 2$, $B \in |O_{\mathbb{P}^d}(2)|$, and $L_{|F}$ is a hyperplane under this embedding.
7. $(F, \Delta)$ is a cone over the pair $(5a)$ above, and $L_{|F}$ is a hyperplane section of the cone.

We can now give our first result, whose proof is based on [AD13, Theorem 8.1].

Theorem 3.2. Let $X \not\cong \mathbb{P}^n$ be a projective manifold of dimension $n$ and let $F$ be a del Pezzo foliation with log canonical singularities in the sense of McQuillan. If
for some minimal dominating family of rational curves \( H \), with associated rationally connected quotient \( \pi_0: X_0 \to Y_0 \), we have that \( T_{F,X_0} \not\subset T_{X_0/Y_0} \), then \( X \) is isomorphic to a \( \mathbb{P}^n \)-bundle over \( \mathbb{P}^{n-m} \) and \( r \leq 3 \).

**Proof.** Let \( n: F \to X \) be the normalization of the closure of a general leaf of \( F \). By [AD13] Proof of Theorem 1.1, for \([f] \in H \) a general element, one of the following options holds:

1. We have \( f^* T_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus (r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \);  
2. We have \( r = 2 \), \( f^* T_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \), \( \pi_0 \) is a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle, and \( F \) is the pullback via \( \pi_0 \) of a foliation by rational curves on \( Y_0 \);  
3. We have \( r \geq 3 \), \( H \) unsplit, \( f^* T_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus (r-3)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus 2} \), and one of the following holds:
   
   a) Either \( \pi_0 \) is a quadric bundle of relative dimension \( r-1 \), and \( F \) is the pullback via \( \pi_0 \) of a foliation \( \mathcal{G}_0 \) by rational curves on \( Y_0 \);  
   
   b) Or \( \pi_0 \) is a \( \mathbb{P}^{r-2} \)-bundle, and \( F \) is the pullback by \( \pi_0 \) of a foliation \( \mathcal{G}_0 \) by rationally connected surfaces on \( Y_0 \).

If case (1) happens, then by [AD13] Proposition 7.13 \( \pi_0 \) makes \( X \) a \( \mathbb{P}^m \)-bundle over \( \mathbb{P}^{n-m} \), and \( r \leq 3 \).

Suppose case (1) does not happen. Then it follows that the general member of \( H \) is contained in the general leaf of \( F \), since in cases (2) and (3) we have \( T_{\mathbb{P}^1} \subset f^* T_F \). Thus, \( H \) induces a minimal dominating family of rational curves on \( F \), which we also denote by \( H \). Notice that the hypothesis implies that \( F \) is not \( H \)-rationally connected. By the classification of leaves in Proposition 3.1, we must have \( F \) a projective space bundle and \( r \leq 3 \), since in all other possibilities, \( F \) will be \( H \)-rationally connected for any \( H \).

Suppose then that case (2) happens. Let \((F,\Delta)\) be the general log leaf introduced in Definition 2.15. Then, by the observation of the above paragraph, \( F \) is a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle over \( \mathbb{P}^1 \).

We claim that \( F \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \). First, notice, by the description of \( F \) in (2), that for a general fiber \( f \) of \( \varphi: F \to \mathbb{P}^1 \), \( F \) is regular along \( f \), and thus \( f \cap \text{Supp}(\Delta) = \emptyset \) (since \( n^*(T_F) \) is a sub-vector bundle of \( T_F \) in the open set \( F \setminus \text{Supp}(\Delta) \)). This implies that \( \text{Supp}(\Delta) \) is a union of fibers of \( \varphi \). By proposition 3.1 there exists on \( F \) a structure of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle over \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) such that \( \Delta \sim \sigma + f \) or \( \Delta \sim \sigma \), and in both cases where this structure is the same as \( \varphi \) or transversal to \( \varphi \), this can only happen if \( F \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \).

Let \( C \subset F \) be a section such that \( C^2 = 0 \) and let \( f \) be a fiber of \( F \to \mathbb{P}^1 \). By Proposition 3.1 \( \Delta = f \) and thus \( -K_F \cdot n_*(C) = -K_F \cdot \mathcal{O}_C = 0 \). Denote \( \ell' = n(C) \) and let \( H' \) be the dominating family of rational curves on \( X \) containing \( \{\ell'\} \). Since \( -K_F \cdot \ell' = 1 \), \( H' \) is unsplit. Let \([f'] \in H' \) be a general member. Then, by [AD13] Proof of Theorem 1.1, \( (f')^* T_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \), otherwise the general member of \( H' \) would be contained in the general leaf of \( F \), and thus the general member of \( H' \) would intersect \( \text{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \) (since the pullback of the general member of \( H' \) would be a section of \( F \) intersecting \( \text{Supp}(\Delta) \) and \( \text{Supp}(\Delta) = n^{-1}(\text{sing}(\mathcal{F})) \) by [AD17a] Lemma 2.12), which does not happen because \( \text{codim}(\text{sing}(\mathcal{F})) \geq 2 \). Consider \( \pi': X_1 \to Y_1 \) the quotient associated to \( H' \). Denote \( \ell = n(f) \). Then \( \ell \) and \( \ell' \) are numerically independent (because \( \pi_0 \) does not contract \( \ell' \)), and in particular \( \pi' \) does not contract \( \ell \). Moreover, \( \ell \) is contained in a leaf of \( F \), and we then have \( T_{F|X_1} \not\subset T_{X_1/Y_1} \). By case (1) above, \( \pi' \) makes \( X \) a \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \)-bundle over \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) and \( r \leq 3 \).
Now suppose case (3) happens. By Proposition 3.1, if case (3) happened, then 
$r = 3$ and $F$ would be a $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$. Moreover $f^*T_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus 2}$ would imply that the general member of $H$ is contained in a leaf of $F$, since $T_{\mathbb{P}^1} \subset f^*T_F$. Thus, for $T_F \not\subset T_{X_0/Y_0}$ to happen, it would be necessary that $H$ is the family of lines on each fiber of the projection $F \to \mathbb{P}^1$, or that $H$ consists of the lines that are horizontal to this projection, and in this case we should have that $F = \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and that $H$ consists of the fibers of the projection $F \to \mathbb{P}^2$. The first case never happens: if it happened, we would be in case (3a), where the fibers of $\pi_0$ restricted to $F$ are quadric surfaces; this contradicts the assumption that $H$ is the family of lines on each fiber of the projection $F \to \mathbb{P}^1$, since a quadric surface is not isomorphic to a projective plane. Thus, case (3) only happens when $F = \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $H$ consists of the fibers of the projection $\pi_F: F \to \mathbb{P}^2$.

Let $H'_F$ be the minimal dominating family of rational curves given by the lines in the fibers of $F \to \mathbb{P}^1$ and let $[l'] \in H'_F$ be a general member. Consider, as in case (2), a dominating family $H'$ of rational curves on $X$ containing $[n(l')]$. As observed in case (2), for a general member $[f] \in H'$, we have that $f^*T_F = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. Write $-K_F = 2A$, for $A \in \text{Pic}(X)$ ample. We have, by [AD13] Lemma 6.3, that $-K_F \cdot l' \leq 3$. Since $\Delta \cdot n^*(l') \geq 0$, we must have $A \cdot l' = 1$. In particular, $H'$ is unsplit. Consider, then, $\pi': X_0 \to Y_0$ the rationally connected quotient associated to $H'$. Since $H$ and $H'$ are numerically independent, the general member of $H$ is not contracted by $\pi'$, which implies that the general leaf of $F$ is not contracted by $\pi'$. In other words, $T_{F|X_0} \not\subset T_{X_0/Y_0}$. By case (1) above, $\pi'$ makes $X$ a $\mathbb{P}^n$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^{n-m}$ and $r \leq 3$.

Now we consider the second case, whose proof is also based in [AD13].

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $X \not\cong \mathbb{P}^n$ be a projective manifold of dimension $n$ and let $F$ be a del Pezzo foliation with rank $r \geq 3$ and log canonical singularities in the sense of McQuillan. If for every minimal dominating family of rational curves $H$, with associated rationally quotient $\pi_0: X_0 \to Y_0$, we have that $T_{F|X_0} \subset T_{X_0/Y_0}$, then $\rho(X) = 1$.

**Proof.** Let $n: F \to X$ be the normalization of the closure of the general leaf of $F$; by assumption $\dim(F) \geq 3$. By proposition 3.1 we know all the possibilities for $F$, which are all the cases of that proposition, except number (4). We may take as $H$ the family induced by the pushforward of lines on $F$, for general $F$. Since $O_F(L,F) = O_F(1)$ in all these cases, the family $H$ satisfies $H \cdot L = 1$. In particular, it is unsplit.

Since $\pi_0$ is proper, the closures of the general leaves of $F$ are contained in the fibers of $\pi_0$. If $Y_0$ is not a point, then proposition 2.13 implies that the general fibers of $\pi_0$ have a common point, a contradiction. Therefore $Y_0$ is a point and, by [ADK08] Proposition 2.3, $\rho(X) = 1$. \qed

Next we consider the case of manifolds with Picard number 1 admitting del Pezzo foliations of rank $r \geq 3$. We can also restrict ourselves to Fano manifolds, by the following observation:

**Lemma 3.4.** Let $X$ be a projective manifold with $\rho(X) = 1$. If $X$ is uniruled, then $X$ is Fano.

Consider then the index $\iota_X$ of $X$. Then, by [KO73] Theorem 1.1, $\iota_X = \dim(X) + 1$ if and only if $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$, and $\iota_X = \dim(X)$ if and only if $X \cong Q^n$, a quadric...
hypersurface. Thus in order to show that $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ or $X \cong Q^n$, we will show that $\iota_X \geq \dim(X)$. To do so, we will use lemma 2.25: we will find a family $V$ of lines on $X$, through a fixed point, of dimension $\dim(X) - 2$ or $\dim(X) - 1$.

By theorem 1.22, we may suppose that $F$ is algebraically integrable. To find these families, we will consider the leaves of $F$. By theorem 1.22, the general leaves of $F$ are rationally connected. By proposition 2.18, the closures of these general leaves have a point in common. In particular, this shows that there exists a family of rational curves, the general member contained in a general leaf of $F$, passing through a fixed point. We do not know, a priori, the degree or the dimension of this family. However, the classification of leaves in Proposition 3.1 will ensure the existence of families of rational curves satisfying the required properties.

We are now ready to prove our final result:

**Theorem 3.5.** Let $X$ be a projective manifold of dimension $n$ with $\rho(X) = 1$, admitting a del Pezzo foliation $F$ of rank at least 3 and log canonical singularities in the sense of McQuillan. Then either $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ or $X \cong Q^n$.

**Proof.** If $F$ is not algebraically integrable, then $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. Suppose that $F$ is algebraically integrable. By proposition 2.18 there is a log canonical center of $(F, \Delta)$ whose image $T$ in $X$ is fixed. Since the rank of the foliation is at least 3, proposition 3.1 implies that $(F, \Delta)$ is one of the cases (1), (2), (3), (5c), (6) or (7) given by it.

In case (1), if $x \in X$ is a general point in $T$, then there is a family of lines through $x$ of dimension $\geq n - 1$ ($x$ is contained in a general leaf, whose closure has normalization $\mathbb{P}^r$; take the family induced by the family of lines in $\mathbb{P}^r$ through a fixed point). Moreover, the general line in this family is free. By lemma 2.25 $\iota_X = n + 1$ and therefore $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$.

Suppose now we are in case (2) or (5c). Then, for a general $x \in X$, there is a family of lines through $x$, having dimension $\geq n - 2$ (analogous to the argument of case (1)). We can conclude, using lemma 2.25 that $\iota_X \geq n$, which implies $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ or $X \cong Q^n$.

In case (3), if $x \in F \setminus \text{Supp}(\Delta)$, then there is a family of lines through $x$, of dimension $r - 3$. Thus, applying the same argument as above, if $x \in X$ is a general point, then there is a family of lines through $x$, having dimension $\geq n - 3$, which implies that $\iota_X = n - 1$. The case $\iota_X = n - 1$ is going to be ruled out below.

In cases (6) and (7) the log leaf $(F, \Delta)$ is a cone over a smooth variety.

In case (6), the common log canonical center may be the vertex $V$, or one of the rulings $\Delta_1$ or $\Delta_2$ giving $\Delta$. In the first case, taking $x \in X$ as a point in the image of $V$, and taking, for a general leaf, the family of lines on that leaf passing through this common point, we find a family of lines covering the whole $X$, passing through $x$, and as above, we may conclude that $\iota_X = n + 1$ and $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. In the second case, if we vary the general leaf along the family of leaves, the image of $V$ varies in codimension 1 inside $T$, and thus, for a general $x \in T$, we see that there is a family of lines through $x$ of dimension $\geq n - 2$ and as above we conclude that $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ or $X \cong Q^n$.

In case (7), the common log canonical center may be $\Delta_1$ or $\Delta_2$ (the cones over $\sigma$ and $f$ giving $\Delta$), or the intersection of $\Delta_1$ and $\Delta_2$, which is a ruling of the leaf. The first case is treated as in the above paragraph, and we conclude that $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ or $X \cong Q^n$. In the second, as we vary the general leaves, the image of $V$ varies in codimension 2 inside $T$, and as above we find a family of lines through a fixed $x \in T$, of dimension $\geq n - 3$, which implies that $\iota_X \geq n - 1$. 


Therefore, to finish the proof, we just need to show that $\iota_X = n - 1$ does not occur. Theorem 2.19 gives all the possibilities for $X$ in case $\iota_X = n - 1$. It is then enough to show that the manifolds listed in theorem 2.19 cannot have a del Pezzo foliation. For cases (1), (2), (4) and (5) of that theorem, $X$ is a complete intersection in a weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(a_0, \ldots, a_N)$, and the result follows from remark 2.7 and from lemma 2.20 (observe that in our case, if $A$ is an ample generator of $\text{Pic}(X)$, then $-K_X \sim (n - 1)A$ and $-K_F \sim (r - 1)A$, and therefore $\det(N_F) \sim (n - r)A$). In case number (3) of theorem 2.19, the result follows from lemma 2.21. This concludes the proof. □
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