

Strongly Interacting Fermi-Fermi Mixture of ^{161}Dy and ^{40}K

C. Ravensbergen,^{1,2} E. Soave,¹ V. Corre,^{1,2} M. Kreyer,¹ B. Huang (黄博),^{1,2} E. Kirilov,¹ and R. Grimm^{1,2}

¹*Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Innsbruck, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria*

²*Institut für Quantenoptik und Quanteninformation (IQOQI),
Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria*

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

We report on the realization of a Fermi-Fermi mixture of ultracold atoms that combines mass imbalance, tunability, and collisional stability. In an optically trapped sample of ^{161}Dy and ^{40}K , we identify a broad Feshbach resonance centered at a magnetic field of 218 G. In the strongly interacting regime, we demonstrate hydrodynamic behavior in the expansion after release from the trap. Lifetime studies on resonance show that the fermionic nature of the system suppresses inelastic few-body processes by several orders of magnitude. The resonant mixture opens up intriguing perspectives for studies on novel states of strongly correlated fermions with mass imbalance.

Ultracold Fermi gases with strong interactions have attracted a great deal of attention as precisely controllable model systems for quantum many-body physics [1–4]. The interest spans across many different fields, from primordial matter, neutron stars and atomic nuclei to condensed-matter systems, and in particular concerning superfluids and superconductors. Corresponding experiments in ultracold Fermi gases require strong s -wave interactions, which can be realized based on Feshbach resonances [5] in two-component systems. The vast majority of experiments in this field relies on spin mixtures of fermionic atomic species, which naturally imposes equal masses. Beyond this well-established situation, theoretical work has predicted fermionic systems with mass imbalance to favor exotic interaction regimes [6]. Mass-imbalanced systems hold particular promise [7, 8] in view of superfluid states with unconventional pairing mechanisms, most notably the elusive Fulde-Ferrell-Larkin-Ovchinnikov (FFLO) state [9–11].

A key factor for the great success of experiments on strongly interacting Fermi gases is the remarkable collisional stability, which arises from a suppression of inelastic loss processes at large scattering lengths. This famous suppression effect [12, 13] is a result of Pauli exclusion in few-body processes at ultralow energies. Experimentally, a strong suppression requires a broad Feshbach resonance with a sufficiently large universal range [13, 14]. For the mass-balanced case, suitable resonances exist in spin mixtures of ^6Li or ^{40}K , and such systems are used in many experiments worldwide. In a mass-imbalanced fermion system, the same suppression effect can be expected [15], but the experimental situation is different. The only tunable Fermi-Fermi system realized so far is the mixture of ^6Li and ^{40}K [16, 17], and the Feshbach resonances in this system [18–20] are too narrow to enable strong loss suppression [21].

The advent of submerged-shell lanthanide atoms in the field of ultracold quantum gases [22–25] has considerably enhanced the experimental possibilities. While most of the current work focuses on dipolar interactions, the availability of additional fermionic atoms is of great in-




FIG. 1. Interspecies scattering length for ^{161}Dy - ^{40}K near the broad Feshbach resonance centered at $B_0 = 218.00(8)$ G. The shaded region indicates the strongly interacting regime, where $|a| > 1/k_F^{\text{Dy}}$ for typical experimental conditions.

terest in view of novel strongly interacting systems [26] and novel ultracold mixtures [27]. We have recently introduced the mixture of ^{161}Dy and ^{40}K [28, 29] as a candidate for realizing a collisionally stable, strongly interacting Fermi-Fermi mixture. Many narrow Feshbach resonances can be expected for such a system as a result of anisotropic interactions [30, 31]. However, for experiments on Fermi gases in the strongly interacting regime the “all or nothing” question remains, whether at all broad enough resonances exist. In this Letter, we give a positive answer and report on first experiments in the strongly interacting regime.

The starting point of our experiments is a degenerate mixture of ^{161}Dy and ^{40}K , prepared in crossed-beam optical dipole trap (1064-nm light) according to the procedures described in our earlier work [29]. Both species are in their lowest hyperfine and Zeeman substates, which eliminates two-body losses. After evaporative cooling at a low magnetic field of 225 mG, we transfer the system into the high-field region above 200 G. In the corresponding procedure [32], we take care to minimize unwanted loss and heating effects, as caused by many Dy intraspecies [33, 34] and Dy-K interspecies resonances.

At high magnetic fields, we reach typical conditions of $N_{\text{Dy}} = 22000$ and $N_{\text{K}} = 6000$ [35] in a trap with mean (geometrically averaged) oscillation frequencies of $\bar{\omega}_{\text{Dy}}/2\pi = 110$ Hz and $\bar{\omega}_{\text{K}}/2\pi = 400$ Hz [36]. This corresponds to Fermi temperatures of $T_F^{\text{Dy}} = 270$ nK and $T_F^{\text{K}} = 630$ nK. The thermalized mixture has a typical temperature of $T/T_F^{\text{Dy}} = 0.4$ or $T/T_F^{\text{K}} = 0.2$ [37]. The particular conditions for our different measurements vary somewhat. Details on the relevant procedures and parameter values are summarized in [32].

We have found a broad Feshbach resonance centered at 218 G. As described in detail in [32], we characterized the resonance by measurements of interspecies thermalization in a wide range of magnetic fields. The resonance is the broadest one in a scenario of three overlapping resonances, with the other two ones found at 199 G and 253 G. Close to the resonance center, the tunability of the interspecies s -wave scattering length can be well approximated by

$$a = -\frac{A}{B - B_0} a_0. \quad (1)$$

Our best knowledge of the pole position and the strength parameter is $B_0 = 218.00(8)$ G (see below) and $A = 1200(220)$ G [32].

Figure 1 illustrates the resonance behavior of the scattering length. To characterize the regime of strong interactions, we consider the condition $|a| > 1/k_F^{\text{Dy}}$, with the typical value $1/k_F^{\text{Dy}} = 1400 a_0$ for the inverse Fermi wavenumber of the Dy cloud, which is somewhat denser than the K component ($1/k_F^{\text{K}} = 1900 a_0$). The corresponding range, illustrated by the shaded region in Fig. 1, is found to be 1.7 G wide. From the experimental point of view, such a width is very convenient for controlled interaction tuning. From a more fundamental point of view, the resonance is likely to support a large universal range with entrance-channel dominated behavior [5], provided that the yet unknown differential magnetic moment is not too small [32].

A striking signature of resonant interactions can be observed in the expansion of the mixture after release from the trap. The absorption images in the upper row of Fig. 2 show the case of weak interactions, realized at $B = 203.8$ G, near a zero crossing. Here the expansion takes place in a ballistic way and, as expected from the mass ratio, the K component expands much faster than the Dy component. In contrast, the resonant expansion case (images in the lower row in Fig. 2) shows a completely different behavior. Both components expand essentially in the same way, as one would expect from a mixture of fluids. Evidently, the interaction between the two species slows down the expansion of the lighter species and accelerates the expansion of the heavier species. This behavior requires elastic collisions at a rate that is large on the millisecond time scale of the




FIG. 2. Comparison of the expansion the mixture for weak (upper) and resonant (lower) interspecies interaction. The absorption images show the optical depth for both species (Dy left, K right) after a time of flight of 3.7 ms (Dy) and 3.5 ms (K). The field of view of all images is $230 \mu\text{m} \times 230 \mu\text{m}$.

expansion and thus provides evidence for hydrodynamic behavior.

The hydrodynamic expansion of a strongly interacting Fermi-Fermi system was already studied in our earlier work on a resonant ^6Li - ^{40}K mixture [16]. There we observed the joint expansion of the two components along with changes in the mixture's aspect ratio. The latter effect was not studied in the present work, because the geometry of the set up did not allow for its clear observation. We note that hydrodynamic behavior may also result from superfluidity, but in our temperature regime we attribute the observed behavior fully to collisional hydrodynamics.

The sensitivity of the hydrodynamic expansion to the magnitude of the collisional cross section can be utilized for an accurate determination of the position of the resonance pole, as has been demonstrated for the ^{40}K spin mixture in [38]. We prepared the Dy-K mixture at a magnetic field of 219.4 G, where the scattering length is large and negative ($a \approx -860 a_0$). Holding the mixture for 30-ms at this field ensured that full thermal equilibrium was reached. At this side of the resonance, the system does not support a weakly bound state and thus avoids molecule formation. A fast ramp of 18 ms was applied to transfer the system to the target field between 216 and 220 G. Finally, the mixture was released from




FIG. 3. Accurate determination of the resonance pole. The size of the K component in the expanding Dy-K mixture, measured 3.5 ms after release from the trap, is shown as a function of the magnetic field. The error bars represent 1σ uncertainties. The solid curve displays a Lorentzian fit to the data.

the trap and absorption images were taken after 3.5 ms of free expansion. In Figure 3 we show the size of the K component as a function of the magnetic field. A clear minimum marks the point of largest elastic collision rate, which corresponds to the pole of the resonance. The observed behavior is well fitted by a Lorentzian curve, which yields the pole position $B_0 = 218.00(8)$ G. The given uncertainty (1 standard deviation) includes the fit error (55 mG), an estimate for fit-model-dependent uncertainty (50 mG), and the uncertainty of the magnetic field calibration (20 mG). It is also interesting to note that the width of the region where hydrodynamic behavior is observed (1.2 G full width from the Lorentzian fit) coincides with our expectations for the strongly interacting regime, as illustrated in Fig. 1.

We now turn our attention to inelastic losses in the resonance region around 218 G. Figure 4 shows the results of a loss scan, in which the remaining atom numbers were measured after holding the mixture for 300 ms at a variable magnetic field. The behavior of both species reveals a rather broad interspecies loss feature, centered about 1 G below the resonance, while the loss is essentially absent on resonance. This observation closely resembles previous observations in spin mixtures of ^6Li [39–41] and ^{40}K [38]. In those systems, the feature provides a signature of three-body recombination populating the weakly bound molecular state that underlies the broad Feshbach resonance. The loss feature is located at a magnetic detuning small enough to provide fast three-body recombination into the shallow dimer state, but large enough for the dimer binding energy to reach the trap depth. In our system, we estimate $a \approx +1000 a_0$ at the center of the loss feature. Here, the comparison of binding energy $E_b = \hbar^2/(2m_r a^2) \approx k_B \times 3 \mu\text{K}$ (reduced mass m_r) with the trap depth of about $3 \mu\text{K}$ for Dy shows that our




FIG. 4. Inelastic loss feature related to the 218-G resonance. In (a) and (b), the number of Dy and K atoms remaining after a 300-ms hold time is shown as a function of the magnetic field. The error bars show 1σ uncertainties. The solid lines represent Gaussian fits. The shaded region indicates the position of the pole with its 1σ uncertainty range.

loss feature appears right in this regime. We note that the loss spectra also reveal indications of a few narrow interspecies resonances. We attribute losses observed at 217.7, 218.7, and 219.3 G to such resonances.

We now consider the stability of the resonant mixture in more detail. The data points on Fig. 5 show the observed decay of initially 23 000 Dy atoms (blue filled circles) mixed with about 8 times less K atoms (red filled triangles). The decay curves are fitted (solid lines) and analyzed following the models detailed in [32]. For the K component we observe an initial decay time of $\tau_K = 2.2$ s. If we attribute this loss completely to K-Dy-Dy or K-K-Dy three-body collisions, we can derive upper bounds of $6 \times 10^{-28} \text{ cm}^6/\text{s}$ or $1.2 \times 10^{-27} \text{ cm}^6/\text{s}$, respectively, for the corresponding event rate coefficients.

These values are exceptionally small for a resonant three-body system. The remarkable collisional stability is highlighted by comparing our results with the markedly different behavior observed for three-body recombination in Feshbach-resonant Bose-Bose [42–44] or Bose-Fermi mixtures [45–49]. In such mixtures, the three-body system of two heavy bosons and a light atom (boson or fermion) has attracted particular attention because of the possible formation of Efimov states [50–52], and several experiments have measured rate coefficients near broad interspecies Feshbach resonances. All the corresponding results for event rate coefficients range from about




FIG. 5. Decay of the resonant Dy-K mixture. The atom numbers N_K (red filled triangles) and N_{Dy} (blue filled circles) decrease slowly with the hold time. For comparison, the open squares show the even slower decay of a pure Dy sample. The error bars represent 1σ uncertainties. The solid lines are fits by the phenomenological model presented in [32].

10^{-23} cm⁶/s up to extreme values exceeding 10^{-21} cm⁶/s [48], only limited by the finite collision energy [53]. Also in Dy-K mixtures, a change of the Dy isotope from fermions to bosons (¹⁶²Dy) leads to a dramatic increase of resonant three-body losses by about four orders of magnitude [54]. The essential difference in three-body physics of such systems and Fermi-Fermi mixtures is the quantum statistics of the two identical particles involved in a recombination event. We can therefore attribute the enormous difference in rate coefficients of several orders of magnitude to the Pauli suppression of inelastic processes in Fermi-Fermi systems.

Decay of the Dy component in the mixture (blue filled circles in Fig. 5) is observed with an initial decay time of $\tau_{Dy} = 0.25$ s, much shorter than for K. The fact that about 80 times more Dy are lost per unit time than K atoms rules out interspecies three-body collisions as the main mechanism and suggests a decay intrinsic to Dy. For comparison, we have studied the decay in a pure Dy sample, with similar initial conditions as for Dy in the mixture before. In Fig. 5, the observed evolution of the atom number (black open squares) is shown together with a fit curve. The observed behavior confirms the presence of pure Dy three-body decay, but the decay is much slower than in the mixture ($\tau_{Dy} = 1.0$ s). Apparently, K substantially increases the decay of Dy without participating in the underlying three-body process.

A plausible explanation for this peculiar behavior is a contraction of the mixture induced by the strong attractive interaction on resonance. The increased number density of Dy speeds up the decay. This hypothesis

is supported [32] by an analysis of further decay curves with different number ratios N_K/N_{Dy} together with a model calculation for the attraction-induced density increase. The increased Dy decay can thus be interpreted as a manifestation of strong interactions in the mixture.

The intrinsic decay of Dy appears to be the limiting factor for the overall collisional stability of the mixture and needs further investigation. Being unusually fast for a system of identical fermions, the decay may be attributed to the extremely high density of ultra-narrow Feshbach resonances, which has been observed at lower magnetic fields [33]. Unresolved at higher magnetic fields for fundamental or technical reasons, such resonances lead to a fluctuating background of losses [34]. We indeed observe “bad” regions where Dy losses are faster, but fortunately those regions do not coincide with the strongly interacting regime of the 218 G interspecies resonance.

Already our present experimental conditions of moderate quantum degeneracy ($T/T_F^{Dy} \approx 0.4$) are very interesting for experiments, e.g., regarding collisional hydrodynamics, the equation of state of the normal phase, or molecule formation. Beyond that, conditions for superfluid regimes seem to be within reach. A Lifshitz point in the phase diagram, where zero momentum pairs become unstable, may be expected at a temperature corresponding to about 15% of the Fermi temperature of the heavy species [7, 55]. We have already achieved temperatures below that by evaporative cooling at a low magnetic field [29], and the present challenge is to realize similar conditions near the 218-G resonance. Work is in progress to eliminate heating in the transfer from low to high magnetic fields and to implement an additional evaporative cooling stage that takes advantage of the large elastic scattering cross section close to the resonance.

Preparation and detection methods for molecules, molecular condensates, fermionic pair condensates, and exotic superfluids will presumably require the application magnetic ramping techniques [56–58]. In this regard, it will be important to understand the possible effects of ultranarrow interspecies and intraspecies Feshbach resonances. At present, we have some indications of their existence in the region of interest, but no detailed knowledge of their spectrum, properties, and implications. Special ramp protocols may have to be developed to minimize unwanted effects caused by such resonances.

In conclusion, we have shown that the ¹⁶¹Dy-⁴⁰K mixture possesses a broad Feshbach resonance with very favorable conditions for experiments on strongly interacting fermion systems with mass imbalance. In particular, the system features a dramatic suppression of inelastic losses near resonance, which is a key requirement for many experiments. Novel interaction regimes, including unconventional superfluid phases, seem to be in reach.

We acknowledge support by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) within project P32153-N36 and within the Dok-

toratskolleg ALM (W1259-N27). We thank D. Petrov for enlightening discussions on fermionic suppression effects. We furthermore thank the members of the ultracold atom groups in Innsbruck for many stimulating discussions and for sharing technological know how.

-
- [1] M. Inguscio, W. Ketterle, and C. Salomon, eds., *Ultra-cold Fermi Gases* (IOS Press, Amsterdam, 2008) Proceedings of the International School of Physics “Enrico Fermi”, Course CLXIV, Varenna, 20-30 June 2006.
 - [2] L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, *Bose-Einstein Condensation and Superfluidity* (Oxford University Press, 2016).
 - [3] W. Zwerger, ed., *The BCS-BEC Crossover and the Unitary Fermi Gas* (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2012).
 - [4] G. C. Strinati, P. Pieri, G. Röpke, P. Schuck, and M. Urban, *Phys. Rep.* **738**, 1 (2018).
 - [5] C. Chin, R. Grimm, P. S. Julienne, and E. Tiesinga, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 1225 (2010).
 - [6] K. Gubbels and H. Stoof, *Phys. Rep.* **525**, 255 (2013).
 - [7] K. B. Gubbels, J. E. Baarsma, and H. T. C. Stoof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 195301 (2009).
 - [8] J. Wang, Y. Che, L. Zhang, and Q. Chen, *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 39783 (2017).
 - [9] P. Fulde and R. A. Ferrell, *Phys. Rev.* **135**, A550 (1964).
 - [10] A. I. Larkin and Y. N. Ovchinnikov, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **20**, 762 (1965).
 - [11] L. Radzihovsky and D. E. Sheehy, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **73**, 076501 (2010).
 - [12] D. S. Petrov, C. Salomon, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 090404 (2004).
 - [13] D. S. Petrov, C. Salomon, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, *Phys. Rev. A* **71**, 012708 (2005).
 - [14] J. Levinsen and D. Petrov, *Eur. Phys. J. D* **65**, 67 (2011).
 - [15] D. S. Petrov, C. Salomon, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, *J. Phys. B* **38**, S645 (2005).
 - [16] A. Trenkwalder, C. Kohstall, M. Zaccanti, D. Naik, A. I. Sidorov, F. Schreck, and R. Grimm, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 115304 (2011).
 - [17] M. Jag, M. Zaccanti, M. Cetina, R. S. Lous, F. Schreck, R. Grimm, D. S. Petrov, and J. Levinsen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 075302 (2014).
 - [18] E. Wille, F. M. Spiegelhalter, G. Kerner, D. Naik, A. Trenkwalder, G. Hendl, F. Schreck, R. Grimm, T. G. Tiecke, J. T. M. Walraven, S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, E. Tiesinga, and P. S. Julienne, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 053201 (2008).
 - [19] T. G. Tiecke, M. R. Goosen, A. Ludewig, S. D. Gensemer, S. Kraft, S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, and J. T. M. Walraven, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 053202 (2010).
 - [20] D. Naik, A. Trenkwalder, C. Kohstall, F. M. Spiegelhalter, M. Zaccanti, G. Hendl, F. Schreck, R. Grimm, T. Hanna, and P. Julienne, *Eur. Phys. J. D* **65**, 55 (2011).
 - [21] M. Jag, M. Cetina, R. S. Lous, R. Grimm, J. Levinsen, and D. S. Petrov, *Phys. Rev. A* **94**, 062706 (2016).
 - [22] M. Lu, N. Q. Burdick, S. H. Youn, and B. L. Lev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 190401 (2011).
 - [23] K. Aikawa, A. Frisch, M. Mark, S. Baier, A. Rietzler, R. Grimm, and F. Ferlaino, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 210401 (2012).
 - [24] M. Lu, N. Q. Burdick, and B. L. Lev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 215301 (2012).
 - [25] K. Aikawa, A. Frisch, M. Mark, S. Baier, R. Grimm, and F. Ferlaino, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 010404 (2014).
 - [26] S. Baier, D. Petter, J. H. Becher, A. Patscheider, G. Natale, L. Chomaz, M. J. Mark, and F. Ferlaino, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 093602 (2018).
 - [27] A. Trautmann, P. Ilzhöfer, G. Durastante, C. Politi, M. Sohmen, M. J. Mark, and F. Ferlaino, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 213601 (2018).
 - [28] C. Ravensbergen, V. Corre, E. Soave, M. Kreyer, S. Tzanova, E. Kirilov, and R. Grimm, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 223001 (2018).
 - [29] C. Ravensbergen, V. Corre, E. Soave, M. Kreyer, E. Kirilov, and R. Grimm, *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 063624 (2018).
 - [30] A. Petrov, E. Tiesinga, and S. Kotochigova, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 103002 (2012).
 - [31] M. L. González-Martínez and P. S. Żuchowski, *Phys. Rev. A* **92**, 022708 (2015).
 - [32] See Supplemental Material at xxxxxxxxxxxx for the experimental characterization of the Feshbach resonance scenario, more details on the experimental conditions, the analysis of decay curves, and a model for the interaction-induced contraction of the mixture, which includes Refs. [59–64].
 - [33] K. Baumann, N. Q. Burdick, M. Lu, and B. L. Lev, *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 020701 (2014).
 - [34] N. Q. Burdick, Y. Tang, and B. L. Lev, *Phys. Rev. X* **6**, 031022 (2016).
 - [35] The number ratio of the two species can be controlled by variation of the respective loading times. We work with a majority of Dy atoms since this species naturally serves as the cooling agent in our approach [29]. Note also that a majority of heavy particles is of particular interest in view of exotic superfluids.
 - [36] The ratio of the trap frequencies for K and Dy is essentially determined by the mass ratio and the polarizability ratio, which results in a $\bar{\omega}_K/\bar{\omega}_{Dy} = 3.60$ [28]. Small corrections to this value may result from a different effect of gravity and/or magnetic levitation for both species. The trap is nearly symmetric around its weaker axis in the horizontal plane. The cloud’s typical aspect ratio is about 3:1.
 - [37] Thermometry is based on time-of-flight images taken at high magnetic fields for weak interspecies interactions.
 - [38] C. A. Regal, M. Greiner, and D. S. Jin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 083201 (2004).
 - [39] K. Dieckmann, C. A. Stan, S. Gupta, Z. Hadzibabic, C. H. Schunck, and W. Ketterle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 203201 (2002).
 - [40] T. Bourdel, J. Cubizolles, L. Khaykovich, K. M. F. Magalhães, S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, G. V. Shlyapnikov, and C. Salomon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 020402 (2003).
 - [41] S. Jochim, *Bose-Einstein Condensation of Molecules*, Ph.D. thesis, Innsbruck University (2004).
 - [42] G. Barontini, C. Weber, F. Rabatti, J. Catani, G. Thalhammer, M. Inguscio, and F. Minardi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 043201 (2009).
 - [43] R. A. W. Maier, M. Eisele, E. Tiemann, and C. Zimmermann, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 043201 (2015).
 - [44] L. J. Wacker, N. B. Jørgensen, D. Birkmose, N. Winter, M. Mikkelsen, J. Sherson, N. Zinner, and J. J. Arlt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 163201 (2016).
 - [45] R. S. Bloom, M.-G. Hu, T. D. Cumby, and D. S. Jin,

- [Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 105301 \(2013\)](#).
- [46] R. Pires, J. Ulmanis, S. Häfner, M. Repp, A. Arias, E. D. Kuhnle, and M. Weidemüller, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 250404 \(2014\)](#).
 - [47] S.-K. Tung, K. Jiménez-García, J. Johansen, C. V. Parker, and C. Chin, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 240402 \(2014\)](#).
 - [48] J. Ulmanis, S. Häfner, R. Pires, F. Werner, D. S. Petrov, E. D. Kuhnle, and M. Weidemüller, [Phys. Rev. A **93**, 022707 \(2016\)](#).
 - [49] R. S. Lous, I. Fritsche, M. Jag, F. Lehmann, E. Kirilov, B. Huang, and R. Grimm, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 243403 \(2018\)](#).
 - [50] C. H. Greene, P. Giannakeas, and J. Pérez-Ríos, [Rev. Mod. Phys. **89**, 035006 \(2017\)](#).
 - [51] P. Naidon and S. Endo, [Rep. Prog. Phys. **80**, 056001 \(2017\)](#).
 - [52] J. P. D’Incao, [J Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **51**, 043001 \(2018\)](#).
 - [53] D. S. Petrov and F. Werner, [Phys. Rev. A **92**, 022704 \(2015\)](#).
 - [54] S. Tzanova, Ph.D. thesis, University of Innsbruck (in preparation).
 - [55] Note that in Ref. [7] temperatures are given in units of a reduced Fermi temperature, which at the Lifshitz point is a factor of 3.4 higher than the Fermi temperature of the heavy species.
 - [56] M. Greiner, C. A. Regal, and D. S. Jin, [Nature \(London\) **426**, 537 \(2003\)](#).
 - [57] C. A. Regal, M. Greiner, and D. S. Jin, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 040403 \(2004\)](#).
 - [58] M. W. Zwierlein, J. R. Abo-Shaeer, A. Schirotzek, C. H. Schunck, and W. Ketterle, [Nature \(London\) **435**, 1047 \(2005\)](#).
 - [59] A. D. Lange, K. Pilch, A. Prantner, F. Ferlaino, B. Engeser, H.-C. Nägerl, R. Grimm, and C. Chin, [Phys. Rev. A **79**, 013622 \(2009\)](#).
 - [60] K. Jachymski and P. S. Julienne, [Phys. Rev. A **88**, 052701 \(2013\)](#).
 - [61] A. Mosk, S. Kraft, M. Mudrich, K. Singer, W. Wohlleben, R. Grimm, and M. Weidemüller, [Appl. Phys. B **73**, 791 \(2001\)](#).
 - [62] D. S. Petrov, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 143201 \(2004\)](#).
 - [63] C. Lobo, A. Recati, S. Giorgini, and S. Stringari, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 200403 \(2006\)](#).
 - [64] A. Gezerlis, S. Gandolfi, K. E. Schmidt, and J. Carlson, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 060403 \(2009\)](#).