PERIOD RELATIONS FOR STANDARD $L$-FUNCTIONS OF SYMPLECTIC TYPE
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Abstract. This article is to understand the critical values of $L$-functions $L(s, \Pi \otimes \chi)$ and to establish the relation of the relevant global periods at the critical places. Here $\Pi$ is an irreducible regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$ of symplectic type and $\chi$ is a finite order automorphic character of $GL_1(\mathbb{A})$, with $\mathbb{A}$ is the ring of adeles of a number field $k$.
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1. Introduction

A pioneering work of G. Shimura ([Sh59], [Sh71], [Sh76]) indicates the arithmetic structure of the critical values of \( L \)-functions associated to elliptic modular forms and the relation between different critical values of those \( L \)-functions. These phenomena are expected, as conjectured by D. Blasius in [Bl97], for standard \( L \)-functions associated to algebraic cuspidal automorphic representations of \( \text{GL}_n \) over any number field \( k \), in the sense of L. Clozel ([Cl90]). On the other hand, a celebrated conjecture of P. Deligne [D79, Conjecture 2.8] predicts the same phenomena for critical values of \( L \)-series (or \( L \)-functions) attached motives. The underlying intrinsic structure that reflects the similarity of the parallel phenomena between the theory of algebraic automorphic representations and the theory of motives is the far-reaching conjecture of R. Langlands ([L79]) that as further explained in [Cl90, Section 4.3], there exists a one-to-one correspondence between irreducible motives over a number field with coefficients in \( \mathbb{Q} \) and irreducible algebraic cuspidal automorphic representations of general linear groups, which respects \( L \)-functions (see [Cl90, Conjecture 4.5]).

The objective of this paper is to understand the critical \( L \)-values and to establish the period relations for the twisted standard \( L \)-functions associated to irreducible regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representations of \( \text{GL}_{2n} \) that are of generalized symplectic type, for any integer \( n \geq 1 \). The approach that we are undertaking in this paper is to study the generalized modular symbols in the sense of B. Mazur ([Mz], [As80] and [AB90]), and generalizes the classical approach of Shimura ([Sh59] and Y. Manin ([Mn76]) from \( \text{GL}_2 \) to \( \text{GL}_{2n} \) for any integer \( n \geq 1 \).

To be precise, let \( \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_k \) be the ring of adeles of a number field \( k \). We write \( \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_\infty \times \mathbb{A}_f \), with \( \mathbb{A}_f \) being the ring of finite adeles of \( k \) and \( \mathbb{A}_\infty := k \otimes \mathbb{Q} \mathbb{R} \) being the archimedean part. For a local place \( \nu \) of \( k \), we write \( \nu \mid \infty \) if \( \nu \) is an archimedean place and \( \nu \nmid \infty \) if otherwise. We also write \( k_\nu \) for the local field at a place \( \nu \). Let

\[
\Pi = \hat{\otimes}_\nu' \Pi_\nu := \Pi_\infty \otimes \Pi_f
\]

be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of \( \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{A}) \), where

\[
\Pi_\infty := \hat{\otimes}_{\nu \mid \infty} \Pi_\nu \quad \text{(the completed projective tensor product),}
\]

and

\[
\Pi_f := \otimes_{\nu \nmid \infty} \Pi_\nu \quad \text{(the restricted tensor product).}
\]

Here \( \Pi_\nu \) is an irreducible smooth representation of \( \text{GL}_m(k_\nu) \) when \( \nu \nmid \infty \); and \( \Pi_\nu \) is an irreducible Casselman-Wallach representation of \( \text{GL}_m(k_\nu) \) when \( \nu \mid \infty \). We refer to [Ca89],
Theorem 1.1

Precisely, the following is the main result of this paper.

Let \( \Pi \) be an irreducible regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation of \( \operatorname{GL}_m(\mathbb{A}_\infty) \) \((n \geq 1)\) and let \( \eta : \mathbb{A}^x \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) be a character such that the complete twisted exterior square \( L \)-function \( L(s, \Pi, \wedge^2 \otimes \eta^{-1}) \) has a pole at \( s = 1 \). Assume that the coefficient system of \( \Pi \) is balanced. Then there exists a nonzero complex number \( \Omega_{\Pi} \), as defined in \((4.19)\), such that

\[
L(\frac{1}{2} + j, \Pi \otimes \chi) \in \mathbb{Q}(\Pi, \eta, \chi),
\]

where

\[
L(s, \Pi_f \otimes \chi_f) := \prod_{\nu \mid \infty} L(s, \Pi_\nu \otimes \chi_\infty)
\]

is the finite part of the \( L \)-function, and

\[
L(s, \Pi_\infty \otimes \chi_\infty) := \prod_{\nu \mid \infty} L(s, \Pi_\nu \otimes \chi_\nu)
\]

is the infinite part of the \( L \)-function. The complete \( L \)-function \( L(s, \Pi \otimes \chi) \) is meromorphic (entire when \( m \geq 2 \)) as a function in \( s \in \mathbb{C} \) and satisfies the functional equation

\[
L(s, \Pi \otimes \chi) = \varepsilon(s, \Pi \otimes \chi) \cdot L(1 - s, \Pi^\vee \otimes \chi^{-1}).
\]

Here and henceforth \( \vee \) indicates the contragredient representation.

The automorphic representation \( \Pi \) is called essentially self-dual, if there exists an automorphic character \( \eta : \mathbb{A}^x \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) such that \( \Pi^\vee \simeq \Pi \otimes \eta^{-1} \). It is a well-known theorem that the complete Rankin-Selberg \( L \)-function \( L(s, \Pi \otimes \Pi^\vee) \) is holomorphic for \( \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 \) and has a simple pole at \( s = 1 \) (see \[MW89, Page 667\], and also \[CPS04, Theorem 2.4\], \[JS81, Part II, Proposition 3.3, 3.6\]). Following a non-vanishing result of F. Shahidi \[Sh97, Theorem 1.1\], with the classification of the unitary dual of general linear group over local fields of characteristic zero \[VS6, T86\], it is easy to show that either the twisted exterior \( L \)-function \( L(s, \Pi, \wedge^2 \otimes \eta^{-1}) \) or the twisted symmetric \( L \)-function \( L(s, \Pi, \operatorname{Sym}^2 \otimes \eta^{-1}) \) has a simple pole, but not both. Accordingly, this classifies the essentially self-dual irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations \( \Pi \) of \( \operatorname{GL}_m(\mathbb{A}) \) as (generalized) symplectic type (with \( m \) being even) or (generalized) orthogonal type (with \( m \) being even or odd).

In this paper we consider cuspidal automorphic representations of \( \operatorname{GL}_m(\mathbb{A}) \) with the following three conditions:

1. \( \Pi \) is regular and algebraic in the sense of L. Clozel \[Cl90\], which will be recalled in Section 2.5.
2. \( \Pi \) is of symplectic type, see Definition 2.3 which implies that \( m = 2n \) must be even;
3. \( \Pi \) has a balanced coefficient system, see Definition 2.19.

By Definition 2.12, for such a \( \Pi \), the critical places of \( \Pi \) are of the form \( \frac{1}{2} + j \) for \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \). Precisely, the following is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.1 (Global Period Relations). Let \( \Pi \) be an irreducible regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation of \( \operatorname{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}) \) \((n \geq 1)\) and let \( \eta : \mathbb{A}^x \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) be a character such that the complete twisted exterior square \( L \)-function \( L(s, \Pi, \wedge^2 \otimes \eta^{-1}) \) has a pole at \( s = 1 \). Assume that the coefficient system of \( \Pi \) is balanced. Then there exists a nonzero complex number \( \Omega_{\Pi} \), as defined in \((4.19)\), such that

\[
\frac{L(\frac{1}{2} + j, \Pi \otimes \chi)}{\mu_{\Pi}^{n[k:Q]} \cdot G(\chi)^n \cdot \Omega_{\Pi}} \in \mathbb{Q}(\Pi, \eta, \chi),
\]
for every critical place $\frac{1}{2} + j$ of $\Pi$ and every finite order character $\chi : k^\times \backslash A^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ with $\chi_{\nu} = \text{sgn}^j$ for every real place $\nu$ of $k$. Here $G(\chi)$ is the Gauss sum of $\chi$ defined in (2.2).

We note that the complex number $\Omega_{\Pi}$ as defined in (1.19) is independent of the choice of the critical place $\frac{1}{2} + j$, and is called the period of $\Pi$. We refer to Definition 2.12 for the period of $\Pi$. For every Lie group with exactly two connected components, we write $\text{sgn}$ for its unique non-trivial quadratic character. The number field $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi, \eta, \chi)$ is called the rationality field of $\Pi$, $\eta$ and $\chi$, and will be defined in (2.1). We have more remarks in order.

Remark 1.2. At least when $k$ contains no CM field, the coefficient system of $\Pi$ is balanced if and only if $\Pi$ has a critical place. In general, if the coefficient system of $\Pi$ is balanced, then $\Pi$ has at least one critical place. See Remark 2.23 for more comments on this issue.

Remark 1.3. The automorphic character $\eta$ is automatically algebraic. We expect that in general, the rationality field $\mathbb{Q}(\eta)$ is contained in the rationality field $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)$. When $n = 1$, this is clear as $\eta$ equals the central character of $\Pi$.

Remark 1.4. It is not hard to deduce the period relation for the finite part of the twisted standard $L$-functions, which can be stated as follows. Let the notation and the assumptions be as in Theorem [1.1]. Then there exists a nonzero complex number $\Omega'_{\Pi}$ with the following property: for every critical place $\frac{1}{2} + j$ of $\Pi$, and for every finite order automorphic character $\chi : k^\times \backslash A^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $\chi_{\nu} = \text{sgn}^j$ for every real place $\nu$ of $k$, we have that

$$L(\frac{1}{2} + j, \Pi f \otimes \chi_f) \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\Pi, \eta, \chi).$$

See Remark 2.16 for more details.

Remark 1.5. In [GrR14], H. Grobner and A. Raghuram study the critical $L$-values $L(\frac{1}{2} + j, \Pi f \otimes \chi_f)$, assuming that the ground field $k$ is totally real. One of the main results in that paper is [GrR14, Theorem 7.1.2], which asserts that the critical $L$-value $L(\frac{1}{2} + j, \Pi f \otimes \chi_f)$ is equal to

$$\omega^{(-1)j+n-1} \epsilon_\chi(\Pi f) G(\chi)^n \omega(\Pi_\infty, j),$$

up to a multiple of a number belonging to rationality field $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi, \eta, \chi)$. We refer to their recent revision of [GrR14] listed in arXiv:1106.4249v4. This case was also considered earlier in [AG94]. However, the period $\omega(\Pi_\infty, j)$ in [GrR14] depends on the critical place $\frac{1}{2} + j$, and the proof of [GrR14, Theorem 7.1.2] has some issues, which will be discussed with more details in Remarks 3.7 and 3.9.

Remark 1.6. In [Jan18], F. Januszewski studies the critical $L$-values $L(\frac{1}{2} + j, \Pi f \otimes \chi_f)$ over totally real number fields and asserts that $L(\frac{1}{2} + j, \Pi f \otimes \chi_f)$ is equal to

$$\omega^{(-1)j+n-1} \epsilon_\chi(\Pi f) G(\chi)^n \Omega_{(-1)^j}\text{sgn}_\chi,$$

up to a multiple of a number belonging to rationality field $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi, \chi)$. However, the rationality of $\eta$ and the issues in [GrR14] were not clarified in his paper. His argument towards this global period relations assumes in [Jan18, Section 5.3] the existence of the cohomological test vector for $\Pi_\infty$ that is independent of the critical places. As the most technical ingredient of this paper, our Theorem 3.10 establishes the existence of the cohomological test vector for $\Pi_\infty$ that is independent of the critical places for general number fields. Meanwhile, our
proof involves no rational structures of Harish-Chandra modules, which is however a major ingredient in the argument developed by Januszewski.

**Remark 1.7.** In [AG94], [GrR14] and [Jan18], the base field is assumed to be totally real so that a certain numerical coincidence called “degree matching” is satisfied. As far as we know, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the first case in the study of special values of $L$-functions via modular symbols without the “degree matching” condition.

**Remark 1.8.** For $n = 1$, all irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ are essentially self-dual of symplectic type. In this case, the theorem was considered by Y. Manin in [Mn76], by G. Shimura in [Sh76 Theorem 1] and [Sh78 Theorem 4.3], by G. Harder in [Hd83 Page 84], and by H. Hida in [Hid94 Theorem 8.1]. In [Bli97 Section L.9.1], D. Blasius gives an explicit discussion on the conjecture of period relations and critical values of $L$-functions attached to cuspidal automorphic forms in general. We also refer to the survey paper by M. Harris and J. Lin [HL17] for further explanation of the recent progress in the theory.

The twisted standard $L$-function $L(s, \Pi \otimes \chi)$ has been represented by three different types of global zeta integrals: one by R. Godement and H. Jacquet [GJ72 Formula 12.4], one by Jacquet and J. Shalika [JS81 Part II, Page 795], and one by S. Friedberg and Jacquet [FJ93 Proposition 2.3]. It is the global zeta integral of Friedberg-Jacquet in [FJ93] that leads to the proof of Theorem 1.1. Because the global zeta integral of Friedberg-Jacquet assumes that $\Pi$ has a nonzero Shalika period, which leads to the assumption in Theorem 1.1 that $\Pi$ is of symplectic type (Proposition 2.5). Meanwhile, the Friedberg-Jacquet global zeta integral also represents a linear period of $\Pi$ on $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ over the subgroup $GL_n(\mathbb{A}) \times GL_n(\mathbb{A})$. When $\Pi$ is cohomological, the linear period has an interpretation in terms of (generalized) modular symbols ([Mz], [As80] and [AB90]). Using the modular symbols, the proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on the global rational structure of the relevant cohomological groups of $\Pi$, and on three local results that are responsible to the appearance of $i^{\ln[k:q]} \mathcal{G}(\chi)^n$ and $\Omega_{\Pi}$, respectively. The global rational structure follows from the assumption that $\Pi$ is regular and algebraic, due to the work of Clozel [Cl90]. The three local results are: Theorem 3.13 for relations of archimedean modular symbols at different critical places; Theorem 3.14 for the rationality of the non-archimedean Friedberg-Jacquet zeta integrals, and Theorem 3.12 for non-vanishing of archimedean modular symbols. Theorem 3.10 which asserts the existence of uniform cohomological test vectors for archimedean Friedberg-Jacquet zeta integrals, is vital for the proofs of Theorems 3.12 and 3.13.

In Section 2, we recall and review basic notions and known facts that are needed for the statement and the proof of Theorem 1.1. With the preparation in Section 2, we are able to state four local results in Section 3 which forms the technical core of the paper. We prove Theorem 3.1 in Section 3. The three archimedean local results (Theorem 3.10, Theorem 3.12 and Theorem 3.13) will be proved in Sections 5 and 6. Based on Sections 2 and 3, we are able to prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 4.

The research work of the paper was partly carried out during the participation of the three authors in one month Research Program: *On the Langlands Program: Endoscopy and Beyond* (17 Dec 2018 - 18 Jan 2019), at Institute for Mathematical Sciences, National University of Singapore. We would like to thank the IMS, NUS, for invitation and for hospitality. We would like to thank A. Ash, D. Blasius, L. Clozel, M. Harris, and Shouwu Zhang for their interests and helpful comments on the preliminary version of this paper.
2. Preliminaries

We explain in this section some relevant notions and results that are needed to state or prove Theorem 1.1. As in the Introduction, let $k$ be a number field and let $\mathbb{A}$ be its ring of adeles. Throughout this paper we fix a non-trivial additive character $\psi = \bigotimes' \nu \psi_\nu : k \backslash \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, where $\psi_\nu$ is a character of the local completion $k_\nu$ of $k$ at a place $\nu$. Then $\psi_\nu$ must be unitary and non-trivial. Let

$\chi = \bigotimes' \nu \chi_\nu : k^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$,

be an automorphic character as in the Introduction. Let $K$ be a local field of characteristic zero. Fix a non-trivial unitary character $\psi_K : K \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. Let $\chi_K : K^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a character.

2.1. Rationality fields. We recall the notion of rationality field from [Cl90, Section 3]. Suppose that $G_0$ is a totally disconnected, locally compact Hausdorff topological group. Given an irreducible smooth representation $\Pi_0$ of $G_0$, we define the rationality field of $\Pi_0$, denoted by $Q(\Pi_0)$, to be the fixed field of the group of field automorphisms $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\Pi_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}, \sigma \mathbb{C} \simeq \Pi_0$ as representations of $G$. Given an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi = \Pi_\infty \otimes \Pi_f$ of $\text{GL}_m(\mathbb{A})$ as in the Introduction, we define its rationality field to be $Q(\Pi) := Q(\Pi_f)$. In particular, we have defined the rationality field $Q(\chi_K)$ when $K$ is non-archimedean, and the rationality field $Q(\chi)$. We define

\begin{equation}
Q(\Pi, \chi) := Q(\Pi)Q(\chi),
\end{equation}

the compositum of $Q(\Pi)$ and $Q(\chi)$. Similar notation for the compositum fields will be used without further explanation.

Let $T_0$ be an algebraic torus defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Given an algebraic character $\chi_0 : T_0(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, we define its rationality field, denoted by $Q(\chi_0)$, to be the fixed field of the group of field automorphisms $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\chi_0(\sigma.x) = \sigma(\chi_0(x))$ for all $x \in T_0(\mathbb{C})$. Then $Q(\chi_0)$ is always a number field.

Let $F_0$ be an irreducible algebraic representation of $\text{GL}_m(k \otimes \mathbb{Q} \mathbb{C})$. Suppose that $T_0$ is the Weil restriction of $(\text{GL}(1)/k)^m$ from $k$ to $\mathbb{Q}$. Then an extremal weight of $F_0$ is an algebraic character of $T_0(\mathbb{C})$. We define the rationality field of $F_0$, which is denoted by $Q(F_0)$, to be the rationality field of an extremal weight of $F_0$. This definition is independent of the choice of the extremal weight.

2.2. Gauss sums. Suppose that $K$ is non-archimedean in this subsection. We define the local Gauss sum $\mathcal{G}(\chi_K)$ of the character $\chi_K$ as follows. Let $c(\chi_K)$ and $c(\psi_K)$ be the conductors of $\chi_K$ and $\psi_K$, respectively. Then $c(\chi_K)$ is a nonzero ideal of the ring $\mathcal{O}_K$ of integers of $K$, and $c(\psi_K)$ is a fractional ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$. We fix $y_K \in K^\times$ such that $c(\psi_K) = y_K \cdot c(\chi_K)$.
Then the local Gauss sum is defined to be
\[
G(\chi_K) := G(\chi_K, \psi_K, y_K) := \int_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times} \chi_K(x)^{-1} \cdot \psi_K(y_K x) \, dx,
\]
where \( dx \) is the normalized Haar measure so that \( \mathcal{O}_K^\times \) has total volume 1. Then \( G(\chi_K) \) is always nonzero, and equals 1 when \( \chi_K \) is unramified.

For the automorphic character \( \chi = \otimes'_{\nu} \chi_{\nu} \), we fix \( y = \{ y_{\nu} \}_{\nu|\infty} \in \mathbb{A}_f^\times \) such that
\[
c(\psi_{\nu}) = y_{\nu} \cdot c(\chi_{\nu}) \quad \text{for all } \nu \nmid \infty.
\]
The Gauss sum \( G(\chi) \) is defined to be the product of local Gauss sums:
\[
G(\chi) := G(\chi, \psi, y) := \prod_{\nu|\infty} G(\chi_{\nu}, \psi_{\nu}, y_{\nu}).
\]
This product is indeed a finite product, and is nonzero.

**Remark 2.1.** It is clear that the definition of Gauss sum \( G(\chi) \) may depend on the choice of \( \psi \) and \( y = \{ y_{\nu} \}_{\nu|\infty} \). However, this dependency will not affect the essence of Theorem 1.1, because with different choices of \( \psi \) and \( y = \{ y_{\nu} \}_{\nu|\infty} \), the Gauss sums only differ by multiplication of a number in \( \mathbb{Q}(\chi)^\times \).

### 2.3. Cuspidal representations of symplectic type.

As usual, write \( \text{GSpin}_{2n+1} \) for the split odd spin similitude group of rank \( n \) (\( n \geq 1 \)). Its complex dual group is the symplectic similitude group \( \text{GSpin}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \).

**Definition 2.2.** Let \( \Sigma = \otimes'_{\nu} \Sigma_{\nu} \) be an irreducible generic cuspidal automorphic representation of \( \text{GSpin}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{A}) \) and let \( \Pi = \otimes'_{\nu} \Pi_{\nu} \) be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of \( \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}) \). We say that \( \Pi \) is the functorial transfer of \( \Sigma \) if for almost all non-archimedean local places \( \nu \) where \( \Sigma_{\nu} \) and \( \Pi_{\nu} \) are unramified, the Langlands parameter
\[
\mathcal{W}_{k_{\nu}} \to \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})
\]
for \( \Pi_{\nu} \) is equal to the composition
\[
\mathcal{W}_{k_{\nu}} \xrightarrow{\phi_{\nu}} \text{GSp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}),
\]
up to conjugation by \( \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \), where \( \mathcal{W}_{k_{\nu}} \) is the local Weil group of \( k_{\nu} \), and \( \phi_{\nu} \) is the Langlands parameter of \( \Sigma_{\nu} \).

**Definition 2.3.** An irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation \( \Pi \) of \( \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}) \) (\( n \geq 1 \)) is said to be of symplectic type if it is the functorial transfer of an irreducible generic cuspidal automorphic representation of \( \text{GSpin}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{A}) \), in the sense of Definition 2.2.

We write \( G := \text{GL}_{2n} \) and define the Shalika subgroup of \( G \) to be
\[
S := S_n := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & x \\ 0 & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \mid h \in \text{GL}_n, x \in \text{Mat}_n \right\}
\]
where \( \text{Mat}_n \) is the algebra of \( n \times n \) matrices, \( 1_n \) is the \( n \times n \) identity matrix. For an automorphic character \( \eta : k^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times \), denote by \( \eta \otimes \psi \) the character of \( S(\mathbb{A}) \) sending
\[
\begin{bmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & x \\ 0 & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \to \eta(\det(h)) \psi(\text{tr}(x)).
\]
For an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation \( \Pi \) of \( G(\mathbb{A}) \), we may identify \( \Pi \) with its realization in the space of smooth cuspidal automorphic forms on \( G(\mathbb{A}) \), because of the Multiplicity One Theorem on the cuspidal spectrum of general linear groups ([Sil74, Theorem 5.5] and [PS79, Page 209]).
Definition 2.4. We say that an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ has a nonzero $(\eta, \psi)$-Shalika period if its central character equals $\eta^n$, and there exists $\varphi \in \Pi$ such that
\[
\int_{(S(k)\mathbb{R}_+^\times)\backslash S(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(g) \cdot (\eta \otimes \psi)^{-1}(g) \, dg \neq 0,
\]
where $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$ is viewed as a subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_\infty) \subset G(\mathbb{A})$ via the diagonally embedding, and $dg$ is an $S(\mathbb{A})$-invariant positive Borel measure on $(S(k)\mathbb{R}_+^\times)\backslash S(\mathbb{A})$.

The following theorem gives some characterizations for irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations of $G(\mathbb{A})$ to be of symplectic type, which is due to a collection of works of many people ([JS88] Section 8, Theorem 1), [AS06], [HS09] Theorem A, for instance). We refer to [GnR13] Section 5 for more detailed discussion.

Proposition 2.5. Let $\Pi$ be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ and let $\eta$ be an automorphic character of $\mathbb{A}_c^\times$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

1. The representation $\Pi$ is the functorial transfer of an irreducible generic cuspidal automorphic representation $\Sigma$ of $\text{GSpin}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{A})$ with central character $\omega_\Sigma = \eta$.
2. The representation $\Pi$ has a nonzero $(\eta, \psi)$-Shalika period.
3. The exterior square $L$-function $L(s, \Pi, \Lambda^2 \otimes \eta^{-1})$ has a pole at $s = 1$.

Moreover, if any of the above condition holds, then the transfer from $\text{GSpin}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{A})$ is strong at all archimedean local places, in the sense that it respects local $L$-parameters at each archimedean local place.

Remark 2.6. In the literature, the third statement in the above Proposition is often stated as “the partial $L$-function $L^S(s, \Pi, \Lambda^2 \otimes \eta^{-1})$ has a pole at $s = 1$”. With the classification of the unitary dual of general linear group over local fields of characteristic zero [V86, T86] (also see [BD10] Theorem 7.2), it is not hard to show that the partial exterior square $L^S(s, \Pi, \Lambda^2 \otimes \eta^{-1})$ has a pole at $s = 1$ if and only if so does the complete $L$-function $L(s, \Pi, \Lambda^2 \otimes \eta^{-1})$.

Note that if any of the above statements holds, one must have that $\Pi^\vee \simeq \Pi \otimes \eta^{-1}$. It follows that if $\Pi = \hat{\otimes}_\nu \Pi_\nu$ is of symplectic type, then for every $\nu \mid \infty$, $\Pi_\nu$ is a Casselman-Wallach representation of $G(k_\nu)$ of symplectic type in the following sense.

Definition 2.7. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be an archimedean local field. An irreducible Casselman-Wallach representation $\Pi_\mathbb{K}$ of $G(\mathbb{K})$ is said to be of symplectic type if the image of its Langlands parameter $W_{\mathbb{K}} \to \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ is contained in a conjugation of $\text{GSp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$.

For the archimedean local Langlands correspondence, see [L89] (for all real reductive groups) or [Jac09] Appendix, [Kn94] Sections 3 and 4 (for the general linear groups).

2.4. Shalika functionals and Friedberg-Jacquet integrals. Fix a character $\eta_\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{K}_c^\times \to \mathbb{C}_c^\times$. Recall the Shalika subgroup $S$ from (2.3) and write $S_\mathbb{K} := S(\mathbb{K})$. We write $\eta_\mathbb{K} \otimes \psi_\mathbb{K}$ for the character of $S_\mathbb{K}$ that sends $\begin{bmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{bmatrix}$ to $\eta_\mathbb{K}(\det(h))\psi_\mathbb{K}(\text{tr}(x))$.

Write $G_\mathbb{K} := G(\mathbb{K})$. Let $\Pi_\mathbb{K}$ be an irreducible Casselman-Wallach representation of $G_\mathbb{K}$ when $\mathbb{K}$ is archimedean, or an irreducible smooth representation of $G_\mathbb{K}$ when $\mathbb{K}$ is non-archimedean. A linear functional $\lambda_\mathbb{K}$ (continuous in the archimedean case) on $\Pi_\mathbb{K}$ is called a $(\eta_\mathbb{K}, \psi_\mathbb{K})$-Shalika functional if
\[
\langle \lambda_\mathbb{K}, g.v \rangle = (\eta_\mathbb{K} \otimes \psi_\mathbb{K})(g) \cdot \langle \lambda_\mathbb{K}, v \rangle,
\]
for all $g \in S_K$ and $v \in \Pi_K$. The following result, which asserts the uniqueness of Shalika functionals, plays an important role in this article.

**Lemma 2.8.** The space of $(\eta_K, \psi_K)$-Shalika functionals is at most one-dimensional, namely

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{S_K}(\Pi_K, \eta_K \otimes \psi_K) \leq 1.$$  

**Proof.** If $\eta_K$ is trivial, this is proved in [JR96, Proposition 6.1] for the non-archimedean case and [AGJ09, Theorem 1.1] for the archimedean case. In general, this is proved in [CS19, Theorem A]. □

Suppose that $\lambda_K$ is a nonzero $(\eta_K, \psi_K)$-Shalika functional on $\Pi_K$ so that (2.4) is satisfied.

**Lemma 2.9.** If $K$ is non-archimedean, both $\Pi_K$ and $\eta_K$ are unramified, and the conductor of $\psi_K$ is the ring of integers in $K$, then

$$\lambda_K(v^o_K) = 1$$

for a unique spherical vector $v^o_K \in \Pi_K$.

**Proof.** See [FJ93, Section 2.3]. □

Here and as usual, a vector in $\Pi_K$ is said to be spherical if it is fixed by the standard maximal compact subgroup of $G_K$.

For the character $\chi_K : \mathbb{K}^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, the local Friedberg-Jacquet integral is defined by

$$Z(v, s, \chi_K) := \int_{\text{GL}_n(K)} \langle \lambda_K, \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \end{bmatrix} \rangle \cdot |\det g|_K^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \chi_K(\det g) \, dg, \quad v \in \Pi_K, \ s \in \mathbb{C}. $$

Here $| \cdot |_K$ denotes the normalized absolute value on $K$, and $dg$ is a fixed Haar measure. When $K$ is non-archimedean, we always take the normalized Haar measure on $\text{GL}_n(K)$ so that a maximal open compact subgroup of it has total volume 1.

Let us define

$$H := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{bmatrix} \mid g_1, g_2 \in \text{GL}_n \right\} = \text{GL}_n \times \text{GL}_n \subset G.$$  

As before, write $H_K := H(K)$. For each $t \in \mathbb{C}$, we define a character of $H_K$ as follows:

$$\xi_{\chi_K, t} := \xi_{\eta_K, \chi_K, t} := ((\chi_K \circ \text{det}) \cdot |\det(t)|_K^{\frac{1}{2}}) \otimes ((\chi_K^{-1} \cdot \eta_K^{-1}) \circ \text{det}) \cdot |\det(t)|_K^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

The following proposition was proved in [FJ93, Proposition 3.1] and [AGJ09, Theorem 3.1].

**Proposition 2.10.** With the notation introduced above, the following hold.

1. When the real part of $s \in \mathbb{C}$ is sufficiently large, the local Friedberg-Jacquet integral $Z(v, s, \chi_K)$ converges absolutely for all $v \in \Pi_K$.

2. There is a unique (continuous in the archimedean case) map

$$\Pi_K \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad (v, s) \mapsto Z^0(v, s, \chi_K)$$

which is homomorphic in the second variable such that for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ whose real part is sufficiently large,

$$Z^0(v, s, \chi_K) = \frac{1}{L(s, \Pi_K \otimes \chi_K)} Z(v, s, \chi_K) \quad \text{for all } v \in \Pi_K.$$
(3) For every \( s \in \mathbb{C} \),
\[
Z^0(\cdot, s, \chi_\mathbb{K}) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Pi_\mathbb{K} \otimes \xi_{\chi_\mathbb{K}, s - \frac{1}{2}}, \mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0\}.
\]
Here \( \Pi_\mathbb{K} \otimes \xi_{\chi_\mathbb{K}, s - \frac{1}{2}} \) is obviously identified with \( \Pi_\mathbb{K} \) as a vector space so that the linear functional \( Z^0(\cdot, s, \chi_\mathbb{K}) \) is defined on it.

(4) There exists \( v \in \Pi_\mathbb{K} \) such that \( Z^0(v, s, \chi_\mathbb{K}) = 1 \) for all \( s \in \mathbb{C} \).

(5) When \( \mathbb{K} \) is non-archimedean, for every \( v \in \Pi_\mathbb{K} \), the function \( s \mapsto Z^0(v, s, \chi_\mathbb{K}) \) is a polynomial of \( q^s, q^{-s} \), where \( q \) is the cardinality of the residue field of \( \mathbb{K} \).

(6) If \( \mathbb{K} \) is non-archimedean, \( \Pi_\mathbb{K} \), \( \eta_\mathbb{K} \) and \( \chi_\mathbb{K} \) are unramified, and the conductor of \( \psi_\mathbb{K} \) is the ring of integers in \( \mathbb{K} \), then \( Z^0(v_\mathbb{K}, s, \chi_\mathbb{K}) = 1 \) for all \( s \in \mathbb{C} \), where \( v_\mathbb{K} \in \Pi_\mathbb{K} \) is the spherical vector such that \( \lambda_\mathbb{K}(v_\mathbb{K}) = 1 \).

2.5. Algebraic representations and critical places. Suppose that \( \mathbb{K} \) is archimedean in this subsection. Recall that its Weil group is
\[
W_\mathbb{K} := \begin{cases} \mathbb{K}^\times \cup j \cdot \mathbb{K}^\times, & \text{if } \mathbb{K} \simeq \mathbb{R}; \\ \mathbb{K}^\times, & \text{if } \mathbb{K} \simeq \mathbb{C}, \end{cases}
\]
where \( \mathbb{K}^\times \) is an algebraic closure of \( \mathbb{K} \). Every completely reducible finite dimensional representation \( \rho \) of \( \mathbb{K}^\times \) has the form
\[
\iota^{a_1} \tau^{b_1} + \iota^{a_2} \tau^{b_2} + \cdots + \iota^{a_k} \tau^{b_k}, \quad (k \geq 0, a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{C}, a_i - b_i \in \mathbb{Z}),
\]
where \( \iota, \tau : \overline{\mathbb{K}} \to \mathbb{C} \) are the two topological isomorphisms, and \( \iota^{a} \tau^{b} \) is the character
\[
z \mapsto (\iota(z))^a \cdot (\tau(z))^b,
\]
of \( \overline{\mathbb{K}}^\times \), for all \( a, b \in \mathbb{C} \) with \( a - b \in \mathbb{Z} \). Following [C90], we say that \( \rho \) is algebraic if all \( a_i \)'s and \( b_i \)'s are integers, and say that \( \rho \) is regular if all \( a_i \)'s are pairwise distinct and \( b_i \)'s are also pairwise distinct. We say a completely reducible finite dimensional representation of the Weil group \( W_\mathbb{K} \) is algebraic (or regular) if so is its restriction to \( \overline{\mathbb{K}}^\times \).

**Definition 2.11.** An irreducible Casselman-Wallach representation \( \Pi_\mathbb{K} \) of \( \text{GL}_k(\mathbb{K}) \) is said to be algebraic (or regular) if so is the Langlands parameter of \( \Pi_\mathbb{K} \otimes \det_\mathbb{K}^{\frac{1}{k}} \).

An irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation \( \Pi = \bigotimes_\nu \Pi_\nu \) of \( \text{GL}_k(\mathbb{A}) \) is said to be algebraic (or regular) if so is \( \Pi_\nu \) for all \( \nu \mid \infty \). It is easy to see that all possible poles of the \( L \)-function \( L(s, \Pi_\mathbb{K}) \) are in the set \( \frac{k-1}{2} + \mathbb{Z} \), for every algebraic irreducible Casselman-Wallach representation \( \Pi_\mathbb{K} \) of \( \text{GL}_k(\mathbb{K}) \).

**Definition 2.12.** Let \( \Pi_\mathbb{K} \) be an algebraic irreducible Casselman-Wallach representation of \( \text{GL}_k(\mathbb{K}) \). A number in \( \frac{k-1}{2} + \mathbb{Z} \) is called a critical place for \( \Pi_\mathbb{K} \) if it is not a pole of the local \( L \)-function \( L(s, \Pi_\mathbb{K}) \) or \( L(1 - s, \Pi_\mathbb{K}^\vee) \).

Given an algebraic irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation \( \Pi = \bigotimes_\nu \Pi_\nu \) of \( \text{GL}_k(\mathbb{A}) \), a number in \( \frac{k-1}{2} + \mathbb{Z} \) is called a critical place for \( \Pi \) if it is a critical place for \( \Pi_\nu \) for all \( \nu \mid \infty \).

Now we suppose that \( \Pi \) is a regular algebraic irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of \( \text{GL}_k(\mathbb{A}) \). Write \( \Pi = \Pi_\infty \otimes \Pi_f \) as in (1.1). According to [C90, Lemma 3.14, Lemma 4.9], \( \Pi_\infty \) is essentially tempered and cohomological. Here “essentially tempered” means that
after twisting a character, $\Pi_\infty$ becomes unitarizable and tempered. The notion “cohomological” amounts to saying that there is a unique irreducible algebraic representation $F$ of $GL_k(k \otimes Q \subseteq C)$, called the coefficient system of $\Pi$, such that the total continuous cohomology
\begin{equation}
H^*_\text{ct}(GL_k(A_\infty)^0, \Pi_\infty \otimes F^\vee) \neq 0.
\end{equation}

Here and henceforth, for each Lie group $M$, we write $M^0$ for its identity connected component.

**Remark 2.13.** In this paper, we use the notion of continuous cohomology to state the relevant theorems, although in the main references [Cl90], [VZ84], [Wa88 Chapter 9] for cohomological representations, the authors use the notion of relative Lie algebra cohomology. It is a theorem of G. Hochschild and G. Mostow [HM62 Theorem 6.1] that the continuous cohomology agrees with the relative Lie algebra cohomology. Hence we feel free to switch the two cohomological languages during the discussions and proofs in this paper.

**Lemma 2.14.** Let the notation and assumptions be as above. Then $Q(\Pi)$ is a number field and $Q(F) \subset Q(\Pi)$.

**Proof.** The first assertion is proved in [Cl90, Theorem 3.13]. In view of the Strong Multiplicity One Theorem proved by Piatetski-Shapiro [PS79 Page 209] and Jacquet-Shalika [JS81 Corollary 4.10], the second assertion also follows from [Cl90, Theorem 3.13].

**2.6. Cohomological representations of symplectic type.** When $E$ is either a number field or an archimedean local field, we write $E_\infty$ for the set of all field embeddings (continuous field embeddings in the archimedean case) $\iota: E \to C$. For any $\iota \in E_\infty$, we write $\hat{\iota}$ for the composition of $E_\iota \to C$ by complex conjugation $\to C$.

As mentioned before, the archimedean local components of an irreducible regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(A)$ are essentially tempered and cohomological. In this subsection and the next one, we suppose that $K$ is archimedean, and $\Pi_K$ is an essentially tempered irreducible Casselman-Wallach representation of $G_K$ such that the total continuous cohomology
\begin{equation}
H^*_\text{ct}(G^0_K, \Pi_K \otimes F^\vee_K) \neq 0,
\end{equation}
where $F_K$ is an irreducible algebraic representation of $G(K \otimes R \subseteq C)$. Then $\Pi_K$ is regular and algebraic. Write $F_K = \otimes_{\iota \in E_K} F_{\iota}$ and write $n_i = (\nu_{\iota}^1 \geq \nu_{\iota}^2 \geq \cdots \geq \nu_{\iota}^{2n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ for the highest weight of $F_{\iota}$. By [Cl90 Lemma 4.9], there is an integer $w_K$ such that
\begin{equation}
\nu_{\iota}^1 + \nu_{\iota}^{2n} = \nu_{\iota}^2 + \nu_{\iota}^{2n-1} = \cdots = \nu_{\iota}^{2n} + \nu_{\iota}^1 = w_K
\end{equation}
for all $\iota \in E_K$.

Following [Cl90] (and also [JLT, Proposition 1.1] and [LT, Proposition 1.1]), we are going to write down the local Langlands datum for $\Pi_K$. To simplify our notation, throughout this paper, if $\sigma_j$ is a Casselman-Wallach representation of $GL_{n_j}(K)$ ($1 \leq j \leq r$), we write
\[ \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_r := \text{Ind}_{F_{n_1},n_2,\cdots,n_r}^{GL_{n_0}(K)} \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_r \]
for the normalized smoothly induced representation of $GL_{n_0}(K)$, where
\begin{equation}
n_0 = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_r.
\end{equation}
Proposition 2.15. Let the notation be as above. Then

\[ L(s, \Pi_\mathbb{K}) = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{E}_\mathbb{K}} \prod_{r=1}^{n} 2 \cdot (2\pi)^{-\left(s + \max\{\nu_i, \nu_{i+1-r}\}\right)} \cdot \Gamma(s + \max\{\nu_i, \nu_{2n+1-r}\}). \]

Remark 2.16. Note that \( \Gamma(k) \) is a positive integer whenever \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \) is not a pole of the gamma function \( \Gamma \). Proposition 2.15 then easily implies that there exists a positive real number \( \Omega_{\Pi_\mathbb{K}} \) such that for every critical place \( \frac{1}{2} + j \) of \( \Pi_\mathbb{K} \),

\[ \frac{L\left(\frac{1}{2} + j, \Pi_\mathbb{K}\right)}{(2\pi)^{-jn\cdot|\mathbb{K}:\mathbb{R}|}} \cdot \Omega_{\Pi_\mathbb{K}} \in \mathbb{Q}^\times. \]

Hence the rationality result for \( L(s, \Pi_f \otimes \chi_f) \), as in (1.3), follows directly from Theorem 1.1, by noting that \( \Pi_\mathbb{K} \otimes \chi_{\mathbb{K},2n} \simeq \Pi_\mathbb{K} \) whenever \( \chi_{\mathbb{K},2n} \) is a quadratic character of \( \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{K}) \).

It is not hard to find from the induction parameters in (2.16) and (2.17) that all irreducible essentially tempered cohomological representations of \( \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) \) are of symplectic type (see Definition 2.7), while a cohomological representation of \( \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \) is not necessarily of symplectic type. Recall the non-trivial unitary character \( \psi_\mathbb{K} \) of \( \mathbb{K} \) from the beginning of Section 2.

Proposition 2.17. The following conditions are equivalent for the irreducibly essentially tempered cohomological representation \( \Pi_\mathbb{K} \) given in (2.16) and (2.17):

1. It is of symplectic type.
2. There exist integers \( \{w_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{E}_\mathbb{K}} \) such that

\[ \nu'_1 + \nu'_2 = \nu'_2 + \nu'_{2n-1} = \cdots = \nu'_{2n} + \nu'_1 = w_i \quad \text{for all} \quad i \in \mathcal{E}_\mathbb{K}. \]
3. For some character \( \eta_\mathbb{K} \) of \( \mathbb{K}^\times \), \( \Pi_\mathbb{K} \) has a nonzero \( (\eta_\mathbb{K}, \psi_\mathbb{K}) \)-Shalika functional on it.
Proof. When $K \simeq \mathbb{R}$, both (1) and (2) hold trivially. The third statement is exactly \cite[Corollary 2.6]{JLT}. In the case of $K \simeq \mathbb{C}$, the equivalence of all the three statements is proved in \cite[Theorem 2.1]{LT}. □

From Proposition 2.17 and \cite[Theorem 2.1]{JLT}, we immediately have the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.18. Suppose that $\Pi_K$ is an irreducible essentially tempered cohomological representation of $G_K$ as in (2.16) or (2.17). If $\Pi_K$ is of symplectic type, then $\Pi_K$ is isomorphic to

\begin{equation}
\sigma_1 \hat{\times} \sigma_2 \hat{\times} \cdots \hat{\times} \sigma_n,
\end{equation}

where $\sigma_j = D_{\nu_j, \nu_{2n+1-j}}$ if $K \simeq \mathbb{R}$ so that $E_K = \{\iota\}$; and $\sigma_j = \iota^{\nu_j} t^{2n+1-j} \hat{\times} \iota^{\nu_{2n+1-j}} \hat{\tau}_j$ if $K \simeq \mathbb{C}$ so that $E_K = \{\iota, \iota\hat{\tau}\}$. Moreover, the representation $\sigma_j (j = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$ of $GL_2(K)$ has central character

$$
\eta_K := \prod_{\iota \in E_K} t^{w_\iota} : K^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times,
$$

and $\Pi_K$ has a nonzero $(\eta_K, \psi_K)$--Shalika functional on it, where $w_\iota$ is as in (2.19).

2.7. Balanced coefficient systems. In this subsection, we introduce the notion of a balanced coefficient system of a cohomological representation of symplectic type, both locally and globally, and discuss its relation with the critical places of the representation defined in Definition 2.12. Let us start with the local balanced coefficient system.

Assume that the representation $\Pi_K$ is of symplectic type. Thus, the integers $\{\nu_\iota\}_{\iota \in E_K}$ must satisfy (2.19).

Definition 2.19. For an integer $j$, we say that the coefficient system $F_K = \bigotimes_{\iota \in E_K} F_\iota$ is $j$-balanced if

\begin{equation}
\text{Hom}_{H^C}(F_\iota^{\nu_\iota}, \det^j \otimes \det^{-j-w_\iota}) \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } \iota \in E_K.
\end{equation}

We say the coefficient system $F_K$ is balanced if it is $j$-balanced for some integer $j$.

The following proposition explains the relation between the existence of a critical place and the balanceness of the coefficient system.

Proposition 2.20. If the integers $w_\iota$ and $w_\tau$ defined in (2.19) are equal for all $\iota \in E_K$, then $F_K$ is balanced. If $F_K$ is balanced, then for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\frac{1}{2} + j$ is a critical place for $\Pi_K$ if and only if $F_K$ is $j$-balanced.

Proof. As an instance of H. Schlichtkrull’s generalization of Cartan-Helgason Theorem (\cite[Theorem 7.2]{Sc84}, see also \cite[Theorem 2.1]{Kn01}), we know that for all $\iota \in E_K$,

$$
\text{Hom}_{H^C}(F_\iota^{\nu_\iota}, \det^j \otimes \det^{-j-w_\iota}) \neq 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad -\nu_\iota \leq j \leq -\nu_{\iota+1}.
$$

If $w_\iota = w_\tau$ for all $\iota \in E_K$, then (2.13) and (2.19) imply that

$$
\nu_\iota = \nu_{\iota+1} \quad \text{for all } \iota \in E_K, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, 2n.
$$

Hence the first assertion of the proposition easily follows.

Now we assume that $F_K$ is balanced. Then it is clear that

$$
\nu_\iota \geq \nu_{\iota+1} \quad \text{for all } \iota \in E_K.
$$
Using Proposition 2.15 this implies that the set of critical places of $\Pi_{\mathbb{K}}$ is
\[
\left\{ \frac{1}{2} + j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}, -\nu_{n}^t \leq j \leq -\nu_{n+1}^t \text{ for all } t \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{K}} \right\}.
\]
Hence the second assertion of the proposition also follows. 

Remark 2.21. If $\mathbb{K} \simeq \mathbb{R}$, then $t = \tau$ and hence the first assertion of Proposition 2.20 says that $F_{\mathbb{K}}$ is always balanced. In this case, the Proposition also follows from [GrR14, Propositions 6.1.1 and 6.3.1].

Globally, we continue to assume that $\Pi$ is an irreducible regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ of symplectic type, with coefficient system $F = \otimes_{i \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{K}}} F_i$. As before, write
\[
(2.22) \quad \nu_i = (\nu_i^t \geq \nu_i^2 \geq \cdots \geq \nu_i^{2n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n}
\]
for the highest weight of $F_i$. By [Cl90, Lemma 4.9], there is an integer $w$ such that
\[
(2.23) \quad \nu_i^1 + \nu_i^{2n} = \nu_i^2 + \nu_i^{2n-1} = \cdots = \nu_i^{2n} + \nu_i^1 = w
\]
for all $t \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{K}}$. By Propositions 2.25 and 2.17 there are integers $\{w_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{K}}}$ such that
\[
(2.24) \quad \nu_i^1 + \nu_i^{2n} = \nu_i^2 + \nu_i^{2n-1} = \cdots = \nu_i^{2n} + \nu_i^1 = w_i \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{K}}.
\]
Similar to Definition 2.19 we make the following definition.

Definition 2.22. For an integer $j$, we say that the coefficient system $F = \otimes_{i \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{K}}} F_i$ is $j$-balanced if
\[
\text{Hom}_{H_{\mathbb{K}}}(F_i^{\vee}, \det^j \otimes \det^{-j - w_i}) \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{K}}.
\]
We say that the coefficient system $F$ is balanced if it is $j$-balanced for some integer $j$.

Remark 2.23. If the number field $\mathbb{k}$ does not contain a CM-field, for example, if $\mathbb{k}$ has at least one real place, then by the classification of algebraic automorphic characters, all $w_i$’s defined in (2.24) are equal (see [Se68] or [Pan, Proposition 1.12]). In this case, by Proposition 2.20 if $\Pi$ has a critical place, then the coefficient system $F$ for $\Pi$ is automatically balanced. Hence Theorem 1.1 holds without the need of the assumption of a balanced coefficient system of $\Pi$.

3. Four Local Theorems

We explain in this section four local results that are essential to the proof of Theorem 1.1. The first one establishes the rationality of the Friedberg-Jacquet integrals in the non-archimedean case (Theorem 3.1). The other three are all archimedean results that establish the existence of uniform cohomological test vectors (Theorem 3.10), the non-vanishing of archimedean modular symbols (Theorem 3.12), and relations of archimedean modular symbols at different critical places (Theorem 3.13). We will prove the first result in this section, and leave the proofs of the other three results to Sections 5 and 6.
3.1. Non-archimedean period relations. In this subsection, suppose that $K$ is non-archimedean. Recall the character $\eta_K \otimes \psi_K$ of $S_K$ from the beginning of Section 2.4. Suppose that $\Pi_K$ is an irreducible smooth representation of $G_K$ with a nonzero $(\eta_K, \psi_K)$-Shalika functional on it. We fix such a nonzero functional

$$\lambda_K \in \text{Hom}_{S_K}(\Pi_K, \eta_K \otimes \psi_K).$$

Depending on $\lambda_K$, we will discuss the rationality property of $\Pi_K$.

In this subsection, we assume further that $Q(\Pi_K)$ is a number field and the representation $\Pi_K$ has a $Q(\Pi_K)$-rational structure. Note that the latter condition is implied by the first one when $\Pi_K$ is generic [M05, Section 3.4]. Note that the character $\eta_K^K$ equals the central character of $\Pi_K$. Thus $Q(\eta_K^K)$ is also a number field.

For the character $\chi_K: K^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, we recall the nonzero functional

$$Z^\circ(\cdot, s, \chi_K) \in \text{Hom}_{H_K}(\Pi_K \otimes s_{\chi_K, s-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbb{C})$$

from Proposition 2.10, which depends on $\lambda_K$. Note that if $s_0 \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, then $\xi_{\chi_K, s_0-\frac{1}{2}}$ is defined over $Q(\eta_K^K, \chi_K)$.

**Theorem 3.1** (Non-archimedean Period Relations). There exists a unique $Q(\Pi_K, \eta_K^K)$-rational structure on the $G_K$-module $\Pi_K$ with the following property: for all $s_0 \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and all characters $\chi_K: K^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $Q(\chi_K)$ is a number field, the linear functional

$$\mathcal{G}(\chi_K)^n \cdot Z^\circ(\cdot, s_0, \chi_K) \in \text{Hom}_{H_K}(\Pi_K \otimes \xi_{\chi_K, s_0-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbb{C})$$

is defined over $Q(\Pi_K, \eta_K^K, \chi_K)$. Here $\mathcal{G}(\chi_K)$ is the local Gauss sum defined in Section 2.2. Moreover, if $\Pi_K$ and $\eta_K^K$ are unramified, the conductor of $\psi_K$ is the ring of integers in $K$, and $v_K^K \in \Pi_K$ is the spherical vector such that $\lambda_K(v_K^K) = 1$, then $v_K^K$ is defined over $Q(\Pi_K, \eta_K^K)$ under this rational structure.

The rest of this subsection is devoted to a proof of Theorem 3.1. As usual, let $\overline{Q} \subset \mathbb{C}$ denote the field of the algebraic numbers. Unless otherwise specified, a subscript group or a superscript group respectively indicates the coinvariant space or the invariant space of a group representation over any field.

**Lemma 3.2.** There is a $Q(\Pi_K)$-rational structure $\Pi_K^{\circ}$ of the representation $\Pi_K$ such that

$$\lambda_K(\Pi_K^{\circ}) \subset \overline{Q}.$$

**Proof.** Let $\Pi_K'$ be a $Q(\Pi_K)$-rational structure of $\Pi_K$, hence $\Pi_K' \otimes_{Q(\Pi_K)} \overline{Q}$ is a $\overline{Q}$-rational structure of $\Pi_K$. The character $\eta_K^{-1} \otimes \psi_K^{-1}$ takes value in $\overline{Q}^\times$ and we write $(\eta_K^{-1} \otimes \psi_K^{-1})_{\overline{Q}}$ for $\overline{Q}^\times$-valued character of the Shalika subgroup $S_K$ corresponding to the character $\eta_K^{-1} \otimes \psi_K^{-1}$. Then, we have an identification

$$(\Pi_K' \otimes_{Q(\Pi_K)} \overline{Q}) \otimes (\eta_K^{-1} \otimes \psi_K^{-1})_{S_K} \otimes_{\overline{Q}} \mathbb{C} = (\Pi_K \otimes (\eta_K^{-1} \otimes \psi_K^{-1}))_{S_K}.$$

The right-hand side of (3.1) is nonzero, so is the left-hand side. Thus, there exists a nonzero linear functional

$$\lambda_K' \in \text{Hom}_{S_K}(\Pi_K \otimes_{Q(\Pi_K)} (\eta_K^{-1} \otimes \psi_K^{-1})_{\overline{Q}}, \overline{Q}).$$

By the uniqueness of Shalika functionals (Lemma 2.8), there exists a nonzero complex number $c$ such that

$$\lambda_K' = c \cdot \lambda_K|_{\Pi_K'}.$$

Then $\Pi_K^{\circ} := c \cdot \Pi_K$ is the desired $Q(\Pi_K)$-rational structure of $\Pi_K$. 

\[\square\]
Write $p$ for the residue characteristic of $\mathbb{K}$. Let $\mu_p^\infty \subset \mathbb{C}^\times$ denote the subgroup consisting of all the $p$-th power roots of unity, and let $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p^\infty) \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the subfield generated by $\mu_p^\infty$. Given a field $E_1$ and a subfield $E_0$ of it, we write $\text{Aut}(E_1/E_0)$ for the group of all field automorphisms of $E_1$ fixing all elements of $E_0$.

Recall the cyclotomic character

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p^\infty)/\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times, \quad \sigma \mapsto t_{\sigma,p},$$

which is a topological isomorphism specified by requiring that

$$\sigma(x) = x^{t_{\sigma,p}}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mu_p^\infty.$$

Write $\sigma \mapsto t_{\sigma,K}$ for the composition of

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\text{restriction}} \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p^\infty)/\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sigma \mapsto t_{\sigma,p}} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \subset \mathbb{K}^\times.$$

Denote

$$t_{\sigma,K} := \begin{bmatrix} t_{\sigma,K} \cdot 1_n & 0 \\ 0 & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \in H_K \subset G_K.$$

The Galois group $\text{Aut}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/(\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K))$ is a topological group as usual. We equip $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K))$ with the coarsest topology such that the natural map $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K)) \to \text{Aut}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/(\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K))$ is continuous.

Let $\Pi_K$ be a $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K)$-rational structure of $\Pi_K$ as in Lemma \ref{lem:3.2}. Write $\Pi_K^*$ for the space of all linear functionals on $\Pi_K$. For each $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K))$ and $\lambda \in \Pi_K^*$, write $^\sigma\lambda$ for the composition of

$$\Pi_K \xrightarrow{t_{\sigma,K}^{-1}} \Pi_K \xrightarrow{\sigma^{-1}} \Pi_K \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathbb{C},$$

where $\sigma_0 : \Pi_K \to \Pi_K$ is the $\sigma$-sesquilinear map

$$au \mapsto \sigma(a)u, \quad u \in \Pi_K, a \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This defines a sesquilinear action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K))$ on $\Pi_K^*$.

**Lemma 3.3.** Under the above action, the Shalika functional $\lambda_K$ is fixed by an open subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K))$.

**Proof.** Fix an element $v_0 \in \Pi_K^*$ such that $\langle \lambda_K, v_0 \rangle \neq 0$. By Lemma \ref{lem:3.2} there is an open subgroup $\Gamma_0$ of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K))$ such that the following holds for all $\sigma \in \Gamma_0$:

- $\sigma(\langle \lambda_K, v_0 \rangle) = \langle \lambda_K, v_0 \rangle$;
- $t_{\sigma,K} \cdot v_0 = v_0$.

It is routine to check that

$$^\sigma\lambda_K \in \text{Hom}_{K_s}(\Pi_K, \eta_K \otimes \psi_K) \quad \text{whenever} \quad \sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K, \eta_K)).$$

By the uniqueness of Shalika functionals (Lemma \ref{lem:2.8}), this implies that

$$^\sigma\lambda_K = a_\sigma \lambda_K \quad \text{for some} \quad a_\sigma \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Now assume that $\sigma \in \Gamma_0 \cap \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K, \eta_K))$. Then

$$a_\sigma \langle \lambda_K, v_0 \rangle = \langle ^\sigma\lambda_K, v_0 \rangle = \sigma(\langle \lambda_K, \sigma_0^{-1}(t_{\sigma,K}^{-1} \cdot v_0) \rangle) = \langle \lambda_K, v_0 \rangle.$$

Thus $a_\sigma = 1$ and the lemma follows. \qed
Lemma 3.4. There is a unique action of \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\eta_K, \Pi_K)) \) on \( \Pi_K \) such that
\[
\langle \lambda_K, g.(\sigma.v) \rangle = \sigma(\langle \lambda_K, (t^{-1}_{\sigma_K} g).v \rangle),
\]
for all \( v \in \Pi_K \), \( g \in G_K \) and \( \sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\eta_K, \Pi_K)) \).

Proof. The uniqueness is clear. For the proof of the existence, let \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\eta_K)) \) act on the induced representation \( \text{Ind}_{S_K}^{G_K}(\eta_K \otimes \psi_K) \) by
\[
(\sigma.f)(x) := \sigma(f(t^{-1}_{\lambda_K} x)), \quad \sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\eta_K)), \quad f \in \text{Ind}_{S_K}^{G_K}(\eta_K \otimes \psi_K), \quad x \in G_K.
\]
By the uniqueness of Shalika functionals (Lemma 2.8), and using the embedding
\[
\Pi_K \leftrightarrow \text{Ind}_{S_K}^{G_K}(\eta_K \otimes \psi_K)
\]
induced by \( \lambda_K \), this action induces an action as required in the lemma. \( \square \)

Let \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\eta_K, \Pi_K)) \) act on \( \Pi_K \) as in Lemma 3.4

Lemma 3.5. Suppose that \( \sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\eta_K, \Pi_K)) \) fixes \( \lambda_K \). Then \( \sigma \) fixes all elements in \( \Pi_K \).

Proof. Suppose that \( \sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\eta_K, \Pi_K)) \) fixes \( \lambda_K \). For every \( v \in \Pi_K \), (3.2) easily implies that
\[
\langle \lambda_K, g.(\sigma.v) \rangle = \langle \lambda_K, g.v \rangle \quad \text{for all } g \in G_K.
\]
This implies \( \sigma.v = v \) by the irreducibility of \( \Pi_K \). \( \square \)

We are now prepared to prove the following result, which is the key ingredient of the proof of Theorem 3.1

Proposition 3.6. The space \( \Pi_K^{\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\eta_K, \Pi_K))} \) is a \( \mathbb{Q}(\eta_K, \Pi_K) \)-rational structure of \( \Pi_K \), that is,
\[
\Pi_K^{\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\eta_K, \Pi_K))} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\eta_K, \Pi_K)} \mathbb{C} = \Pi_K.
\]

Proof. By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5, an open subgroup of \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K)) \) fixes \( \Pi_K \) pointwise. In particular
\[
\Pi_K^{\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})} \supset \Pi_K^{\circ}.
\]
This easily implies that
\[
(3.3) \quad \Pi_K^{\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})} = \Pi_K^{\circ} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K)} \mathbb{Q}, \quad \text{and it is a } \mathbb{Q} \text{-rational form of } \Pi_K.
\]

Hence every element of \( \Pi_K^{\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})} \) is fixed by an open subgroup of \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K, \eta_K)) \). This implies that
\[
\Pi_K^{\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\eta_K, \Pi_K))} = \left( \Pi_K^{\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})} \right)^{\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\eta_K, \Pi_K))}
\]
is a \( \mathbb{Q}(\eta_K, \Pi_K) \)-rational structure of \( \Pi_K^{\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})} \), by \cite[Proposition 11.1.6]{Spr09}. Together with (3.3), this proves the proposition. \( \square \)

Remark 3.7. The corresponding result of Proposition 3.6 for Whittaker models has been proved by G. Harder for the \( \text{GL}_2 \)-case in \cite[page 80]{Hd83} and by J. Mahnkopf for the general case in \cite[page 592]{M05}. See also \cite[Lemma 3.2]{RS08}. Their proof depends crucially on the fact that the Whittaker functional does not vanish on new vectors. As suggested in \cite{GrR14}, the same idea may be used to prove Proposition 3.6 although, to the best of our knowledge,
the non-vanishing of the Shalika functional on new vectors has not been established in general. Our proof given here does not use the theory of new vectors.

**Lemma 3.8.** Let \( E \) be a subfield of \( \mathbb{C} \) and let \( R \) be an associative \( E \)-algebra. Let \( F_0 \) be an irreducible \( R \otimes_E \mathbb{C} \)-module that is finite dimensional as a \( \mathbb{C} \)-vector space. Then up to scalar multiplication by a number in \( \mathbb{C} \), there exists at most one \( E \)-rational structure of \( F_0 \) which is \( R \)-stable.

**Proof.** This is well-known and easy to prove. We omit the details. \( \square \)

Now we come to the proof of Theorem 3.1. Since the representation \( \Pi_K \) is irreducible and admissible, Lemma 3.8 easily implies that a \( \mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K, \eta_K) \)-rational structure on \( \Pi_K \) is unique up to scalar multiplication. Then the uniqueness assertion follows by taking \( \chi_K \) to be the trivial character and \( s = \frac{1}{2} \). For the proof of the existence, we equip \( \Pi_K \) with the \( \mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K, \eta_K) \)-rational structure given by Proposition 3.6. Assume that \( \mathbb{Q}(\chi_K) \) is a number field in the rest of this subsection.

By Proposition 2.10, for every \( v \in \Pi_K \), the map \( s \mapsto Z^0(v, s, \chi_K) \) is an element of the ring \( \mathbb{C}[q^{-\frac{1}{2}}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}] \). This ring is defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \) with the obvious \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rational structure \( \mathbb{Q}[q^{-\frac{1}{2}}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}] \). By the theory of Godement-Jacquet \( L \)-functions, it is easy to see that

\[
\frac{1}{L(s, \Pi_K \otimes \chi_K)} \in \mathbb{C}[q^{-\frac{1}{2}}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}]
\]

is defined over \( \mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K, \chi_K) \). Hence the fractional ideal

\[
L(s, \Pi_K \otimes \chi_K) \cdot \mathbb{C}[q^{-\frac{1}{2}}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}]
\]

also has a natural \( \mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K, \chi_K) \)-rational structure, and it carries an action of \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K, \chi_K)) \) corresponding to this rational structure. Using this action, for each \( \sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K, \eta_K, \chi_K)) \), and each \( v \otimes 1 \in \Pi_K \otimes \xi_{\chi,j} \) that is defined over \( \mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K, \eta_K, \chi_K) \), we have that

\[
\sigma(Z^0(v, s, \chi_K)) = \frac{1}{L(s, \Pi_K \otimes \chi_K)} \int_{\text{GL}_n(K)} \sigma \left( \begin{array}{c} \lambda_K, \left[ \begin{array}{cc} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1_n \end{array} \right] \end{array} \right) \cdot \chi_K(\text{det } g) \cdot |\text{det } g|_{K}^{s-\frac{1}{2}} dg
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{L(s, \Pi_K \otimes \chi_K)} \int_{\text{GL}_n(K)} \lambda_K, \left[ \begin{array}{cc} t_{\sigma,K} \cdot g & 0 \\ 0 & 1_n \end{array} \right] \cdot \chi_K(\text{det } g) \cdot |\text{det } g|_{K}^{s-\frac{1}{2}} dg \quad \text{(by (3.2))}
\]

\[
= \chi_K(t^{-n}_{\sigma,K}) \cdot \frac{1}{L(s, \Pi_K \otimes \chi_K)} \int_{\text{GL}_n(K)} \lambda_K, \left[ \begin{array}{cc} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1_n \end{array} \right] \cdot \chi_K(\text{det } g) \cdot |\text{det } g|_{K}^{s-\frac{1}{2}} dg
\]

\[
= \chi_K(t^{-n}_{\sigma,K}) \cdot Z^0(v, s, \chi_K).
\]

It is easy to check that

\[
\sigma(G(\chi_K)) = \chi_K(t_{\sigma,K}) \cdot G(\chi_K).
\]

Hence

\[
\sigma(G(\chi_K)^n \cdot Z^0(v, s, \chi_K)) = G(\chi_K)^n \cdot Z^0(v, s, \chi_K).
\]

Therefore, \( G(\chi_K)^n \cdot Z^0(v, s, \chi_K) \in \mathbb{C}[q^{-\frac{1}{2}}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}] \) is defined over \( \mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K, \eta_K, \chi_K) \). Specifying \( s \) to \( s_0 \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z} \), we have that

\[
G(\chi_K)^n \cdot Z^0(v, s_0, \chi_K) \in \mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K, \eta_K, \chi_K).
\]
This proves the existence assertion of Theorem 3.1. Since the group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_K, \eta_K))$ stabilizes the space of spherical vectors in $\Pi_K$, the last assertion easily follows from Lemma 3.4. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Remark 3.9. Using Proposition 2.10, a variant of Theorem 3.1 is claimed in [GrR14, Section 3.9, arXiv:1106.4249v4]. In the third line of Section 3.9.2 of that paper, it is said that “put $\xi_{\pi_{\Pi}} = \sigma\xi_{\pi_{\Pi}}$ for $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$”. But this can only be done when “$\xi_{\pi_{\Pi}}$” is already known to be $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_v)$-rational. In this sense, we are not quite able to follow the argument in their paper. Our proof given above is self-contained.

3.2. Uniform cohomological test vectors. In the rest of this section, we assume that $K$ is archimedean. We retain the notation in Sections 2.4–2.7. In particular, $\Pi_K$ is an essentially tempered cohomological representation of symplectic type as in (2.20). From now on, we assume that $\eta_K$ is the following character of $K \times K$:

$$\eta_K = \prod_{\iota \in \mathcal{E}_K} (\iota|_{K \times K})^{w_{\iota}}.$$  \hfill (3.4)

Then by Corollary 2.18, $\Pi_K$ has a nonzero $($$\eta_K, \psi_K$$)$-Shalika functional on it. As in Section 2.4, we fix a nonzero Shalika functional $\lambda_K \in \text{Hom}_{S_K}(\Pi_K, \eta_K \otimes \psi_K)$.

Recall the character $\xi_{\chi_K,t}$ of $H_K$ from (2.8). Using the Shalika functional $\lambda_K$, the normalized Friedberg-Jacquet integral produces a nonzero element

$$Z^0(\cdot, s, \chi_K) \in \text{Hom}_{H_K}(\Pi_K \otimes \xi_{\chi_K,s-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbb{C}), \quad s \in \mathbb{C}. \hfill (3.5)$$

Write $K_K$ for the standard maximal compact subgroup of $G_K$. It is an orthogonal group in the real case and a unitary group in the complex case. Recall from [V86, Theorem 4.9] that every irreducible Casselman-Wallach representation of $G_K$ has a unique minimal $K_K$-type. Denote by $\tau_K \subset \Pi_K$ the minimal $K_K$-type of $\Pi_K$. Write $C_K := K_K \cap H_K$, which is a maximal compact subgroup of $H_K$.

The following theorem is the most technical ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Its proof is left to Section 6.

**Theorem 3.10 (Uniform Cohomological Test Vector).** Let the notation and assumptions be as above. Assume further that

$$\text{Hom}_{C_K}(\tau_K \otimes \xi_{\chi_K,0}, \mathbb{C}) \neq \{0\}. \hfill (3.6)$$

Then there exists a vector $v_0 \in \tau_K$ such that $Z^0(v_0, s, \chi_K) = 1$ for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

**Remark 3.11.** We point out the following for understanding of Theorem 3.10.

1. Based on the proof of [AGJ09, Theorem 3.1], it is hard to tell if the test vector $v$ in Part (4) of Proposition 2.10 is $K_K$-finite or not, and hence it seems impossible to deduce that it lives in the minimal $K_K$-type.

2. For $K = \mathbb{R}$, it was first proved in [Su19, Theorem 5.1] that for every $s \in \mathbb{C}$, there is a vector $v_{0,s} \in \tau_K$ such that $Z^0(v_{0,s}, s, \chi_K) = 1$. Such a vector is called a **cohomological test vector**. Since the cohomological test vector $v_0$ in Theorem 3.10 is uniform for all $s$, it seems appropriate to call it a **uniform cohomological test vector**. As far as the authors know, Theorem 3.10 establishes the existence of such a uniform cohomological test vector for high rank groups for the first time.
3.10 is more general than that is needed for the proof of Theorem 1.1. In fact, Theorem 1.1 assumes that the coefficient system of $\Pi$ is balanced. This assumption will imply the branching condition (3.6) needed in Theorem 3.10. More detailed discussion on this issue can be found in the proof of Theorem 3.12 in Section 5.

3.3. Non-vanishing hypothesis at infinity. In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we also need another technical result: a non-vanishing result of archimedean modular symbols for the case under consideration. Such a result was already obtained in [Su 19, Theorem A.3] when the local field $\mathbb{K}$ is real. In the literature, the modular symbols are only studied when a numerical coincidence holds: the real dimension of the modular symbol is either the top or bottom non-vanishing degree of cohomology. Although such a numerical coincidence is no longer valid when $\mathbb{K}$ is complex, our work in this paper shows that such a non-vanishing result can still be established. Consequently, we are able to attack the global period relation problem for any number field under a mild assumption that the coefficient system of $\Pi$ is balanced.

Recall that $\Pi_{\mathbb{K}}$ is assumed to be of symplectic type. In the rest of this subsection, we assume that the coefficient system $F_{\mathbb{K}} = \bigotimes_{\iota \in E_{\mathbb{K}}} F_{\iota}$ of $\Pi_{\mathbb{K}}$ is balanced. Let $\frac{1}{2} + j \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ be a critical place of $\Pi_{\mathbb{K}}$.

Write $\xi_{\mathbb{K}, j} := \bigotimes_{\iota \in E_{\mathbb{K}}} (\det j \otimes \det^{-j - w_{\iota}})$, which may be viewed as an algebraic character of $H(\mathbb{K} \otimes \mathbb{R} \mathbb{C}) = \prod_{\iota \in E_{\mathbb{K}}} H_{\mathbb{C}}$. Using Proposition 2.20, we fix a nonzero element

$$Z^\circ (\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + j, \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{K}}^{j}) \in \text{Hom}_{H_{\mathbb{K}}}(\Pi_{\mathbb{K}} \otimes \xi_{\mathbb{K}, j}, \mathbb{C}).$$

Identify $\mathbb{R}^x_{\mathbb{K}}$ with a subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{K}}$ via the diagonal embedding. Then $\mathbb{R}^x_{\mathbb{K}} \subset H^0_{\mathbb{K}} \subset G^0_{\mathbb{K}}$. The Lie algebra of $\mathbb{R}^x_{\mathbb{K}}$ is identified with $\mathbb{R}$ as usual. We define a real vector space

$$q_{\mathbb{K}} := (\mathfrak{c}_{\mathbb{K}} \oplus \mathbb{R}) \backslash \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{K}},$$

where $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is the Lie algebra of $H_{\mathbb{K}}$ and $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is the Lie algebra of $C_{\mathbb{K}}$. Define

$$d_{\mathbb{K}} := \text{dim } q_{\mathbb{K}} = \begin{cases} n^2 + n - 1, & \text{if } \mathbb{K} \simeq \mathbb{R}; \\ 2n^2 - 1, & \text{if } \mathbb{K} \simeq \mathbb{C}. \end{cases}$$

The archimedean modular symbol, which is denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{K}, j}$, is defined to be the composition of the following maps:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{K}, j} : H^{d_{\mathbb{K}}}_{ct}(\mathbb{R}^x_{\mathbb{K}} \backslash G^0_{\mathbb{K}}; \Pi_{\mathbb{K}} \otimes F_{\mathbb{K}}^\vee) \rightarrow H^{d_{\mathbb{K}}}_{ct}(\mathbb{R}^x_{\mathbb{K}} \backslash H^0_{\mathbb{K}}; \Pi_{\mathbb{K}} \otimes F_{\mathbb{K}}^\vee) = H^{d_{\mathbb{K}}}_{ct}(\mathbb{R}^x_{\mathbb{K}} \backslash H^0_{\mathbb{K}}; (\Pi_{\mathbb{K}} \otimes \xi_{\mathbb{K}, j}) \otimes (F_{\mathbb{K}}^\vee \otimes \xi_{\mathbb{K}, j}^\vee)) \rightarrow H^{d_{\mathbb{K}}}_{ct}(\mathbb{R}^x_{\mathbb{K}} \backslash H^0_{\mathbb{K}}; \mathbb{C}).$$
where the first arrow is the cohomology restriction map, and the last arrow is the map induced by the linear functional
\[(\Pi_K \otimes \xi_{K,j}) \otimes (F_k^\vee \otimes \xi_{k,j}^\vee) \xrightarrow{Z^n(\cdot,1/2+\text{sgn}X)} \mathbb{C} \].

The following non-vanishing hypothesis at infinity, as we will prove in Section 5, asserts that the archimedean modular symbol is nonzero.

**Theorem 3.12** (Non-vanishing Hypothesis at Infinity). Assume that the coefficient system \(F_k\) of \(\Pi_K\) is balanced. Let \(\frac{1}{2} + j\) be a critical place of \(\Pi_K\). Then there exists \(\epsilon\) such that the linear map
\[\left( F_k^\vee \otimes \gamma_{K,j} \right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \]
\[\gamma_{K,j} : H^d_{ct}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times \setminus G^0_K; \Pi_K \otimes F_k^\vee) \rightarrow H^d_{ct}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times \setminus H^0_K; \mathbb{C}) \]
defined above is nonzero.

3.4. Archimedean period relations. Fix an embedding
\[(\gamma_K) : K^{2n} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_{2n}(K) = \mathfrak{g}_K\]
which sends \((a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2n})\) to the matrix
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{a_1+a_2}{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{a_1-a_2}{2} \\
0 & \frac{a_2+a_{2n-1}}{2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{a_2-a_{2n-1}}{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{a_n+a_{n+1}}{2} & \frac{a_n-a_{n+1}}{2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{a_n-a_{n+1}}{2} & a_n & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{a_{2n-1}+a_2}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{a_1-a_{2n-1}}{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{a_{2n-1}+a_2}{2} & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

This embedding identifies \(K^{2n}\) as a Cartan subalgebra \(t_K\) of \(\mathfrak{g}_K\). With the so obtained Cartan subalgebra \(t_K\), the root system of \(\mathfrak{g}_K\) is
\[\Phi(\mathfrak{g}_K, t_K) = \{\pm(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 2n\}\].

Here \(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_{2n}\) is the standard basis of \(K^{2n}\). The positive root system
\[\Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}_K, t_K) = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 2n\}\]
defines a Borel subalgebra \(\mathfrak{b}_K\) of \(\mathfrak{g}_K\). One checks that \(\mathfrak{b}_K\) is transversal to \(\mathfrak{h}_K\). More precisely, we have that
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{b}_K + \mathfrak{h}_K &= \mathfrak{g}_K, \\
\mathfrak{b}_K \cap \mathfrak{h}_K &= \{\gamma_K(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, a_n, \ldots, a_2, a_1) \mid a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in K\}.
\end{align*}
\]

Write \(u_K\) for the nilpotent radical of \(\mathfrak{b}_K\) so that \(\mathfrak{b}_K = \mathfrak{t}_K \ltimes u_K\). We use a superscript Lie algebra to indicate the invariants of a Lie algebra action. In particular,
\[(F_k^\vee)^{u_K} := \{v \in F_k^\vee \mid X.v = 0 \quad \text{for all} \ X \in u_K\}.
\]

This space is one-dimensional. We will prove the following theorem in Section 5.

**Theorem 3.13** (Archimedean Period Relations). Suppose that the coefficient system \(F_k\) is balanced, and for some \(v_0 \in (F_k^\vee)^{u_K}\), \(\lambda_{F_k,j}(v_0 \otimes 1) = 1\) for all critical places \(\frac{1}{2} + j\) of \(\Pi_K\). Then there exists \(\epsilon_K \in \{\pm 1\}\) such that the linear map
\[\epsilon_{K,j} : \mathcal{P}_{K,j} : H^d_{ct}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times \setminus G^0_K; \Pi_K \otimes F_k^\vee) \rightarrow H^d_{ct}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times \setminus H^0_K; \mathbb{C})\]
is independent of the critical place \(\frac{1}{2} + j\) of \(\Pi_K\).
Remark 3.14. When $K \simeq \mathbb{R}$, assuming the existence of uniform cohomological vectors (Theorem 3.17), a variant of Theorem 3.13 is proved in [Jan18 Section 7].

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We retain the notation in Section 2 and also introduce some more notation that are needed for the proof of Theorem 1.1. We write $G_\nu, H_\nu$ for the local component at place $\nu$ of the adelic group $G(\mathbb{A}), H(\mathbb{A})$, respectively, and write $K_\nu, C_\nu$ for the standard maximal compact subgroup of $G_\nu, H_\nu$ respectively. Write

$$G_\infty = \prod_{\nu | \infty} G_\nu, \quad H_\infty = \prod_{\nu | \infty} H_\nu.$$ 

We also write $K_\infty, C_\infty$ for the standard maximal compact subgroup of $G_\infty, H_\infty$ respectively. Then

$$K_\infty = \prod_{\nu | \infty} K_\nu, \quad C_\infty = \prod_{\nu | \infty} C_\nu.$$ 

Similar notations will be applied to the Shalika subgroup $S$, as defined in (2.3), without further explanation. Write $g_\infty, h_\infty, \mathfrak{f}_\infty$ and $\varepsilon_\infty$ for the Lie algebras of $G_\infty, H_\infty, K_\infty$ and $C_\infty$, respectively. Recall that for $\nu \mid \infty$, we identify $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$ with a subgroup of $G_\nu$ via the diagonal embedding. In this way, we further identify $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$ with a subgroup of $G_\infty$ via diagonal embedding.

4.1. Measures and the work of Friedberg-Jacquet. In this subsection, we aim to reformulate a result of Friedberg-Jacquet using a commutative diagram. Recall the character $\eta \otimes \psi$ defined right below (2.3). Only in this subsection, let $\Pi$ be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ with a nonzero $(\eta, \psi)$-Shalika period, not necessarily regular or algebraic.

Note that the group $S(\mathbb{A})$ is unimodular. We fix once and for all an $S(\mathbb{A})$-invariant positive Borel measure on $(S(\mathbb{k}\mathbb{R}_+^\times)) \backslash S(\mathbb{A})$. Then the nonzero $(\eta, \psi)$-Shalika period of $\Pi$ defines a continuous $S(\mathbb{A})$-invariant linear functional

$$\lambda_\mathbb{A} : \Pi \otimes (\eta \otimes \psi)^{-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \varphi \otimes 1 \mapsto \int_{(S(\mathbb{k}\mathbb{R}_+^\times)) \backslash S(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(g) \cdot (\eta \otimes \psi)^{-1}(g) \, dg.$$ 

As before we write $\Pi = \Pi_\infty \otimes \Pi_f$ with the infinite part $\Pi_\infty$ and the finite part $\Pi_f$. Similarly write $\eta = \eta_\infty \otimes \eta_f$ and $\psi = \psi_\infty \otimes \psi_f$. By using the uniqueness of Shalika models (Lemma 2.8), we are able to factorize the global Shalika period $\lambda_\mathbb{A}$ as $\lambda_\mathbb{A} = \lambda_\infty \otimes \lambda_f$, where

$$\lambda_\infty \in \text{Hom}_{S_\mathbb{A}_\infty}(\Pi_\infty \otimes (\eta_\infty \otimes \psi_\infty)^{-1}, \mathbb{C}),$$

and

$$\lambda_f \in \text{Hom}_{S(\mathbb{A}_f)}((\Pi_f \otimes (\eta_f \otimes \psi_f)^{-1}, \mathbb{C}).$$

Recall the automorphic character $\chi : \mathbb{k}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. We define a character

$$\xi_{\chi,t} := ((\chi \circ \text{det}) \cdot |\text{det}|_\mathbb{A}^{1/2}) \otimes (((\chi^{-1} \cdot \eta^{-1}) \circ \text{det}) \cdot |\text{det}|_\mathbb{A}^{-1/2}) : H(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$$

for every $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Here $| \cdot |_\mathbb{A}$ is the normalized absolute value on $\mathbb{A}^\times$. It has an obvious decomposition $| \cdot |_\mathbb{A} = | \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}^\times} \otimes | \cdot |_\mathbb{A}_f$.

Write $\xi_{\chi,t} = \xi_{\chi_\infty,t} \otimes \xi_{\chi_f,t}$ as usual.
The normalized local Friedberg-Jacquet integrals are defined in Proposition 2.10. We take the product at all finite local places of the normalized local Friedberg-Jacquet integrals and denote it by

\[(4.4) \quad Z^0(\cdot, s, \chi_f), \in \text{Hom}_{H(k_f)}(\Pi_f \otimes \xi_{\chi_f, s-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbb{C}), \quad s \in \mathbb{C}.\]

When the real part of \( s \) is sufficiently larger, this is explicitly given by

\[Z^0(v, s, \chi_f) := \frac{1}{L(s, \Pi_f \otimes \chi_f)} \int_{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f)} \left\langle \lambda_f, \left[ \begin{array}{cc} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1_n \end{array} \right] v \right\rangle \cdot \chi_f(\det g) \cdot |\det g|^{s-\frac{1}{2}} dg.\]

Here \( dg \) is the Haar measure on \( \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_f) \) such that a maximal compact subgroup of it has total volume 1.

Define a real vector space \( q_\infty := (\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathfrak{h}_\infty \), where \( \mathbb{R} \) is identified with the Lie algebra of \( \mathbb{R}^+_\chi \). Set

\[(4.5) \quad d_\infty := \dim q_\infty.\]

Unless otherwise specified, we use a superscript \( \mathbb{C} \) over a real vector space to indicates the complexification. Given a \( \wedge^{d_\infty} q_\infty^\mathbb{C} \)-valued Haar measure \( \mu \) on \( \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_\infty) \), we similarly define the normalized Friedberg-Jacquet integral at infinity

\[Z^0_\mu(\cdot, s, \chi_\infty) \in \text{Hom}_{H^0_\infty}(\wedge^{d_\infty} q_\infty^\mathbb{C})^* \otimes \Pi_\infty \otimes \xi_{\chi_\infty, s-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbb{C}), \quad s \in \mathbb{C},\]

where \( \wedge^{d_\infty} q_\infty^\mathbb{C} \) carries the trivial action of \( H^0_\infty \), and "*" indicates the dual space. When the real part of \( s \) is sufficiently large, this is explicitly given by

\[(4.6) \quad Z^0_\mu(\omega \otimes v \otimes 1, s, \chi_\infty)
= \frac{1}{L(s, \Pi_\infty \otimes \chi_\infty)} \int_{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_\infty)} \left\langle \lambda_\infty, \left[ \begin{array}{cc} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1_n \end{array} \right] v \right\rangle \cdot \chi_\infty(\det g) \cdot |\det g|^{s-\frac{1}{2}} d(\mu, \omega)(g).\]

Once and for all, we fix an \( H_\infty \)-invariant orientation on \( \mathbb{R}^+_\chi \setminus H_\infty/C_\infty^0 \). Write

\[\mathcal{X}_H := (H(k)\mathbb{R}^+_\chi) \setminus H(\mathbb{A})/C_\infty^0.\]

Then \( \mathcal{X}_H/C_f \) is an oriented manifold, whenever \( C_f \) is a sufficiently small open compact subgroup of \( H(\mathbb{A}_f) \). We define a global linear period integral

\[(4.7) \quad \omega \otimes \varphi \otimes 1 \mapsto \frac{1}{|C_{\max,f} : C_f|} \int_{\mathcal{X}_H/C_f} \left[ \varphi \right] \cdot \left[ \omega \right],\]

where \( C_f \) is a sufficiently small open compact subgroup of \( H(\mathbb{A}_f) \) so that both \( \varphi \) and \( \xi_{s-\frac{1}{2}} \) are \( C_f \)-invariant, \( C_{\max,f} \) is a maximal compact subgroup of \( H(\mathbb{A}_f) \) containing \( C_f \), \( \left[ \varphi \right] \) denotes the function

\[h \mapsto \int_{C^0_\infty} \varphi(hc) \cdot \xi_{\chi_{s-\frac{1}{2}}}(hc) dc \quad (dc \text{ is the Haar measure on } C^0_\infty \text{ with total volume } 1)\]
on \( \mathcal{X}_H/C_f \), and \( \left[ \omega \right] \) is the differential form on \( \mathcal{X}_H/C_f \) whose pull-back to \( \mathbb{R}^+_\chi \setminus H(\mathbb{A})/(C^0_\infty C_f) \) is the \( H(\mathbb{A}) \)-invariant differential form corresponding to \( \omega \).

The following Proposition is just a reformulation of [FJ93, Proposition 2.3].
Proposition 4.1. There exists a \( \Lambda^d \otimes q_\infty^C \)-valued Haar measure \( \mu \) on \( \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_\infty) \) such that for all automorphic characters \( \eta, \chi : k^x \backslash \mathbb{A}^x \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \), all irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations \( \Pi \) of \( \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}) \) with nonzero \((\eta, \psi)\)-Shalika period, and all \( s \in \mathbb{C} \), the diagram

\[
((\Lambda^d \otimes q_\infty^C)^* \otimes \Pi_\infty \otimes \xi_{\chi, s-\frac{1}{2}}) \otimes (\Pi_f \otimes \xi_{\chi_f, s-\frac{1}{2}}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{Z_{\mathbb{P}^0}(\mathbf{\cdot}, \mathbf{\cdot}) \otimes Z^{(\mathbf{\cdot}, \mathbf{\cdot})}} \mathbb{C} \]

is commutative.

Remark 4.2. The measure \( \mu \) in Proposition 4.1 is determined by the measure on the quotient \((S(k)\mathbb{R})^x \backslash S(\mathbb{A}))\), which is used to define the global Shalika period in \([11]\).

4.2. Modular symbols. As in Section 2.7, suppose that \( \Pi = \Pi_\infty \otimes \Pi_f \) is an irreducible regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation of \( G(\mathbb{A}) \) of symplectic type, with coefficient system \( F = \otimes_{\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_k} F_\epsilon \) whose highest weight satisfying \((2.23)\) and \((2.24)\).

Similar to \( \mathcal{X}_H \), we define

\[
\mathcal{X}_G := (G(k)\mathbb{R})^x \backslash G(\mathbb{A})/K_0^0.
\]

Following [H87, Section 1.1], for every open compact subgroup \( K_f \) of \( G(\mathbb{A}_f) \), the finite dimensional representation \( F^\vee \) defines a sheaf on \( \mathcal{X}_G/K_f \), which, by abuse of notation, is still denoted by \( F^\vee \). Write \( H^d_\infty(\mathcal{X}_G/K_f, F^\vee) \) for the sheaf-cohomology group with compact support, and define

\[
H^d_\infty(\mathcal{X}_G, F^\vee) := \lim_{\xrightarrow{K_f \rightarrow K_0^0}} H^d_\infty(\mathcal{X}_G/K_f, F^\vee),
\]

where \( K_f \) runs over the directed set of all open compact subgroups of \( G(\mathbb{A}_f) \). Some other cohomology spaces, such as \( H^d_\infty(\mathcal{X}_H, F^\vee) \), are similarly defined without further explanation. It is an important fact for us that the sheaf-cohomology group with compact support can be calculated by the complex of the spaces of fast decreasing differential forms. See [Bo81, Theorem 5.2] for details.

The cuspidal cohomology \( H^{d_\text{cusp}}_\infty(\mathcal{X}_G, F^\vee) \) naturally injects into \( H^d_\infty(\mathcal{X}_G, F^\vee) \) (see [Bo81, Section 5] and [Cl90, page 123]). Hence by [Cl90, Lemma 3.15], we have an injection of cohomology groups:

\[
(4.8) \quad \iota_\Pi : H^d_\text{ct} (\mathbb{R}_+ \backslash G^0_\infty; \Pi \otimes F^\vee) \hookrightarrow H^{d_\text{cusp}}_\infty(\mathcal{X}_G, F^\vee) \hookrightarrow H^d_\infty(\mathcal{X}_G, F^\vee).
\]

A well-known result of A. Borel and G. Prasad (see [As80, Lemma 2.7]) asserts that the natural inclusion \( \mathcal{X}_H \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_G \) is a proper map, and defines a homomorphism of cohomological groups

\[
(4.9) \quad \iota^* : H^d_\infty(\mathcal{X}_G, F^\vee) \rightarrow H^d_\infty(\mathcal{X}_H, F^\vee).
\]

As in Theorem 1.1, suppose that \( \eta \) is an automorphic character such that \( L(s, \Pi, \Lambda^2 \otimes \eta^{-1}) \) has a pole at \( s = 1 \). By Proposition 2.5, \( \Pi \) has a nonzero \((\eta, \psi)\)-Shalika period. It was proved in [GnR13, Theorem 5.3] (also see [GrR14, Lemma 3.6.1]) that the archimedean component \( \eta_\infty \) of \( \eta \) equals the composition of

\[
\mathbb{A}^x_\infty = (k \otimes \mathbb{Q})^x \hookrightarrow (k \otimes \mathbb{C})^x = \prod_{\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_k} \mathbb{C}^x \xrightarrow{\{x_\epsilon\} \mapsto \prod_{\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_k} x_\epsilon^{x_\epsilon}} \mathbb{C}^x.
\]
In the rest of this section, let $\frac{1}{2} + j \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ be a critical place of $\Pi$, and assume that $\chi$ is as in Theorem 1.1 and the coefficient system $F$ is balanced. Recall from (4.3) the character

$$\xi_{\chi,j} := ((\chi \circ \det) \cdot |\det|\omega) \otimes ((\chi^{-1} \cdot \eta^{-1}) \circ \det) \cdot |\det|^{-j}$$

of $H(\mathbb{A})$. Similar to (4.7), define a character

$$\xi_{\infty,j} := \otimes_{\imath \in E} (\det^{j} \otimes \det^{-j} \cdot \omega) : H(k \otimes \mathbb{C}) = \prod_{\imath \in E} H(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

It is easily checked that $\xi_{\chi,\infty,j}$, which is the archimedean component of $\xi_{\chi,j}$, equals the restriction of $\xi_{\infty,j}$ to $H_{\infty}$. We have an obvious injective linear map

$$\iota_{j} : H^{0}_{\text{ct}}(\mathbb{R}_{+} \setminus H^{0}_{\infty}, \xi_{\chi,j} \otimes \xi_{\infty,j}^{\vee}) \hookrightarrow H^{0}(\mathcal{X}_{H}, \xi_{\infty,j}^{\vee}).$$

By Proposition 2.20, there is a nonzero element

$$\lambda_{F,j} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(F^{\vee} \otimes \xi_{\infty,j}^{\vee}, \mathbb{C}).$$

It induces a linear map

$$H^{d}_{c}(X_{H}, F^{\vee}) \otimes H^{0}(X_{H}, \xi_{\infty,j}^{\vee}) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{F,j}} H^{d}_{c}(X_{H}, \mathbb{C}).$$

Recall that an $H_{\infty}$-invariant orientation on $\mathbb{R}_{+} \setminus H_{\infty}/C_{\infty}$ has been fixed. Thus $X_{H}/C_{f}$ is an oriented manifold, for every open compact subgroup $C_{f}$ of $H(\mathbb{A})$, which is sufficiently small. The pairing with the fundamental class yields a linear map

$$\int_{X_{H}/C_{f}} : H^{d}_{c}(X_{H}/C_{f}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Now we define a linear map

$$\int_{X_{H}} : H^{d}_{c}(X_{H}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

by requiring that

$$\int_{X_{H}} \alpha = \frac{1}{[C_{\max,f} : C_{f}]} \int_{X_{H}/C_{f}} \alpha,$$

for every maximal open compact subgroup $C_{\max,f}$ of $H(\mathbb{A}_{f})$, every sufficiently small open subgroup $C_{f}$ of $C_{\max,f}$, and every $\alpha \in H^{d}_{c}(X_{H}/C_{f}, \mathbb{C}) \subset H^{d}_{c}(X_{H}, \mathbb{C})$.

Finally, we define the modular symbol map $\mathcal{P}_{j}$ to be the composition of

$$\mathcal{P}_{j} : H^{d}_{c}(\mathbb{R}_{+} \setminus C_{0}^{0}; \Pi \otimes F^{\vee}) \otimes H^{0}_{\text{ct}}(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{\times} \setminus H^{0}_{\infty}, \xi_{\chi,j} \otimes \xi_{\infty,j}^{\vee}) \xrightarrow{\iota_{\Pi \otimes j}} H^{d}_{c}(X_{G}, F^{\vee}) \otimes H^{0}(X_{H}, \xi_{\infty,j}^{\vee}) \xrightarrow{\iota^{*} \otimes \iota} H^{d}_{c}(X_{H}, F^{\vee}) \otimes H^{0}(X_{H}, \xi_{\infty,j}^{\vee}) \xrightarrow{\iota \iota_{j}} H^{d}_{c}(X_{H}, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\int_{X_{H}}} \mathbb{C}.$$
4.3. Modular symbols at infinity and a commutative diagram. Let $\mu$ be a $\wedge^{d_\infty}q^C_\infty$-valued Haar measure on $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_\infty)$ as in Proposition 4.1. Recall the normalized Friedberg-Jacquet integral

$$
Z^\circ\mu(\cdot, s, \chi_\infty) \in \text{Hom}_{H^n_\infty}((\wedge^{d_\infty}q^C_\infty)^* \otimes \Pi_\infty \otimes \xi_{\chi_\infty,s}^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}_{H^n_\infty}((\Pi_\infty \otimes \xi_{\chi_\infty,s}^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \wedge^{d_\infty}q^C_\infty)
$$

defined in (4.13). Also recall that we are given a nonzero element $\lambda_{F,j} \in \text{Hom}_{H^n_\infty}(F^\vee \otimes \xi^\vee_{\infty,j}, \mathbb{C})$. Analogous to the archimedean modular symbol $\mathcal{P}_{K,j}$ defined in Section 3.3, we define the modular symbol at infinity, which is denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{\infty,j}$, to be the composition of the following maps:

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\infty,j} : H^d_{ct}((\mathbb{R}^\times \setminus G^0_\infty; \Pi_\infty \otimes F^\vee) \rightarrow H^d_{ct}(\mathbb{R}^\times \setminus H^0_\infty; \Pi_\infty \otimes F^\vee)
$$

(4.15)

$$
= H^d_{ct}(\mathbb{R}^\times \setminus H^0_\infty, (\Pi_\infty \otimes \xi_{\infty,j}) \otimes (F^\vee \otimes \xi^\vee_{\infty,j})))
$$

$$
\rightarrow H^d_{ct}(\mathbb{R}^\times \setminus H^0_\infty, \wedge^{d_\infty}q^C_\infty) = \mathbb{C},
$$

where the first arrow is the restriction map of cohomology, and the last arrow is the map induced by the linear functional

$$
Z^\circ\mu(\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + j, \chi_\infty) \otimes \lambda_{F,j} : (\Pi_\infty \otimes \xi_{\infty,j}) \otimes (F^\vee \otimes \xi^\vee_{\infty,j}) \rightarrow \wedge^{d_\infty}q^C_\infty.
$$

Recall the linear functional $Z^\circ(\cdot, s, \chi_f) \in \text{Hom}_{H(\mathbb{A})}(\Pi_f \otimes \xi_f,s^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbb{C})$ from (4.4). Proposition 4.1 has the following cohomological reformulation.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let the notation and the assumptions be as above. Then the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^d_{ct}(\mathbb{R}^\times \setminus G^0_\infty; \Pi_\infty \otimes F^\vee) \otimes (\Pi_f \otimes \xi_{f,j}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_{\infty,j} \otimes Z^\circ(\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + j, \chi_f)} & \mathbb{C} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow L(\frac{1}{2} + j, \Pi_\infty) \\
H^d_{ct}(\mathbb{R}^\times \setminus G^0_\infty; \Pi \otimes F^\vee) \otimes H^0_{ct}(\mathbb{R}^\times \setminus H^0_\infty; \xi_{\infty,j} \otimes \xi^\vee_{\infty,j}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_{j}} & \mathbb{C}
\end{array}
$$

commutes.

**Proof.** Set

$$
\mathfrak{g}_\infty := \mathfrak{g}/(\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_\infty).
$$

By identifying the continuous group cohomology with the relative Lie algebra cohomology, we get (see [Wa88 Proposition 9.4.3])

$$
H^d_{ct}(\mathbb{R}^\times \setminus G^0_\infty; \Pi_\infty \otimes F^\vee) = \text{Hom}_{K_\infty}(\wedge^{d_\infty}\mathfrak{g}_\infty^C, \Pi_\infty \otimes F^\vee)
$$

(4.16)

$$
= (\wedge^{d_\infty}\mathfrak{g}_\infty^C)^* \otimes \Pi_\infty \otimes F^\vee)^{K_\infty}.
$$

By unwinding the definition of $\mathcal{P}_{\infty,j}$, the top arrow in the above diagram is identified with the composition of the following maps:

$$
\xrightarrow{\text{restriction}}
$$

$$
\xrightarrow{((\wedge^{d_\infty}\mathfrak{g}_\infty^C)^* \otimes \Pi_\infty \otimes F^\vee)^{K_\infty} \otimes (\Pi_f \otimes \xi_{f,j})}
$$

$$
= (\wedge^{d_\infty}q^C_\infty)^* \otimes \Pi_\infty \otimes F^\vee \otimes (\Pi_f \otimes \xi_{f,j})
$$

$$
= (\wedge^{d_\infty}q^C_\infty)^* \otimes (\Pi_\infty \otimes \xi_{\infty,j}) \otimes (\Pi_f \otimes \xi_{f,j}) \otimes (F^\vee \otimes \xi^\vee_{\infty,j})
$$

$$
\rightarrow \mathbb{C}.
$$
By the arguments of [Bo81, Section 5.6], using fast decreasing differential forms, it is routine to check that the bottom arrow in the above diagram is identified with the composition of the following maps:

\[
\begin{align*}
((\wedge_{\infty} d_{\infty} s_{\infty})^* \otimes \Pi \otimes F^\vee)_{K_0} & \otimes (\xi_{\chi,j} \otimes \xi_{\infty,j}^\vee) \\
\rightarrow & \quad (\wedge_{\infty} d_{\infty} q_{\infty}^c)^* \otimes \Pi \otimes F^\vee \otimes (\xi_{\chi,j} \otimes \xi_{\infty,j}^\vee) \\
& \quad \quad (\wedge_{\infty} d_{\infty} q_{\infty}^c)^* \otimes (\Pi \otimes \xi_{\chi,j}) \otimes (F^\vee \otimes \xi_{\infty,j}^\vee) \\
\rightarrow & \quad \mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2},j} \otimes \lambda_{F,j} \otimes C.
\end{align*}
\]

Now the Proposition follows directly from Proposition 4.1. □

4.4. Rationality of cohomology groups and period of \(\Pi\). Recall the non-archimedean Shalika functional

\[\lambda_f \in \text{Hom}_{S(A_f)}(\Pi_f \otimes (\eta_f \otimes \psi_f)^{-1}, \mathbb{C})\]

from (4.2), and the normalized Friedberg-Jacquet integrals

\[Z^{\circ}(\cdot, s, \chi_f), \in \text{Hom}_{H(A_f)}(\Pi_f \otimes \xi_{\chi,f,s-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbb{C}), \quad s \in \mathbb{C},\]

from (4.4).

Proposition 4.4. There is a unique \(\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_f, \eta_f)\)-rational structure on the \(G(A_f)\)-module \(\Pi_f\) such that

\[G(\chi_f^\vee) \cdot Z^{\circ}(\cdot, s_0, \chi_f^\vee) \in \text{Hom}_{H(A_f)}(\Pi_f \otimes \xi_{\chi,f,s_0-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbb{C})\]

is defined over \(\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_f, \eta_f, \chi_f^\vee)\), for all algebraic automorphic character \(\chi' : k^\times \backslash A^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times\) and all \(s_0 \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}\).

Proof. The uniqueness is proved as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. For the proof of the existence, as before we write

\[\Pi_f = \otimes_{\nu \nmid \infty} \Pi_{\nu}, \quad \psi_f = \otimes_{\nu \nmid \infty} \psi_{\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_f = \otimes_{\nu \nmid \infty} \eta_{\nu}.\]

Using the uniqueness of Shalika functionals (Lemma 2.8), we write \(\lambda_f = \otimes_{\nu \nmid \infty} \lambda_{\nu}\), where \(\lambda_{\nu} \in \text{Hom}_{S(k_{\nu})}(\Pi_{\nu} \otimes (\eta_{\nu} \otimes \psi_{\nu})^{-1}, \mathbb{C})\). Using Lemma 2.9 we assume that

\[\lambda_{\nu}(v_{\nu}^o) = 1 \quad \text{for almost all } \nu \nmid \infty \text{ such that } \Pi_{\nu} \text{ is unramified,}\]

where \(v_{\nu}^o \in \Pi_{\nu}\) is the spherical vector that is implicitly fixed for the definition of the restricted tensor product \(\otimes_{\nu \nmid \infty} \Pi_{\nu}\).

Applying Theorem 3.1 to all \(k_{\nu}\) with \(\nu \nmid \infty\), we obtain a \(\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_f, \eta_f)\)-rational structure on the representation \(\Pi_f = \otimes_{\nu \nmid \infty} \Pi_{\nu}\). Then Theorem 3.1 and Part (6) of Proposition 2.10 imply that this rational structure has the desired property. □

Using Proposition 4.4, we view \(\Pi_f\) as a representation defined over \(\mathbb{Q}(\Pi_f, \eta_f)\). Similar to the argument of Section 3.4, we define a Borel subalgebra \(b_k = t_k \times u_k\) of \(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n}(k)\). Then the space \((F^\vee)^{u_k}\) is one-dimensional. Fix a nonzero element \(v_F^s\) in this space.

Lemma 4.5. There exists a unique \(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n}(k)\)-stable \(\mathbb{Q}(F)\)-rational structure on \(F^\vee\) such that \(v_F^s\) is defined over \(\mathbb{Q}(F)\). Moreover, this rational structure is \(\text{GL}_{2n}(k)\)-stable.
Proof. This is well-known. We sketch a proof for the convenience of the reader. The uniqueness assertion is implied by Lemma 3.8. For the existence assertion, consider the Weil restriction $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{R}}$, which is an algebraic group over $\mathbb{Q}$. The Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_k$ corresponds to a Borel subgroup of $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{R}}$, which is denoted by $B_k$. Then $v_F^\vee$ determines an algebraic character $\chi_{F^\vee}$ of $B_k(\mathbb{C})$, and induces an isomorphism

$$F \overset{\sim}{\rightarrow} \text{Ind}_{B_k(\mathbb{C})}^{\text{GL}_2n(k \otimes \mathbb{Q})} \chi_{F^\vee}, \quad u \mapsto (g \mapsto \langle v_F^\vee, g \cdot u \rangle),$$

where $\text{Ind}_{B_k(\mathbb{C})}^{\text{GL}_2n(k \otimes \mathbb{Q})} \chi_{F^\vee}$ denotes the algebraically induced representation. Note that the algebraic character $\chi_{F^\vee}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(F)$. Hence $\text{Ind}_{B_k(\mathbb{C})}^{\text{GL}_2n(k \otimes \mathbb{Q})} \chi_{F^\vee}$ has a natural $\mathbb{Q}(F)$-rational structure. This induces a $\mathbb{Q}(F)$-rational structure on $F$, which further induces a $\mathbb{Q}(F)$-rational structure on $F^\vee$ with the desired properties.

Using the rational structure of Lemma 4.5, we view $F^\vee$ as a representation of $\text{GL}_{2n}(k)$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}(F)$. This yields a $\mathbb{Q}(F)$-rational structure on $H^d_{c}^\infty(\mathcal{X}_F, F^\vee)$ and $H^d_{c}^\infty(\mathcal{X}_H, F^\vee)$ (see [CI90, pages 122-123]). According to [CI90, Theorem 3.13], the cohomology group $H^d_{c}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\times \backslash G_0^0; \Pi \otimes F^\vee)$ has a unique $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)$-rational structure such that the injection map $i_{\Pi}$, as in (4.8), is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)$.

**Lemma 4.6.** There exists a unique $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi, \eta)$-rational structure on the vector space

$$H^d_{c}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\times_+ \backslash G_0^0; \Pi_\infty \otimes F^\vee)$$

such that the natural isomorphism

$$(4.17) \quad H^d_{c}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\times_+ \backslash G_0^0; \Pi_\infty \otimes F^\vee) \otimes \Pi_f \overset{\sim}{\rightarrow} H^d_{c}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\times_+ \backslash G_0^0; \Pi \otimes F^\vee)$$

is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi, \eta)$.

Proof. This is clear by identifying the isomorphism (4.17) with the following obvious isomorphism:

$$H^d_{c}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\times_+ \backslash G_0^0; \Pi_\infty \otimes F^\vee) \overset{\sim}{\rightarrow} \text{Hom}_{G(\mathbb{A}_f)}(\Pi_f, H^d_{c}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\times_+ \backslash G_0^0; \Pi \otimes F^\vee))$$

$$= (\Pi_f^\vee \otimes H^d_{c}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\times_+ \backslash G_0^0; \Pi \otimes F^\vee))_{G(\mathbb{A}_f)}.$$ 

We remark that the rational structure of Lemma 4.6 is determined by $v_F^\vee \in (F^\vee)^{\text{un}}$ and the Shalika functional $\lambda_f$. Using this rational structure, we view $H^d_{c}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\times_+ \backslash G_0^0; \Pi_\infty \otimes F^\vee)$ as a vector space defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi, \eta)$.

Recall the modular symbol at infinity map $P_{\infty,j}$, as defined in (4.15). It depends on the nonzero functional

$$\lambda_{F,j} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}^\times}(F^\vee \otimes \xi^\vee_{\infty,j}, \mathbb{C}).$$

Note that the algebraic character $\xi_{\infty,j}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(F)$, in other words,

$$\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{\infty,j}) \subset \mathbb{Q}(F).$$

Thus as a one-dimensional vector space, $\xi_{\infty,j}$ has a natural $\mathbb{Q}(F)$-rational structure.

**Lemma 4.7.** There exists a unique linear functional $\lambda_{F,j} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}^\times}(F^\vee \otimes \xi^\vee_{\infty,j}, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\lambda_{F,j}(v_F^\vee \otimes 1) = 1$. Moreover, this linear functional is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(F)$.

Proof. This easily follows from the fact that $\mathfrak{gl}_{2n}(k) = \mathfrak{b}_k + \mathfrak{b}_k$, where $\mathfrak{b}_k = \mathfrak{gl}_n(k) \times \mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$. □
Now we suppose that \( \lambda_{F,j} \) is as in Lemma \[L7\]

**Proposition 4.8.** There exists \( \epsilon \in \{\pm 1\} \) such that the linear functional

\[
e^j \cdot i^{-jn[k:Q]} \cdot P_{\infty,j} : H^d_{ct}(R^\times_+ \backslash G^0_\infty; \Pi_\infty \otimes F^\vee) \to \mathbb{C}
\]

is independent of the critical place \( \frac{1}{2} + j \). Moreover, this linear functional is nonzero.

**Proof.** Write

\[
F^\vee = \otimes_{\nu|\infty} F^\vee_{\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad v^\vee_F = \otimes_{\nu|\infty} v^\vee_{F_{\nu}},
\]

where

\[
F_{\nu} := \otimes_{i \in E_{k,\nu} \subset E_k} F_i \quad \text{and} \quad v^\vee_{F_{\nu}} \in F^\vee_{\nu}.
\]

Then

\[
\lambda_{F,j} = \otimes_{\nu|\infty} \lambda_{F_{\nu,j}},
\]

where \( \lambda_{F_{\nu,j}} \in \text{Hom}_{H_{\text{ct}}}(F^\vee_{\nu} \circ \xi_{k_{\nu,j}}, \mathbb{C}) \) is the element such that \( \lambda_{F_{\nu,j}}(v^\vee_{F_{\nu}} \otimes 1) = 1 \), and

\[
\xi_{k_{\nu,j}} := \otimes_{i \in E_{k,\nu} \subset E_k} (\det \circ \det^{-j})
\]

as before. Using \( \lambda_{F_{\nu,j}} \), we have the archimedean modular symbol

\[
P_{k_{\nu,j}} : H^{d_{k_{\nu}}}(R^\times_+ \backslash G^0_\nu; \Pi_\nu \otimes F^\vee_{\nu}) \to H^{d_{k_{\nu}}}_{ct}(R^\times_+ \backslash H^0_\nu; \mathbb{C})
\]

as in \[3.11\]. By Theorem \[3.13\] there exists \( \epsilon_{\nu} \in \{\pm 1\} \) such that

\[
e^j \cdot i^{-jn[k:Q]} \cdot P_{k_{\nu,j}}
\]

is independent of the critical place \( \frac{1}{2} + j \).

We have Lie group decompositions

\[
R^\times_+ \backslash G^0_\infty = \left( \prod_{\nu|\infty} R^\times_+ \backslash G_\nu \right) \times A'_G \quad \text{and} \quad R^\times_+ \backslash H^0_\infty = \left( \prod_{\nu|\infty} R^\times_+ \backslash H_{\nu} \right) \times A'_G,
\]

where \( A'_G := R^\times_+ \backslash (R^\times_+)^r \) and \( r \) is the number of archimedean places of \( k \). By the Künneth formula, we have that

\[
H^d_{ct}(R^\times_+ \backslash G^0_\infty; \Pi_\infty \otimes F^\vee) = H' \oplus H'',
\]

where

\[
H' := \left( \otimes_{\nu|\infty} H^{d_{k_{\nu}}}(R^\times_+ \backslash G^0_\nu; \Pi_\nu \otimes F^\vee_{\nu}) \right) \otimes H^{-1}_{ct}(A'_G; \mathbb{C})
\]

and

\[
H'' := \bigoplus_{l \neq r-1, \text{or } a_{\nu} \neq d_{k_{\nu}} \text{ for some } \nu|\infty} \left( \otimes_{\nu|\infty} H^{a_{\nu}}(R^\times_+ \backslash G^0_\nu; \Pi_\nu \otimes F^\vee_{\nu}) \right) \otimes H^l_{ct}(A'_G; \mathbb{C}).
\]

It is easy to see that \( P_{\infty,j} \) vanishes on \( H'' \), and \( P_{\infty,j}|_{H'} \) equals the composition of

\[
H' \xrightarrow{\otimes_{\nu|\infty} P_{k_{\nu,j}}} \left( \otimes_{\nu|\infty} H^{d_{k_{\nu}}}(R^\times_+ \backslash H^0_\nu; \mathbb{C}) \right) \otimes H^{-1}_{ct}(A'_G; \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C},
\]

where the last arrow is a linear isomorphism independent of \( j \). Thus the first assertion of the proposition follows by defining \( \epsilon := \prod_{\nu|\infty} \epsilon_{\nu} \in \{\pm 1\} \). The second assertion clearly follows from Theorem \[3.12\]
By Proposition 4.8 there exists a \( \mathbb{Q}(\Pi, \eta) \)-rational cohomological class
\[
[\omega] \in H^d_{ct}(\mathbb{R}^\times_+ \setminus G_0^\infty; \Pi_\infty \otimes F^\vee)
\]
such that for all critical places \( \frac{1}{2} + j \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z} \) for \( \Pi \),
\[
\mathcal{P}_{\infty,j}(\omega) \neq 0.
\]
We define the period of \( \Pi \) to be
\[
(4.19) \quad \Omega_{\Pi} := (e^j \cdot i^{-jn[k:Q]} \cdot \mathcal{P}_{\infty,j}(\omega))^{-1},
\]
where \( e \) is as in Proposition 4.8. By Proposition 4.8, this is independent of \( j \).

**Remark 4.9.** We expect that the above functional
\[
e^j \cdot i^{-jn[k:Q]} \cdot \mathcal{P}_{\infty,j}
\]
maps the \( \mathbb{Q}(\Pi, \eta) \)-rational structure of \( H^d_{ct}(\mathbb{R}^\times_+ \setminus G_0^\infty; \Pi_\infty \otimes F^\vee) \) to a \( \mathbb{Q}(\Pi, \eta) \)-rational structure of \( \mathbb{C} \). This holds at least when the number field \( k \) is totally real, or \( L(\frac{1}{2} + j, \Pi \otimes \chi) \neq 0 \) for some critical places \( \frac{1}{2} + j \) and some finite order characters \( \chi \) as in Theorem 1.1 such that \( \mathbb{Q}(\chi) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\Pi, \eta) \). When our expectation holds, up to a scalar multiplication in \( \mathbb{Q}(\Pi, \eta)^\times \), the period \( \Omega_{\Pi} \) of \( \Pi \) is independent of the choice of the rational cohomology class \([\omega]\).

4.5. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We need the following lemma, whose proof is simple and is left to the reader.

**Lemma 4.10.** Suppose that \( E \) is a subfield of \( \mathbb{C} \) and \( V \) is a complex vector space with an \( E \)-rational structure. Let \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \) be two linear functionals on \( V \) defined over \( E \) and let \( c \in \mathbb{C} \).

If \( \lambda_1 \neq 0 \) and \( c \cdot \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \), then \( c \in E \).

Finally, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 4.3 we have a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{align*}
\Pi_f \otimes \xi_{\chi_f,j} & \quad \mathcal{P}_{\infty,j}(\omega) \cdot Z^s(\cdot, \chi_f) \quad \mathbb{C} \\
[\omega] \otimes (\cdot) \downarrow & \quad \downarrow L(\frac{1}{2} + j, \Pi \otimes \chi) \\
H^d_{ct}(\mathbb{R}^\times_+ \setminus G_0^\infty; \Pi \otimes F^\vee) \otimes H^0(\mathbb{R}^\times_+ \setminus H_\infty^0; \xi_{\chi_f,j} \otimes \xi_{\ell_0,j}^\vee) & \quad \mathcal{P}_j \quad \mathbb{C}
\end{align*}
\]
Note the functional
\[
\mathcal{P}_j \circ ([\omega] \otimes (\cdot)) : \Pi_f \otimes \xi_{\chi_f,j} \to \mathbb{C}
\]
is defined over \( \mathbb{Q}(\Pi, \eta, \chi) \), and by Proposition 4.4, the nonzero functional
\[
\mathcal{G}(\chi_f)^n \cdot Z^s(\cdot, \chi_f) : \Pi_f \otimes \xi_{\chi_f,j} \to \mathbb{C}
\]
is defined over \( \mathbb{Q}(\Pi, \eta, \chi) \). Thus Lemma 4.10 implies that
\[
L(\frac{1}{2} + j, \Pi \otimes \chi) \cdot \mathcal{P}_{\infty,j}(\omega) \cdot \mathcal{G}(\chi_f)^{-n} \in \mathbb{Q}(\Pi, \eta, \chi),
\]
or equivalently,
\[
\frac{L(\frac{1}{2} + j, \Pi \otimes \chi)}{i^{n[k:Q]} \cdot \mathcal{G}(\chi_f)^n \cdot \Omega_{\Pi}} \in \mathbb{Q}(\Pi, \eta, \chi).
\]
This proves Theorem 1.1.
5. Proofs of Theorems 3.12 and 3.13

Assume that \( \mathbb{K} \) is archimedean in this section. As before, \( g_{\mathbb{K}}, h_{\mathbb{K}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{K}} \) and \( c_{\mathbb{K}} \) are the Lie algebras of \( G_{\mathbb{K}}, H_{\mathbb{K}}, K_{\mathbb{K}} \) and \( C_{\mathbb{K}} \), respectively.

5.1. Some Borel subalgebras. As a slight modification of the embedding \( \gamma_{\mathbb{K}} \) defined in (3.12), we define an embedding

\[
\gamma' : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}l_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{g}_C
\]

which sends \( (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2n}) \) to the matrix

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{a_1+a_2}{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{a_1-a_2}{2} \\
0 & \frac{a_2+a_{2n-1}}{2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{a_2-a_{2n-1}}{2} & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{a_{n}+a_{n+1}}{2} & \frac{a_{n}-a_{n+1}}{2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{a_{n-1}+a_{n}}{2} & \frac{a_{n-1}-a_{n}}{2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \frac{a_{2n-1}+a_{2n}}{2} & \frac{a_{2n-1}-a_{2n}}{2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

This embedding identifies \( \mathbb{C}^{2n} \) as a Cartan subalgebra \( \mathfrak{t}' \) of \( \mathfrak{g}_C \). With the so obtained Cartan subalgebra \( \mathfrak{t}' \), the root system of \( \mathfrak{g}_C \) is

\[
\Phi(\mathfrak{g}_C, \mathfrak{t}') = \{ \pm (\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 2n \}.
\]

Here \( \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_{2n} \) is the standard basis of \( \mathbb{C}^{2n} \). The positive root system

\[
\Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}_C, \mathfrak{t}') = \{ \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 2n \}
\]

defines a Borel subalgebra \( \mathfrak{b}' \) of \( \mathfrak{g}_C \). Write \( \mathfrak{u}' \) for its nilpotent radical so that \( \mathfrak{b}' = \mathfrak{t}' \ltimes \mathfrak{u}' \).

For each \( a \in \mathbb{C}^\times \), put

\[
\mathcal{J}_a = \begin{bmatrix} a \cdot 1_n & 0 \\ 0 & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \in H_{\mathbb{C}}.
\]

Then \( \gamma' \) equals the composition of

\[
\mathbb{C}^{2n} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{c}} \mathfrak{g}_C \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}_J} \mathfrak{g}_C \quad (\text{Ad} \text{ stands for the adjoint action}).
\]

Hence

\[
\mathfrak{t}' = \text{Ad}_{J_c}(t_c), \quad \mathfrak{b}' = \text{Ad}_{J_c}(b_c) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{u}' = \text{Ad}_{J_c}(u_c).
\]

Now we define

\[
\mathfrak{t}'_K := \prod_{i \in \mathcal{E}_K} t'_i, \quad \mathfrak{b}'_K := \prod_{i \in \mathcal{E}_K} b'_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{u}'_K := \prod_{i \in \mathcal{E}_K} u'_i.
\]

Put

\[
\mathcal{J}_{a,K} := \prod_{i \in \mathcal{E}_K} \mathcal{J}_a \in H(\mathbb{K} \otimes \mathbb{R} \mathbb{C}) = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{E}_K} H_{\mathbb{C}}, \quad (a \in \mathbb{C}^\times).
\]

Then

\[
\mathfrak{t}'_K = \text{Ad}_{J_{-1,K}}(t'_K), \quad \mathfrak{b}'_K = \text{Ad}_{J_{-1,K}}(b'_K) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{u}'_K = \text{Ad}_{J_{-1,K}}(u'_K),
\]

and

\[
\text{Ad}_{J_{-1,K}}(t'_K) = t'_K \quad \text{and} \quad \text{the Borel subalgebra Ad}_{J_{-1,K}}(b'_K) \text{ is opposite to } b'_K.
\]
We remark that $t'_k$, $b'_k$, $u'_k$, $\text{Ad}_{J, k}(b'_k)$, $\text{Ad}_{J, k}(u'_k)$ and $\mathfrak{h}_k$ are all $\theta_k$-stable, where $\theta_k : \mathfrak{g}_k^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_k^C$ is the complexified differential of the Cartan involution corresponding to the maximal compact subgroup $K_k$ of $G_k$.

For each $\iota \in \mathcal{E}_k$, define a linear embedding
\begin{equation}
(5.3) \quad \gamma'_\iota : \mathbb{C}^{n-[K:R]} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_k^C
\end{equation}
by
\[
\gamma'_\iota(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) := \gamma'(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, -a_n, \ldots, -a_2, -a_1)
\]
if $K \simeq \mathbb{R}$, and
\[
\gamma'_\iota(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2n}) := (\iota \mapsto \gamma'(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2n}), \overline{\iota} \mapsto \gamma'(-a_{2n}, \ldots, -a_2, -a_1))
\]
if $K \simeq \mathbb{C}$. Here all $a_i$'s are complex numbers, and $\mathfrak{g}_k^C = \prod_{\iota \in \mathcal{E}_k} \mathfrak{g}_C$ is identified with the set of all maps from $\mathcal{E}_k$ to $\mathfrak{g}_C$. Then $\gamma'_\iota$ identifies $\mathbb{C}^{n-[K:R]}$ with $t'_k \cap t_k^C$, which is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{t}_k^C$. Using this identification, we write $\tau_{K, 0}$ for the irreducible representation of $K_k$ with extremal weight
\[
(2n, 2n-2, \ldots, 2) \quad \text{or} \quad (2n-1, 2n-3, \ldots, 3-2n, 1-2n),
\]
when $K \simeq \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, respectively. We remark that in the complex case, the representation $\tau_{K, 0}$ is independent of the choice of $\iota \in \mathcal{E}_k$.

Write
\[
\mathfrak{s}_k := \mathfrak{g}_k/((\mathbb{R} \oplus t_k^C).
\]

**Lemma 5.1.** The representation $\tau_{K, 0}$ of $K_k$ occurs in $\bigwedge^{d_k} \mathfrak{s}_k^C$ with multiplicity
\[
\dim \bigwedge^{d_k-\gamma_k} t'_k/(t'_k \cap t_k^C \oplus \mathbb{C}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } K \simeq \mathbb{R}; \\ \binom{2n-1}{n-1}, & \text{if } K \simeq \mathbb{C}. \end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** This is easy to check and is left to the reader. \hfill \Box

### 5.2. Archimedean modular symbols

Now we let the notation and assumptions be as in Section 3.3. More precisely, the irreducible Casselman-Wallach representation $\Pi_k$ of $G_k$ is essentially tempered, cohomological, and of symplectic type. The coefficient system $F_k = \otimes_{\iota \in \mathcal{E}_k} F_{\iota}$ of $\Pi_k$ is assumed to be balanced. The highest weight \{\nu_1 = (\nu_1' \geq \nu_2' \geq \cdots \geq \nu_{2n}')\}_{\iota \in \mathcal{E}_k}$ satisfies (2.13) and (2.19). As before, we fix a nonzero element $v_{F_k}^\psi$ of the one-dimensional space $(F_k^\psi)^{u_k}$. Let $\frac{1}{2} + j \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ be a critical place of $\Pi_k$. Recall that we have fixed a nonzero Shalika functional
\[
\lambda_k \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{s}_k}(\Pi_k, \eta_k \otimes \psi_k),
\]
where $\psi_k$ is a non-trivial unitary character of $\mathbb{K}$, and $\eta_k$ is the character
\begin{equation}
(5.4) \quad \eta_k = \prod_{\iota \in \mathcal{E}_k} (\iota|_{\mathbb{K}^x})^{w_\iota} : \mathbb{K}^x \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^x.
\end{equation}

Depending on $\lambda_k$ and a fixed Haar measure on $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$, we have the normalized Friedberg-Jacquet integral
\[
Z^\psi(\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + j, \text{sgn}_{\mathbb{K}}^j) \in \text{Hom}_{H_k}(\Pi_k \otimes \xi_{k, j}, \mathbb{C})
\]
as in (3.9), where

$$\xi_{K,j} := \otimes_{i \in \mathcal{E}_K} (\det^j \otimes \det^{-j-w_i}),$$

is an algebraic character of $H(K \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{E}_K} H_i$. Using Proposition 2.20 we fix a nonzero element

(5.5) $$\lambda_{F_{K,j}} \in \text{Hom}_{H_K}(F_{K}^{\vee} \otimes \xi_{K,j}^{\vee}, \mathbb{C}).$$

As in Lemma 4.7, we assume without loss of generality that

$$\lambda_{F_{K,j}}(v_{F_{K}}^{\vee} \otimes 1) = 1.$$

By [HM62, Theorem 6.1] and [Wa88, Proposition 9.4.3], we have identifications

$$H^d_{ct}(\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus G_K^0; \Pi_K \otimes F_{K}^{\vee}) = H^d_{ct}(\mathfrak{g}_K^0 / \mathfrak{c}_K^0; \Pi_K \otimes F_{K}^{\vee}) = \text{Hom}_{K^0}((\Lambda^d \xi_{K}^{\vee} \otimes \Pi_K \otimes F_{K}^{\vee})$$

and

$$H^d_{ct}(\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus H_K^0; \mathbb{C}) = H^d_{ct}(\mathfrak{h}_K^0 / \mathfrak{c}_K^0; \mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}_{C_0}(\Lambda^d \mathfrak{q}_K^0, \mathbb{C}),$$

where $q_K := \mathfrak{h}_K / (\mathfrak{c}_K + \mathbb{R})$ as before. Moreover, the archimedean modular symbol map $\mathcal{P}_{K,j}$, which is defined in (3.11), is identical to the map

(5.6) $$\mathcal{P}_{K,j} : \text{Hom}_{K^0}(\Lambda^d \xi_{K}^{\vee} \otimes \Pi_K \otimes F_{K}^{\vee}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{C_0}(\Lambda^d \mathfrak{q}_K^0, \mathbb{C})$$

which sends an element $\phi$ to the composition of

$$\Lambda^d \mathfrak{q}_K^0 \mapsto \Lambda^d \xi_{K}^{\vee} \mapsto \Pi_K \otimes F_{K}^{\vee}$$

$$= (\Pi_K \otimes \xi_{K,j}) \otimes (F_{K}^{\vee} \otimes \xi_{K,j}^{\vee}) \xrightarrow{Z^0(\cdot, \frac{1}{4} + j, \text{sgn} \lambda_{F_{K,j}})} \mathbb{C}.$$

Now we are going to give a more explicit description of the first space in (5.6). Recall that $\tau_{K} \subset \Pi_{K}$ is the minimal $K_{K}$-type. The space $F_{K}^{d_{K}} \subset F_{K}$ generates an irreducible representation of $K_{K}$, which we denote by $\delta_{K}$. It occurs in $F_{K}$ with multiplicity one. Thus we also have that

$$\delta_{K}^{\vee} \subset F_{K}^{\vee}.$$

Recall from (5.3) that when $K \simeq \mathbb{R}$, $C^n$ is identified with a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_K^0$.

**Lemma 5.2.** If $K \simeq \mathbb{R}$ with $\mathcal{E}_K = \{i\}$, then

(5.7) $$\delta_{K} = \delta_{K}^{+} + \delta_{K}^{-}, \quad \tau_{K,0} = \tau_{K,0}^{+} \oplus \tau_{K,0}^{-} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{K} = \tau_{K}^{+} \oplus \tau_{K}^{-},$$

where $\delta_{K}^{+}$, $\delta_{K}^{-}$, $\tau_{0,K}^{+}$, $\tau_{0,K}^{-}$, $\tau_{K}^{+}$ and $\tau_{K}^{-}$ are the irreducible representations of $K_{K}$ with extremal weights

$$\begin{align*}
\mu_{K}^{+} & := (\nu_1^+ - \nu_2^+ 2n, \nu_2^+ - \nu_3^+ 2n+1, \cdots, \nu_n^+ - \nu_{n+1}^+), \\
\mu_{K}^{-} & := (\nu_1^+ - \nu_2^+ 2n, \nu_2^+ - \nu_3^+ 2n+1, \cdots, \nu_n^+ - \nu_{n+1}^+, -(\nu_n^+ - \nu_{n+1}^+)), \\
(2n, 2n - 2, \cdots, 4, 2), \\
(2n, 2n - 2, \cdots, 4, -2), \\
\mu_{K}^{+} + (2n, 2n - 2, \cdots, 4, 2), \quad \text{and} \\
\mu_{K}^{-} + (2n, 2n - 2, \cdots, 4, -2),
\end{align*}$$
respectively. Moreover, the first sum in \((5.7)\) is a direct sum if and only if \(\nu_n > \nu_{n+1}\).

**Proof.** This is well known and elementary to check. See [Su17, Section 3.2] for example. \(\square\)

When \(K \simeq \mathbb{R}\), let
\[
\delta_K = \delta^+_K + \delta^-_K, \quad \tau_K,0 = \tau^+_K,0 \oplus \tau^-_K,0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_K = \tau^+_K \oplus \tau^-_K,
\]
be as in \((5.7)\). By taking the contragredients of the first equality, we have that
\[
\delta^\vee_K = \delta^{+\vee}_K + \delta^{-\vee}_K \subset F^\vee_K.
\]

**Lemma 5.3.** If \(K \simeq \mathbb{C}\) with \(\mathcal{E}_K = \{\iota, \overline{\iota}\}\), then the representations \(\delta_K\) and \(\tau_K\) have extremal weights
\[
\mu^\iota_K := (\nu^\iota_1 - \nu^\overline{\iota}_2, \nu^\iota_2 - \nu^\overline{\iota}_2, \cdots, \nu^\iota_{2n-2} - \nu^\overline{\iota}_{2n-2}, \nu^\iota_{2n-1} - \nu^\overline{\iota}_{2n-1}, \nu^\iota_{2n} - \nu^\overline{\iota}_{2n})
\]
and
\[
\mu^\iota_K + (2n-1, 2n-3, \cdots, 3-2n, 1-2n)
\]
respectively. Here \(\mathbb{C}^{2n}\) is identified with a Cartan subalgebra of \(\mathfrak{k}^\iota_K\) by \(\gamma'_i\).

**Proof.** This is also well known and elementary to check. \(\square\)

**Lemma 5.4.** If \(K \simeq \mathbb{R}\), then
\[
\dim \text{Hom}_{K^0}(\tau^+_K,0, \delta^+_K \ominus \tau^+_K) = \dim \text{Hom}_{K^0}(\tau^-_K,0, \delta^-_K \ominus \tau^-_K) = 1.
\]
If \(K \simeq \mathbb{C}\), then
\[
\dim \text{Hom}_{K^0}(\tau_K,0, \delta^\vee_K \ominus \tau_K) = 1.
\]

**Proof.** Note that
\[
\text{Hom}_{K^0}(\tau_K,0, \delta^\vee_K \ominus \tau_K) \simeq \text{Hom}_{K^0}(\tau_K,0 \ominus \delta_K, \tau_K).
\]
Hence the lemma in the complex case follows from Lemma 5.3. Similarly, the lemma in the real case follows from Lemma 5.2. \(\square\)

Finally, we have the following description of the cohomology space.

**Proposition 5.5.** If \(K \simeq \mathbb{R}\), then the natural map
\[
(5.8) \quad \text{Hom}_{K^0}(\wedge^d s^\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{k}^K}, (\tau^+_K \oplus \delta^+_K) \ominus (\tau^-_K \oplus \delta^-_K)) \to \text{Hom}_{K^0}(\wedge^d s^\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{k}^K}, \Pi_K \otimes F^\vee_K)
\]
is a linear isomorphism. If \(K \simeq \mathbb{C}\), then the natural map
\[
(5.9) \quad \text{Hom}_{K^0}(\wedge^d s^\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{k}^K}, \tau_K \ominus \delta^\vee_K) \to \text{Hom}_{K^0}(\wedge^d s^\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{k}^K}, \Pi_K \otimes F^\vee_K)
\]
is a linear isomorphism.

**Proof.** Realize the representation \(\Pi_{\mathfrak{k}^K}\) via the cohomological induction as in [Su17, Section 3] (the real case) and [Su17, Section 6.2] (the complex case). By using the explicit calculation of the relative Lie algebra cohomologies of these cohomological induced representations (see [Wa88, Theorem 9.6.6] or [VZ84, Theorem 5.5]), we know that
\[
\dim \text{Hom}_{K^0}(\wedge^d s^\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{k}^K}, \Pi_K \otimes F^\vee_K) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } K \simeq \mathbb{R}; \\ \dim \wedge^d s_{\mathfrak{k}^-} \otimes (\mathfrak{t}'_K \setminus \mathfrak{t}^\circ_K \oplus \mathbb{C}), & \text{if } K \simeq \mathbb{C}. \end{cases}
\]
By Lemmas 5.1 and 5.4 the dimensions of the domains of the maps \((5.8)\) and \((5.9)\) are respectively at least the above numbers. Hence the proposition follows by noting that the maps \((5.8)\) and \((5.9)\) are both injective. \(\square\)
5.3. Proof of Theorem 3.13 in the real case. In this subsection we assume that $\mathbb{K} \simeq \mathbb{R}$.

We have the following period relation for $\lambda_{F_{k,j}}$.

**Lemma 5.6.** The composition functionals

\begin{equation}
\delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{+,\gamma} \overset{v \mapsto v \otimes 1}{\longrightarrow} \delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{-,\gamma} \otimes \xi_{k,j}^{\vee} \subset F_{\mathbb{K}}^{\gamma} \otimes \xi_{k,j}^{\vee} \overset{(-1)^{j} \cdot i^{-jn} \cdot \lambda_{F_{k,j}}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{C}
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
\delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{-,\gamma} \overset{v \mapsto v \otimes 1}{\longrightarrow} \delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{+,\gamma} \otimes \xi_{k,j}^{\vee} \subset F_{\mathbb{K}}^{\gamma} \otimes \xi_{k,j}^{\vee} \overset{(-1)^{1-jn} \cdot \lambda_{F_{k,j}}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{C}
\end{equation}

are independent of the critical place $\frac{1}{2} + j$ of $\Pi_{\mathbb{K}}$.

**Proof.** Recall that $\text{Ad}_{J_{-1}}(v_{\mathbb{K}}') = v_{\mathbb{K}}'$ and the Borel subalgebra $\text{Ad}_{J_{-1}}(b_{\mathbb{K}})$ is opposite to $b_{\mathbb{K}}$. Note that the representation $\delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{+,\gamma} \subset F_{\mathbb{K}}$ of $\mathbb{K}^{0}$ is generated by $F_{\mathbb{K}}^{\gamma} \subset F_{\mathbb{K}}$. Hence its contragredient representation $\delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{+,\gamma} \subset F_{\mathbb{K}}^{\gamma}$ is generated by

\[ (F_{\mathbb{K}}^{\gamma})^{\text{Ad}_{J_{-1}}(u_{\mathbb{K}}')} = (F_{\mathbb{K}}^{\gamma})^{\text{Ad}_{J_{-1}}(u_{\mathbb{K}}')} = \mathbb{C} \cdot (J_{-1}, v_{F_{\mathbb{K}}}). \]

Consequently,

\begin{equation}
(\delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{+,\gamma})^{(\text{Ad}_{J_{-1}}(u_{\mathbb{K}}')) \cap c_{\mathbb{K}}^{c}} = \mathbb{C} \cdot (J_{-1}, v_{F_{\mathbb{K}}}).
\end{equation}

The linear functional (5.10) sends $J_{-1}, v_{F_{\mathbb{K}}}$ to

\[ i^{-jn} \cdot \lambda_{F_{k,j}}(J_{-1}, v_{F_{\mathbb{K}}} \otimes 1) = i^{-jn} \cdot \xi_{k,j}^{-1}(J_{-1}) \cdot \lambda_{F_{k,j}}(v_{F_{\mathbb{K}}} \otimes 1) = 1. \]

This implies that (5.10) is independent of the critical place $\frac{1}{2} + j$ of $\Pi_{\mathbb{K}}$, since

\[ (\text{Ad}_{J_{-1}}(u_{\mathbb{K}}')) \cap c_{\mathbb{K}}^{c} + c_{\mathbb{K}}^{c} = c_{\mathbb{K}}^{c} \quad \text{(this is implied by (3.14))}, \]

and the linear functional (5.10) is $C^{0}_{\mathbb{K}}$-invariant (see the proof of [Su19, Lemma A.6]).

Put

\[ J^{-} := \begin{bmatrix} 1_{n-1}^{-} & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{n} \end{bmatrix} \in H_{\mathbb{C}}, \]

where $1_{n}^{-} := \begin{bmatrix} 1_{n-1}^{-} & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{n} \end{bmatrix}$. Note that (5.12) implies that

\[ (\delta_{\mathbb{K}}^{+,\gamma})^{(\text{Ad}_{J_{-1}} \circ \text{Ad}_{J_{-1}})(u_{\mathbb{K}}')) \cap c_{\mathbb{K}}^{c} \cap c_{\mathbb{K}}^{c} = \mathbb{C} \cdot ((J^{-} \cdot J_{-1}), v_{F_{\mathbb{K}}}). \]

The linear functional (5.11) sends $(J^{-} \cdot J_{-1}), v_{F_{\mathbb{K}}}$ to

\[ (-1)^{j} \cdot i^{-jn} \cdot \lambda_{F_{k,j}}((J^{-} \cdot J_{-1}), v_{F_{\mathbb{K}}} \otimes 1) = (-1)^{j} \cdot i^{-jn} \cdot \xi_{k,j}^{-1}(J^{-} \cdot J_{-1}) \cdot \lambda_{F_{k,j}}(v_{F_{\mathbb{K}}} \otimes 1) = 1. \]

As before, this implies that the linear functional (5.11) is independent of the critical place $\frac{1}{2} + j$. □

We have a decomposition

\[ \Pi_{\mathbb{K}} = \Pi_{\mathbb{K}}^{+} \oplus \Pi_{\mathbb{K}}^{-}, \]

where $\Pi_{\mathbb{K}}^{\pm}$ is the irreducible $G_{\mathbb{K}}^{0}$-subrepresentation of $\Pi_{\mathbb{K}}$ containing $\tau_{\mathbb{K}}^{\pm}$. By uniqueness of Shalika functionals, we have that

\[ \dim \text{Hom}_{S_{\mathbb{K}}}((\Pi_{\mathbb{K}}^{+}, \eta_{\mathbb{K}} \otimes \psi_{\mathbb{K}})) + \dim \text{Hom}_{S_{\mathbb{K}}}((\Pi_{\mathbb{K}}^{-}, \eta_{\mathbb{K}} \otimes \psi_{\mathbb{K}})) = \dim \text{Hom}_{S_{\mathbb{K}}}((\Pi_{\mathbb{K}}, \eta_{\mathbb{K}} \otimes \psi_{\mathbb{K}})) = 1. \]

Hence

either $\lambda_{\mathbb{K}}|_{\Pi_{\mathbb{K}}^{+}} = 0$ or $\lambda_{\mathbb{K}}|_{\Pi_{\mathbb{K}}^{-}} = 0$. 
Define
\[
\epsilon_K := \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } \lambda_K|_{\Pi_K^+} \neq 0; \\
-1, & \text{if } \lambda_K|_{\Pi_K^-} \neq 0.
\end{cases}
\]

We remark that \(\epsilon_K\) depends not only on the representation \(\Pi_K\), but also on the additive character \(\psi_K\).

**Lemma 5.7.** If \(\epsilon_K = 1\), then for all \(s \in \mathbb{C}\),
\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ (Z^\circ(\cdot, s, \text{sgn}_{\Pi_K}))|_{\Pi_K^+} = (Z^\circ(\cdot, s, \text{sgn}_{\Pi_K}))|_{\Pi_K^+}, \\
(Z^\circ(\cdot, s, \text{sgn}_{\Pi_K}))|_{\Pi_K^-} = -(Z^\circ(\cdot, s, \text{sgn}_{\Pi_K}))|_{\Pi_K^-}.
\end{align*}
\]
If \(\epsilon_K = -1\), then for all \(s \in \mathbb{C}\),
\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ (Z^\circ(\cdot, s, \text{sgn}_{\Pi_K}))|_{\Pi_K^+} = -(Z^\circ(\cdot, s, \text{sgn}_{\Pi_K}))|_{\Pi_K^+}, \\
(Z^\circ(\cdot, s, \text{sgn}_{\Pi_K}))|_{\Pi_K^-} = (Z^\circ(\cdot, s, \text{sgn}_{\Pi_K}))|_{\Pi_K^-}.
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** Suppose that \(\epsilon_K = 1\) and \(v \in \Pi_K^+\). Then
\[
\left\langle \lambda_K, \begin{bmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \cdot v = 0 \quad \text{for all } g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{K}) \setminus \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})^0.
\]
Hence for all \(i \in \mathbb{Z}\), and all \(s \in \mathbb{C}\) with sufficiently large real part, we have that
\[
Z^\circ(v, s, \text{sgn}_{\Pi_K}^i) = 
\frac{1}{L(s, \Pi_K \otimes \text{sgn}_{\Pi_K}^i)} \int_{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})} \left\langle \lambda_K, \begin{bmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \cdot |\det g|_K^{\epsilon_K^{-\frac{i}{2}}} \cdot \text{sgn}_{\Pi_K}^i(\det g) \, dg
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{L(s, \Pi_K)} \int_{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})^0} \left\langle \lambda_K, \begin{bmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \cdot |\det g|_K^{\epsilon_K^{-\frac{i}{2}}} \, dg.
\]
This proves the first of the four equalities of the lemma. The other three equalities are similarly proved.

**Lemma 5.8.** The functional \((Z^\circ(\cdot, s, \text{sgn}_{\Pi_K}^0))|_{\tau_K}\) is independent of \(s \in \mathbb{C}\).

**Proof.** Applying the Cartan-Helgason theorem (see [He00, Chapter V, Theorem 4.1]), we know that
\[
\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\tau_K \otimes \xi_{K,0}, \mathbb{C}) = 1.
\]
Hence the lemma easily follows from Theorem 5.10

**Lemma 5.9.** If \(\epsilon_K = 1\), then the composition functionals
\[
(5.13) \quad \tau_K^+ \xrightarrow{v \mapsto v^\otimes 1} \tau_K^+ \otimes \xi_{K,j} \subset \Pi_K \otimes \xi_{K,j} \xrightarrow{Z^\circ(\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + j, \text{sgn}_{\Pi_K}^i)} \mathbb{C}
\]
and
\[
(5.14) \quad \tau_K^- \xrightarrow{v \mapsto v^\otimes 1} \tau_K^- \otimes \xi_{K,j} \subset \Pi_K \otimes \xi_{K,j} \xrightarrow{(-1)^j \cdot Z^\circ(\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + j, \text{sgn}_{\Pi_K}^i)} \mathbb{C}
\]
are independent of the critical place \(\frac{1}{2} + j\) of \(\Pi_K\). If \(\epsilon_K = -1\), then the composition functionals
\[
(5.15) \quad \tau_K^+ \xrightarrow{v \mapsto v^\otimes 1} \tau_K^+ \otimes \xi_{K,j} \subset \Pi_K \otimes \xi_{K,j} \xrightarrow{(-1)^j \cdot Z^\circ(\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + j, \text{sgn}_{\Pi_K}^i)} \mathbb{C}
\]
are independent of the critical place $\frac{1}{2} + j$ of $\Pi_K$.

**Proof.** This directly follows from Lemmas 5.7 and 5.8.

Combining Lemma 5.8 and Lemma 5.9 we conclude that the composition functional

\[(\tau^+_K \otimes \delta^+_K) \oplus (\tau^-_K \otimes \delta^-_K) \subset \Pi_K \otimes \xi_{K,j} \xrightarrow{Z^o(\cdot, j + j, \text{sgn}_K^j)} \mathbb{C}
\]

is independent of the critical place $\frac{1}{2} + j$. Therefore the first assertion of Proposition 5.5 together with the formulation of the archimedean modular symbol map $\mathcal{P}_{K,j}$ in (5.6), implies that \(e^-_K \cdot i^{-jn} \cdot \mathcal{P}_{K,j}\) is independent of the critical place $\frac{1}{2} + j$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.13 in the real case.

5.4. **Proof of Theorem 3.13 in the complex case.** In this subsection we assume that $\mathbb{K} \simeq \mathbb{C}$. Similar to Lemma 5.6 we have the following result.

**Lemma 5.10.** The composition functional

\[(5.17) \quad \delta^o_K \xrightarrow{\nu \mapsto \nu \otimes 1} \delta^o_K \otimes \xi^o_{K,j} \subset F^o_K \otimes \xi^o_{K,j} \xrightarrow{i^{-2jn} \cdot \lambda_{F_K,j}} \mathbb{C}
\]

is independent of the critical place $\frac{1}{2} + j$ of $\Pi_K$.

**Proof.** Recall that $\text{Ad}_{J^{-1},K}(t^o_j) = t^o_j$ and the Borel subalgebra $\text{Ad}_{J^{-1},K}(b^o_j)$ is opposite to $b^o_j$. As in the proof of Lemma 5.6 we know that

\[(\delta^o_K)^{(\text{Ad}_{J^{-1},K}(u^o_j)) \cdot t^o_j} = \mathbb{C} \cdot (J^{-1},K, v^o_{F_K} \otimes 1).
\]

The linear functional (5.17) belongs to $\text{Hom}_{C_K}(\delta^o_K, \xi_{K,j})$ and sends $J_{-1,K}, v^o_{F_K}$ to

\[i^{-2jn} \cdot \lambda_{F_K,j}(J_{-1,K}, v^o_{F_K} \otimes 1) = i^{-2jn} \cdot (J_{-1,K}, v^o_{F_K} \otimes 1) = 1.
\]

This implies that (5.17) is independent of the critical place $\frac{1}{2} + j$ of $\Pi_K$, because the character $\xi_{K,j}|_{C_K}$ is independent of $j$ and $(\text{Ad}_{J^{-1},K}(u^o_j)) \cap t^o_K + \xi^o_K = t^o_K$.

Note that since $\mathbb{K} \simeq \mathbb{C}$, $\text{sgn}_K$ is the trivial character of $\mathbb{K}^\times$.

**Lemma 5.11.** The composition functionals

\[(5.18) \quad \tau_K \xrightarrow{\nu \mapsto \nu \otimes 1} \tau_K \otimes \xi_{K,j} \subset \Pi_K \otimes \xi_{K,j} \xrightarrow{Z^o(\cdot, j + j, \text{sgn}_K^j)} \mathbb{C}
\]

is independent of the critical place $\frac{1}{2} + j$ of $\Pi_K$.

**Proof.** As an instance of H. Schlichtkrull’s generalization of Cartan-Helgason Theorem ([Sc84, Theorem 7.2], see also [Kn01, Theorem 2.1]), we know that

\[(5.19) \quad \dim \text{Hom}_{C_K}(\tau_K \otimes \xi_{K,0}, \mathbb{C}) = 1.
\]

Then it follows from Theorem 3.10 that the functional

\[(Z^o(\cdot, s, \text{sgn}_K)|_{\tau_K})
\]
is independent of $s \in \mathbb{C}$. This implies the lemma.

Combining Lemma 5.10 and Lemma 5.11, we conclude that the composition functional

\[
\tau_K \otimes \delta_K^\lor \subset \Pi_K \otimes F_K^\lor = (\Pi_K \otimes \xi_{K,j}) \otimes (F_K^\lor \otimes \xi_{K,j})
\]

\[
\overset{i^{-2jn} \cdot 2^q(\frac{1}{2} + j, \text{sgn}_{K,j}) \otimes \lambda_{F_K,j}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{C}
\]

is independent of the critical place $\frac{1}{2} + j$. Similar to the real case, this implies Theorem 3.13 in the complex case. Note that here we take $\epsilon_K = 1$.

5.5. **Proof of Theorem 3.12 in the complex case.** In the real case, Theorem 3.12 has already been proved in [Su19, Theorem 5.5]. So we will only deal with the complex case. In this subsection, we continue to assume that $K \simeq \mathbb{C}$.

Recall that $\tau_{K,0}$ is the irreducible representation of $K$ with extremal weight

\[
(2n - 1, 2n - 3, \cdots, 3 - 2n, 1 - 2n).
\]

The Cartan-Helgason theorem (see [He00, Chapter V, Theorem 4.1]) implies that

\[
(5.20) \ \dim(\tau_{K,0})^C_K = 1.
\]

**Lemma 5.12.** There exists $\phi_0 \in \text{Hom}_{K_K}(\land^{dk} s^C_K, \tau_{K,0})$ such that the composition of

\[
\land^{dk} q^C_K \hookrightarrow \land^{dk} s^C_K \overset{\phi_0}{\longrightarrow} \tau_{K,0}
\]

is nonzero. Moreover, the image of this composition map equals $(\tau_{K,0})^C_K$.

**Proof.** The proof is a modification of that of [Su17, Lemma 2.10]. Fix a $K_K$-invariant positive definite Hermitian form $\langle , \rangle$ on $s^C_K$. This induces a $K_K$-invariant positive definite Hermitian form $\langle , \rangle^\land$ on $\land^{dk} s^C_K$.

For every subspace $m$ of $g^C_K$, we define

\[
m_n := m/(m \cap (t^C_K \oplus \mathbb{C})) \subset s^C_K.
\]

In particular, $(h^C_K)_n = q^C_K$. Write

\[
(t'_K)_n = a \oplus a',
\]

where $a := (t'_K)_n \cap (h^C_K)_n$, and $a'$ is its orthogonal complement in $(t'_K)_n$. Then we have an orthogonal decomposition

\[
(5.21) \ s^C_K = (a \oplus (u'_K)_n) \oplus (a' \oplus (\text{Ad}_{J_{-1,K}}(u'_K)))_n.
\]

The second equality in (3.14) implies that

\[
\text{Ad}_{J_{-1,K}}(b'_K) \cap h_K \subset t'_K.
\]

This further implies that

\[
(5.22) \ q^C_K \cap (a' \oplus (\text{Ad}_{J_{-1,K}}(u'_K)))_n = \{0\}.
\]

Note that

\[
(5.23) \ \dim q^C_K = \dim (a \oplus (u'_K)_n).
\]

Using (5.22), (5.23) and the orthogonal decomposition (5.21), we conclude that the paring

\[
\langle , \rangle : q^C_K \times (a \oplus (u'_K)_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}
\]
is non-degenerate. Therefore the one-dimensional subspaces \( \wedge^d_K q^C_K \) and \( \wedge^d_K (a \oplus (u'_K)_n) \) of \( \wedge^d_K s^C_K \) are not orthogonal to each other. The space \( \wedge^d_K (a \oplus (u'_K)_n) \) generates an irreducible \( K \)-subrepresentation of \( \wedge^d_K s^C_K \), which is isomorphic to \( \tau_{K,0} \). We write \( \tau'_{K,0} \) for this representation. Let \( \phi^0 : s^C_K \to \tau'_{K,0} \) denote the orthogonal projection. Then the composition of

\[
\wedge^d_K q^C_K \hookrightarrow \wedge^d_K s^C_K \xrightarrow{\phi^0} \tau'_{K,0}
\]

is nonzero. This proves the first assertion of the lemma. The second assertion then follows by (5.20) and by considering the \( C_K \)-actions.

\[\square\]

**Lemma 5.13.** The composition of

\[ (\tau_{K,0})^C_K \hookrightarrow \tau_{K,0} \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \tau_K \otimes \delta^\vee_K = (\tau_K \otimes \xi_{K,j}) \otimes (\delta^\vee_K \otimes \xi^\vee_{K,j}) \xrightarrow{Z^0(\cdot, 1/2 + j, sgn^j_{K}) \otimes \lambda_{F_K,j}} C \]

is a linear isomorphism, where \( \phi_1 \) is a nonzero element of \( \text{Hom}_{K}(\tau_{K,0}, \tau_K \otimes \delta^\vee_K) \).

**Proof.** Recall that the space \((\tau_{K,0})^C_K\) is one dimensional. Fix a generator \( v_{K,0} \) of this space. We only need to show that the composition of (5.24) does not vanish on \( v_{K,0} \).

It is easy to see that this composition map equals the composition of

\[ (\tau_{K,0})^C_K \hookrightarrow \tau_{K,0} \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \tau_K \otimes \delta^\vee_K \xrightarrow{\tau_K \otimes \xi_{K,j}} \tau_K \otimes \xi_{K,j} \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \tau_K \otimes \xi_{K,j} \xrightarrow{Z^0(\cdot, 1/2 + j, sgn^j_{K})} C. \]

Here \( \lambda_{F_K,j} \) is viewed as an element of \( \delta^\vee_K \otimes \xi_{K,j} \) via the identification

\[ \text{Hom}_C(\delta^\vee_K \otimes \xi^\vee_{K,j}, C) = \delta^\vee_K \otimes \xi_{K,j}, \]

and similarly, \( \phi_1 \) is viewed as an element of \( \text{Hom}_{K}(\tau_{K,0} \otimes \delta_K, \tau_K) \) via the identification

\[ \text{Hom}_{K}(\tau_{K,0} \otimes \delta_K, \tau_K) = \text{Hom}_{K}(\tau_{K,0}, \tau_K \otimes \delta^\vee_K). \]

Using the argument in the proof of Lemma 5.10, we know that the functional

\[ \lambda_{F_K,j} : \delta^\vee_K \otimes \xi^\vee_{K,j} \to C \]

is nonzero. Hence \( \lambda_{F_K,j} \) is also nonzero as an element of \( \delta^\vee_K \otimes \xi_{K,j} \). Note that the map

\[ \phi_1 : \tau_{K,0} \otimes \delta_K \to \tau_K \]

sends nonzero decomposable vectors to nonzero vectors (see [Ya10, Section 2.1] and [Su19, Lemma A.5]). Consequently,

\[ (\phi_1 \otimes 1)(v_{K,0} \otimes \lambda_{F_K,j}) \neq 0. \]

Therefore, the composition of the first three arrows in (5.25) sends \( v_{K,0} \) to a nonzero element of \((\tau_{K,j} \otimes \xi_{K,j})^C_K\).

By (5.19) and Theorem 3.10 we know that the functional

\[ Z^0(\cdot, 1/2 + j, sgn^j_{K}) : \tau_K \otimes \xi_{K,j} \to C \]

is nonzero. By the \( C_K \)-invariant of this functional, it is injective when restricted to the one dimensional space \((\tau_{K,j} \otimes \xi_{K,j})^C_K\). Therefore the composition of (5.25) sends \( v_{K,0} \) to a nonzero number. This finishes the proof of the lemma.

\[\square\]
Finally, we are ready to prove Theorem 3.12 in the complex case. Let $\phi_0$ be as in Lemma 5.12, and let $\phi_1$ be as in Lemma 5.13. Then the composition of

$$\wedge^d \mathfrak{g}_K^C \xrightarrow{\phi_0} \tau_{\mathfrak{g}_K^C} \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \tau_{\mathfrak{g}_K^C} \otimes \delta_{\mathfrak{g}_K^C} = (\tau_{\mathfrak{g}_K^C} \otimes \xi_{K,j}) \otimes (\delta_{\mathfrak{g}_K^C} \otimes \xi_{K,j}) \xrightarrow{Z^2(\cdot, \frac{1}{2} + j, \text{sgn}_j) \otimes \lambda_{F_{K,j}}} \mathbb{C}$$

is nonzero. In other words, $P_{K,j}$ sends $\phi_1 \circ \phi_0 \in \text{Hom}_{K}(\wedge^d \mathfrak{g}_K^C, \tau_{\mathfrak{g}_K^C} \otimes \delta_{\mathfrak{g}_K^C}) = H_{ct}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times \backslash G_{K}^0; \Pi_{K} \otimes F_{K}^\vee)$ to a nonzero element of $\text{Hom}_{C_{K}}(\wedge^d \mathfrak{g}_K^C, \mathbb{C}) = H_{ct}(\mathbb{R}_+^\times \backslash H_{K}^0; \mathbb{C})$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.12 in the complex case.

6. Proof of Theorem 3.10

We continue to assume that $K$ is archimedean. Recall that $\psi_K : K \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a non-trivial unitary character, and $\chi_K : K^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a character. In this section, we are going to prove Theorem 3.10 by proving the coincidence of the twisted linear functionals defined via the archimedean Friedberg-Jacquet integrals and those constructed in [JLT] and [LT]. These constructions will be briefly recalled in Section 6.1 and then Theorem 3.10 follows from Proposition 6.3 and Lemma 6.4.

Let $\kappa : K^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a character. Let $\sigma_i (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ be a generic irreducible Casselman-Wallach representation of $GL_2(K)$ of central character $\kappa$. We further assume that the induced representation

$$\Xi := \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2 \times \cdots \times \sigma_n$$

of $G_K := GL_{2n}(K)$ is irreducible.

6.1. Friedberg-Jacquet integrals and twisted linear functionals. By the uniqueness of local Whittaker functionals, one must have that

$$\dim \text{Hom}_K(\sigma_i, \psi_K) = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$ 

Here $K$ is identified with a subgroup of $GL_2(K)$ via the embedding $x \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Fix a nonzero element

$$\lambda_i \in \text{Hom}_K(\sigma_i, \psi_K).$$

This is a Whittaker functional, which is also a $(\kappa, \psi_K)$-Shalika functional for $GL_2(K)$.

Lemma 6.1. The space of $(\kappa, \psi_K)$-Shalika functionals on $\Xi$ is one dimensional, that is, 

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{S_{K}}(\Xi, \kappa \otimes \psi_K) = 1.$$ 

Proof. In view of the uniqueness of Shalika functionals (Lemma 2.8), this is precisely [JLT] Theorem 2.1. \[ \square \]

We fix a nonzero $(\kappa, \psi_K)$-Shalika functional

$$\lambda_{\Xi} \in \text{Hom}_{S_{K}}(\Xi, \kappa \otimes \psi_K).$$ 

For each $t \in \mathbb{C}$, we define, as in (2.5), a character of $H_K$:

$$\xi_{\kappa, \chi_K, t} := \xi_{n, \kappa, \chi_K, t} := (\chi_K \circ \text{det}) \cdot |\text{det}|_{K}^t \otimes ((\chi_K^{-1} \circ \text{det}) \cdot |\text{det}|_{K}^{-t}).$$
Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume in the rest of this paper that \( s \in \mathbb{C} \) has sufficiently large real part. Using \( \lambda_{\Xi} \), the local Friedberg-Jacquet integral defined in \((2.6)\) yields a nonzero element
\[
(6.3) 
Z(\cdot, s, \chi_{\mathbb{K}}) = Z_{\Xi}(\cdot, s, \chi_{\mathbb{K}}) \in \text{Hom}_{H_{\mathbb{K}}}(\Xi, \xi^{-1}_{s,\chi_{\mathbb{K}},s-\frac{1}{2}}).
\]

Using \( \lambda_{i} \) and specializing the linear functional \((6.3)\) to the case of \( n = 1 \), we get the archimedean Hecke integral
\[
(6.4) 
Z_{i}(\cdot, s, \chi_{\mathbb{K}}) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K} \times \sigma_{i},\xi^{-1}}(\xi_{s,\chi_{\mathbb{K}},s-\frac{1}{2}}).
\]

We are going to recall the construction of the linear functionals from \([JLT, \text{Section 3.2}]\) and \([LT, \text{Section 2.2}]\). Take a permutation matrix \( P \) and specializing the linear functional \((6.3)\) to the case of \( n = 1 \), we get the unipotent lower triangular matrices. It follows from \((6.5)\) that for all \( \sigma_{i} \),
\[
(6.5) 
\langle \Lambda'_{s,\chi_{\mathbb{K}},\Xi}, (b_{1}, b_{2}) \rangle = \delta_{B_{n}}(b_{1}) \cdot \delta_{B_{n}}(b_{2}) \cdot \xi^{-1}_{s,\chi_{\mathbb{K}},s-\frac{1}{2}}(b_{1}, b_{2}) \cdot \langle \Lambda'_{s,\chi_{\mathbb{K}},\Xi}, f \rangle,
\]
where \( \delta_{B_{n}} \) denotes the modulus character of \( B_{n} \) that is explicitly given by
\[
(a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^{n} |a_{i,j}|_{\mathbb{K}}^{n+1-2i}.
\]

Recall the following general result.

**Lemma 6.2.** Let \( H_{0} \) be a real reductive group with a maximal compact subgroup \( K_{0} \). Let \( P_{0} \) and \( P_{0}^{-} \) be two parabolic subgroups of \( H_{0} \) which are opposite to each other. Write \( \delta_{P_{0}} \) for the modulus character of \( P_{0} \), and write \( U_{0}^{-} \) for the unipotent radical of \( P_{0}^{-} \). Then for every \( f \in \text{Ind}_{P_{0}}^{H_{0}} \delta_{P_{0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \), the integral \( \int_{U_{0}^{-}} f(x) \, dx \) is absolutely convergent and equals \( \int_{K_{0}} f(k) \, dk \), where \( dk \) is the normalized Haar measure on \( K_{0} \), and \( dx \) is a Haar measure on \( U_{0}^{-} \) which is independent of \( f \). Moreover,
\[
\text{Ind}_{P_{0}}^{H_{0}} \delta_{P_{0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad f \mapsto \int_{U_{0}^{-}} f(x) \, dx
\]
is a nonzero \( H_{0} \)-invariant continuous linear functional.

**Proof.** This is well known and easily follows by identifying \( \text{Ind}_{P_{0}}^{H_{0}} \delta_{P_{0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \) with the space of smooth densities on \( P_{0}/H_{0} \).

For each positive integer \( r \), write \( N_{r}^{-} := N_{r}^{-}(\mathbb{K}) \subset \text{GL}_{r}(\mathbb{K}) \) for the subgroup of all the unipotent lower triangular matrices. It follows from \((6.5)\) that for all \( f \in \Xi \),
\[
(h \mapsto \xi_{s,\chi_{\mathbb{K}},s-\frac{1}{2}}(h) \cdot \langle \Lambda'_{s,\chi_{\mathbb{K}},\Xi}, h.f \rangle) \in \text{Ind}_{B_{n} \times B_{n}}^{\mathbb{K}} \left( \delta_{B_{n}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \delta_{B_{n}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).
\]
Also note that the character $\xi_{\kappa, \chi_K, s - \frac{1}{2}}$ has trivial restriction to $N_n^- \times N_n^-$. In view of Lemma 6.2, we now define
\begin{equation}
\langle \Lambda_{s, \chi_K, \Xi}, f \rangle := \int_{N_n^- \times N_n^-} \langle \Lambda'_{s, \chi_K, \Xi}, a, f \rangle \, da,
\end{equation}
where $da$ is a Haar measure on $N_n^- \times N_n^-$. Then
\begin{equation}
\Lambda_{s, \chi_K, \Xi} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}_K}(\Xi, \xi_{\kappa, \chi_K, s - \frac{1}{2}}^{-1}).
\end{equation}

**Proposition 6.3.** Let the notation and the assumptions be as above. Then there is a constant $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that
\begin{equation}
Z_{\Xi}(\cdot, s, \chi_K) = c_0 \cdot \Lambda_{s, \chi_K, \Xi}
\end{equation}
for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with sufficiently large real part.

The proof of Proposition 6.3 will be given in Sections 6.2–6.4.

**Lemma 6.4.** Assume that the $\sigma_i$'s are as in Corollary 2.18 so that $\kappa = \eta_K$ and $\Xi \simeq \Pi_K$ is an irreducible essentially tempered cohomological representation of $G_K$ of symplectic type. Let $\tau \subset \Xi$ denote the minimal $K$-type. If
\begin{equation}
\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}(\tau \otimes \xi_{\kappa, \chi_K, 0}, \mathbb{C}) \neq \{0\},
\end{equation}
then there exists a vector $v_0 \in \tau$ such that
\begin{equation}
\langle \Lambda_{s, \chi_K, \Pi_K}, v_0 \rangle = L(s, \Pi_K \otimes \chi_K)
\end{equation}
for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with sufficiently large real part.

**Proof.** This is proved in [JLT, Theorem 5.1] for the real case and [LT, Theorem 4.3] for the complex case. \qed

Theorem 3.10 then directly follows from Proposition 6.3 and Lemma 6.4.

### 6.2. Twisted linear functionals and parabolic induction.

Proposition 6.3 is obvious when $n = 1$. In the rest of this section, we assume that $n \geq 2$. Let $n_1, n_2$ be two positive integers such that $n_1 + n_2 = n$. Let $\Xi_1$ and $\Xi_2$ be respectively irreducible Casselman-Wallach representation of $\text{GL}_{2n_1}(\mathbb{K})$ and $\text{GL}_{2n_2}(\mathbb{K})$, with the same central character $\kappa$. Then we have the normalized parabolically induced representation $\dot{\Xi}_1 \times \dot{\Xi}_2$ of $G_K$. Given linear functionals
\begin{equation}
\Lambda_i \in \text{Hom}_{\text{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbb{K}) \times \text{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbb{K})}(\Xi_i, \xi_{\kappa, \chi_K, s - \frac{1}{2}}^{-1}), \quad i = 1, 2,
\end{equation}
we will define a linear functional
\begin{equation}
\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{H}_K}(\dot{\Xi}_1 \times \dot{\Xi}_2, \xi_{\kappa, \chi_K, s - \frac{1}{2}}^{-1})
\end{equation}
in what follows.

Recall that $P_{n_1, n_2}$ denote the standard parabolic subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$ associated to the partition $n = n_1 + n_2$. Its unipotent radical is denoted by $N_{n_1, n_2}$, and the unipotent radical of the usual opposite parabolic subgroup is denoted by $N_{n_1, n_2}^-$. Define a permutation matrix
\begin{equation}
w_{n_1, n_2} := \begin{bmatrix}
1_{n_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1_{n_2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1_{n_2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1_{n_1}
\end{bmatrix} \in G_K.
\end{equation}

Define a continuous linear functional
\begin{equation}
\Lambda' : \dot{\Xi}_1 \times \dot{\Xi}_2 \to \mathbb{C}, \quad f \mapsto \langle \Lambda_1 \otimes \Lambda_2, f(w_{n_1, n_2}) \rangle.
\end{equation}
It is routine to check, using a similar computation as in [JLT, Subsection 3.2], that for all \( p_1, p_2 \in P_{n_1,n_2} \), and all \( f \in \Xi_0 \),

\[
\langle \Lambda', \begin{bmatrix} p_1 & 0 \\ 0 & p_2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot f \rangle = \delta_{p_{n_1,n_2}}(p_1) \cdot \delta_{p_{n_1,n_2}}(p_2) \cdot \xi_{n,\chi,K,s-\frac{1}{2}}^{-1}(p_1,p_2) \cdot \langle \Lambda', f \rangle,
\]

where \( \delta_{p_{n_1,n_2}} \) is the modulus character of \( P_{n_1,n_2} \) that is explicitly given by

\[
\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \mapsto |\det(a)|_K^{n_2} \cdot |\det(c)|_{K_1}^{-n_1}, \quad a \in \text{GL}_{n_1}(K), \ c \in \text{GL}_{n_2}(K), \ b \in \text{Mat}_{n_1 \times n_2}(K).
\]

Here and as usual, \( \text{Mat}_{n_1 \times n_2} \) indicates the space of \( n_1 \times n_2 \) matrices.

Similar to (6.6), we define

\[
(\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2)(f) := \int_{N_{n_1,n_2} \times N_{n_1,n_2}} \Lambda'(a,f) \, da.
\]

Then

\[
(\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2) \in \text{Hom}_K(\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2, \kappa_{\chi,K,s-\frac{1}{2}}^{-1}).
\]

**Proposition 6.5.** Suppose that \( \Xi_1 = \sigma_1 \times_2 \cdots \times_1 \sigma_{n_1} \) and \( \Xi_2 = \sigma_{n_1+1} \times_2 \sigma_{n_1+2} \cdots \times_2 \sigma_{n_2} \). Then there is a positive real number \( c_1 \) such that for all \( s \in \mathbb{C} \) with sufficiently large real part, the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 & \xrightarrow{f} & \Xi \\
\Lambda_{s,\chi,K,\xi_1} \times \Lambda_{s,\chi,K,\xi_2} & \downarrow & \downarrow \Lambda_{s,\chi,K,\xi} \\
\xi_{n_1,n_2} & \xrightarrow{\text{multiplication by } c_1} & \xi_{n_1,n_2}
\end{array}
\]

commutes, where the top horizontal arrow is the \( G_K \)-intertwining topological linear isomorphism given by

\[
\tilde{f}(g) := (f(g))(1_{2n_1}, 1_{2n_2}) \in \sigma_1 \otimes_2 \cdots \otimes_2 \sigma_{n_2}, \quad g \in G_K.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( f \in \Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 \). Then

\[
(\Lambda_{s,\chi,K,\xi_1} \times \Lambda_{s,\chi,K,\xi_2})(f)
\]

\[
= \int_{N_{n_1,n_2} \times N_{n_1,n_2}} \langle \Lambda_{s,\chi,K,\xi_1} \otimes \Lambda_{s,\chi,K,\xi_2}, f(w_{n_1,n_2}a) \rangle \, da
\]

\[
= \int_{N_{n_1,n_2} \times N_{n_1,n_2}} \int_{N_{n_1,n_2} \times N_{n_1,n_2}} \int_{N_{n_1,n_2} \times N_{n_1,n_2}} \langle \Lambda_{s,\chi,K,\xi_1} \otimes \Lambda_{s,\chi,K,\xi_2}, \begin{bmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \cdot (f(w_{n_1,n_2}a)) \rangle \, db \, dc \, da
\]

\[
= \int_{N_{n_1,n_2} \times N_{n_1,n_2}} \int_{N_{n_1,n_2} \times N_{n_1,n_2}} \int_{N_{n_1,n_2} \times N_{n_1,n_2}} \left\langle \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n_1} Z_i(\cdot, s, \chi_K), (f(w_{n_1,n_2}a)) \begin{bmatrix} w_{n_1}b & 0 \\ 0 & w_{n_1}c \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \, db \, dc \, da
\]

\[
= \int_{N_{n_1,n_2} \times N_{n_1,n_2}} \int_{N_{n_1,n_2} \times N_{n_1,n_2}} \int_{N_{n_1,n_2} \times N_{n_1,n_2}} \left\langle \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n_1} Z_i(\cdot, s, \chi_K), \tilde{f} \begin{bmatrix} w_{n_1}b & 0 \\ 0 & w_{n_1}c \end{bmatrix} w_{n_1,n_2}a \right\rangle \, db \, dc \, da
\]

\[
= \int_{N_{n_1,n_2} \times N_{n_1,n_2}} \int_{N_{n_1,n_2} \times N_{n_1,n_2}} \int_{N_{n_1,n_2} \times N_{n_1,n_2}} \left\langle \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n_1} Z_i(\cdot, s, \chi_K), \tilde{f} \begin{bmatrix} w_{n_1}w_{n_1,n_2}^{-1}b & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} w_{n_1,n_2}a \right\rangle \, db \, dc \, da.
\]
It is easily verified that the map

\[(N_{n_1,n_2}^- \times N_{n_1,n_2}^-) \times (N_{n_2}^- \times N_{n_2}^-) \times (N_{n_1}^- \times N_{n_1}^-) \rightarrow N_n^- \times N_n^-,
\]

\[(a, c, b) \mapsto w_{n_1,n_2}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} w_{n_1,n_2}a\]

is a well-defined diffeomorphism and preserves the Haar measures. Therefore, there is a positive number \(c_1\), which only depends on the choices of the Haar measures, such that

\[c_1 \cdot (\Lambda_{s,\chi_K,\xi_1} \hat{\times} \Lambda_{s,\chi_K,\xi_2})(f) = \int_{N_n^- \times N_n^-} \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^n Z_i(\cdot, s, \chi_K), \tilde{f}(w_n x) \right) dx = \Lambda_{s,\chi_K,\xi}(f).\]

\[\square\]

6.3. **Twisted Shalika functionals and parabolic induction.** Recall that \(n_1, n_2\) are two positive integers such that \(n_1 + n_2 = n\), and \(\Xi_1\) and \(\Xi_2\) are respectively irreducible Casselman-Wallach representation of \(GL_{2n_1}(\mathbb{K})\) and \(GL_{2n_2}(\mathbb{K})\), with the same central character \(\kappa\). Then we have the normalized parabolically induced representation \(\Xi_1 \hat{\times} \Xi_2\) of \(G_K\). Suppose that we are given \((\kappa, \psi_K)\)-Shalika functional \(\lambda_{\Xi_i}\) on \(\Xi_i (i = 1, 2)\), namely

\[\lambda_{\Xi_i} \in \text{Hom}_{S_{a\xi_i}(\mathbb{K})}(\Xi_i, \kappa \otimes \psi_K).\]

The following lemma is proved in [AGJ09, Lemma 3.4], which in particular implies the convergence of the Friedberg-Jacquet integrals in the archimedean case.

**Lemma 6.6.** Let \(M_i (i = 1, 2)\) be a sufficiently large positive real number. Then for every real polynomial function \(\varphi_i\) on the real vector space \(\text{Mat}_{n_i \times n_i}(\mathbb{K})\), there exists a continuous semi-norm \(\| \cdot \|_{\varphi_i,M_i}\) on \(\Xi_i\) such that

\[\left| \varphi_i(g) \cdot \left( \lambda_{\Xi_1}, \begin{bmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{n_i} \end{bmatrix} v \right) \right| \leq |\det(g)|_{\mathbb{K}}^{-M_i} \cdot |v|_{\varphi_i,M_i}\]

for all \(g \in GL_{n_1}(\mathbb{K})\) and \(v \in \Xi_i\).

Lemma 6.6 for \(\varphi_i = 1\) easily implies the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.7.** Let \(M_0\) be a sufficiently large positive real number. Then there exists a continuous semi-norm \(\| \cdot \|_{M_0}\) on \(\Xi_1 \hat{\otimes} \Xi_2\) such that

\[\left| \left( \lambda_{\Xi_1} \otimes \lambda_{\Xi_2}, \begin{bmatrix} 1_{n_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_{n_2} \end{bmatrix} v \right) \right| \leq |\det(g_1)|_{\mathbb{K}}^{M_0} \cdot |\det(g_2)|_{\mathbb{K}}^{-M_0} \cdot |v|_{M_0}\]

for all \(g_1 \in GL_{n_1}(\mathbb{K}), g_2 \in GL_{n_2}(\mathbb{K})\) and \(v \in \Xi_1 \hat{\otimes} \Xi_2\).

Define a group

\[N'_{n_1,n_2} := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1_{n_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{n_2} & x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_{n_2} \end{bmatrix} : x \in \text{Mat}_{n_2 \times n_1}(\mathbb{K}) \right\} \subset G_K.\]

Write \(S(N'_{n_1,n_2})\) for the space of complex valued Schwartz functions on \(N'_{n_1,n_2}\), which is a topological vector space as usual.

**Lemma 6.8.** There is a well-defined continuous linear map given by

\[\Xi_1 \hat{\times} \Xi_2 \rightarrow S(N'_{n_1,n_2}),
\]

\[f \mapsto (x \mapsto \left( \lambda_{\Xi_1} \otimes \lambda_{\Xi_2}, f(w_{n_1,n_2} x) \right)).\]
**Proof.** By the Iwasawa decomposition, for every \( y \in \text{Mat}_{n_2,n_1}(\mathbb{K}) \), we have a unique decomposition

\[
\begin{bmatrix} 1_{n_1} & 0 \\ y & 1_{n_2} \end{bmatrix} = u(y) \begin{bmatrix} a_1(y) & 0 \\ 0 & a_2(y) \end{bmatrix} c(y)
\]

where \( u(y) \in N_{n_1,n_2}, \ a_i(y) \) is an upper triangular matrix in \( \text{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbb{K}) \) with positive real diagonal entries \((i = 1, 2)\), and \( c(y) \in K_n \). Here \( K_n \) denotes the standard maximal compact subgroup of \( \text{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{K}) \). Note that

\[
\det(a_1(y)) = \det(a_2(y))^{-1}.
\]

It is well-known and easily verified that (see [JLT] Lemma 2.5 for example)

\[
\det(a_2(y)) \geq 1 \quad \text{for all } y \in \text{Mat}_{n_2 \times n_1}(\mathbb{K}),
\]

and for every real valued polynomial function \( \varphi \) on \( \text{Mat}_{n_2 \times n_1}(\mathbb{K}) \), there exists \( M_\varphi > 0 \) such that

\[
(6.12) \quad |\varphi(y)| \leq (\det(a_2(y)))^{M_\varphi} \quad \text{for all } y \in \text{Mat}_{n_2,n_1}(\mathbb{K}).
\]

For every \( f \in \Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 \) and \( y \in \text{Mat}_{n_2 \times n_1}(\mathbb{K}) \), write

\[
\phi_f(y) := \left( \lambda_{\Xi_1} \otimes \lambda_{\Xi_2}, f \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1_{n_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{n_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y & 1_{n_2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_{n_2} \end{bmatrix} \right) \right).
\]

The lemma is equivalent to saying that

\[
\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 \rightarrow S(\text{Mat}_{n_2 \times n_1}(\mathbb{K})),
\quad f \mapsto \phi_f
\]

is a well-defined continuous linear map.

Let \( \varphi \) be a real valued polynomial function on \( \text{Mat}_{n_2 \times n_1}(\mathbb{K}) \) and let \( M_\varphi > 0 \) be as in (6.12). Let \( M_0 \) and \( | \cdot |_{M_0} \) be as in Lemma 6.7 such that \( 2M_0 \geq M_\varphi - n_1 - n_2 \). Write

\[
|f|_{M_0,K_\mathbb{K}} := \max_{k \in K_\mathbb{K}} |f(k)|_{M_0}.
\]

This defines a continuous seminorm on \( \Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 \). Then

\[
(6.13) \quad |\varphi(y) \cdot \phi_f(y)| \leq |(\det(a_2(y)))^{M_\varphi} \cdot \phi_f(y)|
\]

\[
= |(\det(a_2(y)))^{M_\varphi-n_1-n_2} \cdot \left( \lambda_{\Xi_1} \otimes \lambda_{\Xi_2}, f \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1_{n_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_1(y) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2(y) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_{n_2} \end{bmatrix} \right) \right)|
\]

\[
\leq (\det(a_2(y)))^{M_\varphi-2M_0-n_1-n_2} \cdot |f|_{M_0,K_\mathbb{K}}
\]

\[
\leq |f|_{M_0,K_\mathbb{K}}.
\]

Let \( X \) be a constant coefficient differential operator on the real vector space \( \text{Mat}_{n_2 \times n_1}(\mathbb{K}) \). Then there is an obvious element \( X' \) in the universal enveloping algebra of \( \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{K}^c \) such that

\[
X \phi_f = \phi_{X'f} \quad \text{for all } f \in \Xi_1 \times \Xi_2.
\]

Then applying (6.13) to \( X'f \), we have that

\[
|\varphi(y) \cdot (X \phi_f)(y)| \leq |X'f|_{M_0,K_\mathbb{K}} \quad \text{for all } y \in \text{Mat}_{n_2 \times n_1}(\mathbb{K}).
\]

This proves the lemma. □
For every $f \in \Xi_1 \times \Xi_2$, define
\begin{equation}
\langle \lambda', f \rangle := \int_{N_{n_1,n_2}'} \langle \lambda_{\Xi_1} \otimes \lambda_{\Xi_2}, f(w_{n_1,n_2}x) \rangle \, dx,
\end{equation}
where $dx$ is a Haar measure on $N_{n_1,n_2}'$. By Lemma 6.8, the integral \((6.14)\) is absolutely convergent and yields a continuous linear functional on $\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2$. It is easily checked that for all
\[ g = \begin{bmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & a \\ 0 & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \in S_K, \quad \text{with } h \in P_{n_1,n_2}, \]
we have that
\begin{equation}
\langle \lambda', g.f \rangle = \delta_{P_{n_1,n_2}}(h) \cdot (\kappa \otimes \psi_K)(g) \cdot \langle \lambda', f \rangle.
\end{equation}
As before, in view of Lemma 6.2, we define
\[ \langle \lambda_{\Xi_1} \hat{\otimes} \lambda_{\Xi_2}, f \rangle := \int_{N_{n_1,n_2}'} \langle \lambda', \begin{bmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{bmatrix} . f \rangle \, dh. \]
Then
\[ \lambda_{\Xi_1} \hat{\otimes} \lambda_{\Xi_2} \in \text{Hom}_{S_K}(\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2, \kappa \otimes \psi_K). \]
It is clear that
\[ \langle \lambda_{\Xi_1} \hat{\otimes} \lambda_{\Xi_2}, f \rangle = \int_{\text{Mat}_{n_1 \times n_2}(K)} \int_{\text{Mat}_{n_2 \times n_1}(K)} \lambda_{\Xi_1} \otimes \lambda_{\Xi_2}, (w_{n_1,n_2}f) \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_n & 0 \\ y & x & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \rangle \, dx \, dy, \]
where $dx$ and $dy$ are suitable Haar measures. This easily implies the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.9.** If $\lambda_{\Xi_1} \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{\Xi_2} \neq 0$, then $\lambda_{\Xi_1} \hat{\otimes} \lambda_{\Xi_2} \neq 0$.

### 6.4. Friedberg-Jacquet integrals and parabolic induction.

We now assume that $\lambda_{\Xi_1} \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{\Xi_2} \neq 0$ so that $\lambda_{\Xi_1} \hat{\otimes} \lambda_{\Xi_2} \neq 0$. We further assume that $\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2$ is irreducible. Fixing a Haar measure on $\text{GL}_{n_i}(K)$ and using $\lambda_{\Xi_i}$ $(i = 1, 2)$, we get the Friedberg-Jacquet integral
\[ Z_{\Xi_i}(\cdot, s, \chi_K) \in \text{Hom}_{\text{GL}_{n_i}(K) \times \text{GL}_{n_i}(K)}(\Xi_i, \xi^{-1}_{\kappa,\chi_K,s-\frac{1}{2}}) \]
which is given by
\[ Z_{\Xi_i}(v, s, \chi_K) := \int_{\text{GL}_{n_i}(K)} \langle \lambda_{\Xi_i}, \begin{bmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1_n \end{bmatrix} . v \rangle \cdot (\det g)^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \chi_K(\det g) \, dg, \quad v \in \Xi_i. \]
Likewise, fixing a Haar measure on $\text{GL}_{n}(K)$ and using $\lambda_{\Xi_i} \hat{\otimes} \lambda_{\Xi_2}$ we get the Friedberg-Jacquet integral
\[ Z(\cdot, s, \chi_K) \in \text{Hom}_{H_K}(\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2, \xi^{-1}_{\kappa,\chi_K,s-\frac{1}{2}}). \]

**Proposition 6.10.** Let the notation and the assumptions be as above. Then there is a positive real number $c_2$ such that for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with sufficiently larger real part, the diagram
\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
\Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 \\
Z_{\Xi_1}(\cdot, s, \chi_K) \times Z_{\Xi_2}(\cdot, s, \chi_K) & \downarrow & Z(\cdot, s, \chi_K) \\
\xi^{-1}_{\kappa,\chi_K,s-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ multiplication by } c_2 & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \xi^{-1}_{\kappa,\chi_K,s-\frac{1}{2}}
\end{array} \]
commutes.
By induction, Proposition 6.5, Proposition 6.10 and the uniqueness of twisted Shalika functionals obviously imply Proposition 6.3, and thus we complete the proof of Theorem 3.10. We are going to prove Proposition 6.10 in the rest of this subsection.

It is clear that for all \( f \in \Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 \),

\[
Z(f, s, \lambda \Xi) := \int_{GL_n(\mathbb{K})} \int_{N_{n,1,n,2}} \left\langle \lambda', \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_n & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{bmatrix} \cdot f \right\rangle \cdot \left| \det g \right|^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (\lambda \Xi \circ \det)(g) \, dh \, dg.
\]

**Lemma 6.11.** When the real part of \( s \in \mathbb{C} \) is sufficiently large, the integral (6.16) is absolutely convergent for all \( f \in \Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 \).

**Proof.** By Lemma 6.2, for all \( f \in \Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 \),

\[
\int_{N_{n,1,n,2}} \left\langle \lambda', \begin{bmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{bmatrix} \cdot f \right\rangle \, dh = \int_{K_n} \left\langle \lambda', \begin{bmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{bmatrix} \cdot f \right\rangle \cdot (\kappa \circ \det)^{-1}(k) \, dk,
\]

where \( K_n \) denotes the standard maximal compact subgroup of \( GL_n(\mathbb{K}) \), and \( dk \) is a suitable Haar measure on \( K_n \). Thus, to prove the Lemma, it suffices to prove that the following integral is absolutely convergent:

\[
\int_{GL_n(\mathbb{K})} \int_{K_n} \left\langle \lambda', \begin{bmatrix} k g & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{bmatrix} \cdot f \right\rangle \cdot (\kappa \circ \det)^{-1}(k) \cdot |\det g|^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (\lambda \Xi \circ \det)(g) \, dk \, dg.
\]

Using the well-known Dixmier-Malliavin Lemma [DM78], we assume without loss of generality that

\[
f = \int_{N_{n,n}} \phi(x) \cdot (x, f_1) \, dx,
\]

where \( \phi \in S(N_{n,n}) \) and \( f_1 \in \Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 \). It is easy to check that

\[
\left\langle \lambda', \begin{bmatrix} k g & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{bmatrix} \cdot f \right\rangle = \left\langle \lambda', \begin{bmatrix} k g & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{bmatrix} \cdot f_1 \right\rangle \cdot \hat{\phi}(g),
\]

where \( \hat{\phi} \) is the Fourier transform given by

\[
\hat{\phi}(g) := \int_{N_{n,n}} \phi(x) \psi(g \cdot (gx)) \, dx,
\]

and \( N_{n,n} \) is obviously identified with \( Mat_{n \times n}(\mathbb{K}) \). Now the lemma easily follows, because the representation \( \Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 \) is of moderate growth. \( \square \)

**Lemma 6.12.** There is a positive real number \( c_2 \) such that

\[
\int_{N_{n,1,n,2}} \left\langle \lambda', \begin{bmatrix} y & x \\ 0 & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \cdot f \right\rangle dy = c_2 \cdot \langle \lambda_{\Xi_1} \otimes \lambda_{\Xi_2}, f(w_{n,1,n,2}) \rangle
\]

for all \( f \in \Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 \).

**Proof.** Let \( f \in \Xi_1 \times \Xi_2 \). For every \( x \in Mat_{n_2 \times n_1}(\mathbb{K}) \), write

\[
\phi_f(x) := \left\langle \lambda_{\Xi_1} \otimes \lambda_{\Xi_2}, f \left( w_{n,1,n,2} \begin{bmatrix} 1_{n_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{n_2} & x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_{n_2} \end{bmatrix} \right) \right\rangle.
\]

By Lemma 6.8 \( \phi_f \) is a Schwartz function on \( Mat_{n_2 \times n_1}(\mathbb{K}) \).
Note that for all \( x \in \text{Mat}_{n_2 \times n_1}(\mathbb{K}) \) and \( y \in \text{Mat}_{n_1 \times n_2}(\mathbb{K}) \), we have that

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1_{n_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1_{n_2} & x & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1_{n_1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1_{n_2}
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
1_{n_1} & y & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1_{n_2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1_{n_1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1_{n_2}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Therefore

\[
\left\langle \lambda_{\Xi_1} \otimes \lambda_{\Xi_2}, f \left( w_{n_1,n_2} \begin{bmatrix}
1_{n_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1_{n_2} & x & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1_{n_1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1_{n_2}
\end{bmatrix} \right) \right\rangle
= \psi_\mathbb{K}(\text{tr}(yx)) \left\langle \lambda_{\Xi_1} \otimes \lambda_{\Xi_2}, f \left( w_{n_1,n_2} \begin{bmatrix}
1_{n_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1_{n_2} & x & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1_{n_1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1_{n_2}
\end{bmatrix} \right) \right\rangle
= \psi_\mathbb{K}(\text{tr}(yx)) \phi_f(x).
\]

Using Fourier inversion formula, this implies that

\[
\int_{N_{n_1,n_2}} \left\langle \lambda', \begin{bmatrix}
y & 0 \\
0 & 1_n
\end{bmatrix} \cdot f \right\rangle dy
= \int_{\text{Mat}_{n_2 \times n_1}(\mathbb{K})} \int_{\text{Mat}_{n_1 \times n_2}(\mathbb{K})} \psi_\mathbb{K}(\text{tr}(yx)) \phi_f(x) \, dx \, dy
= c_2 \cdot \phi_f(0)
= c_2 \cdot \langle \lambda_{\Xi_1} \otimes \lambda_{\Xi_2}, f(w_{n_1,n_2}) \rangle,
\]

where \( c_2 \) is a positive real number which depends only on the choices of the involving Haar measures.

Now we are ready to prove Proposition 6.10. We have that

\[
Z(f, s, \chi_{\mathbb{K}})
= \int_{\text{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{K})} \langle \lambda_{\Xi_1} \otimes \lambda_{\Xi_2}, g \cdot f \rangle \cdot |\det g|_{\mathbb{K}}^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (\chi_{\mathbb{K}} \circ \det)(g) \, dg
= \int_{\text{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{K})} \int_{N_{n_1,n_2}} \left\langle \lambda', \begin{bmatrix}
h \cdot g & 0 \\
0 & h
\end{bmatrix} \cdot f \right\rangle \cdot |\det g|_{\mathbb{K}}^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (\chi_{\mathbb{K}} \circ \det)(g) \, dh \, dg
= \int_{N_{n_1,n_2}} \int_{\text{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{K})} \left\langle \lambda', \begin{bmatrix}
h \cdot g & 0 \\
0 & h
\end{bmatrix} \cdot f \right\rangle \cdot |\det g|_{\mathbb{K}}^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (\chi_{\mathbb{K}} \circ \det)(g) \, dg \, dh
\text{(by Lemma 6.11)}
= \int_{N_{n_1,n_2}} \int_{\text{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{K})} \left\langle \lambda', \begin{bmatrix}
g & 0 \\
0 & h
\end{bmatrix} \cdot f \right\rangle \cdot |\det g|_{\mathbb{K}}^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (\chi_{\mathbb{K}} \circ \det)(g) \, dg \, dh.
\]
By decomposing the Haar measure on $GL_n(\mathbb{K})$, the above integral equals

$$\int_{N_{n_1,n_2}^{-} \times N_{n_1,n_2}^{-}} \int_{GL_{n_1}(\mathbb{K}) \times GL_{n_2}(\mathbb{K})} \int_{N_{n_1,n_2}^{-}} \left< \lambda', \left( \begin{array}{cc} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1_n \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{cc} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1_n \end{array} z \right> \cdot f \right> \cdot \delta_{p_{n_1,n_2}}(a)^{-1}$$

$$\cdot | \det a |^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (\chi_\mathbb{K} \circ \det)(a) \, dy \, da \, dz$$

$$= c_2 \cdot \int_{N_{n_1,n_2}^{-} \times N_{n_1,n_2}^{-}} \int_{GL_{n_1}(\mathbb{K}) \times GL_{n_2}(\mathbb{K})} \left< \lambda_{\Xi_1} \otimes \lambda_{\Xi_2}, f \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1_n \end{array} z \right) \right>$$

$$\cdot \delta_{p_{n_1,n_2}}(a)^{-1} \cdot \det a |^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (\chi_\mathbb{K} \circ \det)(a_1) \cdot (\chi_\mathbb{K} \circ \det)(a_2) \, da_1 \, da_2 \, dz$$

$$= c_2 \cdot \int_{N_{n_1,n_2}^{-} \times N_{n_1,n_2}^{-}} \left< Z_{\Xi_1}((\cdot, s, \chi_\mathbb{K})) \otimes Z_{\Xi_2}((\cdot, s, \chi_\mathbb{K}), f(w_{n_1,n_2}z)) \right> dz$$

$$= c_2 \cdot \left< Z_{\Xi_1}((\cdot, s, \chi_\mathbb{K})) \otimes Z_{\Xi_2}((\cdot, s, \chi_\mathbb{K}), f) \right>.$$

This finishes the proof of Proposition 6.10.
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