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Abstract. A Ryser design $D$ on $v$ points is a collection of $v$ proper subsets (called blocks) of a point-set with $v$ points satisfying (i) every two blocks intersect each other in $\lambda$ points for a fixed $\lambda < v$ (ii) there are at least two block sizes. A design $D$ is called a symmetric design, if all the blocks of $D$ have the same size (or equivalently, every point has the same replication number) and every two blocks intersect each other in $\lambda$ points. The only known construction of a Ryser design is via block complementation of a symmetric design also known as the Ryser-Woodall complementation method. Such a Ryser design is called a Ryser design of Type-1. The Ryser-Woodall conjecture states: “every Ryser design is of Type-1”. Main results of the present article are the following. An expression for the inverse of the incidence matrix $A$ of a Ryser design is obtained. A necessary condition for the design to be of Type-1 is obtained. A well known conjecture states that, for a Ryser design on $v$ points $4\lambda - 1 \leq v \leq \lambda^2 + \lambda + 1$. A partial support for this conjecture is obtained. Finally, a special case of Ryser designs with two block sizes is shown to be of Type-1.

1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a finite set of points and $L \subseteq P(X)$, where $P(X)$ is the power set of $X$. Then the pair $(X, L)$ is called a design. The elements of $X$ are called its points and the members of $L$ are called the blocks. Most of the definitions, formulas and proofs of standard results used here can be found in [5].

Definition 1.1. A design $D = (X, L)$ is said to be a symmetric $(v, k, \lambda)$ design if

1. $|X| = |L| = v$,
2. $|B_1 \cap B_2| = \lambda \geq 1$ for all blocks $B_1$ and $B_2$ of $D$, $B_1 \neq B_2$,
3. $|B| = k > \lambda$ for all blocks $B$ of $D$.

Definition 1.2. A design $D = (X, L)$ is said to be a Ryser design of order $v$ and index $\lambda$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

1. $|X| = |L| = v$,
2. $|B_1 \cap B_2| = \lambda$ for all blocks $B_1$ and $B_2$ of $D, B_1 \neq B_2$,
3. $|B| > \lambda$ for all blocks $B$ of $D$,
4. there exist blocks $B_1$ and $B_2$ of $D$ with $|B_1| \neq |B_2|$.
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Let $\mathcal{D} = (X, A)$ be a symmetric $(v, k, \lambda)$ design with $k \neq 2\lambda$. Let $A$ be a fixed block of $\mathcal{D}$. Form the collection $\mathcal{B} = \{A\} \cup \{A \triangle B : B \in A, B \neq A\}$, where $A \triangle B$ denotes the usual symmetric difference of $A$ and $B$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{D}} = (X, \mathcal{B})$ is a Ryser design of order $v$ and index $\lambda$ obtained from $\mathcal{D}$ by block complementation with respect to the block $A$. We denote $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ by $\mathcal{D} \ast A$. Then $A$ is also a block of $\mathcal{D} \ast A$ and the original design $\mathcal{D}$ can be obtained by complementing $\mathcal{D} \ast A$ with respect to the block $A$. If $\mathcal{D}$ is a symmetric $(v, k, \lambda)$ design, then the design obtained by complementing $\mathcal{D}$ with respect to some block $A$ is a Ryser design of order $v$ with index $\lambda = k - \lambda'$. A Ryser design obtained in this way is said to be of Type-1.

All the known examples of Ryser designs can be described by the above construction that was given by Ryser. This construction is also called a Ryser-Woodall complementation or simply a block complementation.

Define a Ryser design to be of Type-2 if it is not of Type-1. The Ryser Design Conjecture states “Every Ryser design is of Type-1”. The conjecture has been proved to be true for various values of $\lambda$ and $v$. Ryser and Woodall independently proved the following result:

**Theorem 1.3** ([5] Theorem 14.1.2) **Ryser-Woodall Theorem.** If $\mathcal{D}$ is a Ryser design of order $v$, then there exist integers $r_1$ and $r_2$, $r_1 \neq r_2$ such that $r_1 + r_2 = v + 1$ and any point occurs either in $r_1$ blocks or in $r_2$ blocks.

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a Ryser design of order $v$ and index $\lambda$. It is known that a Ryser design has two replication numbers $r_1 > r_2$ with $r_1 + r_2 = v + 1$ such that every point is in either $r_1$ blocks or $r_2$ blocks. Following Singhi and Shrikhande [11] we define $\rho = (r_1 - 1)/(r_2 - 1) = c/d$, where $\gcd(c, d) = 1$. Let $g = \gcd(r_1 - 1, r_2 - 1)$. Then $r_1 + r_2 = v + 1$ implies $g$ divides $(v - 1)$, $r_1 - 1 = cg$, $r_2 - 1 = dg$ and $v - 1 = (c + d)g$. We also write $a$ to denote $c - d$ and observe that any two of $c, d$ and $a$ are coprime to each other. The point-set is partitioned into subsets $E_1$ and $E_2$, where $E_i$ is the set of points with replication number $r_i$ and let $e_i = |E_i|$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $e_1, e_2 > 0$ and $e_1 + e_2 = v$. For a block $A$, let us denote $|E_i \cap A|$, the number of points of block $A$ with replication number $r_i$ by $\tau_i(A)$, for $i = 1, 2$. Then $|A| = \tau_1(A) + \tau_2(A)$. We say a block $A$ is large, average or small depending on whether $|A|$ is greater than $2\lambda$, equal to $2\lambda$ or less than $2\lambda$ respectively. The Ryser-Woodall complementation of a Ryser design $\mathcal{D}$ of index $\lambda$ with respect to some block $A \in \mathcal{D}$ is either a symmetric design or a Ryser design of index $(|A| - \lambda)$. If $\mathcal{D} \ast A$ is the new Ryser design of index $(|A| - \lambda)$ obtained by Ryser-Woodall complementation of a Ryser design $\mathcal{D}$ with respect to the block $A$, we denote the new parameters of $\mathcal{D} \ast A$ by $\lambda(\mathcal{D} \ast A), r_1(\mathcal{D} \ast A)$ etc.

**Proposition 1.4** ([5] Proposition 14.1.7). Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a Ryser design of Type-2 and let $A$ be a block of $\mathcal{D}$. Then $\mathcal{D} \ast A$ is a Ryser design with $r_1(\mathcal{D} \ast A) = r_1(\mathcal{D})$ and $\lambda(\mathcal{D} \ast A) = |A| - \lambda(\mathcal{D})$. 

Theorem 1.5 ([5, Theorem 14.1.17]). For any Ryser design with block intersection \( \lambda > 1 \) and replication numbers \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \), \( \lambda/(\lambda - 1) \leq \rho \leq \lambda \) and \( \rho \notin (\lambda - 1, \lambda) \), where \( \rho = (r_1 - 1)/(r_2 - 1) \).

Ionin and Shrikhande [4] made the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.6. For any Ryser design on \( v \) points \( 4\lambda - 1 \leq v \leq \lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 \).

Theorem 1.7 ([15, Theorem 9]). A Ryser design \( D \) is of Type-1 if and only if \( D \) has two column sums one of which occurs exactly once.

In [10] Seress introduced the term \( D = e_1 - r_2 = r_1 - e_2 - 1 \) and proved the following result.

Theorem 1.8. A Ryser design is of Type-1 if and only if \( D = 0 \) or \( D = -1 \).

We use the following equations which can be found in [11] and [4]. In a Ryser design with block sizes \( k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_v \)

\[
\sum_{m=1}^{v} \frac{1}{k_m - \lambda} = \frac{(\rho + 1)^2}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\lambda} 
\]

(2) \( (\rho - 1)e_1 = \lambda(\rho + 1) - r_2 \)

(3) \( e_1 = \lambda + \frac{\lambda + D}{\rho} \)

(4) \( (\rho - 1)e_2 = \rho r_1 - \lambda(\rho + 1) \)

and

(5) \( e_2 = \lambda + [\lambda - (D + 1)]\rho. \)

From Equations (4) and (2) we get,

(6) \( r_1 = 2\lambda + \left(\frac{a}{c}\right)(e_2 - \lambda) \)

(7) \( r_2 = 2\lambda - \left(\frac{a}{d}\right)(e_1 - \lambda) \)

(8) \( 1 + \rho e_1 + \frac{e_2}{\rho} = \lambda \frac{(\rho + 1)^2}{\rho} \).

Using a simple two way counting we get,

(9) \( (r_1 - 1)\tau_1(A) + (r_2 - 1)\tau_2(A) = \lambda(v - 1) \)

which implies

(10) \( \rho \tau_1(A) + \tau_2(A) = \lambda(\rho + 1). \)
After dividing Equation (9) by \( g \), the common gcd of \( r_1 - 1, r_2 - 1 \) and \( v - 1 \) and using the coprimality of \( c \) and \( d \) we get

\[
\tau_1(A) = \lambda - td \\
\tau_2(A) = \lambda + tc \\
|A| = 2\lambda + ta
\]

for some integer \( t \). Hence we get the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.9.** Let \( A \) be any block of a Ryser design. Then the size of \( A \) has the form \( |A| = 2\lambda + ta \), where \( t \) is an integer. The block \( A \) is large, average or small depending on whether \( t > 0, t = 0 \) or \( t < 0 \) respectively. Hence \( \tau_1(A) = \tau_2(A) = \lambda \) if \( A \) is an average block, \( \tau_1(A) > \lambda > \tau_2(A) \) if \( A \) is a small block and \( \tau_2(A) > \lambda > \tau_1(A) \) if \( A \) is a large block.

Let \( x = (e_2 - \lambda)/c \) in Equation (6). Then, \( r_1 = 2\lambda + xa \). Since \( c \) and \( a \) are co-prime, it is clear that \( c \) divides \( e_2 - \lambda \) and hence \( x \) is an integer. Therefore we get

\[
e_2 = \lambda + xc
\]

Similarly let \( y = (e_1 - \lambda)/d \) in Equation (7). Then, \( r_2 = 2\lambda - ya \), where \( y \) is an integer and \( e_1 = \lambda + yd \)

In this article, we prove the following results.

**Theorem 1.10.** Let \( A \) be the incidence matrix of a Ryser design of order \( v \) and index \( \lambda \) with block sizes \( k_i, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, v \). Let

\[
D = \text{diag}(k_1 - \lambda, k_2 - \lambda, \ldots, k_v - \lambda)
\]

Let

\[
R = \begin{pmatrix} \rho J_{e_1 \times e_1} & J_{e_1 \times e_2} \\ J_{e_2 \times e_1} & \frac{1}{\rho} J_{e_2 \times e_2} \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( J \) is all one matrix of suitable order. Then,

\[
A^{-1} = D^{-1}A^T(l_v + R)^{-1} = D^{-1}A^T\left(l_v - \frac{\rho}{\lambda(\rho + 1)^2}R\right).
\]

**Theorem 1.11.** Let \( D \) be a Ryser design of order \( v \) and index \( \lambda \) with replication numbers \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \). Let \( r = r_1 - r_2 \).

1. If \( D \) is a Ryser design of Type-1 with \( D = 0 \), then \( v = 2\lambda \pm \sqrt{(2\lambda - 1)^2 + (r - 1)^2} - 1 \) and \( \{(2\lambda - 1)^2 + r(r - 2)\} \) is a perfect square.
2. If \( D \) is a Ryser design of Type-1 with \( D = -1 \), then \( v = 2\lambda \pm \sqrt{(2\lambda - 1)^2 + (r - 1)^2 + 4r - 1} \) and \( \{(2\lambda - 1)^2 + r(r + 2)\} \) is a perfect square.
Theorem 1.12. (cf. conjecture 1.6) Let $D$ be a Ryser design of order $v$ and index $\lambda$.

(a) If $D \leq -1$, then $v \geq 4\lambda - 1$.

(b) If $D \geq 0$, then $\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 \geq v$.

Finally, we discuss a special case of Ryser designs.

Theorem 1.13. Let $D$ be a Ryser design of order $v$, index $\lambda$ and replication numbers $r_1$ and $r_2$ and two block sizes $k_1 > k_2$.

(a) If $k_1 = 2\lambda + t_1a$ with $2t_1c + \lambda > e_1$ that is $2t_1 > x$, then $D$ is of Type-1.

Or

(b) If $k_2 = 2\lambda - t_2a$ with $2t_2d + \lambda > e_2$ that is $2t_2 > y$, then $D$ is of Type-1.

2. The inverse of incidence matrix

We begin by stating a well known and an important relation of the incidence matrix $A$ of a Ryser design and the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $k_i - \lambda$, where $k_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, v$ is the $i$-th column sum (block size) of the incidence matrix $A$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $A$ be the incidence matrix of a Ryser design with index $\lambda$ and block sizes $k_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, v$. Then, $A^T A = D + \lambda J$ and $AD^{-1}A^T = I_v + R$, where $D$ and $R$ are as defined in Equation (12), (13) respectively and $J$ is all one matrix.

This result as also Equation (1) of previous section are a consequence of the following results of Ryser [8]:

Lemma 2.2. Let $X = [x_{ij}]$ and $Y = [y_{ij}]$ be real matrices of order $v$ that satisfy the matrix equation $XY = D + [\sqrt{k_i - \lambda}]$, where $D$ is the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(k_1 - \lambda_1, k_2 - \lambda_2, \ldots, k_v - \lambda_v)$ and the scalars $k_i - \lambda_i$ and $\lambda_j$ are positive and non-negative respectively. Then $YD^{-1}X = 1 + t[y_{ij}]$, where $D^{-1}$ denotes the inverse of $D$, $I$ denotes the identity matrix of order $v$ and the scalars $t$, $y_i$, $x_j$ are determined by the equations,

$t = 1 + \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{k_1 - \lambda_1} \right) + \cdots + \left( \frac{\lambda_n}{k_v - \lambda_v} \right)$

$ty_i = \left( \frac{\sqrt{k_i - \lambda_i}}{k_1 - \lambda_1} \right) y_{i1} + \cdots + \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}{k_v - \lambda_v} \right) y_{iv}$

$tx_j = \left( \frac{\sqrt{k_i - \lambda_i}}{k_1 - \lambda_1} \right) x_{1j} + \cdots + \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}{k_v - \lambda_v} \right) x_{vj}$

Definition 2.3. Let $A$ be a $(0,1)$-matrix of order $m$ by $v > 3$ that satisfies the matrix equation $A^TA = D + [\sqrt{\lambda_i}]$, where $A^T$ denotes transpose of $A$ and $D$ denotes the diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(k_1 - \lambda_1, k_2 - \lambda_2, \ldots, k_v - \lambda_v)$ with $k_i - \lambda_i$ and $\lambda_i$ positive and also Fisher Type inequality implies $m \geq v$. We call a configuration whose incidence matrix $A$ fulfills these requirements a multiplicative design on the parameters $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_v$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_v$.  
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Corollary 2.4. Let A be the incidence matrix of a multiplicative design on the parameters \( k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_v \) and \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_v \). Then,

\[ AD^{-1}A^T = I_v + t[x_ix_j] \]

where

\[ t = 1 + \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{k_1 - \lambda_1} \right) + \ldots + \left( \frac{\lambda_v}{k_v - \lambda_v} \right) \]

Corollary 2.5. The parameters \( k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_v \) and \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_v \) of a multiplicative design satisfy

\[
\left[ \frac{k_1}{k_1 - \lambda_1} + \ldots + \frac{k_v}{k_v - \lambda_v} - v \right] \left[ 1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{k_1 - \lambda_1} + \ldots + \frac{\lambda_v}{k_v - \lambda_v} \right] = \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}{k_1 - \lambda_1} k_1 + \ldots + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_v}}{k_v - \lambda_v} k_v \right]^2
\]

Note that if we set \( k_1 = k_2 = \cdots = k_v = k \) and \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_v = \lambda \), then Equation (15) reduces to \( k - \lambda = k^2 - k \lambda \) for symmetric block design. Further we get a Ryser design if we set \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_v = \lambda \) with at least two different block sizes.

We state the following result from Miller [14] which will be used to prove Theorem 1.10.

Theorem 2.6. Let \( G \) and \( H \) be arbitrary square matrices of the same order. If \( G \) is non-singular and \( H \) has rank one, then \( (G + H)^{-1} = G^{-1} - \frac{1}{1 + g} G^{-1} H G^{-1} \), where \( g = tr HG^{-1} \).

Proof of Theorem 1.10. By definition of a Ryser design we know that \( A^T A = D + \lambda J_v \), where \( D \) is as defined in Equation (12) and \( J_v \) is all 1 matrix. Then by simple manipulations we get

\[
det(A^T A) = \left[ 1 + \lambda \left( \frac{1}{k_1 - \lambda} + \ldots + \frac{1}{k_v - \lambda} \right) \right] (k_1 - \lambda) \cdots (k_v - \lambda)
\]

\[
= \left[ 1 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{v} \frac{1}{(k_j - \lambda)} \right] \prod_{i=1}^{v} (k_i - \lambda)
\]

Equation (11) implies,

\[
det(A^T A) = \left[ 1 + \lambda \left( \frac{(\rho + 1)^2}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \right] \prod_{i=1}^{v} (k_i - \lambda)
\]

\[
= \left[ \lambda \left( \frac{(\rho + 1)^2}{\rho} \right) \right] \prod_{i=1}^{v} (k_i - \lambda) \neq 0.
\]

Hence \( A \) is invertible.

By Lemma 2.1 we have \( AD^{-1}A^T = I_v + R \), where \( R \) is as defined in Equation (13). As \( AD^{-1}A^T \) is invertible, (since \( A \) and \( D \) are) so is \( I_v + R \). Also note that \( R \) is symmetric and has rank one. Now, \( (AD^{-1}A^T)^{-1} = (I_v + R)^{-1} \) gives \( (A^T)^{-1}DA^{-1} = (I_v + R)^{-1} \) and hence
A^{-1} = D^{-1}A^T(l_v + R)^{-1}. Use of Theorem [2.6] will now be made to obtain the inverse of \( l_v + R \).

The trace of \( R \) is easily seen to be \( e_1\rho + \frac{e_2}{\rho} \). Therefore,

\[
(l_v + R)^{-1} = l_v - \frac{1}{1 + e_1\rho + \frac{e_2}{\rho}} R = l_v - \frac{\rho}{\rho + e_1 + e_2} R.
\]

By Equation (8)

\[
1 + \rho e_1 + \frac{e_2}{\rho} = \lambda \left( \frac{\rho + 1}{\rho} \right)^2.
\]

Hence we have,

\[
(l + R)^{-1} = l_v - \frac{\rho}{\lambda(\rho + 1)^2} R.
\]

\[
\Box
\]

3. A necessary condition for a Ryser design to be of Type-1

Proof of Theorem [1.11]: Since \( r = r_1 - r_2 \) we have \( (\rho + 1)/(\rho - 1) = (v - 1)/r \) which implies

\[
(16) \quad \rho = \frac{v - 1 + r}{v - 1 - r}
\]

Equations (3) and (5) imply \( v = e_1 + e_2 = \lambda + \frac{\lambda + D}{\rho} + \lambda + [\lambda - (D + 1)]\rho \) which on simplification gives \( [\lambda - (D + 1)]\rho^2 - (v - 2\lambda)\rho + (\lambda + D) = 0 \). Hence we get,

\[
(17) \quad \rho = \frac{(v - 2\lambda) \pm \sqrt{(v - 2\lambda)^2 - 4[\lambda - (D + 1)](\lambda + D)}}{2[\lambda - (D + 1)]}.
\]

Now, Equations (16) and (17) imply

\[
\frac{(v - 2\lambda) \pm \sqrt{(v - 2\lambda)^2 - 4[\lambda - (D + 1)](\lambda + D)}}{2[\lambda - (D + 1)]} = \frac{v - 1 + r}{v - 1 - r}
\]

which on simplification gives,

\[
v^3 - v^2[4\lambda + 1] + v[8\lambda + 4rD - (r - 1)^2] - [4\lambda + 4rD - (r - 1)^2] = \frac{v^3 - v^2[4\lambda + 1] + v[8\lambda + 4rD - (r - 1)^2] - [4\lambda + 4rD - (r - 1)^2]}{2[\lambda - (D + 1)]}.
\]

Let \( f(v) = v^3 - v^2[4\lambda + 1] + v[8\lambda + 4rD - (r - 1)^2] - [4\lambda + 4rD - (r - 1)^2] \).

Then, \( f(1) = 1 - [4\lambda + 1] + [8\lambda + 4rD - (r - 1)^2] - [4\lambda + 4rD - (r - 1)^2] = 0 \).

After factorization we get \( f(v) = (v - 1)[v^2 - 4v\lambda + 4\lambda + 4Dr - (r - 1)^2] \).

Since \( v \neq 1 \) and \( f(v) = 0 \), we have \( v^2 - 4v\lambda + 4\lambda + 4Dr - (r - 1)^2 = 0 \).

This implies \( v = 2\lambda \pm \sqrt{(2\lambda - 1)^2 + (r - 1)^2 - 4Dr - 1} \).

By Theorem [1.8] a Ryser design is of Type-1 if and only if \( D = 0 \) or \( D = -1 \). Now \( D = 0 \) gives \( v = 2\lambda \pm \sqrt{(2\lambda - 1)^2 + (r - 1)^2 - 1} \), then \( \{(2\lambda - 1)^2 + r(r - 2)\} \) is a perfect
If there exists a Ryser design of Type-1 with \( D = -1 \), then we get \( v = 2\lambda \pm \sqrt{(2\lambda - 1)^2 + (r - 1)^2 + 4r - 1} \) which implies \( \{(2\lambda - 1)^2 + r(r + 2)\} \) is a perfect square. \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.1.** Let \( D \) be a Ryser design of order \( v \) and index \( \lambda \). Then \( v \geq 4\lambda - 1 \) if and only if \( e_2 - e_1 \geq 2D + 1 \).

**Proof:** We know that \( v = 2\lambda \pm \sqrt{(2\lambda - 1)^2 + (r - 1)^2 + 4Dr - 1} \), where \( r = r_1 - r_2 \). This on simplification gives \( (v - 4\lambda + 1)^2(v - 1) = r(r - 2 - 4D) \). Now, \( v \geq 4\lambda - 1 \) if and only if \( r - 2 - 4D \geq 0 \) if and only if \( e_2 - e_1 \geq 2D + 1 \). \( \square \)

**Proposition 3.2.** Let \( D \) be a Ryser design of order \( v \) and index \( \lambda \). Let \( A \) be a large block and \( B \) be a small block of \( D \). Then \( \tau_1(A) - 1 \geq D \geq -\tau_2(B) \). In general \( \lambda - 1 > D > -\lambda \).

**Proof:** Let \( B \) be any block with \( |B| = \tau_1(B) + \tau_2(B) \). By Equations (2) and (10), we get \( \rho(e_1 - \tau_1(B)) = \tau_2(B) + D \) which implies \( \tau_2(B) + D \geq 0 \). In particular, if \( B \) is a small block, then \( D \geq -\tau_2(B) \). Let \( A \) be any block with \( |A| = \tau_1(A) + \tau_2(A) \). By Equations (4) and (10), we get \( \rho(\tau_1(A) - (D + 1)) = e_2 - \tau_2 \) which implies \( \tau_1(A) - (D + 1) \geq 0 \). In particular, if \( A \) is a large block, then \( \tau_1(A) - 1 \geq D \). By Lemma 3.9 we have \( \lambda > \tau_1(A) \) and \( \lambda > \tau_2(B) \) we get,

\[
\lambda > \tau_1(A) - 1 > D > -\lambda.
\]

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 4.1:** By Theorem 1.11 \( v = 2\lambda \pm \sqrt{(2\lambda - 1)^2 + (r - 1)^2 + 4Dr - 1} \). This implies \( (v - 2\lambda)^2 - (2\lambda - 1)^2 = (r - 1)^2 - 4Dr - 1 \). If \( D \leq -1 \), then \( (r - 1)^2 - 4Dr - 1 \geq 0 \) which implies \( (v - 2\lambda)^2 - (2\lambda - 1)^2 \geq 0 \) and hence \( v \geq 4\lambda - 1 \). Thus \( D \leq -1 \) implies \( v \geq 4\lambda - 1 \). Using Theorem 3.5 and Equation (17),

\[
\lambda \geq \frac{(v - 2\lambda + \sqrt{(v - 2\lambda)^2 - 4[\lambda - (D + 1)\lambda + D ])}}{2(\lambda - (D + 1))}.
\]

By Equation (18) we have \( \lambda - 1 > D \). If \( D > 0 \), then we get

\[
2\lambda[\lambda - (D + 1)] \geq (v - 2\lambda + \sqrt{(v - 2\lambda)^2 - 4[\lambda - (D + 1)\lambda + D ]})
\]

which on simplification gives \( \lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 - D[\lambda - (1/\lambda)] \geq v \). Now if \( D = 0 \) we get \( \lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 \geq v \) and hence if \( D \geq 0 \) we have \( \lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 \geq \lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 - D[\lambda - (1/\lambda)] \geq v \). \( \square \)

### 4. Special Ryser designs with two block sizes

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( D \) be a Ryser design of Type-2 of order \( v \), index \( \lambda \) and replication numbers \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \).

(a) If there exists a block \( A \) of size \( k = 2\lambda + ta \), where \( 2tc + \lambda > e_1 \) that is \( t > x/2 \), then \( A \) is the unique block of size \( k = 2\lambda + sa \) with \( s > x/2 \).
(b) If there exists a block $B$ of size $k = 2\lambda - ta$, where $2td + \lambda > e_2$ that is $t > y/2$, then $B$ is the unique block of size $k = 2\lambda - sa$ with $s > y/2$.

Proof: We give a proof of (a). The proof of (b) is similar. By Proposition 1.4 we know that, if we complement $D$ with respect to a block $B$ with $|B| = k$, then we get a new Ryser design $\overline{D}$, with index $\overline{\lambda} = k - \lambda$ and the same replication numbers. Then $r_1 = 2\lambda + xa = 2\overline{\lambda} + \overline{x}a$ implies $2(2\lambda - k) = (\overline{x} - x)a$. Now, if $k > 2\lambda$, then $k = 2\lambda + ta$ which gives us $\overline{x} = x - 2t$. Therefore $x > 0$ if and only if $t < x/2$. By Equation (11) we have $\overline{e} = \overline{\lambda} + xc$. Hence if $\overline{e} > \overline{\lambda}$, then $\overline{x} > 0$.

Let $A$ be a block of size $k = 2\lambda + ta$, where $2tc + \lambda > e_1$ that is $t > x/2$. Let $A'$ be a block of size $k' = 2\lambda + sa$ with $s > x/2$. Then we claim that $A' = A$. We can then choose $A'$ so that $s$ is the smallest with that property. Then in the new design $\overline{D}$ obtained by complementing $D$ with respect to the block $A'$ we have $\overline{e}_2 < \overline{\lambda}$ and hence it can not have large or average blocks. But blocks of size $\geq k'$ (in $D$) become large or average blocks in $\overline{D}$. In particular, $A$ becomes average or large in $\overline{D}$ which is a contradiction. This contradiction proves that $A' = A$. Hence the claim.

Proof of Theorem 1.13: Clearly we can not have $k_1 = 2\lambda + t_1a$ with $2t_1 > x$ and $k_2 = 2\lambda - t_2a$ with $2t_2 > y$ for in that case by Theorem 4.1 the design will have only two blocks. Hence precisely one of (a) or (b) must occur. Without loss of generality let $D$ be a Ryser design with two block sizes $k_1 > k_2$, where $k_1 = 2\lambda + t_1a$ with $2t_1 > x$. Then by Theorem 4.1 $D$ has a unique block of size $k_1$ and hence all the remaining $v - 1$ blocks are of size $k_2$. Now by Theorem 1.7 $D$ is of Type-1. The other case is similar.
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