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Abstract. For $p \in [1, \infty)$, we show that every unital $L^p$-operator algebra contains a unique maximal $C^*$-subalgebra, which we call the $C^*$-core. When $p \neq 2$, the $C^*$-core of an $L^p$-operator algebra is abelian. Using this, we canonically associate to every unital $L^p$-operator algebra $A$ an étale groupoid $G_A$, which in many cases of interest is a complete invariant for $A$. By calculating this groupoid for large classes of examples, we obtain a number of rigidity results that display a stark contrast with the case $p = 2$; the most striking one being that of crossed products by essentially free actions.

These rigidity results give answers to questions concerning the existence of homomorphisms or isomorphisms between different algebras. Among others, we show that for the $L^p$-analog $O^p_n$ of the Cuntz algebra, there is no isometric isomorphism between $O^p_n \otimes_{\text{min}} O^p_n$, when $p \neq 2$. In particular, we deduce that there is no $L^p$-version of Kirchberg’s absorption theorem, and that there is no $K$-theoretic classification of purely infinite simple amenable $L^p$-operator algebras for $p \neq 2$.

1. Introduction

Given $p \in [1, \infty)$, we say that a Banach algebra $A$ is an $L^p$-operator algebra if it admits an isometric representation on an $L^p$-space. $L^p$-operator algebras have been historically studied by example, starting with Herz’s influential works [21] on harmonic analysis on $L^p$-spaces. There, given a locally compact group $G$, Herz studies the Banach algebra $PF^p(G) \subseteq B(L^p(G))$ generated by the left regular representation, as well as its weak-$^*$ closure $PM^p(G)$ and its double commutant $CV^p(G)$. The study of the structure of these algebras has attracted the attention of a number of mathematicians in the last decades [5, 35, 8], particularly in what refers to the so-called “Convolvers-pseudomeasures problem”, which asks whether $CV^p(G) = PM^p(G)$ for all groups $G$; this is known for $p = 1, 2$, but remains open for $p \in (1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$, even for discrete groups. We refer the interested reader to the recent paper [7] for an excellent survey on the problem as well as for a proof that $CV^p(G) = PM^p(G)$ when $G$ has the approximation property.

$L^p$-operator algebras have recently seen renewed interest, thanks to the infusion of ideas and techniques from operator algebras, particularly in the works of Phillips [29, 30]. There, Phillips introduced and studied the $L^p$-analogs $O^p_n$ of the Cuntz algebras $O_n$ from [6] (which are the case $p = 2$). These Banach algebras behave in many ways very similarly to the corresponding $C^*$-algebras: among others, they are simple, purely infinite, amenable, and their $K$-theory is independent of $p$. For most of these properties, however, the proofs for $p = 2$ and $p \neq 2$ differ drastically, since many of the basic tools available in the $C^*$-algebraic setting fail to hold for operators on $L^p$-spaces once $p \neq 2$.
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The work of Phillips motivated other authors to study \( L^p \)-analogues of other well-studied families of \( C^* \)-algebras. These classes include group algebras \([30, 16, 19]\); groupoid algebras \([14]\); crossed products by topological systems \([30]\); \(AF \)-algebras \([31, 13]\); and graph algebras \([4]\). In these works, an \( L^p \)-operator algebra is obtained from combinatorial or dynamical data, and properties of the underlying data (such as hereditary saturation of a graph, or minimality of an action) are related to properties of the algebra (such as simplicity). More recent works have approached the study of \( L^p \)-operator algebras in a more abstract and systematic way \([15, 17, 2]\), showing that there is an interesting theory waiting to be unveiled.

This work takes a further step in this direction, by studying the internal structure of \( L^p \)-operator algebras and their abelian subalgebras, specifically for \( p \neq 2 \). Our first main result is as follows:

**Theorem A.** [See Theorem 2.9] Let \( p \in [1, \infty) \), and let \( A \) be a unital \( L^p \)-operator algebra. Then there is a unique maximal unital \( C^* \)-subalgebra \( \text{core}(A) \) of \( A \), called the \( C^* \)-core of \( A \). If \( p \neq 2 \), then \( \text{core}(A) \) is abelian.

Theorem A can be interpreted as follows: while a given unital \( L^p \)-operator algebra \( (p \neq 2) \) in general has many non-isomorphic maximal abelian subalgebras, it has a unique one of the form \( C(X) \). The internal structure of \( L^p \)-operator algebras is thus dramatically different from that of \( C^* \)-algebras. Moreover, for an \( L^p \)-operator algebra obtained from either a combinatorial object or a dynamical system, the \( C^* \)-core can often be computed in terms of the underlying data (see Theorem 5.5), and it is a very useful tool that allows us to retrieve information about the combinatorics/dynamics from the associated algebra. This is best seen in the case of topologically free actions:

**Theorem B.** [See Theorem 6.4] Let \( p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\} \), let \( G \) and \( H \) be discrete groups, let \( X \) and \( Y \) be compact Hausdorff spaces, and let \( G \curvearrowright X \) and \( H \curvearrowright Y \) be topologically free actions. Then \( G \curvearrowright X \) and \( H \curvearrowright Y \) are continuously orbit equivalent if and only if there is an isometric isomorphism \( F^p_X(G, X) \cong F^p_Y(H, Y) \).

In other words, for \( p \neq 2 \), the \( L^p \)-crossed product of a topologically free action remembers the continuous orbit equivalence of the given action, and hence also the quasi-isometry class of the acting group. Again, this shows how much more rigid the case \( p \neq 2 \) is in comparison with \( p = 2 \).

As a further application of our methods, we show that there is no \( L^p \)-analogue of Elliott’s isomorphism theorem \( \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \cong \mathcal{O}_2 \). More concretely:

**Theorem C.** [See Theorem 7.9] Let \( p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\} \), let \( n, m \in \mathbb{N} \). Then there is a contractive isomorphism

\[
\mathcal{O}_2^p \otimes_p \cdots \otimes_p \mathcal{O}_2^p \cong \mathcal{O}_2^p \otimes_p \cdots \otimes_p \mathcal{O}_2^p
\]

if and only if \( n = m \).

As a consequence, we answer a question of N. C. Phillips: there is no isometric isomorphism between \( \mathcal{O}_2^p \) and \( \mathcal{O}_2^p \otimes_p \mathcal{O}_2^p \) for \( p \neq 2 \), although they are both simple, purely infinite, amenable \( L^p \)-operator algebras with identical \( K \)-theory (see Proposition 7.11). In particular, \( K \)-theory is not a fine enough invariant to distinguish between simple, purely infinite, amenable \( L^p \)-operator algebras, when \( p \neq 2 \), in contrast to Kirchberg-Phillips’ celebrated classification of simple, purely infinite, amenable \( C^* \)-algebras \([23, 28]\).

Our methods are very general and thus ought to provide useful information in many other contexts, since the existence of \( C^* \)-cores does not assume that the \( L^p \)-operator algebra is constructed from any combinatorial object.
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2. C*-cores in Lp-operator algebras

Let $A$ be a unital Banach algebra. (We only consider complex Banach algebras.) Recall that an element $a$ in $A$ is said to be hermitian if $\|\exp(it a)\| = 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We use $A_h$ to denote the set of hermitian elements in $A$, which is a closed, real linear subspace of $A$ satisfying $A_h \cap iA_h = \{0\}$; see [3] Section 5 for details.

If $A$ is a unital $C^*$-algebra, then $A_h$ consists precisely of the self-adjoint elements in $A$. It follows that $A = A_h + iA_h$. The Vidav-Palmer theorem, [3] Theorem 6.9, shows that the converse also holds. More precisely, if $A$ is a unital Banach algebra satisfying $A = A_h + iA_h$, then the real-linear involution given by $x + iy \mapsto x - iy$ for $x, y \in A_h$ is both isometric and an algebra involution which satisfies the $C^*$-identity (namely $\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$ for all $a \in A$). These observations justify the following terminology.

Definition 2.1. Let $A$ be a unital Banach algebra, and let $B \subseteq A$ be a unital, closed subalgebra. We say that $B$ is a unital $C^*$-subalgebra of $A$ if $B = B_h + iB_h$.

The following result is standard, and will be needed later.

Lemma 2.2. Let $A$ be a unital Banach algebra, and let $B \subseteq A$ be a unital, closed subalgebra. Then $B_h = B \cap A_h$. In particular, if $A_h$ is closed under multiplication, then so is $B_h$.

Let $A$ be a unital Banach algebra. In general, $A_h + iA_h$ is not a subalgebra, since it is not necessarily close under multiplication. However, if this is the case, then it follows from Lemma 2.2 that it is the largest unital $C^*$-subalgebra of $A$. When $A_h$ is itself closed under multiplication, we can say even more.

Proposition 2.3. Let $A$ be a unital Banach algebra. Assume that $A_h$ is closed under multiplication. Then $A_h + iA_h$ is a commutative, unital $C^*$-subalgebra of $A$. Moreover, if $C \subseteq A$ is a unital $C^*$-subalgebra, then $C \subseteq A_h + iA_h$.

Proof. Since $A_h$ is closed under multiplication, elementary algebra shows that the subspace $D = A_h + iA_h$ is also closed under multiplication, and is this a subalgebra of $A$. Hence $D$ is the largest unital $C^*$-subalgebra of $B$.

We now show that $D$ is commutative. Given $a, b \in A_h$, the element $i(ab - ba)$ is also hermitian by Lemma 5.4 in [3]. Since $A_h$ is a $\mathbb{R}$-linear subspace and is closed under multiplication, it follows that $ab - ba$ belongs to $A_h \cap iA_h = \{0\}$. Thus $ab = ba$ and hence $D$ is commutative.

It is well-known that hermitian elements are preserved by unital, contractive homomorphisms. The next result, which is probably well-known but which we could not find in the literature, shows that multiplicativity of the map is not needed. It shows in particular that conditional expectations onto unital subalgebras preserve hermitian elements; see Proposition 2.17.

Lemma 2.4. Let $A$ be a unital Banach algebra, let $B$ be a unital Banach algebra, and let $\varphi : A \to B$ be a unital, contractive linear map. Then $\varphi(A_h) \subseteq B_h$.

Proof. Given a unital Banach algebra $C$ and $x \in C$, recall that the numerical range of $x$ with respect to $C$ is defined as

$$V(C, x) = \{ f(x) : f \in C^*, f(1) = 1 = \|f\| \}.$$
We will use the standard fact that \( x \in C \) is hermitian if and only if \( V(C, x) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \).

Let \( a \in A_\mathcal{N} \). Let \( f \in B^* \) satisfy \( f(1_B) = \| f \| \), and set \( \tilde{f} = f \circ \varphi \). Since \( \varphi \) is unital, we have \( f(1_A) = 1 \). Since \( \varphi \) is contractive, we have \( \| f \| \leq 1 \) and thus \( \| \tilde{f} \| = 1 \). Then,

\[
\tilde{f}(\varphi(a)) = \tilde{f}(a) \in V(A, a) \subseteq \mathbb{R},
\]

and consequently \( V(B, \varphi(a)) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \), which implies that \( \varphi(a) \) is hermitian. \( \Box \)

Our next step is to describe all hermitian operators on an \( L^p \)-space for \( p \neq 2 \); see Proposition 2.7. To formulate the precise result, we first recall some notions from measure theory.

Recall that a measure algebra \((\mathfrak{A}, \mu)\) is a \( \sigma \)-complete Boolean algebra \( \mathfrak{A} \) together with a \( \sigma \)-additive map \( \mu: \mathfrak{A} \to [0, \infty] \) that satisfies \( \mu^{-1}(0) = \{0\} \); see [11] Definition 321A, p.68]. Given a measure space \((X, \Sigma, \mu)\), the family of null-sets \( \mathcal{N} = \{E \in \Sigma : \mu(E) = 0\} \) is a \( \sigma \)-ideal in \( \Sigma \), and \( \mathfrak{A} = \Sigma/\mathcal{N} \) is a \( \sigma \)-complete Boolean algebra. Further, the measure \( \mu \) induces a map \( \tilde{\mu}: \mathfrak{A} \to [0, \infty] \) given by \( \tilde{\mu}(E + \mathcal{N}) = \mu(E) \) for all \( E \in \Sigma \). Moreover, \((\mathfrak{A}, \tilde{\mu})\) is a measure algebra, called the measure algebra associated to \((X, \Sigma, \mu)\); see [11] 321H, p.69f.

There are natural notions of measurable and integrable functions on a measure algebra \((\mathfrak{A}, \mu)\), and one obtains \( L^p \)-spaces \( L^p(\mathfrak{A}, \mu) \) for every \( p \in [1, \infty) \). If \((\mathfrak{A}, \tilde{\mu})\) is the measure algebra associated to a measure space \((X, \Sigma, \mu)\), then there are natural isometric isomorphisms \( L^p(\mathfrak{A}, \tilde{\mu}) \cong L^p(X, \Sigma, \mu) \) for each \( p \in [1, \infty) \); see Corollary 363I and Theorem 366B in [12].

A measure algebra \((\mathfrak{A}, \mu)\) is said to be semi-finite if for every \( E \in \mathfrak{A} \) with \( \mu(E) = \infty \) there exists a nonzero \( E' \subseteq E \) with \( \mu(E') < \infty \). It is said to be localizable if it is semi-finite and \( \mathfrak{A} \) is a complete lattice; see [11] Definitions 322A.

**Remark 2.5.** The interest in localizable measure algebras stems from the fact that they form the largest class of measure algebras where the Radon-Nikodym theorem is applicable. Most importantly for us, Lamperti’s description of the invertible isometries of an \( L^p \)-space for \( p \neq 2 \) from [24], which was originally proved only for \( \sigma \)-finite spaces, remains valid in the more general context of localizable measure algebras; see Section 3 in [18].

Given a measure algebra \((\mathfrak{A}, \mu)\), there is a canonical way to associate a semi-finite measure algebra, which then can be Dedekind completed to obtain a localizable measure algebra. Both operations identify the associated \( L^p \)-spaces for \( p \in [1, \infty) \) (but not necessarily for \( p = \infty \)); see [11] 322P, 322X(a), p.78f and [12] 365X(a), 366X(e), p.129, p.139]. In particular, we deduce the following:

**Proposition 2.6.** Let \((X, \Sigma, \mu)\) be a measure space. Then there is a (naturally associated) localizable measure algebra \((\mathfrak{A}, \tilde{\mu})\) such that \( L^p(X, \Sigma, \mu) \) is isometrically isomorphic to \( L^p(\mathfrak{A}, \tilde{\mu}) \) for every \( p \in [1, \infty) \).

By [11] Theorem 322B, p.72], the measure algebra associated to a measure space is localizable if and only if the measure space is localizable (in the sense of [10] Definition 211G, p.13]). Since every measure algebra is realized by some measure space, we also deduce that for every measure space \( \mu \) there exists a localizable measure space \( \tilde{\mu} \) such that \( L^p(\mu) \cong L^p(\tilde{\mu}) \) for every \( p \in [1, \infty) \).

The following result is probably known, but we could only locate it in the literature for the case that the measure space is atomic ([38], Theorem 2), or \( \sigma \)-finite (see for example [17] Lemma 5.2]). We include here a proof in the general case for the convenience of the reader.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let \( p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\} \), let \((\mathfrak{A}, \mu)\) be a localizable measure algebra, and let \( a \in B(L^p(\mu)) \). Then \( a \) is hermitian if and only if there exists \( h \in L^p_\mathbb{R}(\mu) \) such that \( a \) is the multiplication operator associated to \( h \).
Proof. Given \( f \in L^\infty(\mu) \), we let \( m_f \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(\mu)) \) denote the associated multiplication operator. The resulting map \( m : L^\infty(\mu) \to \mathcal{B}(L^p(\mu)) \) is unital and contractive (and in fact isometric, since \((\mathfrak{A}, \mu)\) is localizable), which implies that it preserves hermitian elements; see Lemma 2.4. We have \( L^\infty(\mu)_h = L^\infty_\mathfrak{A}(\mu) \), and thus every function \( f \in L^\infty_\mathfrak{A}(\mu) \) defines a hermitian multiplication operator \( m_f \).

Conversely, assume that \( a \) is hermitian. We may assume that \( a \neq 0 \). Then \( \frac{\pi}{2\pi|a|} a \) is hermitian, and if we can show that this is the multiplication operator associated to some element in \( L^\infty_\mathfrak{A}(\mu) \), then so is \( a \). Thus, we may assume that \( \|a\| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \).

For \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), set \( u_t = \exp(ita) \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(\mu)) \). Then \( \|u_t\| \leq 1 \), since \( a \) is hermitian. Moreover, \( u_t u_{-t} = u_{-t} u_t = \text{id} \), which implies that \( u_t \) is a surjective isometric operator \( L^p(\mu) \to L^p(\mu) \). Moreover, the resulting map \([0,1] \to \text{Isom}(L^p(\mu))\) into the group of surjective isometric operators, given by \( t \mapsto u_t \), is norm-continuous.

By Lamperti’s theorem (in the form given in Theorem 3.7 in [13]; see [24] for the original statement), for every \( t \in [0,1] \) there exist a unique \( h_t \in \mathcal{U}(L^\infty(\mu)) \), the unitary group of \( L^\infty(\mu) \), and a unique Boolean automorphism \( \varphi_t \) of \( \mathfrak{A} \) such that, in the notation of Lemma 3.3 of [13], we have \( u_T = m_{h_t} \circ \varphi_t \). By the norm computation in equation (6) of [13], for \( s, t \in [0,1] \) we have

\[
\|u_t - u_s\| = \max \{ \|h_t - h_s\|_\infty, 2(1 - \delta_{\varphi_t, \varphi_s}) \}.
\]

Since \( t \mapsto u_t \) is norm-continuous, it follows that \( \varphi_t = \varphi_s \) for all \( t, s \in [0,1] \). Since \( \varphi_0 \) is the identity automorphism, we deduce that \( \varphi_t = \text{id} \) for all \( t \in [0,1] \). Hence, \( \exp(ia) = u_t = m_{h_t} \). Set

\[
T = \{ it : t \in \left[ -\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right] \} \quad \text{and} \quad P = \{ z \in S^1 : \text{Re}(z) \geq 0 \},
\]

and note that the exponential map induces a bijection from \( T \) to \( P \). Let \( \log : P \to T \) denote the inverse of this map, which is analytic on a neighborhood of \( P \).

Since \( \|a\| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \), the spectrum of \( i a \) is contained in \( T \). Consequently, the spectrum of \( u_1 \) is contained in \( P \). Applying analytic functional calculus to \( u_1 \) we get \( ia = \log(u_1) \). Since \( m : L^\infty(\mu) \to \mathcal{B}(L^p(\mu)) \) is an isometric homomorphism, we obtain

\[
a = -i \log(u_1) = -i \log(m_{h_1}) = m_{-i \log(h_1)}.
\]

Note that \(-i \log(h_1)\) belongs to \( L^\infty_\mathfrak{A}(\mu) \), which finishes the proof. \( \Box \)

Corollary 2.8. Let \( p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\} \), and let \((X, \Sigma, \mu)\) be any measure space. Then \( \mathcal{B}(L^p(\mu))_h \) is closed under multiplication.

Proof. Apply Proposition 2.6 to obtain a localizable measure algebra \((\mathfrak{A}, \hat{\mu})\) such that \( L^p(\mu) \cong L^p(\hat{\mu}) \). Then \( \mathcal{B}(L^p(\mu)) \) and \( \mathcal{B}(L^p(\hat{\mu})) \) are isometrically isomorphic as Banach algebras, and the result follows from Proposition 2.7. \( \Box \)

We have arrived at one of the main results of this section: every \( L^p \)-operator algebra contains a largest \( C^* \)-subalgebra.

Theorem 2.9. Let \( p \in [1, \infty) \), and let \( A \) be a unital \( L^p \)-operator algebra. Set \( \text{core}(A) := A_h + i A_h \). Then \( \text{core}(A) \) is the largest unital \( C^* \)-subalgebra of \( A \). If \( p \neq 2 \), then \( \text{core}(A) \) is commutative.

Proof. Let \( \varphi : A \to B(L^p(\mu)) \) be an isometric representation of \( A \) on some \( L^p \)-space \( L^p(\mu) \). Since \( \varphi(1) \) is a contractive idempotent on \( L^p(\mu) \), its image is isometrically isomorphic to an \( L^p \)-space by Theorem 6 in [39]. Thus, we may assume that \( A \) is a unital, closed subalgebra of \( B(L^2(\mu)) \) for some \( L^p \)-space \( L^p(\mu) \).

For \( p \neq 2 \), the result follows by combining Proposition 2.3 and Corollary 2.8. On the other hand, the result is standard for \( p = 2 \), and we give here a short argument: If \( \mathfrak{A} \subseteq B(L^2(\mu)) \) is unital, then \( \text{core}(A) \) is a subset of \( A \cap A^* \subseteq B(H) \). On the other hand, \( A \cap A^* \) is a unital \( C^* \)-subalgebra of \( A \), and thus

\[
A \cap A^* = (A \cap A^*)_h + i(A \cap A^*)_h \subseteq A_h + i A_h = \text{core}(A).
\]
Thus, core(A) = A ∩ A*, which is thus the largest unital C*-subalgebra of A. □

**Definition 2.10.** Let p ∈ [1, ∞), and let A be a unital L^p-operator algebra. We call the algebra core(A) := A_h + iA_h the C*-core of A.

**Example 2.11.** Let (X, μ) be a localizable measure space and let p ∈ [1, ∞) \ {2}. Then core(B(L^p(μ))) ≅ L^∞(μ), by multiplication operators.

**Remark 2.12.** Let (X, μ) be a localizable measure space, let p ∈ [1, ∞) \ {2}, and let A ⊆ B(L^p(μ)) be a closed subalgebra. Then A_h = A ∩ L^∞(μ), but in general core(A) is strictly smaller than A ∩ L^∞(μ).

The next result follows directly from [Lemma 2.4].

**Proposition 2.13.** Let p, q ∈ [1, ∞), let A be a unital L^p-operator algebra, let B be a unital L^q-operator algebra, and let ϕ: A → B be a unital, contractive, linear map. Then ϕ(core(A)) ⊆ core(B).

**Remark 2.14.** Proposition 2.13 does not generalize to non-unital maps, even if they are multiplicative: consider the homomorphism C → M_2 determined by sending the unit to a contractive, non-Hermitian idempotent, such as \( \frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \).

**Definition 2.15.** Given a unital Banach algebra A and a unital, closed subalgebra B ⊆ A, by a conditional expectation from A onto B we mean a contractive, unital linear map E: A → B satisfying E(b_1ab_2) = b_1E(a)b_2 for all a ∈ A and b_1, b_2 ∈ B.

**Remark 2.16.** In the above definition, note that E(b) = b for all b ∈ B.

The notion of a conditional expectation is well-established for C*-algebras, and generalizations to Banach algebras such as the one above (but also variations thereof) have been considered in several places; see for example [25].

We record the following fact for future use. It is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.13, since conditional expectations are unital and contractive.

**Proposition 2.17.** Let A be a unital L^p-operator algebra, let B ⊆ A be a unital, closed subalgebra, and let E: A → B be a conditional expectation. Then E(core(A)) = core(B), and thus E restricts to a conditional expectation between the respective C*-cores.

We end this section with a study of C*-cores in reduced crossed products. For this, we first recall some notation and elementary facts from [30].

Let G be a countable, discrete group, let A be a unital Banach algebra, and let \( \alpha: G \to \text{Aut}(A) \) be an action by isometric isomorphisms. We follow the notation from [30] and use \( C_c(G; A, \alpha) \) to denote the complex algebra of functions \( f: G \to A \) with finite support. Given \( a \in A \) and \( g \in G \), we let \( au_g \in C_c(G, A, \alpha) \) be the function that maps \( g \) to \( a \) and everything else to 0. We write \( u_g \) for \( 1_u_g \), and observe that any element in \( C_c(G, A, \alpha) \) can be written uniquely as a linear combination \( \sum_{g \in G} u_g u_{g^{-1}} \), where all but finitely many \( u_g \) are zero.

The product in \( C_c(G; A, \alpha) \) is determined by the (formal) rules \( u_g u_h = u_{g+h} \) and \( u_g au_{g^{-1}} = u_g(a) \), for \( g, h \in G \) and \( a \in A \). In particular, \( u_1 \) is the unit of \( C_c(G, A, \alpha) \). Moreover, we have canonical unital homomorphisms \( C_c(G; A, \alpha) \to C_c(G, A, \alpha) \) and \( A \to C_c(G, A, \alpha) \) given by \( u_g \mapsto u_1 u_g \) and \( a \mapsto au_1 \).

**Notation 2.18.** Let \( \tau_0: A \to B(L^p(X, \mu)) \) be a contractive representation. Let \( \nu \) denote the counting measure on \( G \). As in [30, Lemma 2.10], we identify \( L^p(G \times X, \nu \times \mu) \) with \( L^p(G, B(L^p(X, \mu))) \). By [30, Lemma 2.11], there is an isometric representation \( v \) of \( G \) on \( L^p(G \times X, \nu \times \mu) \) given by

\[
v_g(\xi)(h, x) = \xi(g^{-1}h, x),\]

for $g, h \in G$, $x \in X$ and $\xi \in L^p(G \times X, \nu \times \mu)$. Further, there is a contractive representation $\pi$ of $A$ on $L^p(G \times X, \nu \times \mu)$ given by

$$
(\pi(a)\xi)(g) = \pi_0(\alpha_h^{-1}(a))(\xi(g)),
$$

for $g \in G$, $a \in A$ and $\xi \in L^p(G, L^p(X, \mu)) \cong L^p(G \times X, \nu \times \mu)$. The pair $(v, \pi)$ induces a representation $\pi \rtimes v$ of $C_r(G, A, \alpha)$ on $L^p(G \times X, \nu \times \mu)$ determined by

$$
(\pi \rtimes v)(au_b) = \pi(a)v_g
$$

for all $a \in A$ and all $g \in G$. We call $\pi \rtimes v$ the regular representation of $C_r(G, A, \alpha)$ induced by $\pi_0$.

Using regular representations as above, one defines an $L^p$-operator norm on $C_r(G, A, \alpha)$ and then complete this object to obtain the reduced crossed product.

**Definition 2.19.** The reduced $L^p$-operator crossed product of $(G, A, \alpha)$, denoted by $F^{p}_{\lambda}(G, A, \alpha)$, is the completion of $C_r(G, A, \alpha)$ for the norm

$$
||x|| = \sup_{\pi \rtimes v} ||(\pi \rtimes v)(x)||,
$$

for $x \in C_r(G, A, \alpha)$, where $\pi \rtimes v$ ranges over all regular representations induced by unital, contractive representations of $A$ on $L^p$-spaces.

By [30] Remark 4.6], the identity on $A$ induces a unital, isometric homomorphism $A \to F^{p}_{\lambda}(G, A, \alpha)$, which we use to identify $A$ with a unital subalgebra of $F^{p}_{\lambda}(G, A, \alpha)$. We let $E : F^{p}_{\lambda}(G, A, \alpha) \to A$ be the standard condition expectation as in [30] Definition 4.11].

**Theorem 2.20.** Let $p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$, let $G$ be a countable, discrete group, let $A$ be a unital $L^p$-operator algebra, and let $\alpha : G \to \text{Aut}(A)$ be an action. Then the canonical embedding $A \subseteq F^{p}_{\lambda}(G, A, \alpha)$ identifies the $C^*$-core of $F^{p}_{\lambda}(G, A, \alpha)$ with that of $A$, that is, $\text{core}(F^{p}_{\lambda}(G, A, \alpha)) = \text{core}(A)$.

**Proof.** By [30] Lemma 3.19], we can choose an isometric, unital representation $\pi_0 : A \to B(L^p(X, \mu))$ such that the induced representation $\pi \rtimes v$ of $C_r(G, A, \alpha)$ induces the norm of $F^{p}_{\lambda}(G, A, \alpha)$. Given $g \in G$ and $\xi \in L^p(X, \mu)$, we let $\delta_g \otimes \xi$ denote the element in $\ell^p(G, L^p(X, \mu))$ that maps $g$ to $\xi$ and every other element in $G$ to zero. Then

$$
v_g(\delta_h \otimes \xi) = \delta_{gh} \otimes \xi, \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(a)(\delta_h \otimes \xi) = \delta_h \otimes \pi_0(\alpha_h^{-1}(a))(\xi),
$$

for $g, h \in G$, $a \in A$ and $\xi \in L^p(X, \mu)$. Define $F : B(\ell^p(G, L^p(X, \mu))) \to B(L^p(X, \mu))$ by

$$
F(x)(\xi) = (x(\delta_1 \otimes \xi))(1),
$$

for $x \in B(\ell^p(G, L^p(X, \mu)))$ and $\xi \in L^p(X, \mu)$.

**Claim 1:** We have $F \circ (\pi \rtimes v) = \pi_0 \circ E$, that is, the following diagram commutes:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
F^{p}_{\lambda}(G, A, \alpha) & \overset{\pi \rtimes v}{\longrightarrow} & B(\ell^p(G, L^p(X, \mu))) \\
E \downarrow & & \downarrow F \\
A & \overset{\pi_0}{\longrightarrow} & B(L^p(X, \mu)).
\end{array}
$$

Since all the maps involved are continuous, it is enough to verify the equality on $C_r(G, A, \alpha)$. Let $x = \sum_{g \in G} a_g b_g \in C_r(G, A, \alpha)$, and let $\xi \in L^p(X, \mu)$. Then

$$
(\pi_0 \circ E)(x)\xi = \pi_0(a_1)\xi.$$

On the other hand, we have
\[
(F \circ (\pi \times v))(x) = (\pi \times v)(x)(\delta_1 \otimes \xi)(1)
\]
\[
= \left( \sum_{g \in G} \pi(a_g)v_g \right) (\delta_1 \otimes \xi)(1)
\]
\[
= \left( \sum_{g \in G} \delta_g \otimes \pi_0(\alpha_g^{-1}(a_g))(\xi) \right)(1) = \pi_0(a_1)\xi.
\]

For the next two claims, we fix \( g \in G \setminus \{1\} \).

**Claim 2:** Let \( \eta : G \rightarrow L^\infty(X, \mu) \) be a bounded function with associated multiplication operator \( m_\eta \) in \( B(L^p(G, L^p(X, \mu))) \). Then \( F(m_\eta v_g) = 0 \). Given \( \xi \in L^p(X, \mu) \), we have
\[
F(m_\eta v_g)(\xi) = (m_\eta v_g(\delta_1 \otimes \xi))(1)
\]
\[
= (m_\eta(\delta_g \otimes \xi))(1)
\]
\[
= (\delta_g \otimes (m_\eta(g))(\xi))(1)
\]
\[
= 0.
\]

**Claim 3:** Let \( x \in \text{core}(F^p_{\lambda}(G, A, \alpha)) \). Then \( E(xu_g) = 0 \). Note that \((\pi \times v)(x)\) belongs to the \( C^*\)-core of \( B(L^p(G, L^p(X, \mu))) \), since \( \pi \times v \) is a contractive, unital map. Hence there exists a bounded function \( \eta : G \rightarrow L^\infty(X, \mu) \) such that \( m_\eta = (\pi \times v)(x) \).

Using Claim 1 at the first step, and using Claim 2 at the last step, we get
\[
\pi_0(E(xu_g)) = F((\pi \times v)(xu_g))
\]
\[
= F((\pi \times v)(x)v_g)
\]
\[
= F(m_\eta v_g)
\]
\[
= 0.
\]

Since \( \pi_0 \) is isometric, the claim follows.

Now let \( x \in \text{core}(F^p_{\lambda}(G, A, \alpha)) \). We want to verify that \( x = E(x) \). By Proposition 2.17 we have \( E(x) \in \text{core}(A) \subseteq \text{core}(F^p_{\lambda}(G, A, \alpha)) \). Thus, for each \( g \in G \setminus \{1\} \), we have
\[
E(xu_g) = 0 = E(E(x)u_g).
\]
For \( g = 1 \), we have \( E(xu_1) = E(x) = E(E(x)u_1) \). Since \( E \) is faithful (see Proposition 4.9), it follows that \( x = E(x) \), as desired.

**Corollary 2.21.** Let \( G \curvearrowright X \) be a topological action of a countable, discrete group \( G \) on a compact, Hausdorff space \( X \). Then \( \text{core}(F^p_{\lambda}(G, X)) = C(X) \).

Given a discrete group \( G \), we use \( F^p_{\lambda}(G) \) to denote its reduced group \( L^p\)-operator algebra \((30)\), which was originally introduced by Herz as the ‘algebra of \( p\)-pseudofunctions’ \((\text{see also (17) Definition 3.1)}\). We have \( F^p_{\lambda}(G) \cong F^p_{\lambda}(G, \{\ast\}) \).

**Corollary 2.22.** Let \( G \) be a countable discrete group. Then \( \text{core}(F^p_{\lambda}(G)) = \mathbb{C} \).

**Problem 2.23.** Given a countable, discrete group \( G \), determine the \( C^*\)-core of its full group \( L^p\)-operator algebra (the universal completion of \( C_c(G) \) for isometric representations of \( G \) on \( L^p\)-spaces).

More generally, given a group action of \( G \) on a (unital, separable) \( L^p\) operator algebra, determine the \( C^*\)-core of the full \( L^p\)-operator crossed product.

Another fundamental tool for the computation of \( C^*\)-cores will be given in Theorem 5.5. We postpone the discussion of further examples until then.
3. The Weyl groupoid of an $L^p$-operator algebra

From now on and until the end of this section, we fix $p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$. Given a unital $L^p$-operator algebra $A$, its core is a commutative, unital $C^*$-algebra by Theorem 2.9, and we write $X_A$ for its spectrum, which is a compact Hausdorff space. Under this identification, we will regard $C(X_A)$ as a unital subalgebra of $A$.

In this section, we first construct a canonical inverse semigroup of partial homeomorphisms on $X_A$; see Corollary 3.3. The associated groupoid of germs, which we denote $\mathcal{G}_A$, is a topologically principal, étale Hausdorff groupoid with unit space $X_A$, which we call the Weyl groupoid of $A$; see Definition 3.5.

The Weyl groupoid contains information about the internal dynamics of the algebra $A$. This can be best seen in the case of crossed products: we will show that for topologically principal actions, the Weyl groupoid of the crossed product can be naturally identified with the transformation groupoid (see Theorem 5.5 and the remarks at the beginning of Section 6 for the details).

For the next definition, we use $C(X_A)_+$ to denote the set of continuous functions $X_A \to [0, \infty)$. Note that $C(X_A)_+$ is the set of positive hermitian elements in $A$.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $A$ be a unital $L^p$-operator algebra. Given open subsets $U, V \subseteq X_A$ and a homeomorphism $\alpha : U \to V$, we say that $\alpha$ is realizable (within $A$) if there exist $a, b \in A$ satisfying

1. For all $f \in C(X_A)_+$, we have $afb, bfa \in C(X_A)_+$;
2. $U = \{x \in X_A : ba(x) > 0\}$ and $V = \{x \in X_A : ab(x) > 0\}$.
3. For all $x \in U$, all $y \in V$, all $f \in C_0(V)$ and all $g \in C_0(U)$, we have
   $$f(\alpha(x))ba(x) = bfa(x) \quad \text{and} \quad g(\alpha^{-1}(y))ab(y) = agb(y).$$

In this case, we say that $s = (a, b)$ is an admissible pair which realizes $\alpha$, and we write $\alpha = \alpha_s$, $U = U_s$ and $V = V_s$.

Realizable pairs as in the definition above will play the role of the normalizers used by Renault in [32]. Indeed, a pair $(a, b)$ replaces what in Renault’s context would be a pair of the form $(a, a^*)$ where $a$ is a normalizer. In our setting, however, there are a number of difficulties arising from the fact that in general the elements in an admissible pair are not related at all.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let $A$ be a unital $L^p$-operator algebra, and let $s = (a, b)$ and $t = (c, d)$ be admissible pairs in $A$.

(a) The inverse of $\alpha_s$ is realized by the reverse $s^f = (b, a)$ of $s$.

(b) The composition
   $$\alpha_s \circ \alpha_t|_{U_t \cap \alpha_t^{-1}(U_s)} : U_t \cap \alpha_t^{-1}(U_s) \to V_s \cap \alpha_s(V_t)$$
   is realized by the product $st = (ac, db)$.

(c) For every $f \in C(X_A)$, the pair $s_f = (f, \overline{f})$ is admissible and $\alpha_{s_f}$ is the identity on $U_{s_f}$. In particular, the identity map on every open subset of $X_A$ is realizable.

**Proof.** Part (a) is immediate from the definition, so we check (b). Condition (1) in Definition 3.1 is readily verified for the pair $(ac, db)$. Set
   $$U_{st} = U_t \cap \alpha_t^{-1}(U_s) \quad \text{and} \quad V_{st} = V_s \cap \alpha_s(V_t),$$
   which are open subsets of $X_A$. We claim that
   $$U_{st} = \{x \in X_A : dbac(x) > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad V_{st} = \{x \in X_A : dbac(x) > 0\},$$
   which is Condition (2) in Definition 3.1. We prove the first equality, since the other one is obtained by considering the reverses of $s$ and $t$. Set $f = ba$, which is a
strictly positive function on \( U_s = \{ x \in X_A : ba(x) > 0 \} \). Using condition (3) of Definition 3.1 for the pair \((c,d)\), we get
\[
dbac(x) = dfc(x) = f(\alpha_t(x))dc(x) = ba(\alpha_t(x))dc(x)
\]
for all \( x \in X_A \). Note that the composition \( f \circ \alpha_t \) is a strictly positive function in \( C_0(U_{st}) \). In particular, the expression above is positive if and only if \( ba(\alpha_t(x)) > 0 \) and \( dc(x) > 0 \), which is equivalent to \( x \in U_{st} \). This proves the claim.

It remains to verify Condition (3) in Definition 3.1; we will only do the first half, since the other one is analogous. Let \( x \in U_{st} \) and let \( f \in C_0(V_{st}) \). In the following computation, we use the identity \( dbac(x) = ba(\alpha_t(x))dc(x) \) at the first step; the fact that \((a,b)\) realizes \( \alpha_s \) at the second step; and the fact that \((c,d)\) realizes \( \alpha_t \) at the third step (applied to \( bfa \) in place of \( f \)), to get
\[
f(\alpha_s(\alpha_t(x)))dbac(x) = f(\alpha_s(\alpha_t(x)))ba(\alpha_t(x))dc(x)
= bfa(\alpha_t(x))dc(x)
= dbfac(x).
\]

This completes the proof.

Finally, part (c) is immediately checked, using that \( C(X_A) \) is commutative. \( \square \)

Recall that an inverse semigroup \( S \) is a semigroup \( S \) together with an involution \( \ast : S \to S \) satisfying \( ss^\ast s = s \) for all \( s \in S \). A inverse subsemigroup of \( S \) is a subsemigroup that is closed under the involution. A typical example of an inverse semigroup is the space \( \text{Homeo}_{\text{par}}(X) \) of partial homeomorphisms of a compact Hausdorff space \( X \).

**Corollary 3.3.** Let \( A \) be a unital \( L^p\)-operator algebra. Then the set of realizable partial homeomorphisms on \( X_A \) is an inverse subsemigroup of \( \text{Homeo}_{\text{par}}(X_A) \).

Next, we will use the inverse semigroup of realizable partial homeomorphisms on \( X_A \) to construct a Hausdorff étale groupoid with base space \( X_A \). Let us first recall some standard definitions; see [33].

**Definition 3.4.** A topological groupoid is a topological space \( \mathcal{G} \) endowed with a distinguished subset \( \mathcal{G}^{(2)} \subset \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \) of composable arrows and continuous operations \( \cdot : \mathcal{G}^{(2)} \to \mathcal{G} \) (composition) and \( -1 : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G} \) (inversion) satisfying

1. If \( (\gamma, \eta) \) and \( (\eta, \xi) \) belong to \( \mathcal{G}^{(2)} \), then so do \( (\gamma \eta, \xi) \) and \( (\gamma, \eta \xi) \) and we have \( (\gamma \eta) \cdot \xi = \gamma \cdot (\eta \xi) \).
2. For all \( \gamma \in \mathcal{G} \) we have \( (\gamma^{-1})^{-1} = \gamma \).
3. For all \( \gamma \in \mathcal{G} \) we have \( (\gamma, \gamma^{-1}) \in \mathcal{G}^{(2)} \).
4. For every \( (\gamma, \eta) \in \mathcal{G}^{(2)} \) we have \( \gamma^{-1}(\gamma \eta) = \eta \) and \( (\eta \gamma)^{-1} = \gamma \).

The set \( \mathcal{G}^{(0)} := \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{G} : \gamma = \gamma^{-1} = \gamma^2 \} \) is called the unit space of \( \mathcal{G} \). The domain and range maps \( \text{dom}, \text{ran} : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \) are given by
\[
\text{dom}(\gamma) := \gamma^{-1}\gamma, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ran}(\gamma) := \gamma \gamma^{-1}
\]
for all \( \gamma \in \mathcal{G} \). A groupoid is said to be étale if the (automatically continuous) domain and range maps are local homeomorphisms, and it is said to be Hausdorff if it is Hausdorff as a topological space.

We recall some standard notions related to inverse semigroups and groupoids. Let \( X \) be a compact Hausdorff space, and let \( S \subseteq \text{Homeo}_{\text{par}}(X) \) be an inverse subsemigroup. The groupoid of germs \( \mathcal{G}(S) \) of \( S \) is defined as follows. On the set \( \{(s,x) \in S \times X : s \in S, x \in \text{dom}(s)\} \), define an equivalence relation by setting \((s,x) \sim (t,y)\) whenever \( x = y \) and there exists a neighborhood \( U \) of \( x \) in \( X \) such that \( s|_U = t|_U \). We write \([s,x]\) for the
equivalence class of \((s,x)\). Then the quotient \(\mathcal{G}(S)\) by this equivalence relation has a natural structure of a groupoid with \(\text{ran}([s,x]) = s(x)\) and \(\text{dom}([s,x]) = x\), and operations given by
\[
[s, t \cdot y][t, y] = [st, y], \quad \text{and} \quad [s, x]^{-1} = [s^t, s(x)]
\]
for all \(s, t \in S\) and all \(x, y \in X\). With the topology defined by the basic open sets
\[
U_{s,x,V} = \{[s,x] : x \in U, s(x) \in V\},
\]
for \(U, V \subseteq X\) open and \(s \in S\), the groupoid \(\mathcal{G}(S)\) is étale and Hausdorff. The unit space of \(\mathcal{G}(S)\) can be canonically identified with \(X\), and is therefore compact.

**Definition 3.5.** Let \(A\) be a unital \(L^p\)-operator algebra. We define the Weyl groupoid of \(A\), denoted by \(\mathcal{G}_A\), to be the groupoid of germs of the inverse subsemigroup of realizable partial homeomorphisms of \(X_A\).

The Weyl groupoid of an \(L^p\)-operator algebra is sometimes too small to carry any useful information.

**Example 3.6.** Let \(G\) be a countable, discrete group, and let \(F^p_{\lambda}(G)\) be its reduced group \(L^p\)-operator algebra. Then \(X_{F^p_{\lambda}(G)} = \{e\}\) by Corollary 2.22 and thus \(\mathcal{G}_{F^p_{\lambda}(G)}\) is the trivial groupoid, regardless of \(G\).

What goes wrong in this case is the fact that the group \(G\), when regarded as a groupoid (with \(G^{(0)} = \ast\)), has very large stabilizers (or isotropy). The case we will be interested in, namely that of “small” stabilizers, is formalized in the following notion. Given a groupoid \(\mathcal{G}\) and \(x \in G^{(0)}\), the set \(x\mathcal{G}x = \{\gamma \in \mathcal{G} : \text{ran(}\gamma\text{)} = \text{dom(}\gamma\text{)} = x\}\) forms a group, called the isotropy group at \(x\). One says that \(x\) has trivial isotropy if \(x\mathcal{G}x\) contains only \(x\) itself. The set \(\mathcal{G}' := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{G} : \text{dom(}\gamma\text{)} = \text{ran(}\gamma\text{)}\}\) is also called the isotropy bundle.

**Definition 3.7** (32 Definition 3.5). An étale groupoid \(\mathcal{G}\) is said to be topologically principal if the set of points in \(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}\) with trivial isotropy is dense.

If \(\mathcal{G}\) is a topologically principal, Hausdorff, étale groupoid, then the interior of \(\mathcal{G}'\) is \(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}\), and the converse holds under additional assumptions; see [32], Proposition 3.6. The prototypical example of a topologically principal groupoid is the transformation groupoid of a topologically free action of a discrete group.

**Remark 3.8.** (See the beginning of Section 3 of [32].) Let \(\mathcal{G}\) be a Hausdorff étale groupoid, and denote by \(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{G})\) the inverse semigroup of its open bisections. Recall that any \(S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{G})\) defines a homeomorphism \(\beta_S : \text{dom}(S) \to \text{ran}(S)\) that satisfies \(\beta_S(x) = \text{ran}(Sx)\) for all \(x \in \text{dom}(S)\). Moreover, the induced map \(\beta : \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{G}) \to \text{Homeo}_{\text{par}}(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})\) is an inverse semigroup homomorphism. We let \(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G})\) denote the image of \(\beta\). By Corollary 3.4 in [32], the groupoid of germs of \(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G})\) is isomorphic to \(\mathcal{G}\) if and only if \(\mathcal{G}\) is topologically principal. Moreover, if this is the case, then \(\beta\) identifies \(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{G})\) bijectively with \(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G})\).

Being a groupoid of germs, \(\mathcal{G}_A\) is always topologically principal. We record this and other properties of it in the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.9.** Let \(A\) be a unital \(L^p\)-operator algebra. Then \(\mathcal{G}_A\) is topologically principal, Hausdorff, and étale, and \(\mathcal{G}_A^{(0)}\) is naturally homeomorphic to \(X_A\).

**Proof.** This is well-known; see, for example, Corollary 3.4 in [32].

In contrast to Example 3.6, we will show later that when \(A\) is the reduced \(L^p\)-groupoid algebra of a topologically principal groupoid (in the sense of [13], see Definition 4.3 below), then \(\mathcal{G}_A\) is a complete invariant for \(A\).
4. Reduced groupoid \(L^p\)-operator algebras

Throughout this section, \(\mathcal{G}\) denotes a locally compact, Hausdorff, étale groupoid, \(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}\) denotes its unit space, and \(p \in [1, \infty]\). The purpose of this section is to recall the construction of the reduced \(L^p\)-operator algebra of \(\mathcal{G}\) and to prove the basic properties that will be used later on. Given the absence of \(C^*\)-algebraic tools such as polar decomposition or continuous functional calculus, we spend some time on technical details. Our exposition is inspired by the notes of Sims, see Section 3.3 in [36].

We adopt the following notational conventions: for \(x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}\), we set
\[
\mathcal{G}x = \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{G} : \text{dom}(\gamma) = x \} \quad \text{and} \quad x\mathcal{G} = \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{G} : \text{ran}(\gamma) = x \}.
\]
(Of often these sets are denoted in the literature by \(\mathcal{G}_x\) and \(\mathcal{G}^x\), respectively.)

The first step is defining the appropriate convolution algebra.

**Definition 4.1.** We denote by \(C_c(\mathcal{G})\) the space of compactly supported, continuous functions \(\mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{C}\). For \(f, g \in C_c(\mathcal{G})\), their convolution is \(f \ast g : \mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{C}\) defined by
\[
(f \ast g)(\gamma) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G} : \text{dom}(\gamma)} f(\gamma^{-1}\sigma)g(\sigma)
\]
for all \(\gamma \in \mathcal{G}\). (Only finitely many summands are nonzero.)

Together with pointwise addition and scalar multiplication, this makes \(C_c(\mathcal{G})\) into a complex algebra.

Given \(f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})\) and \(\gamma \in \mathcal{G}\), we define \(\delta_\gamma \ast f, f \ast \delta_\gamma : \mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{C}\) by
\[
(\delta_\gamma \ast f)(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 
 f(\gamma^{-1}\sigma), & \text{if } \text{ran}(\sigma) = \text{ran}(\gamma) \\
 0, & \text{otherwise}, 
\end{cases}
\]
\[
(f \ast \delta_\gamma)(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 
 f(\sigma\gamma^{-1}), & \text{if } \text{dom}(\sigma) = \text{dom}(\gamma) \\
 0, & \text{otherwise}, 
\end{cases}
\]
for \(\sigma \in \mathcal{G}\).

Given \(x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}\), since \(\mathcal{G}\) is étale, the relative topology on \(\mathcal{G}x\) is discrete. Therefore, elements in \(C_c(\mathcal{G}x)\) are finite linear combinations of \(\delta_\gamma\) for \(\gamma \in \mathcal{G}x\). Using this, in the following proposition we show that one can define convolution between elements in \(C_c(\mathcal{G})\) and \(L^p(\mathcal{G}x)\).

Recall that the \(I\)-norm of \(f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})\) is given by
\[
\|f\|_I = \max \left\{ \sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}} |f(\sigma)|, \sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}} |f(\sigma)| \right\}.
\]

**Proposition 4.2.** Let \(\mathcal{G}\) be a locally compact, Hausdorff, étale groupoid, and fix \(x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}\). Let \(f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})\) and let \(\xi \in C_c(\mathcal{G}x)\). Then \(f \ast \xi\) belongs to \(C_c(\mathcal{G}x)\), and
\[
\|f \ast \xi\|_p \leq \|f\|_I \|\xi\|_p
\]
for every \(p \in [1, \infty]\). It follows that there exists a unique contractive representation \(\pi_\gamma : C_c(\mathcal{G}) \to \mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathcal{G}x))\) satisfying \(\pi_\gamma(f)(\xi) = f \ast \xi\) for all \(f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})\) and all \(\xi \in C_c(\mathcal{G}x)\).

**Proof.** We have
\[
\|f \ast \xi\|_1 = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}x} \left| \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}x} f(\gamma\sigma^{-1})\xi(\sigma) \right| \leq \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}x} |\xi(\sigma)| \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}x} |f(\gamma\sigma^{-1})| \leq \|f\|_I \|\xi\|_1.
\]
and

$$\|f * \xi\|_\infty = \sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} \left| \sum_{\tau \in \text{ran}(\gamma) \mathcal{G}} f(\tau) \xi(\tau^{-1}\gamma) \right|$$

$$\leq \sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} \left( \sum_{\tau \in \text{ran}(\gamma) \mathcal{G}} |f(\tau)| \sup_{\tau \in \text{ran}(\gamma) \mathcal{G}} |\xi(\tau^{-1}\gamma)| \right) \leq \|f\|_1 \|\xi\|_\infty.$$ 

Thus, the operator $C_\ell(\mathcal{G}x) \to C_\ell(\mathcal{G}x)$ given by left convolution by $f$ is bounded, and has norm at most $\|f\|_1$ for the 1- and $\infty$-norm on $C_\ell(\mathcal{G}x)$. Hence, the norm inequality follows from the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem. The second assertion in the statement is immediate. \qed

We call $\pi_x$ as in Proposition 4.2 the left regular representation of $\mathcal{G}$ associated to $x$.

**Definition 4.3.** The reduced groupoid $L^p$-operator algebra of $\mathcal{G}$, denoted $F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G})$, is the completion of $C_\ell(\mathcal{G})$ in the norm

$$\|f\|_\lambda := \sup \{ \|\pi_x(f)\| : x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \}$$

for all $f \in C_\ell(\mathcal{G})$.

**Remark 4.4.** Note that $\bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \pi_x$ is an isometric representation of $F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G})$ on an $L^p$-space, and thus $F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G})$ is an $L^p$-operator algebra. Moreover, $F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$ is unital if (and only if) $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is compact.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let $a \in F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G})$, let $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, and let $\sigma, \gamma \in \mathcal{G}x$. Then

$$\langle \pi_x(a)(\delta_\sigma), \delta_\gamma \rangle = \langle \pi_{\text{ran}(\sigma)}(a)(\delta_{\text{ran}(\sigma)}), \delta_{\gamma\sigma^{-1}} \rangle.$$ 

**Proof.** Set $y := \text{ran}(\sigma)$. To verify the formula for $C_\ell(\mathcal{G})$, let $f \in C_\ell(\mathcal{G})$. Then

$$\langle \pi_x(f)(\delta_\sigma), \delta_\gamma \rangle = \langle f * \delta_\sigma, \delta_\gamma \rangle = \langle f, \delta_\gamma \rangle = \langle \gamma\sigma^{-1}, \delta_{\gamma\sigma^{-1}} \rangle.$$ 

For general elements in $F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G})$, the formula follows using that both sides of the equation are continuous in the norm of $F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G})$. \qed

**Lemma 4.6.** We have

$$\|f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\lambda \leq \|f\|_1$$

for all $f \in C_\ell(\mathcal{G})$. Further, we have $\|f\|_\lambda = \|f\|_\infty$ for all $f \in C_\ell(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$.

**Proof.** Let $f \in C_\ell(\mathcal{G})$. The second inequality follows directly using that $\|\pi_x(f)\| \leq \|f\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$; see Proposition 4.2. To show the first inequality, let $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$. We need to verify $\|f(\gamma)\| \leq \|f\|_\lambda$. Set $x := \text{dom}(\gamma)$. Then

$$\|f\|_\lambda \geq \|\pi_x(f)\| \geq \|\pi_x(f)(\delta_\gamma)\|_p = \| \sum_{\sigma \in \gamma x} f(x)(\delta_\sigma) \|_p \geq \|f(\gamma)\|.$$ 

Lastly, if $f \in C_\ell(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$, then $\|f\|_\infty = \|f\|_1$ and the statement follows. \qed

**Notation 4.7.** We let $j : F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G}) \to C_0(\mathcal{G})$ denote the unique contractive, linear map that extends the identity on $C_\ell(\mathcal{G})$. We abbreviate $j(a)$ to $j_\lambda a$ for $a \in F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G})$.

**Proposition 4.8.** The map $j : F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G}) \to C_0(\mathcal{G})$ is injective and we have

$$j_\lambda(a) = \langle \pi_{\text{dom}(\gamma)}(a)(\delta_{\text{dom}(\gamma)}), \delta_\gamma \rangle$$

for every $a \in F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G})$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$. 
Proof. The proof is based on the proof of [36, Proposition 3.3.3]. To verify the formula for \( j \), let \( f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}) \), and let \( \gamma \in \mathcal{G} \). Set \( x := \text{dom}(\gamma) \). Then

\[
\langle \pi_x(f)(\delta_x), \delta_\gamma \rangle = \langle f * \delta_x, \delta_\gamma \rangle = f(\gamma) = j_f(\gamma),
\]

as desired. Using that both sides of the equation are continuous with respect to the norm in \( F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G}) \), we obtain the same formula for all elements in \( F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G}) \).

To show injectivity of \( j \), let \( a \in F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G}) \) with \( a \neq 0 \). Choose \( x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \) such that \( \pi_x(a) \neq 0 \). Then choose \( \sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{G} x \) such that \( \langle \pi_x(a)\delta_x, \delta_\tau \rangle \neq 0 \). Set \( y := \text{ran}(\sigma) \). Using Lemma 4.5 at the second step, we obtain

\[
j_a(\tau \sigma^{-1}) = (\pi_y(a)(\delta_y), \delta_{\tau^{-1}}) = (\pi_x(a)(\delta_\sigma), \delta_\tau) \neq 0
\]

and thus \( j \) is injective.

**Lemma 4.9.** We have

\[ \| \delta_\gamma * f \|_{\lambda} \leq \| f \|_{\gamma}, \text{ and } \| f * \delta_\gamma \|_{\lambda} \leq \| f \|_{\gamma}, \]

for all \( \gamma \in \mathcal{G} \) and \( f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}) \).

It follows that left and right convolution by \( \delta_\gamma \) extend to contractive, linear maps \( F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G}) \to F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G}) \).

**Lemma 4.10.** Let \( p' \in (1, \infty] \) be the Hölder exponent that is dual to \( p \), and let \( x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \). We define \( \ell_x : F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G}) \to \ell^{p'}(\mathcal{G} x) \) and \( r_x : F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G}) \to \ell^{p'}(\mathcal{G} x) \) by

\[ \ell_x(a) := \pi_x(a)(\delta_x), \text{ and } r_x(a) := \pi_x(a)'(\delta_x), \]

for \( a \in F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G}) \), where we identify \( \ell^{p'}(\mathcal{G} x) \) with the dual of \( \ell^p(\mathcal{G} x) \), and where \( \pi_x(a)' : \ell^p(\mathcal{G} x)' \to \ell^{p'}(\mathcal{G} x)' \) denotes the transpose of \( \pi_x(a) \).

Then \( \ell_x \) and \( r_x \) are contractive, linear maps, and we have

\[ \ell_x(a)(\gamma) = j_a(\gamma), \text{ and } r_x(a)(\gamma) = j_a(\gamma^{-1}), \]

for all \( a \in F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G}) \) and \( \gamma \in \mathcal{G} x \).

**Proof.** Let \( a \in F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G}) \) and \( \gamma \in \mathcal{G} x \). Then

\[ \ell_x(a)(\gamma) = \langle \pi_x(a)(\delta_x), \delta_\gamma \rangle = j_a(\gamma). \]

Further, using Lemma 4.3 at the third step, we get

\[ r_x(a)(\gamma) = \langle \pi_x(a)'(\delta_x), \delta_\gamma \rangle = \langle \pi_x(a)(\delta_x), \delta_\gamma \rangle = \langle \pi_{\text{dom}(\gamma)}(a)(\delta_{\text{dom}(\gamma)}), \delta_{\gamma^{-1}} \rangle = j_a(\gamma^{-1}), \]

as desired. \( \square \)

**Proposition 4.11.** Let \( a, b \in F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G}) \) and \( \gamma \in \mathcal{G} \). Set \( x := \text{dom}(\gamma) \). Then

\[ j_{a+b}(\gamma) = \langle r_x(\delta_{\gamma^{-1}} * a), \ell_x(b) \rangle = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G} x} j_a(\gamma \sigma^{-1}) j_b(\sigma), \]

and the sum is absolutely convergent.

**Proof.** The proof is based on the proof of [33, Proposition III.4.2]. Fix \( \sigma \in \mathcal{G} x \).

**Claim 1:** We have \( r_x(\delta_{\gamma^{-1}} * a)(\sigma) = j_a(\gamma \sigma^{-1}) \). For \( f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}) \), we get

\[
r_x(\delta_{\gamma^{-1}} * f)(\sigma) = \langle \pi_x(\delta_{\gamma^{-1}} * f)(\delta_x), \delta_\sigma \rangle = \langle \delta_x, \pi_x(\delta_{\gamma^{-1}} * f)(\delta_\sigma) \rangle = \langle \delta_x, \delta_{\gamma^{-1}} * f * \delta_\sigma \rangle = f(\gamma \sigma^{-1}),
\]

Now the claim follows since \( C_c(\mathcal{G}) \) is dense in \( F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G}) \).
Claim 2: We have $\pi_x(a)^*(\delta_\gamma) = j_a(\gamma \sigma^{-1})$. Using Lemma 4.5 at the third step, we get

$$\pi_x(a)^*(\delta_\gamma)(\sigma) = \langle \pi_x(a)^*(\delta_\gamma), \delta_\sigma \rangle$$
$$= \langle \delta_\gamma, \pi_x(a)(\delta_\sigma) \rangle$$
$$= \langle \pi_{\text{ran}}(\sigma)(a)(\delta_{\text{ran}}(\sigma)), \delta_{\gamma \sigma^{-1}} \rangle$$
$$= j_a(\gamma \sigma^{-1})$$.

It follows from Claims 1 and 2 that $\pi_x(a)^*(\delta_\gamma) = r_x(\delta_{\gamma^{-1} \ast a})$, and therefore
$$j_{a \ast b}(\gamma) = \langle \pi_x(a)[\pi_x(b)(\delta_x)], \delta_\gamma \rangle$$
$$= \langle \pi_x(a)^*(\delta_\gamma), \pi_x(b)(\delta_x) \rangle$$
$$= \langle r_x(\delta_{\gamma^{-1} \ast a}), \ell_x(b) \rangle,$$
which proves the first equality. Now the second equality follows from Claim 1 and Lemma 4.10. Moreover, the sum is absolutely convergent since it is given by the pairing between $\ell^p(Gx)$ and its dual $\ell^q(Gx)$. 

Notation 4.12. The inclusion $C_c(G^{(0)}) \subseteq C_c(G)$ extends to an isometric, multiplicative map $C_0(G^{(0)}) \to F_X^r(G)$, which we use to identify $C_0(G^{(0)})$ with a closed subalgebra of $F_X^r(G)$. We let $E: F_X^p(G) \to C_0(G^{(0)})$ denote the composition of $j$ followed by the restriction $C_0(G) \to C_0(G^{(0)})$.

Proposition 4.13. The map $E$ defined above is contractive and satisfies

$$E(f) = f \quad \text{and} \quad E(fag) = fE(a)g$$

for all $f, g \in C_0(G^{(0)})$ and $a \in F_X^p(G)$. In particular, if $G^{(0)}$ is compact, then $E$ is a conditional expectation in the sense of Definition 2.15.

Proof. We have $E(f) = f$ for every $f \in C_c(G^{(0)})$, which implies the same for elements in $C_0(G^{(0)})$. Now, let $f, g \in C_0(G^{(0)})$, let $a \in F_X^{p}(G)$, and let $x \in G^{(0)}$. Using Proposition 4.11 at the second and fourth step, and using at the third and fifth step that $j_0(\sigma) = 0$ and $j_f(\sigma^{-1}) = 0$ unless $\sigma = x$, we get

$$E(fag)(x) = j_{fag}(x)$$
$$= \sum_{\sigma \in G^{(0)}} jfa(\sigma^{-1})j_f(\sigma)$$
$$= jfa(x)g(x)$$
$$= \sum_{\sigma \in G^{(0)}} jf(\sigma^{-1})j_a(\sigma)g(x)$$
$$= f(x)j_a(x)g(x)$$
$$= [fE(a)]g(x),$$
as desired. Since $E(1) = 1$ when $G^{(0)}$ is compact, the last assertion follows. 

5. $L^p$-rigidity of reduced groupoid algebras

The main result of this section, Theorem 5.5, asserts that if $G$ is a topologically principal, Hausdorff, étale groupoid with compact unit space and $p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$, then the Weyl groupoid of its reduced $L^p$-operator algebra is naturally isomorphic to $G$. This reveals a stark contrast with the case of $C^*$-algebras, and further implications of this rigidity phenomenon will be given in Sections 6 and 7.

It should be noted that virtually all concrete families of $L^p$-operator algebras that have been systematically studied so far can be realized as the $L^p$-operator algebras
of étale groupoids; see [13]. Thus, adopting the groupoid perspective allows one to prove results about vast classes of algebras with a unified argument.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let $G$ be a Hausdorff, étale groupoid with compact unit space, and let $p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$. Then $\text{core} (F^p(G)) = C(G^{(0)})$.

**Proof.** Let $a \in \text{core}(F^p(G))$. With $j: F^p(G) \to C_0(G)$ defined as in [Notation 4.7] we will show that the support of $j_a$ is contained in $G^{(0)}$. Once this is accomplished, it follows that $j_a$ belongs to $C(G^{(0)})$. Moreover, $a$ and $j_a$ are two elements in $F^p(G)$ whose images under $j$ agree. Since $j$ is injective by [Proposition 4.8], it follows that $a = j_a$ and hence $a$ belongs to $C(G^{(0)})$.

Given $x \in G^{(0)}$, note that $\pi_x : F^p(G) \to B(\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}_x))$ is a unital, contractive homomorphism. It follows from [Proposition 2.13] (and [Example 2.11]) that $\pi_x(a)$ is the multiplication operator in $B(\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}_x))$ given by some element in $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}_x)$. In particular, we have $\pi_x(a)\delta_x = c\delta_x$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let $\gamma \in G \setminus G^{(0)}$. Then $\gamma \neq \text{dom}(\gamma)$. Using this at the last step, and using [Proposition 4.8] at the first step, we obtain (for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$) that

$$j_a(\gamma) = \langle \pi_{s(\gamma)}(a)\delta_{s(\gamma)}, \delta_\gamma \rangle = \langle c\delta_{s(\gamma)}, \delta_\gamma \rangle = 0,$$

as desired. \qed

The computation of the following $C^*$-cores is an immediate consequence of [Proposition 5.1]. We refer the reader to [30] for the definition of the spatial $L^p$-UHF-algebras, and to [1] for the definition of $L^p$-graph algebras.

**Examples 5.2.** Fix $p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$.

1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\text{core}(M_n) = C^n$, identified as the diagonal matrices. More generally, if $D$ is the $L^p$ UHF-algebra of type $2^n; 3^n; \ldots; q^n; \ldots$, then $\text{core}(D)$ can be canonically identified with the continuous functions on the Cantor space $\prod_{q \text{ prime}} \{1, \ldots, q\}$. A similar description can be obtained for AF-algebras in terms of their Bratteli diagrams.

2. If $Q$ is a finite directed graph, then $\text{core}(\mathcal{O}^p(Q))$ can be canonically identified with $\bigoplus_{\mu \text{ path in } Q} \{ s_p, s_Q^*: \mu \text{ path in } Q \}$. In particular, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the spectrum of $\text{core}(\mathcal{O}^p_n)$ can be canonically identified with the Cantor space.

We now proceed to relate two classes of partial homeomorphisms on $G^{(0)}$: The ones that are realized by admissible pairs in $F^p(G)$, and the ones that are induced by open bisections of $G$.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let $G$ be a Hausdorff, étale groupoid with compact unit space, let $p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$, and let $S$ be an open bisection of $G$. Then the partial homeomorphism of $G^{(0)}$ induced by $S$ is realizable by an admissible pair in $F^p(G)$ [Definition 3.1].

**Proof.** Choose $h \in C(G^{(0)})_+$ with $\text{dom}(S) = \{ x \in G^{(0)} : h(x) > 0 \}$ and define $a, b : G \to C$ by

$$a(\gamma) = \begin{cases} h(\text{dom}(\gamma)), & \text{if } \gamma \in S, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad b(\gamma) = \begin{cases} h(\text{ran}(\gamma)), & \text{if } \gamma^{-1} \in S, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $\gamma \in G$. Then $a$ and $b$ belong to $F^p(G)$ since they are limits in the $I$-norm of elements in $C_c(S)$.

We show that $s = (a, b)$ is an admissible pair that realizes $\beta_S : \text{dom}(S) \to \text{ran}(S)$. To simplify the notation, we will omit the map $j$ from [Notation 4.7] and identify elements in $F^p(G)$ with their images in $C_0(G)$. To check the first condition, let
Let \( f \in C(G^{(0)})_+ \) and let \( \gamma \in G \). Then
\[ bfa(\gamma) = \sum_{\sigma \in G \text{ dom}(\gamma)} b(\gamma^{-1}) f(\text{ran}(\sigma)) a(\sigma). \]

If \( bfa(\gamma) \neq 0 \), then there is \( \sigma \in G \) with \( b(\gamma^{-1}) f(\text{ran}(\sigma)) a(\sigma) \neq 0 \), which implies that \( \sigma \in S \) and \( \sigma \gamma^{-1} = (\gamma^{-1})^{-1} \in S \), and since \( S \) is a bisection we get \( \gamma^{-1} = \text{dom}(\sigma) \), that is, \( \gamma \in G^{(0)} \). Thus, the support of \( bfa \) is contained in \( G^{(0)} \), and it follows that \( bfa \) belongs \( C(G^{(0)})_+ \), as desired. Analogously, one obtains that \( afb \in C(G^{(0)})_+ \).

Let us check the second condition. It follows from the first condition that \( ba, ab \in C(G^{(0)})_+ \). Given \( x \in \text{dom}(S) \), let \( \sigma_0 \in S \) be the unique element satisfying \( \text{dom}(\sigma_0) = x \). Then
\[ ba(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in G \text{ dom}(x)} b(\sigma^{-1}) a(\sigma) = b(\sigma_0^{-1}) a(\sigma_0) = h(x)^2. \]

If \( x \in G^{(0)} \setminus \text{dom}(S) \), then \( ba(x) = 0 \). Thus \( ba = h^2 \), which implies that
\[ \text{dom}(S) = \text{supp}(h) = \text{supp}(h^2) = \text{supp}(ba). \]

Similarly one shows that \( ab(\beta_S(x)) = h(x)^2 \) for \( x \in \text{dom}(S) \) and \( ab(\beta_S(x)) = 0 \) for \( x \in G^{(0)} \setminus \text{dom}(S) \), and thus
\[ \text{ran}(S) = \beta_S(\text{dom}(S)) = \text{supp}(ab). \]

To check the third condition, let \( x \in U_s = \text{dom}(S) \) and \( f \in C_0(V_s) = C_0(\text{ran}(S)). \)

Let \( \sigma_0 \in S \) be the unique element with \( \text{dom}(\sigma_0) = x \). Then \( \text{ran}(\sigma_0) = \beta_S(x) \), so
\[ bfa(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in G \text{ dom}(x)} b(\sigma^{-1}) f(\text{ran}(\sigma)) a(\sigma) \]
\[ = b(\sigma_0^{-1}) f(\text{ran}(\sigma_0)) a(\sigma_0) \]
\[ = h(x)^2 f(\beta_S(x)) \]
\[ = ba(x) f(\beta_S(x)). \]

Analogously, one shows that \( ab\beta(y) = g(\alpha_s^{-1}(y))ab(y) \) for \( y \in V_s \) and \( g \in C_0(U_s) \).

It follows that \( s = (a, b) \) is an admissible pair that realizes \( \beta_S \).

Next, we show that for a groupoid as in the previous lemma, which is moreover topological principal, any admissible pair in the sense of [Definition 3.1] naturally determines an open bisection such that the respective induced partial homomorphisms on \( G^{(0)} \) agree.

**Lemma 5.4.** Let \( G \) be a topologically principal, Hausdorff, étale groupoid with compact unit space, let \( p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\} \), and let \( s = (a, b) \) be an admissible pair in \( F^p_s(G) \). Set
\[ S := \{ \gamma \in G : a(\gamma), b(\gamma^{-1}) \neq 0 \}. \]

Then \( S \) is an open bisection in \( G \) such that \( \beta_S = \alpha_s \).

**Proof.** To simplify the notation, we will omit the map \( j \) from [Notation 4.7] and identify elements in \( F^p_s(G) \) with their images in \( C_0(G) \).

**Claim 1:** Let \( \gamma \in G \). Then \( a(\gamma)b(\gamma^{-1}) \geq 0 \). Assume that \( a(\gamma)b(\gamma^{-1}) < 0 \). Using that \( a \) and \( b \) are continuous (when viewed as functions on \( G \), we can choose an open neighborhood \( U \) of \( \gamma \) such that \( a(\sigma)b(\sigma^{-1}) < 0 \) for all \( \sigma \in U \). Set \( V := \text{dom}(U) \), which is an open subset of \( G^{(0)} \). Since \( G \) is topologically principal, we can pick
\[ f \in C(G^{(0)})_+ \]
\(x_0 \in V\) with trivial isotropy. Pick \(\sigma_0 \in U\) with \(\text{dom}(\sigma_0) = x_0\) and set \(y_0 := \text{ran}(\sigma_0)\). Since \(x_0\) has trivial isotropy, \(\sigma_0\) is the unique element in \(Gx\) with range \(y_0\). Since

\[
ba(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in Gx} b(\sigma^{-1})a(\sigma)
\]

converges absolutely, the set of \(\sigma\) in \(Gx_0\) with \(b(\sigma^{-1})a(\sigma) \neq 0\) is at most countable. Set \(t := b(\sigma_0^{-1})a(\sigma_0) < 0\). Choose a neighborhood \(W\) of \(y_0\) in \(G^{(0)}\) such that

\[
\sum_{\sigma \in Gx_0, \text{ran}(\sigma) \in W \setminus \{y_0\}} |b(\sigma^{-1})a(\sigma)| < |t| = -t.
\]

Choose \(f \in C_0(G^{(0)})\) with \(0 \leq f \leq 1\), with \((f(y_0)) = 1\), and such that the support of \(f\) is contained in \(W\). Then

\[
bf a(x_0) = \sum_{\sigma \in Gx_0} b(\sigma^{-1})f(\text{ran}(\sigma))a(\sigma)
\]

\[
= b(\sigma_0^{-1})f(\text{ran}(\sigma_0))a(\sigma_0) + \sum_{\sigma \in Gx_0, \text{ran}(\sigma) \in W \setminus \{y_0\}} b(\sigma^{-1})f(\text{ran}(\sigma))a(\sigma)
\]

\[
\leq t + \sum_{\sigma \in Gx_0, \text{ran}(\sigma) \in W \setminus \{y_0\}} |b(\sigma^{-1})a(\sigma)| < 0,
\]

which contradicts condition (1) in [Definition 3.1](#).

**Claim 2:** Let \(\gamma \in S\). Then \(\text{dom}(\gamma) \in U_s\) and \(\text{ran}(\gamma) \in V_s\). Set \(x := \text{dom}(\gamma)\) and \(y := \text{ran}(\gamma)\). Applying Claim 1 at the second step, we get

\[
ba(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in Gx} b(\sigma^{-1})a(\sigma) \geq b(\gamma^{-1})a(\gamma) > 0,
\]

which by condition (2) in [Definition 3.1](#) implies that \(x \in U_s\). Analogously, we have

\[
ab(y) = \sum_{\sigma \in yy^0} a(\sigma)b(\sigma^{-1}) \geq a(\gamma)b(\gamma^{-1}) > 0,
\]

which implies that \(y \in V_s\).

**Claim 3:** Let \(\gamma \in S\), and set \(x := \text{dom}(\gamma)\). Then \(\text{ran}(\gamma) = \alpha_s(x)\). Assume that \(\text{ran}(\gamma) \neq \alpha_s(x)\). Choose \(f \in C_0(V_s)_+\) with \(f(\alpha_s(x)) = 0\) and \(f(\text{ran}(\gamma)) = 1\). Using the third condition in [Definition 3.1](#) at the second step, we get

\[
0 = f(\alpha_s(x)) = \frac{bf a(x)}{ba(x)} = \sum_{\sigma \in Gx} \frac{b(\sigma^{-1})f(\text{ran}(\sigma))a(\sigma)}{ba(x)} \geq \frac{b(\gamma^{-1})a(\gamma)}{ba(x)} > 0.
\]

This contradiction proves the claim.

Set

\[
T := \{\eta \in G : \text{dom}(\eta) \in U_s \text{ and } \text{ran}(\eta) = \alpha_s(\text{dom}(\eta))\}.
\]

We have shown that \(S \subseteq T\), and hence

\[
SS^{-1} \subseteq TT^{-1} \subseteq G' := \{\gamma \in G : \text{ran}(\gamma) = \text{dom}(\gamma)\}.
\]

Since \(a\) and \(b\) are continuous (as functions on \(G\)), it follows that their supports are open subsets, and hence \(S \subseteq G\) is open as well. We deduce that the open set \(SS^{-1}\) is contained in the interior of \(G'\), which equals \(G^{(0)}\) since \(G\) is topologically principal; see [32 Proposition 3.6]. An analogous reasoning implies that \(S^{-1}S\) is contained in \(G^{(0)}\), proving that \(S\) is an open bisection.

**Claim 4:** Let \(x \in U_s\). Then there exists \(\gamma \in S\) with \(\text{dom}(\gamma) = x\). We have

\[
0 < ba(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in Gx} b(\sigma^{-1})a(\sigma)
\]

which implies that there is \(\gamma \in Gx\) with \(b(\gamma^{-1})a(\gamma) > 0\). Then \(\text{dom}(\gamma) = x\) and \(\gamma \in S\), as desired.
It follows from Claim 4 that $\text{dom}(S) = U_s$. It remains verify $\beta_s = \alpha_s$, let $x \in U_s$. Let $\gamma \in S$ be the unique element with $\text{dom}(\gamma) = x$. By Claim 3, we have $\beta_s(x) = \text{ran}(\gamma) = \alpha_s(x)$, as desired. □

The following is the main result of this section. It shows that a large class of groupoids can be recovered from their reduced groupoid $L^p$-operator algebras.

**Theorem 5.5.** Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a topologically principal, Hausdorff, étale groupoid with compact unit space, and let $p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$. Then there is a natural identification

$$
\mathcal{G}_{F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G})} = \mathcal{G}.
$$

**Proof.** We identify $C(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$ with the $C^*$-core of $F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G})$ as in Proposition 5.1.

It follows from Lemmas 5.2 and 5.4 that the set of local homeomorphisms on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ realized by an admissible pair in $F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G})$ agrees with the set of local homeomorphisms on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ isolated by open bisections of $\mathcal{G}$. By definition, $\mathcal{G}_{F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G})}$ is the groupoid of germs of the former set. Further, $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to the groupoid of germs of the latter set (Remark 3.8), which implies the result. □

**Corollary 5.6.** Let $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ be topologically principal, Hausdorff, étale groupoids with compact unit spaces, and let $p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$. Then, there is an isometric isomorphism $F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{G}) \cong F^p_\lambda(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if there is a groupoid isomorphism $\mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{H}$.

6. $L^p$-RIGIDITY OF DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

We now specialize to transformation groupoids obtained from topological dynamical systems.

**Definition 6.1.** Let $G$ be a discrete group, and let $X$ be a compact Hausdorff space. Given an action $\sigma: G \to \text{Homeo}(X)$ of $G$ on $X$, we define its transformation groupoid $G \rtimes X$ to be the product space $G \times X$ endowed with the operations

$$(g, \sigma_h(x))(h, x) = (gh, x), \quad (g, x)^{-1} = (g^{-1}, \sigma_g(x))$$

for all $g, h \in G$ and all $x \in X$.

In the context of the above definition, the groupoid $G \rtimes X$ is clearly étale and its unit space is $X$. Moreover, for $p \in [1, \infty)$, it was proved in [13] that the reduced groupoid $L^p$-operator algebra $F^p_\lambda(G \rtimes X)$ is naturally isometrically isomorphic to the reduced $L^p$-crossed product $F^p_\lambda(G, X)$.

Recall that an action of a countable discrete group $G$ on a compact Hausdorff space $X$ is said to be topologically free if $\{x \in X : g \cdot x = x \text{ implies } g = 1\}$ is dense in $X$. Equivalently, the transformation groupoid $G \rtimes X$ is topologically principal. For such transformation groupoids, groupoid isomorphism can be rephrased in terms of the underlying dynamics, via the notion of continuous orbit equivalence, which we recall below (see Definition 2.5 in [26]).

**Definition 6.2.** Let $G$ and $H$ be countable discrete groups, let $X$ and $Y$ be compact Hausdorff spaces, and let $G \rtimes^\sigma X$ and $H \rtimes^\rho Y$ be actions. One says that $\sigma$ and $\rho$ are continuously orbit equivalent, written $G \rtimes^\sigma X \sim_{\text{coe}}^\rho H \rtimes Y$, if there exist a homeomorphism $\theta: X \to Y$ and continuous cocycle maps $c_H: G \times X \to H$ and $c_G: H \times Y \to G$ satisfying

$$
\theta(\sigma_g(x)) = \rho_{c_H(g, x)}(\theta(x)), \quad \theta^{-1}(\rho_h(y)) = \sigma_{c_G(h, y)}(\theta^{-1}(y))
$$

for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, $g \in G$ and $h \in H$.

As we mentioned above, continuous orbit equivalence recovers precisely the notion of isomorphism of the transformation groupoids in the topologically free case. This observation has been made several times in the literature; see, for example, the equivalence between (i) and (ii) in Theorem 1.2 of [26].
Lemma 6.3. Let $G$ and $H$ be countable discrete groups, let $X$ and $Y$ be compact Hausdorff spaces, and let $G \curvearrowright X$ and $H \curvearrowright Y$ be topologically free actions. Then $G \curvearrowright X \sim_{	ext{co}} H \curvearrowright Y$ if and only if there exists a groupoid isomorphism $G \times X \cong H \times Y$.

We are now ready to present our main application to isomorphisms of $L^p$-crossed products by topologically free actions.

Theorem 6.4. Let $p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$, let $G$ and $H$ be countable discrete groups, let $X$ and $Y$ be compact Hausdorff spaces, and let $G \curvearrowright X$ and $H \curvearrowright Y$ be topologically free actions. Then the following are equivalent:

1. There is an isometric isomorphism $F^p_X(G, X) \cong F^p_X(H, Y)$;
2. $G \curvearrowright X$ and $H \curvearrowright Y$ are continuously orbit equivalent;

Proof. Since there are canonical isometric identifications $F^p_X(G, X) \cong F^p_X(G \times X)$ and $F^p_Y(H, Y) \cong F^p_Y(H \times Y)$, and the groupoids $G \times X$ and $H \times Y$ are topologically principal, [Theorem 5.5] implies that condition (1) is equivalent to the existence of a groupoid isomorphism $G \times X \cong H \times Y$. This, in turn, is equivalent to $G \curvearrowright X \sim_{	ext{co}} H \curvearrowright Y$ by [Lemma 6.3] thus completing the proof.  

7. Tensor products of $L^p$-Cuntz algebras

Tensor products of Cuntz algebras have played a pivotal role in the study of the structure and classification of simple, purely infinite, nuclear $C^*$-algebras (also known as Kirchberg algebras). A particularly remarkable result in this direction, due to Elliott, which was instrumental in the classification results of Kirchberg and Phillips, is the fact that $O_2$ is isomorphic to $O_2 \otimes O_2$; see [34].

In [29], Phillips introduced $L^p$-analogs $O_n^p$ of the Cuntz algebras, and proved that these $L^p$-operator algebras share many remarkable properties with their $C^*$-versions.

It is natural to explore the extent to which the $K$-theoretic classification theory for Kirchberg algebras can be extended to the $L^p$-setting. In particular, it becomes indispensable to know whether $O_n^p \otimes p O_2^p$ is isometrically isomorphic to $O_2^p$. In this section, we show that this is not the case, and deduce that purely infinite, simple, amenable $L^p$-operator algebras are not classified by $K$-theory. This answers several questions of Phillips.

We begin by recalling Phillips’ construction of $L^p$-Cuntz algebras.

Definition 7.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 1$, and let $p \in [1, \infty)$. Let $L_n$ denote the corresponding Leavitt path algebra, which is the universal unital complex algebra generated by elements $s_1, \ldots, s_n, t_1, \ldots, t_n$ satisfying

$$t_j s_k = \delta_{j,k}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n s_j t_j = 1,$$

for $j, k = 1, \ldots, n$. There is a canonical unital homomorphism $\varphi: M_n \to L_n$ given by $\varphi(e_{j,k}) = s_j t_k$ for all $j, k = 1, \ldots, n$, where $e_{j,k}$ denote the matrix units in $M_n$.

Given an $L^p$-space $E$ and a unital representation $\rho: L_n \to \mathcal{B}(E)$ satisfying

- $\rho(s_j)$ is an isometry for all $j = 1, \ldots, n$, and
- $\rho \circ \varphi: M_n^p \to \mathcal{B}(E)$ is contractive,

one defines the $L^p$-Cuntz algebra $O_n^p$ to be $O_n^p = \overline{\rho(L_n)}$.

A representation $\rho$ as in the definition above is called spatial, and examples of such representations are easy to construct using shift operators on $l^p(\mathbb{N})$. Moreover, the algebra $O_n^p$ is independent of $\rho$, by Theorem 8.7 in [29]. For $p = 2$, one gets the usual Cuntz $C^*$-algebras [6].
Remark 7.2. We will use here spatial tensor products of $L^p$-operator algebras, as discussed in Remark 1.14 and Example 1.15 of [30]. In particular, this gives a construction of matrix algebras: if $A \subseteq B(L^p(\mu))$ is an $L^p$-operator algebra and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and with $c_n$ denoting the counting measure on the $n$-point space, the matrix algebra $M_n(A)$ is the $L^p$-operator algebra

$$A \otimes_p M_n^p \subseteq B(L^p(\mu \times c_n)).$$

The following is inspired by Section 2 in [37]. Part of the conclusion is the fact that the full and reduced crossed products of the relevant action are canonically isometrically isomorphic (even though the group is not amenable).

We recall that if $Q$ is a finite directed graph and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $M_n(Q)$ denotes the $n$-th amplification of $Q$; see Section 5 in [4]. For $p \in [1, \infty)$, there is a natural isometric isomorphism $\mathcal{O}^p(M_n(Q)) \cong M_n^p \otimes_p \mathcal{O}^p(Q)$.

Theorem 7.3. Let $p \in [1, \infty)$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$. Then there exist a topologically free action of $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$ on the Cantor set $X$ satisfying $F^p(\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}, X) = F^p_X(\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}, X)$, and an isometric isomorphism

$$F^p_X(\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}, X) \cong M_n^p \otimes_p \mathcal{O}^p_n.$$ 

Proof. We identify the Cantor set $X$ as

$$X = \left\{ x : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_{n+1} \middle| \begin{array}{l} \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ there is } j_k \in \{2, n+1\} \text{ such that } x(k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{j_k} \subset \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_{n+1} \\
\text{and } j_k \neq j_{k+1} \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N} \end{array} \right\}.$$ 

We denote by $a \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ the nontrivial element, and by $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$ the canonical generator of order $n+1$. Define an action $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_{n+1} \acts X$ by

$$(ax)(k) = \begin{cases} x(k+1) & \text{if } x(0) = a; \\
x(k) & \text{if } j_0 = 2, x(0) \neq a, \text{ and } k > 0; \\
ax(0) & \text{if } j_0 = 2, x(0) = a, \text{ and } k = 0; \\
x(k-1) & \text{if } j_0 \neq 2, \text{ and } k > 0; \\
a & \text{if } j_0 \neq 2, \text{ and } k = 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(bx)(k) = \begin{cases} x(k+1) & \text{if } x(0) = b; \\
x(k) & \text{if } j_0 = 2, x(0) \neq b^n, \text{ and } k > 0; \\
bx(0) & \text{if } j_0 = 2, x(0) = b^n, \text{ and } k = 0; \\
x(k-1) & \text{if } j_0 \neq 2, \text{ and } k > 0; \\
b & \text{if } j_0 \neq 2, \text{ and } k = 0. \end{cases}$$

One checks that $a$ acts via a homeomorphism of order 2, and that $b$ acts via a homeomorphism of order $n+1$. This action is topologically free by Lemma 3.12 of [37].

We set $Y = \{ x \in X : x(0) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \}$ and $Z = \{ x \in X : x(0) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n+1} \}$, which are clopen subsets of $X$. We let $e, f \in C(X)$ denote the characteristic functions of $X \setminus Y$ and $X \setminus Z$, respectively. It is then easy to check that

$$e + f = 1, \quad e + aea = 1 \text{ and } f + bfb^{-1} + \cdots + b^nfb^{-n} = 1.$$ 

Claim 1: the $L^p$-covariant representations of $(X, \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_{n+1})$ are in one-to-one correspondence with those isometric representations of $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$ on an $L^p$-space $E$ for which there exist hermitian idempotents $e, f \in B(E)$ satisfying (1). Observe that the only nontrivial thing is to construct the covariant representation from the isometric representation and the idempotents. To prove this, observe that the family $\{ g(X \setminus Y), g(X \setminus Z) : g \in \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_{n+1} \}$ is a base for the topology of $X$, and thus the conjugates of $e$ and $f$ by the elements of $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$ under the isometric representation are (necessarily commuting) hermitian idempotents that generate an
isometric representation of $C(X)$. Finally, if (1) is satisfied, then this representation is clearly covariant, and the claim is proved.

Note that the identities in (1) are equivalent to
\[(2) \quad f + af a = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f + b f b^{-1} + \cdots + b^n f b^{-n} = 1.\]

In other words, $F^p(Z_2 \ast Z_{n+1}, X)$ is generated by the canonical invertible isometries $a$ and $b$, together with a hermitian idempotent $f$ satisfying (2).

**Claim 2:** there is an isometric unital homomorphism
\[
\varphi : M_2^p \otimes_p O_n^p \to F^p(Z_2 \ast Z_{n+1}, X)
\]
defined on generators by
\[
\varphi \left( \begin{smallmatrix} s_j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) = ab^j f, \quad \varphi \left( \begin{smallmatrix} t_j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) = f b^{-j} a, \quad \varphi \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) = af, \quad \varphi \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) = fa
\]
for all $j = 1, \ldots, n$. We let $Q$ denote graph with two vertices $v$ and $w$, and $n + 1$ edge as follows: there is one edge $e$ from $w$ to $v$, and there are $n$ edges $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ from $v$ to itself. For $n = 2$, the graph looks as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\bullet\quad e_1 \\
\quad \circ \quad e \\
\quad \circ \quad e_2 \\
\end{array}
\]

We follow the notation of [4], and observe that the $L^p$-operator algebra $O_n^p(Q)$ associated to $Q$ can be canonically identified with $M_2^p \otimes_p O_n^p$, by Proposition 7.7 in [4]. The assignment described above clearly defines a spatial unital representation of $L_Q$ on an $L^p$-space, so it extends to a unital, contractive homomorphism $\varphi : M_2^p \otimes_p O_n^p \to F^p(Z_2 \ast Z_{n+1}, X)$. Since $L_Q$ is simple, Theorem 1.2 in [4] implies that $\varphi$ is isometric, and the claim is proved.

**Claim 3:** there is a unital, contractive homomorphism
\[
\psi : F^p(Z_2 \ast Z_{n+1}, X) \to M_2^p \otimes_p O_n^p
\]
defined on generators by
\[
\psi(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_n \\ s_1 & \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} s_{j+1} t_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi(f) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Note that $\psi(a)$ and $\psi(b)$ are invertible isometries of order 2 and $n + 1$, respectively, that $\psi(f)$ is a hermitian idempotent, and that these elements satisfy the identities in (3). By the universal property of $F^p(Z_2 \ast Z_{n+1}, X)$, we deduce that $\psi$ extends to a contractive, unital homomorphism $F^p(Z_2 \ast Z_{n+1}, X) \to M_2^p \otimes_p O_n^p$, as desired.

Since it is readily verified that $\varphi \circ \psi$ is the identity on the generators $a, b, f$ of $F^p(Z_2 \ast Z_{n+1}, X)$, it follows that $\varphi$ is surjective and thus an isometric isomorphism.

It remains to show that the canonical contractive, unital homomorphism $F^p(Z_2 \ast Z_{n+1}, X) \to F^p(X)(Z_2 \ast Z_{n+1}, X)$ is an isometric isomorphism. For this, we note that the composition $\pi \circ \varphi : M_2^p \otimes_p O_n^p \to F^p(X)(Z_2 \ast Z_{n+1}, X)$ is unital, contractive, and has dense range. Choose an isometric, unital representation $\theta : F^p(X)(Z_2 \ast Z_{n+1}, X) \to B(E)$ of $F^p(X)(Z_2 \ast Z_{n+1}, X)$ on an $L^p$-space $E$. Thus $\theta \circ \pi \circ \varphi$ is nondegenerate (being unital), and hence its restriction to $L_Q$ is spatial. Thus Theorem 1.2 in [4] implies that $\theta \circ \pi \circ \varphi$ is isometric. This shows that $\pi$ is isometric, as desired. \qed

For our purposes, it will be important to know that some matrix algebra over $O_n^p$ is isometrically isomorphic to $O_n^p$. Recall that if $\varphi_0$ is any spatial representation of a Leavitt path algebra $L_n$ on an $L^p$-space, then $\varphi_0$ extends to an isometric representation of $O_n^p$. 

Proposition 7.4. Let $k, r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 2$, and let $p \in [1, \infty)$. Then $M^p_{2r} \otimes_p \mathcal{O}^p_{2k}$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}^p_{2k}$.

Proof. By finite induction, it is clearly enough to prove the result for $r = 1$. For $j = 1, \ldots, k$, we define

$$x_{2j-1} = \begin{pmatrix} s_j & s_{j+1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad x_{2j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ s_j & s_{j+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and their reverses

$$y_{2j-1} = \begin{pmatrix} t_j & 0 \\ t_{j+1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad y_{2j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_j \\ 0 & t_{j+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

One checks that these are spatial partial isometries satisfying the relations in the definition of $\mathcal{O}^p_{2k}$. By the universal property of $L_{2k}$, there is a unital homomorphism $\varphi_0: L_{2k} \to M^p_{2} \otimes_p \mathcal{O}^p_{2k}$ defined by $\varphi_0(s_j) = x_j$ and $\varphi_0(t_j) = y_j$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, 2k$. One easily checks that $\varphi_0$ is spatial (in the sense of the comments after Definition 7.1), and hence it extends to an isometric homomorphism

$$\varphi: \mathcal{O}^p_{2k} \to M^p_{2} \otimes_p \mathcal{O}^p_{2k}.$$ 

Since the elements $x_1, \ldots, x_{2k}, y_1, \ldots, y_{2k}$ generate all of $M^p_{2} \otimes_p \mathcal{O}^p_{2k}$, we deduce that $\varphi$ has dense range and hence is an isometric isomorphism. □

Lemma 7.5. Let $p \in [1, \infty)$, let $G$ and $H$ be discrete groups, let $A$ and $B$ be unital $L^p$-operator algebras, and let $\alpha: G \to \text{Aut}(A)$ and $\beta: G \to \text{Aut}(B)$ be isometric actions. We denote by $u_g$, for $g \in G$, the canonical invertible isometries in $F^p_{\lambda}(G, A, \alpha)$, and similarly for $v_h \in F^p_{\lambda}(H, B, \beta)$, for $h \in H$, and $w_{(g,h)} \in F^p_{\lambda}(G \times H, A \otimes_p B, \alpha \otimes \beta)$, for $(g, h) \in G \times H$. Then the assignment

$$(au_g) \otimes (bv_h) \mapsto (a \otimes b)w_{(g,h)}$$

extends to a canonical isometric isomorphism

$$\psi: F^p_{\lambda}(G, A, \alpha) \otimes_p F^p_{\lambda}(H, B, \beta) \cong F^p_{\lambda}(G \times H, A \otimes_p B, \alpha \otimes \beta).$$

This isomorphism is the identity on $A \otimes_p B$, and restricts to the canonical isometric identification $F^p_{\lambda}(G) \otimes_p F^p_{\lambda}(H) \cong F^p_{\lambda}(G \times H)$.

Proof. This is a routine verification, using the universal properties of the objects involved. The main point is that a regular covariant representation of $(G \times H, A \otimes_p B, \alpha \otimes \beta)$ can be written as a tensor product of regular covariant representations of $(G, A, \alpha)$ and $(H, B, \beta)$. We omit the details. □

We make a small digression to establish some facts from geometric group theory that will be needed later. We are thankful to Michal Doucha for helpful discussions on quasi-isometry of groups. The following is essentially Definition 2.6 in [27]; see also the comments after it.

Definition 7.6. Let $G$ and $H$ be finitely generated groups, endowed with their word metrics $d_G$ and $d_H$. We say that $G$ and $H$ are

1. quasi-isometric, written $G \sim_{q.i.} H$, if there exist a function $\varphi: G \to H$ and a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$K^{-1}d_G(g, g') - K \leq d_H(\varphi(g), \varphi(g')) \leq Kd_G(g, g') + K$$

for all $g, g' \in G$;

2. bi-Lipschitz equivalent, written $G \sim_{b.i.-L} H$, if there exist a bijective function $\varphi: G \to H$ and a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$K^{-1}d_G(g, g') \leq d_H(\varphi(g), \varphi(g')) \leq Kd_G(g, g')$$

for all $g, g' \in G$. 

Remark 7.7. In the context of the previous definition, it is clear that $G \sim_{q, i} H$ implies $G \sim_{bi - 1} H$, while the converse is known to be false in general. However, the converse does hold if either $G$ or $H$ is non-amenable \[27\].

It is easy to check that if $H$ is a subgroup of $G$ with finite index, then $H \sim_{q, i} G$. Indeed, one may choose $H \to G$ to be the canonical inclusion and $K = [G : H]$.

Lemma 7.8. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z}_2 \ast \mathbb{Z}_3 \sim_{q, i} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathbb{Z}_2 \ast \mathbb{Z}_3$ if and only if $n = m$.

Proof. Denote by $x$ the nontrivial element of $\mathbb{Z}_2$, and by $y_1, y_2$ the nontrivial elements of $\mathbb{Z}_3$. Observe that the canonical homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_2 \ast \mathbb{Z}_3 \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ is surjective and its kernel is the free group generated by the commutators $[x, y_1]$ and $[x, y_2]$, which is $\mathbb{F}_2$. In other words, $\mathbb{F}_2$ is a finite-index normal subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \ast \mathbb{Z}_3$.

We deduce that $\mathbb{Z}_2 \ast \mathbb{Z}_3 \sim_{q, i} \mathbb{F}_2$. Since quasi-isometry is easily seen to be preserved by finite cartesian products, we deduce that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{Z}_2 \ast \mathbb{Z}_3 \sim_{q, i} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{F}_2$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

In particular, by the preceding remark, the statement is equivalent to showing that $\prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{F}_2 \sim_{bi - 1} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathbb{F}_2$ if and only if $n = m$. This can be seen using asymptotic cones of groups (see Chapter 2 in \[20\]), which is an invariant of bi-Lipschitz equivalence. By Theorem 1.3.2 in \[9\] (see also Example 2.B (b) in \[20\]), the asymptotic cone $\text{Cone}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ of $\mathbb{F}_2$ is isometric to the complete homogeneous $\mathbb{R}$-tree of valence continuum, denoted $(X, d)$. This space contains an isometric copy of an interval $[0, \varepsilon)$, and in particular its $k$-cartesian power $X^k$ contains a copy of the $k$-cube $[0, \varepsilon]^k$. Since covering dimension does not increase when passing to a closed subspace, we deduce that $k \leq \dim(X^k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, the inequality $\dim(X^k) \leq k \dim(X)$ is true in general, and since $\dim(X) = 1$, it follows that $\dim(X^k) = k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. As the asymptotic cone construction commutes with finite direct products of groups, we obtain

$$\text{Cone}(\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{F}_2) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \text{Cone}((\mathbb{F}_2)) = X^k.$$

The result follows, since the covering dimension of the asymptotic cone of a group is a bi-Lipschitz equivalence invariant of the group. \(\square\)

Theorem 7.9. Let $p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$, let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there is an isometric isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_2^p \otimes_p \cdots \otimes_p \mathcal{O}_2^p \cong \mathcal{O}_2^p \otimes_p \cdots \otimes_p \mathcal{O}_2^p$$

if and only if $n = m$. In particular, $\mathcal{O}_2^p$ is not isometrically isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_2^p \otimes_p \mathcal{O}_2^p$.

Proof. Since the “if” implication is trivial, we only prove the converse. Assume that there is an isometric isomorphism as in the statement. Since $\mathcal{O}_2^p$ is isometrically isomorphic to $M_{2^p}^n \otimes_p \mathcal{O}_2^p$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by [Proposition 7.4] we deduce that there is an isometric isomorphism

$$\left( M_{2^p}^n \otimes_p \mathcal{O}_2^p \right) \otimes_p \cdots \otimes_p \left( M_{2^p}^n \otimes_p \mathcal{O}_2^p \right) \cong \left( M_{2^p}^m \otimes_p \mathcal{O}_2^p \right) \otimes_p \cdots \otimes_p \left( M_{2^p}^m \otimes_p \mathcal{O}_2^p \right).$$

By [Theorem 7.3] and [Lemma 7.5] the left-hand side is isometrically isomorphic to a crossed product of $\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{Z}_2 \ast \mathbb{Z}_3$ by a topologically free action on a Cantor set,
and, similarly, the right-hand side is isometrically isomorphic to a crossed product of \( \prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathbb{Z}_2 \ast \mathbb{Z}_2 \) by a topologically free action on a Cantor set. By Theorem 3.2 in [27], it is known that \( O_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes O_2 \cong O_2 \), although such isomorphism is only known to exist via abstract classification results. Nevertheless, the general techniques used in the proof of Theorem 7.9 are also valid in the case \( p = 2 \), and we give the following information about the \( C^* \)-isomorphism mentioned above:

Proposition 7.10. There is no isomorphism \( O_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes O_2 \cong O_2 \) that preserves the canonical Cartan subalgebras.

We point out that we are not aware of any homotopy-invariant functor that is able to distinguish between \( O_2 \otimes_\pi O_2 \) and \( O_2 \) when \( p \neq 2 \). In particular, these algebras are not distinguishable by \( K \)-theory, as we show below. In its proof, for \( p \in [1, \infty) \) we set

\[
\mathcal{M}_\infty^p = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}(\ell^p([1, \ldots, n])) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^p(\mathbb{N})).
\]

For \( p > 1 \), it is known that \( \mathcal{M}_\infty^p \) agrees with \( K(\ell^p(\mathbb{N})) \), but this fails for \( p = 1 \) (see Example 1.10 in [30]). Regardless of \( p \), matrix stability of \( K \)-theory together with continuity with respect to inductive limits shows that \( K_n(A \otimes_p \mathcal{M}_\infty^p) \) is canonically isomorphic to \( K_n(A) \) for any \( L^p \)-operator algebra \( A \).

Proposition 7.11. Let \( p \in [1, \infty) \), let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), and set \( A_n = O_2 \otimes_\pi \cdots \otimes_\pi O_2 \).

Then \( A_n \) is a simple, purely infinite, amenable \( L^p \)-operator algebra with

\[
K_0(A_n) = K_1(A_n) = \{0\}.
\]

Moreover, \( A_n \) is isometrically isomorphic to \( A_n \) if and only if \( n = m \).

Proof. That \( A_n \) is amenable is immediate, because \( O_2 \) is amenable. Simplicity and pure infiniteness follow from Theorem 7.9 in [1], since the combination of simplicity and pure infiniteness passes from a dense subring to the containing Banach algebra.

It remains to compute the \( K \)-theory of \( A_n \), which we do by induction on \( n \). For \( n = 1 \), this was shown by Phillips in Theorem 7.19 of [30]. Assume that we have proved the result for \( A_n \), and let us show it for \( A_{n+1} = A_n \otimes_\pi O_2 \).

Denote by \( B \) the tensor product of the spatial \( L^p \)-UHF algebra of \( M_2^\infty \) type \( 2^\infty \) with \( M_\infty^p \), identified with \( \bigotimes_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_2^p \) as in Section 7 of [30], and let \( \beta : \mathbb{Z} \to \text{Aut}(B) \) denote the bilateral shift. By Theorem 7.17 in [30], there is an isomorphism \( O_2 \otimes_\pi M_\infty^p \cong F^p(\mathbb{Z}, B, \beta) \). Hence there is an isomorphism

\[
O_2 \otimes_\pi M_\infty^p \otimes_\pi A_n \cong F^p(\mathbb{Z}, B, \beta) \otimes_\pi A_n,
\]

so in particular these two algebras have isomorphic \( K \)-theory. The right-hand side can be canonically identified with the reduced crossed product of the action \( \beta \otimes \text{id}_{A_n} : \mathbb{Z} \to \text{Aut}(B \otimes_\pi A_n) \), by Lemma 7.9.

We claim that \( B \otimes_\pi A_n \) has trivial \( K \)-theory. Since \( B = M_2^\infty \otimes_\pi M_\infty^p \), it suffices to show that \( M_2^\infty \otimes_\pi A_n \) has trivial \( K \)-theory. Moreover, since said algebra is a direct limit of \( M_{2^k} \otimes_\pi A_n \) for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), it is enough to show that \( M_{2^k} \otimes_\pi A_n \) has trivial \( K \)-theory. Now, \( M_{2^k} \otimes_\pi A_n \) is isomorphic to \( A_n \) by Proposition 7.4, so the claim follows from the inductive step.
Finally, the $K$-theory of $F_p^p(Z, B \otimes_p A_n, \beta \otimes \text{id}_{A_n})$ can be computed using the $L^p$-analog of Pimsner-Voiculescu’s 6-term exact sequence (Theorem 6.15 in [30]), which yields
\[
K_0(F_p^p(Z, B \otimes_p A_n, \beta \otimes \text{id}_{A_n})) = K_1(F_p^p(Z, B \otimes_p A_n, \beta \otimes \text{id}_{A_n})) = \{0\},
\]
as desired. The last assertion in the statement is Theorem 7.9.

In particular, we deduce that $K$-theory is not a fine enough invariant to distinguish all simple, purely infinite, amenable $L^p$-operator algebras, when $p \neq 2$, in contrast with Kirchberg-Phillips’ celebrated classification of simple, purely infinite, amenable $C^*$-algebras by $K$-theory. This answers a question of Phillips.

We close this work by posing a question related to Kirchberg’s $O_2$-embedding theorem from [23].

**Question 7.12.** Let $p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$. Does every simple, separable, unital, amenable $L^p$-operator algebra embed unitally and contractively into $O_2^p$?

We suspect that the answer to the above question is negative, and that $O_2^p \otimes_p O_2^p$ is probably a counterexample. However, the techniques developed in this paper do not seem to help determine whether such a map exists.
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