Experimental realization of state transfer by quantum walks with two coins
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ABSTRACT

Quantum state transfer between different sites is a significant problem for quantum networks and quantum computers. By encoding the transferred information in the 1-st coin state and alternatively using two coin operators, we can perfectly recover the information in the 1-st coin state at the target position by quantum walks with two coins (EPL, 124 (2018) 60009)\textsuperscript{1}. Here, we demonstrate the experimental implementation of this scheme using IBM quantum experience platform. In particular, we show the transfer of Bell state, GHZ state and W state on complete graph on the quantum device. Also, we observe that our protocol has high fidelity by preforming quantum state tomography.

1 Introduction

Quantum state transfer between different sites is a significant problem for quantum networks and quantum computers. In 2003, Bose first considered this problem using spin chains as the quantum communication carrier in quantum computing\textsuperscript{2}. And then it has been widely studied\textsuperscript{3-8}. In addition, quantum walks have been introduced as a quantum analogue of classical random walks\textsuperscript{9, 10}. One prominent application of quantum walks is the design of algorithms in quantum information processing, such as search algorithms and element distinctness\textsuperscript{11-14}. Another application of quantum walks is a universal quantum computation model\textsuperscript{15-17}.

In 2004, Christandl et al. found that the time evolution of qubit state transfer through a spin chain can be interpreted as a continuous-time quantum walk\textsuperscript{3}. It was also generalized to a discrete-time quantum walk by adding a position-dependent coin operator\textsuperscript{18}. In the last decade, state transfer by quantum walks has become an interesting topic\textsuperscript{19-21}. Compared with these existing schemes driven by one coin, we achieved perfectly transfer a more general state on more general graph structures by quantum walks with two coins\textsuperscript{1}.

IBM (International Business Machines Corporation) provides a free and open access to all users through a cloud based web-interface called IBM quantum experience. Many researchers can design, test and run their experiments on this platform to detect quantum world and explore more applications that quantum can bring. Various theoretical protocols have already been tested and verified in this platform\textsuperscript{22-24}.

In this paper, we illustrate experimental realization of state transfer by implementing the scheme on IBM quantum experience platform. The process of state transfer is performed by quantum walks with two coins, in which the 1-st coin encodes the transferred information and these two coins are used alternatively. Based on the scheme, we design the quantum circuit and run it on the IBM interface to achieve state transfer on cycle and complete graph, such as Bell state, GHZ state and W state. The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we introduce the model of quantum walk on graph with many coins. In the third section, the scheme of state transfer on cycle using quantum walk with two coins and its experimental realization are given. Section 3.1 illustrates the accuracy of our protocol by performing quantum state tomography. In the fourth section, we mainly consider state transfer on the complete graph. Section 4.1, Section 4.2 and section 4.3 contain the scheme and experimental implementation of transferring the single qubit state, Bell state, GHZ state and W state on the complete graph using quantum walks with two coins in detail. And, we conclude in Section 5.
2 Preliminaries

Let $G(V,E)$ be a graph, and $\mathcal{H}^P$ be the Hilbert space (position space) spanned by states $|v\rangle$, where vertex $v \in V$. At each vertex $j$, there are several directed edges with labels pointing to the other vertices. The coin space $\mathcal{H}^C$ is spanned by states $|a\rangle$, where $a \in \{0,\ldots,d-1\}$ and $d$ is the degree of vertex $j$. They are the labels of the directed edges.

For quantum walks on graph $G(V,E)$, they can be described by the repeated application of an unitary operator $U$. The operator $U$ acts on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^P \otimes \mathcal{H}^C$. So the basis states of the walker are $|v,j\rangle$ for $j \in \{0,1,\ldots,d-1\}$, $v \in V$, and the state of the quantum walks is given by

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{v,j} a_{v,j} |v,j\rangle.$$ 

Then each step of the quantum walk is performed by $W = S \cdot (I \otimes C)$, where $S$ is the conditional shift operator that applies on the combined space, and $C$ is the coin operator applying on the coin space. The conditional shift operation between $\mathcal{H}^P$ and $\mathcal{H}^C$ is

$$S = \sum_{j,a} |k\rangle \langle j| \otimes |a\rangle \langle a|,$$

where the label $a$ directs the edge $j$ to $k$.

The model of quantum walks driven by many coins was introduced by Brun\cite{25}. Suppose that there are $M$ coins totally. The state of the walker and $M$-coins system is denoted by a vector in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^P \otimes \mathcal{H}^{C_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}^{C_M}$. The unitary transformation that results from flipping the $m$th coin is given by $W_m = S_m \cdot (I \otimes C_m)$, where $S_m$ acts on the position space and the $m$-th coin space. If we cycle among the coins, doing a total of $t$ flips ($t/M$ with each coin), then the state will be

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = (W_M \cdots W_1)^{t/M} |\psi_0\rangle.$$

There are $M$ unitary transformations $\{W_m\}$, and they all commute with each other: $[W_m,W_n] = 0$ for $m \neq n$. In this paper, we mainly discuss coined quantum walks with two coins.

In our quantum communication protocols that achieves state transfer by quantum walks with two coins\cite{1}, we can perfectly recover the information in the 1-st coin state at the target position by encoding the transferred information in the 1-st coin state and alternately using two coin operators. In the following sections, we would give the experimental realizations on IBM quantum experience platform.

3 Qubit state transfer on cycle

In this section, we consider qubit state transfer on a cycle with $d$ vertices (denoted by $d$-cycle), where there are two edges at each vertex. Then the coin space is spanned by $|0\rangle$, $|1\rangle$ and the conditional shift operator between the position space and the coin space is defined as:

$$S_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \frac{1}{2} \left( |(k+1) \mod d\rangle \langle k| \otimes |0\rangle \langle 0| + |(k-1) \mod d\rangle \langle k| \otimes |1\rangle \langle 1| \right)$$

Compared with the previous paper\cite{20}, perfect state transfer can be achieved by quantum walks on $d$-cycles with one coin space, where there are even vertices on the cycle. The unknown state is transferred from the initial position to the opposite position. By introducing a new coin space, we have already achieved perfect state transfer on cycles with any vertices and from the initial position to any target position\cite{1}.

Here we take the case that transfer qubit state on the cycle with 8 vertices from $x = 0$ to $x = 5$ as an example, and give its experimental realization on IBM quantum experience platform. Thus, the total process can be described in Figure 1. According to our theoretical results in\cite{1}, the scheme can be shown in the following Table 1. And we choose the recovery operator $U_1$ to be Pauli operator $X$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$-th step</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_1$</td>
<td>$X$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$X$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** The scheme of transfer the single qubit state on the 8-cycle from $x = 0$ to $x = 5$.

Just to make it easier to understand its circuit diagram, we use the binary representation of these vertices. Hence the basis state $|000\rangle$, $|001\rangle$, $\ldots$, $|111\rangle$ represent $|0\rangle$, $|1\rangle$, $\ldots$, $|7\rangle$ respectively. Without loss of generality, the state that we try to transfer
could be assumed to be $\frac{1}{2}|0\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|1\rangle$, which can be prepared by using the $U3(\frac{2\pi}{3}, 0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ gate. Therefore, the initial state of the system is

$$|\phi^0\rangle = |000\rangle(\frac{1}{2}|0\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|1\rangle)|0\rangle.$$  

And it is easy to deduce that the final state is

$$|\phi^7\rangle = |101\rangle(\frac{1}{2}|1\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|0\rangle)|1\rangle.$$  

which is just what want to achieve with the help of recovery operator $U_1 = X$.

Based on the above discussion, we give the corresponding IBM quantum circuit diagrams which can be seen in Figure 2 and Figure 3. It is note that each run has been executed with 8192 number shots, where the number of shots represent the number of times we perform the measurement in the quantum circuit. The results about the target position and transferred state is shown in Table 2. Comparing run result (ibm chips), simulated result (simulator) and theoretical value shown in Table 2, run result is found to be less accurate than the simulated result. It is evident that application of a large number of gates would increase decoherence of a quantum state and produce more noise in the system. Thus, it is hard for us to realize the implementation of a quantum circuit with exact accuracy.

---

**Figure 1.** Qubit state transfer on cycles. $U_1$ and $U_2$ are the recovery operator applying on the 1-st coin space and 2-nd coin space respectively.

**Figure 2.** IBM quantum circuit diagram of qubit state transfer on 8-cycle from $x = 0$ to $x = 5$. $q[0]$, $q[1]$, $q[2]$ represent the position space. $q[3]$ and $q[4]$ represent 1-st coin space and 2-nd coin space respectively. The measurements are in Z-basis for the position space.

**Figure 3.** IBM quantum circuit diagram of qubit state transfer on 8-cycle from $x = 0$ to $x = 5$. $q[0]$, $q[1]$, $q[2]$ represent the position space. $q[3]$ and $q[4]$ represent 1-st coin space and 2-nd coin space respectively. The measurement are in Z-basis for the 1-st coin space.

### 3.1 Quantum state tomography

We now proceed to perform quantum tomography to see whether the information to be transmitted is destroyed. In this case, the fidelity between theoretical and the experimental density matrices of the given state can be calculated to determine the
And the experimental density matrix (of single qubit) is given by

\[
\rho^E = 0.25\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0.4330 \\ 0.4330 & 0.7500 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Although the measurement performed on this platform are all in computational basis (Z-basis), the different measurement bases can be prepared by operating proper gates before the measurement operation.

For our initial state \( |\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle \), we can obtain these three average values by running the quantum circuit 10 times and for each run the number of shots is 8192. Due to the fact that run result is less accurate than the simulated result, we use the simulated result here. According to the formula and data, we can obtain the theoretical and the experimental density matrices as follows:

**Table 2.** Results of the single qubit state transfer on the 8-cycle from \( x = 0 \) to \( x = 5 \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{simulator} & : 0.25 & 0.75 \\
ibmqx2 & : 0.3005 & 0.56995 \\
ibmqx4 & : 0.41003 & 0.58997 \\
ibmq-16 & : 0.45764 & 0.54776 \\
\text{theoretical values} & : 0.25 & 0.75
\end{align*}
\]

Fidelity of this experimental is found to be approximately equal to 1.

### 4 Qudit state transfer on the complete graph

Now, we consider the scheme to perfectly transfer a qudit (coin state) through a quantum walk on a complete graph with two coins. We denote the complete graph with \( n \) vertices by \( n \)-complete graph. The qudit can be written as \( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k |k\rangle \), where \( |a_k|^2 = 1 \). Each coin space is spanned by \( |0\rangle, \cdots, |n-1\rangle \). The conditional shift operator between the position and coin spaces is defined as

\[
S_2 = \sum_{j,k=0}^{n-1} |(k+j) \mod n \rangle \langle k| \otimes |j\rangle \langle j|.
\]

The initial state of this system could be written as

\[
|\phi\rangle^0 = |0\rangle \otimes (\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k |k\rangle) \otimes |0\rangle.
\]

Here, we list the coin operators at each step, where \( X_n \) is the generalized Pauli X operator, see Table 3. Due to coin operator \( C_1 \) or \( C_2 \) is identity operator in the first \( 2n - 2x \) step, so it is not hard to infer that

\[
|\phi\rangle^{2n-2x} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k |(n-x)k \mod n \rangle |k\rangle |0\rangle.
\]

\[
|\phi\rangle^{2n-2x+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k |(n-x)k + k \mod n \rangle |k\rangle |0\rangle.
\]
\(|\phi\rangle^{2n-2x+2} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \langle n-x \rangle \langle k \rangle \langle k \rangle \langle 1 \rangle \). \\
\(|\phi\rangle^{2n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \langle n-x \rangle \langle k \rangle \langle k \rangle \langle 0 \rangle = \langle x \rangle \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \langle k \rangle \right) \langle 1 \rangle \).
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\[ i \text{-th step} \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad \cdots \quad 2n-2x \quad 2n-2x+1 \quad 2n-2x+2 \quad \cdots \quad 2n-1 \quad 2n \]

\[ C_1 \quad I \quad \cdots \quad I \quad \cdots \quad I \quad X_n \quad \cdots \quad I \]

\[ C_2 \quad I \quad \cdots \quad I \quad \cdots \quad I \quad I \quad \cdots \quad I \]

### Table 3. The scheme of transfer qudit state on the n-complete graph

#### 4.1 Single qubit state transfer on the 2-complete graph

To transfer the qubit state on the 2-complete graph from \( x = 0 \) to \( x = 1 \), such as \( \frac{1}{2} |0 \rangle + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} |1 \rangle \), we could have the corresponding scheme according to the Table 3. The initial state can be written as \( |\phi\rangle^0 = |0 \rangle \left( \frac{1}{2} |0 \rangle + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} |1 \rangle \right) \langle 0 \rangle \). In the first step, we choose the first coin operator to be the identity operator and then apply the conditional shift operator, the state becomes

\[ |\phi\rangle^1 = \frac{1}{2} |0 \rangle \langle 00 \rangle + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} |1 \rangle \langle 10 \rangle. \]

In the second step, we choose the second coin operator still to be the identity operator and the apply the conditional shift operator, so we have the state

\[ |\phi\rangle^2 = \frac{1}{2} |0 \rangle \langle 00 \rangle + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} |1 \rangle \langle 10 \rangle. \]

After the third step, the state becomes

\[ |\phi\rangle^3 = \frac{1}{2} |0 \rangle \langle 00 \rangle + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} |0 \rangle \langle 10 \rangle. \]

In the fourth step, we choose the second coin operator to be the Pauli X operator and the apply the conditional shift operator, that results

\[ |\phi\rangle^4 = \frac{1}{2} |1 \rangle \langle 01 \rangle + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} |1 \rangle \langle 11 \rangle = |1 \rangle \left( \frac{1}{2} |0 \rangle + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} |1 \rangle \right) \langle 1 \rangle. \]

Based on the scheme, we run it on the IBM quantum experience platform which can be seen in Figure 4(a) and Figure 4(b) and obtain its result in Table 3(a). For each experiment, 8192 shots was used to reduce the statistical error as much as possible.

#### Table 4. Results of the single qubit state transfer on the 2-complete graph from \( x = 0 \) to \( x = 1 \). (a) and (b) are the results corresponding to four steps and one step coined quantum walk respectively.
Figure 4. IBM quantum circuit diagram of qubit state transfer on 2-complete graph from $x = 0$ to $x = 1$. $q[0]$ represents the position space. $q[1]$ and $q[2]$ represent 1-st coin space and 2-nd coin space respectively. (a), (c) and (b),(d) represents the measurements in $Z$-basis for the position space and 1-st coin space respectively. (a) and (b) are the implementation using four steps quantum walks. (c) and (d) are the simplified circuit which just use one step of coined quantum walk.

Indeed, we find that the first three steps in this scheme does not work at all, but it would introduce general noise and cause decoherence in the process of evolution. Thus we can simplify this scheme. The relevant experimental implementation and its results are shown in Figure 4(c) and Figure 4(d) and Table 3(b). Compared the result shown in 3(a) and 3(b), we could find that the error would increase when we increase the depth of the quantum circuit. Thus, we should optimize the quantum circuit as much as possible to obtain a more accurate experimental result.

4.2 Two qubits state transfer on the 4-complete graph

To transfer two qubits state on the 4-complete graph from $x = 0$ to $x = 1$, such as the Bell state $|\psi\rangle = |00\rangle + |11\rangle \sqrt{2}$, we also can have the corresponding scheme according to Table 3. The basis state of the position space can be written as $|00\rangle$, $|01\rangle$, $|10\rangle$, and $|11\rangle$. We take this as an example. Thus, the initial state of the system could be written as

$$|\phi\rangle^0 = |00\rangle \left(\frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right)|00\rangle.$$

In the first step, we choose $C_1 = I$ and then apply the conditional shift operator, the state becomes

$$|\phi\rangle^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle|00\rangle + |11\rangle|11\rangle).$$
Then we could get
\[ |\phi\rangle^2 = |\phi\rangle^1, \quad |\phi\rangle^3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle|00\rangle + |10\rangle|10\rangle), \]
\[ |\phi\rangle^4 = |\phi\rangle^3, \quad |\phi\rangle^5 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle|00\rangle + |01\rangle|11\rangle), \]
\[ |\phi\rangle^6 = |\phi\rangle^5, \quad |\phi\rangle^7 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle|00\rangle + |00\rangle|11\rangle), \]
in turn. And in the last step, the coin operator is generalized Pauli \(X\) operator (the order is four), so the final state is
\[ |\phi\rangle^8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle|00\rangle + |01\rangle|11\rangle) = |01\rangle\left(\frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right)|01\rangle. \]

Just like the above discussion in section 4.1, we find that the first seven steps does not work at all. For a better experimental realization, we could run it in IBM quantum experience platform using one step coined quantum walk, which can be seen in Figure 5. And its result is shown in Table 5. Also, the number of shots for each experiment is 8192. Due to this quantum circuit has 6 qubits in total, we use ibm-16 chip to perform the corresponding experiment here. Although we have already optimize the quantum circuit that only use one step coined quantum walk, there are also readout errors, coherence and so on. Compared with the simulation result, therefore, the run result on ibm-16 is still less accurate.

**Figure 5.** IBM quantum circuit diagram of Bell state transfer on 4-complete graph from \(x = 0\) to \(x = 1\). \(q[0], q[1]\) represent the position space. \(q[2], q[3]\) and \(q[4], q[5]\) represent 1-st coin space and 2-nd coin space respectively. (a) and (b) represent the measurements in Z-basis for the position space and 1-st coin space respectively.

| probability | \(|00\rangle\) | \(|01\rangle\) | \(|10\rangle\) | \(|11\rangle\) | \(|00\rangle\) | \(|01\rangle\) | \(|10\rangle\) | \(|11\rangle\) |
|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| simulator   | 0.4939       | 0            | 0            | 0.5061       | 0.0000       | 0            | 0.17017      | 0.31335      |
| ibmq-16     | 0.46973      | 0.13904      | 0.22107      | 0.17017      | 0.31335      | 0.21692      | 0.17603      |
| theoretical values | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

**Table 5.** Results of the Bell state transfer on the 4-complete graph from \(x = 0\) to \(x = 1\).

### 4.3 Three qubits state transfer on the 8-complete graph

In this subsection, we discuss three qubits state transfer on the 8-complete graph from \(x = 0\) to \(x = 4\). Take the GHZ state \(\frac{|000\rangle + |111\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\) as an example. In the same way, we could use the binary representation of vertex. Naturally, we could establish a one-to-one correspondence between \(|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \ldots, |7\rangle\) and \(|000\rangle, |001\rangle, \ldots, |111\rangle\). Therefor the initial state of this system could be described as
\[ |\phi\rangle^0 = |000\rangle\left(\frac{|000\rangle + |111\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right)|000\rangle. \]
According to the scheme in Table 3, we can perform the corresponding operations step by step. We have

$$|\phi\rangle^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle|000\rangle|000\rangle + |111\rangle|111\rangle|000\rangle), \quad |\phi\rangle^2 = |\phi\rangle^1,$$

$$|\phi\rangle^3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle|000\rangle|000\rangle + |110\rangle|111\rangle|000\rangle), \quad |\phi\rangle^4 = |\phi\rangle^3,$$

$$|\phi\rangle^5 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle|000\rangle|000\rangle + |101\rangle|111\rangle|000\rangle), \quad |\phi\rangle^6 = |\phi\rangle^5,$$

$$|\phi\rangle^7 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle|000\rangle|000\rangle + |100\rangle|111\rangle|000\rangle), \quad |\phi\rangle^8 = |\phi\rangle^7,$$

$$|\phi\rangle^9 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle|000\rangle|000\rangle + |011\rangle|111\rangle|000\rangle).$$

And in the 10-th step, the coin operator is generalized Pauli X operator (the order is eight), the state becomes

$$|\phi\rangle^{10} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|001\rangle|000\rangle|001\rangle + |100\rangle|111\rangle|001\rangle).$$

Finally, we obtain

$$|\phi\rangle^{16} = |1000\rangle \left( \frac{|000\rangle + |111\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right)|001\rangle.$$

Similarly, we find the 2nd, 4th, 6th and 8th steps do not work at all. So the whole process takes 12 steps.

Figure 6. IBM quantum circuit diagram of GHZ state transfer on 8-complete graph from $x = 0$ to $x = 4$. $q[0]$ represents the ancillary qubit. $q[1], q[2]$ and $q[3]$ represent position space. $q[4], q[5], q[6]$ and $q[7], q[8], q[9]$ represent 1-st coin space and 2-nd coin space respectively. (a) shows the process of preparing GHZ state. (b) is the circuit for generalized Pauli X coin operator. (c) and (d) represent the conditional shift operator at odd step and even step respectively.

Due to the quantum circuit performed on the IBM quantum platform is a little deep, so we give the four main components of this quantum circuit here. They are initial state, generalized Pauli X coin operator (the order is 8) and two conditional shift
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>probability</th>
<th>1-st coin state</th>
<th>position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>simulation1(1024)</td>
<td>0.4834</td>
<td>0.5166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>simulation2(4096)</td>
<td>0.50244</td>
<td>0.49756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>simulation3(8192)</td>
<td>0.50085</td>
<td>0.49915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>theoretical values</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Results of the GHZ state transfer on the 8-complete graph from \( x = 0 \) to \( x = 4 \).

operators (at odd step and even step) shown in the following Figure 6. This quantum circuit is performed on simulator provided by IBM quantum experience platform. We measure the position space and 1-st coin space in Z-basis to detect whether the GHZ state is transferred from \( x = 0 \) to \( x = 4 \) on the 8-complete graph or not. The result are obtained by simulating the experiment with 1024, 4096 and 8192 number of shots, which can be seen in Table 6.

According to the statistical result shown in Table 6, it is to be noted that the difference between the experimental results (simulation) provided by using 1024, 4096, 8192 shots and the theoretical values is 1.66, 0.244, 0.085. Thus, it is clear that we could improve the statistical accuracy by increasing the number of shots. That is also why we all use 8192 shots in the previous sections.

Another interesting example is W state, which is given as below

\[
|W\rangle = \frac{|001\rangle + |010\rangle + |100\rangle}{\sqrt{3}}.
\]

To transfer W state on the 8-complete graph, the initial state of this whole system is \(|\phi\rangle^{0} = |000\rangle\left(\frac{|001\rangle + |010\rangle + |100\rangle}{\sqrt{3}}\right)|000\rangle\). According the protocol given by Table 3 and the above discussion about GHZ state, W state can be transferred from \( x = 0 \) to \( x = 4 \) perfectly in the same way. Due to this similarity, we discuss the main process, the generation of W state, in detail. Figure 7 represents the generation of W state. Compared with the creation of W state given in \(^{26}\), Figure 7 is more simplifier and thus the result is more accurate.

![Quantum circuit for the generation of W state.](image)

Figure 7. Quantum circuit for the generation of W state.

## 5 Summary

In this paper, we illustrate experimental realization of state transfer on cycle and complete graph by implementing the scheme on IBM quantum experience platform. Based on the scheme\(^{1}\), we prepare the initial state (such as Bell state, GHZ state and W state) and design the corresponding quantum circuit for conditional shift operators and coin operators. In some cases, we might need to introduce ancillary qubits. And then we run it on the quantum devices or simulators through IBM quantum platform. Also, we perform quantum state tomography to check the accuracy of our protocol.

From the results obtained by performing experiments on IBM quantum experience platform, it is obvious that the accuracy of the simulation results is obviously better than that of the quantum chip (ibmqx2, ibmqx4 and ibm16). In fact, IBM quantum experience software platform itself have the noise models, such as decoherence, depolarizing, general noises. So this phenomenon is not surprising. However, our theoretical result\(^{1}\) is verified by the simulation results in a way. In addition, we could improve statistical accuracy by increasing the number of shots.
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