ON COMPLEX EXPLICIT FORMULAE CONNECTED WITH
THE MÖBIUS FUNCTION OF AN ELLIPTIC CURVE
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Abstract. We study analytic properties function \( m(z, E) \), which is defined on the upper half-plane as an integral from the shifted \( L \)-function of an elliptic curve. We show that \( m(z, E) \) analytically continues to a meromorphic function on the whole complex plane and satisfies certain functional equation. Moreover, we give explicit formula for \( m(z, E) \) in the strip \( |\Im z| < 2\pi \).

1. Introduction

For a complex number \( z \) from the upper half-plane let

\[
m(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C e^{sz} \zeta(s) \, ds,
\]

where \( \zeta(s) \) denotes the classical Riemann zeta function, and the path of integration consists of the half-line \( s = -\frac{1}{2} + it, \infty > t \geq 0 \), the line segment \( \left[ -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \right] \) and the half-line \( s = \frac{3}{2} + it, 0 \leq t < \infty \). This function was considered in [1] and [5] where the following theorems were proved.

Theorem 1.1 (Bartz [1]). The function \( m(z) \) can be analytically continued to a meromorphic function on the whole complex plane and satisfies the following functional equation

\[
m(z) + m(z) = -2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \cos \left( \frac{2\pi}{n} e^{-z} \right).
\]

The only singularities of \( m(z) \) are simple poles at the points \( z = \log n \), where \( n \) is a square-free natural number. The corresponding residues are

\[
\text{Res}_{z=\log n} m(z) = -\frac{\mu(n)}{2\pi i}.
\]

J. Kaczorowski in [3] simplified the proof of this result and gave an explicit formula for \( m(z) \) in the strip \( |\Im z| < \pi \).

Theorem 1.2 (Kaczorowski [3]). For \( |\Im z| < \pi, z \neq \log n, \mu(n) \neq 0 \) we have

\[
m(z) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e \left( -\frac{1}{ne^z} \right) - \frac{e^z}{2\pi i} m_0(z)
- \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( m_1(z) + m_1(z) \right) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( F_m(z) + F_m(z) \right),
\]
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where
\[ m_0(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \frac{1}{z - \log n} \]
is meromorphic on \( \mathbb{C} \) and
\[ m_1(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C} \left( \tan \frac{\pi s}{2} - i \right) e^{sz} \zeta(z) \, ds, \]
\[ F_m(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1}^{1+i\infty} \left( \tan \frac{\pi s}{2} - i \right) e^{sz} \zeta(z) \, ds \]
are holomorphic in the half-plane \( \Im z > -\pi \).

In this paper we prove analogous results for the Möbius function of an elliptic curve over \( \mathbb{Q} \) defined by the Weierstrass equation
\[ E/\mathbb{Q} : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Q}. \]
Let \( L(s, E) \) denote the \( L \)-function of \( E \) (see for instance [4], p.365-366). For \( \sigma = \Re s > 3/2 \) we have
\[ L(s, E) = \prod_{p \nmid N} (1 - a_p p^{-s})^{-1} \prod_{p \mid N} (1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{1-2s})^{-1}, \]
where \( N \) is the conductor of \( E \). It is well-known that coefficients \( a_p \) are real and for \( p \nmid N \) one has
\[ a_p = p + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_p), \]
where \( \#E(\mathbb{F}_p) \) denotes the number of points on \( E \) modulo \( p \) including the point at infinity, and \( a_p \in \{-1, 0, 1\} \), when \( p\mid N \) (for details see [4], p.365). The Möbius function of \( E \) is defined as the sequence of the Dirichlet coefficients of the inverse of the shifted \( L(s, E) \):
\[ \frac{1}{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_E(n)}{n^s}, \quad \sigma > 1. \]

Using (1.4) and the well-known Hasse inequality (see [4], p.366, (14.32)) we easily show that \( \mu_E \) is a multiplicative function satisfying Ramanujan's condition \( (\mu_E(n) \ll n^\epsilon \) for every \( \epsilon > 0 \)), and moreover
\[ \mu_E(p^k) = \begin{cases} \frac{-a_p}{\sqrt{p}}, & k = 1 \\ 1, & k = 2 \text{ and } p \nmid \Delta \\ 0, & k \geq 3 \text{ or } k = 2 \text{ and } p\mid \Delta \end{cases} \]
for every prime \( p \) and positive integer \( k \).

Furthermore, C. Breuil, B.Conrad, F.Diamond and R.Taylor, using the method pioneered by A. Wiles, proved in [3] that every \( L \)-function of an elliptic curve analytically continues to an entire function and satisfies the following functional equation
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\[ \left( \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2\pi} \right)^s \Gamma(s)L(s, E) = \eta \left( \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2\pi} \right)^{2-s} \Gamma(2-s)L(2-s, E), \]

where \( \eta = \pm 1 \) is called the root number.

In analogy to \( m(z) \) we define \( m(z, E) \) as follows:

\[ m(z, E) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{1}{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)} e^{\pi iz} ds, \]

where the path of integration consists of the half-line \( s = -\frac{1}{4} + it, \infty > t \geq 0 \), the simple and smooth curve \( l \) (which is parametrized by \( \tau : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) such that \( \tau(0) = -\frac{1}{4}, \tau(1) = \frac{3}{2}, 3\tau(t) > 0 \) for \( t \in (0, 1) \) and \( F(s) \) has no zeros on \( l \) and between \( l \) and the real axis) and the half-line \( s = \frac{1}{2} + it, 0 \leq t < \infty \).

Using (1.5) and the Stirling's formula (see [4], p.151, (5.112)) it is easy to see that \( m(z, E) \) is holomorphic on the upper half-plane.

Our main goal in this paper is to prove the following results which are extensions of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

**Theorem 1.3.** The function \( m(z, E) \) can be continued analytically to a meromorphic function on the whole complex plane and satisfies the following functional equation

\[ m(z, E) + \overline{m(z, E)} = -\frac{2\pi}{\eta \sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_E(n)}{n} J_1 \left( \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{Nn}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}} \right) - R(z), \]

where \( R(z) = \sum \text{Res}_{\tau = \beta} \frac{e^{\pi iz}}{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)} \) (summation is over real zeros of \( L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E) \) in \( (0, 1) \), if there are any) and \( J_1(z) \) denotes the Bessel function of the first kind

\[ J_1(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (z/2)^{2k+1}}{k! (k+2)}. \]

The only singularities of \( m(z, E) \) are simple poles at the points \( z = \log n, \mu_E(n) \neq 0 \) with the corresponding residues

\[ \text{Res}_{z = \log n} m(z, E) = -\frac{\mu_E(n)}{2\pi i}. \]

Let \( Y_1(z) \) be the Bessel function of the second kind and let

\[ H_1^{(2)}(z) = J_1(z) - iY_1(z) \]

denote the classical Hankel function (see [2], p.4). Moreover, let

\[ R^*(z) = \text{Res}_{s=\frac{1}{2}} \left( \tan \pi s \frac{e^{\pi iz}}{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)} \right) + \sum \text{Res} \left( \tan \pi s \frac{e^{\pi iz}}{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)} \right), \]
summation is over real zeros of $L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)$ in $(0, 1) \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ (if there are any),

$$m_0(z, E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_E(n)}{n^z} \frac{1}{z - \log n},$$

$$m_1(z, E) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C (\tan \pi s - i) \frac{e^{sz}}{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)} ds,$$

$$H(z, E) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2} + i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} + \infty} (\tan \pi s - i) \frac{e^{sz}}{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)} ds.$$

It is plain to see that $R(z)$ and $R^*(z)$ are entire functions, $m_0(z, E)$ is meromorphic on the whole plane, whereas $m_1(z, E)$ and $H(z, E)$ are holomorphic for $\Im z > -2\pi$.

With this notation we have the following result.

**Theorem 1.4.** For $z = x + iy, |y| < 2\pi, x \in \mathbb{R}, z \neq \log n, \mu_E(n) \neq 0$ we have

$$m(z, E) = -\frac{\pi}{\eta \sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_E(n)}{n} \left( H^{(2)}_1 \left( \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{Nn}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} \right) - 2 \frac{n}{\pi} \left( \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{Nn}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} \right)^{-1} \right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \left( R(z) - iR^*(z) \right) + \frac{1}{2i} \left( H(z, E) + \overline{H}(z, E) \right) - \frac{1}{2i} \left( m_1(z, E) + m_1(z, E) \right).$$

(1.8)

2. AN AUXILIARY LEMMA

We need the following technical lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $z = x + iy, y > 0, s = Re^{i\theta}, R \sin \theta \geq 1, \frac{3\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \pi$. Then for $R \geq R(x, y)$ we have

$$\left| \frac{e^{sz}}{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)} \right| \leq e^{-y\frac{3\pi}{4}}.$$

**Proof.** Using (1.5), the Stirling’s formula and estimate

$$\log L(\sigma + it, E) \ll \log(|t| + 2), \quad |\sigma| \geq \frac{3}{2}, \quad |t| \geq 1 \quad \text{(see [7], p. 304)}$$

we obtain (2.1)

$$\log \left| \frac{e^{sz}}{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)} \right| = \Re \log \frac{e^{sz}}{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)} = 2R \log R \cos \theta + R f(\theta, x, y) + O(\log R),$$

where $f(\theta, x, y) = (x + 2 \log \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2} - 2) \cos \theta - (y + 2\theta - \pi) \sin \theta$.

For $\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log R}}$ we have

$$f(\theta, x, y) = -(y + 2\theta - \pi) + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log R}} \right)$$

and hence

$$\log \left| \frac{e^{sz}}{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)} \right| \leq -\frac{yR}{2}.$$
For $\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log R}} \leq \theta \leq \pi$ we have

$$|\cos \theta| \gg \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log R}}$$

and consequently

$$\log \left| \frac{e^{sz}}{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)} \right| = -2|\cos \theta|R \log R + O(R) \leq -yR \leq -\frac{yR}{2}$$

for sufficiently large $R$, and the lemma easily follows.

\[\square\]

3. **Proof of Theorem 1.3**

We shall first prove that $m(z, E)$ has meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane.

Let us write

$$2\pi im(z, E) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2} + i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} + i\infty} e^{sz} L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E) \, ds + \int_{i}^{i + \infty} e^{sz} L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E) \, ds + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2} + i\infty} e^{sz} L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E) \, ds$$

say.

Notice that $n_2(z)$ is an entire function.

We compute $n_3(z)$ explicitly. Term by term integration gives

$$n_3(z) = -e^{\frac{z}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_E(n) \frac{1}{n^z(z - \log n)}.$$

This shows that $n_3(z)$ is meromorphic on the whole complex plane and has simple poles at the points $z = \log n, \mu_E(n) \neq 0$ with residues

$$\text{Res}_{z = \log n} n_3(z) = -\mu_E(n).$$

Let us now consider $n_1(z)$. Let $C_1$ consist of the half-line $s = \sigma + i, -\infty < \sigma \leq -\frac{1}{4}$ and the line segment $[-\frac{1}{4} + i, -\frac{1}{4}]$. Using lemma 2.1 we can write

$$n_1(z) = \int_{C_1} \frac{e^{sz}}{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)} \, ds.$$

Putting $s = \sigma + i, \sigma \leq 0$ in (2.1) we obtain

$$\left| \frac{e^{(\sigma+i)z}}{L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i, E\right)} \right| \ll e^{-\epsilon_0 |\sigma| \log(|\sigma|+2)},$$

hence $n_1(z)$ is an entire function.

Then for $z \in \mathbb{C}, z \neq \log n, \mu_E(n) \neq 0$ we have

$$m(z, E) + \overline{m}(z, E) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_1 \cup (-C_1)} \frac{e^{sz}}{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)} \, ds - R(z)$$

and minus before contour denotes opposite direction.
Using the equality (1.5) we get

\[ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C \cup (-C_1)} \frac{e^{sz}}{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)} ds = \pi \frac{\mu_E(n)}{\eta \sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C \cup (-C_1)} \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} - s)} \left( \frac{Ne^x}{(2\pi)^2} \right)^s ds. \]

The last integrand has simple poles at \( s = -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}, \ldots \). Computing residues we obtain

\[ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C \cup (-C_1)} \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} - s)} \left( \frac{Ne^x}{(2\pi)^2} \right)^s ds = J_1 \left( \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{Nn} e^{-\frac{4\pi}{3}}} \right). \]

4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Let us now consider the function

\[ m^*(z, E) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \tan(\pi s) \frac{e^{sz}}{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)} ds. \]

Using lemma 2.1 we can write

\[ (4.1) \]

\[ m^*(z, E) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \int_{C \cup \mathbb{R}} + \int_{\frac{3}{2} + i\infty} \right) \tan(\pi s) \frac{e^{sz}}{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)} ds = m^*_a(z, E) + m^*_b(z, E). \]

Using again estimation

\[ \left| \frac{e^{(\sigma + i)z}}{L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i, E\right)} \right| \ll e^{-c_0|\sigma|\log(|\sigma|+2)}, \quad \sigma \leq 0 \]

and \( \tan(\pi(\sigma + i)) \ll 1 \) it is easy to see that \( m^*_a(z, E) \) is an entire function. Moreover

\[ m^*_b(z, E) = H(z, E) - \frac{e^{\frac{3}{2}z}}{2\pi} m_0(z, E). \]

This gives the meromorphic continuation of \( m^*(z, E) \) to the half-plane \( \Im z > 2\pi \) and \( m^*(z, E) \) has poles at the points \( \log n, n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, \mu_E(n) \neq 0 \), with residues

\[ \operatorname{Res}_{s=\log n} m^*(z, E) = -\frac{\mu_E(n)}{2\pi}. \]

Now we consider the function \( \overline{m^*}(z, E) \) Changing \( s \) to \( \overline{s} \) we get

\[ \overline{m^*}(z, E) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\overline{C \cup (-C_1)}} \tan(\pi \overline{s}) \frac{e^{\overline{sz}}}{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)} ds, \quad \Im z < 2\pi. \]

Further we have

\[ \overline{m^*}(z, E) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\overline{C \cup (-C_1)}} \tan(\pi \overline{s}) \frac{e^{\overline{sz}}}{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)} ds + \overline{H(z, E)} - \frac{e^{\frac{3}{2}z}}{2\pi} m_0(z, E). \]

Then for \( |\Im(z)| < 2\pi \) we have

\[ (4.2) \]

\[ m^*(z, E) + \overline{m^*}(z, E) = -J(z, E) - \frac{e^{\frac{3}{2}z}}{\pi} m_0(z, E) + H(z, E) + \overline{H(z, E)} - R^*(z), \]
where
\begin{equation}
J(z, E) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_1 \cup (-C_1)} \tan \pi s \frac{e^{sz}}{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, E)} ds
\end{equation}

Using functional equation (1.5) we get
\begin{equation}
J(z, E) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_1 \cup (-C_1)} \tan \pi s \frac{e^{sz} \Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})}{\eta \Gamma(\frac{s}{2} - s) L(\frac{s}{2} - s)} \left( \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2\pi} \right)^{2s-1} ds
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
= \frac{-2\pi}{\eta \sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_E(n)}{n} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_1 \cup (-C_1)} \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(s - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(s)(1-s)} \left( \frac{e^{\pi n}}{4\pi^2} \right)^s ds \right).
\end{equation}
The last integral we can compute using inverse Mellin transform (see [6], p.407)
\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_1 \cup (-C_1)} \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(s - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(s)(1-s)} \left( \frac{e^{\pi n}}{4\pi^2} \right)^s ds = -Y_1 \left( \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{N}n} e^{-\frac{s}{2}} \right) - \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{N}n} e^{-\frac{s}{2}} \right)^{-1}.
\end{equation}
Therefore
\begin{equation}
J(z, E) = \frac{2\pi}{\eta \sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_E(n)}{n} \left( -Y_1 \left( \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{N}n} e^{-\frac{s}{2}} \right) - \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{N}n} e^{-\frac{s}{2}} \right)^{-1} \right).
\end{equation}
For \( x \in \mathbb{R}, x \neq \log n \) we have
\begin{equation}
\Re(m^*(x, E)) = \frac{\pi}{\eta \sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_E(n)}{n} \left( -Y_1 \left( \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{N}n} e^{-\frac{s}{2}} \right) - \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{N}n} e^{-\frac{s}{2}} \right)^{-1} \right)
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
- \frac{e^{\pi x}}{2\pi} m_0(x, E) + \frac{1}{2} (H(x, E) + \overline{H(x, E)}) - \frac{1}{2} R^*(x).
\end{equation}
Obviously
\begin{equation}
m^*(z, E) = im(z, E) + m_1(z, E),
\end{equation}
therefore we get
\begin{equation}
\Im(m(x, E)) = - \frac{\pi}{\eta \sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_E(n)}{n} \left( -Y_1 \left( \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{N}n} e^{-\frac{s}{2}} \right) - \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{e^{\pi x}}{2\sqrt{N}n} \right)
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
+ \frac{e^{\pi x}}{2\pi} m_0(x, E) - \frac{1}{2} (H(x, E) + \overline{H(x, E)})
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
+ \frac{1}{2} (m_1(x, E) + \overline{m_1(x, E)}) + \frac{1}{2} R^*(x).
\end{equation}
On the other hand
\begin{equation}
\Re(m(x, E)) = - \frac{\pi}{\eta \sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_E(n)}{n} J_1 \left( \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{N}n} e^{-\frac{s}{2}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} R^*(x).
\end{equation}
The equations (4.5) and (4.6) imply the formula for $z \in \mathbb{R}$, $z \neq \log n$, $\mu_E(n) \neq 0$, and by the analytic continuation, formula (1.8) is valid in the strip $|\Im z| < 2\pi$.
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