EMBEDDING THEOREM FOR WEIGHTED HARDY SPACES INTO LEBESGUE SPACES
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ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the weighted Hardy space $H^p(\omega)$ induced by an $A_1$ weight $\omega$. We characterize the positive Borel measure $\mu$ such that the identical operator maps $H^p(\omega)$ into $L^q(d\mu)$ boundedly when $0 < p, q < \infty$. As an application, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness of generalized area operators $A_{\mu,r}$ from $H^p(\omega)$ to $L^q(\omega)$.
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1. INTRODUCTION

As usual, we denote the unit disk and the unit circle by $D$ and $\partial D$, respectively. For an arc $I \subseteq \partial D$, denote the normalized Lebesgue measure of $I$ by $|I| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_I |d\xi|$. Let $\mathcal{H}(D)$ be the set of all analytic functions on $D$. For $0 < p < \infty$, the classical Hardy space $H^p$ consists of all analytic functions $f \in \mathcal{H}(D)$ satisfying

$$
\|f\|^p_{H^p} := \sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D} |f(r\xi)|^p |d\xi| < \infty.
$$

For $p = \infty$, we say that $f \in H^\infty$ if $f$ is a bounded analytic function. More about the theory of Hardy spaces, we refer the readers to [3, 5, 17]. Let $\omega$ be a non-negative function on $\partial D$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, we say that $\omega$ satisfies the $A_p$ condition of Muckenhoupt, denoted by $\omega \in A_p$, if there exists a positive constant $C$ such that for any arcs $I \subseteq \partial D$,

$$
\left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \omega(\xi)|d\xi| \right) \left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \omega(\xi)^{-1/(p-1)}|d\xi| \right)^{p-1} \leq C
$$
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or
\[
\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} \omega(\xi)|d\xi| \leq C \inf_{\xi \in I} \omega(\xi),
\]
whenever \(1 < p < \infty\) or \(p = 1\), respectively. As we known, the Muckenhoupt \(A_p\) weight \(\omega\) satisfies the doubling property [8, 14], that is, there exists a positive constant \(C\) such that for all arcs \(I \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D}\),
\[
\int_{2I} \omega(\xi)|d\xi| \leq C \int_{I} \omega(\xi)|d\xi|. \tag{1}
\]
For \(\omega \in A_1\), \(0 < p < \infty\), the weighted Lebesgue space \(L^p(\omega)\) consists of all complex-valued Lebesgue measurable functions \(f\) on \(\partial \mathbb{D}\) for which
\[
\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)} = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} |f(\xi)|^p \omega(\xi)|d\xi| \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.
\]
It is well known that for each function \(f \in \mathcal{H}^1\), the non-tangential limit of \(f\) exists almost everywhere, in other words, \(f(e^{i\theta})\) is well defined for any \(\theta\) except that a zero measure set. Furthermore, \(f(e^{i\theta}) \in L^1(\partial \mathbb{D})\), see [3, Theorem 2.2]. So we may define the weighted Hardy space \(\mathcal{H}^p(\omega)\) as follows:
\[
\mathcal{H}^p(\omega) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}^1 : f \in L^p(\omega) \right\}
\]
equipped with the norm \(\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p(\omega)} = \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}\). When \(\omega \equiv 1\), \(\mathcal{H}^p(\omega)\) coincides with the classical Hardy space \(\mathcal{H}^p\).

In 1962, Carleson characterized a positive Borel measure \(\mu\) on \(\mathbb{D}\) such that \(\mathcal{H}^p \subseteq L^p(d\mu)\) continuously. Indeed, he proved that if \(1 < p < \infty\), then there exists a positive constant \(C\) such that for each \(f \in \mathcal{H}^p\),
\[
\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) \leq C \|f\|^p_{\mathcal{H}^p}
\]
holds if and only if \(\mu\) is a Carleson measure, see [11]. Duren generalized Carleson’s result in [4] and proved that if \(0 < p < q < \infty\), then there is a positive constant \(C\) such that for all \(f \in \mathcal{H}^p\),
\[
\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^q d\mu(z) \leq C \|f\|^q_{\mathcal{H}^p}
\]
holds if and only if \(\mu\) is a \(\frac{q}{p}\)-Carleson measure.

In [6], Girela, Lorente and Sarrión obtained some necessary conditions and some sufficient conditions for positive Borel measure \(\mu\) so that the differentiation operator from \(\mathcal{H}^p(\omega)\) to \(L^p(d\mu)\) is bounded. In [9], Luecking characterized the positive Borel measures \(\mu\) such that differential operator of order \(m\) maps \(\mathcal{H}^p\) into \(L^q(d\mu)\) boundedly. It is worth mentioning that Mcphail obtained a description of those positive Borel measures \(\mu\) on \(\mathbb{D}\) so
that the identical mapping from $\mathcal{H}^p(\omega)$ to $L^p(d\mu)$ is bounded in [10], which also extended Carleson’s theorem.

Motivated by above results, we give a characterization of the positive Borel measure $\mu$ such that the identical operator maps $\mathcal{H}^p(\omega)$ into $L^q(d\mu)$ boundedly when $0 < p, q < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_1$.

**Theorem 1.** Let $\mu$ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{D}$ and $\omega$ be a non-negative measurable function on $\partial \mathbb{D}$. If $\omega \in A_1$ and $0 < p \leq q < \infty$, then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^p(\omega)$,

$$\|f\|_{L^q(d\mu)} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p(\omega)}$$

(2)

holds if and only if there exists a positive constant $C$ such that for all $I \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D}$,

$$\mu(S(I)) \leq C \left( \int_I \omega(\xi)|d\xi| \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}$$

(3)

holds.

By the proof of Theorem 1 we can obtain the following corollary easily.

**Corollary 1.** Let $\mu$ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{D}$ and $\omega$ be a non-negative measurable function on $\partial \mathbb{D}$. If $\omega \in A_1$ and $0 < p \leq q < \infty$, then

$$\mathcal{M}_\omega : L^p(\omega) \rightarrow L^q(\mu)$$

is bounded if and only if $\mathcal{M}_{\omega, \frac{q}{p}}(\mu) \in L^\infty$, where $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ is defined in Section 2.

Define the positive Borel measures $\tilde{\mu}_\omega$ and $\hat{\mu}_\omega$ by

$$\tilde{\mu}_\omega(\xi) := \frac{1}{2\pi \omega(\xi)} \int_D \frac{1-|z|^2}{|\xi - z|^2} d\mu(z)$$

and

$$\hat{\mu}_\omega(\xi) := \frac{1}{\omega(\xi)} \int_{\Gamma(\xi)} \frac{1}{1-|z|} d\mu(z),$$

where $\Gamma(\xi)$ is defined by

$$\Gamma(\xi) := \{z \in D : |\xi - z| < 2(1 - |z|)\}.$$

**Theorem 2.** Let $\mu$ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{D}$ and $\omega$ be a non-negative measurable function on $\partial \mathbb{D}$. If $\omega \in A_1$ and $0 < q < p < \infty$, then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $\|f\|_{L^q(d\mu)} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p(\omega)}$ for some positive constant $C$.

(ii) $\tilde{\mu}_\omega \in L^{\frac{q}{p}}(\omega)$.

(iii) $\hat{\mu}_\omega \in L^{\frac{p}{q}}(\omega)$. 
Let $\mu$ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{D}$, the area operator $A_\mu$ is defined by

$$A_\mu f(\xi) := \int_{\Gamma(\xi)} |f(z)| \frac{1}{1-|z|} d\mu(z), \quad \xi \in \partial \mathbb{D}, \ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}).$$

If $\nu$ is a positive Borel measure on $\partial \mathbb{D}$, we denote $d\mu_\nu(z) := \frac{\nu(T(z))}{\nu(T)} d\mu(z)$ and define the generalized area operator $A_{\mu,\nu}$ by:

$$A_{\mu,\nu} f(\xi) := \int_{\Gamma(\xi)} |f(z)| \frac{1}{\nu(T(z))} d\mu(z), \quad \xi \in \partial \mathbb{D}, \ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}).$$

Here $T(z)$ is defined by

$$T(z) := \{\xi \in \partial \mathbb{D} : z \in \Gamma(\xi)\}.$$

It is well known that

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \chi_{\Gamma(\xi)}(z) |d\xi| \asymp 1 - |z|, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}$$

and

$$|T(z)| \asymp 1 - |z|, \quad \forall z \in \Gamma(\xi).$$

Area operators is an important research topic in harmonic analysis. It relates to, for instance, Poisson integral, the non-tangential maximal function, Littlewood-Paley operators and tent spaces, etc. Cohn studied the area operators $A_\mu$ from the Hardy spaces $\mathcal{H}^p$ to $L^p$ in [2], it states that for a positive Borel measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{D}$ and $0 < p < \infty$, $A_\mu$ from $\mathcal{H}^p$ to $L^p$ is bounded if and only if $\mu$ is a Carleson measure. The approach by Cohn relies on John and Nirenberg’s estimate and Calderón-Zygmund decomposition. More recently, Gong, Wu and the first author extended Cohn’s result in [7]. They used the same ideas and together with the Riesz factorization of Hardy spaces. Wu characterized the positive Borel measure $\mu$ on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ for which the area operator is bounded from standard weighted Bergman space $A^p_\alpha$ to Lebesgue space $L^p(\partial \mathbb{D})$ in [15, 16]. In [12], Peláez, Rättyä and Sierra gave the boundedness and compactness of the generalized area operator from weighted Bergman spaces to weighted Lebesgue spaces.

As an application of Theorems 1 and 2, we obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness of $A_{\mu,\nu}$ from $\mathcal{H}^p(\omega)$ to $L^q(\omega)$.

**Theorem 3.** Let $\mu$ and $\nu$ be positive Borel measures on $\mathbb{D}$ and $\partial \mathbb{D}$ respectively. Suppose that $\omega$ is a non-negative measurable function on $\partial \mathbb{D}$ satisfies $\mu(\{z \in \mathbb{D} : \nu(T(z)) = 0\}) = 0 = \mu(\{z \in \mathbb{D} : \omega(T(z)) = 0\})$. If $\omega \in A_1$ and $0 < p \leq q < \infty$, then $A_{\mu,\nu} : \mathcal{H}^p(\omega) \to L^q(\omega)$ is bounded if and only if there
exists a positive constant $C$ such that for all arcs $I \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D}$,
\[
\mu_\nu(S(I)) \leq C \left( \int_I \omega(\xi)|d\xi| \right)^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}}
\] (5)
holds.

**Theorem 4.** Let $\mu$ and $\nu$ be positive Borel measures on $\mathbb{D}$ and $\partial \mathbb{D}$ respectively. Suppose that $\omega$ is a non-negative measurable function on $\partial \mathbb{D}$ satisfies $\mu(\{z \in \mathbb{D} : \nu(T(z)) = 0\}) = 0 = \mu(\{z \in \mathbb{D} : \omega(T(z)) = 0\})$. If $\omega \in A_1$ and $1 \leq q < p < \infty$, then $A_{\mu,\nu} : \mathcal{H}^p(\omega) \rightarrow L^q(\omega)$ is bounded if and only if the following function
\[
\hat{\mu}(\xi) := \int_{T(\xi)} \frac{1}{\nu(T(z))} d\mu(z)
\]
belongs to $L^\infty(\omega)$.

Throughout this paper, $C$ is a positive constant depending only on index $p, q, \alpha, \ldots$, not necessary to be the same from one line to another. Let $f$ and $g$ be two positive functions, for convenience, we write $f \preceq g$, if $f \leq Cg$ holds. If $f \preceq g$ and $g \preceq f$, then we set $f \asymp g$. Also, we write $\omega(I) = \int_I \omega(\xi)|d\xi|$.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this section, we state some definitions and results will be used in the paper. For an arc $I \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D}$, the Carleson square based on $I$ is defined by
\[
S(I) := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D} : 1 - |I| \leq |z| < 1, \frac{z}{|z|} \in I \right\}.
\]
If $I = \partial \mathbb{D}$, then $S(I) = \mathbb{D}$. Let $\mu$ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{D}$, for $0 < s < \infty$, $\mu$ is called an $s$-Carleson measure if there is a positive constant $C$ such that for all arcs $I \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D}$,
\[
\mu(S(I)) \leq C|I|^s.
\]
1-Carleson measures are the classical Carleson measures.

Given $f \in L^1(\omega)$ and $z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, we associate the boundary arc
\[
I_z := \left\{ e^{i\theta} : \theta - \frac{1 - r}{2} \leq t \leq \theta + \frac{1 - r}{2} \right\}
\]
and define the weighted maximal function
\[
\mathcal{M}_{\omega}f(z) := \sup_I \frac{1}{\omega(I)} \int_I |f(\xi)|\omega(\xi)|d\xi|,
\]
where the supremum is taken over all arcs \( I \supseteq I_\omega \). When \( \alpha > 0 \) and \( \mu \) is a positive Borel measure on \( \mathbb{D} \), denote

\[
M_{\omega, \alpha}(\mu)(z) := \sup_{I \supseteq I_\omega} \frac{\mu(S(I))}{(\omega(I))^{1/\alpha}}.
\]

We also set \( M_{\omega}(\mu) := M_{\omega, 1}(\mu) \).

Suppose that \( f \) is a harmonic function on \( \mathbb{D} \), we define the non-tangential maximal function \( Nf \) as the following:

\[
Nf(\xi) := \sup_{z \in \Gamma(\xi)} |f(z)|.
\]

It is known that \( N \) is bounded on \( L^p(\omega) \) when \( 1 < p < \infty \) and \( \omega \in A_1 \).

For \( f \in L^1(\partial \mathbb{D}) \), the Poisson integral of \( f \) is defined by

\[
Pf(z) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|\xi - z|^2} f(\xi) |d\xi|.
\]

Then \( Pf \) is the harmonic extension of \( f \) onto \( \mathbb{D} \). Let

\[
Qf(z) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\xi z - \bar{\xi} z}{|\xi - z|^2} f(\xi) |d\xi|,
\]

we know that \( Qf \) is a conjugate to \( Pf \), that is,

\[
\mathcal{H}f(z) := Pf(z) + iQf(z)
\]

is analytic on \( \mathbb{D} \). It is standard that for \( 1 < p < \infty \) and \( \omega \in A_1 \), an \( f \) belongs to \( L^p(\omega) \) if and only if \( \mathcal{H}(f) \) is in \( \mathcal{H}^p(\omega) \). In fact, the operator \( \mathcal{H} \) defined above is bounded from \( L^p(\omega) \) into \( \mathcal{H}^p(\omega) \).

In the proofs of theorems, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** Let \( \omega \in A_1 \) and \( 0 < t, s, r < \infty \) such that \( \frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{r} \). Then \( \mathcal{H}^t(\omega) \cdot \mathcal{H}^s(\omega) = \mathcal{H}^r(\omega) \).

**Proof.** For \( f \in \mathcal{H}^t(\omega) \), \( g \in \mathcal{H}^s(\omega) \), Hölder’s inequality yields that

\[
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} |f(\xi)g(\xi)|^r \omega(\xi) |d\xi| \leq \left( \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} |f(\xi)|^s \omega(\xi) |d\xi| \right)^{\frac{r}{s}} \left( \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} |g(\xi)|^t \omega(\xi) |d\xi| \right)^{\frac{r}{t}}.
\]

Therefore, \( fg \in \mathcal{H}^r(\omega) \) and \( \|fg\|_{\mathcal{H}^r(\omega)} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^t(\omega)} \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\omega)} \).

On the other hand, if \( f \in \mathcal{H}^t(\omega) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^1 \), then there exists a Blaschke product \( B \) and an \( \mathcal{H}^1 \) function \( G \) such that \( f = BG \). Moreover, \( G \) does not vanish in \( \mathbb{D} \). We have \(|B(\xi)| = 1\) almost everywhere, so \(|f(\xi)| = |G(\xi)| \) almost everywhere. Hence, \( f \in \mathcal{H}^t(\omega) \) implies that \( G \in \mathcal{H}(\omega) \). Since \( G \) does not
vanish in \( \mathbb{D} \), \( G \) can be factored in the form \( G = G^{t/s}G^{t/r} \). Let \( g = BG^{t/s}, h = G^{t/r} \), then \( f = gh \). Since

\[
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} |g(\xi)|^t \omega(\xi) |d\xi| = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} |G(\xi)|^t \omega(\xi) |d\xi| < \infty
\]

and

\[
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} |h(\xi)|^r \omega(\xi) |d\xi| = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} |G(\xi)|^r \omega(\xi) |d\xi| < \infty,
\]

these imply that \( g \in \mathcal{H}^t(\omega) \) and \( h \in \mathcal{H}^r(\omega) \).

\[\square\]

**Lemma 2.** Let \( \omega \in A_1 \). Then there exists a positive constant \( C \) such that

\[
|f(z)| \leq CM \omega f(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},
\]

for all \( f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) \).

The proof of Lemma 2 is similar to that of Lemma 2.5 in \([13]\), the details is omitted here.

### 3. PROOFS OF MAIN THEOREMS

**Proof of Theorem 1** Assume that (2) holds first. Let \( I \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D} \) be an open arc, we may assume that \(|I| < \frac{1}{2}\) (the argument of the case \(|I| \geq \frac{1}{2}\) is similar and is omitted here). We can find a point \( a = a(I) \in \mathbb{D} \) such that \( \frac{a}{|a|} \) is the center of \( I \) and \( 1 - |a| = |I| \), that is \( I = I_a \). Define the arcs \( I_k \) by

\[
I_k := 2^k I, \quad k = 0, 1 \ldots, m, \quad I_{m+1} = \partial \mathbb{D},
\]

where \( m \) is the largest natural number satisfies \( 2^m |I| < 1 \). Since \( \omega \in A_1 \), we fix a positive constant \( M \) such that (1) holds. Let

\[
g(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \bar{a}z}, \quad |z| \leq 1.
\]

By the same argument as in \([6]\) we see that if \( a = |a|e^{i\theta} \), then

\[
|g(e^{i\theta})| \leq \frac{3}{(|I|^2 + |\theta - \varphi|^2)^\frac{1}{2}}.
\]  

(6)

It is easy to see that

\[
|g(e^{i\theta})| \leq \frac{3}{|I|}, \quad \text{if } e^{i\theta} \in I_0 = I,
\]  

(7)

and

\[
|g(e^{i\theta})| \leq \frac{3}{2^{k-1} |I|}, \quad \text{if } e^{i\theta} \in I_{k+1} \setminus I_k, \quad k = 0, 1 \ldots, m.
\]  

(8)
Choosing an integer $N$ sufficient large such that $\frac{M}{2^{N}} < 1$. Setting $f(z) = g(z)^N$, then $f \in \mathcal{H}^p(\omega)$. Combining with (1), (7) and (8) and using the definition of $I_k$, we deduce

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p(\omega)}^p = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{I_0} |g(\xi)|^N \omega(\xi) d\xi + \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{I_{k+1} \setminus I_k} |g(\xi)|^N \omega(\xi) d\xi \\
\leq \frac{3^N}{2\pi |I|^N} \int_{I} \omega(\xi) d\xi + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{3^N}{2^{(k-1)N}|I|^N} \int_{I_{k+1} \setminus I_k} \omega(\xi) d\xi \\
\leq \frac{3^N}{2\pi |I|^N} \left( \int_{I} \omega(\xi) d\xi + \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{M^k}{2^{(k-1)N}} \int_{I} \omega(\xi) d\xi \right) \\
\leq \frac{3^N}{2\pi |I|^N} \left( 1 + M^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{M}{2^N} \right)^{k-1} \right) \int_{I} \omega(\xi) d\xi.
$$

Since $\frac{M}{2^{N}} < 1$, we have

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p(\omega)}^p \leq \frac{C}{|I|^N} \int_{I} \omega(\xi) d\xi. \tag{9}
$$

For $a = |a|e^{i\varphi}$ and $z \in S(I)$, a simple geometric argument shows that

$$
\left| \frac{1}{|a|} e^{i\varphi} - z \right| \leq \frac{1}{|a|} \left| e^{i\varphi} - |a| e^{i(\varphi + \frac{\pi}{2}|I|)} \right| = \frac{1}{|a|} \left( 1 - 2|a|^2 \cos \left( \frac{|I|}{2} \right) + |a|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

Thus,

$$
\frac{1}{|g(z)|} \leq \left( 1 - 2|a|^2 \cos \left( \frac{|I|}{2} \right) + |a|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 3|I|. \tag{10}
$$

Therefore, applying (2), (9) and (10), we obtain

$$
\mu(S(I)) \leq \int_{S(I)} \left( |g(z)| \cdot 3|I| \right)^{Nq} d\mu(z) \\
\leq C|I|^{Nq} \|f\|_{L^q(d\mu)} = C|I|^{Nq} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p(\omega)} \leq C \left( \int_{I} \omega(\xi) d\xi \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}.
$$

This proves (3).

Conversely, for each $s > 0$, we defined the sets $E_s$ as follows:

$$
E_s = \{ z \in \mathcal{D} : \mathcal{M}_\omega f(z) > s \}.
$$
We first show that there exists a positive constant \( C \geq 1 \) such that for all \( f \in \mathcal{H}^1(\omega) \) and \( s > 0 \),

\[
\mu(E_s) \leq Cs^{-\frac{q}{p}}\|f\|^q_{\mathcal{H}^1(\omega)}. \tag{11}
\]

If \( E_s = \emptyset \), then (11) is clearly true. Now suppose that \( E_s \) is nonempty. Given \( \varepsilon > 0 \), write

\[ A^\varepsilon_s = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D} : \int_{I_z} |f(\xi)|\omega(\xi)\,d\xi > s(\varepsilon + \omega(I_z)) \right\} \]

and

\[ B^\varepsilon_s = \{ z \in \mathbb{D} : I_z \subseteq I_w \text{ for some } w \in A^\varepsilon_s \}. \]

Clearly, \( E_s = \bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} B^\varepsilon_s \). It is easy to see that \( B^\varepsilon_s \) become larger if \( \varepsilon \downarrow 0^+ \) and that

\[
\mu(E_s) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \mu(B^\varepsilon_s). \tag{12}
\]

Notice that for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( s > 0 \), there exists finitely many points \( z_n \in A^\varepsilon_s \) such that the arcs \( I_{z_n} \) are disjoint. In fact, if this is not the case, then we can find a sequence \( \{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq A^\varepsilon_s \) such that \( I_{z_n} \cap I_{z_m} = \emptyset, \forall n \neq m \). Therefore,

\[
s \sum_{n}(\varepsilon + \omega(I_{z_n})) \leq \sum_{n} \int_{I_{z_n}} |f(\xi)|\omega(\xi)\,d\xi \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\omega)}. \tag{13}
\]

So \( \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\omega)} \geq s \sum_{n} \varepsilon = \infty \), this is a contradiction. By Covering Lemma, there exist finite many points \( z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_m \in A^\varepsilon_s \) satisfying the following conditions:

(I) The arcs \( I_{z_j} \) are disjoint,

(II) \( A^\varepsilon_s \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^m \{ z \in \mathbb{D} : I_z \subseteq J_{z_j} \} \), where \( J_z \) is the arc of length \( 5|I_z| \) whose center coincides with that of \( I_z \).

It follows that

\[
B^\varepsilon_s \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^m \{ z \in \mathbb{D} : I_z \subseteq J_{z_j} \}. \tag{14}
\]

Observe that (3) and the assumption of \( \omega \) yield

\[
\mu \left( \{ z \in \mathbb{D} : I_z \subseteq J_{z_j} \} \right) \leq \mu(S(\omega(J_{z_j}))) \leq \omega(J_{z_j})^\frac{q}{p} \leq \omega(I_{z_j})^\frac{q}{p}, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, m.
\]
Combining (13) and (14), we get

\[ \mu(B^p_\alpha) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} \omega(I_{\alpha j})^\frac{m}{p} \leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} \omega(I_{\alpha j}) \right)^\frac{m}{p} \leq \left( \frac{1}{s} \|f\|_{H^s(\omega)} \right)^\frac{m}{p}. \]  

(15)

Using (12) and (15), we see that (11) is true with a constant depending only on \( p, q \) and \( \omega \). Fix \( \alpha > \frac{1}{p} \), for \( s > 0 \), let \( |f|^\frac{m}{p} = g_{\frac{1}{p}, s} + \chi_{\frac{1}{p}, s} \), where

\[ g_{\frac{1}{p}, s}(\xi) = \begin{cases} |f(\xi)|^\frac{1}{p}, & \text{if } |f(\xi)|^\frac{1}{p} > \frac{s}{2}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \]

Note that \( p > \frac{1}{\alpha} \), the function \( g_{\frac{1}{p}, s} \) belongs to \( L^1(\partial \mathbb{D}) \) for all \( s > 0 \). Moreover,

\[ M_{\omega}(|f|^\frac{m}{p}) \leq M_{\omega}(g_{\frac{1}{p}, s}) + M_{\omega}(\chi_{\frac{1}{p}, s}) \leq M_{\omega}(g_{\frac{1}{p}, s}) + \frac{s}{2}. \]

So

\[ \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D} : M_{\omega}(|f|^\frac{m}{p}) > s \right\} \subseteq \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D} : M_{\omega}(g_{\frac{1}{p}, s}) > \frac{s}{2} \right\}. \]  

(16)

By Lemma 2 and Minkowski’s inequality of continuous form, we deduce that

\[
\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^q d\mu(z) \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( M_{\omega}(|f|^\frac{m}{p})(z) \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} d\mu(z) \\
\leq q\alpha \int_0^\infty s^{\alpha q - 1} \mu \left( \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D} : M_{\omega}(g_{\frac{1}{p}, s}) > \frac{s}{2} \right\} \right) ds \\
\leq \int_0^\infty s^{\alpha q - 1 - \frac{m}{p}} \|g_{\frac{1}{p}, s}\|_{L^\alpha_{\omega}}^\frac{m}{p} ds \\
\leq \left( \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} |f(\xi)|^\frac{1}{p} \omega(\xi) \left( \int_0^{2C |f(\xi)|^\frac{1}{p}} s^{\alpha q - 1 - \frac{m}{p}} ds \right)^\frac{m}{p} \right)^\frac{p}{m} \\
\leq \|f\|_{H^s(\omega)}^q.
\]

This proves (2), we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

\[ \square \]

**Proof of Theorem 2** Let us recall the Riesz factorization theorem for \( \mathcal{H}^p \) spaces first. For each \( f \in \mathcal{H}^p \) and \( f \neq 0 \), then \( f(z) = F(z)B(z) \) with \( F \in \mathcal{H}^p \) has no zero in \( \mathbb{D} \) and \( \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \), \( B \) is a Blaschke product. So the statement (i) is equivalent to

\[ \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)| d\mu(z) \leq C \|f\|_{H^p(\omega)}^q, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}^p(\omega). \]  

(17)
A duality argument shows that \( \tilde{\mu} \parallel H \) Hölder’s inequality yields
\[
\int_{\mathcal{D}} |Ph(z)|d\mu(z) = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{2\pi \omega(\xi)} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|\xi - z|^2} d\mu(\xi)h(\xi)\omega(\xi)|d\xi| = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \tilde{\mu}_\omega(\xi)h(\xi)\omega(\xi)|d\xi|.
\]
Since \( \frac{p}{q} > 1 \), the operator \( \mathcal{H} \) is bounded from \( L^\frac{p}{q}(\omega) \) to \( \mathcal{H}^\frac{p}{q}(\omega) \). Then \( \text{(17)} \) implies that
\[
\int_{\mathcal{D}} |Ph(z)|d\mu(z) \leq \int_{\mathcal{D}} |Hh(z)|d\mu(z) \leq C\|Hh\|_{L^\frac{p}{q}(\omega)} \leq C\|h\|_{L^\frac{p}{q}(\omega)}.
\]
Hence
\[
\int_{\mathcal{D}} \tilde{\mu}_\omega(\xi)h(\xi)\omega(\xi)|d\xi| \leq C\|h\|_{L^\frac{p}{q}(\omega)}, \forall h \in L^\frac{p}{q}(\omega), h \geq 0.
\]
A duality argument shows that \( \tilde{\mu}_\omega \in L^\frac{p}{q}(\omega) \).

(ii) \( \Rightarrow \) (iii). If \( z \in \Gamma(\xi) \), then \( |\xi - z| \leq 2(1 - |z|) \). We obtain \( \frac{1}{1 - |z|} \leq \frac{4(1 - |z|^2)}{|\xi - z|^2} \) and
\[
\tilde{\mu}_\omega(\xi) \leq \frac{1}{\omega(\xi)} \int_{\Gamma(\xi)} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|\xi - z|^2} d\mu(z) = \tilde{\mu}_\omega(\xi).
\]

(iii) \( \Rightarrow \) (i). Notice that \( \frac{p}{q} > 1 \), \( NP \) is bounded on \( L^\frac{p}{q}(\omega) \). For \( h \in L^\frac{p}{q}(\omega) \) and \( h \geq 0 \), Fubini’s theorem and Hölder’s inequality yield
\[
\int_{\mathcal{D}} Ph(z)d\mu(z) = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \int_{\Gamma(\xi)} Ph(z) \frac{1}{1 - |z|} d\mu(z)|d\xi|
\leq \int_{\mathcal{D}} NPh(\xi) \int_{\Gamma(\xi)} \frac{1}{1 - |z|} d\mu(z)|d\xi|
\leq \|NP(h)\|_{L^\frac{p}{q}(\omega)} \|\tilde{\mu}_\omega\|_{L^\frac{p}{q}(\omega)}
\leq C\|h\|_{L^\frac{p}{q}(\omega)} \|\tilde{\mu}_\omega\|_{L^\frac{p}{q}(\omega)}.
\]
This proves \( \text{(17)} \). \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 3** First suppose that \( \text{(5)} \) holds. For the case \( 0 < q \leq 1 \), Hölder’s inequality yields
\[
\|A_{\mu,f}\|_{L^q(\omega)} \leq \int_{\mathcal{D}} (Nf(\xi))^{1-\frac{q}{p}} \left( \int_{\Gamma(\xi)} |f(z)|^{1 - (1 - \frac{q}{p})\frac{q}{v(T(z)} d\mu(z) \right)^q \omega(\xi)|d\xi|
\leq \left( \int_{\mathcal{D}} (Nf(\xi))^{p}\omega(\xi)|d\xi| \right)^{1-q} \left( \int_{\mathcal{D}} \int_{\Gamma(\xi)} |f(z)|^{1 + p - \frac{q}{p}} \frac{1}{v(T(z)} d\mu(z)\omega(\xi)\omega(\xi)|d\xi| \right)^q.
\]
Using Theorem 1 and Fubini’s theorem, we have

\[
\int_{\partial D} \int_{T(z)} |f(z)|^{1+p-\frac{p}{q}} \frac{1}{\omega(T(z))} d\mu(z) \omega(\xi) d\xi \geq \int_{D} |f(z)|^{1+p-\frac{p}{q}} \frac{1}{\omega(T(z))} d\mu_{v}(z) \int_{T(z)} \omega(\xi) d\xi \leq \|f\|_{H^{p}(\omega)}^{p(1+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})}.
\]

In addition,

\[
\int_{\partial D} (N f(\xi))^{p} \omega(\xi) d\xi \leq \|f\|_{H^{p}(\omega)}^{p}.
\]

So

\[
\|A_{\mu,v} f\|_{L^{p}(\omega)} \leq \|f\|_{H^{p}(\omega)}.
\]

For the case \( q > 1 \), by duality argument, we only need to show for all \( g \in L^{q'}(\omega) \) and \( g \geq 0 \), the following inequality holds:

\[
\int_{\partial D} A_{\mu,v} f(\xi) g(\xi) \omega(\xi) d\xi \leq \|f\|_{H^{p}(\omega)} \|g\|_{L^{q'}(\omega)}. \tag{18}
\]

By Fubini’s theorem, we have

\[
\int_{\partial D} A_{\mu,v} f(\xi) g(\xi) \omega(\xi) d\xi = \int_{\partial D} g(\xi) \int_{T(z)} |f(z)| \frac{1}{\omega(T(z))} d\mu_{v}(z) \omega(\xi) d\xi = \int_{D} |f(z)| \frac{1}{\omega(T(z))} \int_{T(z)} g(\xi) \omega(\xi) d\xi d\mu_{v}(z) \leq \int_{D} |f(z)| M_{\omega} g(z) d\mu_{v}(z).
\]

Since \( \mu_{v} \) satisfies (5), so \( M_{\omega} : L^{q'}(\omega) \to L^{q'(1+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})}(\mu_{v}) \) is bounded by Corollary 1. It follows from Hölder’s inequality, Theorem 1 and the boundedness of \( M_{\omega} \) that

\[
\int_{D} |f(z)| M_{\omega} g(z) d\mu_{v}(z) \leq \|f\|_{H^{p}(\omega)} \|g\|_{L^{q'}(\omega)}.
\]

The (18) is proved.

Conversely, suppose that \( A_{\mu,v} : H^{p}(\omega) \to L^{q}(\omega) \) is bounded. Firstly, we deal with the case \( q \geq 1 \). Fixed \( a = |a| e^{i\theta} \in D \), let \( I = I_{a} \), where

\[
I_{a} = \left\{ e^{i\theta} \in \partial D : \varphi - \frac{1}{2} \leq \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \right\}.
\]

Then \( |I| = |I_{a}| = \varphi \). Define the sets \( I_{k} \) as in the proof of Theorem 1 and set \( g(\xi) = \frac{1}{1-|a|}, \xi \in \partial D \). By (1), (7) and (8), with a constant \( N > 0 \) to be
determined later, we obtain

\[
\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|1-\bar{a}\xi|^{Np+1}} \omega(\xi)|d\xi| \\
\leq \frac{1}{(1-|a|)^{Np+1}} \int_I \omega(\xi)|d\xi| + \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left( \frac{3}{2k-1|I|} \right)^{Np+1} \int_{I \setminus \{I_k\}} \omega(\xi)|d\xi| \\
\leq \frac{1}{|I|^{Np+1}} \int_I \omega(\xi)|d\xi| + \frac{3^N}{|I|^{Np+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left( \frac{1}{2^{Np+1}} \right)^{k-1} M^k \int_I \omega(\xi)|d\xi|.\]

Choosing \(N\) large enough so that \(\frac{M}{2^{Np+1}} < 1\), it follows that

\[
\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|1-\bar{a}\xi|^{Np+1}} \omega(\xi)|d\xi| \leq \frac{1}{|I|^{Np+1}} \int_I \omega(\xi)|d\xi|. \tag{19}
\]

Since \(|I| = |I_a| \approx 1 - |a|,

\[
\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1-|a|)^{Np}}{|1-\bar{a}\xi|^{Np+1}} \omega(\xi)|d\xi| \leq \frac{1}{|I_a|} \int_{I_a} \omega(\xi)|d\xi|.
\]

Combining this with a similar argument in [4] (page 157) shows that

\[
\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1-|a|)^{Np}}{|1-\bar{a}\xi|^{Np+1}} \omega(\xi)|d\xi| = \frac{1}{|I_a|} \int_{I_a} \omega(\xi)|d\xi|.
\]

Let \(f_0(z) = \frac{(1-|a|)^N}{(1-\bar{a}z)^{Np+\frac{1}{p}}},\) then

\[
\|f_0\|_{H^{p,\omega}(\mathbb{D})} \asymp \frac{1}{1-|a|^p} \omega(I_a).
\]

Denote \(\Lambda(I) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : \overline{T_z} \subseteq I\}.\) It is standard that \(|1-\bar{a}z| \asymp 1-|a|, \forall z \in \Lambda(I).\) We see that

\[
\int_{I_a} \left( \int_{\Gamma(z) \cap \Lambda(I_a)} \frac{(1-|a|)^N}{|1-\bar{a}\xi|^{Np+\frac{1}{p}}} \cdot \frac{1}{v(T(z))} d\mu(z) \right)^q \omega(\xi)|d\xi| \\
\leq \int_{I_a} \left( \int_{\Gamma(z) \cap \Lambda(I_a)} \frac{1}{(1-|a|)^{Np}} \cdot \frac{1}{v(T(z))} d\mu(z) \right)^q \omega(\xi)|d\xi| \\
\leq |I_a|^{-\frac{q}{p}} \int_{I_a} \left( \int_{\Gamma(z) \cap \Lambda(I_a)} \frac{1}{v(T(z))} d\mu(z) \right)^q \omega(\xi)|d\xi|.
\]
In addition,
\[
\int_{I_0} \left( \int_{\Gamma(z) \cap \Lambda(I_0)} \frac{1}{|1 - a|^{N_1}} \cdot \frac{1}{v(T(z))} d\mu(z) \right)^q \omega(\xi)|d\xi|
\]
\[
\leq \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \left( \int_{\Gamma(z) \cap \Lambda(I_0)} \frac{1}{v(T(z))} d\mu(z) \right)^q \omega(\xi)|d\xi|
\]
\[
= |A_{\mu,v} f|^q_{L^q(\partial \mathbb{D})}
\]
\[
\leq (\omega(I_0))^{\frac{q}{q-1}} |I_0|^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}.
\]
Hence
\[
\frac{1}{\omega(I_0)} \int_{I_0} \left( \int_{\Gamma(z) \cap \Lambda(I_0)} \frac{1}{v(T(z))} d\mu(z) \right)^q \omega(\xi)|d\xi| \leq (\omega(I_0))^{\frac{q}{q-1}} - 1.
\]
Since \( q \geq 1 \), by Jensen’s inequality,
\[
\int_{I_0} \int_{\Gamma(z) \cap \Lambda(I_0)} \frac{1}{v(T(z))} d\mu(z) \omega(\xi)|d\xi| \leq (\omega(I_0))^{1 + \frac{1}{q-1}}.
\]
Because \( a \in \mathbb{D} \) is arbitrary, we deduce that for all arcs \( I \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D} \),
\[
\int_{I} \int_{\Gamma(z) \cap \Lambda(I)} \frac{1}{v(T(z))} d\mu(z) \omega(\xi)|d\xi| \leq (\omega(I))^{1 + \frac{1}{q-1}}.
\]
Thus, \( \mu_\omega^\nu(\Lambda(I)) \leq (\omega(I))^{1 + \frac{1}{q-1}} \). This implies that \( \mu_\omega^\nu \) satisfies (5) because there exist Carleson squares \( S(I_1) \) and \( S(I_2) \) such that \( S(I_1) \subseteq \Lambda(I) \subseteq S(I_2) \) and \( |I_1| \approx |I| \approx |I_2| \).

Now we prove the case \( 0 < q < 1 \). For an arc \( I \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D} \), set
\[
R(I) = \{ z = |z|e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D} : \omega(T(z)) \leq 2^l \omega(I), e^{i\theta} \in I \}.
\]
By a similar argument, we see that
\[
\frac{1}{\omega(I)} \int_{I} \left( \int_{\Gamma(z) \cap R(I)} \frac{1}{\omega(T(z))} d\mu_\omega^\nu(z) \right)^q \omega(\xi)|d\xi| \leq (\omega(I))^{\frac{q}{q-1}} - 1.
\]
So
\[
\frac{1}{\omega(I)} \int_{I} \left( \int_{\Gamma(z) \cap R(I)} \frac{1}{(\omega(I))^{1 + \frac{1}{q-1}}} \frac{1}{\omega(T(z))} d\mu_\omega^\nu(z) \right)^q \omega(\xi)|d\xi| \leq C.
\] (20)
Fixed \( l \) large enough so that for each \( \xi \in I \),
\[
\hat{R}(I) = \{ z \in R(I) : 2^{l-1} \omega(I) \leq \omega(T(z)) \leq 2^l \omega(I) \}
\]
is a subset of \( \Gamma(\xi) \). It is clear that
\[
\bigcup_{\xi \in Q \in \mathcal{Z}(I)} \hat{R}(Q) \subseteq R(I) \cap \Gamma(\xi),
\]
where \( D(I) \) is the set of all dichotomy arcs of \( I \). Therefore (20) implies

\[
\frac{1}{\omega(I)} \int_I \left( \frac{1}{(\omega(I))^{1/\theta}} \sum_{Q \in D(I)} \frac{\mu^\omega(\hat{R}(Q))}{\omega(Q)} \chi_Q(\xi) \right)^q \omega(\xi) |d\xi| \leq C. \tag{21}
\]

Set

\[
F_I(\xi) = \frac{1}{C^{\frac{q}{\theta}}(\omega(I))^{1/\theta}} \sum_{Q \in D(I)} \frac{\mu^\omega(\hat{R}(Q))}{\omega(Q)} \chi_Q(\xi), \xi \in I.
\]

Then (21) is equivalent to

\[
\frac{1}{\omega(I)} \int_I (F_I(\xi))^q \omega(\xi) |d\xi| \leq 1, \quad \forall I \subseteq \partial D. \tag{22}
\]

If we have the John-Nirenberg type estimate

\[
\omega(\{\xi \in I : F_I(\xi) > t\}) \leq Ce^{-dt^\theta} \omega(I). \tag{23}
\]

From (23), it is not difficult to see that

\[
\omega \left( \left\{ \xi \in I : \sum_{Q \in D(I)} \frac{\mu^\omega(\hat{R}(Q))}{\omega(Q)} \chi_Q(\xi) > t \right\} \right) \leq \exp \left\{ -\lambda(\omega(I))^{1 - \frac{1}{\theta}} t^\theta \right\} \omega(I).
\]

Thus,

\[
\mu^\omega(\Lambda(I)) \leq \mu^\omega(R(I))
\]

\[
= \sum_{Q \in D(I)} \mu^\omega(\hat{R}(Q))
\]

\[
= \int_I \left( \sum_{Q \in D(I)} \frac{\mu^\omega(\hat{R}(Q))}{\omega(Q)} \chi_Q(\xi) \right) \omega(\xi) |d\xi| 
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty \omega \left( \left\{ \xi \in I : \sum_{Q \in D(I)} \frac{\mu^\omega(\hat{R}(Q))}{\omega(Q)} \chi_Q(\xi) > t \right\} \right) dt 
\]

\[
\leq C(\omega(I))^{1 + \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{\theta}}.
\]

Hence,

\[
\mu^\omega(S(I)) \leq (\omega(I))^{1 + \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{\theta}}.
\]

In the following, we use the method of Calderón-Zygmund decomposition to prove (23). Fixed \( \alpha > 1 \), proceed the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition to the function \( F_I \) at height \( \alpha \), there exist \( I_j^1 \in D(I), j = 1, 2, \cdots \), such that

(I) \( |F_I(\xi)|^\alpha \leq \alpha, \xi \in I \setminus \bigcup_j I_j^1 \);

(II) \( \alpha \leq \frac{1}{\omega(I_j^1)} \int_{I_j^1} (F_I(\xi))^q \omega(\xi) |d\xi| \leq 2\alpha, \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots ; \)

(III) \( \omega(\bigcup_j I_j^1) = \sum_j \omega(I_j^1) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_I (F_I(\xi))^q \omega(\xi) |d\xi| \leq \frac{4}{\alpha} \omega(I). \)
Let \( E^1 = \bigcup_j I_j^1 \), then

\[
\{ \xi \in I : (F_I(\xi))^q > \alpha \} \subseteq E^1
\]

and

\[
\frac{1}{\omega(I_j)} \int_{I_j} \left( F_{I_j}(\xi) \right)^q \omega(\xi) |d\xi| \leq 1, \ j = 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

Proceed the decomposition to the functions \( F_{I_j}^q \), we get \( I_j^{2,j} \in D(I_j^1) \), \( l = 1, 2, \ldots \), satisfying

(1) \( |F_{I_j}(\xi)|^q \leq \alpha, \xi \in I_j^1 \setminus \bigcup_j I_j^{2,j} \);

(2) \( \alpha \leq \frac{1}{\omega(I_j)} \int_{I_j} (F_{I_j}(\xi))^q \omega(\xi) |d\xi| \leq 2\alpha, \ l = 1, 2, \ldots \);

(3) \( \omega(\bigcup_j I_j^{2,j}) = \sum_j \omega(I_j^{2,j}) \leq \frac{1}{q} \int_{I_j} (F_{I_j}(\xi))^q \omega(\xi) |d\xi| \leq \frac{1}{q} \omega(I_j) \).

Denote \( E_j^2 = \bigcup_j I_j^{2,j} \). Since \( 0 < p \leq q < 1 \), \( \left( \frac{\omega(I_j)}{\omega(I)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \leq 1 \). If \( \xi \in I_j^1 \setminus E_j^2 \), then

\[
F_j(\xi) = \left( \frac{\omega(I_j)}{\omega(I)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} F_{I_j}(\xi) + F_I(\xi) - \left( \frac{\omega(I_j)}{\omega(I)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} F_{I_j}(\xi)
\]

\[
\leq (\alpha)^{\frac{1}{q}} + F_I(\xi) - \left( \frac{\omega(I_j)}{\omega(I)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} F_{I_j}(\xi).
\]

Since \( Q \in D(I) \setminus D(I_j^1) \), we have \( I_j^1 \subseteq Q \) and \( \chi_Q(\xi) = 1 \). So

\[
F_j(\xi) - \left( \frac{\omega(I_j)}{\omega(I)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} F_{I_j}(\xi)
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{1}{\omega(I)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \frac{1}{C^2} \left( \sum_{Q \in D(I)} \frac{\mu_c^\gamma(R(Q))}{\omega(Q)} \chi_Q(\xi) - \sum_{S \in D(I_j)} \frac{\mu_c^\gamma(R(S))}{\omega(S)} \chi_S(\xi) \right)
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{1}{\omega(I)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \frac{1}{C^2} \sum_{Q \in D(I) \setminus D(I_j^1)} \frac{\mu_c^\gamma(R(Q))}{\omega(Q)} \chi_Q(\xi)
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{1}{\omega(I)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \frac{1}{C^2} \sum_{Q \in D(I) \setminus D(I_j^1)} \frac{\mu_c^\gamma(R(Q))}{\omega(Q)}.
\]
This shows that \( F_I(\xi) - \left( \frac{\omega(I)}{\omega(I)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} F_I(\xi) \) is a non-negative constant on \( I_j^1 \), which implies
\[
F_I(\xi) = \left( \frac{\omega(I)}{\omega(I)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} F_I(\xi)
\]

Now, for all \( \xi \in I_j^1 \setminus E_j^2 \), \( j = 1, 2, \ldots \), we have
\[
F_I(\xi) \leq (\alpha)^{\frac{1}{q}} + F_I(\xi) - \left( \frac{\omega(I)}{\omega(I)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} F_I(\xi) = (\alpha)^{\frac{1}{q}} + (2\alpha)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq (3\alpha)^{\frac{1}{q}}.
\]

Let \( E^2 = \bigcup_j E_j^2 \). Then \( \{\xi \in I : (F_I(\xi))^q > 3\alpha\} \subseteq E^2 \) and
\[
\omega(E^2) = \sum_j (\omega(E_j^2)) \leq \sum_j \frac{1}{\alpha} \omega(I_j^1) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \omega(I).
\]

Repeat the process above, we obtain a sequence \( \{E^n\} \) such that
\[
\omega(E^n) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^n} \omega(I)
\]
and
\[
\{\xi \in I : (F_I(\xi))^q > 3(n-1)\alpha\} \subseteq E^n. \tag{24}
\]

From (24),
\[
\omega(\{\xi \in I : (F_I(\xi))^q > \alpha\}) \leq C e^{-\lambda_0} \omega(I). \tag{25}
\]

This easily implies the John-Nirenberg type estimate (23). This proof is complete. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 4.** Suppose that \( \hat{\mu}_\nu \in L^{\frac{p}{n}}(\omega) \). For all \( f \in H^p(\omega) \), we have
\[
A_{\hat{\mu}_\nu}f(\xi) \leq Nf(\xi)\hat{\mu}_\nu(\xi).
\]
Using Hölder’s inequality implies

\[
\|A_{\mu,\nu}f\|^q_{L^q(\omega)} \leq \int_{\partial D} (Nf(\xi))^q (\hat{\mu}_\nu(\xi))^\frac{p}{q} \omega(\xi)\,d\xi \leq \left(\int_{\partial D} (Nf(\xi))^p \omega(\xi)\,d\xi\right)^\frac{q}{p} \left(\int_{\partial D} (\hat{\mu}_\nu(\xi))^\frac{pq}{p-q} \omega(\xi)\,d\xi\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \\
\leq \|f\|^p_{H^p(\omega)} \|\hat{\mu}_\nu\|^q_{L^{pq/(p-q)}(\omega)}.
\]

Hence, \(A_{\mu,\nu}\) is bounded from \(H^p(\omega)\) to \(L^q(\omega)\).

Conversely, for any \(f \in H^p(\omega)\) and any \(g \in H^{\frac{p}{p-q}}(\omega)\), Fubini’s theorem yields

\[
\int_{\partial D} \left(\int_{\Gamma(\xi)} |f(z)| \frac{1}{\nu(T(z))} \,d\mu(z)\right) Ng(\xi)\omega(\xi)\,d\xi \\
\geq \int_{\partial D} \int_{\Gamma(\xi)} |f(z)||g(z)| \frac{1}{\nu(T(z))} \,d\mu(z)\omega(\xi)\,d\xi \\
= \int_D |f(z)||g(z)|d\mu_\nu(z).
\]

One the other hand,

\[
\int_{\partial D} \left(\int_{\Gamma(\xi)} |f(z)| \frac{1}{\nu(T(z))} \,d\mu(z)\right) Ng(\xi)\omega(\xi)\,d\xi = \int_{\partial D} A_{\mu,\nu}f(\xi) Ng(\xi)\omega(\xi)\,d\xi \\
\leq \|f\|_{H^p(\omega)} \|g\|_{H^{\frac{p}{p-q}}(\omega)}.
\]

Therefore

\[
\int_D |f(z)||g(z)|d\mu_\nu(z) \leq \|f\|_{H^p(\omega)} \|g\|_{H^{\frac{p}{p-q}}(\omega)}.
\]

Since \(f \in H^p(\omega)\) and \(g \in H^{\frac{p}{p-q}}(\omega)\) are arbitrary, by Lemma [1] for each \(f \in H^t(\omega)\),

\[
\int_D |f(z)|d\mu_\nu(z) \leq \|f\|_{H^t(\omega)},
\]

here \(t = \frac{pq}{pq-p+q} > 1\). So the function

\[
\sigma(\xi) = \frac{1}{\omega(\xi)} \int_{\Gamma(\xi)} \frac{1}{1-|z|} \,d\mu_\nu(z) = \frac{1}{\omega(\xi)} \int_{\Gamma(\xi)} \frac{1}{\nu(T(z))} \omega(T(z)) \,d\mu(z)
\]
belongs to $L^{\overline{pq}}(\omega) = L^{\overline{pq}}(\omega)$ by Theorem 2. Since $\omega \in A_1$ and $1 - |z| \asymp |T(z)|$ whenever $z \in \Gamma(\xi)$. Thus,

$$
\sigma(\xi) = \frac{1}{\omega(\xi)} \int_{\Gamma(\xi)} \frac{\omega(T(z))}{1 - |z|} \nu(T(z)) d\mu(z) \\
\asymp \frac{1}{\omega(\xi)} \int_{\Gamma(\xi)} \frac{\omega(T(z))}{|T(z)|} \nu(T(z)) d\mu(z) \\
\asymp \hat{\mu}_\nu(\xi).
$$

These imply that $\hat{\mu}_\nu \in L^{\overline{pq}}(\omega)$. The proof is complete.

\[\square\]
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