# On the spectrum of an oscillator in a magnetic field

Francisco M. Fernández \* INIFTA, División Química Teórica, Blvd. 113 S/N, Sucursal 4, Casilla de Correo 16, 1900 La Plata, Argentina.

#### Abstract

We consider the Hamiltonian for a charged particle in a harmonic potential in the presence of a magnetic field. The most symmetric case depends on one parameter, the variation of which leads from a spectrum bounded from below to an unbounded spectrum. At the transition point the spectrum is bounded from below but each eigenvalue has infinite multiplicity. The algebraic method proves to be a remarkable tool for the analysis of this quadratic Hamiltonian.

# 1 Introduction

The operator  $S_B = (-id/dx_1 - Bx_2/2)^2 + (-id/dx_2 + Bx_1/2)^2$  has received attention because of its role in superconductivity theory [1]. It has a self-adjoint realization and its spectrum  $E_k = (2k + 1)|B|, k = 0, 1, ...,$  is not discrete because each eigenvalue has infinite multiplicity [2]. A closely related model has been chosen to study the dynamics of a charged particle in a quadratic potential in the presence of a uniform magnetic field [3, 4]. Both models are particular

<sup>\*</sup>E-mail: fernande@quimica.unlp.edu.ar

examples of linear operators that are quadratic functions of coordinates and momenta.

In a series of papers, it was shown that the algebraic method [5] is one of the simplest and most straightforward ways of studying quadratic Hamiltonians [6–10]. This approached is based on the fact that the regular or adjoint matrix representation of the Hamiltonian operator in the basis set of coordinates and momenta provides essential information about the spectrum of the operator. Such matrix representation appears in the treatment of the dynamics of quadratic Hamiltonians [3, 4, 9, 11].

The purpose of this paper is the application of the algebraic method to the most symmetric form of the Hamiltonian that describes a charged particle in a quadratic potential in the presence of a uniform magnetic field. By a continuous deformation of this Hamiltonian through a suitable model parameter it becomes  $S_B$  and the spectrum changes dramatically. We show that the algebraic method is a most useful tool for the description of such phase transition in the spectrum of the operator.

In section 2 we briefly describe the algebraic method, in section 3 we apply it to the chosen model and in section 4 we summarize the main results and draw conclusions.

#### 2 The algebraic method

In this section we outline the algebraic method discussed in previous papers [6–10]. The model discussed in section 3 is a particular case of a quadratic Hamiltonian of the form

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{2K} \sum_{j=1}^{2K} \gamma_{ij} O_i O_j,$$
 (1)

where  $\{O_1, O_2, \ldots, O_{2K}\} = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_K, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_K\}, [x_m, p_n] = i\delta_{mn},$ and  $[x_m, x_n] = [p_m, p_n] = 0$ . Here we restrict ourselves to the case of Hermitian operators  $H^{\dagger} = H$  but the approach applies also to non-Hermitian ones [10]. The algebraic method is particularly useful for the analysis of the spectrum of H because it satisfies the commutation relations

$$[H, O_i] = \sum_{j=1}^{2K} H_{ji} O_j.$$
 (2)

For this reason it is possible to obtain an operator of the form

$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^{2K} c_i O_i,\tag{3}$$

such that

$$[H, Z] = \lambda Z. \tag{4}$$

The operator Z is important for our purposes because if  $|\psi\rangle$  is an eigenvector of H with eigenvalue E then  $Z |\psi\rangle$  is eigenvector of H with eigenvalue  $E + \lambda$ :

$$HZ |\psi\rangle = (E + \lambda)Z |\psi\rangle.$$
(5)

It follows from equations (2), (3) and (4) that

$$(\mathbf{H} - \lambda \mathbf{I})\mathbf{C} = 0, \tag{6}$$

where **H** is a  $2K \times 2K$  matrix with elements  $H_{ij}$ , **I** is the  $2K \times 2K$  identity matrix and **C** is a  $2K \times 1$  column matrix with elements  $c_i$ . There are nontrivial solutions for all values of  $\lambda$  that are roots of the characteristic polynomial  $p(\lambda) =$ det(**H** -  $\lambda$ **I**). **H** is called the adjoint or regular matrix representation of H in the operator basis set  $\{O_1, O_2, \ldots, O_{2K}\}$  [5]. This matrix is closely related to the fundamental matrix that proved to be useful in determining the conditions under which a PT-symmetric elliptic quadratic differential operator with real spectrum is similar to a self-adjoint operator [12].

In the case of an Hermitian operator we expect all the roots  $\lambda_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., 2Kof the characteristic polynomial  $p(\lambda)$  to be real. These roots are obviously the natural frequencies of the quantum-mechanical system (the actual quantummechanical frequencies being linear combinations of them). It follows from equation (4) that

$$[H, Z^{\dagger}] = -\lambda Z^{\dagger}, \tag{7}$$

where  $Z^{\dagger}$  is a linear combination like (3) with coefficients  $c_i^*$ . This equation tells us that if  $\lambda$  is a real root of  $p(\lambda) = 0$ , then  $-\lambda$  is also a root. Obviously, Z and  $Z^{\dagger}$  are a pair of annihilation-creation or ladder operators because, in addition to (5), we also have

$$HZ^{\dagger} |\psi\rangle = (E - \lambda)Z^{\dagger} |\psi\rangle.$$
(8)

If A is a quadratic Hermitian operator that satisfies

$$[H, A] = 0,$$
  
$$[A, O_i] = \sum_{j=1}^{2K} A_{ji} O_j,$$
 (9)

then it follows from the Jacobi identity

$$[H, [A, O_i]] + [O_i, [H, A]] + [A, [O_i, H]],$$
(10)

that

$$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{0},\tag{11}$$

where  $\mathbf{A}$  is the adjoint matrix representation for A.

### 3 The model

The starting point is the quadratic Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{p_1^2}{2m_1} + \frac{p_2^2}{2m_2} + \frac{k_1}{2}x_1^2 + \frac{k_2}{2}x_2^2 + \omega \left(x_1p_2 - x_2p_1\right), \tag{12}$$

with the customary commutation relations  $[x_i, x_j] = 0$ ,  $[p_i, p_j] = 0$ ,  $[x_j, p_k] = i\hbar \delta_{jk}$ . The case  $m_1 = m_2 = m$  was considered to be a simple model for a particle in a rotating anisotropic harmonic trap or a charged particle in a fixed harmonic potential in a magnetic field [3,4].

We can reduce the number of free parameters by means of the change of variables

$$(x_1, x_2) \to (Lx, Ly), \ (p_1, p_2) \to \left(\frac{\hbar}{L} p_x, \frac{\hbar}{L} p_y\right), \ L^2 = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{m_1 k_1}}.$$
 (13)

The resulting dimensionless Hamiltonian

$$\frac{2}{\hbar\omega_1}H = p_x^2 + \frac{p_y^2}{\mu} + x^2 + ky^2 + b(xp_y - yp_x),$$
  

$$\omega_1 = \sqrt{\frac{k_1}{m_1}}, \ \mu = \frac{m_2}{m_1}, \ k = \frac{k_2}{k_1}, \ b = \frac{2\omega}{\omega_1},$$
(14)

has just three free parameters instead of the original five.

The dynamics of this model was studied in terms of two parameters  $(k_x/\omega^2)$ and  $k_y/\omega^2$  in the case  $\mu = 1$  [3,4]. Here we just consider the most symmetric case  $\mu = k = 1$  in order to reduce the number of free parameters to a minimum. Our dimensionless Hamiltonian will be

$$H = H_0 + bL_z,$$
  

$$H_0 = p_x^2 + p_y^2 + x^2 + y^2,$$
  

$$L_z = b(xp_y - yp_x).$$
(15)

This operator is parity-invariant: PHP = H,  $P(x, y, p_x, p_y) \rightarrow (-x, -y, -p_x, -p_y)$ , and the unitary transformation  $U(x, y, p_x, p_y) \rightarrow (y, x, p_y, p_x)$  changes b into -b.

The matrix representation of the Hamiltonian (15) is

$$\mathbf{H} = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -b & 2 & 0 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & -b \\ 0 & -2 & b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(16)

The four eigenvalues of **H**,  $\lambda_1 = -2 - b$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 2 - b$ ,  $\lambda_3 = b - 2$ ,  $\lambda_4 = 2 + b$ , are associated to the operators

$$Z_{1} = -y + p_{x} - i(x + p_{y}),$$

$$Z_{2} = y + p_{x} + i(x - p_{y}),$$

$$Z_{3} = y + p_{x} - i(x - p_{y}),$$

$$Z_{4} = -y + p_{x} + i(x + p_{y}),$$
(17)

respectively. Note that, first,  $Z_1^{\dagger} = Z_4$ ,  $Z_2^{\dagger} = Z_3$ , second, the pair of ladder operators  $(Z_1, Z_4)$  commutes with the other pair  $(Z_2, Z_3)$  as expected, third, none of the ladder operators depends on b.

The ground-state eigenvalue and eigenfunction are

$$E_{00} = 2,$$
  

$$\psi_{00}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(x^2 + y^2\right)\right].$$
(18)

Since  $\psi_{00}$  is annihilated by  $Z_1$  and  $Z_3$  then  $Z_2$  and  $Z_4$  are the creation (raising) operators. When |b| < 2 the spectrum is bounded from below because  $\lambda_2 > 0$  and  $\lambda_4 > 0$ . On the other hand, if |b| > 2 the spectrum is unbounded. In fact, the spectrum is given by

$$E_{mn} = 2 + (b+2)m + (2-b)n, \ m, n = 0, 1, \dots,$$
(19)

and the unnormalized eigenfunctions are

$$\psi_{mn}(x,y) = Z_2^m Z_4^n \psi_{00}(x,y). \tag{20}$$

At b = 2 there is a phase transition between bounded-from-below and unbounded discrete spectrum. Therefore, the critical point b = 2 appears to be interesting. At this point the eigenvalues  $\lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$  coalesce ( $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0$ ), however the eigenvalues remain real in all the range of values of the parameter b. Other problems studied earlier exhibit exceptional points at which two real eigenvalues coalesce and become a pair of complex conjugate numbers [6–10]. At an exceptional point the adjoint matrix becomes defective and some eigenvectors disappear; that is to say: some ladder operators disappear. The present case is different as shown below.

When b = 2 the Hamiltonian operator becomes

$$H = (x + py)^{2} + (y - px)^{2},$$
(21)

that is exactly the operator  $S_B$  discussed in the introduction for B = 2. Since  $[H, Z_2] = 0$  and  $[H, Z_3] = 0$  the eigenfunctions  $\psi_{mn}(x, y)$  are degenerate for all  $m = 0, 1, \ldots$  In other words, every eigenvalue  $E_{mn} = 2 + 4n$  has infinite multiplicity as stated by Helfer [2]. Some of the first normalized eigenfunctions are:

$$\psi_{01} = \frac{(y+ix)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(x^2+y^2)\right],$$

$$\psi_{10} = \frac{(-y+ix)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(x^2+y^2)\right],$$
  
$$\psi_{11} = \frac{(1-x^2-y^2)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(x^2+y^2)\right].$$
 (22)

The ladder operators  $Z_i$  are independent of b because H,  $H_0$  and  $L_z$  commute. Therefore, their matrix representations  $\mathbf{H}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_0$  and  $\mathbf{L}_z$  also commute as shown in general by equation (11). For this reason there is a set of eigenvectors common to the three matrices which is reflected in the commutation relations

$$[H_0, Z_1] = -2Z_1, \ [H_0, Z_2] = 2Z_2, \ [H_0, Z_3] = -2Z_3, \ [H_0, Z_4] = 2Z_4,$$
  
$$[L_z, Z_1] = -Z_1, \ [L_z, Z_2] = -Z_2, \ [L_z, Z_3] = Z_3, \ [L_z, Z_4] = Z_4,$$
(23)

from which it follows that

$$H_{0}\psi_{mn} = [2 + 2(m+n)]\psi_{mn},$$
  

$$L_{z}\psi_{mn} = (m-n)\psi_{mn}.$$
(24)

These equations clearly explain the results obtained above.

# 4 Conclusions

In this paper we want to draw attention to the symmetric version of the model for a charged particle in a quadratic potential in the presence of a uniform magnetic field [3,4] because by suitable deformation it becomes the differential operator  $S_B$  discussed by other authors [1,2]. In terms of the only independent model parameter b the spectrum changes from being bounded from below (|b| <2) to being unbounded (|b| > 2). The phase transition takes place at b = 2where  $H(b = 2) = S_B(B = 2)$  and the spectrum is bounded from below but each eigenvalue has infinite multiplicity [2].

Another purpose of this paper is to show that the algebraic method is a simple and efficient tool for the analysis of the spectra of quadratic Hamiltonians. Note that all the results derived in the preceding section follow from the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the regular or adjoint matrix representation of the Hamiltonian operator in the basis set of coordinates and momenta operators.

#### References

- S. Fournais and B. Helffer, Spectral methods in surface superconductivity, (Springer Science & Business Media, New York, 2010).
- [2] B. Helffer, Spectral theory and its applications, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013).
- [3] R. Rossignoli and A. M. Kowalski, "Stability, complex modes, and nonseparability in rotating quadratic potentials", Phys. Rev. A 79, 062103 (2009).
- [4] L. Rebon, N. Canosa, and R. Rossignoli, "Dynamics of entanglement between two harmonic modes in stable and unstable regimes", Phys. Rev. A 89, 042312 (2014).
- [5] F. M. Fernández and E. A. Castro, Algebraic Methods in Quantum Chemistry and Physics, Mathematical Chemistry Series, (CRC, Boca Raton, New York, London, Tokyo, 1996).
- [6] F. M. Fernández, "Algebraic Treatment of PT -Symmetric Coupled Oscillators", Int. J. Theor. Phys. 54, 3871-3876 (2015).
- [7] F. M. Fernández, "Algebraic treatment of a simple model for the electromagnetic self-force", arXiv:1509.00002 [quant-ph].
- [8] F. M. Fernández, "Symmetric quadratic Hamiltonians with pseudo-Hermitian matrix representation", Ann. Phys. 369, 168-176 (2016).
- [9] F. M. Fernandez, "Algebraic treatment of the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator and its PT-variant",
- [10] F. M. Fernandez, "Algebraic treatment of non-Hermitian quadratic Hamiltonians", arXiv:1605.01662v3 [quant-ph].
- [11] R. Rossignoli and A. M. Kowalski, "Complex modes in unstable quadratic bosonic forms", Phys. Rev. A 72, 032101 (2005).

[12] E. Calliceti, S. Graffi, M. Hitrik, and J. Sjöstrand, "Quadratic PT symmetric operators with real spectrum and similarity to self-adjoint operators", J. Phys. A 45, 444007 (2012).