COUNTING TWISTED SHEAVES AND S-DUALITY
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ABSTRACT. We provide a definition of Tanaka-Thomas’s Vafa-Witten invariants for étale gerbes over smooth projective surfaces using the moduli spaces of \( \mu_r \)-gerbe twisted sheaves and Higgs sheaves. Twisted sheaves and their moduli are naturally used to study the period-index theorem for the corresponding \( \mu_r \)-gerbe in the Brauer group of the surfaces. Deformation and obstruction theory of the twisted sheaves and Higgs sheaves behave like general sheaves and Higgs sheaves. We define virtual fundamental classes on the moduli spaces and define the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants using virtual localization and the Behrend function on the moduli spaces. As applications we define the \( SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r \)-Vafa-Witten invariants using the twisted invariants for étale gerbes, and prove the S-duality conjecture of Vafa-Witten for the projective plane in rank two and for K3 surfaces in prime ranks. We also conjecture for other surfaces.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The main goal of this paper is to provide a method to define the gauge group \( SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r \)-Vafa-Witten invariants and check the S-duality conjecture of Vafa-Witten in \([62]\). We study and define the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants for \( \mu_r \)-gerbes over smooth projective surfaces by using the moduli stack of \( \mu_r \)-gerbe twisted stable sheaves in \([42]\) and Higgs sheaves. We mainly follow the idea of Tanaka-Thomas in \([55], [56]\) to define the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants. We conjecture that the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants for all \( \mu_r \)-gerbes on a surface \( S \) give rise to the \( ^1SU(r) = SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r \)-Vafa-Witten invariants. We prove the conjecture for \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) in rank two; and K3 surfaces in all the prime ranks.

In the case for K3 surfaces, one of the novel discoveries for the techniques of twisted Vafa-Witten invariants of \( \mu_r \)-gerbes on a K3 surface \( S \) is that the essentially trivial \( \mu_r \)-gerbes and optimal \( \mu_r \)-gerbes on a K3 surface \( S \) give different twisted Vafa-Witten invariants. These different type of \( \mu_r \)-gerbes depend on the Picard number of the surface \( S \). In the rank two case, for a K3 surface with Picard number 11, this new observation gives the prediction formula of Vafa-Witten for the gauge group \( SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2 = SO(3) \) in \([62]\) Formula (4.18)], thus proves the S-duality conjecture comparing with the result of Tanaka-Thomas in \([56], \S 5\]. The techniques used in this paper should work for any smooth surface.

1.1. S-duality conjecture of Vafa-Witten. We briefly review the S-duality conjecture of \( N = 4 \) supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory on a real 4-manifold \( M \) \([62]\). More details can be found in \([62]\), and a review is given in \([27]\). This theory involves coupling constants \( \theta, g \) combined as follows

\[
\tau := \frac{\theta}{2\pi} + \frac{4\pi i}{g^2}.
\]

The S-duality predicts that the transformation \( \tau \to -\frac{1}{\tau} \) maps the partition function for gauge group \( G \) to the partition function with Langlands dual gauge group \( ^L G \). Vafa-Witten consider a 4-manifold \( M \) underlying a smooth projective surface \( S \) over \( \mathbb{C} \) and \( G = SU(r) \). The Langlands dual group \( ^L SU(r) = SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r \). We make
these transformations more precise following [62, §3]. Think \( \tau \) as the parameter of the upper half plane \( \mathbb{H} \). Let \( \Gamma_0(4) \subset SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \) be the subgroup

\[
\Gamma_0(4) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) : 4 | c \right\}.
\]

The group \( \Gamma_0(4) \) acts on \( \mathbb{H} \) by

\[ \tau \mapsto \frac{a \tau + b}{c \tau + d}. \]

The group \( SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \) is generated by transformations

\[
S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

From [62], invariance under \( T \) is the assertion that physics is periodic in \( \theta \) with period \( 2\pi \), and \( S \) is equivalent at \( \theta = 0 \) to the transformation

\[ g \mapsto 4 \pi \tau g \]

originally proposed by Montonen and Olive [50]. One can check that \( T(\tau) = \tau + 1 \), and \( S(\tau) = -\tau \).

For a smooth projective surface \( S \), let \( Z(SU(r); \tau) = Z(SU(r); q) \) be the partition function which counts the invariants of instanton moduli spaces, where we let \( q = e^{2\pi i \tau} \). Similarly let \( Z(SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r; \tau) \) be the partition function which counts the invariants of \( SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r \)-instanton moduli spaces. As pointed out in [62, §3], when some vanishing theorem holds, the invariants count the Euler characteristic of instanton moduli spaces. We will see a mathematical meaning of this vanishing. Now the S-duality predicts the following:

**Conjecture 1.1.** The transformation \( T \) acts on \( Z(SU(r); q) \), and the S-transformation sends

\[ Z\left( SU(r); -\frac{1}{\tau} \right) = \pm r^{\frac{-2}{\tau}} \left( \frac{\tau}{T} \right)^{\frac{\omega}{2}} Z(SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r; \tau). \]

for some \( \omega \), where \( \chi := \chi(S) \) is the topological Euler number of \( S \).

Usually \( \omega = -\chi \). This is Formula (3.18) in [62]. In mathematics we think \( Z(SU(r); \tau) = Z(SU(r); q) \) as the partition function which counts the invariants of moduli space of vector bundles or Higgs bundles on \( S \). Let

\[
\eta(q) = q^{1/24} \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - q^k)
\]

be the Dedekind eta function. Let

\[ \hat{Z}(SU(r); q) = \eta(q)^{-\omega} \cdot Z(SU(r); q), \]

and we will see that \( \hat{Z}(SU(r); \tau) \) is the partition function of the moduli space of Gieseker sable Higgs sheaves. Then S-duality predicts:

**Conjecture 1.2.**

\[ \hat{Z} \left( SU(r); -\frac{1}{\tau} \right) = \pm r^{\frac{-2}{\tau}} \hat{Z}(SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r; \tau) \]

This is Formula (3.15) in [62]. Then \( T^4 \) acts on the \( SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r \)-theory to itself; and \( ST^4 S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \) will map the \( SU(r) \)-theory to itself. Note that \( \Gamma_0(4) = \)
\[ \langle T, ST^4 S \rangle \] is generated by \( T, ST^4 S \). In the case of a spin manifold, we get the subgroup of \( SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \) generated by \( S \) and \( ST^2 S \), which is the group
\[
\Gamma_0(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) : 2|c \right\}.
\]

We will see this for K3 surfaces. Therefore if the S-duality conjecture holds, the partition \( Z(SU(r); \tau) = Z(SU(r); q) \) is a modular form with modular group \( \Gamma_0(4) \) or \( \Gamma_0(2) \) if \( M \) is a spin manifold.

In \cite{62} §4, Vafa-Witten checked the S-duality for the cases K3 surface and \( \mathbb{P}^2 \), and gave a prediction on a formula (5.38) of \cite{62} §5) for general type surfaces. For \( \mathbb{P}^2 \), Vafa-Witten used the mathematical results of Klyachko and Yoshioka, and for K3 surfaces, they predicted the formula from physics.

In algebraic geometry the instanton invariants are the Euler characteristic of the moduli space of Gieseker or slope stable coherent sheaves on \( S \). This corresponds to the case in \cite{62} such that the obstruction sheaves vanish. It is worth mentioning that the blow-up formula of the Vafa-Witten invariants in this case was proved by Li-Qin in \cite{41}. But to the author’s knowledge there are few theories or defining invariants in algebraic geometry for the Langlands dual group \( SU(r) \). In the rank 2 case, the Vafa-Witten invariants for the Langlands dual group \( SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2 = SO(3) \). There exist some theories for the \( SO(3) \)-Donaldson invariants for the surface \( S \), see \cite{34}, \cite{15}, \cite{51}.

1.2. Vafa-Witten invariants by Tanaka-Thomas. In the recent papers \cite{55}, \cite{56}, etc, Tanaka-Thomas has made a new insight on the Vafa-Witten invariants, which have contributions from the threefold side Donaldson-Thomas invariants. We briefly review their construction.

In differential geometry solutions of the Vafa-Witten equation on a projective surface \( S \) are given by polystable Higgs bundles on the surface \( S \), see \cite{55}. The moduli space of Higgs bundles has a partial compactification by Gieseker semistable Higgs pairs \((E, \phi)\) on \( S \), where \( E \) is a torsion free coherent sheaf with rank \( \text{rk} > 0 \), and \( \phi \in \text{Hom}_Q(E, E \otimes K_S) \) is a section called a Higgs field. Tanaka and Thomas have developed a theory of Vafa-Witten invariants using the moduli space \( \mathcal{N} \) of Gieseker semi-stable Higgs pairs \((E, \phi)\) on \( S \) with topological data \((\text{rk} = \text{rank}_c c_1(E), c_2(E))\). By spectral theory, the moduli space \( \mathcal{N} \) of Gieseker semi-stable Higgs pairs \((E, \phi)\) on \( S \) is isomorphic to the moduli space of Gieseker semi-stable torsion sheaves \( E_\phi \) on the total space \( X := \text{Tot}(K_S) \). If the semistability and stability coincide, the moduli space \( \mathcal{N} \) admits a symmetric obstruction theory in \cite{55} since \( X \) is a smooth Calabi-Yau threefold. There exists a dimension zero virtual fundamental cycle \( \mathcal{N}^{\text{vir}} \in H_0(\mathcal{N}) \). The moduli space \( \mathcal{N} \) is not compact, but it admits a \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-action induced by the \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-action on \( X \) by scaling the fibres of \( X \to S \). The \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-fixed locus \( \mathcal{N}^{\mathbb{G}_m} \) is compact, then from \cite{13}, \( \mathcal{N}^{\mathbb{G}_m} \) inherits a perfect obstruction theory from \( \mathcal{N} \) and one can define invariants using virtual localization.

The obstruction sheaf in this case implies that this invariant is zero unless \( H^{0,1}(S) = H^{0,2}(S) = 0 \), see \cite{55}. Thus the invariants are defined by using the moduli space \( \mathcal{N}_L^+ \) of Higgs pairs with fixed determinant \( L \in \text{Pic}(S) \) and trace-free \( \phi \). Tanaka-Thomas have carefully studied the deformation and obstruction theory of the Higgs pairs instead of using the ones for sheaves, and constructed a symmetric obstruction theory on \( \mathcal{N}_L^+ \). The space \( \mathcal{N}_L^+ \) still admits a \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-action, therefore inherits a perfect obstruction theory on the fixed locus. The Vafa-Witten
Invariants are defined as:

\[
VW(S) := VW_{rk,c_1,c_2}(S) = \int_{((\mathcal{N}_L^+)_{\mathbb{G}_m})^{\text{vir}}} \frac{1}{e(N_{\text{vir}})}.
\]

The invariant corresponds to the gauge group $SU(rk)$. Tanaka-Thomas did explicit calculations for some surfaces of general type in [55, §8] and verified some part of Formula (5.38) in [62]. For such general type surfaces, the $\mathbb{G}_m$-fixed loci contain components such that the Higgs fields are non-zero, there are really contributions from the threefolds to the Vafa-Witten invariants. This is the first time that the threefold contributions are made for the Vafa-Witten invariants.

Calculations and the refined version of the Vafa-Witten invariants have been studied in [59], [48], [16], [37]. It is worth mentioning that in [56], using the definition of Vafa-Witten invariants and also the semistable ones defined by Joyce-Song stable pairs, Tanaka-Thomas calculate and prove the prediction of Vafa-Witten in [62, §4] for the K3 surfaces for the gauge group $SU(rk)$. Tanaka-Thomas actually calculated the weighted Euler characteristic (which is defined below) of the moduli space for K3 surfaces which coincides with the invariants defined by virtual localizations.

In [5], Behrend defined an integer valued constructible function $\nu_N: \mathcal{N}_L^+ \to \mathbb{Z}$ called the Behrend function. The weighted Euler characteristic

\[
vw(S) := vw_{rk,c_1,c_2}(S) = \chi(\mathcal{N}_L^+, \nu_N)
\]

is defined by the Behrend function. The $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $\mathcal{N}_L^+$ induces a cosection $\sigma: \Omega_{\mathcal{N}_L^+} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_L^+}$ in [32] by taking the dual of the associated vector field $v$ given by the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action. The degenerate locus is the fixed locus $(\mathcal{N}_L^+)_{\mathbb{G}_m}$, therefore there exists a cosection localized virtual cycle $[\mathcal{N}_L^+]_{\text{loc}} \in H_0((\mathcal{N}_L^+)_{\mathbb{G}_m})$, and

\[
\int_{[\mathcal{N}_L^+]_{\text{loc}}^{\text{vir}}} 1 = \chi(\mathcal{N}_L^+, \nu_N)
\]
as proved in [22], [23]. Tanaka-Thomas proved that in the case deg $K_S < 0$ and the case that $S$ is a K3 surface, $VW(S) = vw(S)$. They also prove their corresponding generalized Vafa-Witten invariants in [29] also agree, see [56] for the Fano case and [48] for the K3 surface case. Using the weighted Euler characteristic, in [56] Tanaka-Thomas calculated the generating series of the Vafa-Witten invariants for K3 surfaces. The formula (5.25) in [56] matches the prediction in [62, §4]. We will recall them in [1.5].

1.3. **Twisted Vafa-Witten invariants for étale gerbes.** The motivation for our study of the Vafa-Witten invariants for étale gerbes is the S-duality conjecture (1.1.1) and (1.1.2). For the gauge group $SU(r)$, as in the case of [55], the structure group for the moduli space $\mathcal{N}_L^+$ is $SL_r(\kappa)$. The Langlands dual group of $SL_r(\kappa)$ is $^L SL_r(\kappa) = PGL_r(\kappa)$. Thus the corresponding moduli space, for the gauge group $SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r$, should be the moduli space of $PGL_r(\kappa)$-Higgs bundles or sheaves.

Étale gerbes on surfaces $S$ provide interesting surface DM stacks, where their Vafa-Witten invariants were studied in [25]. The motivation is also the S-duality conjecture. We are more interested in $\mu_r$-gerbes on $S$, where $\mu_r$ is the cyclic group of order $r$. From [45], for a $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{G} \to S$ over a surface $S$, the moduli stack of semistable $\mathcal{G}$-twisted sheaves, in some sense, can be taken as a cover over the
moduli space of semistable generalized Azumaya algebras on $S$, which in turn, is isomorphic to the the moduli space of $PGL_r/k$-bundles or sheaves on $S$. It is interesting to generalize this statement to the moduli stack of semistable $\mathcal{S}$-twisted Higgs sheaves. Therefore it is reasonable to propose that the Vafa-Witten invariants for some étale gerbes on $S$ will give the mathematical invariants for the Langlands dual group $SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r$. It is also very interesting to study Vafa-Witten invariants for other DM surfaces. For instance the global quotient orbifold K3 surface $[K3/G]$ provides interesting testing examples.

Let $p : \mathcal{S} \to S$ be a $\mu_r$-gerbe, and fix a polarization $\mathcal{O}_S(1)$. The gerbe $\mathcal{S}$ is a surface DM stack, and all the equivalence classes of $\mu_r$-gerbes over $S$ are classified by the second étale cohomology group $H^2(S, \mu_r)$. We have the Vafa-Witten invariants studied in [25] by the moduli space of stable Higgs sheaves on $S$. But this is too broad for the S-duality conjecture, and we then restrict to a subcategory of sheaves on $\mathcal{S}$, called the category of $\mathcal{S}$-twisted sheaves in [42]. We believe that this is the right category to define twisted Vafa-Witten invariants and check the S-duality. For the category of coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{S}$, in [57] Tang-Tseng prove that there exists a disconnected DM stack $p : \mathcal{S} \to S$ and a $\mathbb{G}_m$-gerbe $c$ on it called a $B$-field, such that the category of coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{S}$ is equivalent to the category of $c$-twisted coherent sheaves on $p : \mathcal{S} \to S$. We hope this will give more information on the Vafa-Witten invariants.

For a $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{S} \to S$ over a surface $S$ and let $I\mathcal{S}$ be the inertia stack, the $\mathcal{S}$-twisted sheaves were defined in [42], and we will review it in §3.1. Roughly speaking the twisted sheaf is a sheaf with the transition function on an open cover of $\mathcal{S}$ is gerbe cocycle $[\mathcal{S}] \in H^2(S, \mu_r)$. A $\mu_r$-gerbe twisted sheaf is always given by a character morphism $\chi : \mu_r \to \mathbb{G}_m$, and it can be understood as a $\mathbb{G}_m$-gerbe twisted sheaf. From the exact sequence

$$1 \to \mu_r \to \mathcal{O}^*_S \xrightarrow{\chi} \mathcal{O}^*_S \to 1$$

and the long exact sequence for cohomology

$$(1.3.1) \quad \cdots \to H^1(S, \mathcal{O}^*_S) \to H^2(S, \mu_r) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H^2(S, \mathcal{O}^*_S) \to \cdots$$

A $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{S} \to S$ corresponds to a $\mathbb{G}_m$-gerbe $[\varphi([\mathcal{S}])]$. A quasi-coherent sheaf $E$ on the $\mathbb{G}_m$-gerbe $[\varphi([\mathcal{S}])]$ has a canonical decomposition

$$E = \bigoplus_i E_i$$

where $E_i$ is the eigensheaf on which the structure group $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts by $\lambda \cdot f = \lambda^i f$. A $\mathbb{G}_m$-gerbe twisted sheaf is a quasi-coherent sheaf $E$ such that the structure group (stabilizer group) action

$$\mathbb{G}_m \times E \to E$$

is given by scalar multiplication, i.e., $E = E_1$.

For a $\mathcal{S}$-twisted sheaf $E$, the geometric Hilbert polynomial is defined by:

$$P_E^\mathcal{S}(m) = \chi^\mathcal{S}(E(m)),$$

where

$$\chi^\mathcal{S}(E) = [I\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{S}] \deg(\text{Ch}(E) \cdot \text{Td}(\mathcal{S}))$$
perfect obstruction theory on the moduli stack $N$ au threefold DM stack. The DM stack $X$ that is supported on the zero section $X$ with geometric Hilbert polynomial $P$ is constructed in [42]. If the stability and semistability coincide, the coarse moduli space $M$ is a projective scheme.

The $S$-twisted Higgs sheaves can be similarly defined. The twisted Higgs pair $(E, \phi)$ is semistable if for every proper $\phi$-invariant subsheaf $F \subset E$ we have $p^S_F \leq p^S_E$ and it is stable if the same is true with a strict inequality.

Then fixing a geometric Hilbert polynomial $P$, the moduli stack of $S$-semistable coherent sheaves $M := M^{S, tw}_{\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{K}}(P)$ on $\mathcal{G}$ is constructed in [42]. If the stability and semistability coincide, the coarse moduli space $M$ is a projective scheme.

Let $\mathcal{X} := \text{Tot}(K_S)$ be the canonical line bundle of $\mathcal{G}$, then $\mathcal{X}$ is a smooth Calabi-Yau threefold DM stack. The DM stack $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X} := \text{Tot}(K_S)$ is also a $\mu_r$-gerbe, and has the same class in $H^2(S, \mu_r) = H^2(X, \mu_r)$. By spectral theory again, the category of $\mathcal{G}$-twisted Higgs pairs on $\mathcal{G}$ is equivalent to the category of $\mathcal{X}$-twisted torsion sheaves $\mathcal{E}_\phi$ on $\mathcal{X}$ supporting on $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{X}$. Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{G}$ be the projection. One can take a projectivization $\mathcal{X} = \text{Proj}(K_S \oplus \mathcal{O}_S)$, and consider the moduli space of $\mathcal{X}$-stable torsion sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$ with geometric Hilbert polynomial $P$. The open part that is supported on the zero section $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to the moduli stack of stable $\mathcal{X}$-Higgs pairs $\mathcal{N}^{tw}$ on $\mathcal{G}$ with geometric Hilbert polynomial $P$.

We still restrict to the moduli stack $\mathcal{N}^{L, tw}_L$ of stable $\mathcal{X}$-twisted Higgs pairs $(E, \phi)$ with fixed determinant $L$ and trace-free on $\phi$. There is also a symmetric perfect obstruction theory on the moduli stack $\mathcal{N}^{L, tw}_L$. Hence a virtual cycle $[\mathcal{N}^{L, tw}_L]^{vir} \in H_0(\mathcal{N}^{L, tw}_L)$. The moduli stack $\mathcal{N}^{L, tw}_L$ is non-compact, but admits a $\mathbb{G}_m$-action scaling the Higgs field $\phi$. The invariants are defined by using virtual localization, [13]. Let $[(\mathcal{N}^{L, tw}_L \times \mathbb{G}_m)]^{vir}$ be the induced virtual cycle on the fixed loci, and $N^{vir}$ be the virtual normal bundle. For the DM stack $\mathcal{G}$, orbifold Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem implies that fixing a geometric Hilbert polynomial $P$ is the same as fixing data $\alpha = (rk, L, c_2) \in H^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{Q})$.

**Definition 1.3.** We define

\begin{equation}
(1.3.2)
\text{VW}_{\alpha}^{tw}(\mathcal{G}) := \int_{[\mathcal{N}^{L, tw}_L \times \mathbb{G}_m]^{vir}} \frac{1}{e(N^{vir})},
\end{equation}

On the moduli stack $\mathcal{N}^{L, tw}_L$, we have the Behrend function

$v_N : \mathcal{N}^{L, tw}_L \to \mathbb{Z}.$

Then we define

**Definition 1.4.** We define

\[ \text{vw}_{\alpha}^{tw}(\mathcal{G}) = \chi(\mathcal{N}^{L, tw}_L, v_N) \]

as the weighted Euler characteristic.
We will see later that the small Vafa-Witten invariants $\text{vw}_w^\mu(\mathcal{E})$ take important role in the calculations.

The moduli space $\mathcal{N}_{L,w}^\mu$ admits a $G_m$-action induced by the $G_m$-action on the total space $\mathfrak{X}$ of the canonical line bundle $K_S$. There are two type of $G_m$-fixed loci on $\mathcal{N}_{L,w}^\mu$. The first one corresponds to the $G_m$-fixed $\mathcal{E}$-twisted Higgs pairs $(E, \phi)$ such that the Higgs fields $\phi = 0$. This fixed locus is just the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}/S}^\mu(P)$ of stable $\mathcal{E}$-twisted torsion free sheaves $E$ on $\mathcal{E}$. This is called the Instanton Branch as in [55]. The second type corresponds to $G_m$-fixed $\mathcal{E}$-twisted Higgs pairs $(E, \phi)$ such that the Higgs fields $\phi \neq 0$. This case mostly happens when the surfaces $S$ are general type, and this component is called the Monopole branch. See [1.4] for more details. It is interesting to do the calculations for $\mu_r$-gerbes on surfaces for this branch.

1.4. $S$-duality conjecture-Global view. Our goal is to use the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants $\text{vw}_w^\mu, \text{vw}_w$ as in Definitions 1.3 and 1.4 to study the $SU(r)/Z_r$-Vafa-Witten invariants. These twisted invariants, up to now, are defined using the moduli stack of $\mathcal{E}$-twisted stable sheaves or Higgs sheaves. We first need to generalize them to count strictly semistable $\mathcal{E}$-twisted sheaves or Higgs sheaves.

Let $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow S$ be a $\mu_r$-gerbe over a surface $S$. The $\mathcal{E}$-twisted sheaves on $\mathcal{E}$, or the $\mathcal{E}$-twisted Higgs sheaves forms a category. There are stability conditions on it. Then we apply the technique of [29], [31] to count the semistable objects in this category. When applying to the category of coherent sheaves on a Calabi-Yau threefold, one gets the generalized Donaldson-Thomas invariants. We apply it to the category of $\mathcal{E}$-twisted Higgs sheaves to get the generalized twisted Vafa-Witten invariants.

We briefly review the construction and see 5 for more details. On the category $\text{Coh}\,^\mu(\mathfrak{X})$ of $\mathcal{E}$-twisted Higgs sheaves, the Hall algebra $H(\mathcal{A}^\mu)$ is an algebra over $K(\text{St}/\kappa)$, the relative Grothendieck ring of stacks. The moduli stack $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{E}/\mathfrak{X}}^\mu$ of Gieseker semistable $\mathfrak{X}$-twisted sheaves is an element in the Hall algebra $H(\mathcal{A}^\mu)$. Joyce defined an element $e(\alpha)$, called the virtually indecomposable object in $H(\mathcal{A}^\mu)$ for a class $\alpha \in K_0(\mathfrak{X})$. Joyce proves that this element has the special form in the Hall algebra and apply the integration map (basically applying the Behrend function to get weighted Euler characteristic), and get the generalized Vafa-Witten invariants $\text{JS}_w^\mu(\mathfrak{X})$. See [5.2] for more details. For $\alpha = (r, L, c_2) \in H^2(\mathcal{E}, \mathbb{Q})$, the generalized twisted Vafa-Witten invariant $\text{vw}_w^\mu$ is defined by

\begin{equation}
\text{vw}_w^\mu(\mathcal{E}) := (-1)^{\mu(K_\mathcal{E})} \text{JS}_w^\mu(\mathfrak{X}) \in \mathbb{Q}.
\end{equation}

Similar to [56], the big generalized twisted Vafa-Witten invariants $\text{VW}_w^\mu(S)$ are defined by Conjecture 5.10 motivated by the wall crossing formula of Joyce-Song [29] for $\text{vw}_w^\mu(\mathcal{E})$. If semistability coincides with stability, then the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants $\text{VW}_w^\mu(S)$ is the one in Definition 1.3.

If the $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow S$ is trivial, i.e., $\mathcal{E} = [S/\mu_r]$, then the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants $\text{VW}_w^\mu, \text{vw}_w$ (stable ones or generalized) are the same as the Vafa-Witten invariants $\text{VW}, \text{vw}$ defined in [55], [56]. Then Conjecture 5.10 is true for $K_\mathcal{E} < 0$ and K3 surfaces. For other $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow S$, if the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}/S}^{\mu}(\mathcal{A})$ is smooth, then $\text{VW}_w^\mu = \text{vw}_w^\mu$.

Recall that a $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow S$ is essentially trivial if its class is in the image of the morphism

\[ H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S^\mu) \rightarrow H^2(S, \mu_r). \]
Thus an essentially trivial $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{G} \to S$ is given by a line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(S)$. A $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{G} \to S$ is called optimal if the order $|[\mathcal{G}]| \in H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S^*)_{\text{tor}}$ in the cohomological Brauer group $\text{Br}'(S) = H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S^*)_{\text{tor}}$ is of order $r$. From the long exact sequence \[1.3.1\), an essentially trivial $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{G} \to S$ has image zero in $H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S^*)$.

It should be interesting to study the Conjecture 5.10 for essentially trivial $\mu_r$-gerbes or optimal $\mu_r$-gerbes on $S$, and prove that $\text{VW}^{\text{tw}} = \text{vw}^{\text{tw}}$ for essentially trivial $\mu_r$-gerbes or optimal $\mu_r$-gerbes over a K3 surface, and find their difference. In this paper we only use its first term and we use the difference to calculate the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants for K3 surfaces and prove the S-duality for prime ranks. It should be possible to generalize the work in [48] to $\mu_r$-gerbes on $S$ and prove that $\text{VW}^{\text{tw}}(\mathcal{G}) = \text{vw}^{\text{tw}}(\mathcal{G})$; and a multiple cover formula for the generalized Vafa-Witten invariants of Toda [60].

Remark 1.5. Before we define the $SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r$-Vafa-Witten invariants, we make a remark that if in the $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{G} \to S$, $r = 1$, then $\mathcal{G}$ is just the surface $S$. Then the invariants $\text{VW}^{\text{tw}}(\mathcal{G}), \text{vw}^{\text{tw}}(\mathcal{G})$ we defined are just the Vafa-Witten invariants $\text{VW}(S), \text{vw}(S)$ defined in [55], [56].

Now we define the $SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r$-Vafa-Witten invariants. Let $S$ be a smooth projective surface.

Definition 1.6. Fix an $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, for any $\mu_r$-gerbe $p : \mathcal{G} \to S$ corresponding to $g \in H^2(S, \mu_r)$, let $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{G})$ and let

$$Z_{r, \mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{G}, q) := \sum_{c_2} \text{VW}^{\text{tw}}_{(r, L, c_2)}(\mathcal{G}) q^{c_2}$$

be the generating function of the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants.

Let us fix a line bundle $L \in \text{Pic}(S)$, and define for any essentially trivial $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{G} \to S$ corresponding to the line bundle $L_\mathcal{G} \in \text{Pic}(S)$, $L_\mathcal{G} := p^*L \otimes L_\mathcal{G}$; for all the other $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{G} \to S$, keep the same $L_\mathcal{G} = p^*L$.

We define

$$Z_{r, L}(S, SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r; q) := \sum_{g \in H^2(S, \mu_r)} Z_{r, L_\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{G}, q).$$

We call it the partition function of $SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r$-Vafa-Witten invariants.

Conjecture 1.7. (Conjecture 5.15) For a smooth projective surface $S$, the partition function of $SU(r)$-Vafa-Witten invariants

$$Z_{r, L}(S, SU(r); q) = \sum_{c_2} \text{VW}(r, L, c_2)(S) q^{c_2}$$

and the partition function of $SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r$-Vafa-Witten invariants $Z_{r, L}(S, SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r; q)$ satisfy the S-duality conjecture in Conjecture 1.1.2.

Our first result is for the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$. Since $K_{\mathbb{P}^2} \sim 0$, there are no second component (Monopole Branch) for the moduli space of semistable Higgs sheaves as in [55]. In this case the Vafa-Witten invariants $\text{VW}_{(2, \mathcal{O}, c_2)}(\mathbb{P}^2) = \text{vw}_{(2, \mathcal{O}, c_2)}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is just (up to a sign) the Euler characteristic of the moduli space $M_{2,0,c_2}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ of semistable torsion free sheaves. Let $N_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2, c_1, c_2)$ be the moduli space of stable...
vector bundles of rank 2, first Chern class $c_1$ and second Chern class $c_2$. Let

$$Z^{vb, P^2}_{c_1}(q) = \sum_{c_2} \chi(N_{P^2}(2, c_1, c_2)) q^{c_2}$$

be the partition function. To state the result we introduce some notations. First let $H(\Delta)$ be the Hurwitz class numbers, i.e., $H(\Delta)$ is the number of positive definite integer binary quadratic forms $AX^2 + BXY + CY^2$ such that $B^2 - 4AC = -\Delta$ and weighted by the size of its automorphisms group. Let $c_0(n)$ be the divisor function.

**Theorem 1.8.** ([33], [34], [36]) We have:

$$Z^{vb, P^2}_{c_1}(q) = \begin{cases} 
q^{\frac{1}{2}c_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}c_1 + 2} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H(4n - 1) q^{\frac{1}{2} - n}, & (c_1 \text{ odd}); \\
q^{\frac{1}{2}c_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}c_1 + 2} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (H(4n) - \frac{1}{2}c_0(n)) q^{-n}, & (c_1 \text{ even}).
\end{cases}$$

The $\mu_2$-gerbes on $P^2$ are classified by $H^2(P^2, \mu_2) = \mu_2$. Thus one is the trivial $\mu_2$-gerbe $[P^2/\mu_2]$, and the other is the nontrivial $\mu_2$-gerbe corresponding to the nontrivial line bundle $O(-1)$ on $P^2$, it is $P(2, 2, 2)$, the weighted projective stack. The $\mu_2$-gerbes on $P^2$ are all essentially trivial, and the $P(2, 2, 2)$-twisted sheaves behave like the sheaves on $P(2, 2, 2)$. Then in this case the VW $(2, 2, 2)(P^2) = vw((2, 0, [2]))$ is just (up to a sign) the Euler characteristic of the moduli space $M_{2,0,2}(P^2)$ of semistable torsion free sheaves. From a result as in [14], we have that in this case the first Chern class $c_1$ is always even. Let $q \in \{0, 1\}$ index the component in the inertia stack $IP(2, 2, 2) = P(2, 2, 2) \cup P(2, 2, 2)$. Let $N_{P(2,2,2)}(2, c_1, c_2)$ be the moduli space of stable vector bundles of rank 2, first Chern class $c_1$ and second Chern class $c_2$. Let

$$Z^{vb, P(2,2,2)}_{c_1, \lambda}(q) = \sum_{c_2} \chi(N_{P(2,2,2)}(2, c_1, c_2)) q^{c_2}$$

be the partition function.

**Theorem 1.9.** ([14] Theorem 1.2) Since $c_1$ is even, there are two cases $c_1 \equiv 0(\text{mod } 4)$ or $c_1 \equiv 2(\text{mod } 4)$. We have

$$Z^{vb, P(2,2,2)}_{c_1, 0}(q) = \begin{cases} 
Z^{vb, P^2}_{c_1}(q) = q^{\frac{1}{2}c_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}c_1 + 2} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H(4n - 1) q^{\frac{1}{2} - n}, & (c_1 \equiv 0 \text{ mod } 4); \\
Z^{vb, P^2}_{c_1}(q) = q^{\frac{1}{2}c_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}c_1 + 2} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (H(4n) - \frac{1}{2}c_0(n)) q^{-n}, & (c_1 \equiv 2 \text{ mod } 4)
\end{cases}$$

and

$$Z^{vb, P(2,2,2)}_{c_1, 1}(q) = \begin{cases} 
Z^{vb, P^2}_{c_1, +1}(q) = q^{\frac{1}{2}(c_1^2 + 1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(c_1 + 1)^2} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H(4n - 1) q^{\frac{1}{2} - n}, & (c_1 \equiv 0 \text{ mod } 4); \\
Z^{vb, P^2}_{c_1, +1}(q) = q^{\frac{1}{2}(c_1^2 + 1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(c_1 + 1)^2} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (H(4n) - \frac{1}{2}c_0(n)) q^{-n}, & (c_1 \equiv 2 \text{ mod } 4).
\end{cases}$$

Then we have

**Theorem 1.10.** (Theorem 5.20) We define

$$Z^2_{\mathbb{P}^2}(SU(2)/Z_2; \tau) := \frac{1}{2} \left( q^{-2} \cdot Z^{vb, P(2,2,2)}_{0, 0}(q) + q^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot Z^{vb, P(2,2,2)}_{0, 1}(q) \right)$$
Write \( Z_{0}^{P^2}(SU(2); \tau) = q^{-2} \cdot Z_{0}^{vb,P^2}(q) \). Then under the S-transformation \( \tau \mapsto -\frac{1}{\tau} \), we have:

\[
Z_{0}^{P^2}\left(SU(2); -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \pm 2^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} Z_{0}^{P^2}(SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2; \tau).
\]

The S-duality conjecture is proved based on the observation that \( Z_{0,0}^{vb,P(2,2,2)}(q) \) is the same as the partition of the trivial \( \mu_2 \)-gerbe \( \mathbb{P}^2/\mu_2 \), since the component of \( \mathbb{P}(2,2,2) \) corresponding to \( \lambda = 0 \) means that \( \mu_2 \)-action is trivial; and \( Z_{0,0}^{vb,P(2,2,2)}(q) \) is the same as the partition function \( Z_{0,0}^{vb,P(2,2,2)}(q) \) from Theorem 1.9.

1.5. **S-duality conjecture-K3 surfaces.** We study the S-duality conjecture for K3 surfaces. Let \( S \) be a K3 surface. The following result for K3 surfaces was proved in [62, §5] by calculating the invariants \( VW_\mu(S) \) using Toda’s multiple cover formula [60], and Proposition 6.6.

**Theorem 1.11.** ([62 Theorem 1.7]) Let \( S \) be a smooth projective K3 surface, then the generating series of rank \( r \) trivial determinant Vafa-Witten invariants is given by

\[
\sum_{c_2} VW_{r,0,c_2}(S) q^{c_2} = \sum_{d|\tau} \frac{d}{\tau} q^{d-1} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \eta\left(\frac{2\pi i}{\tau} q^{\frac{j}{d}}\right)^{-24}.
\]

where

\[
\eta(q) = q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{k>0} (1 - q^{k})
\]

is the Dedekind eta function.

If \( r \) is a prime number, then

\[
\sum_{c_2} VW_{r,0,c_2}(S) q^{c_2} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \eta\left(\frac{2\pi i}{\tau} q^{\frac{r-j}{r}}\right)^{-24} + \frac{1}{r} q^{-\frac{r}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \eta\left(\frac{2\pi i}{\tau} q^{\frac{j}{r}}\right)^{-24}.
\]

This is the prediction formula in [62, §4.1].

1.5.1. **Rank 2 S-transformation.** Let us study in detail in the rank two case. Let

\[
Z(SU(2); q) := Z_{2,0}(S, SU(2); q); \quad Z(SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2; q) := Z_{2,0}(S, SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2; q)
\]

after fixing the rank \( r = 2, \) trivial determinant \( \text{det}(E) = \mathcal{O} \). First we write down Tanaka-Thomas’s partition function as

\[
Z(SU(2); q) := \sum_{c_2} VW_{2,0,c_2}(S) q^{c_2}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4} q^2 \eta(q^2)^{-24} + \frac{1}{2} q^2 \left(\eta\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-24} + \eta\left(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-24}\right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4} q^2 G(q) + \frac{1}{2} q^2 \left(G\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) + G(-q^{\frac{1}{2}})\right)
\]

Here \( q = e^{2\pi i \tau} \) and \( \tau \) is the parameter for the upper half plane; and we use Vafa-Witten’s notation in [62] and denote by

\[
G(q) := \eta(q)^{-24}.
\]
Under the $S$-transformation $\tau \mapsto -\frac{1}{\tau}$, from modular transformation properties \cite{62} §4.1, we have
\[
\begin{cases}
G(-q^{1/2}) \mapsto \tau^{-12}G(-q^{1/2}), \\
G(q^{1/2}) \mapsto 2^{-12}\tau^{-12}G(q^{1/2}), \\
G(q^2) \mapsto 2^{12}\tau^{-12}G(q^2).
\end{cases}
\]
From \cite{62} §4.1, first we shift back $q^2$, and under $S$-transformation
\[
Z(SU(2); q) \mapsto \frac{1}{4}2^{12}\tau^{-12}G(q^{1/2}) + \frac{1}{2}2^{-12}\tau^{-12}G(q^2) + \frac{1}{2}\tau^{-12}G(-q^{1/2}).
\]
Thus from \cite{11}, after modifying $2^{11}$, and shift back $q^2$ we get
\[
(1.5.4) \quad Z(SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2; q) = \frac{1}{4}q^2G(q^2) + q^2\left(2^{21} \cdot G(q^{1/2}) + 2^{10} \cdot G(-q^{1/2})\right),
\]
which should be the partition function $Z(SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2; q)$ for the K3 surface $S$. This is Formula (4.18) in \cite{62}, and has modular properties for $\Gamma_0(2)$.

We prove that the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants $\nuw$ (also $vw^w$) in Conjecture 5.10 for the $\mu_2$-gerbes on $S$ give the formula (1.5.4). Thus we prove Conjecture 1.12 for K3 surfaces $S$ in rank two. We have the following result:

**Theorem 1.12.** (Theorem 6.20) Let $S$ be a smooth projective K3 surface with Picard number $\rho(S)$. Then
\[
Z(S, SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2; q) = \frac{1}{4}q^2G(q^2) + q^2\left(2^{21} \cdot G(q^{1/2}) + 2^{10} \cdot G(-q^{1/2})\right),
\]

**Corollary 1.13.** (Corollary 6.21) Let $S$ be a smooth projective K3 surface with Picard number $\rho(S) = 11$. Then
\[
Z(S, SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2; q) = \frac{1}{4}q^2G(q^2) + q^2\left(2^{21} \cdot G(q^{1/2}) + 2^{10} \cdot G(-q^{1/2})\right).
\]
This proves the prediction of Vafa-Witten in \cite{56} Formula (4.18) for the gauge group $SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ and the S-duality conjecture \cite{11.12}, and also Conjecture 1.7 and (1.5.4).

It is fun to include the calculation by Maple of the series $Z(S, SU(2); q)$ and $Z(S, SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2; q)$ for a smooth projective K3 surface $S$ with Picard number $\rho(S) = 11$. We have
\[
(1.5.5) \quad Z(S, SU(2); q) = \frac{1}{4}q^2G(q^2) + \frac{1}{2}q^2\left(G(q^{1/2}) + G(-q^{1/2})\right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{4} + 30q^2 + 3200q^3 + 176337q^4 + 5930496q^5 + 143184800q^6 + 2705114280q^7 + O(q^8)
\]
which is the formula of Tanaka-Thomas in \cite{56} §5.
**COUNTING TWISTED SHEAVES AND S-DUALITY**

(1.5.6) 
\[
Z(S, SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2; q) = \frac{1}{4} q^2 G(q^2) + q^3 \left( 2^{21} \cdot G(q^2) + 2^{10} \cdot G(-q^2) \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{4} + 2096128q^2 + 50356230q^2 + 67914542q^2 + 6714163200q^2 + 53765683200q^2 + 369816109137q^4 + 2250654556160q^9 + 12443224375296q^{11} + O(q^{12})
\]

From this calculation, if we define \(v_{2,0}^{SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2}(S)\) as the Vafa-Witten invariants for the \(SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2\) theory, we get that
\[
v_{2,0}^{SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2}(S) = \frac{1}{4}
\]
and
\[
v_{2,2}^{SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2}(S) = \frac{1}{2}(2^{22} - 2^{11}) = 2096128.
\]

Note that \(\frac{1}{4}(2^{22} - 2^{11}) = 2^{21} - 2^{10} = 2096128\), and this invariant is purely given by optimal \(\mu_2\)-gerbes on \(S\).

The method to prove Theorem 1.12 is the following. Let \(S\) be a smooth K3 surface with Picard number \(\rho(S)\), then \(1 \leq \rho(S) \leq 20\) over a field \(\kappa\) of characteristic zero. Over positive characteristic \(p > 0\) field \(\kappa\), the Picard number can be \(\rho(S) = 22\), which in this case \(S\) is called a "supersingular K3". The cohomology \(H^2(S, \mu_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2^{22}\). Since the Picard number is \(\rho(S)\), there are totally \(2^{\rho(S)}\) number of equivalent essentially trivial \(\mu_2\)-gerbes on \(S\); and all the other \(\mu_2\)-gerbes on \(S\) are optimal, meaning that the order \(|[\mathcal{G}]| = 2\) in \(H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S^*)\)_{tor}. There are totally \(2^{22} - 2^{\rho(S)}\) number of equivalent optimal \(\mu_2\)-gerbes on \(S\).

For the trivial \(\mu_2\)-gerbe \(\mathcal{G} \to S\), the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants \(VW^{tw}(\mathcal{G}) = v_{tw}^{tw}(\mathcal{G})\) are the same as usual Vafa-Witten invariants for \(S\) in [66], see Proposition [68]. For the non-trivial essentially trivial \(\mu_2\)-gerbe \(\mathcal{G} \to S\), the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants \(VW^{tw}(\mathcal{G}) = v_{tw}^{tw}(\mathcal{G})\) are calculated in Proposition 6.12. They behave a bit different from the trivial gerbe case, based on one fact that a rank two vector bundle on \(\mathcal{G}\) with non-trivial second Stiefel-Whitney class (first Chern class (modulo 2)) can not split.

Let \(\mathcal{G} \to S\) be an optimal \(\mu_2\)-gerbe over \(S\). In this case we use the theory of Yoshioka [66] for the moduli stack of gerbe twisted sheaves. An important observation is that the index \(\text{ind}(\mathcal{G})\) of the gerbe \(\mathcal{G}\), which by definition the minimal rank \(r\) such that there exists a \(\mathcal{G}\)-twisted locally free of rank \(r\) sheaf on generic scheme \(S\), is actually the period \(\text{per}(\mathcal{G}) = |[\mathcal{G}]| = 2\), i.e., the order of \([\mathcal{G}]\) in \(H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S^*)\)_{tor}. In the moduli stack of rank 2 \(\mathcal{G}\)-twisted sheaves or Higgs sheaves \((E, \phi), E\) must be stable. Thus studying the moduli stack of \(\mathcal{G}\)-twisted sheaves on rank 2 is a non-commutative analogue of the Picard scheme in the sense that the \(\mathcal{G}\)-twisted sheaves are essentially rank one right modules over an Azumaya algebra on \(S\). Using this we show that the moduli stack of stable \(\mathcal{G}\)-twisted rank 2 Higgs sheaves is a \(\mu_2\)-gerbe over the Yoshioka moduli space of \([\mathcal{G}]\) (as a \(G_m\)-gerbe)-twisted stable Higgs sheaves on \(S\). Then we use the theory of Yoshioka to calculate the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants \(VW^{tw}(\mathcal{G}) = v_{tw}^{tw}(\mathcal{G})\), see Corollary 6.19. All the calculations imply the result in Theorem 1.12.
We also talk about the higher rank case for the K3 surface $S$. For the prime rank $r$, the result for the partition function of $SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r$-Vafa-Witten invariants can be similarly obtained as in the rank 2 case, see Theorem 6.27.

1.6. Future research. To have a formula for the $SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r$-Vafa-Witten invariants all higher ranks for a K3 surface, we need the “multiple cover formula” for the Joyce-Song’s twisted invariants in [60] to essentially trivial and optimal $\mu_r$-gerbes $\mathcal{G} \to S$. For a $\mu_r$-gerbe over a K3 surface $S$, we should be able to generalize the proof in [48] to show $\text{VW}^{tw} = \text{vw}^{tw}$ for a $\mu_r$-gerbe over a K3 surface. The proof in [48] uses the KKV formula which involves Gromov-Witten invariants for K3 surfaces. We hope to use the decomposition conjecture of Gromov-Witten invariants of $\mu_r$-gerbes studied in [3], [4] to reduce the statement for $\mu_r$-gerbes over a K3 surface to its base K3 surface. We leave this as a future work.

The Vafa-Witten invariants are interesting for general type surfaces. [55, §8] has checked several terms in the generating function for some general type surfaces, and match the prediction in Formula (5.38) in [62]. The Formula (5.42) in [62] is the formula after applying $\mathbb{S}$ transformation to (5.38). We will study Conjecture 1.7 for quintic surfaces and try to show that the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants for all $\mu_r$-gerbes on a quintic surface provides the formula (5.42) in [62]. We leave this as a future work too.

1.7. Outline. This paper is outlined as follows. We review the basic materials of algebraic stacks, Deligne-Mumford stacks and gerbes in §2 where in §2.1 the notion of stacks including Artin stacks and Deligne-Mumford stacks and basic properties are defined; In §2.2 we review the notion of gerbes, and study the interesting essentially trivial étale gerbes; and finally the Brauer group of a scheme and optimal gerbes are recalled in §2.3. We define the moduli of gerbe twisted semistable sheaves and semistable Higgs sheaves in §3 where in §3.1 we define $A$-gerbe twisted sheaves for an abelian group $A$; Twisted stability of gerbe twisted sheaves is defined in §3.2 and the corresponding moduli stack is defined and studied; In §3.3 we study the moduli stack of optimal gerbe twisted semistable sheaves; and finally in §3.4 we study the moduli stack of twisted Higgs sheaves.

We study the perfect obstruction theory of the moduli stack of twisted Higgs sheaves in §4 and define the Vafa-Witten invariants, where in §4.1 we review the perfect obstruction theory for the moduli stack of gerbe twisted stable sheaves; In §4.2 we study the deformation and obstruction theory of gerbe twisted Higgs sheaves; In §4.3 we define the twisted $SU(rk)$-Vafa-Witten invariants; The $\mathbb{G}_m$-fixed loci of the moduli stack of gerbe twisted Higgs sheaves is studied in §4.4 and finally in §4.5 the invariants using the Behrend function of the moduli stack is provided. We study the Joyce-Song twisted stable pairs in §5 and define generalized Vafa-Witten invariants, where in §5.1 the background of counting semistable objects is reviewed; In §5.2 we define and review Hall algebra; In §5.3 we apply the Hall algebra techniques to semistable gerbe twisted Higgs sheaves; In §5.4 we conjecturally define the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants for a gerbe, and prove the conjecture in some cases; and finally in §5.5 we define the $SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r$ Vafa-Witten invariants and conjecture that its partition function satisfies the $S$-duality conjecture. We also prove the $S$-duality conjecture in rank two for $\mathbb{P}^2$. Finally in §6 we prove the $S$-duality conjecture for K3 surfaces for prime ranks, where in §6.1 some basic knowledges of K3 surfaces are recalled; In §6.2 we collect...
some results of the Vafa-Witten invariants for K3 surfaces of Tanaka-Thomas; In 
\cite{6.3} we study the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants for essentially trivial \( \mu_2 \)-gerbes on K3 surfaces; In \cite{6.4} the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants for optimal \( \mu_2 \)-gerbes on a K3 surface are calculated; We prove the S-duality conjecture by calculating the partition function for the \( SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \)-Vafa-Witten invariants for K3 surfaces in \cite{6.5} and finally in \cite{6.6} we generalize the calculation to prime ranks and talk about the case of general ranks.

1.8. **Convention.** We work over an algebraically closed field \( \kappa \) of characteristic zero throughout of the paper. We denote by \( \mathbb{G}_m \) the multiplicative group over \( \kappa \). We use Roman letter \( E \) to represent a coherent sheaf on a projective DM stack or a \( \acute{e} \)tale gerbe \( S \), and use curl latter \( E \) to represent the sheaves on the total space \( \text{Tot}(L) \) of a line bundle \( L \) over \( S \). We reserve \( \text{rk} \) for the rank of the torsion free coherent sheaves \( E \), and when checking the S-duality for \( SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r \), \( r = \text{rk} \).

We keep the convention in \cite{25} to use \( SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r \) as the Langlands dual group of \( SU(r) \). When discussing the S-duality the rank \( \text{rk} = r \).
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2. **Preliminaries on \( \acute{e} \)tale gerbes and stacks.**

In this section we review the basic materials of stacks. Our main reference is \cite{39} and \cite{54}. Since we mainly work on \( \acute{e} \)tale \( \mu_r \)-gerbes over schemes and \( \mu_r \)-gerbes are closely related to \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-gerbes on a scheme, we recall the basic notion of algebraic stacks (Artin stacks) and also Deligne-Mumford (DM) stacks. Several interesting examples and basic knowledge were reviewed in \cite{25}.

2.1. **Algebraic stacks and Deligne-Mumford stacks.** Let us always fix a Noetherian scheme \( R \), and let \( (\text{Sch} / R) \) be the Grothendieck topology, either \( \acute{e} \)tale or fppf topology. The \( \acute{e} \)tale topology will give us DM stacks and fppf topology will give us algebraic stacks (Artin stacks). In later sections we take \( R \) to be the base field \( \kappa \).

Let us first fix notations. Let \( \mathcal{X} \) be a functor and \( f_i : U_i \to U \) be a morphism of schemes and \( X \in \mathcal{X}(U) \) an object. Denote by \( X_i := f_i^*X \) and \( X_i|_{ij} = f_{ij}^*X_i \) where \( f_{ij} : U_i \times_U U_j \to U_i \) is the morphism and \( X_i \in \mathcal{X}(U_i) \).

**Definition 2.1.** A stack is a sheaf \( \mathcal{X} \) of groupoid, i.e., a 2-functor (presheaf) that satisfies the following sheaf axioms. Let \( \{ U_i \to U \}_{i \in I} \) be a covering of \( U \) in the site \( (\text{Sch} / R) \). Then
(1) (Gluing) If X and Y are two objects of \( \mathcal{X}(U) \), and \( \varphi_i : X|_i \to Y|_i \) are morphisms such that \( \varphi_i|_{ij} = \varphi_j|_{ij} \), then there exists a morphism \( \eta : X \to Y \) such that \( \eta|_i = \varphi_i \).

(2) (Mono presheaf) If X and Y are two objects of \( \mathcal{X}(U) \), and \( \varphi : X \to Y \), \( \psi : X \to Y \) are morphisms such that \( \varphi|_i = \psi|_i \), then \( \varphi = \psi \).

(3) (Gluing of objects) If \( X_i \) are objects of \( \mathcal{X}(U_i) \) and \( \varphi_{ij} : X_j|_{ij} \to X_i|_{ij} \) are morphisms satisfying the cocycle condition \( \varphi_{ij}|_{ijk} \circ \varphi_{jk}|_{ijk} = \varphi_{ik}|_{ijk} \), then there exists an object \( X \) of \( \mathcal{X}(U) \) such that \( \varphi_{ji} \circ \varphi_i|_{ij} = \varphi_j|_{ij} \).

**Definition 2.2.** We take \( \text{Sch} / R \) to be the category of R-schemes with the étale topology. Let \( \mathcal{X} \) be a stack. \( \mathcal{X} \) is a DM stack if

1. The diagonal \( \Delta \mathcal{X} \) is representable, quasi-compact and separated.
2. There exists a scheme \( U \) (called atlas) and an étale (hence locally of finite type) and surjective morphism \( u : U \to \mathcal{X} \).

A morphism \( f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \) of DM stacks is called “representable” if every morphism \( g : S \to \mathcal{Y} \) from a scheme \( S \), the fibre product \( S \times_{\mathcal{Y}, f} \mathcal{Y} \) is a scheme. In particular, any morphism from a scheme to \( \mathcal{Y} \) is representable.

**Definition 2.3.** Let \( \mathcal{X} \) be a smooth DM stack. The inertia stack \( I \mathcal{X} \) associated to \( \mathcal{X} \) is defined to be the fibre product:

\[
I \mathcal{X} := \mathcal{X} \times_{\Delta \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}
\]

where \( \Delta : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \) is the diagonal morphism.

The objects in the category underlying \( I \mathcal{X} \) is:

\[
\text{Ob}(I \mathcal{X}) = \{(x, g) : x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}), g \in \text{Aut}_\mathcal{X}(x)\}.
\]

**Remark 2.4.**

1. There exists a morphism \( q : I \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X} \) given by \( (x, g) \mapsto x \);
2. There exists a decomposition

\[
I \mathcal{X} = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Hom}(\text{Rep}(B_{\mu_r}, \mathcal{X})),
\]

hence \( I \mathcal{X} \) can be decomposed into connected components, and

\[
I \mathcal{X} = \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{X}_i
\]

where \( I \) is the index set. We have \( \mathcal{X}_0 = \{(x, \text{id}) : x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}), \text{id} \in \text{Aut}_\mathcal{X}(x)\} = \mathcal{X} \).

**Definition 2.5.** Let \( \mathcal{X} \) be a stack over the site \( \text{Sch} / R \) with the fppf topology. Assume that

1. The diagonal \( \Delta \mathcal{X} \) is representable, quasi-compact and separated.
2. There exists a scheme \( U \) (called atlas) and a smooth and surjective morphism \( u : U \to \mathcal{X} \) in fppf topology.

Then we call \( \mathcal{X} \) an Artin stack.

**Example 1.** A very interesting stack is given by the quotient stack \( \mathcal{X} = [X/G] \), where \( X \) is a smooth scheme and \( G \) an algebraic group acting on \( X \). The stack \( [X/G] \) classifies
isomorphic classes of principle $G$-bundles $E$ over a scheme $B \to [X/G]$ together with a $G$-equivariant morphism:

$$
\begin{array}{c}
E \\
\downarrow f \\
\downarrow u \\
B \to [X/G]
\end{array}
$$

The smooth atlas of $X$ is $X \to [X/G]$. If $G$ is a finite group, then $X$ is a DM stack. All stacks in this paper locally is a quotient stack.

Let $I = \{ (g) | g \in G \}$, where $(g)$ is the conjugacy class. The inertia stack $I_X = \bigsqcup_{(g)} X_{(g)}$, and $X_{(g)} = [M^g/C(g)]$, where $M^g$ is the $g$ fixed locus of $M$ and $C(g)$ is the centralizer of $g$.

2.2. Gerbes and essentially trivial gerbes. Gerbes are interesting algebraic stacks. Let us fix a base algebraic stack $X$. Later on we always consider $X$ to be a smooth scheme.

Definition 2.6. A gerbe $\mathcal{X}$ over $X$ is a stack $\mathcal{X}$ such that the following is satisfied:

1. For any $U \in X$ there exists a covering $U' \to U$ such that $\mathcal{X}(U') \neq \emptyset$.
2. For any $U \in X$ and any $s, s' \in \mathcal{X}(U)$, there exists a covering $U' \to U$ such that $s|_{U'}$ is isomorphic to $s'|_{U'}$.

Definition 2.7. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a gerbe over $X$. The sheaf associated to $\mathcal{X}$, denoted by $\text{Sh}(\mathcal{X})$, is the sheafification of the presheaf whose sections over $U \subset X$ are isomorphic classes of objects in the fiber category $\mathcal{X}(U)$.

In this paper we mainly work on $A$-gerbes for an abelian group scheme $A$ over $R$. First let $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ be the classifying topos of $\mathcal{X}$, i.e., the topos of sheaves on the site of $\mathcal{X}$. Then we have:

- If $\mathcal{X} \to X$ is a gerbe and the inertia stack $I\mathcal{X}$ is an abelian group sheaf on $X$, then there exists an abelian sheaf $A$ on $X$ such that $\pi^* A \cong I\mathcal{X}$

on $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$, where $\pi: \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \to X$ is the morphism of topos.

Definition 2.8. An $A$-gerbe $\mathcal{X}$ over $X$ is a gerbe $\mathcal{X} \to X$ such that $A_X \cong I\mathcal{X}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$, where $A_X$ is a sheaf of abelian group $A$ on $\mathcal{X}$.

Let $X$ be a scheme, the $A$-gerbes $\mathcal{X}$ over $X$ are classified by the second étale cohomology group $H^2(X, A)$. In this paper we are interested in the group $A = \mu_r$ or $A = \mathbb{G}_m$, i.e., the $\mu_r$-gerbes on a scheme $X$; or the $\mathbb{G}_m$-gerbes on $X$. It is not hard to see that a $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{X}$ on a scheme $X$ is a DM stack. We always let $\chi: A \to \mathbb{G}_m$ be a character.

Definition 2.9. A $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{X} \to X$ on a scheme $X$ is (geometrically) essentially trivial if the class $[\mathcal{X}] \in H^2(X, \mu_r)$ has trivial image in $H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ under the natural map $H^2(X, \mu_r) \to H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$.

Consider the short exact sequence:

$$1 \to \mu_r \to \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^r} \mathbb{G}_m \to 1$$
and taking cohomology we have the exact sequence

\begin{equation}
\cdots \rightarrow H^1(X, G_m) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mu_r) \rightarrow H^2(X, G_m) \rightarrow \cdots
\end{equation}

Thus a \( \mu_r \)-gerbe \( \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \) is essentially trivial if and only if it is given by the image class of a line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X) \) under the first map in (2.2.1). Also in this case one can understand the \( \mu_r \)-gerbe \( \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \) as a quotient \([\text{Tot}(\mathcal{L}^\times)/G_m] \), where \( \text{Tot}(\mathcal{L}^\times) \) denote the total space of the line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \) minus the zero section \( X \) and \( G_m \) acts on the fiber by the \( r \)-th power.

2.3. Brauer group and optimal gerbes. In this section we talk about the Brauer group and optimal gerbes. For the basic knowledge of Brauer group, see [49].

Let \( X \) be a scheme, the Brauer group \( \text{Br}(X) \) parametrizes equivalence classes of sheaves of Azumaya algebras on \( X \).

**Definition 2.10.** An Azumaya algebra on \( X \) is an associative (non-commutative) \( O_X \)-algebra \( A \) which is locally isomorphic to a matrix algebra \( M_r(O_X) \) for some \( r > 0 \).

Two Azumaya algebras \( A \) and \( A' \) are called equivalent if there exist non-zero vector bundles \( E \) and \( E' \) such that \( A \otimes \text{End}(E) \) and \( A' \otimes \text{End}(E') \) are isomorphic Azumaya algebras. The Brauer group \( \text{Br}(X) \) is the set of isomorphism classes of Azumaya algebras modulo the above equivalence relation.

The cohomological Brauer group \( \text{Br}'(X) \) is the torsion part \( H^2(X, O_X^\times)_{\text{tot}} \) of the étale cohomology \( H^2(X, O_X^\times) \), which classifies the equivalence classes of \( G_m \)-gerbes on \( X \). The following result is due to de Jong.

**Theorem 2.11.** (de Jong[11]) If \( X \) is a quasi-compact separate scheme admitting an ample invertible sheaf, then \( \text{Br}(X) = \text{Br}'(X) \).

**Definition 2.12.** Let \( \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \) be a \( \mu_r \)-gerbe. The period \( \text{per}(\mathcal{X}) \) is the order of \([\mathcal{X}]\) in \( \text{Br}(X) \). A \( \mu_r \)-gerbe \( \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \) is called optimal if the period \( \text{per}(\mathcal{X}) = r \).

The Brauer group also classifies Brauer-Severi varieties, and these are projective bundles \( P \) over \( X \), see [11]. For us, if we have an optimal \( \mu_r \)-gerbe \( \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \) over a scheme \( X \), then from Theorem 2.11 \( \text{Br}(X) = \text{Br}'(X) \). We let \( o([\mathcal{X}]) \) be the image of \([\mathcal{X}]\) under the map

\[ o : H^2(X, \mu_r) \rightarrow H^2(X, O_X^\times) \]

which must lie in the torsion part of \( H^2(X, O_X^\times) \). Any Azumaya algebra \( A \) is locally free of constant rank \( r^2 \). Two Azumaya algebras are isomorphic if they are isomorphic as \( O_X \)-algebras. By Skolem--Noether theorem, \( \text{Aut}(M_r(\kappa)) = \text{PGL}_r(\kappa) \) (acting by conjugation). Therefore, the set of isomorphism classes of Azumaya algebras \( A \) of rank \( r^2 \) is in bijection with the set \( H^1(X, \text{PGL}_r) \). From the exact sequence

\[ 1 \rightarrow \mu_r \rightarrow \text{SL}_r \rightarrow \text{PGL}_r \rightarrow 1 \]

we have a morphism by taking cohomology \( \delta' : H^1(X, \text{PGL}_r(O_X)) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mu_r) \).

We set \( \delta := o \circ \delta' \). Then the optimal \( \mu_r \)-gerbe \([\mathcal{X}]\) also defines a class \([P] \in H^1(X, \text{PGL}_r)\) such that \( \delta'(P) = [\mathcal{X}] \). This projective bundle \( P \rightarrow X \) is the Brauer-Severi variety.

**Remark 2.13.** If a \( \mu_r \)-gerbe \( \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \) is essentially trivial, then its class \([\mathcal{X}]\) is zero in \( H^2(X, \mu_r) \), therefore also defines a zero class \([P] \in H^1(X, \text{PGL}_r)\). In this case the Brauer-Severi variety \( P \) is just \( X \).
3. MODULI SPACE OF TWISTED SHEAVES AND TWISTED HIGGS SHEAVES ON SURFACES

In this section we recall the notion of $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{X}$-twisted sheaves on $\mathcal{X} \to X$, and define its stability conditions and moduli stacks. We mainly follow the arguments in [42]. We also generalized the $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{X}$-twisted sheaves on $\mathcal{X} \to X$ to twisted Higgs sheaves and define their moduli stack.

For sheaves on algebraic stacks, see [54, 06TF]. The category of gerbe $\mathcal{X}$-twisted sheaves on the stack $\mathcal{X}$ is naturally a subcategory of the category of sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$.

3.1. Étale gerbe twisted sheaves. Let us fix $A$ to be an abelian group scheme over a smooth scheme $X$. We follow [42], [9] for the notion of twisted sheaves. Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to X$ be a $A$-gerbe and we denote by $[\mathcal{X}]$ to be the class in $H^2(X, \mu_r)$. Let $E$ be a sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$, then one has a sheaf $\pi^* E$ on $\mathcal{X}$ by the topoi morphism $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to X$. Therefore there is a natural right group action $\mu : E \times I_X \to E$, see [42, Lemma 2.1.1.8]. The following result is from [42, Lemma 2.1.1.13].

**Proposition 3.1.** Let $\mathcal{X} \to X$ be an $A$-gerbe and $E$ is a sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$ such that the inertia action $E \times I_X \to E$ is trivial, then $E$ is naturally the pullback of a unique coherent sheaf on $X$ up to isomorphism.

The $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{X}$-twisted coherent sheaves are defined as follows. Let $\chi : A \to \mathbb{G}_m$ be the character morphism. Let $E$ be a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module, the module action $m : \mathbb{G}_m \times E \to E$ yields an associated right action $m' : E \times \mathbb{G}_m \to E$ by $m'(s, \varphi) = m(\varphi^{-1}, s)$.

**Definition 3.2.** A $d$-fold $\chi$-twisted sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $E$ such that the natural action $\mu : E \times A \to E$ given by the $\mu_r$-gerbe structure makes the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
E \times A & \rightarrow & E \\
\chi^d \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \\
E \times \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & E \\
\end{array}
$$

commutes, where $\chi^d(s) = \chi(s)^d$. An 1-fold twisted coherent sheaf will be called a twisted sheaf.

**Remark 3.3.** The gerbe $\mathcal{X}$-twisted sheaves naturally form a fibered category of the classifying topos $\mathcal{X}$, and can be viewed as a fibred category over $X$ via the natural map $\mathcal{X} \to X$ of topoi. This fibred category of $\mathcal{X}$-twisted sheaves is naturally a stack over $X$.

**Remark 3.4.** In general, in [57], for a $A$-gerbe $\mathcal{X} \to X$, there is a disconnected stack $\mathcal{X}$ (finite copies of the $A$-gerbe $\mathcal{X}$) and a $\mathbb{G}_m$-gerbe $\mathcal{C}$ (called the B-field) on $\mathcal{X}$ such that the category of coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$ is equivalent to the category of gerbe $\mathcal{C}$-twisted coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$. The twisted sheaves of Lieblich are only on one component. Since we don’t need this in this paper, we fix to Lieblich’s definition and moduli on twisted sheaves.

**Example 2.** Let $A = \mathbb{G}_m$, and $\chi = \text{id}$. Then let $\{U_i\}$ be an open covering of $X$. A $\mathcal{X}$-twisted sheaf on $X$ is given by:

1. a sheaf of modules $E_i$ on each $U_i$;
(2) for each $i$ and $j$ an isomorphism of modules $g_{ij} : E_j|_{U_{ij}} \xrightarrow{\cong} E_i|_{U_{ij}}$ such that on $U_{ijk}$, $E_k|_{U_{ijk}} \xrightarrow{\cong} E_k|_{U_{ijk}}$ is equal to the multiplication by the scalar $a \in \mathbb{G}_m(U_{ijk})$ giving the 2-cocycle $[X] \in H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$.

We are mainly interested in the $\mu_r$-gerbe (or $\mathbb{G}_m$-gerbe) twisted sheaves. Let $\chi : \mu_r(\text{or } \mathbb{G}_m) \to \mathbb{G}_m$ be the natural inclusion of a subsheaf, a $\chi$-twisted sheaf on $X$ is just called an $X$-twisted sheaf. We list the following obvious result for reference:

**Proposition 3.5.** A $\mathbb{G}_m$-gerbe $\mathcal{X} \to X$ is an Artin stack locally of finite presented over $X$. A $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{X} \to X$ is a DM stack.

**Definition 3.6.** Let $\mathcal{X} \to X$ be a $\mu_r$-gerbe over $X$. The index $\text{ind}(\mathcal{X})$ is the minimal rank of a locally free $X$-twisted sheaf over the generic scheme of $X$.

Let us recall the period of a $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{X} \to X$ in Definition 2.12. From [42, §2.2.5], for any scheme $X$, one has $\text{per}(\mathcal{X}) | \text{ind}(\mathcal{X})$. When $X$ is a smooth surface, from a theorem of Je Jong, we have $\text{per}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{ind}(\mathcal{X})$. Thus over smooth surfaces $X$, the rank of any locally free $X$-twisted sheaf is divisible by $\text{ind}(\mathcal{X})$. The studying of moduli of twisted sheaves of rank $r$ on an optimal $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{X} \to X$ is a non-commutative analogue of the Picard scheme, in the sense that such sheaves are essentially rank one right modules over an Azumaya algebra on $X$.

### 3.2. Twisted stability and the moduli stack.
We review the twisted stability of $X$-twisted sheaves and construction of moduli spaces.

#### 3.2.1. Twisted stability of Lieblich.
We first define the support of twisted sheaves.

**Definition 3.7.** Let $\mathcal{X} \to X$ be a $\mu_r$-gerbe over $X$ and $E$ a $X$-twisted sheaf. The support $\text{supp}(E)$ of $E$ is the closed substack of $X$ defined by the kernel of the map $O_X \to \mathcal{E}nd_X(E)$, which is a quasi-coherent sheaf of ideals. The schematic support of $E$ is the scheme-theoretic image in $X$ of the support of $E$.

The support of $E$ is the preimage of the schematic support. One can define a torsion filtration on a twisted sheaf for any algebraic stack. Let $|\mathcal{X}|$ be the underlying topology space of $\mathcal{X}$.

**Definition 3.8.** A point $p \in |\mathcal{X}|$ is an associated point of a quasi-coherent sheaf $E$ on $\mathcal{X}$ if there is a quasi-coherent subsheaf $F \subset E$ such that $p \in \text{supp}(F) \subset \{p\}$. We let $\text{Ass}(E)$ the set of associated points of $E$.

**Remark 3.9.**
(1) If $E$ is a coherent sheaf, it is the same as requiring that $\text{supp}(F) = \{p\}$.
(2) If $\mathcal{X}$ is a Noetherian DM stack, then a geometric point $p \to \mathcal{X}$ is associated to $E$ if $p$ is an associated point for the stalk of $E$ at $p$ (as a $O_p, \mathcal{X}$-module).

Here are some properties of $\text{Ass}(E)$.

**Proposition 3.10.** Let $f : X \to \mathcal{X}$ be a flat surjection, with $X$ a Noetherian scheme. If $E$ is a quasi-coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$, then $\text{Ass}(E) = f(\text{Ass}(E|_\mathcal{X}))$.

**Proposition 3.11.** If $f : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ is a flat surjection of Noetherian algebraic stacks and $E$ a quasi-coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$, then $\text{Ass}(E) = f(\text{Ass}(E|_{\mathcal{X}'}))$. 
Proposition 3.12. If \( E \) is a coherent sheaf on \( \mathcal{X} \), then \( \text{Ass}(E) \) is finite.

Points on the stack \( \mathcal{X} \) are subject to relations of specialization and generalization in the usual way and gives \( \text{Ass}(E) \) the structure of partially ordered set. So the finiteness of \( \text{Ass}(E) \) insures there exists a minimal element of \( \text{Ass}(E) \). Also \( \text{Ass}(E) = \text{supp}(E) \) and the minimal points of \( \text{Ass}(E) \) coincide with the minimal points of \( \text{supp}(E) \).

**Definition 3.13.** A torsion subsheaf of \( E \) is a subsheaf \( F \subset E \) such that none of the minimal points of \( \text{Ass}(E) \) are contained in \( \text{Ass}(F) \).

Then the sum of any two torsion subsheaves of \( E \) is still a torsion subsheaf, and there exists a unique maximal coherent torsion subsheaf of \( E \). The maximal torsion subsheaf of \( E \) is called the torsion subsheaf of \( E \) and is denoted by \( T(E) \).

**Definition 3.14.** A coherent sheaf \( E \) is pure if \( T(E) = 0 \).

Some properties of pure sheaves on stacks \( \mathcal{X} \) can be found in [42, §2.2]. We define the stability condition of twisted sheaves and talk about the moduli spaces. We will define the moduli stack of semistable twisted sheaves on the \( \mu_r \)-gerbe \( \mathcal{X} \). In order to fix topological invariants, let us take the Chow group \( A_*(\mathcal{X}) \) with \( \mathbb{Q} \)-coefficients as in [63]. Since \( \mathcal{X} \) is a smooth DM stack, \( A_*(\mathcal{X}) \cong A_*(X) \). For DM stacks, the better way to count the Hilbert polynomial is to use the Grothendieck \( K \)-group \( K^0(X) \) of the abelian category of coherent sheaves. There exists an orbifold Chern character morphism:

\[
K^0(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*_{\text{CR}}(\mathcal{X}) = H^*(I\mathcal{X})
\]

given by

\[
E \mapsto \tilde{\text{Ch}}(E)
\]

which is an isomorphism as \( \mathbb{Q} \)-vector spaces. Let \( \tilde{Td}(T\mathcal{X}) \) be the orbifold Todd class, then the orbifold Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem says:

\[
\chi(\mathcal{X}, E) = \int_{I\mathcal{X}} \tilde{\text{Ch}}(E) \cdot \tilde{Td}(T\mathcal{X})
\]

see for instance [10].

We recall the definition of geometric stability conditions of Lieblich in [42].

**Definition 3.15.** The geometric Euler characteristic of a class \( \alpha \in K_0(\mathcal{X}) \) is defined as:

\[
\chi^g(\alpha) := [I\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{X}] \cdot \deg(\text{Ch}(\alpha) \cdot Td_{\mathcal{X}}).
\]

Let \( O_X(1) \) be a polarization of \( X \). Then the geometric Hilbert polynomial of \( \alpha \) is:

\[
m \mapsto P^g_E(m) = \chi^g(\alpha \otimes O_X(m)).
\]

Following [20] §1.2, for any \( E \) with class \( \alpha \), the Hilbert polynomial can be written down as:

\[
P^g_E(m) = \sum_{i=0}^{\dim E} \alpha_i(E) \frac{m^i}{i!}.
\]

Note that here \( \alpha_i(E) \) do not need to be integers, since \( \mathcal{X} \) is a DM stack. If \( E \) has dimension \( d \), then the geometric rank is:

\[
\text{rk}(E) := \frac{\alpha_d(E)}{\alpha_d(O_X)}
\]
and the geometric degree of $E$ is given by:

$$\deg(E) = \alpha_d - \operatorname{rk}(E) \cdot \alpha_d(O_X).$$

**Definition 3.16.** Let $E$ be a coherent sheaf on $X$ of dimension zero, the length of $E$ is defined as:

$$\ell(E) = \chi^X(E).$$

**Definition 3.17.** Let $X \to X$ be a $\mu_r$-gerbe over a smooth scheme $X$. An $X$-twisted sheaf $E$ of dimension $d$ is semistable (resp. stable) if any subsheaf $F \subset E$

$$a_d(E) \cdot P_F^E \leq (\text{resp. <}) a_d(F) \cdot P_F^E.$$

A semistable coherent $X$-twisted sheaf $E$ must be pure. So let $p^E_F = \frac{P_F^E}{a_d(F)}$, an gerbe $X$-twisted sheaf $E$ is semistable if and only if it is pure and for any $F \subset E$, $p^E_F \leq p^E_E$.

**Definition 3.18.** The slope of a coherent $X$-twisted sheaf $E$ of dimension $d$ is defined by:

$$\mu(E) := \frac{\deg(E)}{\operatorname{rk}(E)}.$$

**Definition 3.19.** Let $X \to X$ be a $\mu_r$-gerbe over a smooth scheme $X$. An $X$-twisted coherent sheaf $E$ of dimension $d$ is $\mu$-semistable (resp. $\mu$-stable) if $E$ is pure and for any subsheaf $F \subset E$

$$\mu(F) \leq (\text{resp. <}) \mu(E).$$

Lieblich [42] defined the moduli stack of semistable (resp. stable) $X$-twisted sheaves on $X$ using the above stability.

3.2.2. **Stability condition using generating sheaves.** We include a comparison with the modified stability for DM stacks in [52] in this étale gerbe case. The polarization $O_X(1)$ on the coarse moduli space $p : X \to X$ is always fixed for the $\mu_r$-gerbe $X$.

**Definition 3.20.** A locally free sheaf $\Xi$ on $X$ is $p$-very ample if for every geometric point of $X$ the representation of the stabilizer group at that point contains every irreducible representation of the stabilizer group. We call $\Xi$ a generating sheaf.

We call the pair $(\Xi, O_X(1))$ a polarization of $X$. For the $\mu_r$-gerbe $X$ over $X$, we choose the generating sheaf $\Xi = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} O_X(i)$. Let us define the Gieseker stability condition:

**Definition 3.21.** The modified Hilbert polynomial of a coherent sheaf $E$ on $X$ is defined as:

$$P_\Xi(E, m) = \chi(X, E \otimes \Xi^\vee \otimes p^* O_X(m)) = \chi(X, p_*(E \otimes \Xi^\vee) \otimes O_X(m)).$$

**Remark 3.22.**

1. Let $E$ be of dimension $d$, then we can write:

$$P_\Xi(E, m) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_{\Xi, i}(E) \frac{m^i}{i!}$$

which is induced by the case of schemes.

2. Also the modified Hilbert polynomial is additive on short exact sequences.
(3) If we don’t choose the generating sheaf $\Xi$, the Hilbert polynomial $P$ on $X$ will be the same as the Hilbert polynomial on the coarse moduli space $X$. In order to get interesting information on the DM stack $\mathcal{X}$, the sheaf $\Xi$ is necessary. This can be seen for the $\mu_r$-gerbe $p : \mathcal{X} \to X$, since the pushforward $p_* L$ of line bundle $L$ is zero if the degree of $L$ is not divisible by $r$. For another example, in \cite[§7]{[52]}, the modified Hilbert polynomial on a root stack $\mathcal{X}$ will corresponds to the parabolic Hilbert polynomial on the pair $(X, D)$ with $D \subset X$ a smooth divisor.

**Definition 3.23.** The reduced modified Hilbert polynomial for the pure sheaf $F$ is defined as

$$p_{\Xi}(E) = \frac{P_{\Xi}(E)}{a_{\Xi,d}(E)}.$$

**Definition 3.24.** Let $E$ be a pure coherent sheaf. We call $E$ Gieseker semistable if for every proper subsheaf $F \subset E$,

$$p_{\Xi}(F) \leq p_{\Xi}(E).$$

We call $E$ stable if $\leq$ is replaced by $<$ in the above inequality.

**Definition 3.25.** We define the slope of $E$ by $\mu_{\Xi}(E) = \frac{a_{\Xi,d-1}(E)}{a_{\Xi,d}(E)}$. Then $E$ is Gieseker semistable if for every proper subsheaf $F \subset E$, $\mu_{\Xi}(F) \leq \mu_{\Xi}(E)$. We call $E$ stable if $\leq$ is replaced by $<$ in the above inequality.

**Remark 3.26.**

1. The stability really depends on the generating sheaf $\Xi$. This stability is not necessarily the same as the ordinary Gieseker stability even when $X$ is a scheme.
2. One can define the rank $\operatorname{rk} F_{\Xi}(E) = \frac{a_{\Xi,d}(E)}{a_{\Xi,d}(O_X)}$, where $F_{\Xi} : DCoh(\mathcal{X}) \to DCoh(X)$ is a functor by $E \mapsto p_* \mathcal{H}om(\Xi, E)$ in Definition \cite[Definition 2.6]{[25]}.  

3.2.3. Comparison of two stabilities. We include a short calculation proof here that the geometric stability of Lieblich coincides with the modified stability by choosing the generating sheaf $\Xi = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} O_X(i)$ for surfaces and curves.

**Proposition 3.27.** Let $\mathcal{X} \to X$ be a $\mu_r$-gerbe over a smooth projective curve $X$ of genus $g_X \geq 2$. For any torsion free coherent sheaf $E$ on $\mathcal{X}$ we have:

$$\mu(E) = M \cdot \mu_{\Xi}(E)$$

for a constant $M$.

**Proof.** Let $x := c_1(O_X(1))$. From the definition of geometric stability in Definition 3.15:

$$P_{\Xi}(m) = \chi^g(E \otimes O_X(m)) = [I_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X}] \cdot \deg(\operatorname{Ch}(E \otimes O_X(m)) \cdot Td_{\mathcal{X}}) = \left( \int_{\mathcal{X}} r \cdot \operatorname{rk}(E) \cdot x \right) m + r \int_{\mathcal{X}} c_1(E) + \frac{1}{2} r \cdot \operatorname{rk}(E) \int_{\mathcal{X}} c_1(\mathcal{X}).$$

Therefore, $\deg(E) = r \cdot \deg(c_1(E))$.

In the modified stability case of $\mathcal{X} \to X$, for simplicity we only calculate the $\mu_2$-gerbe case, and general case is similar. From Definition 3.21 and the orbifold
Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formula \([3.2.4]\), and first assume that \(\mu_2\) acts on \(E\) trivially, we have:

\[
P_\Xi(E, m) = \chi(E \otimes \Xi^\vee \otimes p^* \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \\
= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \tilde{\text{Ch}}(E \otimes \Xi^\vee \otimes p^* \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \cdot \tilde{Td}_X \\
= \left( \int_{\mathcal{X}} 2 \cdot \text{rk}(E) \cdot x \right) m + 2 \int_{\mathcal{X}} c_1(E) \cdot 2 \int_{\mathcal{X}} \text{rk}(E) \cdot x. 
\]

So it has the same slope as the geometric stability.

If \(E\) has non-trivial \(\mu_2\) action, let \(\frac{1}{2}\) indexes the nontrivial element in \(\mu_2\) and \(\mathcal{X}_1\) is the nontrivial component in the inertia stack \(I\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}\), then \(\text{Ch}(E)|_{\mathcal{X}_1} = -(\text{rk}(E) + c_1(E))\) and the calculation only changes the constant in \(P_\Xi(E, m)\) and does not affect the degree \(2\int_{\mathcal{X}} c_1(E) = a_{\Xi,0} - a_{\Xi,0}(\mathcal{O}_X)\). Therefore the result follows.

**Proposition 3.28.** Let \(\mathcal{X} \to X\) be a \(\mu_r\)-gerbe over a smooth projective surface \(X\). For any torsion free coherent sheaf \(E\) on \(\mathcal{X}\) we have:

\[\mu(E) = M \cdot \mu_\Xi(E)\]

for a constant \(M\).

**Proof.** Still let \(x := c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(1))\). From the definition of geometric stability in Definition \([3.15]\)

\[
P_\Xi^x(m) = \chi^x(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \\
= [I\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{X}] \cdot \deg(\text{Ch}(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \cdot \tilde{Td}_X) \\
= r \left( \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{rk}(E) \cdot x^2 \right) m^2 + \left( \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{1}{2} \text{rk}(E) \cdot x \cdot c_1(\mathcal{X}) + \int_{\mathcal{X}} c_1(E) \cdot x \right) m \\
+ \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{1}{2} c_1(E) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{X}) + \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{1}{2} c_1(E)^2 - 2c_2(E) + \text{rk}(E) \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{1}{12} (c_1(\mathcal{X})^2 + c_2(\mathcal{X})). 
\]

Therefore, \(\deg(E) = r \cdot \int_{\mathcal{X}} c_1(E) \cdot x\).

In the modified stability case of \(\mathcal{X} \to X\), for simplicity we still only calculate the \(\mu_2\)-gerbe case, and general case is similar. From Definition \([3.21]\) and the orbifold Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formula \([3.2.4]\), and first assume that \(\mu_2\) acts on \(E\) trivially, we have:

\[
P_\Xi(E, m) = \chi(E \otimes \Xi^\vee \otimes p^* \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \\
= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \tilde{\text{Ch}}(E \otimes \Xi^\vee \otimes p^* \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \cdot \tilde{Td}_X \\
= 2 \left( \int_{\mathcal{X}_1} \frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{rk}(E) \cdot x^2 \right) m^2 + \left( \int_{\mathcal{X}_1} \text{rk}(E) \cdot \chi^2 - 2 \int_{\mathcal{X}_1} \text{rk}(E) \cdot x^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int c_1(\mathcal{X}) \cdot x \right) m \\
+ \left( \int_{\mathcal{X}_2} \text{rk}(E) \cdot x^2 \right) m + \text{constant}, 
\]

where we let \(\frac{1}{2}\) indexes the nontrivial element in \(\mu_2\) and \(\mathcal{X}_1\) is the nontrivial component in the inertia stack \(I\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}\). So \(\deg_\Xi(E) = 2 \int_{\mathcal{X}_1} c_1(E) \cdot x\), it has the same slope as the geometric stability.
If $E$ has non-trivial $\mu_2$ action, then $\text{Ch}(E)|_{x_1} = -(\text{rk}(E) + c_1(E) + \Delta(E))$ where 
$\Delta(E) = \frac{1}{2}(c_1(E)^2 - 2c_2(E))$, and the calculation only changes the constant in $P_2(E, m)$ and does not affect the modified degree. Therefore the result follows. 

3.2.4. The moduli stack of $\mathcal{X}$-twisted semistable sheaves. We use the geometric Hilbert polynomial to define the moduli stack of gerbe $\mathcal{X}$-twisted sheaves studied in [42]. First we have:

**Proposition 3.29.** ([42] Corollary 2.3.2.11) Let $\mathcal{X} \to X$ be a $\mu_1$-gerbe over a smooth scheme $X/R$, and $E$ is an $R$-flat family of coherent $\mathcal{X}$-twisted sheaves. The locus of $\mu$-semistable (resp. semistable), (resp. geometrically $\mu$-semistable or stable) fibres of $E$ is open in $R$.

Let us fix a Hilbert polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Q}[m]$, which is equivalent to fixing a $K$-group class $c \in K_0(\mathcal{X})$. From Proposition 3.29 there is an algebraic stack

\[ (3.2.2) \quad \mathcal{M}^{ss, tw}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{X}^\mu} : \text{Sch} / \kappa \to \text{Groupoids} \]

of semistable twisted sheaves of fixed rank $\text{rk}$ and Hilbert polynomial $P$. This stack contains an open substack $\mathcal{M}^{ss, tw}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{X}^\mu}$ of geometrically stable points. Let $\text{Pic}_{\mathcal{X}/\kappa}$ be the Picard stack of $\mathcal{X}$ parametrizing invertible sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$. There is a determinant 1-morphism:

\[ \mathcal{M}^{tw}_{\mathcal{X}/\kappa}(\text{rk}) \to \text{Pic}_{\mathcal{X}/\kappa} \]

where $\mathcal{M}^{tw}_{\mathcal{X}/\kappa}(\text{rk})$ is the stack of $\mathcal{X}$-twisted coherent sheaves of rank $\text{rk}$ (locally of finite type Artin stack). The stack of semistable $\mathcal{X}$-twisted sheaves of rank $\text{rk}$, determinant $L \in \text{Pic}_{\mathcal{X}/\kappa}$ and geometric Hilbert polynomial $P$ is defined by:

\[ \mathcal{M}^{ss, tw}_{\mathcal{X}/\kappa}(\text{rk}, L, P) := \mathcal{M}^{ss, tw}_{\mathcal{X}/\kappa}(\text{rk}, P) \times_{\text{Pic}_{\mathcal{X}/\kappa}, \varphi_L} \kappa \]

where $\varphi_L : \kappa \to \text{Pic}_{\mathcal{X}/\kappa}$ is a morphism given by $L$.

For any algebraic stack $\mathcal{M}$, and suppose that $I\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ is fppf, then the big étale sheaf $\text{Sh}(\mathcal{M})$ associated to $\mathcal{M}$ is an algebraic space and $\mathcal{M} \to \text{Sh}(\mathcal{M})$ is a coarse moduli space, see [39], [54]. Therefore we have:

**Proposition 3.30.** ([42] Proposition 2.3.3.4) The stack

\[ \mathcal{M}^{ss, tw}_{\mathcal{X}/\kappa}(\text{rk}, L, P) \to \text{Sh}(\mathcal{M}^{ss, tw}_{\mathcal{X}/\kappa}(\text{rk}, L, P)) \]

is a $\mu_1$-gerbe over the algebraic space of finite type over $\kappa$.

Next in the end of this section we collect some results for the moduli stacks for essentially trivial gerbes. Recall that from Definition 2.9 the $\mu_1$-gerbe $\mathcal{X} \to X$ is essentially trivial if it is in the kernel of the morphisms

\[ H^1(X, G_m) \to H^2(X, \mu_r) \to H^2(X, G_m). \]

Such a $\mu_1$-gerbe $\mathcal{X}$ can be given by a line bundle $\mathcal{L} \to X$, i.e., $\mathcal{X} = [\text{Tot}(\mathcal{L}^\times) / G_m]$ with the action $\lambda(x, e) = (x, \lambda^r \cdot e)$. And also there exists an exact sequence:

\[ (3.2.3) \quad 0 \to \text{Pic}(X) / r \cdot \text{Pic}(X) \to H^2(X, \mu_r) \to \text{Br}(X)[r] \to 0. \]

We have the following result as in [42] Theorem 2.3.4.5:

**Proposition 3.31.** Suppose that $\mathcal{X} \to X$ is an essentially trivial $\mu_1$-gerbe, then there exists a line bundle $\gamma \in \text{Pic}(X) \otimes \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{Z}$, such that the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}^{ss, tw}_{\mathcal{X}/\kappa}(\text{rk}, L, P)$ is isomorphic to the stack $\mathcal{M}^{ss, \gamma}_{\mathcal{X}/\kappa}(\text{rk}, L, P)$ of $\gamma$-twisted semistable sheaves on $X$ of rank $\text{rk}$, determinant $L(r\gamma)$ and Hilbert polynomial $P$. 

The twisted stability on $X$ is from [46], and we recall it here. Let $L \in \text{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ be a line bundle, and $H = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$ is a polarization. A torsion-free sheaf $E$ on $X$ is said to be $L$-twisted $H$-Gieseker semistable for $L$ if and only if for $F \subseteq E$,

$$
\frac{\chi(F \otimes L \otimes H^m)}{\text{rk}(F)} \leq \frac{\chi(E \otimes L \otimes H^m)}{\text{rk}(E)}
$$

for $m >> 0$.

3.3. Moduli of $\mathcal{X}$-twisted sheaves for optimal gerbes. In this section we review the case of optimal $\mu_r$-gerbes $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$, i.e., for the class $[\mathcal{X}] \in H^2(X, \mu_r)$, its image $\sigma([\mathcal{X}])$ in $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ has order $r$. In this section we always assume that $X$ is a smooth projective surface. For a smooth surface $X$, and a $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ over $X$, fixing the geometric Hilbert polynomial $P$ of torsion free sheaves $E$ is the same as fixing the data $(\text{rk}, L, c_2) \in H^*(X)$. Our goal is to show that the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X}/k}(\text{rk}, L, c_2)$ is a $\mu_r$-gerbe over the moduli stack of twisted semistable sheaves on $X$.

For the optimal $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$, from [23] we have the Brauer-Severi variety $P \rightarrow X$, which is a projective bundle over $X$ with fiber $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. From Definition 3.6 and the paragraph following on, the index $\text{ind}(\mathcal{X})$ of a $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ is the minimal rank that there exists a locally free $\mathcal{X}$-twisted sheaf over the generic scheme $X$. For the surface $X$, $\text{ind}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{per}(\mathcal{X}) = r$. Let $\omega(P) := \delta'(P) \in H^2(X, \mu_r)$. If there is a vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ such that $P = P(E)$, then

$$\omega(P) = c_1(E) \mod r.$$

For such a projective bundle $P$, there exists a vector bundle $G$ on $P$ which is given by the Euler sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_P \rightarrow G \rightarrow T_P/X \rightarrow 0$$

and $G$ is a non-trivial extension of $T_P/X$ by $\mathcal{O}_P$.

3.3.1. Yoshioka’s moduli of semistable twisted sheaves on $X$. In [66], Yoshioka studied the moduli of twisted semistable sheaves on the Brauer-Severi variety $P \rightarrow X$, associated to the optimal $\mu_r$-gerbe $[\mathcal{X}] \in H^2(X, \mu_r)$ such that under the morphism

$$H^2(X, \mu_r) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*),$$

we have

$$[\mathcal{X}] \rightarrow [\alpha] \in H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)_{\text{tor}}[r] = H^1(X, PGL_r).$$

Yoshioka defined the subcategory $\text{Coh}(X; P) \subseteq \text{Coh}(P)$ of coherent sheaves on $P$ to be the subcategory of sheaves

$$E \in \text{Coh}(X; P)$$

if and only if

$$E|_{P_i} \cong p^*_{\alpha}(E_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P_i}(\lambda_i)$$

where $\{U_i\}$ is an open covering of $X$, $P_i = U_i \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$, $E_i \in \text{Coh}(U_i)$; and there exists an equivalence:

$$\text{Coh}(X; P) \cong \text{Coh}(X, \alpha)$$

by

$$E \mapsto p_{\alpha}(E \otimes L^\vee).$$
Here $\alpha = o([X]) \in H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)_{\text{tor}}$ is the image of the $\mu_r$-gerbe $[X]$. The line bundle $L \in \text{Pic}(P)$ is the line bundle with the property that

$$L|_{p^{-1}(x)} = \mathcal{O}_{p^{-1}(x)}(-1),$$

and $\text{Coh}(X, r)$ is the category of $r$-twisted coherent sheaves on $X$, see Example\ref{example}

We call $E \in \text{Coh}(X; P)$ a $P$-sheaf.

A $P$-sheaf $E$ is of dimension $d$ if $p_* E$ is of dimension $d$ on $X$. Yoshioka defined the Hilbert polynomial

$$P^G_E(m) = \chi(p_*(G^\vee \otimes E)(m)) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i^G(E) \cdot \binom{m+i}{i}.$$ 

**Definition 3.32.** The $P$-sheaf $E$ of dimension $d$ is $G$-twisted semistable (with respect to $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$) if $E$ is pure and for any proper subsheaf $F \subset E$

$$\frac{\chi(p_*(G^\vee \otimes F)(m))}{a_d^G(F)} \leq \frac{\chi(p_*(G^\vee \otimes E)(m))}{a_d^G(E)}$$

for $m >> 0$.

Then we have the following result for the moduli spaces:

**Theorem 3.33.** (\cite[Theorem 2.1]{64}) There exists a coarse moduli space $M^{P, G}_{X/\kappa}(\text{rk}, P)$ is $S$-equivalence classes of $G$-twisted semi-stable $P$-sheaves $E$ with $G$-twisted Hilbert polynomial $P$, and moreover $M^{P, G}_{X/\kappa}(\text{rk}, P)$ is a projective scheme.

3.3.2. **Artin-de-Jong’s smooth surface $Y$ over $X$ of degree $\text{ind}(X)$.** The Brauer-Severi variety $P \to X$ represents the class $[X] \in H^1(X, \text{PGL}_r)$. From \cite[2.2.2]{22} this projective bundle $P$ corresponds to an Azumaya algebra $A_P$ over $X$. From \cite[45]{45}, one can define the moduli stack $M^A_{X/\kappa}$ of generalized Azumaya algebras on $X \to X$, and the moduli stack of $X$-twisted sheaves $M^A_{X/\kappa}(\text{rk})$ is a cover over $M^A_{X/\kappa}$. Since we don’t need this moduli stack in this paper, we leave its construction and its generalization to Higgs version of Azumaya algebras for a future work.

Thus any $P$-sheaf $E \in \text{Coh}(X; P)$ from Yoshioka corresponds to a module $E$ over the corresponding Azumaya algebra $A_P$ and in \cite[43]{43} Simpson defined the stability for the underlying sheaf $E$ by taking the Hilbert polynomial $\chi(X, E(m))$. From \cite[2.3.4, 64]{64}, the stability defined by it is the same as the $G$-twisted stability in Definition 3.32.

In \cite[2]{2}, for the optimal $\mu_r$-gerbe $X \to X$ with $[X] \in H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)_{\text{tor}}$, Artin-de-Jong constructed a smooth surface $Y$ and a morphism

$$\varphi : Y \to X$$

of degree $r$. We only recall the idea and more details can be found in \cite[2]{2}. The surface

$$Y \hookrightarrow A_P \otimes L = M_r(\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes L$$

is cut of of the “characteristic polynomial” of a general section of $A_P \otimes L$ for $L$ a sufficiently ample invertible sheaf on $X$. In more detail, for any invertible sheaf $L$, the reduced norm yields an algebraic morphism

$$A_P \otimes L \to \text{Sym}^\bullet L$$
with image the polynomial sections of degree \( r \). The zero locus of such a polynomial function on \( L^r \) gives the finite covering \( Y \to X \) of degree \( r \), and

\[
Y \xrightarrow{\phi} X
\]

the map factors through the gerbe \( \mathcal{X} \). From \cite[Proposition 3.2.2.6]{Lieblich2010},

**Proposition 3.34.** Let \( \mathcal{X} \to X \) be an optimal gerbe over a surface \( X \) such that \( \text{ind}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{per}(\mathcal{X}) = r \). Then there exists a locally free \( \mathcal{X} \)-twisted sheaf of rank \( r \), and there exists a finite flat surjection of smooth surfaces

\[
\varphi : E \to X
\]

of degree \( r \) such that

1. there exists an invertible \( \mathcal{X} \times_X Y \)-twisted sheaf;
2. for every very ample invertible sheaf \( O_X(1) \) on \( X \), a general member has smooth preimage in \( Y \).

From this proposition, and Lemma 3.2.3.2, Lemma 3.2.2.3 in \cite{Lieblich2010}, Lieblich showed that the moduli stack \( \mathcal{M}^\text{ss}_{\mathcal{X}/k}(\text{rk}, P) \) on \( \mathcal{X} \) of \( \mathcal{X} \)-twisted semistable sheaves on \( \mathcal{X} \) is isomorphic to the moduli stack of \( \mathcal{X} \times_X Y \)-twisted sheaves on \( Y \). Such a moduli stack of \( \mathcal{X} \times_X Y \)-twisted sheaves on \( Y \) is exactly the moduli stack of twisted sheaves on the Brauer-Severi variety \( P \to X \), at least at the coarse moduli space level. Thus we conclude:

**Theorem 3.35.** The moduli stack \( \mathcal{M}^{\text{ss}_{\mathcal{X}/k}}(\text{rk}, L, P) \) of stable \( \mathcal{X} \)-twisted sheaves on \( \mathcal{X} \) is a \( \mu_r \)-gerbe over the moduli stack \( \mathcal{M}^{\text{ss}_{\mathcal{X}/k}}(\text{rk}, P, L, P) \) of the \( G \)-twisted semistable sheaves on \( X \).

**Proof.** From Proposition 3.30 the stack

\[
\mathcal{M}^{\text{ss}_{\mathcal{X}/k}}(\text{rk}, L, P) \to \text{Sh}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{ss}_{\mathcal{X}/k}}(\text{rk}, L, P))
\]

is a \( \mu_r \)-gerbe over the algebraic space of finite type over \( k \). Here \( \text{Sh}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{ss}_{\mathcal{X}/k}}(\text{rk}, L, P)) \) is the big \( \text{étale} \) sheaf associated to the algebraic stack \( \mathcal{M}^{\text{ss}_{\mathcal{X}/k}}(\text{rk}, L, P) \). We only need to show that this algebraic space is actually \( \mathcal{M}^{P,G}_{\mathcal{X}/k}(\text{rk}, L, P) \) of the \( G \)-twisted semistable sheaves on \( X \), but this is true since each point in \( \text{Sh}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{ss}_{\mathcal{X}/k}}(\text{rk}, L, P)) \) is actually a \( G \)-twisted semistable sheaf on \( X \). \( \square \)

### 3.4. Twisted Higgs sheaves and the moduli stack

From this section we work on a smooth projective surface \( S \), and let \( \mathcal{G} \to S \) be a \( \mu_r \)-gerbe on a smooth surface \( S \). In this section we define \( \mathcal{G} \)-twisted Higgs sheaves on a surface \( S \). Although all arguments in this section work for higher dimensional projective schemes, we restrict to surfaces throughout.

Let us fix a polarization \( O_S(1) \) on \( S \) for the \( \mu_r \)-gerbe \( \mathcal{G} \to S \). Let \( L \in \text{Pic}(S) \) be a line bundle, recall that a Higgs sheaf on \( S \) is a pair \( (E, \phi) \), where \( E \) is a coherent sheaf on \( S \) and \( \phi : E \to E \otimes K_S \) is \( O_X \)-linear map called the “Higgs field”, see \cite{GrossHacking2010}.

We consider the Higgs pairs on the \( \mu_r \)-gerbe \( \mathcal{G} \). Let us fix a line bundle \( L \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{G}) \). Later on we are interested in \( \mathcal{L} = K_{\mathcal{G}} \), the canonical line bundle \( K_{\mathcal{G}} \).

**Definition 3.36.** An \( L \)-Higgs sheaf on \( \mathcal{G} \) is a pair \( (E, \phi) \), where \( E \) is a coherent sheaf on \( \mathcal{G} \), and \( \phi : E \to E \otimes L \) a \( O_{\mathcal{G}} \)-linear morphism.
Since $\mathcal{S}$ is a surface DM stack, from [25, Proposition 2.18], there exists an equivalence:

$$\text{Higgs}_L(\mathcal{S}) \cong \text{Coh}_c(\text{Tot}(L)),$$

where we denote by $\text{Tot}(L)$ the total space of the line bundle $L$. We denote by $\mathcal{X} := \text{Tot}(K_\mathcal{S})$, the canonical line bundle of $K_\mathcal{S}$. This $\mathcal{X}$ is a Calabi-Yau threefold stack. Here $\text{Coh}_c(\text{Tot}(L))$ is the abelian category of compactly supported sheaves on $\text{Tot}(L)$. Let us always fix the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\
\downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\
\mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{p} & S
\end{array}$$

where $X = \text{Tot}(K_\mathcal{S})$ is the total space of $K_\mathcal{S}$, which is the coarse moduli space of $\mathcal{X}$.

**Proposition 3.37.** The DM stack $\mathcal{X} \to X$ is also a $\mu_r$-gerbe, and the class $[\mathcal{X}] \in H^2(X, \mu_r) \cong H^2(S, \mu_2)$.

**Proof.** This can be seen étale locally since $\mathcal{X}$ is an affine bundle over $\mathcal{S}$. We have

$$H^2(X, \mu_r) \cong H^2(S, \mu_r)$$

and $\mathcal{X} \to X$ is the $\mu_r$-gerbe corresponding to the same class $[\mathcal{S}] \in H^2(S, \mu_r)$. \qed

**Definition 3.38.** An $\mathcal{X}$-twisted $L$-Higgs sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$ is a pair $(E, \phi)$, where $E$ is a $\mathcal{X}$-twisted coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$ as in Definition 3.2, and $\phi : E \to E \otimes L$ a $O_\mathcal{X}$-linear morphism such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
E \times \mu_r & \xrightarrow{\phi} & E \otimes L \\
\downarrow \chi & & \downarrow \text{id} \\
E \times \mathcal{G}_m & \xrightarrow{m'} & E \otimes L
\end{array}$$

commutes.

Here is a result generalizing [25, Proposition 2.18] and [55, Proposition 2.2].

**Proposition 3.39.** ([25, Proposition 2.18]) There exists an abelian category $\text{Higgs}^\text{tw}_{K_\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{S})$ of $\mathcal{S}$-twisted Higgs pairs on $\mathcal{S}$ and an equivalence:

$$(3.4.2) \quad \text{Higgs}^\text{tw}_{K_\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{S}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Coh}^\text{tw}_c(\mathcal{X})$$

where $\text{Coh}^\text{tw}_c(\mathcal{X})$ is the category of compactly supported $\mathcal{X}$-twisted coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$.

**Proof.** First [25, Proposition 2.18] gives an equivalence:

$$\text{Higgs}^\text{tw}_{K_\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{S}) \cong \text{Coh}_c(\text{Tot}(L)),$$

where if we let $\eta$ be the tautological section of $\pi^* K_\mathcal{S}$ on $\mathcal{X}$, which is linear on the fibers and cuts out $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{X}$. Then

$$\pi_* (\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} K_{\mathcal{S}}^{-i} \cdot \eta^i,$$
and the category of coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules is equivalent to the category of coherent $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$-modules on $\mathfrak{S}$. Any $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$-coherent module $\pi_*E$ corresponds to a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}$-module $E$, together with a $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}$-linear morphism

$$E \otimes K_{\mathfrak{S}}^{-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_*\eta} E.$$  

Thus a Higgs pair $(E, \phi)$ for $\phi = \pi_*\eta : E \to E \otimes K_{\mathfrak{S}}$ and vice versa. The construction is compatible with the twisted condition by the gerbe $\mathfrak{S} \to S$ and $X \to X$, and the gerbe $X$-twisted sheaf $E$ corresponds to

$$E = \pi_*E \times \mu_r \xrightarrow{m} E$$

and the $X$-twisted sheaf actions $\chi, m, m'$ correspond to the $\mathfrak{S}$-twisting:

$$E = \pi_*E \times \mu_r \xrightarrow{m} E$$

and the $E$-twisted sheaf actions $\phi : E \to E \otimes K_{\mathfrak{S}}$.

We define twisted stability for Higgs pairs.

**Definition 3.40.** Let $(E, \phi)$ be a torsion free $\mathfrak{S}$-twisted Higgs sheaf on $\mathfrak{S}$. Then we say $(E, \phi)$ is Gieseker semi(stable) if for any subsheaf $(F, \phi') \subset (E, \phi)$ we have

$$p^S_F(m') \leq \left(<\right)p^S_E(m)$$

for $m >> 0$.

For a torsion free $\mathfrak{S}$-twisted Higgs sheaf $(E, \phi)$ on $\mathfrak{S}$, we still define

$$\deg^S(E) = r \cdot \int_{\mathfrak{S}} c_1(E) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{O}_S(1)).$$

and $\mu(E) = \frac{\deg^S(E)}{\text{rk}(E)}$. Then $(E, \phi)$ is $\mu$-semi(stable) if for any subsheaf $(F, \phi') \subset (E, \phi)$ we have

$$\mu(F) \leq \left(<\right)\mu(E).$$

Let $\mathcal{N}^{ss, tw}_{\mathfrak{S}/k}(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{L}, c_2)$ be the moduli stack of $\mathfrak{S}$-twisted Higgs sheaves with topological data $(\text{rk}, L, c_2) \in H^*(\mathfrak{S}, \mathbb{Q})$. Then $\mathcal{N}^{ss, tw}_{\mathfrak{S}/k}$ is an algebraic stack locally of finite type. The stable locus $\mathcal{N}^{ss, tw}_{\mathfrak{S}/k}(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{L}, c_2)$ is an open substack.

One can mimic the construction of [42] to show that the moduli stack $\mathcal{N}^{ss}$ exists using quot schemes and the GIT theory. We don't need to go through that construction based on the following:

**Proposition 3.41.** (generalizing [25] Proposition 2.20]) Based on the Diagram 3.4.1 and under the equivalence $\text{Higgs}^w_{\mathfrak{S}/k}(\mathfrak{S}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Coh}^w_{\mathfrak{S}}(X)$, the Gieseker (semi)stability of the $\mathfrak{S}$-twisted Higgs sheaves $(E, \phi)$ with respect to $\mathcal{O}_S(1)$ is equivalent to the Gieseker (semi)stability of the $X$-twisted torsion sheaves $E_\phi$ with respect to $\pi^*\mathcal{O}_S(1)$. 


Proof. The proof is similar to \cite[Proposition 2.20]{25}. We choose a generating sheaf \( Y \) for \( S \). From the equivalence \( \text{Higgs}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}) \cong \text{Coh}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{Y}) \), any \((E, \phi) \subset (E, \phi)\) is equivalent to the \( \mathcal{X} \)-twisted sheaves. The proof is similar to \cite[Proposition 2.20]{25}. We choose a generating sheaf \( \mathcal{F} \subset E \phi \) on \( \mathcal{X} \). We have:

\[
\chi(\mathcal{X}, E \otimes \mathcal{Y}) = \chi(\mathcal{X}, \pi_{\mathcal{E}} E \phi \otimes \mathcal{Y}) = \chi(\mathcal{X}, E \phi \otimes \pi^\mathcal{E} \mathcal{Y}).
\]

So the Gieseker stability are the same. Note that we use the modified stability on \( \mathcal{X} \) equivalent to the modified stability on \( X \).

4. Perfect obstruction theory, virtual classes and Vafa-Witten invariants

Let \( S \rightarrow S \) be a \( \mu_r \)-gerbe over a smooth projective surface \( S \). In this section we study the obstruction theory of \( \mathcal{E} \)-twisted Higgs sheaves, and construct the virtual fundamental class on the moduli stack of stable \( \mathcal{E} \)-twisted Higgs sheaves. The Vafa-Witten invariants are defined using the virtual localization method on the virtual fundamental class. Since \( \mathcal{E} \)-twisted sheaves and Higgs sheaves are subcategory of sheaves and Higgs sheaves on the DM stack \( \mathcal{E} \), the deformation and obstruction theory are mainly from \cite[Appendix]{25}, and \cite{55} and we briefly sketch the theory.

4.1. Perfect obstruction theory for moduli of twisted stable sheaves. First we review the perfect obstruction theory for the moduli stack of stable twisted sheaves, see \cite[6.5.1]{44}.

Let us fix a \( \mu_r \)-gerbe \( S \rightarrow S \) over a smooth projective surface \( S \). There is the moduli stack \( \mathcal{M}^{\text{tw}} := \mathcal{M}^{\text{tw}}_{S/k}(rk, L, c_2) \) of stable \( \mathcal{E} \)-twisted torsion free sheaves with rank \( rk \), fixed determinant \( L \in \text{Pic}(S) \) and second Chern class \( c_2 \). Let

\[
E \rightarrow S \times \mathcal{M}^{\text{tw}}_{S/k}(rk, L, c_2)
\]

be the universal twisted sheaf on \( S \times \mathcal{M}^{\text{tw}}_{S/k} \). Let

\[
p_S : S \times \mathcal{M}^{\text{tw}}_{S/k}(rk, L, c_2) \rightarrow S
\]

and

\[
p_M : S \times \mathcal{M}^{\text{tw}}_{S/k}(rk, L, c_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\text{tw}}_{S/k}(rk, L, c_2)
\]

be the projections. There is a natural isomorphism:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{S \times \mathcal{M}^{\text{tw}}_{S/k}} \cong \mathcal{L}_p^* \mathcal{L}_{S} \otimes \mathcal{L}_p^* \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{tw}}_{S/k}}.
\]

By Grothendieck duality for \( p_M \):

\[
\mathcal{L} p_M^* \cong \mathcal{L} p_M^* \mathcal{L} \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E} \omega_K^*[-2].
\]

So the Atiyah class

\[
E \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{S \times \mathcal{M}^{\text{tw}}_{S/k}} \otimes \mathcal{E}[1]
\]

yields a morphism:

\[
E \rightarrow \mathcal{L} p_M^* \mathcal{L} \mathcal{M}^{\text{tw}}_{S/k} \otimes \mathcal{E}.
\]

The universal sheaf \( E \) is perfect, (due to the deformation and obstruction of sheaves \( E \) on the gerby surface \( S \)), the above morphism is equivalent to a morphism

\[
R \mathcal{H} \text{om}(E, E) \rightarrow \mathcal{L} p_M^* \mathcal{L} \mathcal{M}^{\text{tw}}_{S/k}[1],
\]
and a map:

\[ R \mathcal{H}om(E, E) \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}p_M^1 \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}_S/k}^{tw} \otimes \mathbb{L} \mathcal{P}^E_\mathcal{G} \omega_\mathcal{E}. \]

Applying Grothendieck duality again:

\[ \Phi : R p_M^* (R \mathcal{H}om(E, \mathcal{P}^E_\mathcal{G} \omega_\mathcal{E} \otimes E)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}_S/k}^{tw} [-1] \]

and we restrict it to the traceless part and get a morphism:

\[ \Phi : R p_M^* (R \mathcal{H}om(E, \mathcal{P}^E_\mathcal{G} \omega_\mathcal{E} \otimes E))_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}_S/k}^{tw} [-1]. \]

Then the shift of \( \Phi \) by 1, \( \Phi[1] \) is a perfect obstruction theory for the moduli stack \( \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}_S/k}^{tw} \), in the sense of [6, 40].

4.2. **Deformation and obstruction theory for twisted Higgs sheaves.** We fix \( \pi : X := \text{Tot}(K_\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \) to be the projection from the total space of the line bundle \( K_\mathcal{E} \) to \( \mathcal{E} \).

From [55 §2.2], given a twisted Higgs pair \((E, \phi)\), we have the torsion sheaf \( \mathcal{E}_\phi \) of \( X \) supported on \( \mathcal{E} \). \( \mathcal{E}_\phi \) is generated by its sections down on \( \pi \) and we have a natural surjective morphism

\[ 0 \rightarrow \pi^* (E \otimes K_\mathcal{E}^{1}) \rightarrow \pi^* E = \pi^* \pi_* \mathcal{E}_\phi \rightarrow 0 \]

with kernel \( \pi^* (E \otimes K_\mathcal{E}^{1}) \) as in Proposition 2.11 of [55]. All the arguments in [55 Proposition 2.11] work for the DM stack \( \mathcal{E} \) and \( X \). The only issue is that we are working on twisted sheaves. Since \( \mathcal{E} \rightarrow S \) and \( X \rightarrow X \) represent the same gerby class in \( H^2(X, \mu_\ell) = H^2(S, \mu_\ell) \), the twisted version of [55 Proposition 2.11] works well.

The deformation of \( \mathcal{E} \) on \( X \) is governed by \( \text{Ext}^1_X(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \), while the Higgs pair \((E, \phi)\) is governed by the cohomology groups of the total complex

\[ R \mathcal{H}om_\mathcal{E}(E, E) \rightarrow R \mathcal{H}om_\mathcal{E}(E, E \otimes K_\mathcal{E}). \]

By some homological algebra proof as in [55 Proposition 2.14], we have the exact triangle:

\[ R \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}_\phi, \mathcal{E}_\phi) \rightarrow R \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{E}_S}(E, E) \rightarrow R \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{E}_S}(E \otimes K_\mathcal{E}^{-1}, E). \]

Taking cohomology of \( (4.2.2) \) we get

\[ \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E, E \otimes K_\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}_\phi, \mathcal{E}_\phi) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(E, E) \rightarrow \cdots \]

which relates the automorphisms, deformations and obstructions of \( \mathcal{E}_\phi \) to those of \((E, \phi)\).

We follow [25 §3.2], [55 §3] to work on families. Let \( \mathcal{E} \rightarrow B \) be a family of \( \mu_\ell\text{-gerby surfaces} \, \mathcal{E} \), i.e., a smooth projective morphism with the fibre a \( \mu_\ell\)-gerbe surface, and let \( X \rightarrow B \) be the total space of the a line bundle \( K_\mathcal{E}/B \). We have a diagram

\[ \begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \end{array} \]
such that $X = \text{Tot}(K_S)$. Recall that we $N^{s,\tw}_{\mathcal{S}/S} := N^{s,\tw}_{\mathcal{S}/S}(rk, P)$, the moduli stack of Gieseker stable Higgs pairs on the fibre of $G \to B$ with fixed rank $rk > 0$ and Hilbert polynomial $P$. For simplicity, we denote by $N^{\tw}_{\mathcal{S}} := N^{s,\tw}_{\mathcal{S}/S}$.

We pick a (twisted by the $G_m$-action) universal sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ over $N^{\tw}_{B \times B}$. This universal sheaf may not exist due to the $G_m$-action, but exists on a finite gerbe over $N^{\tw}_{B \times B}$. We use the same $\pi$ to represent the projection

$$\pi : X \to \mathcal{S}; \quad \pi : N^{\tw}_{B \times B} \to N^{\tw}_{B \mathcal{S}}.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}$ is flat over $N^{\tw}$ and $\pi$ is affine,

$$E := \pi_\# \mathcal{E} \text{ on } N^{\tw}_{B \mathcal{S}}$$

is flat over $N^{\tw}$. $E$ is also coherent because it can be seen locally on $N^{\tw}$, and is also a $\mathcal{S}$-twisted sheaf. Therefore it defines a classifying map:

$$\Pi : N^{\tw} \to M^{\tw}$$

by

$$E \mapsto \pi_\# E; \quad (E, \phi) \mapsto E,$$

where $M^{\tw}$ is the moduli stack of $\mathcal{S}$-twisted coherent sheaves on the fibre of $G \to B$ with Hilbert polynomial $P$. For simplicity, we use the same $E$ over $M^{\tw} \times \mathcal{S}$ and $E = \Pi^* E$ on $N^{\tw} \times \mathcal{S}$. Let

$$p_\mathcal{X} : N^{\tw}_{B \times B} \to N^{\tw}; \quad p_\mathcal{S} : N^{\tw}_{B \mathcal{S}} \to N^{\tw}$$

be the projections. Then (4.2.2) becomes:

$$R \mathcal{H} \mathcal{O} m_{p_\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\pi_\#} R \mathcal{H} \mathcal{O} m_{p_\mathcal{S}}(E, E) \xrightarrow{[\phi]} R \mathcal{H} \mathcal{O} m_{p_\mathcal{S}}(E, E \otimes K_\mathcal{S}).$$

Taking the relative Serre dual of the above exact triangle we get

$$R \mathcal{H} \mathcal{O} m_{p_\mathcal{S}}(E, E)[2] \to R \mathcal{H} \mathcal{O} m_{p_\mathcal{S}}(E, E \otimes K_{\mathcal{S}/B})[2] \to R \mathcal{H} \mathcal{O} m_{p_\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})[3].$$

**Proposition 4.1.** ([55 Proposition 2.21]) The above exact triangle is the same as (4.2.2), just shifted.

Then the exact triangle (4.2.4) fits into the following commutative diagram ([55 Corollary 2.22]):

$$R \mathcal{H} \mathcal{O} m_{p_\mathcal{S}}(E, E \otimes K_{\mathcal{S}/B})[1] \to R \mathcal{H} \mathcal{O} m_{p_\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \to R \mathcal{H} \mathcal{O} m_{p_\mathcal{S}}(E, E)$$

where $(-)_0$ denotes the trace-free Homs. The $R \mathcal{H} \mathcal{O} m_{p_\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})_\perp$ is the co-cone of the middle column and it will provide the symmetric obstruction theory of the moduli space $N^{\tw}_{\mathcal{S}/B}$ of stable trace free fixed determinant Higgs pairs.

In Appendix of [25] the authors generalized the perfect obstruction theory as in [55 §5] of the moduli space of stable Higgs sheaves on the surface $S$ to surface DM stacks. Since the $\mu_1$-gerbe $\mathcal{S} \to S$ is a surface DM stack, and the homological algebra and deformation obstruction obstruction theory in [55 §5], [25 Appendix] work for
twisted sheaves, we skip the detail. The truncation \( \tau^{[-1,0]} R^i \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{P}_X}(E, E) \) defines a symmetric perfect obstruction theory on the moduli space \( \mathcal{N}^{tw} \).

We consider the natural \( G_m \)-action on the total space \( K_0 / B \) with weight one on the fiber. The obstruction theory is naturally \( G_m \)-equivariant. From \([13]\), the \( G_m \)-fixed locus \( \mathcal{N}^{tw, G_m} \) inherits a perfect obstruction theory

\[
(4.2.5) \quad \left( \tau^{[-1,0]} (R^i \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{P}_X}(E, E) [2] t^{-1}) \right)^{\mathbb{G}_m} \to \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{N}^{tw, G_m}}
\]

by taking the fixed part. Therefore it induces a virtual fundamental cycle

\[
[(\mathcal{N}^{tw})^{\mathbb{G}_m}]_{\text{vir}} \in H_*(\mathcal{N}^{tw, G_m}).
\]

The virtual normal bundle is given

\[
N^{\text{vir}} := \left( \tau^{[-1,0]} (R^i \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{P}_X}(E, E) [2] t^{-1}) \right)_{\text{mov}} = \tau^{[0,1]} (R^i \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{P}_X}(E, E) [1])_{\text{mov}}
\]

which is the derived dual of the moving part.

The virtual localized invariant is given by the following

\[
\int_{[(\mathcal{N}^{tw})^{\mathbb{G}_m}]_{\text{vir}}} \frac{1}{e(N^{\text{vir}})}.
\]

Represent \( N^{\text{vir}} \) as a 2-term complex \([E_0 \to E_1]\) of locally free \( G_m \)-equivariant sheaves with non-zero weights and define

\[
e(N^{\text{vir}}) := \frac{c_{\text{top}}^{\mathbb{G}_m}(E_0)}{c_{\text{top}}^{\mathbb{G}_m}(E_1)} \in H^*(\mathcal{N}^{tw})^{\mathbb{G}_m}, \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}],
\]

where \( t = c_1(t) \) is the generator of \( H^*(BG_m) = \mathbb{Z}[t] \), and \( c_{\text{top}}^{\mathbb{G}_m} \) denotes the \( G_m \)-equivariant top Chern class lying in \( H^*(\mathcal{N}^{tw})^{\mathbb{G}_m}, \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}] \).

**Definition 4.2.** Let \( \mathcal{E} \to S \) be a \( \mu_r \)-gerbe over a smooth projective surface \( S \). Fixing a geometric Hilbert polynomial \( P \) associated with \( \mathcal{E} \). Let \( \mathcal{N} \) be the moduli space of \( \mathcal{E} \)-twisted stable Higgs sheaves with Hilbert polynomial \( P \). Then the primitive twisted Vafa-Witten invariants of \( \mathcal{E} \) is defined as:

\[
\widetilde{\mathcal{VW}}^{\text{tw}}(\mathcal{E}) := \int_{[(\mathcal{N}^{tw})^{\mathbb{G}_m}]_{\text{vir}}} \frac{1}{e(N^{\text{vir}})} \in \mathbb{Q}.
\]

**Remark 4.3.** For the \( \mu_r \)-gerbe \( \mathcal{E} \to S \), we have

\[
\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{X}}^*(\mathcal{E}_\phi, \mathcal{E}_\phi) = H^{*-1}(K_{\mathcal{E}}) \oplus H^*(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}) \oplus \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{X}}^*(\mathcal{E}_\phi, \mathcal{E}_\phi)_{\perp},
\]

where \( \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{X}}^*(\mathcal{E}_\phi, \mathcal{E}_\phi)_{\perp} \) is the trace zero part with determinant \( L \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{E}) \). Hence the obstruction sheaves in the obstruction theory has a trivial summand \( H^{0,2}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}) \). So \([\mathcal{N}^{tw}]_{\text{vir}} = 0 \) is \( h^{0,2}(S) > 0 \). If \( h^{0,1}(S) \neq 0 \), then tensoring with flat line bundle makes the obstruction theory invariant. Therefore the integrand is the pullback from \( \mathcal{N}^{tw} / \text{Jac}(\mathcal{E}) \), which is a lower dimensional space, hence zero.

**4.3. SU(rk)-twisted Vafa-Witten invariants.** Instead we work on the moduli stack of \( \mathcal{E} \)-twisted stable sheaves and Higgs sheaves with fixed determinant and trace zero. Let us now fix \((L, 0) \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{E}) \times \Gamma(K_{\mathcal{E}})\), and let \( \mathcal{N}^{\text{tw}}_L \) be the fibre of

\[
\mathcal{N}^{tw} / \text{Pic}(\mathcal{E}) \times \Gamma(K_{\mathcal{E}}).
\]
Then moduli space $\mathcal{N}_{L}^{\pm,\text{tw}}$ of stable Higgs sheaves $(E, \phi)$ with $\det(E) = L$ and trace-free $\phi \in \text{Hom}(E, E \otimes K_{S})_{0}$ admits a symmetric obstruction theory
\[ R \mathcal{H}om_{P_{X}}((\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}))_{\perp} [1] t^{-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{N}_{L}^{\pm,\text{tw}}} \]
from [25 Proposition A.6].

**Definition 4.4.** Let $\mathcal{S} \to S$ be a $\mu_{r}$-gerbe over a smooth projective surface $S$. Fixing a geometric Hilbert polynomial $P$ associated with $\mathcal{S}$. Let $\mathcal{N}_{L}^{\pm,\text{tw}}$ be the moduli space of $\mathcal{S}$-twisted stable Higgs sheaves with Hilbert polynomial $P$. Then define
\[ \text{VW}_{\mu_{r}}(\mathcal{S}) := \int_{[\mathcal{N}_{L}^{\pm,\text{tw}}]_{\text{vir}}} \frac{1}{e(N_{\text{vir}})} \]
We call them the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants for the gauge group $\text{SU}(\text{rk})/\mathbb{Z}_{\text{rk}}$.

**Remark 4.5.** In [53] §6, Tanaka-Thomas defined the Vafa-Witten invariants $\text{VW}(S) := \int_{[\mathcal{N}_{L}^{\pm}]_{\text{vir}}} \frac{1}{e(N_{\text{vir}})}$ for smooth projective surface $S$, here $\mathcal{N}_{L}^{\pm}$ is the moduli space of stable Higgs sheaves on $S$ with fixed data $(\text{rk}, L, c_{2})$, whose structure group is $\text{SL}_{\text{rk}}(\mathbb{R})$. This is the Vafa-Witten invariants for the gauge group $\text{SU}(\text{rk})$. From [45], for a $\mu_{r}$-gerbe $\mathcal{S}$-twisted Higgs sheaf $(E, \phi)$, the $\mathcal{S}$-twisted torsion free sheaf $E$ corresponds to an Azumaya algebra on $S$, and therefore determines a $\text{PGL}_{\text{rk}}$-bundle on $S$. The moduli stack of $\mathcal{S}$-twisted Higgs sheaves should be related to the moduli stack of $\text{PGL}_{\text{rk}}$-Higgs sheaves on $S$. We leave this as a future work. In gauge group level, this corresponds to the gauge group $\text{SU}(\text{rk})/\mathbb{Z}_{\text{rk}}$.

For the $\mu_{r}$-gerbe $\mathcal{S}$, it maybe better to fix the $K$-group class $c \in K_{0}(\mathcal{S})$ such that the Hilbert polynomial of $c$ is $P$. Then $\text{VW}_{c}^{\mu_{r}}(\mathcal{S}) := \int_{[\mathcal{N}_{L}^{\pm,\text{tw}}]_{\text{vir}}} \frac{1}{e(N_{\text{vir}})}$ is Vafa-Witten invariant corresponding to $c$. The inertia stack $I\mathcal{S}$ is $r$ copies of the gerbe $\mathcal{S}$, and the $K$-group class $c \in K_{0}(\mathcal{S})$ is determined by the orbifold Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formula (12.2.1), therefore one can fix a data $(\text{rk}, L, c_{2}) \in H^{1}(\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{Q})$. Thus we have
\[ (4.3.1) \text{VW}_{c}^{\mu_{r}}(\mathcal{S}) := \int_{[\mathcal{N}_{L}^{\pm,\text{tw}}]_{\text{vir}}} \frac{1}{e(N_{\text{vir}})} \]

**4.4. The $G_{m}$-fixed loci.** The group $G_{m}$ naturally acts on $K_{\mathcal{S}}$ by scaling the fiber, therefore it induces an action on the moduli stack $\mathcal{N}_{L}^{\pm,\text{tw}}$. We discuss the $G_{m}$-fixed loci for the moduli stack $\mathcal{N}_{L}^{\pm,\text{tw}}$.

**4.4.1. Case I-Instanton Branch:** For the Higgs pairs $(E, \phi)$ such that $\phi = 0$, and is $G_{m}$-fixed, the $\mathcal{S}$-twisted sheaf $E$ must be stable. Therefore the $G_{m}$-fixed locus is exactly the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{G_{m}}^{\mathcal{S},\text{tw}} := \mathcal{M}_{G_{m}}^{\mathcal{S},\text{tw}}(\text{rk}, L, c_{2})$ of $\mathcal{S}$-twisted Gieseker stable sheaves on $\mathcal{S}$ with rank $\text{rk}$, fixed determinant $L$ and second Chern class $c_{2}$. The exact triangle in (12.2.1) splits the obstruction theory
\[ R \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{M}}((\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}))_{\perp} [1] t^{-1} \cong R \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}}(E, E \otimes K_{\mathcal{S}})_{0} [1] \oplus R \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}}(E, E)_{0} [2] t^{-1} \]
where $t^{-1}$ represents the moving part of the $G_{m}$-action. Then the $G_{m}$-action induces a perfect obstruction theory
\[ E_{\mathcal{M}}^{*} := R \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}}(E, E \otimes K_{\mathcal{S}})_{0} [1] \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}^{\pm,\text{tw}}} \]
Proof. This is the same as Proposition 4.6.

4.4.2. Case II-Monopole Branch: The second component $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}$ corresponds to the case that in the $G_m$-fixed $\mathcal{G}$-twisted stable Higgs sheaf $(E, \phi)$, the Higgs field $\phi \neq 0$. Let $(E, \phi)$ be a $G_m$-fixed $\mathcal{G}$-twisted stable Higgs pair. Since the corresponding $G_m$-fixed $\mathcal{X}$-twisted stable sheaves $E_{\phi}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ are simple, we use [36, Proposition 4.4], [14] to make this stable sheaf $G_m$-equivariant. But we work on twisted sheaves. We have the twisted version of Martijn [36, Proposition 4.4].
Lemma 4.8. Let $E$ be a $\mathcal{X}$-twisted stable sheaf on $\mathcal{X} \to X$ which is $G_m$-invariant, then it is $G_m$-equivariant.

Proof. Since a $\mathcal{X}$-twisted stable sheaf $E$ is a coherent sheaf on the DM stack $\mathcal{X}$, Martijn’s result holds for DM stacks. □

The cocycle condition in the $G_m$-equivariant definition for the $G$-twisted Higgs sheaf $(E, \phi)$ corresponds to a $G_m$-action

$$\psi : G_m \to \text{Aut}(E)$$

such that

$$\psi_t \circ \phi \circ \psi_t^{-1} = t\phi$$

With respect to the $G_m$-action on $E$, the torsion free $G$-twisted sheaf $E$ splits into a direct sum of eigenvalue subsheaves

$$E = \bigoplus_i E_i$$

where $E_i$ is the weight space such that $t$ has by $t^i$, i.e., $\psi_1 = \text{diag}(t^i)$. Conversely, for any twisted pair $(E, \phi)$, if the $G_m$ action on $E$ induces a weight one action on the Higgs field $\phi$, then it is fixed by the original $G_m$ action.

The fact that the $G_m$-action on the canonical line bundle $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ has weight $-1$ makes the Higgs field $\phi$ decrease the weights, and it maps the lowest weight torsion subsheaf to zero, hence zero by stability. So each $E_i$ is torsion free and have rank $> 0$. Thus $\phi$ acts blockwise through morphisms

$$\phi_i : E_i \to E_{i-1}.$$ 

These are flags of torsion-free twisted sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$.

We are working on $\mu_r$-gerbes $G \to S$ over the surface $S$, the decomposition of $G$-twisted sheaves $E = \bigoplus_i E_i$ depends on the gerbe structure. If the $\mu_r$-gerbe $G \to S$ is essentially trivial, then in the decomposition $E = \bigoplus_i E_i$, we believe that the case that all $E_i$ have rank one can happen. Then $\phi_i$ define nesting of ideals and this is the nested Hilbert scheme on $\mathcal{X}$, see [55, §8].

If the $\mu_r$-gerbe $G \to S$ is not essentially trivial, for instance, it is optimal, then $\text{ind}(G) = r$, which means that the minimal rank of locally free $G$-twisted sheaf is rank $r$. Then in this case the decomposition $E = \bigoplus_i E_i$ must satisfies that each $E_i$ has rank at least $r$.

Remark 4.9. It is interesting to study the decomposition for the $\mu_r$-gerbes over quintic surfaces, and compare with the results in [55, §8].

4.5. Invariants defined by the Behrend function. In [5], over any DM stack $X$ Behrend constructed an integer value constructible function $\nu_X$, which is called the Behrend function. If a scheme or a DM stack $X$ admits a symmetric obstruction theory $E_X^\bullet$ and $X$ is proper then from [5, Theorem 4.18],

$$\int_{[X]_{\text{vir}}} 1 = \chi(X, \nu_X).$$

This shows that the Donaldson-Thomas invariants for Calabi-Yau threefolds are weighted Euler characteristics. More details of Behrend function and Behrend’s theorem can be found in [5], [23].
Let $\mathcal{G} \to S$ be a $\mu_r$-gerbe over a smooth surface $S$. On the moduli stack $\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}}$ (the moduli stack of $\mathcal{G}$-twisted stable Higgs sheaves with data $(\text{rk}, L, c_2)$), we have the Behrend function

$$v_N : \mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}} \to \mathbb{Z}.$$  

The weighted Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{N}_L^{\text{tw}}$ is defined by:

$$\chi(\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}}, v_N) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} i \cdot \chi(v_N^{-1}(i)).$$

The Behrend function is constant on the nontrivial $G_m$-orbit for the $G_m$ action on $\mathcal{N}_L^{\text{tw}}$, thus we have the localized Behrend function invariant

$$\chi(\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}}, v_N) = \chi((\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}})^{G_m}, v_N|_{(\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}})^{G_m}}).$$

**Definition 4.10.** Let $\mathcal{G} \to S$ be a $\mu_r$-gerbe over a smooth projective surface $S$. Fixing a geometric Hilbert polynomial $P$ associated with $\mathcal{G}$. Let $\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}}$ be the moduli space of $\mathcal{G}$-twisted stable Higgs sheaves with Hilbert polynomial $P$. Then define

$$\text{vw}^\text{tw}(\mathcal{G}) := \chi(\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}}, v_N).$$

We call them the small twisted Vafa-Witten invariants for the gauge group $SU(\text{rk})/\mathbb{Z}_{\text{rk}}$.

**Remark 4.11.** From calculations in [55], [56], Tanaka-Thomas have confirmed that the right Vafa-Witten invariants $\text{VW}(S)$ for a surface $S$ is $\text{VW}(S) := \int((\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}})^{G_m})\text{vir} \frac{1}{\pi(\mathcal{N}^{\text{vir}})}$. They also defined the small Vafa-Witten invariants $\text{vw}(S) := \chi(\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}}, v_N)$. The invariants $\text{vw} = \text{VW}$ for Fano and K3 surfaces. But they are not the same for general type surfaces. We also define both invariants, and later on do the calculations for the small twisted $\text{vw}$ invariants.

Finally in this section we include another localization, the Kiem-Li cosection localization [32]. The moduli stack $\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}}$ admits a symmetric obstruction theory $E_N$, therefore a virtual fundamental class $[\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}}]\text{vir} \in H_0(\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}})$. In general $\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}}$ is open and it does not make sense to have a zero cycle on $\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}}$. The $G_m$-action on $\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}}$ induces an Euler vector field $v : \mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}} \to T_{\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}}}$ by taking derivative on the $G_m$-action. Taking dual of the Euler vector field we get a cosection

$$\sigma : \Omega_{\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}}}$$

in sense of [32], where $\Omega_{\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}}}$ is the obstruction sheaf of $E_N$. The degenerate locus of $\sigma$ is $D(\sigma) = (\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}})^{G_m}$. Then Kiem-Li defined the localized virtual cycle $[(\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}})^{G_m}]\text{vir} \in H_0((\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}})^{G_m})$. The fixed locus $(\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}})^{G_m}$ is compact, and we have

$$\int_{[(\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}})^{G_m}]\text{vir}} 1 = \chi((\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}})^{G_m}, v_N|_{(\mathcal{N}_L^{\perp,\text{tw}})^{G_m}}).$$

This is the result in [23].
5. Joyce-Song twisted stable pairs

Still fix a $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{G} \to S$ over a smooth projective surface $S$. In this section we include the Joyce-Song method to count the semistable $\mathcal{G}$-twisted Higgs sheaves. We then define Vafa-Witten invariants for strictly semistable $\mathcal{G}$-twisted Higgs sheaves. We fix our

$$\mathcal{X} = \text{Tot}(K_\mathcal{G}) \to X$$

which is a $\mu_r$-gerbe over $X = \text{Tot}(K_S)$.

5.1. Background to count semistable objects. Let $(E, \phi)$ be a $\mathcal{G}$-twisted Higgs sheaf on a $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{G} \to S$. Recall that from Proposition 3.39 there is a spectral $\mathcal{X}$-twisted sheaf $\mathcal{E}_\phi$ on $\mathcal{X} = \text{Tot}(K_\mathcal{G})$ with respect to the polarization $O_X(1) = \pi^*O_S(1)$.

From Diagram 3.4.1 and Proposition 3.41 the Gieseker (semi)stability of the twisted Higgs pair $(E, \phi)$ is equivalent to the Gieseker (semi)stability of $\mathcal{E}_\phi$.

Recall if we fix on the surface $S$, the following data:

$$\text{rank}(E) = \text{rk}; \quad c_1(E) = c_1; \quad c_2(E) = c_2.$$ for $(E, \phi)$, then from [56 §2.1], let $i : S \to X$ be the inclusion, we have:

$$\begin{cases} c_1(\mathcal{E}_\phi) = \text{rk} : [S] \\ c_2(\mathcal{E}_\phi) = -i_* (c_1 + \text{rk}(\text{rk} + 1) c_1(S)) \\ c_3(\mathcal{E}_\phi) = i_*(c_1^2 - 2c_2 + (\text{rk} + 1)c_1 \cdot c_1(S) + \frac{\text{rk}(\text{rk} + 1)(\text{rk} + 2)}{6} c_1(S)^2) \end{cases}$$

in $H^*_c(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Here $[S]$ represents the Poincaré dual. Let $\mathcal{G} \to S$ be a $\mu_r$-gerbe over a smooth projective surface $S$. If the $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{G}$ is trivial, i.e., $\mathcal{G} \cong [S/\mu_r]$ with $\mu_r$ globally trivial action, then one can use the above data to count $(E, \phi)$.

In general for the $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{G} \to S$, one can use the $K$-group class $c \in K_0(\mathcal{G}) \cong K_0(\mathcal{X})$ to measure the topological data. By the orbifold Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formula for stacks in [3.2.1], $c$ is determined by the Chen-Ruan cohomology class in $H^*_c(\mathcal{G}) \cong H^*_c(\mathcal{X})$. For $\mathcal{X} = \text{Tot}(K_\mathcal{G})$, we use the modified Hilbert polynomial $P_\Xi(\mathcal{E}_\phi(m))$ and $p_\Xi(\mathcal{E}_\phi(m))$. We only care about classes (charges) $\alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{X})$. We follow from [56] to assume that

$$(5.1.1) \quad p_\Xi(\beta(m)) = \text{constant} \cdot p_\Xi(\alpha(m)) \Rightarrow \beta = \text{constant} \cdot \alpha.$$ to make the Joyce-Song wall crossing formula easy. Aso for $\alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{X})$, the Calabi-Yau condition is satisfied:

$$\chi(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_i \dim \text{Ext}^i(\alpha, \beta) = 0$$

which is skew-symmetric.

For the Calabi-Yau threefold DM stack $\mathcal{X}$, and a $K$-group class $\alpha \in K_0(\mathcal{X})$, there is a moduli stack $\mathcal{M}^{ss, \mu_r}(L, \mathcal{E}, c_2)$ of $S$-equivalence classes of $\mathcal{X}$-twisted semistable torsion sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$, which is isomorphic to the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}^{ss, \mu_r}(\mathcal{G}, L, c_2)$ of $\mathcal{G}$-twisted semistable Higgs sheaves on $\mathcal{G}$. We would use Joyce-Song techniques to count them.
5.2. Hall algebras and the integration map. In this section we review the definition and construction of the motivic Hall algebra of Joyce and Bridgeland in [31], [8]. Then we review the integration map. We briefly review the notion of motivic Hall algebra in [8], more details can be found in [8], [31].

**Definition 5.1.** The Grothendieck ring of stacks $K(\text{St} / \kappa)$ is defined to be the $\kappa$-vector space spanned by isomorphism classes of Artin stacks of finite type over $\kappa$ with affine stabilizers, modulo the relations:

1. for every pair of stacks $X_1$ and $X_2$ a relation:
   
   $\left[ X_1 \cup X_2 \right] = \left[ X_1 \right] + \left[ X_2 \right]$;

2. for any geometric bijection $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$, $\left[ X_1 \right] = \left[ X_2 \right]$;

3. for any Zariski fibrations $p_i : X_i \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with the same fibers, $\left[ X_1 \right] = \left[ X_2 \right]$.

Let $[\mathcal{A}_1] = \mathbb{I}$ be the Lefschetz motive. If $S$ is a stack of finite type over $\kappa$, we define the relative Grothendieck ring of stacks $K(\text{St} / S)$ as follows:

**Definition 5.2.** The relative Grothendieck ring of stacks $K(\text{St} / \kappa)$ is defined to be the $\kappa$-vector space spanned by isomorphism classes of morphisms

$\left[ X \stackrel{f}{\rightarrow} S \right]$,

with $X$ an Artin stack over $S$ of finite type with affine stabilizers, modulo the following relations:

1. for every pair of stacks $X_1$ and $X_2$ a relation:
   
   $\left[ X_1 \cup X_2 \stackrel{f_1 \cup f_2}{\rightarrow} S \right] = \left[ X_1 \stackrel{f_1}{\rightarrow} S \right] + \left[ X_2 \stackrel{f_2}{\rightarrow} S \right]$;

2. for any diagram:

   $\begin{array}{ccc}
   X_1 & \xrightarrow{g} & X_2 \\
   f_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_2 \\
   S & \xleftarrow{} & S
   \end{array}$

   where $g$ is a geometric bijection, then $\left[ X_1 \stackrel{f_1}{\rightarrow} S \right] = \left[ X_2 \stackrel{f_2}{\rightarrow} S \right]$;

3. for any pair of Zariski fibrations

   $\begin{array}{ccc}
   X_1 & \xrightarrow{h_1} & \mathcal{Y} \\
   f_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_2 \\
   X_2 & \xleftarrow{h_2} & \mathcal{Y}
   \end{array}$

   with the same fibers, and $g : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow S$, a relation

   $\left[ X_1 \xrightarrow{g \circ h_1} S \right] = \left[ X_2 \xrightarrow{g \circ h_2} S \right]$.

The motivic Hall algebra in [31] and [8] is defined as follows. Let $\mathcal{M}^{tw}_{X/\kappa}$ be the moduli stack of $X$-twisted coherent sheaves on $X$. It is an algebraic stack, locally of finite type over $\kappa$, see [42]. The motivic Hall algebra is the vector space

$H(\mathcal{M}^{tw}) = K(\text{St} / \mathcal{M}^{tw}_{X/\kappa})$

equipped with a non-commutative product given by the rule:

$\left[ X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathcal{M}^{tw}_{X/\kappa} \right] \ast \left[ X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \mathcal{M}^{tw}_{X/\kappa} \right] = \left[ 3 \xrightarrow{b_{0h}} \mathcal{M}^{tw}_{X/\kappa} \right]$,
where $h$ is defined by the following Cartesian square:

$$
\begin{array}{c}
3 \xrightarrow{h} M^{(2)} \xrightarrow{b} M^w_{X/K} \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow (a_1,a_2) \\
X_1 \times X_2 \xrightarrow{f_1 \times f_2} M^w_{X/K} \times M^w_{X/K'}
\end{array}
$$

with $M^{(2)}$ the stack of short exact sequences in $A^w$, and the maps $a_1, a_2, b$ send a short exact sequence

$$
0 \to A_1 \to B \to A_2 \to 0
$$
to sheaves $A_1, A_2$, and $B$ respectively. Then $H(A^w)$ is an algebra over $K(St / \kappa)$.

Then on the Hall algebra $H(A^w)$, we are interested in the elements:

$$
\mathbb{1}_{N_{\alpha}^{ss,tw}} : A^{\mathfrak{ss},tw} \to \text{Higgs}^{tw}_{\kappa} (\mathfrak{S}) \cong \text{Coh}^{tw}_{\kappa} (\mathfrak{X}),
$$

where $N_{\alpha}^{ss,tw}$ is the stack of Gieseker semistable $\mathfrak{X}$-twisted Higgs sheaves $(E, \phi)$ of class $\alpha$, and $\mathbb{1}_{N_{\alpha}^{ss,tw}}$ is the inclusion into the stack of all twisted Higgs pairs on $\mathfrak{S}$. We consider its "logarithm":

$$
(5.2.1) \quad e(\alpha) := \sum_{i \geq 1, \text{gcd}(a_i) = 1; a_i \neq 0, \forall i} (-1)^{\ell} \mathbb{1}_{A_{a_1}^{ss,tw}} \ast \cdots \ast \mathbb{1}_{A_{a_\ell}^{ss,tw}},
$$

The key point is that $e(\alpha)$ is virtually indecomposable in the Hall algebra, see [28, Theorem 8.7]. There is a proof using operators on inertia stacks, see [7]. Then the $e(\alpha)$ is a stack function with algebra stabilizers,

$$
e(\alpha) \in \text{SF}_{\text{alg}}(\text{Coh}^{tw}_{\kappa} (\mathfrak{X}), \mathbb{Q})
$$
in Joyce's notation. From [29, Proposition 3.4], $e(\alpha)$ can be written as $\sum_i a_i : Z_i \times B\mathbb{G}_m$ where $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, and $B\mathbb{G}_m$ is the classifying stack. After removing the factor $B\mathbb{G}_m$ on can pullback the Behrend function to write:

$$
(5.2.2) \quad e(\alpha) := \sum_i a_i (f_i : Z_i \times B\mathbb{G}_m \to \text{Coh}^{tw}_{\kappa} (\mathfrak{X})).
$$

Then [29, Eqn 3.22] defines generalized Donaldson-Thomas invariants

$$
(5.2.3) \quad JS^w_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{X}) := \sum_i a_i \chi(Z_i, f_i^* v) \in \mathbb{Q}
$$

where $v : M^w_{X/K} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the Behrend function. This invariants are defined by applying the integration map to the element $e(\alpha)$ in (5.2.1). More details of the integration map can be found in [31], [29] and [8]. For DM stacks, see [26].

As explained in [56, §2], the $G_m$-action on $\mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{S}$ induces an action on the moduli stack $M^w_{\mathfrak{X}/K}$ of twisted sheaves on $\mathfrak{X}$. The $G_m$-action can be extended to the Hall algebra $H(A^w)$, and hence $e(\alpha)$ carries a $G_m$-action covering the one on $\text{Coh}^{tw}_{\kappa} (\mathfrak{X})$. Also in the decomposition

$$
e(\alpha) := \sum_i a_i (f_i : Z_i \times B\mathbb{G}_m \to \text{Coh}^{tw}_{\kappa} (\mathfrak{X})),
$$
the $Z_{i}$'s admit $G_{m}$-action so that they are $G_{m}$-equivariant and the proof uses Kretch’s stratification of finite type algebraic stacks with affine geometric stabilizers. Then the Behrend function is also $G_{m}$-equivariant, and

$$\tag{5.2.4} JS_{\alpha}^{tw}(\mathcal{X}) = (JS_{\alpha}^{tw}(\mathcal{X}))^{G_{m}}(\mathcal{X}) = \sum_{i} q_{i} \chi(Z_{i}^{G_{m}}, f_{i}^{*} v_{i}|_{Z_{i}^{G_{m}}}) \in \mathbb{Q}$$

5.3. Application to semistable $\mathcal{G}$-twisted Higgs sheaves. We first define:

**Definition 5.3.** Let $\mathcal{G} \to S$ be a $\mu_{r}$-gerbe; and $\mathcal{X} = \text{Tot}(K_{\mathcal{G}})$. For $\alpha \in K_{0}(\mathcal{X})$, we define the $\mathcal{G}$-twisted $U(\text{rk})$-Vafa-Witten invariants of $\mathcal{G}$ by:

$$\nuw_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}) := (JS_{\alpha}^{tw}(\mathcal{X}))^{G_{m}}(\mathcal{X}).$$

**Remark 5.4.** If the semistability and stability coincides, then

$$\nuw_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}) = \chi(A_{\alpha}^{tw}, v_{N'}) = \chi((A_{\alpha}^{tw})^{G_{m}}, v_{N'}|(A_{\alpha}^{tw})^{G_{m}}).$$

In this case, the invariants vanish only when $h^{1}(\mathcal{O}_{S}) = 0$. We need to define the twisted $SU(\text{rk})$-Vafa-Witten invariants $vw^{tw}$.

5.3.1. Joyce-Song twisted stable pairs. Fixing a $K$-group class $\alpha \in K_{0}(\mathcal{X}) \cong K_{0}(\mathcal{G})$, for $m \gg 0$, a Joyce-Song twisted pair consists of the following data

1. a compactly supported $\mathcal{X}$-twisted coherent sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ with $K$-group class $\alpha \in K_{0}(\mathcal{X})$, and
2. a nonzero section $s \in H^{0}(\mathcal{E}(m))$.

**Definition 5.5.** For a Joyce-Song twisted pair $(\mathcal{E}, s)$ is stable if and only if

1. $\mathcal{E}$ is Gieseker semistable with respect to $\mathcal{O}_{S}(1)$ and geometric stability in Definition 3.22
2. if $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$ is a proper subsheaf which destabilizes $\mathcal{E}$, then $s$ does not factor through $\mathcal{F}(m) \subset \mathcal{E}(m)$.

For our $\mu_{r}$-gerbe $\mathcal{G} \to S$, and the Diagram 3.4.1 $\mathcal{X} \to X$ is also a $\mu_{r}$-gerbe. For any $\mathcal{X}$-twisted coherent sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathcal{X}$, we have $H^{2,1}(\mathcal{E}(m)) = 0$ for $m \gg 0$. This is because $p_{*}$ is an exact functor, and we have

$$H^{>1}(p_{*}\mathcal{E}(m)) = 0$$

for large $m \gg 0$. We then take $\mathcal{P}^{tw} := \mathcal{P}^{tw}_{(\text{rk}, L_{c2})}(\mathcal{X})$ to be the moduli stack of $\mathcal{X}$-twisted stable Joyce-Song pairs

$$I^{*} = \{ \mathcal{O}_{X}(-m) \to \mathcal{E} \}$$

on $\mathcal{X}$. The moduli stack $\mathcal{P}^{tw}$ is not compact, but admits a symmetric obstruction theory since we can take it as the moduli space of simple complexes on a Calabi-Yau threefold DM stack $\mathcal{X}$. The invariants we use is Behrend’s weighted Euler characteristic $\mathcal{P}^{tw}_{(\text{rk}, L_{c2})}(m) := \chi(\mathcal{P}^{tw}, v_{P})$. Under the $G_{m}$-action:

$$\mathcal{P}^{tw}_{(\text{rk}, L_{c2})}(m) = \mathcal{P}^{tw, G_{m}}_{(\text{rk}, L_{c2})}(m) = \chi \left( \mathcal{P}^{G_{m}}, v_{P}|_{P^{G_{m}}} \right).$$
For generic polarization, Joyce-Song invariants $JS^w_\alpha(X) \in \mathbb{Q}$ satisfy the following identities [29 Theorem 5.27]:

$$\hat{P}^w_{(rk,L,c_2)}(m) = \sum_{\ell \geq 1, (\alpha_i = \delta, \alpha \delta)_1 \geq 1: \delta_i > 0, \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \delta_i = 1} \frac{(-1)^{\ell \ell}}{\ell!} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{\chi(\alpha_i(m))} \cdot \chi(\alpha(m)) \cdot JS^w_\alpha(X).$$

Since $\hat{P}^w_{(rk,L,c_2)}(m)$ is deformation invariant, which implies that $JS^w_\alpha$ is deformation invariant. For general $O_\varepsilon(1)$, the wall crossing formula is complicated as in [29 Theorem 5.27]. If semistability coincides with stability for twisted sheaves $X$, let us define identities [29 Theorem 5.27]:

$$P^w_{(rk,L,c_2)}(m) = (-1)^{\chi(\alpha(m)) - 1} \cdot \chi(\alpha(m)) \cdot \nu \nu^w_{(rk,L,c_2)}(\Theta)$$

which is the first term $\ell = 1$ in (5.3.1). In general the formula expresses $P^w_{(rk,L,c_2)}(m)$ in terms of rational corrections from semistable $X$-twisted sheaves $E$ on $X$.

**Remark 5.6.** Before we go to generalized twisted $SU(rk)$-Vafa-Witten invariants, it is worth mentioning that the wall crossing formula (5.3.1) above for $P^w_{(rk,L,c_2)}(m)$ works for $X$-twisted sheaves in [29 Theorem 5.27]. The reason is that Joyce-Song prove it for categories $\mathcal{B}_{ps}$, whose objects are $X$-twisted semistable sheaves $E$ with reduced Hilbert polynomial $p_\alpha$, and a vector space $V$ together with a linear map

$$V \to H^0(\mathcal{E}(m))$$

with the same Euler forms $\chi$. Joyce-Song’s techniques [29 Theorem 5.27] work for abelian categories with stability conditions, thus the wall crossing techniques work for this twisted category.

5.3.2. Generalized twisted SU$SU(rk)$-Vafa-Witten $vw$-invariants. For the $\mu_r$-gerbe $\Theta \to S$, if $h^{0,1}(S) > 0$, then $\nu \nu^w$ defined before vanish because of the action of $\text{Jac}(S)$. We follow from [56] to fix the determinant $\det(E)$.

Let us fix a line bundle $L \in \text{Pic}(\Theta)$, and use the map:

$$\text{Coh}^w_c(X) \xrightarrow{\text{det} \circ \pi_*} \text{Pic}(\Theta).$$

Let us define

$$\text{Coh}^w_c(X)^L := (\text{det} \circ \pi_*)^{-1}(L).$$

We can restrict Joyce’s stack function to this restricted category. For any stack function $F := (f : U \to \text{Coh}^w_c(X))$ we define:

$$F^L = \left( f : U \times \text{Coh}^w_c(X) \xrightarrow{\text{Coh}^w_c(X)^L} \text{Coh}^w_c(X) \right)$$

which is $1_{\text{Coh}^w_c(X)}/F$, where $\cdot$ is the ordinary product [29 Definition 2.7]. Then $e(\alpha)$ in (5.2.2) becomes:

$$e(\alpha)^L := \sum_i a_i \left( f_i : Z_i \times \text{Coh}^w_c(X) \xrightarrow{\text{Coh}^w_c(X)^L/G_m} \text{Coh}^w_c(X) \right).$$
Then applying the integration map again and we get the fixed determinant generalized Donaldson-Thomas invariants:

\[ JS^{L_{tw}}_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{X}) := \sum_i a_i \chi \left( Z_i \times_{\text{Coh}^{tw}_{Gm}(\mathfrak{X})} \text{Coh}^{tw}_{Gm}(\mathfrak{X})^L, f_i^*v \right). \]

We also compute it using localization:

\[ JS^{L_{tw}}_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{X}) = (JS^{L_{tw}}_{\alpha})^{Gm}(\mathfrak{X}) = \sum_i a_i \chi \left( Z_i^{Gm} \times_{\text{Coh}^{tw}_{Gm}(\mathfrak{X})} \text{Coh}^{tw}_{Gm}(\mathfrak{X})^L, f_i^*v \right). \]

**Definition 5.7.** We define the twisted $SU(n)$ generalized Vafa-Witten invariants as:

\[ vw^{tw}_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{X}) := (-1)^{h^0(K_{\alpha})} JS^{L_{tw}}_{(\alpha_{r_\mathfrak{X},L_\mathfrak{X}})}(\mathfrak{X}) \in \mathbb{Q}. \]

As explained in [56 §4], we did not restrict to the trace zero $\text{tr} \phi = 0$, but in the $SU(n)$-moduli stack case we did. The difference is only a Behrend function sign $(-1)^{\dim h^0(K_{\alpha})}$. If $h^{0,1}(\mathfrak{X}) = 0$ and $O_S(1)$ is generic, then the wall crossing formula (5.3.1) becomes:

\[ (-1)^{\ell} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{\chi(a_i(m))} + h^0(K_{\alpha}) \cdot \chi(a_i(m)) \cdot vw^{tw}_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{X}). \]

If $h^{0,1} > 0$, and $O_S(1)$ generic, we should use Joyce-Song pairs $(\mathfrak{X}, s)$ with fixed determinant

\[ \det(\pi_*\mathcal{E}) \cong L \in \text{Pic}(\mathfrak{X}). \]

Joyce-Song use the category $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,1}$ before, and we apply the integration map $\hat{\Psi}^{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,1}}_{\alpha}$ to:

\[ e_{\alpha,1}^L := \mathfrak{X} \times_{\text{Coh}^{tw}_{Gm}(\mathfrak{X})} \text{Coh}^{tw}_{Gm}(\mathfrak{X})^L \]

where $\mathfrak{X}_{\alpha,1}$ is the stack function (13.25), (13.26) in [29 Chapter 13]. Since $\mathfrak{X}_{\alpha,1}$ is virtually indecomposable, we get

\[ P^{tw}_{\alpha} := P_{\alpha}^{tw} \text{ is the moduli stack of stable Joyce-Song twisted pairs } (\mathfrak{X}, s) \text{ with } \det(\pi_*\mathcal{E}) = L. \]

Hence

\[ P^{tw}_{\alpha}(m) = P^{Gm}_{\alpha}(m) = \chi(\mathfrak{X}^{P^{tw}_{\alpha}}_{\alpha}, \nu_{\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}^{Gm}}). \]

For generic $O_S(1)$, we get ([56 Proposition 4.4]) if $h^{0,1}(\mathfrak{X}) > 0$, the invariants $(P^{tw}_{\alpha}G^m_{\alpha}(m))$ determines the twisted $SU(n)$-Vafa-Witten invariants $vw^{tw}_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{X})$ of Definition 5.7 by:

\[ P^{tw}_{\alpha}(m) = (-1)^{h^0(K_{\alpha})} (-1)^{\chi(a(m)) - 1} \chi(a(m)) \cdot vw^{tw}_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{X}). \]

**Remark 5.8.** The proof of (5.3.7) is the same as [56 Proposition 4.4], and the basic reason is that if $h^{0,1}(\mathfrak{X}) > 0$, then the Jacobian $\text{Jac}(s)$ is an abelian variety which acts on the moduli stacks to force the Euler characteristic to be zero.
5.4. Generalized twisted $SU(\text{rk})$-Vafa-Witten invariants $VW^{\text{tw}}$. Fix a $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{S} \to S$, and the $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{X} \to X$ as in the diagram (3.4.1). In this section we generalize the arguments in [56, §6] to twisted generalized $SU(\text{rk})$-Vafa-Witten invariants $VW^{\text{tw}}$.

For $m \gg 0$, fixing $\alpha = (\text{rk}, L, c_2)$, $L \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{S})$, the Joyce-Song twisted pair $(\mathcal{E}, s)$ is defined in [53, §3.1] where $\mathcal{E}$ is a $\mathcal{X}$-twisted sheaf on $X$ corresponding to a $\mathcal{S}$-twisted Higgs sheaf $(E, \phi)$ on $\mathcal{S}$. Let

$$P_{\alpha}^{\perp, \text{tw}} \subset P_{\alpha}^{\text{tw}}$$

be the moduli stack of twisted stable pairs with $\det(E) \det(\pi_\alpha \mathcal{E}) = L$, $\text{tr} \phi = 0$. On $P_{\alpha}^{\text{tw}}$, there is a symmetric obstruction theory, see [29, Chapter 12]. The tangent-obstruction complex is given by: $R\mathcal{H}om_X(I^*, I^*) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_X) \oplus H^{1,1}(\mathcal{O}_S) \oplus H^{1,0}(K_X)[-1]$, where we actually removed the deformation-obstruction theory of $H^0(\bullet)$ at the point $I^* = (\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{E})$. By [55, §5], or [25, Appendix] we can modify it to a symmetric obstruction theory on $P_{\alpha}^{\perp, \text{tw}}$. Actually we have:

$$R\mathcal{H}om_X(I^*, I^*) = R\mathcal{H}om_X(I^*, I^*) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_X) \oplus H^{1,1}(\mathcal{O}_S) \oplus H^{1,0}(K_X)[-1],$$

where we actually removed the deformation-obstruction theory of $H^0(\bullet)$, of $\det(\pi_\alpha \mathcal{E}) \cong \mathcal{O}_S$ and of $\text{tr} \phi \in \Gamma(K_X)$. Since R.Thomas mentioned that this can be done in families, we will write it down in the Appendix about the symmetric obstruction theory.

We apply the $G_m$-virtual localization [13] to define:

**Definition 5.9.**

$$P_{\alpha}^{\perp, \text{tw}}(m) := \int_{[(P_{\alpha}^{\perp, \text{tw}})_{G_m}]^{\text{vir}}} \frac{1}{e(N^{\text{vir}})}. $$

We mimic [56] to conjecture:

**Conjecture 5.10.** If $H^{0,1}(S) = H^{0,2}(S) = 0$, there exist rational numbers $VW_{\alpha}^{\text{tw}}(\mathcal{S})$ such that

$$P_{\alpha}^{\perp, \text{tw}}(m) = \sum_{\ell \geq 1, \{\alpha_i\}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{\ell} \chi(\alpha_i(m)) \cdot \chi(\alpha_i(m)) \cdot VW_{\alpha}^{\text{tw}}(\mathcal{S}).

$$

for $m \gg 0$. When either of $H^{0,1}(S)$ or $H^{0,2}(S)$ is nonzero, we only take the first term in the sum:

$$P_{\alpha}^{\perp, \text{tw}}(m) = (-1)^{\chi(\alpha(m)) - 1} \cdot \chi(\alpha(m)) \cdot VW_{\alpha}^{\text{tw}}(\mathcal{S}).$$

This conjecture is similar to Conjecture 6.5 in [56]. We hope that Laarakker’s method [38] can prove this conjecture for gerbes. The reason to make this conjecture can be found in [56, §6]. We expect that the conjecture makes sense for $\mu_r$-gerbes $\mathcal{S} \to S$, since the deformation and obstruction theory for sheaves on gerbes behave like sheaves on surfaces although the summand in the conjecture should depend on the $\mu_r$-gerbe structure on $S$.

Finally in this section we provide two results for the $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{S} \to S$ generalizing [56, Proposition 6.8, 6.17], which will be useful later for the calculations.

**Proposition 5.11.** If the semistable $\mathcal{X}$-twisted sheaves in $N_{\alpha}^{\perp, \text{tw}}$ coincide with stable $\mathcal{X}$-twisted sheaves, then Conjecture 5.10 is true and $VW_{\alpha}^{\text{tw}} \in \mathbb{Q}$ defined by $\int_{(N_{\alpha}^{\perp, \text{tw}})^{\text{vir}}} \frac{1}{e(N^{\text{vir}})}.$
Proof. The proof is similar to [56 Proposition 6.8], since the deformation of Joyce-Song twisted pairs \( I^* \) and \( E \) are similar to the general pairs and sheaves on the DM stack \( \mathcal{X} \).

First it is not hard to show that only one term contributes in the conjecture \ref{5.10}. Thus if we let \( E \) be the twisted universal sheaf, and let \( \pi : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{N}_{1, \text{tw}} \to \mathcal{N}_{1, \text{tw}} \) the projection, then

\[
\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{P}(\pi_* \mathcal{E}_m) \to \mathcal{N}_{1, \text{tw}}
\]

is a \( \mathbb{P}^{(a(m))-1} \)-bundle over \( \mathcal{N}_{1, \text{tw}} \). Note here we use \( p \) for the projective bundle morphism to its base. We study the obstruction theory for \( \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}} \). We change notation to use \( E_m \) to represent \( E(m) \), since bracket is used for the twist for tautological bundle. There is a tautological bundle

\[
\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}(\pi_* \mathcal{E}_m)} \hookrightarrow p_* \pi_* \mathcal{E}_m.
\]

By adjunction we have a universal section:

\[
\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{P}(\pi_* \mathcal{E}_m)} \to p_* \mathcal{E}_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}(\pi_* \mathcal{E}_m)}(1)
\]

which is taken as \( I^* \) as a 2-term complex with \( \mathcal{O} \) in degree 0. It is \( \mathbb{G}_m \)-equivariant. There is a commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{O}, I^*) & \to & R\mathcal{H}om(I^*, I^*) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \text{tr} \\
R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}) & \to & R\mathcal{H}om(p^* \mathcal{E}_m(1), I^*)[1]
\end{array}
\]

where the horizontal arrow is an exact triangle. Taking cones of the downward arrows we get:

\[
(5.4.1) \quad R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{O}, p^* \mathcal{E}_m(1))[-1] \to R\mathcal{H}om(I^*, I^*)_0 \to R\mathcal{H}om(p^* \mathcal{E}_m, I^*)[1].
\]

Let \( \pi : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}} \) be the projection. Then applying \( R\pi_* \) to the above exact triangle, since \( m \gg 0 \) and \( H^{>1}(\mathcal{E}_m) = 0 \). Also from the exact triangle:

\[
p^* R\mathcal{H}om_{\pi}(\mathcal{E}_m, \mathcal{E}_m) \to R\mathcal{H}om_{\pi}(p^* \mathcal{E}_m, I^*)[1] \to (\pi_* p^* \mathcal{E}_m(1))^\vee \otimes t[-2].
\]

Thus we have the following diagram from \ref{5.4.1}:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_* p^* \mathcal{E}_m(1)[-1] & \to & R\mathcal{H}om(I^*, I^*)_0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(\pi_* p^* \mathcal{E}_m(1))^\vee \otimes t[-2]
\end{array}
\]
By the proof of chasing diagrams in [56] Proposition 6.8 and the Euler sequence of the projective bundle \( p \), we get a diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
T_{\mathcal{P}^\perp_{\alpha} / \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^\perp} \to R\mathcal{H}om(p^* \mathcal{E}_m, p^* \mathcal{E}_m)_{\perp} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
R\mathcal{H}om(I^*, I^*)_{\perp} & \to & Q_2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
T_{\mathcal{P}^\perp_{\alpha} / \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^\perp} \otimes \mathbb{C}[-2]
\end{array}
\]

as in [56] Proposition 6.8. From the above diagram we write down the virtual tangent bundle \( R\mathcal{H}om_{\pi}(I^*, I^*)_{\perp} \) for \( (\mathcal{P}^\perp_{\alpha})_{\mathbb{G}_m} \):

\[
R\mathcal{H}om_{\pi}(I^*, I^*)_{\perp} \left[ T_{\mathcal{P}^\perp_{\alpha} / \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^\perp} \mathbb{C} / \mathcal{N}^\perp_{\alpha} \right] = (T_{\mathcal{P}^\perp_{\alpha} / \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^\perp} \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{G}_m}.
\]

and the virtual normal bundle is:

\[
\mathcal{N}^\mathit{vir}_{(\mathcal{P}^\perp_{\alpha})_{\mathbb{G}_m}} = p^* \mathcal{N}^\mathit{vir}_{(\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^\perp)_{\mathbb{G}_m}} + \mathcal{N}_{(\mathcal{P}^\perp_{\alpha})_{\mathbb{G}_m} / (\mathcal{P}^\perp_{\alpha})_{\mathbb{G}_m}} \mathcal{N}^\perp_{(\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^\perp)_{\mathbb{G}_m}} - (T_{\mathcal{P}^\perp_{\alpha} / \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^\perp} \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{G}_m}.
\]

So from (5.4.4),

\[
[(\mathcal{P}^\perp_{\alpha})_{\mathbb{G}_m}]_{\mathit{vir}} = p^* [(\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^\perp)_{\mathbb{G}_m}]_{\mathit{vir}} \cap e \left\{ T_{\mathcal{P}^\perp_{\alpha} / \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^\perp} \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C} \right\}_{\mathbb{G}_m}
\]

since the first two term in (5.4.4) is the perfect obstruction theory of \( (\mathcal{P}^\perp_{\alpha})_{\mathbb{G}_m} \) pullback from \( \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^\perp_{\mathbb{G}_m} \) by the projective morphism \( p : \mathcal{P}^\perp_{\alpha} \to \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^\perp_{\mathbb{G}_m} \).

Therefore

\[
\mathcal{P}^\perp_{\alpha}(m) = \left\{ \int_{p^* [(\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^\perp)_{\mathbb{G}_m}]_{\mathit{vir}}} e \left\{ T_{\mathcal{P}^\perp_{\alpha} / \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^\perp} \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C} \right\}_{\mathbb{G}_m} \right\} \cdot p^* \left[ \left\{ (\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^\perp)_{\mathbb{G}_m} \right\}_{\mathit{vir}} \right] \cdot \frac{1}{e \left\{ (\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^\perp)_{\mathbb{G}_m} \right\}_{\mathit{vir}}}
\]

and from (5.4.5), this integral is:

\[
(5.4.6) \quad \int_{p^* [(\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^\perp)_{\mathbb{G}_m}]_{\mathit{vir}}} e \left\{ T_{\mathcal{P}^\perp_{\alpha} / \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^\perp} \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C} \right\}_{\mathbb{G}_m} \frac{1}{e \left\{ (\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^\perp)_{\mathbb{G}_m} \right\}_{\mathit{vir}}}.
\]

Then integrating (5.4.6) by pushforward down from \( p \), and on the fibers, we get

\[
\mathcal{P}^\perp_{\alpha}(m) = (\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^\perp)_{\mathbb{G}_m} \cdot \int_{(\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^\perp)_{\mathbb{G}_m}} e \left\{ (\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^\perp)_{\mathbb{G}_m} \right\}_{\mathit{vir}} \frac{1}{e \left\{ (\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^\perp)_{\mathbb{G}_m} \right\}_{\mathit{vir}}}.
\]

Let \( \mathbb{P} := \mathbb{P}^\mathit{vir}_\mathit{vir}(m) \). Then integrating (5.4.6) by pushforward down from \( p \), and on the fibers, we get

\[
\mathcal{P}^\perp_{\alpha}(m) = (-1)^{\mathit{vir}(m)-1} \mathcal{P}^\perp_{\alpha}(m) \cdot \mathbb{P}^\mathit{vir}_\mathit{vir}(m) \cdot \mathcal{V}^\mathit{vir}_\mathit{perp}(\mathcal{E}).
\]

\( \square \)

**Proposition 5.12.** Suppose that \( K_\mathbb{S} < 0 \), then Conjecture 5.10 is true and \( \mathcal{V}^\mathit{vir}_\mathit{perp}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{V}^\mathit{vir}_\mathit{perp}(\mathcal{E}) \).
Proof. The proof is also similar to [56, Proposition 6.17]. If $K_{S} < 0$, then for any $\mu_{r}$-gerbe $\mathcal{G} \to S$, $K_{\mathcal{G}} < 0$. By Proposition 5.4.7, the semistable twisted Higgs pairs are of the form $(E, 0)$ for $E$ semistable $\mathcal{G}$-twisted sheaf on $\mathcal{G}$, and we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(E, E \otimes K_{\mathcal{G}}) = \text{Ext}^{2}_{\mathcal{G}}(E, E)_{0} = 0.$$  

Let $\iota : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{X}$ be the inclusion. Then $\iota_{*}E = E$. For the universal sheaf $E$ on $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{N}_{a}^{\perp, \text{tw}}$, the twisted universal sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{N}_{a}^{\perp, \text{tw}}$,

$$\mathcal{E} = \iota_{*}E \left( G_{m} \text{ fixed} \right),$$

we have $Lt^{*}\iota_{*}E \cong \mathcal{E} \otimes E \otimes K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}[-1]$, then

$$\text{RHom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \cong \iota_{*} \text{RHom}(E, E) \otimes \iota_{*} \text{RHom}(E, E \otimes K_{\mathcal{G}})_{0}[-1].$$

The weight zero part of (5.4.2) gives the exact triangle:

$$\pi_{*}p^{*}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{m}}(1)[-1] \to \text{RHom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \to \mathcal{E} \text{xt}^{1}_{\pi}(\mathcal{I}^{*}, \mathcal{I}^{*}) \to p^{*} \text{RHom}(E, E)_{0} \to 0.$$  

Removing $H^{\geq 1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathcal{O})$ we get (by taking long cohomology)

$$0 \to \pi_{*}p^{*}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{m}}(1) \to \mathcal{E} \text{xt}^{1}_{\pi}(\mathcal{I}^{*}, \mathcal{I}^{*}) \to p^{*}\mathcal{E} \text{xt}^{1}_{\pi}(E, E)_{0} \to 0.$$  

Since $\mathcal{E} \text{xt}^{2}_{\pi}(\mathcal{I}^{*}, \mathcal{I}^{*}) \cong \mathcal{E} \text{xt}_{\pi}(E, E)_{0}$ is compact from above, the moduli stack $\mathcal{P}_{a}^{\perp, \text{tw}}$ is smooth and the virtual cycle is just $[\mathcal{P}_{a}^{\perp, \text{tw}}]$. Now taking a look at (5.4.2) again we get $N_{vir} = T^{s}_{\mathcal{P}_{a}^{\perp, \text{tw}}} \otimes t[-1]$. So

$$\mathcal{P}_{a}^{\perp, \text{tw}}(m) = \int_{[\mathcal{P}_{a}^{\perp, \text{tw}}]} e(T^{s}_{\mathcal{P}_{a}^{\perp, \text{tw}}} \otimes t) = (-1)^{\dim \mathcal{P}_{a}^{\perp, \text{tw}}} \chi(\mathcal{P}_{a}^{\perp, \text{tw}}).$$

Comparing with the definition $\text{vw}^{\text{tw}}$ before, this is exactly the weighted Euler characteristic of Behrend since $\nu_{p} = (-1)^{\dim \mathcal{P}_{a}^{\perp, \text{tw}}}$. Thus $\nu_{\text{vw}}^{\text{tw}} = \nu_{\text{vw}}$. \hfill \Box

Remark 5.13. As remarked in [56], $\nu_{\text{vw}}^{\text{tw}} = \nu_{\text{vw}}^{\text{tw}}$ also comes from Behrend's theorem [5, Theorem 4.18], since $\mathcal{P}_{a}^{\perp, \text{tw}}$ is compact with counting semistable twisted sheaves supported on $\mathcal{G}$ and it also admits a symmetric obstruction theory.

5.5. The SU(r)/Z_r-Vafa-Witten invariants and proof in rank two for $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. In this section we define the SU(r)/Z_r-Vafa-Witten invariants using the twisted SU(rk)-Vafa-Witten invariants $\text{vw}^{\text{tw}}$.

We fix a smooth projective surface $S$. Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be an integer. The $\mu_{r}$-gerbes $\mathcal{G} \to S$ are classified by $H^{2}(S, \mu_{r})$. For any $g \in H^{2}(S, \mu_{r})$, let $\mathcal{G}_{g} \to S$ be the $\mu_{r}$-gerbe corresponding to this $g$. Since the étale cohomology group $H^{2}(S, \mu_{r})$ is finite, we have finite number of equivalent $\mu_{r}$-gerbes over $S$.

Now fixing a $K$-group data $K_{0}(\mathcal{G}_{g})$ given by $\alpha = (r, L, c_{2}) \in H^{*}(\mathcal{G}_{g}, \mathbb{Q})$, we have the moduli stack $N_{a}^{\perp, \text{tw}}(\mathcal{G}_{g})$ of $\mathcal{G}_{g}$-twisted semistable Higgs sheaves with data $\alpha$; and we have the generalized twisted Vafa-Witten invariants $\text{vw}^{\text{tw}}_{a}$ defined in Definition 5.13 in the stable case, and Conjecture 5.10 in the semistable case. We use all the semistable invariants $\text{vw}^{\text{tw}}_{a}$.

Definition 5.14. Fix an $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, for any $\mu_{r}$-gerbe $\mathcal{G} \to S$ corresponding to $g \in H^{2}(S, \mu_{r})$, let $L \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{G}_{g})$ let

$$Z_{r, L}(\mathcal{G}_{g}, q) := \sum_{c_{2}} \nu_{l}^{\text{tw}}_{(r, L, c_{2})}(\mathcal{G}_{g}) q^{c_{2}}.$$
be the generating function of the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants.

Let us fix a line bundle $L \in \text{Pic}(S)$, and define for any essentially trivial $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{G}_S \to S$ corresponding to the line bundle $L_S \in \text{Pic}(S)$, $L_S := p^* L \otimes L_S$; for all the other $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{G}_S \to S$, keep the same $L_S = p^* L$.

We define

$$Z_{r,L}(S, SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r; q) := \sum_{g \in H^2(S, \mu_r)} Z_{r,L_S} (\mathcal{G}_S, q).$$

We call it the partition function of $SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r$-Vafa-Witten invariants.

**Conjecture 5.15.** For a smooth projective surface $S$, the partition function of $SU(r)$-Vafa-Witten invariants

$$Z_{r,L}(S, SU(r); q) = \sum_{c_2} VW_{r,L,c_2}(S) q^{c_2}$$

and the partition function of $SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r$-Vafa-Witten invariants $Z_{r,L}(S, SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r; q)$ satisfy the $S$-duality conjecture in Conjecture (1.1.2).

We include a proof of this conjecture for $\mathbb{P}^2$ in rank two.

**5.5.1. The partition function for $\mathbb{P}^2$.** We consider the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$. Let $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2, c_1, c_2)$ be the moduli space of stable torsion free sheaves of rank 2, first Chern class $c_1$ and second Chern class $c_2$. Since $K_{\mathbb{P}^2} < 0$, any semistable Higgs sheaf $(E, \phi)$ will have $\phi = 0$. Therefore the moduli space of stable Higgs sheaves $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2, c_1, c_2)$ is isomorphic to $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2, c_1, c_2)$. Also the space $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2, c_1, c_2)$ is smooth and the Vafa-Witten invariants defined in (1.2.1) is just (up to a sign) the Euler characteristic of the moduli space. Then we introduce

$$Z_{c_1,\mathbb{P}^2}(q) := \sum_{c_2} \chi(M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2, c_1, c_2)) q^{c_2}$$

Let $N_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2, c_1, c_2)$ be the moduli space of stable vector bundles of rank 2, first Chern class $c_1$ and second Chern class $\chi$. Let

$$Z_{c_1,\mathbb{P}^2}(q) = \sum_{c_2} c(N_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2, c_1, c_2)) q^{c_2}$$

be the partition function. Then from [33], [36],

$$Z_{c_1,\mathbb{P}^2}(q) = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\eta(q)^{\chi(\mathbb{P}^2)}} \cdot Z_{c_1,\mathbb{P}^2}(q)$$

where $\eta(q)$ is the Dedekind eta function.

To state the result we introduce some notations. First let $H(\Delta)$ be the Hurwitz class numbers, i.e., $H(\Delta)$ is the number of positive definite integer binary quadratic forms $AX^2 + BXY + CY^2$ such that $B^2 - 4AC = -\Delta$ and weighted by the size of its automorphisms group. Let $\varphi_0(n)$ be the divisor function.

**Theorem 5.16.** ([33], [34], [35]) We have:

$$Z_{c_1,\mathbb{P}^2}(q) = \begin{cases} q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 3H(4n - 1) q^{4n-1}; & (c_1 \text{ odd}); \\ q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 3 \left( H(4n) - \frac{3}{8} \varphi_0(n) \right) q^{4n}; & (c_1 \text{ even}). \end{cases}$$
Theorem 5.17. The partition function will depend on the choice of the component of the inertia stack $\mathcal{I}$.

Remark 5.18. Since $c_1$ is even, there are two cases $c_1 \equiv 0 (\text{mod } 4)$ or $c_1 \equiv 2 (\text{mod } 4)$. We have

\begin{equation}
Z_{c_1,0}^{vb,\mathbb{P}^2}(q) = \begin{cases} 
Z_{c_1,0}^{vb,\mathbb{P}^2}(q) = q^{\frac{1}{2}(c_1+2)^2} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 3(H(4n) - \frac{1}{2}q_0(n))q^{-n}; & (c_1 \equiv 0 \text{ mod } 4); \\
Z_{c_1,0}^{vb,\mathbb{P}^2}(q) = q^{\frac{1}{2}(c_1+2)^2} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 3(H(4n) - \frac{1}{2}q_0(n))q^{-n}; & (c_1 \equiv 2 \text{ mod } 4) 
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
Z_{c_1,1}^{vb,\mathbb{P}^2}(q) = \begin{cases} 
Z_{c_1,1}^{vb,\mathbb{P}^2}(q) = q^{\frac{1}{2}(c_1+1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(c_1+1)} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 3(H(4n) - \frac{1}{2}q_0(n))q^{-n}; & (c_1 \equiv 0 \text{ mod } 4); \\
Z_{c_1,1}^{vb,\mathbb{P}^2}(q) = q^{\frac{1}{2}(c_1+1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(c_1+1)} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 3(H(4n) - \frac{1}{2}q_0(n))q^{-n}; & (c_1 \equiv 2 \text{ mod } 4).
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

Remark 5.18. In the case $c_1$ is even, from [14] one only obtains modularity after correctly adding strictly semistable sheaves to the moduli space. Their contribution turns out to be...
cancel the sum of divisors term. Thus in the following when checking the S-duality, we can ignore the divisor functions.

5.5.3. **S-duality.** From (4.30) of [62], by a result of Zagier [67], let

$$f_0 = \sum_{n \geq 0} 3H(4n)q^n + 6\tau_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta(4\pi n^2 \tau_2)q^{-n^2}$$

and

$$f_1 = \sum_{n > 0} 3H(4n-1)q^n - 6\tau_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta(4\pi (n + \frac{1}{2})^2 \tau_2)q^{-(n + \frac{1}{2})^2}$$

where $q^{2\pi i \tau}$ and $\tau_2 = \Im(\tau)$, and

$$\beta(t) = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{1}^{\infty} u^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-ut} du.$$

From [67], these functions are modular, but not holomorphic. Hence $Z_{c_1}^{\text{vb},P^2}$ (even or odd) is the homomorphic part of the non-holomorphic modular functions above. Also by Zagier, see [62] Formula (4.31)], under $\tau \rightarrow -\frac{1}{\tau}$, we have:

$$(5.5.4) \quad \left( f_0\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right) \right) = \left( \frac{\tau}{1} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \left( -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \left( 1 \right) \left( f_1(\tau) \right).$$

This is the transformation conjecture (1.11). We know that $f_0$ is invariant under $T$ and $f_1$ is invariant under $T^4$. Therefore $f_0$ is invariant under $ST^4S$.

To check the S-duality, we choose the case $c_1 = 0$ or 2, from Theorem 5.17 and Theorem 5.16 we calculate:

$$Z_{0,0}^{\text{vb},P^2}(q) = Z_{0,0}^{\text{vb},P^2}(q) = q^{2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 3(H(4n) - \frac{1}{2}c_0(n))q^{-n}};$$

$$Z_{2,0}^{\text{vb},P^2}(q) = Z_{1}^{\text{vb},P^2}(q) = q^{\frac{15}{8}} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 3(H(4n-1)q^{\frac{1}{2}} - n);$$

$$Z_{0,1}^{\text{vb},P^2}(q) = Z_{1}^{\text{vb},P^2}(q) = q^{\frac{15}{8}} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 3(H(4n-1)q^{\frac{1}{2}} - n);$$

$$Z_{2,1}^{\text{vb},P^2}(q) = Z_{2}^{\text{vb},P^2}(q) = q^{8} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 3(H(4n) - \frac{1}{2}c_0(n))q^{-n}.$$

Then we check that under transformation $\tau \rightarrow -\frac{1}{\tau}$, we have

$$(5.5.5) \quad q^{-\frac{15}{8}} \cdot Z_{0,0}^{\text{vb},P^2}(q) \rightarrow q^{-\frac{15}{8}} \cdot Z_{0,0}^{\text{vb},P^2}(q),$$

and

$$(5.5.6) \quad q^{-\frac{15}{8}} \cdot Z_{1}^{\text{vb},P^2}(q) \rightarrow q^{-6} Z_{2,0}^{\text{vb},P^2}(q) - q^{-\frac{15}{8}} \cdot Z_{2,0}^{\text{vb},P^2}(q).$$

We define

**Definition 5.19.** We define

$$Z_{0}^{\text{vb},P^2}(SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2;\tau) := \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left( q^{-2} \cdot Z_{0,0}^{\text{vb},P^2}(q) + q^{-\frac{15}{8}} \cdot Z_{0,1}^{\text{vb},P^2}(q) \right).$$

Then from the above calculations in (5.5.5), we have

**Theorem 5.20.** We define

$$Z_{0}^{\text{vb},P^2}(SU(2);\tau) = q^{-2} \cdot Z_{0}^{\text{vb},P^2}(q).$$

Under the S-transformation $\tau \rightarrow -\frac{1}{\tau}$, we have:

$$Z_{0}^{\text{vb},P^2}(SU(2); -\frac{1}{\tau}) = \pm 2^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left( \frac{\tau}{1} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} Z_{0}^{\text{vb},P^2}(SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2;\tau).$$
And the S-duality conjecture (5.14) holds.

Proof. The group is $H^2(P^2, \mu_2) \cong \mu_2$, where $0 \in \mu_2$ corresponds to the trivial $\mu_2$-gerbe $P^2 / \mu_2 = P^2 \times B\mu_2$, and $1 \in \mu_2$ corresponds to the nontrivial $\mu_2$-gerbe $P(2,2,2)$ over $P^2$ given by the line bundle $O_{P^2}(-1)$.

The inertia stack $\mu$ moduli space of twisted sheaves actually counts sheaves on the first component. The theorem follows.

The inertia stack $\mu$ is isomorphic to the moduli space of twisted sheaves with data $(2, p^\ast O(-1), c_2)$ is isomorphic to the moduli space of twisted sheaves with data $(2, 0, c_2)$ on the second component. Thus from the above S-transformation in (5.5.4) and (5.5.5), the theorem follows.

6. S-Duality Conjecture for K3 Surfaces

In this section we study Conjecture 5.13 for smooth K3 surfaces. We prove the S-duality conjecture for prime ranks $r$. For general ranks we need a multiple cover formula of Toda [60] for $\mu_r$-gerbes, which we leave as a future work.

6.1. K3 surfaces- Picard numbers, Mukai Lattice and Brauer group. This section serves some basic knowledges on smooth K3 surfaces. Our reference is [19]. Let $S$ be a smooth projective K3 surface. The cohomology $H^*(S, \mathbb{Z})$ is zero on odd degrees, and $H^4(S, \mathbb{Z}) = H^4(S, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}, H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^{22}$. The Hodge diamond for the Hodge numbers $h^{p,q} = \dim H^q(S, \Omega^p_S)$ is given by:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
 & & & 1 & & \\
 & & h^{0,0} & & & \\
 & h^{1,0} & h^{0,1} & 0 & 0 & \\
h^{2,0} & h^{1,1} & h^{0,2} & 1 & 20 & 1 \\
h^{2,1} & h^{1,2} & 0 & 0 & & \\
h^{2,2} & & & 1 & & \\
\end{array}
\]

Let Pic($S$) be the Picard group of $S$. The Néron-Severi group of $S$ is the quotient $\text{NS}(S) = \text{Pic}(S) / \text{Pic}^0(S)$, where $\text{Pic}^0(S)$ is the subgroup of all line bundles that are algebraically equivalent to zero. The group $\text{Num}(S)$ is defined as the quotient of the Picard group Pic($S$) by the kernel of the intersection form on $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$. We say that line bundles from the kernel are numerically trivial. The rank of $\text{NS}(S)$ is called the Picard number and is denoted by $\rho(S)$. For algebraic K3 surfaces $S$.

$$\text{Pic}(S) \cong \text{NS}(S) \cong \text{Num}(S).$$

The Picard number $\rho(S)$ satisfies

$$1 \leq \rho(S) \leq 20.$$
Over character zero field \( \kappa \), a smooth K3 surface \( S \) with Picard number \( \rho = 20 \) is called a singular K3. Over the field \( \kappa \) with positive characteristic \( p > 0 \), a K3 surface with Picard number \( \rho(S) = 22 = h^{1,1} \) is called a supersingular K3 surface, see [47].

On the cohomology \( H^*(S, \mathbb{Z}) \), we review the Mukai lattice as in [65].

**Definition 6.1.** Define a symmetric bilinear form on \( H^*(S, \mathbb{Z}) \):
\[
\langle x, y \rangle = -\int_S x^\vee y
\]
where \( x^\vee \) is the dual of the class \( x \). We call this lattice the Mukai lattice.

**Remark 6.2.** If \( x = x_0 + x_1 + x_2 \), for \( x_i \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \), \( y = y_0 + y_1 + y_2 \), for \( y_i \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \), then \( \vee : H^*(S, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(S, \mathbb{Z}) \) is the homomorphism sending \( x \) to \( x_0 - x_1 + x_2 \). Then
\[
\langle x, y \rangle = x_1 y_1 - x_0 y_2 - x_2 y_0.
\]

Let \( E \) be a coherent sheaf on \( S \), we define
\[
v(E) := \text{Ch}(E) \sqrt{\text{td}_S} = \text{Ch}(E)(1 + \omega)
\]
where \( \omega \) is the fundamental class of \( S \) and \( \text{Ch}(E) \) is the Chern character of \( E \). Then Riemann-Roch theorem says that
\[
\chi(E, F) = -\langle v(E), v(F) \rangle,
\]
where \( E \) and \( F \) are coherent sheaves on \( S \). This Mukai lattice has a decomposition:
\[
H^*(S, \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^0(S, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^4(S, \mathbb{Z}) = (-E_8)^{\otimes 2} \oplus H^4,
\]
where \( H \) is the hyperbolic lattice. Some properties of the Mukai lattices like isometries can be found in [65].

We talk about the Brauer group of \( S \). According to [18], also from de Jong,
\[
\text{Br}(S) = \text{Br}^r(S) = H^2(S, O_S^\times)_{\text{tor}}.
\]
Consider the exact sequence
\[
1 \rightarrow \mu_r \rightarrow O_S^\times \xrightarrow{i/y} O_S^\times \rightarrow 1
\]
and taking long cohomology we get an exact sequence:
\[
1 \rightarrow H^1(S, O_S^\times) / r \cdot H^1(S, O_S^\times) \rightarrow H^2(S, \mu_r) \rightarrow H^2(S, O_S^\times)^{\times - \text{tor}} \rightarrow 1.
\]
This is true for any \( r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \). Therefore \( \text{Br}(S) = (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^{22 - \rho(S)} \), where \( \rho(S) \) is the Picard number. Finally we have
\[
H^2(S, \mu_r) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/r)^{22}.
\]
Let us pay attention to the case \( r = p \) for a prime number \( p \). Then in this case,
\[
|H^2(S, \mu_p)| = |(\mathbb{Z}/p)^{22}| = p^{22}.
\]

**Proposition 6.3.** The number of equivalent classes of non-essentially trivial \( \mu_p \)-gerbes on \( S \) is \( p^{22} - p^{\rho(S)} \).

**Proof.** The essentially trivial \( \mu_p \)-gerbes on \( S \) is given by the Picard number \( \rho(S) \), which is \( p^r \). Thus the result just follows. \( \square \)

**Remark 6.4.** In the case \( p = 2 \), the number of equivalent optimal \( \mu_2 \)-gerbes on \( S \) is exactly \( 2^{22} - 2^{\rho(S)} \).
6.2. **Tanaka-Thomas’s Vafa-Witten invariants for K3 surfaces.** Let $S$ be a smooth K3 surface. Then fixing a class $\alpha = (r, L, c_2) \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$, the Vafa-Witten invariants (stable ones or the generalized ones) $VW_\alpha(S)$, $vw_\alpha(S)$ are defined in [55], [56]. They have the same definitions as in Definition 4.4 Definition 4.10 Definition 5.7 and Conjecture 5.10 when taking no gerbe structures on $S$ and omit the twist. Also there is a similar conjecture for $VW_\alpha(S)$ as in Conjecture 1.2 in [56]. This conjecture is true for K3 surfaces [48].

Thus

$$VW_\alpha(S) = vw_\alpha(S).$$

We recall some results of [56, 55], which we use later.

**Proposition 6.5.** ([56 Proposition 5.1], generalizing the stable Higgs pair case) If $(E, \phi)$ is a $G_m$-fixed Higgs pair by the action of scaling the Higgs field $\phi$, then $E$ admits an algebraic $G_m$-action

$$\Psi : G_m \to \text{Aut}(E)$$

such that $\Psi_t \circ \phi \circ \Psi^{-1} = t\phi$ for all $t \in G_m$.

**Proposition 6.6.** ([56 Lemma 5.6]) Let $(E, \phi)$ be a $G_m$-fixed Gieseker semistable Higgs pair, then $E$ is Gieseker semistable. Moreover, either $\phi = 0$ or $c_2(E) = 2k$ is even and, up to twist by some power of $t$, we have

$$E = I_2 \oplus I_2 \cdot t^{-1}, \phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some length $k$ subscheme $Z \subset S$.

**Proposition 6.7.** ([56 Proposition 5.9], [48]) Let $(E, \phi)$ be a $G_m$-fixed Gieseker semistable Higgs pair on a smooth K3 surface $S$ with fixed determinant $L$ and $\text{tr} \phi = 0$, then the Behrend function at this point

$$v_{\mathcal{A}'} = -1.$$

6.3. **Twisted Vafa-Witten invariants-essentially trivial gerbes.** In this section we study the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants for essentially trivial $\mu_r$-gerbes $\mathcal{G} \to S$ over the K3 surface $S$.

6.3.1. **Trivial $\mu_r$-gerbes on $S$.** Recall that a $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{G} \to S$ is called essentially trivial if the class $[\mathcal{G}]$ is in the image of the map: $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S^*) \to H^2(S, \mu_r).$ Thus from 2.2, the gerbe $\mathcal{G}$ is given by a line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(S)$ on $S$. If $\mathcal{L}$ is non-trivial, we call $\mathcal{G}$ a nontrivial essentially trivial $\mu_r$-gerbe, otherwise, if $\mathcal{L}$ is a trivial line bundle on $S$, the gerbe $\mathcal{G} = [S/\mu_r]$ is trivial, where $\mu_r$ acts globally trivial on $S$. For such a trivial $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{G} \to S$, any $\mathcal{G}$-twisted sheaf $E$ on $\mathcal{G}$ is actually a sheaf and is pullback from $S$. We have:

**Proposition 6.8.** Let $\mathcal{G} = [S/\mu_r] \to S$ is a trivial $\mu_r$-gerbe over a K3 surface $S$. Then the moduli stack $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{G}/X}^{\text{ss}, \text{tw}}(r, \mathcal{O}, c_2)$ of $\mathcal{G}$-twisted semistable Higgs sheaves is isomorphic to the moduli stack $\mathcal{N}_{S/X}^{\text{ss}}(r, \mathcal{O}, c_2)$ of Gieseker semistable Higgs sheaves on $S$.

**Proof.** This is from the fact that any $\mathcal{G}$-twisted semistable sheaf or Higgs sheaf on $\mathcal{G}$ is a sheaf on $\mathcal{G}$, which is a pullback from a semistable sheaf on $S$. So the moduli spaces are isomorphic. \qed

Then the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants $VW^{\text{tw}}(\mathcal{G}) = vw^{\text{tw}}(\mathcal{G})$ for trivial $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{G}$ are the same as the Vafa-Witten invariants $VW(S)$ and $vw(S)$ as in [56].
6.3.2. Non-trivial essentially trivial $\mu_r$-gerbes. Let $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow S$ be a non-trivial essentially trivial $\mu_r$-gerbe over a K3 surface $S$.

First we make Proposition 3.32 rigorous in the K3 surface case.

Proposition 6.9. Suppose that $p : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow S$ is a non-trivial essentially trivial $\mu_r$-gerbe corresponding to the line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(S)$, then the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{r\mathcal{G}/S}(\mathcal{L}, c_2)$ of $\mathcal{G}$-twisted Higgs sheaves on $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{S/S}(\mathcal{L}, 0, c_2)$ of $\mathcal{G}$-twisted Higgs sheaves on $S$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G} = [\mathcal{L}] = [\text{Tot}(\mathcal{L}^\infty) / \mathbb{G}_m]$, be the $r$-th root stack for the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$, which is the gerbe $\mathcal{G}$ we want. Let $L$ be the universal $r$-th root of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$. Then the functor

$$E \mapsto E \otimes L^\vee$$

yields an equivalence of categories from $\mathcal{G}$-twisted sheaves on $\mathcal{G}$ to sheaves on $S$. Hence also yields an equivalence of categories from $\mathcal{G}$-twisted Higgs sheaves on $\mathcal{G}$ to Higgs sheaves on $S$. The semistability of a $\mathcal{G}$-twisted Higgs sheaf $(E, \phi)$ translates into $\mathcal{L}(r \cdot \gamma)$-twisted semistability of $E \otimes L^\vee$. Here the line bundle $\gamma \in \text{Pic}(S) \otimes \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{Z}$ is the line bundle $L^\vee$ on $\mathcal{G}$.

Thus the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{r\mathcal{G}/S}(\mathcal{L}, c_2)$ is isomorphic to the stack $\mathcal{M}_{S/S}(\mathcal{L}(r\gamma), c_2)$ of $\gamma$-twisted semistable sheaves on $S$ of rank $r$, determinant $\mathcal{L}(r\gamma)$ and second Chern class $c_2$; and also true for moduli stack of Higgs sheaves. \hfill \Box

Now we restrict ourself to the rank 2 case. Let $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow S$ be a non-trivial essentially trivial $\mu_2$-gerbe.

Proposition 6.10. Let $(E, \phi)$ be a $\mathcal{G}$-twisted semistable rank 2 Higgs sheaf with class $(2, \mathcal{L}, c_2)$. Then the torsion free sheaf $E$ can not split into sum of line bundles.

Proof. First since our torsion free sheaf $E$ has determinant $\det(E) = \mathcal{L}$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is the defining line bundle for the $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{G}$. Our gerbe $[\mathcal{G}] \in H^2(S, \mu_2)$ is a nontrivial element. Thus

$$c_1(E) = c_1(\det(E)) \equiv |\mathcal{G}| \mod 2.$$

One can think of this as the second Stiefel-Whitney class of $E$ on $S$.

Suppose that $E$ can be split into $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$ such that each $E_i$ is of rank one. Also $\det(E) = \mathcal{L}$ implies that $E = E_1 \oplus E_2 = \mathcal{L}^{2r} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\frac{2}{r}}$, in which $\mathcal{L}^{\frac{2}{r}}$ is also non-trivial. Then $c_1(E) = c_1(E_1) + c_1(E_2)$ and after modulo $\mod 2$ we get that $c_1(E) \equiv 0 \mod 2$, which is a contradiction. \hfill \Box

Remark 6.11. Another way to see this is that $E$, as a $\mathcal{G}$-twisted torsion-free sheaf or vector bundle, can be taken as a $SO(3) = SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle. Then a $SO(3)$-bundle with non-trivial Stiefel-Whitney class $w_2(E) = c_1(E) \mod 2$ can not split. Thanks to Yang Li for talking about this point.

Now we are ready to calculate the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants.

Proposition 6.12. Let $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow S$ be a non-trivial essentially trivial $\mu_2$-gerbe over the K3 surface $S$ corresponding to the line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(S)$. Then we have

$$\text{vw}_{2, \mathcal{L}, 0}(\mathcal{G}) = 0; \quad \text{vw}_{2, \mathcal{L}, 1}(\mathcal{G}) = 0.$$
For $k \geq 1$ we have
\[
vw^{tw}_{2,\mathcal{L},2k}(\mathcal{S}) = \chi(\text{Hilb}^{4k-3}(S));
\]
\[
vw^{tw}_{2,\mathcal{L},2k+1}(\mathcal{S}) = \chi(\text{Hilb}^{4k-1}(S)),
\]
where $\chi(\text{Hilb}^n(S))$ is the topological Euler number of the Hilbert scheme of $n$ points on $S$.

**Proof.** From Definition 5.7
\[
vw_{(2,\mathcal{L},c_2)}^{tw}(\mathcal{S}) := (-1)^{[\mathcal{L}]}(k_\mathcal{E}) JS_{\mathcal{L},tw}^{ss}(\mathcal{S},c_2) (\mathcal{X}).
\]
Thus we need to study the Joyce-Song invariants $JS_{\mathcal{L},tw}^{ss}(\mathcal{X})$ of the local K3 gerbe for $\mathcal{S} \to S$. The Joyce-Song twisted invariants count Gieseker semistable $\mathcal{X}$-twisted sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$. And also from Proposition 6.7, the Behrend function is always $-1$. Note that the proof of Proposition 6.7 in \[56\] only used the local d-critical scheme structure of the moduli space, does not depend on the gerbe structure $\mathcal{S} \to S$. The result is true for the moduli space of $\mathcal{S}$-twisted sheaves on $\mathcal{S}$. So since $(-1)^{[\mathcal{L}]}(k_\mathcal{E}) = -1$, the sign cancels and we need to count the Joyce-Song twisted invariants $JS_{\mathcal{L},tw}^{ss}(\mathcal{X})$ in the Euler characteristic level.

Next we use a gerby version of Toda's result in \[60\] to express $JS_{\mathcal{L},tw}^{ss}(\mathcal{X})$ as the Euler characteristic of the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{S,k}^{ss,tw}(2,\mathcal{L},c_2)$ of $\mathcal{S}$-twisted semistable sheaves on $\mathcal{S}$. Toda's method in \[60\] works for category with stability conditions. We will write down more details of Toda’s method for the category of $\mathcal{S}$-twisted sheaves in future work. Since our $\alpha = (2,\mathcal{L},c_2)$ can not split, it is always true, see \[65\] Formula (7). And then from Proposition 6.3 this moduli stack is isomorphic to the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{S/\mathcal{S}}^{ss,tw}(2,\mathcal{O},c'_2)$ of $\gamma$-twisted semistable sheaves on $S$ of rank 2, determinant $\mathcal{O}$ and second Chern class $c'_2$, where $\gamma = \mathcal{L}$. From \[65\], it is deformation equivalent to the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^{2c'_2-3}(S)$ of points on $S$.

The first vanishing is trivial since if $c'_2 = 0$, then $E = L \mathcal{S}$ a contradiction with the non-splitting condition in Proposition 6.10. The second vanishing is from the negative number $2 - 3 = -1$ and $\text{Hilb}^{-1}(S) = \emptyset$. All other results with the Euler characteristic of $\text{Hilb}^{2c'_2-3}(S)$.

### 6.4. Twisted Vafa-Witten invariants-optimal gerbes

In this section we study the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants for optimal $\mu_2$-gerbes $\mathcal{S} \to S$ over a K3 surface $S$. From \[2.3\] these $\mu_2$-gerbes correspond to nontrivial order 2 Brauer classes in $H^2(S,\mathcal{O}^*_S)_{2-\text{tor}}$, and from Proposition 6.3 and Remark 6.4 there are totally
\[
2^{22} - 2^\beta(S)
\]
number of nontrivial order 2 Brauer classes.

Let $\mathcal{S} \to S$ be a $\mu_2$-optimal gerbe over the K3 surface $S$. Let $[\mathcal{S}] \in H^2(S,\mathcal{O}^*_S)_{\text{tor}}$ be its class, then $[\mathcal{S}]$ represents a class in $H^1(S,\text{PGL}_2)$ since $\text{Br}(S) = \text{Br}(S)$ and $H^2(S,\mathcal{O}^*_S)_{2-\text{tor}} \cong H^1(S,\text{PGL}_2)$. We denote by
\[
p : P \to S
\]
the corresponding projective $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over $S$, which is the Brauer-Severi variety. Then from Theorem 3.35...
Proposition 6.13. The moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{S/K}^{p_G}((2, \mathcal{O}, c_2)$ of stable $\mathcal{G}$-twisted Higgs sheaves on $\mathcal{G}$ is a $\mu_2$-gerbe over the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{S/K}^{P_G}((2, \mathcal{O}, c_2)$ of the $G$-twisted semistable Higgs sheaves on $S$.

We explain the vector bundle $G$ on $S$ and its twisted moduli of Yoshioka. From [3.3] the vector bundle $G$ over $S$ is defined by the Euler sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_S \to G \to T_{P/S} \to 0$$

of the projective bundle $P \to S$.

6.4.1. Integral structure on $H^*(S, \mathbb{Q})$. The order $|\mathcal{G}| = 2$ in $H^2(S, O_S^{\times})_{tor}$ is the index $\text{ind}(\mathcal{G})$ of the $\mu_2$-gerbe $\mathcal{G}$. Therefore 2 is the minimal rank on $\mathcal{G}$ such that there exists a rank 2 $\mathcal{G}$-twisted locally free sheaf $E$ on the generic scheme $S$. Recall that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the Mukai pairing on $H^*(S, \mathbb{Z})$ as in Definition 6.1. Recall that in [3.3] we defined $P$-sheaves following Yoshioka.

**Definition 6.14.** For a $P$-sheaf $E$, define a Mukai vector of $E$ as:

$$v_G(E) := \frac{\text{Ch}(Rp_*(E \otimes G^\vee))}{\sqrt{\text{Ch}(Rp_*(G \otimes G^\vee))}} \cdot \text{td}_S = (\text{rk}, \zeta, b) \in H^*(S, \mathbb{Q}),$$

where $p_*(\zeta) = c_1(E) - \text{rk}(E) \frac{c_1(G)}{\text{rk}(G)}, b \in \mathbb{Q}$.

One can check that

$$\langle v_G(E_1), v_G(E_2) \rangle = -\chi(E_1, E_2).$$

We define for $\xi \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$, an injective homomorphism:

$$T_{-\frac{\xi}{2}} : H^*(S, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^*(S, \mathbb{Q})$$

by

$$x \mapsto e^{-\frac{i}{2} \cdot x}$$

and $T_{-\frac{\xi}{2}}$ preserves the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The following result is from [66] Lemma 3.3.

**Proposition 6.15.** ([66] Lemma 3.3) Let $\xi \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ be a representative of $\omega(G) \in H^2(S, \mu_2)$, where $\text{rk}(G) = 2$. Set

$$(\text{rk}(E), D, a) := e^\xi \cdot v_G(E).$$

Then $(\text{rk}(E), D, a) \in H^*(S, \mathbb{Z})$ and $D \mod 2 = \omega(E)$.

In [17], Huybrechts and Stellari defined a weight 2 Hodge structure on the lattice $(H^*(S, \mathbb{Z}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ as:

$$\begin{align*}
H^{2,0}(H^*(S, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \kappa) &:= T_{-\frac{i}{2}}(H^{2,0}(S)); \\
H^{1,1}(H^*(S, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \kappa) &:= T_{-\frac{i}{2}}(\oplus_{p=0}^{1} H^{p,p}(S)); \\
H^{0,2}(H^*(S, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \kappa) &:= T_{-\frac{i}{2}}(H^{0,2}(S)).
\end{align*}$$

and this polarized Hodge structure is denoted by

$$\left( H^*(S, \mathbb{Z}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, -\frac{\xi}{2} \right).$$
Hence in this case, the moduli stack $N$ stack $S$ sheaf over generic scheme $P$ where is the Higgs field. This makes sense since $p$ $N$ its coarse moduli space. The moduli stack $S$

**Proof.** Since $\mathcal{M}^{P,G}_{H,ss}(v)$ of $G$-twisted semistable (or stable) $P$-sheaves $E$ with $v_G(E) = v$ is defined. Let $\mathcal{M}^{P,G}_{H,ss}(v)$ (or $\mathcal{M}^{P,G}_{H,ss}(v)$) be its coarse moduli space. The moduli stack $\mathcal{N}^{P,G}_{H,ss}(v)$ (or $\mathcal{N}^{P,G}_{H,ss}(v)$) of $G$-twisted semistable (or stable) Higgs $P$-sheaves $(E, \phi)$ with $v_G(E) = v$ is similarly defined, where $E$ is a $G$-twisted $P$-sheaf and

$$\phi : p_*E \to p_*(E) \otimes K_{\mathcal{S}}$$

is the Higgs field. This makes sense since $p_*(E \otimes L^v)$ is a $G$-twisted sheaf on $S$. Let $N_{H,ss}^{P,G}(v)$ (or $N_{H,ss}^{P,G}(v)$) be its coarse moduli space. From [65, Theorem 2.1],

**Theorem 6.17.** The coarse moduli space $N_{H,ss}^{P,G}(v)$ exists, and it is a quasi-projective scheme. Moreover, the natural map $\mathcal{N}^{P,G}_{H,ss}(v) \to N_{H,ss}^{P,G}(v)$ is a $\mu_2$-gerbe.

**Proof.** The moduli space $\mathcal{N}^{P,G}_{H,ss}(v)$ is actually isomorphic to the coarse moduli space of the moduli stack of $\mu_2$-gerbe $\mathcal{S}$-twisted Higgs sheaves on $S$, since the $\mu_2$-gerbe $\mathcal{S}$ has class $[\mathcal{S}] \in H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S^*)$ is exactly the twisting gerbe in [65]. Therefore the coarse moduli space exists and it is the underlying space of the $\mu_2$-gerbe, which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}^{P,G}_{H,ss}(v)$, the moduli stack of $\mathcal{S}$-twisted Higgs sheaves $(E, \phi)$ with $v_G(E) = v$.

Now we can calculate the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants:

**Proposition 6.18.** Let $\mathcal{S} \to S$ be an optimal $\mu_2$-gerbe over a K3 surface $S$. Fixing a Mukai vector $v = (2, \xi, b) \in H^*(S, \mathbb{Q})$ of rank two. Then the twisted Vafa-Witten invariant

$$\text{VW}^{P,G}_v(\mathcal{S}) = \text{VW}^{P,G}_v(\mathcal{S}) = \frac{1}{2} \chi(M_{H,ss}^{P,G}(v)).$$

**Proof.** Since $\mathcal{S} \to S$ is an optimal gerbe, the minimal rank of $\mathcal{S}$-twisted locally free sheaf over generic scheme $S$ is 2. Thus any rank 2 $\mathcal{S}$-twisted torsion free sheaf is stable. Therefore for any $\mathcal{S}$-twisted semistable Higgs sheaf $(E, \phi)$, $E$ is stable. Hence in this case, the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}^{P,G}_{\mathcal{S},ss}(v)$ is an affine cone over the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}^{P,G}_{\mathcal{S},ss}(v)$ of $\mathcal{S}$-twisted stable sheaves. Since from standard obstruction theory

$$\text{Ext}^2(E, E) = 0,$$
this moduli stack \( M^{\text{tw}}_{S/k}(v) \) is smooth and \( N^{\text{tw}}_{S/k}(v) \) is an affine bundle. Thus the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants
\[
vw^\text{tw}_v(\mathcal{E}) = \chi(M^{\text{tw}}_{S/k}(v))
\]
due to the Behrend function value \(-1\), and there is a \(-1\) sign for \( h^0(K_S) \). From Theorem 6.17 \( M^{\text{tw}}_{S/k}(v) \to M^{P,G}_{H,ss}(v) \) is a \( \mu_2 \)-gerbe, we get
\[
vw^\text{tw}_v(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{1}{2} \chi(M^{P,G}_{H,ss}(v)).
\]

\( \square \)

**Corollary 6.19.** Let \( S \to S \) be an optimal \( \mu_2 \)-gerbe over \( S \). Let \( v = (2,0,-\frac{b}{2}) \) be a Mukai vector for \( H^*(S,Q) \). Then
\[
vw^\text{tw}_v(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{1}{2} \chi(\text{Hilb}^k(S)).
\]

**Proof.** From [66, Theorem 3.16], the moduli space \( M^{P,G}_{H,ss}(v) \) of \( G \)-twisted stable sheaves on \( S \) with Mukai vector \( v = (2,0,-\frac{b}{2}) \) is deformation equivalent to the moduli space \( M^{\text{tw}}_{S/k}(v') \) of \( G \)-twisted semistable sheaves on \( S \) with Mukai vector \( v' = (2,D,c) \) such that
\[
2 \cdot 2b = D^2 - 2 \cdot 2c.
\]

And the moduli space \( M^{\text{tw}}_{S/k}(v') \) is isomorphic to the Hilbert scheme \( \text{Hilb}^k(S') \), where \( D^2 - (v')^2 = 2b + 1 \).

In order to get all the positive integers \( 2b + 1 \), we have to choose \( D \)'s such that \( D^2 = -2 \) or \( D^2 = 0 \). When \( D^2 = -2 \), we get \( 2b = -1, 1, 3, \ldots \), all the odd integers; and when \( D^2 = 0 \), we get \( 2b = 0, 2, 4, \ldots \), all the even integers. From Proposition 6.18
\[
vw^\text{tw}_v = VW^\text{tw}_v = \frac{1}{2} \chi(M^{P,G}_{H,ss}(v)).
\]

Thus the result follows. \( \square \)

6.5. **Proof of S-duality in rank two.** In this section we prove the S-duality conjecture Conjecture (1.1.2) for K3 surfaces first in rank 2, and then talk about the higher ranks.

Let \( S \) be a smooth projective K3 surface. Following Conjecture 5.15 and fixing the data \((2,\mathcal{O},c_2)\), we consider the partition function
\[
Z(S, SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2; q) = \sum_{g \in H^2(S,\mu_2)} Z_{2,L_g}(g, q),
\]
where
\[
Z_{2,L_g}(g, q) = \sum_{c_2} vw^\text{tw}_{2,L_g,c_2}(g) q^{c_2}
\]
is the partition function of the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants for the \( \mu_2 \)-gerbe \( g \) corresponding to \( g \). We let
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_g & \text{ is the corresponding defining line bundle for } g & \text{if } g \text{ essentially trivial;} \\
\mathcal{L}_g & = \mathcal{O} & \text{if } g \text{ is an optimal gerbe.}
\end{align*}
\]
Corollary 6.19, and note that in the Mukai vector, the fundamental class 2

\[ Z(S, SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2; q) = \frac{1}{4} q^2 G(q^2) + q^2 \left( 2^{21} \cdot G(q^{\frac{1}{2}}) + 2^{\rho(S)-1} \cdot G(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right). \]

Proof. Since the Picard number of \( S \) is \( \rho(S) \), there are \( 2^{\rho(S)} \) number of essentially trivial \( \mu_2 \)-gerbes \( \mathcal{E} \to S \) over \( S \). Let \( \mathcal{E}_0 \to S \) be the trivial \( \mu_2 \)-gerbe. Also number of nontrivial order 2 Brauer classes, i.e., these number of optimal \( \mu_2 \)-gerbes.

First for the trivial \( \mu_2 \)-gerbe \( \mathcal{E}_0 \to S \), from Proposition 6.6 and calculations in [5.1], we have:

\[
Z_{2,\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{E}_0, q) = \sum_k \nu_{2,0,k}(\mathcal{E}_0) q^k
\]

\[
= \sum_k \chi(\text{Hilb}^{4k-3}(S)) q^{2k} + \sum_k \chi(\text{Hilb}^{4k-1}(S)) q^{2k+1} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_k \chi(\text{Hilb}^k(S)) q^{2k}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4} q^2 G(q^2) + \frac{1}{2} q^2 \left( G(q^{\frac{1}{2}}) + G(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right).
\]

Let \( \mathcal{E}_g \to S \) be a non-trivial essentially trivial \( \mu_2 \)-gerbe over \( S \), which is given by the line bundle \( \mathcal{L}_g \in \text{Pic}(S) \). Then from Proposition 6.12 we have:

\[
Z_{2,\mathcal{L}_g}(\mathcal{E}_g, q) = \sum_k \nu_{2,\mathcal{L}_g,k}(\mathcal{E}_g) q^k
\]

\[
= \sum_k \chi(\text{Hilb}^{4k-3}(S)) q^{2k} + \sum_k \chi(\text{Hilb}^{4k-1}(S)) q^{2k+1}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} q^2 \left( G(q^{\frac{1}{2}}) + G(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right).
\]

Let \( \mathcal{E}_g \to S \) be an optimal \( \mu_2 \)-gerbe over \( S \). Then from Proposition 6.18 and Corollary 6.19 and note that in the Mukai vector, \( v = (2, 0, -b = -\frac{1}{2}) \), we have \( -b = -c_2 + 2 \) and here we understand that \( c_2 \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z} \), here the extra 2 comes form the fundamental class \( 2 \cdot \omega \), we have

\[
c_2 = b + 2
\]

for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \). Thus we calculate

\[
Z_{2,\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{E}_g, q) = \sum_{c_2} \nu_{2,\mathcal{O},c_2}(\mathcal{E}_g) q^{c_2}
\]

\[
= \sum_{c_2} \nu_{2,v}(\mathcal{E}_g) q^{c_2}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b+c_2+1} \chi(\text{Hilb}^{b+1}(S)) q^{\frac{b+1}{2}} \cdot q^{c_2}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b+c_2+1} \chi(\text{Hilb}^b(S)) q^{\frac{b}{2}} \cdot q^{c_2}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} q^2 G(q^{\frac{1}{2}}).
\]
Therefore
\[
Z(S, SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2; q) = \sum_{g \in H^1(S, \mu_2)} Z_{2, C_g}(\mathcal{S}_g, q)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{4} q^2 G(q^2) + \frac{1}{2} q^2 \left( G(q^{\frac{1}{2}}) + G(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right) + (2^{22} - 2^{11}) \frac{1}{2} q^2 G(q^{\frac{1}{2}})
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{4} q^2 G(q^2) + \frac{1}{2} q^2 \left( 2^{21} G(q^{\frac{1}{2}}) + 2^{11} G(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right).
\]

Corollary 6.21. Let $S$ be a smooth projective K3 surface with Picard number $\rho(S) = 11$. Then
\[
Z(S, SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2; q) = \frac{1}{4} q^2 G(q^2) + q^2 \left( 2^{21} \cdot G(q^{\frac{1}{2}}) + 2^{10} \cdot G(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right).
\]
This proves the prediction of Vafa-Witten in [62, §4] for the gauge group SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2 and the S-duality conjecture [11, §2].

Recall that a K3 surface $S$ over characteristic $p > 0$ is called supersingular if its Picard rank is $\rho(S) = 22$. Then if all the arguments in this paper work for positive characteristic, we get the following result:

Corollary 6.22. Let $S$ be a supersingular K3 surface over positive characteristic $p > 0$. Then if all the results in the above section work over positive characteristic, we have
\[
Z(S, SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2; q) = \frac{1}{4} q^2 G(q^2) + q^2 \left( 2^{21} \cdot G(q^{\frac{1}{2}}) + 2^{21} \cdot G(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right).
\]

Remark 6.23. We define $vw_{SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2}^{S}(S)$ to be the Vafa-Witten invariants for the SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2-theory for the surface $S$. In [15, §5] we calculate some invariants
\[
vw_{2,0}^{SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2}(S) = 1, \quad vw_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}^{SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2}(S) = 2096128.
\]
We calculate the coefficient of $q^2$. It is
\[
(2^{11} \times 24) + (2^{21} - 2^{10}) \times 24 + 6 = 50356224 + 6 = 50356230.
\]

Let us explain how to get this invariant. Let $\mathcal{S}_0 \rightarrow S$ be a trivial $\mu_2$-gerbe, then from [56, §5],
\[
vw_{2,0,2}^{\mu_2}(\mathcal{S}_0) = \frac{1}{4} \chi(\text{Hilb}^1(S)) + \chi(\text{Hilb}^1(S)) = 6 + 24 = 30.
\]

Let $\mathcal{S}_\delta \rightarrow S$ be a nontrivial essentially trivial $\mu_2$-gerbe, then
\[
vw_{2, \mathcal{C}_\delta, 2}^{\mu_2}(\mathcal{S}_\delta) = \chi(\text{Hilb}^1(S)) = 24.
\]

Let $\mathcal{S}_g \rightarrow S$ be an optimal $\mu_2$-gerbe, then
\[
vw_{2,0,2}^{\mu_2}(\mathcal{S}_g) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \chi(\text{Hilb}^1(S)) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 24.
\]

Then we have
\[
vw_{2,0,2}^{SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2}(S) = 6 + (2^{11} \times 24) + \frac{1}{2}(2^{22} - 2^{11}) \times 24 = 50356230.
\]
From the calculation in Theorem 6.20, all the factional degrees of \( q \) come form the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants of optimal \( \mu_2 \)-gerbes \( \mathcal{S}_S \to S \). We calculated \( \text{vw}^{\text{SU}(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2}(S) \) and

\[
\text{vw}^{\text{SU}(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2}(S) = \frac{1}{2}(2^{22} - 2^{11}) \times 324 = 679145472
\]

where \( \chi(\text{Hilb}^2(S)) = 324 \) is the Euler number of the Hilbert scheme of 2-points on \( S \) and

\[
\text{vw}^{\text{SU}(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2}(S) = \frac{1}{2}(2^{22} - 2^{11}) \times 25650 = 53765683200
\]

where \( \chi(\text{Hilb}^4(S)) = 25650 \) is the Euler number of the Hilbert scheme of 4-points on \( S \). These number match the expansion in (1.5.6).

6.6. Discussion on higher rank case. Let \( S \) be a smooth K3 surface with Picard number \( \rho(S) \).

Let us first fix to the case the rank \( r \) is a prime number. If \( r \) is a prime number, then like \( r = 2 \). We have similar results for essentially trivial \( \mu_r \)-gerbes \( \mathcal{S} \to S \). For the trivial \( \mu_r \)-gerbe \( \mathcal{S} = [S/\mu_r] \to S \), the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants are the same as the Vafa-Witten invariants in [56].

For non-trivial essentially trivial \( \mu_r \)-gerbes \( \mathcal{S} \to S \), we have:

**Proposition 6.24.** Let \( (E, \phi) \) be a \( \mathcal{S} \)-twisted semistable rank \( r \) Higgs sheaf with class \((\mathcal{L}, c_2)\). Then the torsion free sheaf \( E \) can not split into sum of subbundles.

Proof. The proof is similar to Proposition 6.10. The reason is that if \( E \) can be split into \( E = E_1 \oplus E_2 \) such that each \( E_i \) is of higher rank less than \( r \), the determinant is \( \det(E) = \mathcal{L} \), which implies that \( \det(E) = \det(E_1 \oplus E_2) \). Either \( E_1 \) or \( E_2 \) is nontrivial, and \( \det(E_i) = \mathcal{L}^\frac{s}{r} \) for some \( 1 \leq s \leq r \). The basic reason is that \( r \) do not have nontrivial split. Thus \( c_1(E) = c_1(E_1) + c_1(E_2) \) and after modulo \( \mod r \) we get that \( c_1(E) \equiv 0 \mod r \), which is a contradiction.

The following is a similar result as in Proposition 6.12, we omit the proof.

**Proposition 6.25.** Let \( \mathcal{S} \to S \) be a non-trivial essentially trivial \( \mu_r \)-gerbe over the K3 surface \( S \) corresponding to the line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(S) \). Then we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{vw}^{\mathcal{S}}_{r,\mathcal{L},k}(\mathcal{S}) &= \chi(\text{Hilb}^{r+2k-(r^2-1)}(S)); \\
\text{vw}^{\mathcal{S}}_{r,\mathcal{L},k+1}(\mathcal{S}) &= \chi(\text{Hilb}^{r+2(k-(r^2-r)}(S)); \\
& \vdots \\
\text{vw}^{\mathcal{S}}_{r,\mathcal{L},k+r+1}(\mathcal{S}) &= \chi(\text{Hilb}^{2k-1}(S)),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \chi(\text{Hilb}^n(S)) \) is the topological Euler number of the Hilbert scheme of \( n \) points on \( S \).

Since \( r \) is a prime number, \( H^2(S, \mu_r) = \mathbb{Z}_r^{22} \), all other non essentially trivial \( \mu_r \)-gerbes on \( S \) is an optimal \( \mu_r \)-gerbe. Let \( \mathcal{S} \to S \) be an optimal \( \mu_r \)-gerbe over \( S \). All of the arguments in [6.4] works for this \( \mu_r \)-gerbe \( \mathcal{S} \), since we only count rank \( r \) \( \mathcal{S} \)-twisted Higgs sheaves, and the minimal rank of locally free \( \mathcal{S} \)-twisted sheaf is \( r \), hence must be stable. Especially we have a similar result comparing with Corollary 6.19.
Corollary 6.26. Let $\mathcal{G} \to S$ be an optimal $\mu_r$-gerbe over $S$ for a prime number $r$. Let $v = (r,0, -\frac{b}{r})$ be a Mukai vector for $H^*(S, \mathbb{Q})$. Then

$$vw^+_v(\mathcal{G}) = \frac{1}{r} \chi(\text{Hilb}^k(S)).$$

Combining with Proposition 6.25 and Proposition 6.26, we have the following result:

Theorem 6.27. Let $S$ be a smooth projective K3 surface with Picard number $\rho(S)$. Then

$$Z(S, SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}; q) = \frac{1}{r^2} q^r G(q^r) + \frac{1}{r} q^r \left( \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} G \left( e^{\frac{2\pi ij}{r}} q^r \right) \right).$$

Proof. We do similar calculation as in Theorem 6.20. The Picard number of $S$ is $\rho(S)$, there are $r^\rho(S)$ number of essentially trivial $\mu_r$-gerbes $\mathcal{G} \to S$ over $S$. Let $\mathcal{G}_0 \to S$ be the trivial $\mu_2$-gerbe. Also $|H^2(S, \mu_r)| = r^{22}$, and there are totally $r^{22} - r^\rho(S)$ number of nontrivial order $r$ Brauer classes, i.e., these number of optimal $\mu_r$-gerbes.

For the trivial $\mu_r$-gerbe $\mathcal{G}_0 \to S$, from Proposition 6.8 and calculations in [56, §5.1], we have:

$$Z_{r, O}(\mathcal{G}_0, q) = \sum_k vw_{r,0,k}(\mathcal{G}_0) q^k
= \frac{1}{r} q^r G(q^r) + \frac{1}{r} q^r \left( \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} G \left( e^{\frac{2\pi ij}{r}} q^r \right) \right).$$

And this is the result in [56, §5].

Let $\mathcal{G} \to S$ be a non-trivial essentially trivial $\mu_r$-gerbe over $S$, which is given by the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_S \in \text{Pic}(S)$. Then from Proposition 6.25 we have:

$$Z_{r, \mathcal{L}_S}(\mathcal{G}, q) = \sum_k vw_{r, \mathcal{L}_S,k}(\mathcal{G}) q^k
= \sum_k \left( \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} vw_{r, \mathcal{L}_S,k+j}(\mathcal{G}) \right) q^k
= \frac{1}{r} q^r \left( \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} G \left( e^{\frac{2\pi ij}{r}} q^r \right) \right).$$

Let $\mathcal{G} \to S$ be an optimal $\mu_r$-gerbe over $S$. Then from Corollary 6.26 and in the Mukai vector, $v = (r,0, -\frac{b}{r})$, we have $-b = -c_2 + r$ and here we understand that $c_2 \in \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{Z}$, here the extra $r$ comes form the fundamental class $r \cdot \omega$, we have

$$c_2 = b + r.$$
for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Thus we calculate we have:

$$Z_{r, \mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{P}q, q) = \sum_{c_2} \mathbb{V}w_{r, c_2}(\mathbb{P}q)q^{2c_2}$$

$$= \sum_{c_2} \mathbb{V}w_0(\mathbb{P}q)q^{c_2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\frac{k}{r} \in \mathbb{Z}/r} \chi(\text{Hilb}^{k+1}(S)) q^{\frac{k}{r} \cdot q^k}$$

$$= \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\frac{k}{r} \in \mathbb{Z}/r} \chi(\text{Hilb}^k(S)) q^{\frac{k}{r}} \cdot q^{\frac{2(k^2-1)}{r}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{r} q^{r} G(q^\frac{1}{r}).$$

Therefore

$$Z(S, SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r; q) = \sum_{g \in H^2(S, \mu)} Z_{r, L_g}(\mathbb{P}q, q)$$

$$= \frac{1}{r^2} q^{r} G(q^r) + r^p(S) \cdot \frac{1}{r} q^{r} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} G\left( e^{2\pi i j/r} q^j \right) \right) + (r^{22} - r^p(S)) \cdot \frac{1}{r} q^{r} G(q^\frac{1}{r})$$

$$= \frac{1}{r^2} q^{r} G(q^r) + q^r \left( r^{21} G(q^\frac{1}{r}) + r^p(S) \cdot \left( \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} G\left( e^{2\pi i j/r} q^j \right) \right) \right).$$

\[\square\]

Remark 6.28. For the general higher rank case, we need a multiple cover formula for the twisted Joyce-Song invariants introduced by Toda [60] for $\mu_r$-gerbes. We leave this as a future work.
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