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Abstract. In this paper, we describe the set of all solutions of monomial equation $x^k = a$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Moreover, as an application of the result, we study several perturbations of the considered equation over $p$-adic field.
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1. Introduction

At present, $p$-adic analysis is a rapidly developing trend in mathematics [10]. Numerous applications of $p$-adic numbers have resulted in the theory of $p$-adic differential equations, $p$-adic probability theory, $p$-adic mathematical physics, etc. (see [1, 3, 7–9, 21]). It is known [5] that $p$-adic numbers are also closely connected with Diophantine equations, i.e. in finding all solutions of a system of polynomial equations or to give a bound for the number of solutions over the field of $p$-adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$. We notice that, in general, the same Diophantine problem may have different solutions from the field of $p$-adic numbers to the field of real numbers because of the different topological structures [14].

One of the simplest of these equations is an equation of the form $x^q = a$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, where $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. A criterion for the solvability of this equation from the standpoint of algebraic number theory was given in [17]. In [6] the mentioned criterion has been applied to classification problems of Leibnitz algebras over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Unfortunately, in both papers [6, 17] the authors have not interested in a number of solutions of the monomial equation. This question, it is very important to know locations of solutions when one studies the fixed point problems and dynamical behavior of $p$-adic rational functions [13, 18]. Therefore, in the present paper, we describe the set of solutions of the monomial equation and their locations. Moreover, we will provide its applications for solving several nonlinear equations over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Namely, we consider a perturbation of the monomial equation, i.e. $F(x) = x^n + \epsilon f(x)$, where $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a $p^\alpha$-Lipschitz function. Under some conditions, we are able to find locations of solutions of the equation $F(x) = 0$ by means of a generalized Hensel’s Lemma [22] with certain modification. This result also can be considered as a natural application of the generalized Hensel’s Lemma [22, 23] to the perturbed monomial systems, but in our context, we establish a number of its solutions, and their locations as well. Furthermore, a number of fixed points of Bethe-Potts type (see [15, 18]) rational functions is obtained.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some definitions related to the $p$-adic analysis and we introduce the necessary notations.

Let $\mathbb{Q}$ be the field of rational numbers. For a fixed prime number $p$, every rational number $x \neq 0$ can be represented in the form $x = p^r \frac{m}{n}$, where $r, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m$ is a positive integer, and $n$
and $m$ are relatively prime with $p$: $(p, n) = 1$, $(p, m) = 1$. The $p$-adic norm of $x$ is given by

$$|x|_p = \begin{cases} p^{-r} & \text{for } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

This norm is non-Archimedean and satisfies the so called strong triangle inequality

$$|x + y|_p \leq \max\{|x|_p, |y|_p\}.$$  

The completion of $\mathbb{Q}$ with respect to the $p$-adic norm defines the $p$-adic field $\mathbb{Q}_p$. We point out that $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is not an ordered field $[20]$. Any $p$-adic number $x \neq 0$ can be uniquely represented in the canonical form

$$x = p^{\gamma(x)}(x_0 + x_1 p + x_2 p^2 + \ldots),$$

(2.1)

where $\gamma(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the integers $x_j$ satisfy: $x_0 > 0$, $0 \leq x_j \leq p - 1$. In this case, $|x|_p = p^{-\gamma(x)}$.

In what follows, to simplify our calculations, we are going to introduce new symbols ”$O$” and ”$o$” (Roughly speaking, these symbols replace the notation $\equiv (\text{mod } p^k)$ without noticing about power of $k$). Namely, for a given $p$-adic number $x$ by $O[x]$ we mean a $p$-adic number with the norm $p^{-\gamma(x)}$, i.e. $|x|_p = |O(x)|_p$. By $o[x]$, we mean a $p$-adic number with a norm strictly less than $p^{-\gamma(x)}$, i.e. $|o(x)|_p < |x|_p$. For instance, if $x = 1 - p + p^2$, we can write $O[1] = x$, $o[1] = x - 1$ or $o[p] = x - 1 + p$. Therefore, the symbols $O[\cdot]$ and $o[\cdot]$ make our work easier when we need to calculate the $p$-adic norm of $p$-adic numbers. It is easy to see that $y = O[x]$ if and only if $x = O[y]$.

We give some basic properties of $O[\cdot]$ and $o[\cdot]$, which will be used later on.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. Then the following statements hold:


2°. $xO[y] = O[y]x = O[xy]$;


4°. $o[x]o[y] = o[xy]$;

5°. $xo[y] = o[y]x = o[xy]$;

6°. $\frac{O[x]}{O[y]} = O\left[\frac{x}{y}\right]$, if $y \neq 0$;

7°. $\frac{o[x]}{O[y]} = o\left[\frac{x}{y}\right]$, if $y \neq 0$.

**Proof.** It is enough to prove assumptions 1° and 2°, since the others can be proceed by the same manner. Due to $x = O[x]$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, one has $xy = O[x]O[y]$. Noting $xy = O[xy]$, we obtain $O[x]O[y] = O[xy]$. Similarly, we find $xO[y] = O[x]O[y] = O[xy]$. □

For each $a \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $r > 0$, we denote

$$B_r(a) = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x - a|_p < r\}.$$  

We recall that $\mathbb{Z}_p = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x|_p \leq 1\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p^* = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x|_p = 1\}$ are the set of all $p$-adic integers and $p$-adic units, respectively.

The following result is known as the Hensel’s lemma.
Lemma 2.2. [5,11] Let $F(x)$ be a polynomial whose coefficients are $p$-adic integers. Let $x^*$ be a $p$-adic integer such that for some $i \geq 0$ one has

$$F(x^*) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{2i+1}}, \quad F'(x^*) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^i}, \quad F'(x^*) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{i+1}}.$$  

Then $F(x)$ has a $p$-adic integer root $x_*$ such that $x_* \equiv x^*(\mod p^{i+1})$.

Remark 2.3. In [22,23] it was given a generalization of Hensel Lemma for 1-Lipschitz functions using van der Put decomposition. We notice that other applications of the van der Put basis have been considered in [4].

Recall that the $p$-adic exponential is defined by

$$\exp_p(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!},$$

which converges for every $x \in B_{p^{-1/(p-1)}}(0)$. Denote

$$\mathcal{E}_p = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x - 1|_p < p^{-1/(p-1)}\}.$$

This set is the range of the $p$-adic exponential function [11,20]. In the sequel, the following well known fact will be frequently used without noticing.

Lemma 2.4. [20] Let $p \geq 3$. The set $\mathcal{E}_p$ has the following properties:

(a) $\mathcal{E}_p$ is a group under multiplication;
(b) $|a - b|_p < 1$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{E}_p$;
(c) if $a, b \in \mathcal{E}_p$ then $|a + b|_p = 1$.
(d) If $a \in \mathcal{E}_p$, then there is an element $h \in B_{p^{-1/(p-1)}}(0)$ such that $a = \exp_p(h)$.

In what follows, we need some auxiliary facts.

Lemma 2.5. [13] Let $k \geq 2$ and $p \geq 3$. Then for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{E}_p$ there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{E}_p$ such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha^{k-j-1} \beta^j = k\gamma \quad (2.2)$$

It is well known that one can decompose $\mathbb{Z}_p^* = \bigcup_{j=1}^{p-1} B_1(j)$. Hence, as a corollary of Lemma 2.5 we can the following fact.

Lemma 2.6. Let $p \geq 3$ and $k \geq 2$. If $x, y \in B_1(j)$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p-1\}$ then one has

$$x^k - y^k = k(x - y)y^{k-1} + o[k(x - y)].$$

Proof. Let $x, y \in B_1(j)$, then \(\frac{x}{y} \in \mathcal{E}_p\). Due to Lemma 2.5 there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{E}_p$ such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{k-j-1} = k + k(\gamma - 1).$$

Hence, using the last expression, we obtain

$$x^k - y^k = y^k \left(\frac{x}{y} - 1\right) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{k-j-1}$$

$$= k(x - y)y^{k-1} + o[k(x - y)] = k(x - y)y^{k-1} + O[k(x - y)]$$

$$= k(x - y)y^{k-1} + o[k(x - y)]$$
which completes the proof. \qed

**Corollary 2.7.** Let \( p \geq 3 \) and \( k \geq 2 \). Then for any \( x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* \) with canonical representation

\[
x = x_0 + x_1p + x_2p^2 + \ldots
\]

one has

\[
x^k - x_0^k = o[k].
\]

3. The equation \( x^k = a \)

In this section, we are going to describe locations of all solutions of the equation

\[
x^k = a, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \ a \in \mathbb{Q}_p
\]

in \( \mathbb{Q}_p \). Let us first notice that the equation (3.1) can be considered over \( \mathbb{Z}_p^* \). Indeed, any nonzero \( p \)-adic number \( x \) has a unique representation of the form \( x = \frac{x^*}{|x|_p} \), where \( x^* \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* \).

After substituting the forms \( x = \frac{x^*}{|x|_p} \), \( a = \frac{a^*}{|a|_p} \) into (3.1), we can get that \( \left( \frac{x^*}{|x|_p} \right)^k = \frac{a^*}{|a|_p} \). This means that Eq. (3.1) has a solution in \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) if and only if \( |a|_p = p^{kl} \) for some \( l \in \mathbb{Z} \) and the equation \( x^*_k = a^* \) has a solution in \( \mathbb{Z}_p^* \). Hence, in what follows, we may always assume that \( a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* \).

Recall that \( b \in \mathbb{Z} \) is called an \( m \)-th power residue modulo \( p \) if the congruence equation \( x^m \equiv b \pmod{p} \) has a solution in \( \mathbb{Z} \). In the sequel, we always assume that \( p \geq 3 \). It is well-known (see [19]) that \( b \in \mathbb{Z} \) is an \( m \)-th power residue modulo \( p \) if and only if \( b^{\frac{m-1}{d}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \), where \( d = (m, p - 1) \).

**Theorem 3.1.** [17] Let \( p \geq 3 \) and \( k = mp^s \), where \( (p, m) = 1, \ s \geq 0 \). Assume that \( a \in \mathbb{Q}_p \) has the following canonical representation

\[
a = a_0 + a_1p + a_2p^2 + \ldots, \quad a_0 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p - 1\}.
\]

Then the equation (3.1) has a solution on \( \mathbb{Z}_p^* \) if and only if

(i) \( a_0 \) is \( m \)-th power residue modulo \( p \);

(ii) \( a_0^{p^s} \equiv a \pmod{p^{s+1}} \).

Although Theorem 3.1 presents as a solvability criteria for Eq. (3.1), but it is not useful in finding a number of its solutions and their locations. In this section, we are going to resolve the mentioned issues.

For a given \( a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* \), let us denote

\[
Sol_p(x^k - a) = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{F}_p : \xi^k - a = o[1] \}
\]

and

\[
\kappa_p = \text{card} \left( Sol_p(x^k - a) \right),
\]

where \( \mathbb{F}_p \) is a ring of integers modulo \( p \) and card(A) stands for the cardinality of a set \( A \). We notice that \( 0 \leq \kappa_p \leq k \). We observe that the condition \( Sol_p(x^k - a) \neq \emptyset \) is equivalent to \( a_0 \) is \( k \)-th power residue modulo \( p \).

**Remark 3.2.** According to Theorem 3.1, a necessity condition for the solvability of (3.1) is \( Sol_p(x^k - a) \neq \emptyset \). Namely, let \( \overline{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* \), with canonical representation

\[
\overline{x} = \overline{x}_0 + \overline{x}_1p + \overline{x}_2p^2 + \ldots
\]

If \( \overline{x} \) is a solution of (3.1) then \( \overline{x}_0 \in Sol_p(x^k - a) \). Hence, for any \( \xi \in \mathbb{F}_p \setminus Sol_p(x^k - a) \) the equation (3.1) has no solution in \( B_1(\xi) \).
Now we provide a main result of this section which extends Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( p \geq 3 \) and \( k = mp^s \), where \( (p,m) = 1 \), \( s \geq 0 \). Assume that \( a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* \) and has the following canonical form
\[
a = a_0 + a_1p + a_2p^2 + \ldots,
\]
and \( \text{Sol}_p(x^k - a) \neq \emptyset \). Then the followings statements are equivalent:

(i) the equation \( (3.1) \) has a solution;
(ii) \( a = a_0^p + o[p^s] \);
(iii) for any \( \xi \in \text{Sol}_p(x^k - a) \) Eq. \( (3.1) \) has a unique solution in \( B_1(\xi) \).

**Proof.** The implication (iii)⇒(i) is obvious. So, we will prove only (i)⇒(ii) and (ii)⇒(iii).

(i)⇒(ii) Let us suppose that (i) holds, i.e. Eq. \( (3.1) \) has a solution \( \bar{x} \). Keeping in mind \( k = mp^s \), due to Corollary 2.7 we have
\[
\bar{x}^k - \bar{x}_0^k = o[p^s],
\]
which implies \( a = \bar{x}^k + o[1] \). Then from \( a = a_0 + o[1] \) one gets
\[
\bar{x}_0^k = a_0 + o[1].
\]
On the other hand, we have \( \bar{x}_0^{p^s} = \bar{x}_0 + o[1] \) (It follows from Fermat’s little theorem). Then according to Lemma 2.6 one finds \( \bar{x}_0^k = \bar{x}_0^{p^s} + o[1] \). The last one together with \( (3.3) \) yields
\[
\bar{x}_0^k = a_0 + o[1].
\]
Hence, again using Lemma 2.6 from \( (3.4) \) we obtain
\[
\bar{x}_0^k - a_0^{p^s} = (\bar{x}_0^m)^{p^s} - a_0^{p^s} = p^s(\bar{x}_0^m - a_0) + o[p^s(\bar{x}_0^m - a_0)]
\]
\[
= p^s o[1] + o[p^s]
\]
\[
= o[p^s] \tag{3.5}
\]
From \( (3.2) \) and \( (3.5) \) we immediately get (ii).

(ii)⇒(iii) Now, we assume that (ii) holds. Take an arbitrary \( \xi \in \text{Sol}_p(x^k - a) \) and define a sequence \( \{x(n)\}_{n \geq 1} \) as follows:
\[
x(1) = \xi, \quad x(n) = x(n-1) + \frac{a - x(n-1)}{kx(n-1)}, \quad n \geq 2. \tag{3.6}
\]
First by induction, we show that \( x(n) \in B_1(\xi) \) for any \( n \geq 1 \). Since \( x(1) \in B_1(\xi) \) we may assume that \( x(n) \in B_1(\xi) \) for some \( n \geq 1 \). Thanks to Corollary 2.7 we obtain
\[
x(n) - \xi = o[k]. \tag{3.7}
\]
On the other hand, we have \( \xi^k = a_0 + o[1] \), which together with \( \xi^{p^s} = \xi + o[1] \) yields \( \xi^m = a_0 + o[1] \). Due to Lemma 2.6 we infer
\[
\xi^k - a_0^{p^s} = p^s(\xi^m - a_0) + o[p^s(\xi^m - a_0)]
\]
\[
= p^s o[1] + o[p^s]
\]
\[
= o[p^s] \tag{3.8}
\]
From \( (3.7) \) and \( (3.8) \), noting \( k = O[p^s] \) one has
\[
x(n) - a_0^{p^s} = o[k]. \tag{3.9}
\]
Putting (ii) and \( (3.9) \) into \( (3.6) \) we obtain
\[
x(n+1) = x(n) + o[1],
\]
which implies $x_{(n+1)} \in B_1(x_{(n)})$. The last one together with $x_{(n)} \in B_1(\xi)$ yields that $x_{(n+1)} \in B_1(\xi)$. Hence, $x_{(n)} \in B_1(\xi)$ for any $n \geq 1$.

Furthermore, using Lemma 2.6 from (3.6) one can find
\[ x_{(n)}^k - x_{(n-1)}^k = a - x_{(n-1)}^k + o\left[a - x_{(n-1)}^k\right], \]
which implies
\[ x_{(n)}^k - a = o\left[x_{(n-1)}^k - a\right]. \]

The last one together with $x_{(1)}^k - a = o[1]$ yields
\[ x_{(n)}^k - a = o\left[p^{n-1}\right]. \]

So, we can find a number $n_0 \geq 1$ such that $x_{(n_0)}^k - a = o[k^2]$. Let us consider a polynomial $F(x) = x^k - a$. It is easy to check that $|F'(x_{(n)})|_p = |k|_p$ and $|F(x_{(n)})|_p < |k^2|_p$. Thanks to Hensel’s Lemma, $F$ has a root $x_\xi$ such that $|x_\xi - x_{(n_0)}|_p < 1$, which implies $x_\xi \in B_1(\xi)$.

Let us suppose that $x$ and $y$ are solutions of (3.1) belong to $B_1(\xi)$. Then according to Lemma 2.6 we obtain
\[ |x^k - y^k|_p = |k(x - y)|_p. \]

The last one together with $x^k - y^k = 0$ imply $x = y$.

Thus we have proved that for any $\xi \in Sol_p(x^k - a)$ the equation (3.1) has a unique solution in $B_1(\xi)$. This completes the proof.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let $p \geq 3$ and $k = mp^s$, where $(p, m) = 1$, $s \geq 0$. Then for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\ast$ with canonical representation
\[ a = a_0 + a_1 p + a_2 p^2 + \ldots, \]
there exists $x_\ast \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\ast$ such that $x_\ast^k = a + o[k^2]$ iff the following statements hold:

(i) $Sol_p(x^k - a) \neq \emptyset$;
(ii) $a = a_0 p^s + o[p^s]$.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let $p \geq 3$ and $a \in \mathcal{E}_p$. Then the following statements hold:

(i) if $|k|_p \leq |a - 1|_p$ then (3.1) has no solution.
(ii) if $|k|_p > |a - 1|_p$ then (3.1) has exactly $\kappa_p$ solutions $x_{\xi_i} \in B_1(\xi_i)$, $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \kappa_p\}$, where $\xi_i \in Sol_p(x^k - a)$.

**Proof.** We notice that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathcal{E}_p$ the set $Sol_p(x^k - a)$ is not empty.

(i) Since $a_0 = 1$ by definition $|a - a_0|_p \geq |k|_p$ implies that $a - a_0 \neq o[k]$. Then due to Theorem 3.3 we infer that the equation (3.1) has no solution.

(ii) Suppose that $|k|_p > |a - 1|_p$. This yields that $a - a_0 = o[k]$. Then according to Theorem 3.3 equation (3.1) has exactly $\kappa_p$ solutions. \qed

**Remark 3.6.** Thanks to Corollary 3.5, every $a \in \mathcal{E}_p$ with $|a - 1|_p < |k|_p$ has a single $k$-th root on $\mathcal{E}_p$, which is called the principal $k$-th root and it is denoted by $\sqrt[p]{a}$. In what follows, when we write $\sqrt[p]{a}$ for given $a \in \mathcal{E}_p$ we always mean the principal $k$-th root of $a$.

**4. Applications**

In this section, we provide certain applications of Theorem 3.3 in solving nonlinear equations over $p$-adic field.
4.1. Roots of 1-Lipschitz functions. Recall that \( f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p \) is a \( L \)-Lipschitz function if
\[
|f(x) - f(y)|_p \leq L|x - y|_p, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p. \tag{4.1}
\]
We notice that the discreteness of \( p \)-adic norm’s values yields \( L = p^\alpha \) for some \( \alpha \in \mathbb{Z} \). So, the condition (4.1) is equivalent to: \( x - y = o[p^\alpha] \) implies \( f(x) - f(y) = o[p^{\alpha - \alpha}] \) for all \( k \geq \alpha \).

For a given \( k = mp^s \) with \( (m, p) = 1, s \geq 0 \) we consider the following function
\[
F(x) = x^k - a + \varepsilon f(x), \tag{4.2}
\]
where \( a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*, |\varepsilon|_p < |k^2|_p \) and \( f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p \) is a \( L \)-Lipschitz function with \( L = p^s \). One can see that \( F(\mathbb{Z}_p) \subset \mathbb{Z}_p \). Moreover, \( F \) is a \( 1 \)-Lipschitz function. In [22] the authors found a criterion for the existence of a root of 1-Lipschitz functions. Moreover, they were able to prove the analogue of Hensel’s Lemma for 1-Lipschitz functions. In this section, we will show locations of roots of the equation (4.2) which was not studied in [22, 23].

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \( p \geq 3 \) and \( k = mp^s \) with \( (p, m) = 1 \) and \( s \geq 0 \). Assume that \( F \) is a function given by (4.2). Then the following statements hold:

- (F1) if \( \operatorname{Sol}_p(x^k - a) = \emptyset \) then \( F \) has no root in \( \mathbb{Z}_p \);
- (F2) if \( \operatorname{Sol}_p(x^k - a) \neq \emptyset \) then the followings are equivalent:
  - (i) \( F \) has a root in \( \mathbb{Z}_p \);
  - (ii) \( a = o[p^s] \);
  - (iii) \( F \) has exactly \( \kappa_p \) roots in \( \mathbb{Z}_p \): \( x_{\xi_i} \in B_1(\xi_i), \xi \in \operatorname{Sol}_p(x^k - a), i = \overline{1, \kappa_p} \).

**Proof.** (F1) Let \( \operatorname{Sol}_p(x^k - a) = \emptyset \). Pick any \( x \in \mathbb{Z}_p \). Then we have \( x^k - a = O[1] \). Noting \( \varepsilon f(x) = o[1] \) we infer that \( F(x) = 0 \), which implies \( F(x) \neq 0 \). Arbitrariness of \( x \in \mathbb{Z}_p \) shows that \( F \) has no root in \( \mathbb{Z}_p \).

(F2) Suppose that \( \operatorname{Sol}_p(x^k - a) \neq \emptyset \). It is enough to show the following implications
(i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii).

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). We assume that \( F \) has a root \( x_* \in \mathbb{Z}_p \). Since \( \varepsilon f(x) = o[k^2] \) for any \( x \in \mathbb{Z}_p \), we infer that \( x_*^k - a = o[k^2] \). Then due to Corollary 3.4 (ii) holds.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Take any \( x \in \mathbb{Z}_p \) such that \( x^k - a = o[k^2] \). Hence, \( \varepsilon f(x) = o[p^s] \) yields \( F(x) = o[p^s] \). Assume that for any \( n \geq 1 \) we already have numbers \( x(1), x(2), \ldots, x(n) \in B_1(\xi) \) such that
\[
F(x(m)) = o[p^{2s+m-1}], \quad \forall m \leq n.
\]
Now we show the existence an \( x(n+1) \in B_1(\xi) \) satisfying \( F(x(n+1)) = o[p^{2s+n}] \). Let us denote
\[
x(n+1) = x(n) + \alpha_n p^{s+n} \tag{4.3}
\]
where \( \alpha_n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p - 1\} \). We need to choose \( \alpha_n \) in order to \( F(x(n+1)) = o[p^{2s+n}] \).

One can check that there exists only one \( \alpha_n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p - 1\} \) which satisfies
\[
F(x(n)) + k\alpha_n p^{s+n} x_{(n)}^{k-1} = o[p^{2s+n}] \tag{4.4}
\]
By substituting \( \alpha_n \) into (4.3), we need to check \( F(x(n+1)) = o[p^{2s+n}] \). We first notice that the condition \( x(n) \in B_1(\xi) \) implies \( x(n+1) \in B_1(\xi) \). Hence, using Lemma 2.6 from (4.3) we obtain
\[
x_{(n+1)}^k - x_{(n)}^k = k\alpha_n p^{s+n} x_{(n)}^{k-1} + o[p^{2s+n}] \tag{4.5}
\]
Since \( f \) is \( L \)-Lipschitz function with \( L = p^s \) and noting \( \varepsilon = o[p^{2s}] \) one gets
\[
\varepsilon f(x(n+1)) = \varepsilon f(x(n)) + o[p^{2s+n}] \tag{4.6}
\]
From (4.4)-(4.6) we find
\[
F(x_{(n+1)}) = x^{k}_{(n+1)} - a + \varepsilon f(x_{(n+1)}) = x^{k}_{(n)} - a + \varepsilon f(x_{(n)}) + k\alpha_n p^{s+n} x^{k-1}_{(n)} + o[p^{2s+n}] = F(x_{(n)}) + k\alpha_n p^{s+n} x^{k-1}_{(n)} + o[p^{2s+n}] = o[p^{2s+n}].
\]
So, we have proved that for the sequence \( \{x_{(n)}\}_{n \geq 1} \) given by (4.3) one has \( F(x_{(n)}) = o[p^{2s+n-1}] \). The sequence (4.3) is Cauchy and \( B_1(\xi) \) is closed in \( \mathbb{Q}_p \), which yields the existence of \( x_\xi \in B_1(\xi) \) such that \( F(x_\xi) = o[p^{2s+n}] \) for all \( n \geq 1 \). This means that \( F(x_\xi) = 0 \).

To establish that \( F \) has a unique root in \( B_1(\xi) \), we suppose the contrary. Namely, let \( x_\xi, y_\xi \in B_1(\xi) \) be two roots of \( F \). Then, we obtain
\[
\varepsilon f(x_\xi) - \varepsilon f(y_\xi) = o[p^{s}(x_\xi - y_\xi)].
\]

Keeping in mind that fact, due to Lemma 2.6 one has
\[
F(x_\xi) - F(y_\xi) = x^{k}_{\xi} - y^{k}_{\xi} + o[p^{s}(x_\xi - y_\xi)] = k(x_\xi - y_\xi) y^{k-1}_{\xi} + o[p^{s}(x_\xi - y_\xi)].
\]
which is equivalent to \( |F(x_\xi) - F(y_\xi)|_p = |k(x_\xi - y_\xi)|_p \). Hence, we infer \( x_\xi = y_\xi \).

Consequently, we have shown that for any \( \xi \in \text{Sol}_p(x^{k} - a) \) the function \( F \) has a unique root in \( B_1(\xi) \). From the arbitrariness of \( \xi \) and due to \( B_1(\xi) \cap B_1(\eta) = \emptyset \) for any \( \eta \in \text{Sol}_p(x^{k} - a) \) with \( \xi \neq \eta \) we conclude that \( F \) has exactly \( \kappa_p \) roots. This completes the proof.

**Remark 4.3.** We point out that the Hensel’s Lemma [11] reduces finding of roots (of polynomial equation) to finding roots of some iterative procedure in each step of which, one needs to solve some linear congruence equation. In the above given procedure, it has been used a nonlinear congruence equation in each step of iteration, which means we have implicitly used a generalized version of Hensel’s Lemma with adoption to our equation.

### 4.4. Roots of Polynomials

Let us consider the following polynomial
\[
P_k(x) = x^k + \sum_{j=1}^{k} a^{(j)} x^{k-j}, \quad a^{(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}, \tag{4.7}
\]
where \( a^{(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p \), \( 1 \leq j \leq k \).

**Theorem 4.5.** Let \( p \geq 3 \) and \( k = mp^{s} \) with \( (m, p) = 1, s \geq 0 \). Assume that \( P_k \) be a polynomial given by (4.7) such that \( a^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* \) and \( \max_{1 \leq j < k} \left\{ |a^{(j)}|_p \right\} < p^{-2s} \). Then \( P_k \) has a root iff the following statements hold:

1. **(P1)** \( \text{Sol}_p \left( x^{k} + a^{(k)} \right) \neq \emptyset \);
2. **(P2)** \( a^{(k)} = \left( a^{(k)} \right)^{p} + o[p^{s}] \).

Moreover, under conditions (P1),(P2) the polynomial \( P_k \) has exactly \( \kappa_p \) solutions \( x_{\xi_i} \in B_1(\xi_i) \), \( i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \kappa_p\} \), where \( \xi_i \in \text{Sol}_p \left( x^{k} + a^{(k)} \right) \) and \( \kappa_p \) is a cardinality of \( \text{Sol}_p \left( x^{k} + a^{(k)} \right) \).

**Proof.** It is easy to check that \( |P_k(x)|_p \leq 1 \) if and only if \( |x|_p \leq 1 \). So, we infer that \( P_k \) has no root in \( \mathbb{Q}_p \setminus \mathbb{Z}_p \).

Due to \( P_k(\mathbb{Z}_p) \subset \mathbb{Z}_p \) and Theorem 4.2, it is enough to establish that \( P_k \) can be represented as (4.2).
Without lost of generality, we may assume that \( a^{(j)} \neq 0 \) for some \( j < k \). Let us define

\[
f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{a^{(j)}}{\varepsilon} x^{k-j}, \quad \text{where} \quad \varepsilon = \max_{1 \leq j < k} \{|a^{(j)}|_p\}.
\]

Then \( P_k \) can be written as follows:

\[
P_k(x) = x^k + a^{(k)} + \varepsilon f(x), \quad (4.8)
\]

We will show \( |\varepsilon|_p < |k^2|_p \) and \( f \) is \( L \)-Lipschitz function with \( L \leq p^a \).

For any non zero \( p \)-adic number \( y \) it holds \( ||y||_p = \frac{1}{|y|_p} \). Using this fact we can easily find \( |\varepsilon|_p < |k^2|_p \). From \( \frac{a^{(j)}}{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_p \), due to the strong triangle inequality we obtain \( f(\mathbb{Z}_p) \subset \mathbb{Z}_p \). On the other hand, \( f \) is analytic and \( |f'(x)|_p < 1 \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{Z}_p \). So, noting \( f(\mathbb{Z}_p) \subset \mathbb{Z}_p \) we infer that \( f \) is a \( L \)-Lipschitz function with \( L < 1 \). From \( s \geq 0 \) we obtain \( L < p^s \). This completes the proof. □

4.6. Fixed point of rational functions. In this subsection, we consider a function \( f_{b,c,d} : \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p \) given by

\[
f_{b,c,d}(x) = \left( \frac{bx-c}{x-d} \right)^k, \quad b, c, d \in \mathbb{E}_p, \quad c \neq bd. \quad (4.9)
\]

We notice that this type of functions are related to Bethe-Potts mappings associated with certain models of statistical mechanics [13, 15]. To establish chaotic behavior of this kind of function, it is important to describe location and a number of their fixed points. In this subsection, we apply Theorem 4.4 to get the desired results.

First, we recall that a point \( x \in \mathbb{Q}_p \) is a fixed point of \( f_{b,c,d} \) if \( f_{b,c,d}(x) = x \). By \( \text{Fix}(f_{b,c,d}) \) we denote the set of all fixed point of \( f_{b,c,d} \). We stress that the description of the set of fixed points of \( f_{b,c,d} \) is important in finding of \( p \)-adic Gibbs measures for \( p \)-adic Potts model [2, 12, 16].

**Proposition 4.7.** Let \( p \geq 3 \) and \( f_{b,c,d} \) be given by (4.9). Then \( \text{Fix}(f_{b,c,d}) \subset \mathcal{E}_p \).

**Proof.** Let us take \( x \notin \mathbb{Q}_p \setminus \mathcal{E}_p \). We consider two several cases: \( |x|_p > 1 \) and \( |x|_p \leq 1 \).

**Case** \( |x|_p > 1 \). Then we have

\[
\frac{bx-c}{x-d} - 1 = \frac{(b-1)x+d-c}{x-d} = \frac{o[1]x + o[1]}{O[x] + O[1]} = \frac{o[x]}{O[x]} = o[1],
\]

which yields \( \frac{bx-c}{x-d} \in \mathcal{E}_p \). Then, due to Lemma 2.4 we infer \( f_{b,c,d}(x) \in \mathcal{E}_p \) which means that \( x \notin \text{Fix}(f_{b,c,d}) \).

**Case** \( |x|_p \leq 1 \). In this case, we can easily find \( x - d = O[1] \). Noting that fact one has

\[
\frac{bx-c}{x-d} - 1 = \frac{(b-1)x+d-c}{x-d} = \frac{o[1]x + o[1]}{O[1]} = \frac{o[1]}{O[1]} = o[1].
\]

Again thanks to Lemma 2.4 we conclude that \( x \notin \text{Fix}(f_{b,c,d}) \).

Hence, we have shown that \( \text{Fix}(f_{b,c,d}) \subset \mathcal{E}_p \) which completes the proof. □

Now we are going to find all fixed points of \( f_{b,c,d} \).

**Theorem 4.8.** Let \( p \geq 3 \) and \( f_{b,c,d} \) be a given by (4.9). Assume that \( d = 1 - b + c \) and \( |b-1|_p < |(c-1)^2|_p < |k^2|_p \). Then \( f_{b,c,d} \) has exactly \( \kappa_p + 1 \) fixed points, where \( \kappa_p = (k, p-1) \).

**Proof.** We note that condition \( d = 1 - b + c \) provides \( \text{Fix}(f_{b,c,d}) \neq \emptyset \), i.e. \( x(0) = 1 \) is a fixed point of \( f_{b,c,d} \). First we show the set of fixed points of \( f_{b,c,d} \) coincides with the set of fixed points of

\[
g_{b,c,d}(y) = \frac{by^k-c}{y^k-d},
\]
Indeed, if \( y \in \text{Fix}(g_{b,c,d}) \) then one can check that \( y^k \in \text{Fix}(f_{b,c,d}) \). This means that
\[
\text{card } \left( \text{Fix}(g_{b,c,d}) \right) \leq \text{card } \left( \text{Fix}(f_{b,c,d}) \right).
\] (4.10)

On the other hand, if \( x \in \text{Fix}(f_{b,c,d}) \), then for \( z = \frac{bx-c}{x-d} \) we have
\[
g_{b,c,d}(z) = \frac{b z^k - c}{z^k - d} = \frac{bx-c}{x-d} = z.
\]
So, we infer that \( z \in \text{Fix}(g_{b,c,d}) \) which yields
\[
\text{card } \left( \text{Fix}(f_{b,c,d}) \right) \leq \text{card } \left( \text{Fix}(g_{b,c,d}) \right).
\] (4.11)

From (4.10) and (4.11) we conclude that
\[
\text{card } \left( \text{Fix}(g_{b,c,d}) \right) = \text{card } \left( \text{Fix}(f_{b,c,d}) \right).
\]

It is clear that \( y(0) = 1 \) is a fixed point of \( g_{b,c,d} \). Then from
\[
\frac{g_{b,c,d}(y) - 1}{y - 1} = 1
\]
using \( d = 1 - b + c \) we obtain
\[
y^k - c - (b - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} y^{k-j} = 0.
\] (4.12)

Hence, since \( |b - 1|_p < |k^2|_p \) and \( |c - 1|_p < |k|_p \), the polynomial
\[
P(y) = y^k - c - (b - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} y^{k-j}
\]
satisfies all conditions of Theorem 4.5. So, we may conclude that the equation (4.12) has exactly \( \kappa_p \) solutions: \( y(1), y(2), \ldots, y(\kappa_p) \). Consequently, \( g_{b,c,d} \) has \( \kappa_p + 1 \) fixed points: \( y(0) = 1 \) and \( y(1), y(2), \ldots, y(\kappa_p) \). This means that \( f_{b,c,d} \) has exactly \( \kappa_p + 1 \) fixed points: \( x(i) = y(i) \), \( i \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, \kappa_p\} \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

We notice that the obtained result allows to investigate dynamical behavior of the function \( f_{b,c,d} \), for certain particular values of the parameters, chaoticity of such type of function has been investigated in [2][18].
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