Twisting the spin wave: on vortices, skyrmions, helical waves and the magnonic spiral phase plate
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Spin waves are the low-energy excitations of magnetically ordered materials. They are key elements in the stability analysis of the ordered phase and have a wealth of technological applications. Spin waves are the low-energy excitations of magnetically ordered materials. They are key elements in the stability analysis of the ordered phase and have a wealth of technological applications. Recently, we showed that spin waves of a magnetic nanowire may carry a definite amount of orbital angular momentum (OAM). This has been shown for classical electromagnetic waves as well as for the quantum dynamics of electrons, or neutron (cf. Refs. [1–6] and references therein). Also acoustic vortices have been recently discussed [7].

Our focus here is on magnetic materials and their excitations that propagate within the material itself. To appreciate the difference to electromagnetic waves carrying OAM, for instance, let us recall that the magnetization \( M \) (the order parameter in this case), enters the Maxwell-equation indirectly through the consecutive equations \( \mathbf{B}/\mu_0 = \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{M} \) which is a material-dependent equation (\( \mathbf{B} \) is the magnetic flux density, and \( \mathbf{H} \) is the magnetic field strength). The question of interest for magnetism is which ground state configuration is at-
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ilar properties as optical or electronic waves with OAM. Recently, we showed under which conditions twisted (helical) magnon beams, meaning OAM-carrying spin waves do appear as magnetic eigenmode excitations in a waveguide. The amount of OAM $\ell$ along the propagation direction carried by a propagating spin wave is unbound. Such spin waves interact with an external electric field via the Aharonov-Casher-effect [18], and exhibit an OAM-controllable magnonic Hall effect [19, 20]. Despite the differences between magnon and photonic twisted beams which are discussed in Ref. [21], there are several formal analogies that can be drawn which should be of a key role for understanding the interaction between twisted magnon and twisted photons, an issue not discussed in this paper. The purpose here is to discuss how to generate and steer twisted magnonic beams. In Ref. [21] we applied at one end of the magnonic waveguide an external magnetic field that carries OAM. Such fields might be difficult to realize in a general setting. Thus, we inspect here the possibility of realizing a magnonic spiral phase plate (SPP), similar to the one in optics, but now the SPP is molded out of magnetic materials that have different but (for our purpose) appropriate speed of spin waves. This idea is motivated by the similarity of the simplified magnonic wave equation in a wire that we used in Ref. [21] and the optical waveguide equation. The analytical approach of Ref. [21] does not apply however for magnonic SPP, as demagnetization fields and the local magnetic order are expected to have a strongly anisotropic spatial distribution, as indeed confirmed below. Hence, we have to employ the micromagnetic equations in full generality and resort to numerical simulations of the spin dynamics in SPP that we constructed out of a conducting permalloy (Py) and an insulting yttrium iron garnet (YIG). The results show indeed how a spin wave with a spatially homogeneous phase structure attains OAM when traversing the SPP and propagates further as a twisted beam. Our numerical method allows at the same time to calculate in addition to the excitations, the thermodynamically stable magnetic states. Interestingly if the magnetic waveguide is compressed in length to just a disc, magnetic vortices appear as states of the stable magnetizations. Hence, it is of interest to explore how the geometric confinement-induced magnetic vortices relate to the twisted spin waves when the length of the waveguide becomes extended. This issue is an important first step towards a possible manipulation of magnetic vortices with twisted magnons and is being addressed below.

II. MAGNONIC SPIRAL PHASE PLATE FOR GENERATING TWISTED MAGNON BEAMS

One well-documented method for generating optical vortex fields is to use a spiral phase plate [1]. Thus the question arises of how to construct a magnonic spiral phase plate. Previously [21] we employed the magnetic component of a spatially structured electromagnetic wave to excite twisted magnon modes in a ferromagnetic waveguide. In contrast, upon traversing a magnonic spiral phase plate, a conventional, spatially homogeneous spin wave is transformed into a twisted magnon beam.

In order to tackle this challenge it is expedient to start from the fundamentals of magnetization dynamics. A classical magnetic dipole moment $\mathbf{m}$ exposed to an external magnetic field $\mathbf{H}$ precesses around the orientation of the field. This dynamics is governed by the equation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{m}}{dt} = \gamma (\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{m})$$

where $\gamma$ is the gyromagnetic ratio. This equation describes the precession of the magnetic moment in an external magnetic field, which is the basis for the magnonic Hall effect.
of motion (EOM)
\[ \dot{m} = -\gamma \mu_0 (m \times H), \]
where \( \gamma_0 = 1.76 \times 10^{11} (T^{-1}s^{-1}) \) indicates the gyromagnetic ratio and \( \mu_0 = 4\pi\times 10^{-7} \text{VsA}^{-1}\text{m}^{-1} \) is the vacuum permeability. In practice magnetic losses result in the magnetic moments being eventually aligned along the applied magnetic field. This effect can be introduced in the EOM via a phenomenological (Gilbert) damping parameter \( \alpha \) leading to the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert (LLG) equation [9]
\[ \dot{m} = -\frac{\gamma \mu_0}{1 + \alpha^2} (m \times H + \alpha m \times (m \times H)), \]

Due to the newly established damping term the magnetization dynamics is defined by a non-linear partial differential equation. By proceeding with a system of interacting magnetic moments which also includes dipolar interactions, the equation is not only non-linear but also non-local as every magnetic moment interacts with all others over their dipolar fields. These stray fields are commonly called demagnetizing fields as their contribution to the free energy functional favors vortex like configurations that diminish the net magnetization of the system. As an example the demagnetizing field of a YIG cylindrical waveguide including a Py SPP is shown in Fig. 1.

In consequence the EOM of a magnetic solid differs strongly from the Maxwell dynamics. Nevertheless, the complex magnetization dynamics still can host spin waves with helical wave fronts as we showed recently [21].

To assess the feasibility of this basic idea we set up and conduct micromagnetic simulations using the GPU-accelerated, open-source software package mumax3 [22]. We solved the LLG equation in a discretized waveguide for every simulation cell \( m_i \) of the discrete magnetization vector field. The time- and space-dependent effective magnetic field,
\[ \mathbf{B}_{i}^{\text{EFF}}(t) = \mathbf{B}_{i}^{\text{EXT}} + \mathbf{B}_{i}^{\text{EXCH}} + \mathbf{B}_{i}^{A} + \mathbf{B}_{i}^{\text{DMF}}, \]
includes the conventional external field \( \mathbf{B}_{i}^{\text{EXT}} \) that excites spin waves in one end of the waveguide. The exchange interaction field is \( \mathbf{B}_{i}^{\text{EXCH}} = 2A_{\text{ex}}/M_s \Delta \mathbf{m}_i \), with \( A_{\text{ex}} \) being the exchange stiffness and \( M_s \) the saturation magnetization. The uniaxial magnetocrystalline anisotropy field is \( \mathbf{B}_{i}^{A} = 2K_u/M_s \mathbf{m}_i \mathbf{e}_z \), with \( K_u \) being the anisotropy constant. The demagnetizing field reads \( \mathbf{B}_{i}^{\text{DMF}} = M_{\text{sat}} \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{m}_i \), details on the calculation of the demagnetizing kernel \( \mathbf{K} \) can be found in Ref. [22].

The external magnetic field, which is circularly polarized and is in the radio-frequency range, acts on the bottom end of the ferromagnetic wire. The peak amplitude is set to \( B_{\text{max}} = 10 \text{mT} \), the frequency is \( \omega = 2\pi \times 5 \text{GHz} \) and the polarization is circular right-handed.

Upon switching on the external magnetic field magnonic plane waves are launched. The task is to convert this wave into a magnon OAM. To construct a magnonic spiral phase plate we use two different materials. As in Ref. [21, 23] we use a wire of YIG in which the OAM magnonic wave will propagate. In the middle of the wire the Py spiral phase plate nanostructure is inserted. Both materials are widely used in magnonics and have low damping coefficient. Still, the material parameters differ, which should lead to a thickness dependent phase accumulation when passing through a spiral spatial structure.

As a first approach, we disregard the computationally expensive demagnetizing field and check whether a spiral phase plate leads to the desired effect of thickness-dependent phase accumulation. The resulting wave fronts can be observed in Fig. 2. For the presented results of the simulations, a system of \( 100 \times 100 \times 300 \) unit cells (u. c.) each of \( 4 \text{nm} \) size was initialized as a cylindrical base geometry. The Py phase plate (orange structure in Fig. 2) has a height of 30 unit cells. The YIG region itself is transparent in the graphical representation to be able to show the equal phase fronts of \( m_x \) instead (red: incident plane wave; blue: transformed helical wave after passing through the SPP).

Depending on the rotational sense of the phase plate, the resulting handedness of the helical wave fronts can be tuned, as shown in Fig. 2a (counterclockwise) and b (clockwise). Still, for both cases, the polarization of each magnetic moment is right-handed, which is the only possible rotational sense in ferromagnetic materials. It is remarkable that even though the nanostructured SPP is limited by the simulation grid’s resolution and hence far from a perfectly smooth phase plate, the resulting twisted magnon wave shows the characteristics of the theoretically proposed OAM carrying magnon beam.

In addition to the described basic geometry, the system outer boundaries are modeled to absorb most of the incoming magnons by introducing an exponentially increasing damping parameter in a tube shell with a 20 u. c. thickness. This helps to avoid reflection effects. The used material parameters for the YIG wire are: \( M_s = 1.4 \times 10^5 \text{ A m}^{-1} \), \( A_{\text{ex}} = 3 \times 10^{-12} \text{ J m}^{-1} \), \( K_u = 5 \times 10^3 \text{ J m}^{-3} \), \( \alpha = 0.01 \). In the case of Py we assume: \( M_s = 8.3 \times 10^5 \text{ A m}^{-1} \), \( A_{\text{ex}} = 10 \times 10^{-12} \text{ J m}^{-1} \), \( K_u = -1 \times 10^3 \text{ J m}^{-3} \), \( \alpha = 0.02 \).

When dealing with ferromagnetic systems on the micrometer scale, usually stray fields play an important role as remarked before. This also holds for the cylindrical wires, where not only the outer surfaces of the structure lead to strong demagnetizing fields but also the boundary between SPP and YIG nanowire will have an impact mainly due to the large difference in the saturation magnetization of both materials. For a correct interpretation of the simulation results one has to keep in mind, that because of the demagnetizing field, the magnetization is
not homogeneously aligned anymore but shows a canting close to the surface. Therefore, the excitation of the magnetization in Fig. 3 is calculated relative to the relaxed magnetization before applying an external field. Fig. 3 shows the $x$ component of the relative excitation after a $\omega = 2\pi \times 10$ GHz plane wave has passed the SPP (orange). In addition to the time dependent magnetic field a 1T static magnetic field was applied along the $z$ direction to reduce the magnon wavelength and therefore check the propagation after more periods in the same geometry as before. A few observations are striking. First, we can identify the region after the SPP with a helical magnon beam, as some singularity in the excitation is present, separating different phases of the wave in its cross-section. Second, it is obvious, that the region directly adjacent to the SPP shows some rather chaotic pattern for the magnetization excitation. This partially arises due to the limited resolution of the SPP but also is a footprint of the demagnetizing fields hindering the passage of spin waves (cf. field distribution in Fig. 1). Third, there is a transition region where the center of the twisted beam is not coinciding with the center of the waveguide for similar reasons. However, these two symmetry axes align with each other after some distance.

Summarizing this section we showed, that in spite of the fundamental differences between the nature of magnetization dynamics – non-linear, non-local – and electromagnetic waves in free space, the concept of the SPP for the transformation of plane waves to helical waves holds for the field of magnonics. The long-range dipolar interaction does not necessarily endanger the spatial structure of magnon beams carrying orbital angular momentum but will have an even stronger influence as in the presented example for less symmetric geometries like cuboid-shaped waveguides, which has to be addressed in future studies.

III. MAGNETIC VORTEX VS. TWISTED MAGNONS

Magnonic twisted beams are in essence small transverse deviations from the collinearly ordered phase. Hence, they are qualitatively different from other well-known twisted magnetic states, for instance, magnetic vortices which are intrinsically non-collinear in their stable configuration [26–28]. It has been shown theoretically and experimentally that for thin ferromagnetic discs and other-shaped elements of micrometer size and below, the magnetic vortex is energetically favored. As one kind of topological magnetic excitations, magnetic vortices are driven by a subtle competition between geometrical balance of exchange interaction and the demagnetizing field (i.e. the shape anisotropy): the magnetization tends to align parallel to the surface in order to minimize the surface charge, however, the singularity at the centre of a vortex is replaced by an out-of-plane magnetized core in order to reduce the exchange energy. Magnetic vortices are excited from the uniform ferromagnetic state with much higher energy than the magnonic twisted eigenmode. Topologically, a magnetic vortex is characterized by two essential features: The out-of-plane, nanometer-size vortex-core magnetization. This determines the vortex polarity $p$ which can be up or down. The second feature is related to the in-plane spin configuration of the vortex (say with extension 1 in scaled units) described by $m(r \gg 1, \phi) = \cos \Phi \hat{e}_x + \sin \Phi \hat{e}_y$ with $\Phi = q \phi + c \pi/2$. The topological vorticity is set by $q$ indicating the winding number, where $c$ is the vortex chirality. As shown in Fig. 4, the stable magnetization of YIG waveguides is simulated with different lengths $L$.

The topology of the magnetic configuration is characterized by the skyrmion number $s = \sum r \chi_r/L$, where $\chi_r = m_r \cdot (m_{r+X} \times m_{r+Y}) + m_r \cdot (m_{r-X} \times m_{r-Y})$. For example, a vortex with a winding number $q$ and core polarization $p$ has a half-integer skyrmion number $s = qp/2$, while a skyrmion has integer $s = qp$ and ferromagnetic (FM) state has $s = 0$. Clearly, the demagnetizing field plays a crucial role in the formation of the magnetic configurations. The magnetic vortex states are stable only if the waveguide is compressed into a magnetic disc. Note that due to rotational symmetry of the magnetic vortex and the strong exchange interaction in ferromagnetic materials, a large energy barrier prevents changing easily the chirality of the vortices. For thicker magnetic discs, skyrmions are stabilized by the demagnetizing fields, whereas in the limit of long cylindrical wire the exchange interaction is dominant and leads to a stable ferromagnetic configuration.
FIG. 3. (color online) The transformation of an incident magnonic plane wave into a twisted magnon by a magnonic spiral phase plate considering demagnetizing fields. The color code corresponds to the excitation of the magnetization in the $yz$ plane relative to the initial relaxed state (cf. Fig. 1). The blue and red regions show wave fronts of equal phases of the $m_x$ component, demonstrating the change from planar to helical wave fronts. The orange regions show the geometry of the spiral phase plate.

Turning to the magnonic twisted eigenmode of the FM waveguide, the topological vorticity is given by the twisted beam OAM, $-\ell$. The skyrmion number is, however, nearly zero. The chirality is not fixed, as well. It depends on the radius $r$, the time $t$, and/or the distance $z$, as demonstrated in Fig. 5. Furthermore, it is well-known that a magnetic vortex-antivortex pair is unstable: the pair with parallel polarization belongs to the same topological sector as a uniform FM ground state, meaning that we can deform the pair continuously into the ground state once the vortex-antivortex pair with parallel polarization was created; whereas, the vortex-antivortex pair with antiparallel polarization has a nontrivial topological number. Its annihilation is accompanied with the ejection of a magnetic monopole and spin waves. The magnonic twisted eigenmodes with $\pm \ell$ are degenerate states, they can be excited simultaneously and result in a right-handed superposition $|R\rangle = \xi_+ |+\ell\rangle + \xi_- |-\ell\rangle$, which defines a new degree of freedom that we can refer to as the magnonic vorticity.

As for the interplay between the magnonic twisted beams and a magnetic vortex, it has been shown that a smooth background magnetic texture affects the dynamics of a spin wave by changing the basic equation that rules the spin wave behaviour [30]. A $O(2)$ vector potential and a scalar potential enter in the Schrödinger-like equation for the magnons. The SPP allows us to investigate the scattering of magnonic twisted beams by topological nontrivial magnetic textures, including magnetic vortices, magnetic skyrmions, and magnetic Bloch points.

FIG. 4. (color online) (Upper inset) The evolution of the magnetic configuration (as characterized by the skyrmion number) in YIG waveguides with varying length $L$ and a fixed radius of $R = 200$ nm. (Lower inset) Slices showing the typical configurations of the stable magnetization state with increasing length $L$: a magnetic vortex, a magnetic skyrmion, and a ferromagnetic.

IV. SUMMARY

We discussed several aspects of magnonic beams carrying orbital angular momentum. We highlighted characteristics of these topological excitations contrasting them with the well-known magnetic vortices. For generating OAM carrying magnonic beams we proposed and realized numerically a magnonic spiral phase plate on the basis of the YIG/Py heterostructure. Full-fledged numerical simulations using the heterostructure endorse the experimental feasibility of this type of helical magnonic beams.
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FIG. 5. (color online) The dynamic spin configurations. Snapshots showing the topological difference between the magnetization vortex [29] and twisted magnons: The chirality of vortices is very robust by virtue of the rotational symmetry and strong exchange interaction. In contrast, the chirality of twisted beams varies with the radius and/or the time. Here \( T \) is the period of magnetization precession. With the boundary conditions of vanishing magnetic fluctuations at the boundary and outside the magnetic sample, one can have a square wave oscillation of the chirality with time \( t \) and/or distance \( z \).
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